

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *AIDS Care.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 January 01.

Published in final edited form as:

AIDS Care. 2017 January ; 29(1): 14-23. doi:10.1080/09540121.2016.1204417.

Changes in cognitive function in women with HIV infection and early life stress

Georgina Spies¹, **Christine Fennema-Notestine**^{3,4}, **Mariana Cherner**³, and **Soraya Seedat**^{1,2} ¹South African Research Chairs Initiative (SARChI), PTSD program, Department of Psychiatry, Stellenbosch University, South Africa

²MRC Unit on Anxiety and Stress Disorders, Department of Psychiatry, Stellenbosch University, South Africa

³Department of Psychiatry, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA

⁴Department of Radiology, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA

Abstract

Introduction—HIV is frequently associated with deficits in brain function, including memory, psychomotor speed, executive function, and attention. Early life stress (ELS) has also been shown to have a direct influence on neurocognitive performance. However, little is known about the combined impact of ELS and HIV on neurocognitive function over time. The aim of the present study was to follow a cohort of affected women, allowing us to assess the effects of HIV and childhood trauma on cognition and the change in cognition over time.

Method—A battery of neurocognitive tests was administered to 117 women at baseline and then a year later. The sample included a total of 67 HIV⁺ and 50 HIV⁻ women, 71 with ELS and 46 without ELS. Controlling for age, education and antiretroviral therapy (ART) at baseline and 12-month follow-up, raw scores were compared across groups using a repeated measures Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA).

Results—More women were on ART at follow-up compared to baseline. Results revealed a significant combined HIV and childhood trauma effect over time on the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (p = 0.003) and Category Fluency Test (p = 0.006). A significant individual HIV effect over time was evident on the WAIS-III Digit Symbol Test (p = 0.03) and the Controlled Oral Word Association Test (p = 0.003).

Conclusion—Findings suggest better performance in abstract reasoning, speed of information processing and verbal fluency over time. While all groups showed improvements that may correspond to practice effects, effects of HIV and childhood trauma remained evident at 12-month follow-up despite greater ART uptake and improved HIV disease status. This is the first study to assess the combined impact of HIV and trauma on neurocognitive function over time in an all-female cohort with more advanced disease.

Corresponding author: Dr Georgina Spies, Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, PO Box 241, Cape Town, 8000, Ph: 27-21-9389776, Fax: 27-21-9389739, ggiocos@sun.ac.za. We have no conflict of interest to declare.

Introduction

Sub-Saharan Africa has one of the most serious human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) epidemics in the world. An estimated 5.51 million South Africans infected with the virus in 2014 (Statistics South Africa, 2014). Women tend to be particularly affected by the epidemic and many of these infected women also have developmental trajectories characterised by trauma. High rates of intimate partner violence, rape, and childhood abuse have been reported (Andersson, Cockcroft, & Shea, 2008; Jewkes, Penn-Kekana, Levin, Ratsaka, & Schrieber, 2001; Jewkes, Levin, Mbananga, & Bradshaw, 2002; Kalichman & Simbayi, 2004). Such trauma has been associated with poor neurocognitive functioning and other neurologic and psychiatric sequelae (Malan-Muller et al., 2013; Spies et al., 2012; Spies, Fennema-Notestine, Archibald, Cherner, & Seedat, 2012; Troeman et al., 2011), and may exacerbate HIV-related deficits.

HIV infected individuals commonly have neurocognitive deficits characterised as HIVassociated neurocognitive disorders (HAND), ranging from mild to severe, and related to disease staging (Antinori et al., 2007; Grant, 2008). Disturbances with attention, memory, psychomotor speed and executive function are common (Grant, 2008). Due to increased access to and use of ART, HIV⁺individuals are living longer lives, making it imperative to understand etiological factors associated with neurocognitive disorders in order to prevent and treat these disorders. In a recent cross-sectional study assessing a cohort of 1019 HIVinfected women, HIV was found to have an effect on cognition, although the effect was small (Maki et al., 2015). Reading level, age, years of education, and race were more strongly associated with cognitive performance than HIV status. HIV-infected women with low education, low CD4 counts, high viral load, or an AIDS-defining illness were more vulnerable to cognitive impairments, suggesting that low cognitive reserve might exacerbate deficits in cognition in HIV⁺ individuals (Maki et al., 2015).

Although studies have been limited and inconsistent, impairments in intellectual development, language, verbal learning, spatial working memory and psychomotor speed have also been reported in individuals exposed to childhood trauma (Choi, Jeong, Rohan, Polcari, & Teicher, 2009; Majer, Nater, Lin, Capuron, & Reeves, 2010; Palmer et al., 1997). Women infected with HIV who also have a history of childhood trauma may be especially vulnerable to neurocognitive impairments secondary to the additive or interaction effects of HIV and childhood trauma. Prior studies have documented an increased risk of HIV-related morbidity and mortality, and poorer cognitive functioning in individuals who have a history of childhood trauma, acute stress, and posttraumatic stress disorder (Leserman et al., 2007; Leserman et al., 2005). In a previous cross-sectional study investigating the additive effects of HIV and childhood trauma on neurocognitive function in a cohort of South African women, we reported HIV effects in learning, delayed memory and executive function. Additionally, we reported a distinct trauma effect on memory recall (Spies et al., 2012).

The individual effects of HIV and trauma on neurocognition have therefore been documented. However, to our knowledge, there are currently no published studies investigating the additive effects of HIV and childhood trauma on neurocognitive function over time. The aim of the present study was to follow a cohort of affected women after one

Page 3

year, allowing us to assess change in cognition over time. Given the HIV and trauma effects previously reported (Spies et al., 2012), HIV⁺ women with developmental trajectories characterised by trauma may be especially vulnerable to neurocognitive dysfunction. Our hypothesis was two-fold. Firstly, we hypothesised that the effects of HIV and childhood trauma would remain evident at follow-up (i.e. that poorer neurocognitive functioning would be evident over time across groups). Secondly, we hypothesised that the HIV-infected women with a history of trauma would be most affected.

Method

Participants

The sample consisted of 67 (51.9%) HIV⁺ and 50 (38.8%) HIV⁻ women (Table 2). Fifty three HIV⁺ women and 18 controls were exposed to childhood trauma (Table 2). Eligibility criteria included: willingness and ability to provide written informed consent, ability to read and write in English, Afrikaans, or isiXhosa at 5th grade level, aged between 18 and 65 years, medically well enough to undergo neuropsychological testing and MRI scanning. Exclusions included: a current or past history of schizophrenia, bipolar disorder or other psychotic disorders, current substance or alcohol abuse or dependence, significant previous head injury, current seizure disorders of any cause, history of CNS infections of neoplasms, hepatitis B or C positive status, and current use or use within the last month of any psychotropic medication.

Procedure

The study was approved by the ethics committee of Stellenbosch University, South Africa (ethics reference number: N07/07/153). All women included in the present study were tested for HIV status at their local health care facility or at the study site, with HIV status confirmed by means of ELISA. Participants were recruited directly from the community or through community health care facilities such as voluntary counselling and testing (VCT) sites and HIV units, in and around the Cape metropole of South Africa from 2008–2015 by a research nurse and assistant or with the help of doctors and adherence counsellors. Recruitment procedures did not differ across groups. All participants who consented were screened for eligibility, including childhood trauma exposure, either in person at their clinic or telephonically. Those who met initial screening criteria subsequently came to the Department of Psychiatry at Stellenbosch University and underwent neuromedical, neuropsychiatric, neurocognitive, and neuroimaging assessments at baseline and at 12 month follow-up. Participants were reimbursed for travel costs to and from assessments and provided with refreshments at each visit to the University.

Measures

Demographic and clinical characteristics—Important demographic details such as age, marital status, ethnicity, years of education and employment status were captured. A general physical examination (which included comprehensive history taking) was conducted on all participants. Virologic markers of disease progression (CD4 lymphocyte count and viral loads) were obtained from blood samples.

Childhood trauma

A history of childhood trauma was established using the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire Short Form (CTQ-SF), a 28-item self-report inventory that provides valid screening for histories of abuse and neglect (Bernstein et al., 2003). The CTQ-SF assesses five types of maltreatment including, emotional, physical, and sexual abuse, and emotional and physical neglect. These five subscales each consist of 5 items with scores ranging from 5 to 25. The overall trauma score ranges from 25 to 125 with higher scores indicating higher levels of childhood trauma (score of 25-31 = no trauma, score of 41-51 = low to moderate, 56-68 = moderate to severe, and 73-125 = severe to extreme). The internal consistency of the CTQ-SF in the current sample was high, with a Cronbach alpha coefficient of 0.879 respectively.

Neurocognitive assessment

Neurocognitive function was assessed using a comprehensive neuropsychological battery consisting of tests that have been used commonly in HIV research and cover seven ability domains (learning, delayed recall, processing speed, attention/working memory, executive function, verbal fluency, motor ability) (Heaton, Cysique et al., 2010) (Table 1). The battery was administered by a trained research psychologist and research nurse. Test instructions and stimuli were adapted as necessary to fit the cultural context of South Africa and translated into Afrikaans and isiXhosa using standard test adaptation techniques. This involved forward and back translations in the relevant languages. Appropriate cultural modifications were made to the Hopkins Verbal Learning Test-Revised (HVLT-R) and the Controlled Oral Word Association Task (COWAT). For the HVLT-R, the precious stone semantic category was replaced with vegetables. The category of precious stones included emerald, sapphire, opal and pearl, which are unfamiliar in the South African context. These words were replaced with vegetables (bean, lettuce, potato and corn). For the COWAT, letters used were FAS for English, LBS for Afrikaans and IBS for isiXhosa. Tests were administered in English, Afrikaans or isiXhosa according to the participants' self-report of home language. We have previously reported group differences using this test battery (Spies et al., 2012).

Data analysis

All data were analysed using SPSS for Windows (version 22) and Statistica (version 12). Descriptive statistics were computed for all demographic and clinical information. We used analysis of variance (ANOVA) to assess group differences in age, education, and virologic markers of disease progression. We used Pearson Chi-Square tests to assess group differences on categorical variables such as handedness. Unadjusted neurocognitive raw scores were analysed by means of a mixed model repeated measures ANCOVA. Age, education, HIV, ART status, childhood trauma and time were included as fixed effects and subjects were treated as random effects.Posthoc corrections were applied to correct for multiple comparisons using the Least Significant Difference (LSD) test.

Results

Demographic characteristics

At baseline (Table 2), the mean age of participants was 32.8 years (minimum of 18 years, maximum of 50 years). The mean level of education was 10.3 years (minimum of 5 years, maximum of 14 years). The groups significantly differed in age and education, with HIV⁻ participants younger and more educated than HIV⁺ counterparts; thus we controlled for age and education in our statistical analyses. All the women self-identified as Black African and the majority were Xhosa speaking (97.4%), and right handed (95.7%). Further demographic details are presented in table 2 (baseline) and 3 (follow-up).

Clinical characteristics

Clinical characteristics are presented in Table 2 (baseline) and 3 (follow-up). Of the HIV⁺ women, 46 (68.7%) reported being on antiretroviral treatment (ART) at baseline. By follow-up, more women had initiated ART, with 54 (80.5%) of HIV⁺ women reporting the use of ART. More HIV+ women with histories of childhood trauma were on ART at follow-up (n = 46) compared to baseline (n = 39). There were no significant group differences with respect to clinical variables such as CD4 lymphocyte count and viral load.

Childhood Trauma

Seventy one participants reported a history of childhood trauma and 46 reported none (Table 4). There were no differences in trauma scores between baseline and follow-up. The overall mean score on the CTQ-SF was 53.58 (SD = 22.89) with a minimum of 25 and a maximum of 114. The most commonly reported childhood trauma type was emotional abuse with a mean of 12.88 (SD = 6.33), followed by emotional neglect (M= 11.58; SD = 6.17), physical neglect (M= 10.92; SD = 5.16), physical abuse (M= 10.46; SD = 7.17) and lastly, sexual abuse (M= 7.72; SD = 5.35).

Neurocognitive functioning

Mean scores for all tasks at baseline (with the exception of Color Trails 1 and PASAT) and at follow-up (with the exception of WCST and Halstead Category Test) suggest poorer performance in the dually affected group (HIV⁺ trauma⁺) compared to all other groups (see Table 4).

Results revealed significant HIV effects over time on the WAIS-III Digit Symbol Test (F = 5.00, p = 0.027) and the Controlled Oral Word Association Test [COWAT] (F = 9.35, p = 0.003). HIV⁺ women (N = 67) showed improvement in scores at follow-up compared to baseline on the WAIS-III Digit Symbol Test and COWAT. However, compared to all HIV⁻ women (N = 50), HIV⁺ women still performed worse at follow-up.

A combined HIV and childhood trauma effect (interaction) over time was evident on the Category Fluency Test [Actions] (F = 7.83, p = 0.006) and the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test [WCST] (F = 8.08, p = 0.003). The HIV⁺ trauma⁺ group (n = 53) showed improvement in scores at follow-up compared to baseline on the Category Fluency Test and WCST. However, at follow-up, the HIV⁺ trauma⁺ group (n = 53) still performed worse than the

 HIV^+ trauma⁻group (n = 14). The HIV^- trauma⁺ group performed worse compared to the HIV^- trauma⁻ group on the Category Fluency Test at baseline and follow-up and on the WCST at follow-up (see Table 4).

In the unadjusted analyses, being on antiretroviral treatment (ART) at baseline was significantly correlated with scores on the WAIS-III Digit Symbol at baseline (r = 0.328, p = 0.000). Similarly, being on ART at follow-up was significantly correlated with scores on the WAIS-III Digit Symbol at follow-up (r = 0.407, p = 0.000) and the COWAT at follow-up (r = 0.237, p = 0.01). Being on ART was associated with better performance on the abovementioned measures. However, being on ART was not significantly correlated with scores on the Category Fluency Test or the WCST at baseline or at follow-up (p > 0.05). Being on ART treatment at baseline did not show up significant for any of the abovementioned tasks when included in the repeated measures ANCOVA (p > 0.05).

Discussion

At both baseline and follow-up, mean scores for most tasks suggest poorer performance in the dually affected group (HIV⁺ trauma⁺) compared to all other groups, a finding in support of the second hypothesis of the study. Several factors have been implicated in the increased risk for neurocognitive disorders in HIV⁺ individuals, such as medical and psychosocial comorbidities like hepatitis C infection and substance abuse (Martin-Thormeyer & Paul, 2009). Early life stress (ELS) is another psychosocial factor which may be associated with increased risk for neurocognitive decline in HIV⁺ individuals, yet it has received little attention. The findings of the present study may suggest that HIV-related abnormalities in neurocognitive functioning may be partially attributable to, or even compounded by, the effects associated with experiencing trauma in childhood.

We found individual HIV effects on a test of processing speed (WAIS-III Digit Symbol) and phonemic verbal fluency (COWAT) and a combined HIV and childhood trauma effect on tests of semantic verbal fluency (Category Fluency Test) and abstract reasoning (WCST).Together, these HIV effects on aspects of processing speed and executive function are in line with current literature (Grant, 2008; Grant, 2008; Heaton, Cysique et al., 2010; Heaton et al., 2011; Heaton et al., 2011).

For the individual HIV effects detected, all HIV⁺ women (regardless of trauma status) performed slightly better at the 12 month follow-up compared to baseline. However, in comparison to all HIV⁻ controls (regardless of trauma status), HIV⁺ women had lower scores at follow-up compared to baseline for both tests. Impairments in brain function are common in HIV⁺ individuals, including problems with information processing speed (Grant, 2008). It is widely recognised that slowing is one of the most common cognitive problems in individuals infected with HIV (Hardy & Hinkin, 2002). These impairments tend to worsen with increasing disease severity (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1993; Heaton et al., 1995; Heaton et al., 2010). Evidence also suggests that milder forms of cognitive impairment persist, even among those receiving combined antiretroviral therapies (Heaton et al., 2010; Heaton et al., 2011). In the HIV⁺ group as a whole, there was a slight increase in mean scores on the WAIS-III Digit Symbol and COWAT from baseline to follow-up. At

follow-up, this group had higher mean CD4 counts and lower mean viral loads in line with more individuals being on ART. This immune recovery and viral control may be associated with the better performance evident at follow-up, since being on ART at baseline and at follow-up was associated with better performance on the processing task (WAIS-III Digit Symbol) and with better performance on the verbal fluency task (COWAT) at follow-up, although causality cannot be inferred directly. Consistent with previous research, the HIV⁺ women continued to perform more poorly relative to controls over time, confirming a continued effect of HIV infection on cognitive function.

For the combined HIV and trauma effect on verbal fluency (Category Fluency Test), HIV⁺ women with histories of childhood trauma (i.e. dually affected women) performed slightly better at the 12 month follow-up compared to baseline. However, in comparison to HIV⁺ women without histories of childhood trauma, dually affected women performed worse at follow-up compared to baseline. Similarly, the dually affected group performed more poorly than HIV⁻ controls without childhood trauma, both at baseline and at follow-up. Such findings may implicate childhood trauma as a risk factor for developing more rapid decline over time in HIV-infected individuals. To our knowledge, there has only been one other study assessing the combined impact of HIV and ELS. This study was a cross-sectional investigation of brain morphometry and neurocognitive function in males and females with higher CD4 lymphocyte counts suggestive of milder disease (Clark et al., 2012). Clark et al. studied four groups of individuals with and without HIV-infection and/or trauma histories in the United States, where clade B HIV predominates and the majority of individuals are on antiretroviral treatment (Clark et al. 2012). Their findings supported an interaction between HIV status and ELS severity, such that larger amygdala volumes were evident in HIVinfected individuals with high levels of ELS. These abnormalities were associated with neurocognitive dysfunction on a test of processing speed (Clark et al. 2012). The aforementioned study examined relatively complex groups that differed with respect to education, current stress, depression, lifetime cocaine use, and HCV status, although these variables did not appear to account for the interaction of neurocognitive and neural findings. Like Clark et al., we previously reported smaller brain volumes in this cohort of women, with smaller brain volumes associated with poorer neurocognitive performance in the domains of processing speed, attention/working memory, executive function, motor skills, learning and verbal fluency. These findings were more pronounced in the HIV⁺ trauma⁺ group compared to all other groups, highlighting the potential contributory role of developmental trauma in brain morphological and neurocognitive aberrations in HIVinfected individuals (Spies, Ahmed-Leitao, Fennema-Notestine, Cherner, & Seedat, 2016).No other studies have investigated the HIV-trauma effect on cognition longitudinally.

On a test of executive function (WCST), HIV^+ women with histories of childhood trauma also performed better at 12 month follow-up compared to baseline, with fewer errors evident at follow-up relative to baseline. However, in comparison to HIV^+ women without histories of childhood trauma, these dually affected women performed even better at follow-up compared to baseline.

The improvement in the dually affected group could be because more of the these women were on ART by follow-up relative to the HIV⁺ trauma⁻ group, possibly implicating the use

of ART with improved or preserved cognition in this cohort over time. It has been suggested that incomplete viral suppression in the central nervous system (CNS) or possible drug toxicity, both of which could be related to the CNS penetration effectiveness (CPE) of differing ART regimens, may contribute to an increase in milder forms of neurocognitive impairment. A recent study in South Africa found that the use of ART improves or preserves cognition in HIV infected individuals after one year, irrespective of the regimen's CPE (Cross, Combrinck, & Joska, 2013). Another study, however, found evidence for a link between regional brain alterations and immune recovery, with the largest increases in abnormal white matter and subcortical grey matter volumes evident in subjects with CD4+ T cell increases of at least 50 cells/µl (Fennema-Notestine et al., 2013). These brain alterations have also been associated with negative neurocognitive outcomes in other studies (Clark et al., 2012; Moore et al., 2006; Paul, Cohen, Navia, & Tashima, 2002). The present study did not directly assess this. Evidence is therefore still limited and inconsistent and further longitudinal studies are needed. There was a treatment difference between the HIV⁺ groups, with more women in the trauma group on ART compared with the group without trauma. The influence that this difference in treatment status has on findings needs further exploration. Moreover, the length of ART treatment and time since HIV infection were also not taken into account and should be explored in follow-up studies. Stratification of groups by these variables would require large sample sizes.

Improved scores at follow-up seen within groups could also be explained by the practice effect of serial neuropsychological testing (Dikmen, Heaton, Grant, & Temkin, 1999). Practice or learning effects are seen on most neuropsychological measures and are larger on tests of problem solving. In particular, learning continues over multiple exposures to tests of executive function, such as the WCST (Basso, Bornstein, & Lang, 1999). Practice effects can confound the detection of meaningful change (Dikmen et al., 1999). Improved mean raw scores on most tests in an HIV-specific neuropsychological testing battery with repeated measurements were recently reported (Sithinamsuwan et al., 2014). As such, this warrants further examination. While the ideal analysis would include corrections for practice effects, as has been done in a large longitudinal study of HIV effects (Cysique et al., 2011; Heaton et al., 2015), we are not able to generate such adjustments with our small sample size. While all groups showed improvements that may correspond to practice effects across the battery, effects of HIV and childhood trauma remained evident at 12-month follow-up despite greater ART uptake and improved HIV disease status - a finding in line with the first study hypothesis.

Significant individual and combined effects of HIV and trauma may have been influenced by sociodemographic differences between the study groups. It is well understood that formal education can influence performance on both verbal and non-verbal tests, and education may represent a proxy for other societal advantages or disadvantages that manifest in test performance. In a recent large cross-sectional study assessing a cohort of 1019 HIV-infected women and 502 HIV⁻ women, reading level was the strongest predictor of cognitive function. HIV⁺ women performed more poorly on cognitive testing than HIV⁻counterparts, but HIV effects on cognitive testing were smaller than the effects of years of education, age, household income, ethnicity and reading level (Maki et al., 2015). It should be noted that education was controlled for in the present analyses as groups did differ on this variable.

Nevertheless, caution should be used when interpreting our findings, considering that years of education may not capture all pre-existing differences between the groups.

A limitation of the present study was the retrospective assessment of childhood trauma and its contribution to recall bias. Moreover, the CTQ-SF does not include any questions around whether the abuse reported was a single isolated event(s) or repeated exposures. This would be important to elucidate going forward.

To conclude, the present study found evidence for HIV effects on processing speed and verbal fluency and a combined HIV and childhood trauma effect on verbal fluency and executive function in a cohort of South African women. These findings highlight the need for trauma screening and for the integration of trauma focussed interventions in HIV care to improve outcomes in affected individuals. The experience of early life trauma deserves more attention in the clinical care of HIV⁺ individuals. This is to our knowledge the first study to assess the combined impact of HIV and trauma on neurocognitive function over time in an all-female cohort with more advanced disease.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the South African Research Chair in PTSD hosted by Stellenbosch University, funded by the DST and administered by NRF, and the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University (Deputy Dean's strategic fund for postdoctoral fellows and sub-committee C postdoctoral fellowship). Additional research support was provided by a CFAR grant awarded to S Seedat (P30-AI036214) and the HIV Neurobehavioral Research Center (HNRC; National Institutes of Health Grant P30-MH62512). Professor Martin Kidd from the department of Statistics and Actuarial Sciences of Stellenbosch University provided statistical assistance.

References

- Andersson N, Cockcroft A, Shea B. Gender-based violence and HIV: Relevance for HIV prevention in hyperendemic countries of southern africa. Aids. 2008; 22(Suppl. 4):73–86. DOI: 10.1097/01.aids. 0000341778.73038.86
- Antinori A, Arendt G, Becker JT, Brew BJ, Byrd DA, Cherner M, et al. Wojna VE. Updated research nosology for HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders. Neurology. 2007; 69(18):1789–1799. DOI: 10.1212/01.WNL.0000287431.88658.8b [PubMed: 17914061]
- Basso MR, Bornstein RA, Lang JM. Practice effects on commonly used measures of executive function across twelve months. The Clinical Neuropsychologist. 1999; 13(3):283–292. DOI: 10.1076/clin.13.3.283.1743[doi] [PubMed: 10726600]
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Revised classification system for HIV infection and expanded surveillance case definition for AIDS among adolescents and adults. 1993. Retrieved from http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/00018871.htm
- Choi J, Jeong B, Rohan ML, Polcari AM, Teicher MH. Preliminary evidence for white matter tract abnormalities in young adults exposed to parental verbal abuse. Biological Psychiatry. 2009; 65(3): 227–234. DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.06.022 [PubMed: 18692174]
- Clark US, Cohen RA, Sweet LH, Gongvatana A, Devlin KN, Hana GN, et al. Tashima KT. Effects of HIV and early life stress on amygdala morphometry and neurocognitive function. Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society : JINS. 2012; 18(4):657–668. DOI: 10.1017/ S1355617712000434;10.1017/S1355617712000434 [PubMed: 22621973]
- Cross HM, Combrinck MI, Joska JA. HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders: Antiretroviral regimen, central nervous system penetration effectiveness, and cognitive outcomes. South African Medical Journal = Suid-Afrikaanse Tydskrif Vir Geneeskunde. 2013; 103(10):758–762. DOI: 10.7196/samj. 6677[doi] [PubMed: 24079630]

- Cysique LA, Franklin D Jr, Abramson I, Ellis RJ, Letendre S, Collier A, et al. HNRC Group. Normative data and validation of a regression based summary score for assessing meaningful neuropsychological change. Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology. 2011; 33(5): 505–522. DOI: 10.1080/13803395.2010.535504[doi] [PubMed: 21391011]
- Dikmen SS, Heaton RK, Grant I, Temkin NR. Test-retest reliability and practice effects of expanded halstead-reitan neuropsychological test battery. Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society : JINS. 1999; 5(4):346–356. [PubMed: 10349297]
- Fennema-Notestine C, Ellis RJ, Archibald SL, Jernigan TL, Letendre SL, Notestine RJ, et al. CHARTER Group. Increases in brain white matter abnormalities and subcortical gray matter are linked to CD4 recovery in HIV infection. Journal of Neurovirology. 2013; 19(4):393–401. DOI: 10.1007/s13365-013-0185-7;10.1007/s13365-013-0185-7 [PubMed: 23838849]
- Grant I. Neurocognitive disturbances in HIV. International Review of Psychiatry. 2008; 20(1):33–47. DOI: 10.1080/09540260701877894 [PubMed: 18240061]
- Hardy DJ, Hinkin CH. Reaction time performance in adults with HIV/AIDS. Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology. 2002; 24(7):912–929. DOI: 10.1076/jcen.24.7.912.8391[doi] [PubMed: 12647768]
- Heaton RK, Clifford DB, Franklin DR Jr, Woods SP, Ake C, Vaida F, et al. CHARTER Group. HIVassociated neurocognitive disorders persist in the era of potent antiretroviral therapy: CHARTER study. Neurology. 2010; 75(23):2087–2096. DOI: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e318200d727 [PubMed: 21135382]
- Heaton RK, Cysique LA, Jin H, Shi C, Yu X, Letendre S, et al. HNRC group. Neurobehavioral effects of human immunodeficiency virus infection among former plasma donors in rural china. Journal of Neurovirology. 2010; 16(2):185–188. DOI: 10.3109/13550284.2010.481820;10.3109/13550284.2010.481820 [PubMed: 20443740]
- Heaton RK, Franklin DR Jr, Deutsch R, Letendre S, Ellis RJ, Casaletto K, et al. CHARTER Group. Neurocognitive change in the era of HIV combination antiretroviral therapy: The longitudinal CHARTER study. Clinical Infectious Diseases : An Official Publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2015; 60(3):473–480. DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciu862[doi] [PubMed: 25362201]
- Heaton RK, Franklin DR, Ellis RJ, McCutchan JA, Letendre SL, Leblanc S, et al. for the CHARTER and HNRC Groups. HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders before and during the era of combination antiretroviral therapy: Differences in rates, nature, and predictors. Journal of Neurovirology. 2011; 17(1):3–16. DOI: 10.1007/s13365-010-0006-1 [PubMed: 21174240]
- Heaton RK, Grant I, Butters N, White DA, Kirson D, Atkinson JH, et al. Ellis RJ. The HNRC 500-neuropsychology of HIV infection at different disease stages.HIV neurobehavioral research center. Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society. 1995; 1(3):231–251. [PubMed: 9375218]
- Jewkes R, Penn-Kekana L, Levin J, Ratsaka M, Schrieber M. Prevalence of emotional, physical and sexual abuse of women in three south african provinces. South African Medical Journal. 2001; 91(5):421–428. [PubMed: 11455808]
- Jewkes R, Levin J, Mbananga N, Bradshaw D. Rape of girls in south africa. The Lancet. 2002; 359(9303):319–320. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(02)07530-X
- Kalichman SC, Simbayi LC. Sexual assault history and risks for sexually transmitted infections among women in an african township in cape town, south africa. AIDS Care. 2004; 16(6):681–689. DOI: 10.1080/09540120410331269530 [PubMed: 15370057]
- Leserman J, Pence B, Whetten K, Mugavero M, Thielman N, Swartz M, Stangl D. Relation of lifetime trauma and depressive symptoms to mortality in HIV. The American Journal of Psychiatry. 2007; 164(11):1707–1713. [PubMed: 17974936]
- Leserman J, Whetten K, Lowe K, Stangl D, Swartz MS, Thielman NM. How trauma, recent stressful events, and PTSD affect functional health status and health utilization in HIV-infected patients in the south. Psychosomatic Medicine. 2005; 67(3):500–507. DOI: 10.1097/01.psy. 0000160459.78182.d9 [PubMed: 15911916]
- Majer M, Nater UM, Lin JM, Capuron L, Reeves WC. Association of childhood trauma with cognitive function in healthy adults: A pilot study. BMC Neurology. 2010; 1(0):61–70. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2377-10-61

- Maki PM, Rubin LH, Valcour V, Martin E, Crystal H, Young M, et al. Anastos K. Cognitive function in women with HIV: Findings from the women's interagency HIV study. Neurology. 2015; 84(3): 231–240. DOI: 10.1212/WNL.000000000001151[doi] [PubMed: 25540304]
- Malan-Muller S, Hemmings SM, Spies G, Kidd M, Fennema-Notestine C, Seedat S. Shorter telomere length - A potential susceptibility factor for HIV-associated neurocognitive impairments in south african women [corrected. PloS One. 2013; 8(3):e58351.doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0058351;10.1371/journal.pone.0058351 [PubMed: 23472184]
- Martin-Thormeyer EM, Paul RH. Drug abuse and hepatitis C infection as comorbid features of HIV associated neurocognitive disorder: Neurocognitive and neuroimaging features. Neuropsychology Review. 2009; 19(2):215–231. DOI: 10.1007/s11065-009-9101-6[doi] [PubMed: 19468837]
- Moore DJ, Masliah E, Rippeth JD, Gonzalez R, Carey CL, Cherner M, et al. HNRC Group. Cortical and subcortical neurodegeneration is associated with HIV neurocognitive impairment. AIDS (London, England). 2006; 20(6):879–887. DOI: 10.1097/01.aids.0000218552.69834.00
- Palmer LK, Armsworth M, Swank PR, Bush G, Frantz C, Copley J. The neuropsychological sequelae of chronically psychologically traumatized children. Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology. 1997; 12(4):379–380. DOI: 10.1093/arclin/12.4.379a
- Paul R, Cohen R, Navia B, Tashima K. Relationships between cognition and structural neuroimaging findings in adults with human immunodeficiency virus type-1. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews. 2002; 26(3):353–359. [PubMed: 12034135]
- Sithinamsuwan P, Hutchings N, Ananworanich J, Wendelken L, Saengtawan P, Paul R, et al. Valcour V. Practice effect and normative data of an HIV-specific neuropsychological testing battery among healthy thais. Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand = Chotmaihet Thangphaet. 2014; 97(2):S222–33. [PubMed: 25518198]
- Spies G, Afifi TO, Archibald SL, Fennema-Notestine C, Sareen J, Seedat S. Mental health outcomes in HIV and childhood maltreatment: A systematic review. Systematic Reviews. 2012; 1:30–4053. 1– 30. DOI: 10.1186/2046-4053-1-30;10.1186/2046-4053-1-30 [PubMed: 22742536]
- Spies G, Ahmed-Leitao F, Fennema-Notestine C, Cherner M, Seedat S. Effects of HIV and childhood trauma on brain morphometry and neurocognitive function. Journal of Neurovirology. 2016; 22(2): 149–158. DOI: 10.1007/s13365-015-0379-2[doi] [PubMed: 26424107]
- Spies G, Fennema-Notestine C, Archibald SL, Cherner M, Seedat S. Neurocognitive deficits in HIVinfected women and victims of childhood trauma. AIDS Care. 2012; 24(9):1126–1135. DOI: 10.1080/09540121.2012.687813;10.1080/09540121.2012.687813 [PubMed: 22672200]
- Statistics South Africa. Mid-year population estimates. 2014. Retrieved from http:// www.statssa.gov.za/publications/P0302/P03022014.pdf
- Troeman ZC, Spies G, Cherner M, Archibald SL, Fennema-Notestine C, Theilmann RJ, et al. Seedat S. Impact of childhood trauma on functionality and quality of life in HIV-infected women. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes. 2011; 9:84–7525. 9–84. DOI: 10.1186/1477-7525-9-84;10.1186/1477-7525-9-84 [PubMed: 21958030]

	Table 1
HNRC Neuropsychological Test	ting Battery

Neuropsychological domain	Neuropsychological test
Speed of Information Processing	
	WAIS-III Digit Symbol
	WAIS-III Symbol Search
	Trail Making Test Part A
Attention/Working Memory	
	Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test
	WMS-III Spatial Span
Abstraction/Executive Functioning	
	Wisconsin Card Sorting Test - computer version
	Colour Trails 1 and 2
	Stroop Colour Word Test
	Halstead Category Test - computer version
Learning and Delayed Recall (2 domains)	
	Hopkins Verbal Learning Test, Revised (HVLT-R)
	Brief Visuospatial Memory Test, Revised (BVMT-R)
Language	
	Controlled Oral Word Association Test
	Category Fluency (Animals, Action)
Motor	
	Grooved Pegboard Test (both hands)
Screening for effort	
	Hiscock Digit Memory Test

Table 1

Table 2

Socio-demographic and clinical characteristics at baseline

					,		
	HIV+ trauma+ $(n = 53)$	HIV + trauma - (n = 14)	HIV- trauma+ $(n = 18)$	HIV - trauma - (n = 32)	×.	×	d
Age Mean (SD)	34.75 (6.30)	34.14 (8.06)	32.55 (9.26)	29.28 (8.11)	3.668		0.014
Ethnicity (%)							
African	53 (100)	14 (100)	18 (100)	32 (100)			
Education Mean (SD)	9.75 (2.07)	10.64 (1.21)	10.83 (1.82)	11.00 (1.41)	3.925		0.010^*
< grade 8 (%)	14 (26)	1 (7)	1 (6)	2 (6)			
>grade 8 (%)	39 (74)	13 (93)	17 (94)	30 (94)			
Right handedness (%)	52 (98)	14 (100)	16 (89)	30 (94)		3.726	0.293
Home language (%)							
English	1 (2)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)		4.315	0.634
isiXhosa	52 (98)	14 (100)	17 (94)	31 (97)			
Other	0 (0)	0	1 (6)	1 (3)			
Household income							
> R40,000/\$3714	0 (0)	1 (7)	1 (6)	1 (3)		14.707	0.258
Marital status						15.093	0.088
Single	42 (79)	10 (71)	11 (61)	18 (56)			
Cohabiting/Married	10 (19)	4 (29)	7 (39)	14 (44)			
Separated/Divorced	1 (2)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
Employed (%)	6 (11)	11 (79)	6 (33)	9 (28)		1.257	0.739
ARV treatment (%)	39 (74)	7 (50)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
CD 4 count					0.148		0.702
Mean	442.04	413.57	;	1			
SD	234.88	285.02	;	1			
Minimum	25	46	1	I			
Maximum	1026	1053	1	ł			
Viral load					0.137		0.713
Mean	61505.04	81169.93	1	I			
SD	174046.09	185206.44	1	1			
Minimum	<40copies/ml	<40copies/ml	1	1			

Author Manuscript

ł

ł

Author Manuscript

follow-up
at 12-month
characteristics
and clinical e
and
cio-demographic
So

	HIV+ trauma+ $(n = 53)$	HIV+ trauma- $(n = 14)$	HIV-trauma+ $(n = 18)$	HIV- trauma- $(n = 32)$	Ľ.	×	d
Age Mean (SD)	36.05 (6.42)	35.35 (8.03)	33.22 (9.62)	30.37 (8.02)	3.914		0.011^{*}
Education Mean (SD)	9.79 (2.08)	10.78 (1.18)	10.22 (3.00)	11.06 (1.29)	2.799		0.043
Household income (%)						16.127	0.185
> R40,000/\$3714	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (6)	1 (3)			
Marital status (%)						18.821	0.222
Single	32 (60)	9 (64)	12 (67)	17 (53)			
Cohabiting/Married	18 (34)	5 (36)	5 (28)	12 (38)			
Separated/Divorced	2 (4)	0 (0)	1 (6)	1 (3)			
Widowed	1 (2)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 6)			
Employed (%)	15 (28)	6 (43)	5 (28)	11 (34)		1.323	0.724
ARV treatment (%)	46 (87)	8 (57)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
CD 4 count					2.081		0.133
Mean	469.21	464.50	1	I			
SD	261.43	292.54	ł	I			
Minimum	85	74	1	1			
Maximum	1213	1264	ł	I			
Viral load					0.218		0.805
Mean	25841.34	37975.14	1	1			
SD	70419.258	59518.193	1	ł			
Minimum	<40copies/ml	<40copies/ml	1	1			
Maximum	370852	184624	-	1			

Table 4

Neuropsychological and childhood trauma (CTQ-SF) scores

		Baseline	line			Follo	Follow-up	
	HIV+ trauma+ $(n = 53)$	HIV-trauma+ ($n = 18$)	HIV+ trauma- $(n = 14)$	HIV - trauma - (n = 32)	HIV+ trauma+ $(n = 53)$	HIV- trauma+ $(n = 18)$	HIV + trauma - (n = 14)	HIV- trauma- $(n = 32)$
		Ch	Childhood trauma (CTQ-SF) scores n (%))-SF) scores <i>n</i> (%)				
Low to moderate trauma (41-55)	9 (13%)	10 (25%)	;	;	1	:	:	1
Moderate to severe trauma (56-72)	16 (24%)	6 (12%)	-	-	-	-	-	I
Severe to extreme trauma (73-125)	28 (42%)	2 (4%)	:	:	I	:	1	I
			Neuropsychological scores (mean)	scores (mean)				
(HVLT-R) total learning	22.1	22.9	22.2	22.6	23.3	24.7	24.0	24.6
(HVLT-R) delay	6.83	7.83	7.64	7.84	7.56	9.05	7.71	9.03
(BVMT-R) total learning	14.5	19.7	18.2	18.9	16.8	22.8	20.0	23.2
(BVMT-R)delay	5.47	7.66	7.50	8.06	6.71	9.44	7.92	8.87
WAIS-III Digit Symbol	39.0	47.8	47.5	52.0	41.9	51.4	47.8	58.2
WAIS-III Symbol Search	13.5	18.6	17.3	18.9	13.1	19.9	16.1	20.5
Grooved Pegboard Test (dominant hand)*	82.9	66.8	67.8	68.7	78.4	65.6	69.7	63.7
Grooved Pegboard Test (non-dominant hand)*	92.4	80.0	77.5	74.8	88.6	74.2	82.3	70.9
Trails A*	67.4	49.3	49.6	48.5	60.4	42.7	45.9	42.9
Color Trails 1*	63.7	60.0	64.7	55.9	62.7	43.9	49.8	42.3
Color Trails 2*	129.6	113.2	123.4	109.8	133.0	106.0	116.1	98.9
WMS-III Spatial Span	10.1	11.8	11.2	11.9	10.3	12.1	11.3	12.0
COWAT	23.0	22.9	26.2	24.5	24.1	29.2	26.3	29.5
Category Fluency (animals)	10.7	12.4	12.7	12.1	11.4	13.0	12.5	13.3
Category Fluency (actions)	9.11	10.1	12.4	10.6	9.69	10.1	10.5	12.3
Stroop	27.4	27.7	28.6	31.4	27.1	31.6	35.0	34.1
PASAT	20.8	23.7	19.5	25.1	21.4	25.2	21.8	28.6
WCST Perseverations*	19.7	15.8	11.9	15.8	14.7	18.2	15.5	10.9
Halstead Category Test*	86.5	83.1	78.5	83.2	84.7	87.6	L.LL	74.4

Author Manuscript

Test, Revised; WAIS-III = Weehsler Adult Intelligence Scale; WMS-III = Weehsler Memory Scale; COWAT = Controlled Oral Word Association Task (with letters FAS for English, LBS for Afrikaans and Asterisk denotes that higher scores indicate poorer performance. HIV + = HIV positive; HIV - = HIV negative; HVLT-R = Hopkins Verbal Learning Test, Revised; BVMT-R = Brief Visuospatial Memory IBS for isiXhosa); Stroop = Stroop Color and Word Test; PASAT = Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test; WCST = Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (computerised version).

\geq
È
7
อ
Ĕ,
2
¥
7
5

Aut	
thor	
No.	
Inusc	
scrip	
엌	

3	IdDIe 0		

Repeated measures Analysis of Covariance

F F	Effect	Category fluency (Actions)	Categ	Category fluency (Animals)	BV	BVMT-R delay	BVMT-R total	total	Halstead Category Test	Test	Color Trails 1	rails 1
003 034 1.10 0.29 1.8 ood trauma 5.11 0.02° 1.03 0.20 1.8 fold 0.01 0.02 1.35 0.31 0.20 thilbood trauma 0.00 0.33 0.44 1.56 0.03 1.82 thilbood trauma*time 0.33 0.34 1.56 0.21 0.20 1.82 ood trauma*time 0.33 0.04* 1.56 0.23 0.26 0.24 thilbood trauma*time 0.33 0.00* 1.87 0.17 0.17 0.17 thilbood trauma*time 0.31 0.00 1.87 0.17 0.17 thilbood trauma*time 0.11 19.0 0.26 0.37 0.31 thilbood trauma*time 0.01 0.02 0.36 0.31 0.31 thilbood trauma*time 0.31 0.00 0.29 0.32 0.33 0.31 thilbood trauma*time 0.31 0.00 0.32 0.32 0.33 <			ы	d	н	d	Ŧ	d	Ţ	d	Ľ.	<i>d</i>
ood trauma 5.11 0.02° 1.03 0.31 0.20 Rold trauma 0.04 0.83 3.74 0.05 1.43 Childbood trauma 0.09 1.36 0.02 1.82 time 0.33 0.04 $\frac{\circ}{2}$ 1.56 0.02 0.56 old trauma [*] time 0.33 0.00 $\frac{\circ}{2}$ 1.87 0.01 0.66 Childbood trauma [*] time 0.33 0.00 $\frac{\circ}{2}$ 1.87 0.01 0.01 Childbood trauma [*] time 0.33 0.00 $\frac{\circ}{2}$ 1.87 0.01 0.01 F $0.00 \frac{\circ}{2}$ 1.87 $MATHIDigit Symbol 0.01 0.01 Childbood trauma*time 0.11 0.02 0.83 0.43 0.43 Childbood trauma*time 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.35 0.37 Coold trauma*time 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.61 0.43 Childbood trauma*time 0.03 0.01 0.00 0.02 0.35 Childbood trauma*ti$				0.29	1.88	0.17	1.38	0.24	2.71	0.10	0.43	0.50
014 0.83 3.74 0.05 1.43 Childhood trauma [*] time 0.00 1.36 0.24 1.82 ood trauma [*] time 0.33 0.04 [*] 1.56 0.25 2.50 ood trauma [*] time 0.33 0.00 [*] 1.87 0.17 2.60 ood trauma [*] time 0.33 0.00 [*] 1.87 0.17 2.60 Childhood trauma [*] time 0.33 0.00 [*] 1.87 0.17 2.60 Childhood trauma [*] time 0.10 0.78 1.87 0.17 2.60 Childhood trauma [*] time 1 0.00 0.73 0.75 2.50 Condutauma [*] time 0.10 0.73 0.77 2.73 2.74 Condutauma [*] time 0.10 0.73 0.73 0.73 0.73 Condutauma [*] time 0.10 0.75 0.73 0.73 0.74 Condutauma [*] time 1.03 0.73 0.73 0.74 0.74 Condutauma [*] time 0.73 0.74	dhood trauma			0.31	0.20	0.64	0.08	0.83	0.13	0.71	0.00	0.99
Childhood trauma time000.921.360.241.82time4.050.04*1.560.210.66ood trauma*time7.330.350.090.772.50childhood trauma*time7.830.00*1.870.010.01Childhood trauma*time7.830.00*1.870.010.01F 7.83 0.00*1.870.170.01Childhood trauma*time7.830.000.020.030.010.010.010.020.830.300.610.020.020.030.030.610.020.040.011.900.020.360.010.020.030.011.030.020.030.030.011.030.020.020.030.041.030.011.030.020.030.040.010.020.020.030.010.040.040.040.030.030.010.040.040.040.030.030.030.040.030.050.030.030.030.040.040.050.050.050.050.040.040.050.050.040.010.040.040.050.050.030.170.040.050.050.030.030.170.040.050.050.050.030.030.040.040.04<	0			0.05	14.3	0.00^*	23.8	0.00^*	0.22	0.63	18.8	0.00^{*}
time405 0.04^* 1.560.210.66ood trauma * time0.330.041.870.072.50childhood trauma * time7.830.00*1.870.010.01Childhood trauma * time7.830.00*1.870.010.01F0.000.410.010.70.830.370.000.410.020.830.630.370.000.410.020.971.050.360.370.020.011.900.000.360.311.030.011.900.020.360.310.04 trauma * time1.030.012.950.020.350.04 trauma * time0.030.310.000.020.350.04 trauma * time0.040.310.000.020.350.04 trauma * time0.310.000.020.350.350.04 trauma * time0.310.000.020.350.350.04 trauma * time0.310.000.320.350.350.04 trauma * time0.310.020.320.350.350.04 trauma * time0.310.020.320.350.350.04 trauma * time0.320.320.331.170.04 trauma * time0.330.430.430.350.04 trauma * time0.350.350.350.360.04 trauma * time0.32 </td <td>* Childhood trauma</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.24</td> <td>1.82</td> <td>0.17</td> <td>1.36</td> <td>0.24</td> <td>0.03</td> <td>0.84</td> <td>0.10</td> <td>0.75</td>	* Childhood trauma			0.24	1.82	0.17	1.36	0.24	0.03	0.84	0.10	0.75
ood trauma * time 0.33 0.56 0.09 0.75 2.50 Childhood trauma * time 7.83 0.00 * 1.87 0.17 0.01 0.0 Childhood trauma * time 7.83 0.00 * 1.87 $MAIS-III Digit Symbol$ 0.0 F R $MAIS-III Digit Symbol$ 0.0 0.0 0.0 R R $MAIS-III Digit Symbol$ 0.0 0.0 0.0 R R $MAIS-III Digit Symbol$ 0.0 0.0 0.0 R R $MAIS-III Digit Symbol$ 0.0 0.0 R R 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.01 R R 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.01 R R 0.00 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 R R 0.00 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 R R 0.00 0.02 0.02	* time			0.21	0.66	0.41	2.22	0.13	0.01	0.90	1.73	0.19
Childhood trauma * time 7.83 0.00^* 1.87 0.17 0.17 0.01 0.01 $T = T_{\text{olor Trails 2}}$ $T_{\text{olor Trails 2}}$ $MAIS-III Digit Symbol$ $T_{\text{olor Trails 2}}$ $T_{\text{olor Trail Trail Trails 2}}$ $T_{olor Trail Tr$	dhood trauma [*] time			0.75	2.50	0.11	0.10	0.74	0.72	0.39	1.18	0.27
Color Trails 2 MAIS-III Digit Symbol COWAT F p F p p p 0.41 0.35 0.36 0.37 0.36 0.37 0.41 0.52 0.83 0.36 0.41 0.37 0.41 0.41 0.35 0.36 0.36 0.37 0.41 9.43 101 1.05 0.11 19.0 0.06 14.3 0.41 101 0.01 0.99 0.01 19.0 0.00 9.61 101 0.01 0.90 0.02 0.02 0.35 9.35 101 1.03 0.01 0.09 0.02 0.09 0.01 103 0.01 0.03 0.01 2.95 0.03 0.01 Indetunna * time 0.24 0.61 2.95 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.02 Indetunna * time 0.24 0.01 2.95 0.03 0.04 0.02 0.05 0.05	* Childhood trauma * time			0.17	0.01	0.89	0.48	0.48	0.98	0.32	2.42	0.12
FPFPF0.410.520.830.370.370.410.520.830.360.370.010.000.971.050.300.612.490.1119.00.300.6114.32.490.1119.00.020.029.351001.030.315.000.029.350.010.315.000.020.930.491030.310.012.950.350.350.011.030.312.050.360.350.010.312.950.312.950.380.110.320.512.950.381.170.011.030.570.920.331.170.041.050.570.920.331.170.041.050.570.570.331.170.040.240.570.570.490.510.160.290.570.500.490.501.650.500.500.490.510.570.140.500.500.510.510.510.140.510.510.510.510.510.510.510.510.510.510.510.510.550.550.550.510.510.510.550.550.550.510.510.510.550.550.550.510.51<	ct		WA	IS-III Digit Symbol		COWAT	HVLT-R delay		HVLT-R		PASAT	LT
0.41 0.52 0.83 0.36 0.37 0.00 0.00 0.01 1.05 0.01 0.01 1.03 0.01 1.03 0.01 1.03 0.00 1.03 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.01 1.03 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.01 1.03 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.01 1.03 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.01 1.03 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.01 1.03 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.03 1.17 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.0				d	ц	d	Ц	d	F	d	Ь	d
ood trauma0.000.011.050.300.612.490.1119.00.0314.3Childhood trauma0.010.0119.00.0614.3time0.010.010.020.059.35time1.030.315.000.029.35tood trauma *time1.030.315.000.029.49time1.030.312.950.030.03tood trauma *time0.240.612.950.030.05Childhood trauma *time6.240.612.950.031.17tood traumaF P P P P P tood trauma0.240.570.920.331.17tood trauma0.240.620.670.410.65tood trauma0.240.500.690.410.65tood trauma0.280.200.500.510.51tood trauma2.080.150.430.510.51				0.36	0.37	0.54	1.66	0.19	0.03	0.84	2.67	0.10
2.49 0.11 19.0 0.00° 14.3 Childhood trauma 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.00° 14.3 time 1.03 0.02 0.02 0.01 0.01 time 1.03 0.31 0.02 0.02° 9.35 tood trauma*time 1.03 0.31 0.00 0.02° 0.35 Childhood trauma*time 0.24 0.61 2.95 0.08 0.49 Childhood trauma*time 0.24 0.61 2.95 0.08 0.02 Childhood trauma 0.24 0.61 2.95 0.08 0.12 tood trauma 1.07 0.24 0.57 0.92 0.03 1.17 tood trauma 0.24 0.57 0.69 0.41 0.56 tood trauma 0.24 0.57 0.69 0.41 0.56 tood trauma 0.24 0.57 0.69 0.41 0.56 tood trauma 0.24 0.52 0.69 0.41 0.56 tood trauma 0.28 0.50 0.69 0.61 0.51 tood trauma 0.69 0.15 0.61 0.51 0.57	dhood trauma		1.05	0.30	0.61	0.43	0.01	06.0	0.22	0.63	0.06	0.79
Childhood trauma 0.01 0.90 0.02 0.86 0.01 time 1.03 0.31 5.00 0.02* 9.35 nood trauma*time 1.03 0.31 0.00 9.35 0.49 cood trauma*time 0.24 0.31 0.00 0.98 0.49 childhood trauma*time 0.24 0.61 2.95 0.08 0.29 Childhood trauma*time 0.24 0.61 2.95 0.08 0.02 F 0.24 0.61 2.95 0.08 0.03 0.11 F p p p p p p I 0.32 0.57 0.92 0.67 0.31 1.17 I 0.32 0.50 0.66 0.61 0.65 0.65 I 1.65 0.66 0.64 0.64 0.65 0.65 I 1.65 0.66 0.64 0.61 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.65	0		19.0	0.00 *		0.00^*	6.46	0.01^{*}	22.5	0.00^*	8.31	0.00^{*}
time1.030.315.00 0.02^* 9.35nood trauma*time1.030.310.000.980.49Childhood trauma*time0.240.612.950.080.02Childhood trauma*time0.240.612.950.080.02FFpFpFpNood trauma0.320.570.920.331.17nood trauma0.240.620.690.410.651.650.200.500.690.410.50Childhood trauma2.080.150.430.510.51	* Childhood trauma			0.86	0.01	0.91	0.03	0.85	0.02	0.88	1.63	0.20
ood trauma * time 1.03 0.31 0.00 0.98 0.49 Childhood trauma * time 0.24 0.61 2.95 0.08 0.02 Groved Pegboard dominant Groved Pegboard dominant Groved Pegboard dominant WMS-III Spatial F p F p F p F ood trauma 0.32 0.57 0.92 0.33 1.17 ood trauma 0.24 0.62 0.67 0.41 0.05 Indod trauma 2.08 0.15 0.43 0.51 0.57	* time		5.00	0.02 *		0.00^{*}	1.60	0.20	0.36	0.54	0.64	0.42
Childhood trauma * time 0.24 0.61 2.95 0.08 0.02 F Grooved Pegboard dominant Grooved Pegboard non-dominant WMS-III Spatial F p F p F p F nood trauma 0.32 0.57 0.92 0.33 1.17 nood trauma 0.24 0.62 0.67 0.40 0.50 I 1.65 0.20 0.69 0.61 0.60 0.61 0.50 Childhood trauma 2.08 0.15 0.43 0.51 0.51 0.51	dhood trauma [*] time		0.00	0.98	0.49	0.48	0.30	0.58	0.40	0.52	1.90	0.16
Grooved Pegboard dominantGrooved Pegboard non-dominantWMS-III SpatialFF p F p 0.320.320.570.920.331.170.040.240.620.670.410.051.650.200.690.690.400.50Childhood trauma2.080.150.430.510.51	* Childhood trauma * time		2.95	0.08	0.02	0.88	0.24	0.61	0.16	0.68	0.01	0.91
F p F p F 0.32 0.32 0.57 0.92 0.33 1.17 nood trauma 0.24 0.62 0.67 0.41 0.05 1.15 0.20 0.69 0.69 0.69 0.41 0.05 Childhood trauma 2.08 0.15 0.43 0.51 0.50	ct	Grooved Pegboard dominant		Pegboard non-dominant	WMS	-III Spatial span	Stroop		WAIS-III Symbol search	earch	Trails A	¥
0.32 0.57 0.92 0.33 1.17 nood trauma 0.24 0.62 0.67 0.41 0.05 1.65 0.20 0.69 0.69 0.40 0.50 Childhood trauma 2.08 0.15 0.43 0.51 0.51				d	ц	d	Ц	d	F	d	Ь	d
nood trauma 0.24 0.62 0.67 0.41 0.05 1.65 0.20 0.69 0.49 0.50 Childhood trauma 2.08 0.15 0.43 0.51 0.51				0.33	1.17	0.28	0.08	0.77	2.38	0.12	0.53	0.46
1.65 0.20 0.69 0.40 0.50 Childhood trauma 2.08 0.15 0.43 0.51 0.67	dhood trauma		0.67	0.41	0.05	0.81	1.40	0.23	0.08	0.77	0.76	0.38
2.08 0.15 0.43 0.51 0.67	0			0.40	0.50	0.48	9.45	0.00^{*}	0.19	0.66	7.33	0.00^{*}
	* Childhood trauma			0.51	0.67	0.41	0.09	0.75	0.61	0.43	2.63	0.10
HIV [*] time 0.30 0.58 1.03 0.31 0.08 0.7	* time			0.31	0.08	0.77	0.02	0.87	2.27	0.13	0.03	0.86

$\mathbf{\Sigma}$
a.
<u> </u>
<u>≍</u>
0
<
_
B
2
<u> </u>
S
SC
õ
Š.
õ

Effect	Category fluency (Actions)	(Actions)	Category fluency (Animals)	ls)	BVMT-R delay	v	BVMT-R total	H	Halstead Category Test Color Trails 1	Coloi	Trails 1
	F	d	Ĥ	d	F	d	F P	H	d	Ŀ	d
Childhood trauma * time	0.14	0.70	1.02	0.31	0.05 0.05	0.80	1.71 0.19		0.04 0.82	0.26	0.61
HIV * Childhood trauma * time 2.23	2.23	0.13	0.42	0.51 0.01		06.0	3.48 0.06	5 0.12	12 0.72	0.07	0.78
Effect	WCST										
	ц	d									
HIV	0.26	0.60									
Childhood trauma	1.94	0.16									
Time	0.45	0.50									
HIV * Childhood trauma	0.03	0.85									
HIV [*] time	0.03	0.84									
Childhood trauma $*$ time	0.05	0.81									
HIV * Childhood trauma * time	8.08	0.00^*									

* p < 0.05; HVLT-R = Hopkins Verbal Learning Test, Revised; BVMT-R = Brief Visuospatial Memory Test, Revised; WAIS-III = Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale; WMS-III = Wechsler Memory Scale; COWAT = Controlled Oral Word Association Task (with letters FAS for English, LBS for Afrikaans and IBS for isiXhosa); Stroop = Stroop Color and Word Test; PASAT = Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test; WCST = Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (computerised version).

 Table 6

 Summary of significant and non-significant findings over time

HIV effect	HIV and childhood trauma effect	No effects
WAIS-III Digit Symbol (processing speed)	Category Fluency Test [Actions] (verbal fluency)	Category fluency (Animals)
COWAT (phonemic verbal fluency)	WCST (executive function)	BVMT-R (total and delay trials)
		HalsteadCategory Test
		Color Trails 1 and 2
		HVLT-R (total and delay trials)
		PASAT
		Trail Making Test A
		Stroop
		Grooved Pegboard Test (both hands
		WMS-III Spatial Span
		WAIS-III Symbol Search

HVLT-R = Hopkins Verbal Learning Test, Revised; BVMT-R = Brief Visuospatial Memory Test, Revised; WAIS-III = Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale; WMS-III = Wechsler Memory Scale; COWAT = Controlled Oral Word Association Task (with letters FAS for English, LBS for Afrikaans and IBS for isiXhosa); Stroop = Stroop Color and Word Test; PASAT = Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test; WCST = Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (computerised version).