



Published in final edited form as:

Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2016 December ; 9(12): . doi:10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.116.005903.

Large Artery Stiffness, Microvascular Function and Cardiovascular Risk

Julio A. Chirinos, MD, PhD¹

¹University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine and Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA. USA

Keywords

arterial stiffness; microvasculature; pulse wave velocity; wave reflection; pulsatile hemodynamics

Large artery stiffness is an important mediator of cardiovascular disease. Aortic stiffening causally contributes to isolated systolic hypertension,¹ and increases left ventricular pulsatile hydraulic load,^{2,3} which is important in conditions such as heart failure, hypertensive heart disease, and valvular heart disease (particularly aortic stenosis). In addition, there is increasing recognition of the role of arterial stiffness on microvascular disease, which is relevant for the damage of target organs such as the brain and the kidney.^{3,4}

Consistent with the important role of arterial stiffness in cardiovascular health, measures of large artery stiffness have been shown to independently predict the risk of incident cardiovascular events in both clinical and community-based cohorts.^{5–8} However, the degree to which the relationship between large artery stiffness and incident cardiovascular disease is mediated by microvascular dysfunction is unclear. In this issue of *Circulation: Cardiovascular Imaging*, Cooper *et al.*⁹ report the results of a large prospective cohort study that advances our understanding of this issue. The authors measured carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity (CF-PWV, a measure of large artery stiffness) and brachial artery hyperemic mean Doppler flow velocity after an ischemic forearm occlusion (an index of microvascular function), among 4,547 Framingham Heart Study participants. They performed analyses to relate individual measures of vascular function to incident cardiovascular disease, and subsequent mediation analyses to assess the degree to which prevalent microvascular dysfunction at baseline accounts for the prediction of cardiovascular events provided by CF-PWV. Their results demonstrate that both higher CF-PWV and lower hyperemic mean flow velocity were independently associated with incident cardiovascular disease. In mediation analyses, 8–13% of the relationship between aortic stiffness and cardiovascular events was explained by hyperemic mean flow velocity. The authors conclude that associations between

Address for correspondence: Julio A. Chirinos, MD, PhD, South Tower, Rm. 11-138., Perelman Center for Advanced Medicine., 3400 Civic Center Blvd. Philadelphia, PA. 19104., julio.chirinos@uphs.upenn.edu, Tel: 215-573-6606; Fax: 215-746-7415.

Disclosures: J.A.C. has received consulting fees from Bristol-Myers Squibb, Microsoft, Merck, OPKO Healthcare, Fukuda-Denshi, and Vital Labs. He has received research grants from the National Institutes of Health, American College of Radiology Network, Fukuda-Denshi, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Microsoft and CVRx Inc, and device loans from Atcor Medical. He is named as inventor in a University of Pennsylvania patent application for the use of inorganic nitrates/nitrites for the treatment of Heart Failure and Preserved Ejection Fraction.

aortic stiffness and cardiovascular events are mediated by pathways that include microvascular damage and remodeling.

To better understand the hemodynamic basis of the role of large artery stiffness on small vessel disease, and to place the findings of Cooper *et al* in perspective, it is useful to consider the hemodynamic consequences of aortic stiffening on microvascular pressure and flow. Conduit arteries normally exert a powerful cushioning function, which delivers nearly steady flow in the microvasculature despite the intermittent left ventricular ejection. However, when the aortic wall stiffens as a consequence of aging and various pathologic states (such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, inflammation, chronic kidney disease and yet unknown exposures),^{3,10,11} the cushioning function of the aorta is impaired. Aortic stiffening therefore promotes increased pulsatility in the microvasculature, particularly in organs that require “torrential” blood flow, and must operate at low levels of local microvascular resistance. Systemic organs that exhibit such richly-perfused low-resistance vascular beds include the brain, the kidney and the myocardium. Myocardial vessels demonstrate unique pulsatile pressure and flow characteristics due to the myocardial contraction, which compresses intra-myocardial blood vessels, limiting systolic blood flow. In contrast, the microvascular beds of the brain and the kidney are exposed to upstream fluctuations of pressure and flow during both systole and diastole. In these organs, the effects of systemic large artery stiffening and the associated pulsatile hemodynamic abnormalities have important consequences for the microvasculature. Both increased pulsatile pressure (barotrauma) and pulsatile flow (with excessive pulsatile shear forces from increased pulsatile flow velocity) can result in microvascular damage in these organs. Consistent with these principles, accumulating evidence links cerebral microvascular disease and renal disease with increased aortic stiffness.^{3,4,12,13}

The effects of wave travel and reflection are of particular relevance for our understanding of microvascular damage associated with aortic stiffening. Every beat, the left ventricle generates a pulse wave that travels forward in the arteries and gets partially reflected at sites of impedance mismatch, such as points of branching or changes in vessel diameter or wall material properties along the arterial tree. Innumerable tiny reflections merge into a functionally discrete reflected wave, which travels back to the heart.^{2,3,14} In middle-aged and older adults, this reflected wave arrives at the aorta during systole, with important adverse consequences for central pulsatile hemodynamics. As mentioned by Cooper *et al*, aging is also associated with a disproportionate increase in aortic stiffness relative to muscular arterial stiffness, promoting impedance matching and a reduction in wave reflection in first-order arterial bifurcations in older adults.¹² Loss of wave reflection proximal to target organs (such as at the aorta-carotid interface) has been proposed as a mechanism underlying cerebrovascular damage from aortic stiffening. However, it should be noted that impedance matching is not only dependent on wall stiffness, but strongly dependent on vessel size.¹⁵ Therefore, despite substantial differences in pulse wave velocity between the aorta and muscular arteries, optimization of the size ratio between parent and daughter vessels in the arterial tree can greatly reduce impedance mismatch (relative to pulse wave velocity “mismatch”) even before the aorta stiffens with age. The configuration of parent and daughter arterial branches, which generally favors forward energy transmission, is also responsible for the fact that reflections at single bifurcations tend to be quite small,

relative to the composite reflected wave arising from the sum of millions of tiny reflections elsewhere. The “bulk” of wave reflections, therefore, arises from locations other than the first-order bifurcations leading to target organs (such as the brain) and in fact, the large reflected wave returning from the lower body penetrates the carotid artery as a “forward” wave (thus increasing pressure and flow in the carotid bed).^{3,16} Paradoxically, impedance matching between the aorta and the carotid artery also promotes the penetration of the reflected wave returning from the lower body into the carotid territory. Finally, arteries upstream of the cerebral microvasculature appear to be more effective at attenuating high-frequency flow pulsations (such as those contained in the early systolic carotid flow peak produced by the initial cardiac contraction), than low-frequency oscillations (which are preferentially contained in the reflected wave from the lower body).^{3,16} Clearly, it is not possible to generalize wave reflections as “protective” of target organs. Rather, the available elegant studies analyzing reflection phenomena at discrete interfaces¹² are best interpreted in the context of the complexity of systemic arterial hemodynamics. These considerations have important therapeutic implications, because systemic wave reflections are susceptible to modification by pharmacologic interventions, which may influence our approach to the prevention and treatment of microvascular disease related to pulsatile arterial hemodynamics. In this regard, it is important to note that vasodilators that reduce wave reflection (such as calcium channel blockers), have been shown to reduce cognitive decline in older adults with systolic hypertension.^{3,4,17} It is possible that novel agents that reduce systemic wave reflection may be beneficial, particularly if they do not reduce cerebrovascular resistance. Although the ultimate therapeutic goal should be to reduce aortic wall stiffness, achieving this goal will require a much deeper understanding of the cellular and molecular mechanisms that lead to arterial stiffening with aging and disease.

Considerations regarding hemodynamic differences in specific vascular beds is also relevant for the interpretation of the study by Cooper *et al.* In this study, the authors measured microvascular function in the forearm, which, by virtue of being a high-resistance vascular territory at rest, may exhibit a microvascular bed that is relatively protected from the ill effects of proximal arterial stiffening. Therefore, the mediating effect of microvascular dysfunction on cardiovascular risk measured in this territory might have underestimated the true mediating effect of microvascular damage/dysfunction in high-flow target organs such as the brain and the kidney. For instance, recent data from the Age, Gene/Environment Susceptibility-Reykjavik Study suggest that an important proportion of the relationship between aortic stiffness and glomerular filtration rate is mediated by renal microvascular function.¹³

Some additional considerations are worth mentioning. The authors studied a composite endpoint of events, whereas microvascular dysfunction may be particularly relevant for specific endpoints (such as renal failure and dementia). As specific disease events accumulate in this cohort during follow-up, it will be interesting to assess the relationship between aortic stiffness, microvascular dysfunction, and incident disease. Finally, the effects deduced from the applied mediation analyses constitute, in a strict sense, a statistical rather than a mechanistic inference. Mediation analysis is a special type of multivariable modeling, which may be influenced by epiphenomena affecting both vascular measures (CF-PWV and hyperemic microvascular blood flow), without necessarily implying that microvascular

dysfunction participates in the causal pathway between large artery stiffness and cardiovascular events. However, the proposed effects are plausible and concordant with our current mechanistic understanding of arterial hemodynamics. Cooper *et al.* should be congratulated for addressing an important mechanistic question with the use of relevant physiologic measurements in the setting of a large, well-designed population study. The unprecedented availability of cardiovascular imaging techniques to characterize arterial function, flow, geometry and wall properties, in tandem with microvascular function, tissue perfusion and early signs of disease in target organs, should provide exciting opportunities to further advance our understanding of the macrovascular-microvascular cross talk and its role in the pathogenesis of cardiovascular disease in population studies and mechanistic clinical trials in humans.

Acknowledgments

Sources of Funding: JAC is supported by NIH grants R01 -HL-121510-01A1 and R56 HL-124073-01A1.

References

1. Kaess BM, Rong J, Larson MG, Hamburg NM, Vita JA, Levy D, Benjamin EJ, Vasan RS, Mitchell GF. Aortic stiffness, blood pressure progression, and incident hypertension. *Jama*. 2012; 308:875–881. [PubMed: 22948697]
2. Chirinos JA, Segers P. Noninvasive evaluation of left ventricular afterload: Part 2: Arterial pressure-flow and pressure-volume relations in humans. *Hypertension*. 2010; 56:563–570. [PubMed: 20733088]
3. Nichols, WW., O'Rourke, MF., Vlachopoulos, C. McDonald's blood flow in arteries: Theoretical, experimental and clinical principles. 6. Hodder Arnold; 2011.
4. O'Rourke MF, Safar ME. Relationship between aortic stiffening and microvascular disease in brain and kidney: Cause and logic of therapy. *Hypertension*. 2005; 46:200–204. [PubMed: 15911742]
5. Vlachopoulos C, Aznaouridis K, Stefanadis C. Prediction of cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality with arterial stiffness: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2010; 55:1318–1327. [PubMed: 20338492]
6. Mattace-Raso FU, van der Cammen TJ, Hofman A, van Popele NM, Bos ML, Schalekamp MA, Asmar R, Reneman RS, Hoeks AP, Breteler MM, Witteman JC. Arterial stiffness and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke: The rotterdam study. *Circulation*. 2006; 113:657–663. [PubMed: 16461838]
7. Chirinos JA, Khan A, Bansal N, Dries DL, Feldman HI, Ford V, Anderson AH, Kallem R, Lash JP, Ojo A, Schreiber M, Sheridan A, Strelsin J, Teal V, Roy J, Pan Q, Go AS, Townsend RR, Investigators CS, Investigators CS. Arterial stiffness, central pressures, and incident hospitalized heart failure in the chronic renal insufficiency cohort study. *Circ Heart Fail*. 2014; 7:709–716. [PubMed: 25059422]
8. Boutouyrie P, Tropeano AI, Asmar R, Gautier I, Benetos A, Lacolley P, Laurent S. Aortic stiffness is an independent predictor of primary coronary events in hypertensive patients: A longitudinal study. *Hypertension*. 2002; 39:10–15. [PubMed: 11799071]
9. Cooper LLPJ, Benjamin EJ, Larson MG, Vasan RS, Mitchell GF, Hamburg NM. Microvascular function contributes to the relation between aortic stiffness and cardiovascular events: The framingham heart study. *Circulation: Cardiovascular Imaging*. 2016; 9:004979.
10. Jain S, Khera R, Corrales-Medina VF, Townsend RR, Chirinos JA. Inflammation and arterial stiffness in humans. *Atherosclerosis*. 2014; 237:381–390. [PubMed: 25463062]
11. Payne RA, Wilkinson IB, Webb DJ. Arterial stiffness and hypertension: Emerging concepts. *Hypertension*. 2010; 55:9–14. [PubMed: 19948990]
12. Mitchell GF, van Buchem MA, Sigurdsson S, Gotal JD, Jonsdottir MK, Kjartansson O, Garcia M, Aspelund T, Harris TB, Gudnason V, Launer LJ. Arterial stiffness, pressure and flow pulsatility

- and brain structure and function: The age, gene/environment susceptibility--reykjavik study. *Brain*. 2011; 134:3398–3407. [PubMed: 22075523]
13. Woodard T, Sigurdsson S, Gotal JD, Torjesen AA, Inker LA, Aspelund T, Eiriksdottir G, Gudnason V, Harris TB, Launer LJ, Levey AS, Mitchell GF. Mediation analysis of aortic stiffness and renal microvascular function. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2015; 26:1181–1187. [PubMed: 25294231]
 14. Chirinos JA, Segers P. Noninvasive evaluation of left ventricular afterload: Part 1: Pressure and flow measurements and basic principles of wave conduction and reflection. *Hypertension*. 2010; 56:555–562. [PubMed: 20733089]
 15. Li JK. Dominance of geometric over elastic factors in pulse transmission through arterial branching. *Bull Math Biol*. 1986; 48:97–103. [PubMed: 3697556]
 16. Hirata K, Yaginuma T, O'Rourke MF, Kawakami M. Age-related changes in carotid artery flow and pressure pulses: Possible implications for cerebral microvascular disease. *Stroke*. 2006; 37:2552–2556. [PubMed: 16946148]
 17. Forette F, Seux ML, Staessen JA, Thijs L, Babarskiene MR, Babeanu S, Bossini A, Fagard R, Gil-Extremera B, Laks T, Kopalava Z, Sarti C, Tuomilehto J, Vanhanen H, Webster J, Yodfat Y, Birkenhager WH. Systolic Hypertension in Europe I. The prevention of dementia with antihypertensive treatment: New evidence from the systolic hypertension in europe (syst-eur) study. *Arch Intern Med*. 2002; 162:2046–2052. [PubMed: 12374512]