PROCEEDINGS B

rspb.royalsocietypublishing.org



Cite this article: Herbert-Read JE *et al.* 2016 Correction to 'Proto-cooperation: group hunting sailfish improve hunting success by alternating attacks on grouping prey'. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **283**: 20162586.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.2586

Correction

Correction to 'Proto-cooperation: group hunting sailfish improve hunting success by alternating attacks on grouping prey'

James E. Herbert-Read, Pawel Romanczuk, Stefan Krause, Daniel Strömbom, Pierre Couillaud, Paolo Domenici, Ralf H. J. M. Kurvers, Stefano Marras, John F. Steffensen, Alexander D. M. Wilson and Jens Krause

Proc. R. Soc. B **283**, 20161671 (Published online 2 November 2016). (doi:10.1098/ rspb.2016.1671)

There was a mismatch between the units reported for the base metabolic rate, c_0 , in figure 3c in [1] and the corresponding units in the main text and labels of figure $3a_ib$. Instead of the rate c_0 being shown in 1 per hour, the label of the *x*-axis in figure 1c was given in 1 per second. The corrected figure is shown below. None of the findings of the paper have altered.




Figure 3. (*a*,*b*) Relative energy payoff difference Δf versus hunter group size *N* for different attack delay times $T_{\rm fr}$ with $c_0 = 0.0001 \text{ s}^{-1} = 0.36 \text{ h}^{-1}$ and different values of the relative energetic costs of attacks $\delta_c = 100$ (*a*) and $\delta_c = 500$ (*b*). (*c*) Relative energy payoff difference Δf versus c_0 and δ_c for fixed $T_{\rm fr} = 0.5$ h and N = 10 (blue region indicates $\Delta f < 0$; i.e. where free riding is not beneficial). All other simulation parameters as in the main text and electronic supplementary material, S2.4 of [1].

References

1. Herbert-Read JE et al. 2016 Proto-cooperation: group hunting sailfish improve hunting success by alternating attacks on grouping prey. Proc. R. Soc. B 283, 20161671. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2016.1671)