



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

JBISIRIR-2016-002725. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 January 03.

Published in final edited form as:

JBISIRIR-2016-002725. *JBISIRIR-2016-002725*.
JBISIRIR-2016-002725. 2016 May ; 14(5): 57–67. doi:10.11124/

Weight-loss interventions for overweight/obese adults with chronic musculoskeletal pain: a mixed methods systematic review protocol

Lesley Cooper^{1,2}, Cormac Ryan^{1,2}, Louisa Jane Ells^{1,2}, Sharon Hamilton^{1,2}, Greg Atkinson^{1,2}, Kay Cooper³, Mark I. Johnson⁴, John P. Kirwan⁵, and Denis Martin^{1,2}

¹Health and Social Care Institute, Teesside University, Middlesbrough, North Yorkshire, UK

²Teesside Centre for Evidence-Based Practice: an Affiliate Centre of the Joanna Briggs Institute, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia

³The Scottish Centre for Evidenced-Based, Multi-professional Practice: a Collaborating Centre of the Joanna Briggs Institute, Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen

⁴Leeds Beckett University, Leeds, Yorkshire, UK

⁵Lerner Research Institute, Department of Pathobiology, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio, USA

Review question/objective

The objective of this mixed methods review is to develop an aggregated synthesis of qualitative and quantitative data on weight-loss interventions for overweight/obese adults with chronic musculoskeletal pain in an attempt to derive conclusions and recommendations useful for clinical practice and policy decision making.

The objective of the quantitative component of this review is to quantify the effectiveness of weight-loss interventions on weight, pain and physical and/or psychosocial function in overweight/obese adults with chronic musculoskeletal pain.

The objectives of the qualitative component of this review are to explore the perceptions and experiences of overweight/obese adults with chronic musculoskeletal pain of the link between their weight and pain, and the effectiveness and appropriateness of weight-loss interventions and sustainability of weight-loss efforts.

Keywords

Adults; chronic; musculoskeletal pain; overweight/obese; weight loss

Background

Independently, overweight and chronic pain are prevalent conditions that have widespread implications for the individual, healthcare resources and the economy.^{1,2} These two

Correspondence: Lesley Cooper, l.cooper@tees.ac.uk.

There is no conflict of interest in this project.

conditions frequently occur simultaneously, and the association appears to be bi-directional; this adds to the complexity of managing either condition independently. Studies to assess the effectiveness of weight-loss interventions on individuals with co-existing chronic musculoskeletal pain have shown that weight reduction can be achieved and is associated with lower pain scores;^{3,4} however, no systematic review has been conducted to determine the most effective intervention or participants' perceptions of the appropriateness and sustainability of interventions to inform clinical practice.

The World Health Organization (WHO) defines obesity as “abnormal or excessive fat accumulation that may impair health”.^{5(p.1)} Obesity is categorized using body mass index (BMI). A BMI of 25 indicates that a person is overweight, and a BMI of 30 is deemed as obese.⁶ This growing global public health problem has been associated with 3.4 million adult deaths annually and is linked to the development of type 2 diabetes, coronary heart disease and some cancers.⁵

Worldwide prevalence of overweight/obesity has risen markedly in recent decades with rates more than doubling in some developed areas; globally 1.9 billion adults are overweight, 600 million of these are obese.⁵ The United Kingdom (UK) has one of the highest levels of overweight/obesity in Europe; 66.6 % of men and 57.2% of women in England were classified as overweight/obese in 2012 (24% of men and 25% of women were obese).^{7,8} Cohort studies carried out by the Centre for Longitudinal Studies found that at age 42, those in the 1970 birth cohort were markedly more likely to be overweight or obese compared with individuals in the 1958 birth cohort at the same age, highlighting the increase in adult obesity in the UK.⁹ Obesity has been shown to reduce quality of life and limit ability to perform activities of daily living¹⁰; it is also linked to higher levels of mental illness.⁹ National Health Service costs associated with obesity are currently estimated to be in excess of £5 billion annually.^{9,11}

The causes of overweight/obesity in the general population are increased calorie intake and lack of physical exercise. However, the Foresight Report describes this as a simplistic view and reports that overweight/obesity is the result of a complex interaction between biological factors, psychological factors and a changing social environment wherein work patterns have become more sedentary, and physical activity has decreased combined with an increase in the availability of food.¹¹ In 2013, the American Medical Association classified obesity as a disease.¹²

The International Association for the Study of Pain define pain as “an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage”.^{13(p.1)} Chronic pain is persistent pain, which lasts over 12 weeks or after the time that healing would have been thought to occur after trauma or surgery.¹⁴ Approximately, five million adults in the UK annually develop chronic pain that impacts on their ability to work, thereby having an effect on business and the economy.² Although the total cost of chronic pain is unknown, the cost to the exchequer annually is in excess of £12.3 billion for back pain alone and 2.7 million people with chronic musculoskeletal pain are in receipt of incapacity benefit.^{14,15} Although back pain and osteoarthritis (OA) (particularly of the knee) account for 50% of chronic pain, other conditions such as rheumatoid arthritis (RA), migraine, chronic daily

headache and neck pain are also common. Five per cent of the UK population suffer from widespread chronic pain often referred to as fibromyalgia.¹⁵ The 2011 Health Survey England¹⁶ and the National Pain Audit¹⁷ found that chronic pain is more common in women, increases with age and is more likely to be reported by those in the lowest economic quintile. People reporting chronic pain also reported higher levels of anxiety and depression with a positive correlation between increasing pain and anxiety and depression scores.^{16,18} Chronic pain sufferers are prone to have difficulty sleeping, socializing and are also more likely to become unemployed.¹⁵

Although no cause-effect relationship has yet been established, there is growing acknowledgement of a link between obesity and chronic pain conditions.^{19–26} For these individuals, difficulties arise in everyday activities such as walking, climbing stairs and driving that result in a decline in independence, leading to reduction in mental health, with depression and social isolation being known to affect these patient groups.²⁷ As chronic pain interferes with daily functioning of obese individuals, it can have a negative effect on weight loss.¹⁰

The mechanical-structural nature of the relationship between obesity and chronic pain is generally accepted²¹; however, it is not the definitive explanation for this comorbidity. In addition to mechanical explanations, metabolic factors may be involved in altered pain sensitivity among obese individuals.²⁸ Furthermore, evidence suggests that poor general health behaviors may have a role to play in the development and persistence of the comorbidity. Obesity may contribute to the development/maintenance of pain whereas the presence of pain may be a risk factor for the development/maintenance of obesity. Treatment needs to address both aspects of the comorbidity.^{29,30}

The National Institute for Clinical Excellence recommend that overweight and obese adults are offered lifestyle weight management programs⁶ whereas weight reduction is advocated as an integral component of chronic pain management.^{19,29,31} Weight-loss interventions may have an additional positive impact on pain by reducing mechanical–structural factors, metabolic factors, systemic inflammation and increasing health-related quality of life (hrQoL).³² Interventions designed to target weight may have added positive effects, for example, reduction of mental distress, such as anxiety or depression, both of which are strongly correlated with obesity and chronic pain.^{9,16} Improvement in mental health may enable individuals who are overweight or obese with co-existing chronic pain to sustain positive changes and maintain new patterns of behavior.

Physical activity is a primary intervention for overweight/obesity; however, many overweight/obese individuals report chronic pain acts as a barrier to physical activity and thus weight loss.¹⁰ Individuals with higher BMI have been shown to have increased prevalence of chronic pain.³³ Physical activity is also used as a treatment technique for those with chronic pain; however, obesity can be a barrier to physical activity for individuals who are obese with chronic pain.^{34,35} The cause and consequence relationship between obesity and chronic pain can become a vicious cycle that impacts on the function, health and wellbeing of this population. The rising prevalence of both obesity and chronic pain is a

worldwide concern, as alongside patient health and wellbeing concerns, there is an increased financial burden on healthcare and economic systems.^{33,36,37}

The complex relationship between chronic pain and overweight/obesity appears to be bi-directional in nature; for example increased weight may cause an individual to experience pain that reduces activity or pain may restrict activity that results in increased weight that produces more pain; therefore, a break in this cycle could have positive outcomes for individuals. A comprehensive search has failed to identify any systematic reviews that have been completed or any protocols for systematic reviews currently in progress on the effectiveness, appropriateness and sustainability of weight-loss interventions in an overweight/obese population with co-existing chronic musculoskeletal pain. This review will bring together quantitative and qualitative evidence to increase knowledge on the effectiveness of interventions in this population and understanding of individual perceptions of the links between overweight/obesity and chronic pain, the effectiveness and appropriateness of weight-loss interventions, and sustainability of weight-loss efforts. This review should inform future interventions for the promotion of healthier lifestyles, thus increasing weight loss and decreasing chronic pain in a more sustainable manner. In addition to individual positive health outcomes, there is potential for wider societal and economic benefits,^{33,37} for example, considerable savings could be made on healthcare spending on care of patients with OA knee if cases attributed to overweight/obesity (currently 26.4%) were reduced.

Inclusion criteria

Types of participants

The quantitative and qualitative components of this review will consider studies that include male or female adult participants (aged 18 or over) of any ethnic origin who are overweight/obese and have co-existing chronic pain. We will consider studies that include participants diagnosed with chronic musculoskeletal pain conditions, such as chronic lower back pain, OA or RA, as well as those who suffer non-specific or widespread musculoskeletal pain conditions. The diagnosis of overweight/obesity should be consistent with the WHO definition (BMI \geq 25 overweight; BMI \geq 30 obese).⁵ The diagnosis of chronic pain should be consistent with the BPS definition (persistent pain, which lasts over 12 weeks or after the time that healing would have been thought to occur after trauma or surgery).¹⁴

Studies that include participants with chronic pain related to cancer, pregnant or breast-feeding women or syndromic obesity will be excluded from the review.

Types of intervention(s)/phenomena of interest

The quantitative component of the review will consider studies that evaluate weight management treatment programs. Interventions can include bariatric drugs (e.g. orlistat), surgery (e.g. gastric banding) and lifestyle modifications such as diet, physical activity or psychological interventions delivered as part of a multi- or single-component study. Comparator: intervention will be compared with no treatment (true control) or usual care in any experimental study design.

The qualitative component of this review will include studies that explore the perceptions and experiences of co-existing overweight/obesity and chronic musculoskeletal pain, engaging with weight-loss interventions and sustaining weight-loss efforts in long term.

Outcomes

The quantitative component of this review will consider studies that include the following outcome measures – primary outcome: weight – any objective validated measure of adiposity (BMI, waist circumference); secondary outcome: pain – any validated measure of pain – numeric rating scale/visual analogue scale; adverse effects; psychological health – measured using standard scales (e.g. hospital anxiety and depression scale; physical and/or psychological function, quality of life (e.g. hrQoL); use of analgesic medication (e.g. naproxen, codeine and opioids); and contacts with healthcare professionals and hospital admission.

Types of studies

The quantitative component of the review will be restricted to experimental study designs including randomized controlled trials and quasi-experimental trials for inclusion.

The qualitative component of the review will consider qualitative studies of experience and perceptions including (but not limited to) designs such as interview studies, focus group studies, ethnography, phenomenology, grounded theory and action research.

Search strategy

The search strategy aims to find both published and unpublished studies. A three-step search strategy will be utilized in this review. An initial limited search of MEDLINE and CINAHL will be undertaken followed by analysis of the text words contained in the title and abstract, and of the index terms used to describe the article. A second search using all identified keywords and index terms will then be undertaken across all included databases. Third, the reference list of all key identified reports and articles will be searched for additional studies. Only studies published in English will be considered for inclusion in this review. Studies published from 1990 to the present will be considered for inclusion in this review. This timeframe was selected as overweight/obesity rates have been increasing steadily during this period.³

The databases to be searched include: The Cochrane Library, MEDLINE, CINAHL, PubMed, Scopus, PsycINFO, EMBASE, Education Resources Information Centre (ERIC), Web of Science.

The search for unpublished studies will include: Clinical trials registries (e.g. clinicaltrials.gov), dissertations indexed with ProQuest Dissertations and Theses

Initial keywords to be used will be: overweight, obesity, obese, chronic pain, chronic lower back pain, chronic joint pain, osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, chronic widespread pain, fibromyalgia, persistent pain, chronic headache, weight loss, weight management, weight control, diet control, exercise therapy, exercise, structured exercise, cognitive behavioral

therapy, pain coping skills training, bariatric surgery, gastric surgery, gastric bypass, gastric banding, orlistat, experiences and perceptions.

Assessment of methodological quality

Quantitative papers selected for retrieval will be assessed by two independent reviewers for methodological validity prior to inclusion in the review using standardized critical appraisal instruments from the Joanna Briggs Institute Meta Analysis of Statistics Assessment and Review Instrument (JBI-MAStARI) (Appendix I). Any disagreements that arise between the reviewers will be resolved through discussion or with a third reviewer.

Qualitative papers selected for retrieval will be assessed by two independent reviewers for methodological validity prior to inclusion in the review using standardized critical appraisal instruments from the Joanna Briggs Institute Qualitative Assessment and Review Instrument (JBI-QARI) (Appendix I). Any disagreements that arise between the reviewers will be resolved through discussion or with a third reviewer.

Data extraction

Stage 1 data extraction

Quantitative data will be extracted from papers included in the review using the standardized data extraction tool from JBI-MAStARI (Appendix II). The data extracted will include specific details about the interventions, populations, study methods and outcomes of significance to the review question and specific objectives.

Qualitative data will be extracted from papers included in the review using the standardized data extraction tool from JBI-QARI (Appendix II). The data extracted will include specific details about the phenomena of interest, populations, study methods and outcomes of significance to the review question and specific objectives.

Stage 2 data extraction

The results of each single-method synthesis included in the mixed-method review will be extracted in numerical, tabular or textual format. For example, for syntheses of quantitative data, it will consist of appropriate elements of the meta-analysis Forest plot or, where applicable, an evidence table; for qualitative reviews, it will consist of appropriate elements of the QARI-view table.

Data synthesis

Stage 1 data synthesis for each single-method synthesis

Treatment effect sizes will, where possible, be pooled in a meta-analysis using comprehensive meta-analysis and Stata. All results will be subject to double data entry. We anticipate most of the data from each study will be effect sizes, expressed either as odds ratios (for categorical data) and/or the mean difference between baseline and follow-up (for continuous data). Pooled effects sizes (and associated 95% confidence intervals) will be quantified in a weighted fashion using the inverse variance approach. Heterogeneity will be

quantified using I-squared and Tau-squared statistics. If appropriate, heterogeneity sources will be explored with subgroup analyses and/or meta-regression approaches. Where statistical pooling is not possible, the findings will be presented in narrative form including tables and figures to aid in data presentation wherever appropriate.

Qualitative research findings will, where possible, be pooled using JBI-QARI. This will involve the aggregation or synthesis of findings to generate a set of statements that represent that aggregation, through assembling the findings (Level 1 findings) rated according to their quality and categorizing these findings on the basis of similarity in meaning (Level 2 findings). These categories are then subjected to a meta-synthesis to produce a single comprehensive set of synthesized findings (Level 3 findings) that can be used as a basis for evidence-based practice. Where textual pooling is not possible, the findings will be presented in a narrative form.

Stage 2 data synthesis for mixed-method synthesis

The findings of each single-method synthesis included in this review will be aggregated according to the JBI Reviewers' Manual Methodology for JBI Mixed Methods Systematic Reviews.³⁸ This will involve the configuration of the findings to generate a set of statements that represent that aggregation through coding any quantitative findings to attribute a thematic description to all quantitative data, assembling all of the resulting themes from quantitative and qualitative syntheses, and the configuration of these themes to produce a set of synthesized findings in the form of a set of recommendations or conclusions.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

None.

References

1. Morgan, E.; Dent, M. The economic burden of obesity. Oxford: National Obesity Observatory; 2010.
2. Phillips CJ. The cost and burden of chronic pain. *Rev Pain.* 2009; 3(1):2–5.
3. Somers TJ, Blumenthal JA, Guilak F, Kraus VB, Schmitt DO, Babyak MA, et al. Pain coping skills training and lifestyle behavioural weight management in patients with knee osteoarthritis; a randomized controlled study. *Pain.* 2012; 153(6):1109–1129.
4. Foy CG, Lewis CE, Hairston KG, Miller GD, Lange W, Jakicic JM, et al. Intensive lifestyle intervention improves physical function among obese adults with knee pain: findings from the look AHEAD trial. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2011; 19(1):83–93. [PubMed: 20559303]
5. World Health Organization. Obesity and overweight. Factsheet no. 311. 2014.
6. National Institute for Clinical Excellence. Managing overweight and obesity in adults – lifestyle weight management services. 2014:h55.
7. Department of Health. Health Survey for England 2012. 2013
8. Health Social Care Information Centre. Statistics on obesity, physical activity and diet – England 2014. 2014

9. Centre for Longitudinal Studies. Overweight and obesity in midlife: evidence from the 1970 British Cohort Study at age 42. Institute of Education University of London; 2014.
10. Wachholtz A, Binks M, Eisenson H, Kolotkin R, Suzuki A. Does pain predict interference with daily functioning and weight loss in an obese residential treatment-seeking population? *Int Soc Behav Med*. 2010; 17(2):118–124.
11. Butland, B.; Jebb, S.; Kopleman, P. Tackling obesities: future choices – project report. second. London: The Stationery Office; 2007.
12. American Medical Association House of Delegates. Resolution 420 (A-13). [Accessed 26 April 2016] <http://www.npr.org/documents/2013/jun/ama-resolution-obesity.pdf>.
13. International Association for the Study of Pain. Global year against pain. Acute Pain Factsheets 3: Mechanisms of Acute Pain. 2015 [Accessed 4 December 2015] <http://www.iasp-pain.org/Taxonomy?navItem-Number=576>.
14. British Pain Society. FAQ's. 2008 [Accessed 4 December 2015] <https://www.britishpainsociety.org/media-resources/#faqs>.
15. Donaldson L. Pain: breaking the barrier. Chief Medical Office Annual Report. 2008
16. Department of Health. Health Survey for England 2011. 2012
17. Price, C.; Hoggart, B.; Olukoga, O.; Williams, A.; Bottle, A. National Pain Audit 2010–2012. Dr. Foster Research Ltd; 2012.
18. McCarthy LH, Bigal ME, Katz M, Derby C, Lipton RB. Chronic pain and obesity in elderly people: results from the Einstein Aging Study. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2009; 57(1):115–119. [PubMed: 19054178]
19. Silverwood V, Blagojevic-Bucknall M, Jinks C, Jordan JL, Protheroe J, Jordan KP. Current evidence on risk factors for knee osteoarthritis in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Osteoarthr Cartilage*. 2015; 23(4):505–515.
20. Blagojevic M, Jinks C, Jeffry A, Jordan KP. Risk factors for the onset of knee osteoarthritis in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Osteoarthr Cartilage*. 2010; 18(1):24–33.
21. Shiri R, Karppinen J, Leino-Argas P, Solovieva S, Viikari-Juntura E. The association between obesity and low back pain: a meta-analysis. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2010; 17(2):135–154.
22. Somers TJ, Wren AA, Keefe FJ. Understanding chronic pain in older adults: abdominal fat is where it is at. *Pain*. 2011; 152(1):8–9. [PubMed: 20952130]
23. Heuch I, Heuch I, Hagen K, Zwart JA. Body mass index as a risk factor for developing chronic low back pain: a follow-up in the Nord-Trøndelag health study. *Spine*. 2013; 38(2):133–139. [PubMed: 22718225]
24. Okifuji A, Donaldson GW, Barck L, Fine PG. Relationship between fibromyalgia and obesity in pain, function, mood, and sleep. *J Pain*. 2010; 11(12):1329–1337. [PubMed: 20542742]
25. Ray L, Lipton RB, Zimmerman ME, Katz MJ, Derby CA. Mechanisms of association between obesity and chronic pain in the elderly. *Pain*. 2011; 152(1):53, 59. [PubMed: 20926190]
26. Thomazeau J, Perin J, Nizard R, Bouhassira D, Collin E, Nguyen E, et al. Pain management and pain characteristics in obese and normal weight patients before joint replacement. *J Eval Clin Prac*. 2014; 20(5):611–616.
27. Gatineau, M.; Hancock, C.; Dent, M. Adult obesity and disability. Oxford: National Obesity Observatory; 2013.
28. Janke AE, Collins A, Kozak AT. Overview of the relationship between pain and obesity: what do we know? Where do we go next? *J Rehabil Res Dev*. 2007; 44(2):245–262. [PubMed: 17551876]
29. Bonakdar RA. Obesity related pain; time for a new approach that targets systemic inflammation. *J Family Prac*. 2013; 62(9):22–28. Supplement To.
30. McVinnie DS. Obesity pain. *Br J Pain*. 2013; 7(4):163–170. [PubMed: 26516520]
31. National Institute for Clinical Excellence. Osteoarthritis care and management CG177. 2014
32. Morden A, Jinks C, Nio Ong B. "... I've found once the weight had gone off, I've had a few twinges, but nothing like before". Exploring weight and self-management of knee pain. *Musculoskeletal Care*. 2014; 12(2):63–73.

33. Wedin S, Byrne K, Morgan K, LePage M, Goldman R, Crowley N, et al. Presurgical weight is associated with pain, functional impairment and anxiety among gastric bypass surgery patients. *Pain Res Treat.* 2012;412174. [PubMed: 23119156]
34. Janke AE, Kozak AT. “The more pain I have the more I want to eat”: obesity in the context of chronic pain. *Obesity.* 2012; 20(10):2027–2034. [PubMed: 22334258]
35. de Rooij M, Steultjens MPM, Avezaat E, Häkkinen A, Klaver R, van der Leeden MT, et al. Restrictions and contraindications for exercise therapy in patients with hip and knee osteoarthritis and comorbidity. *Phys Ther Rev.* 2013; 18(2):101–111.
36. Wright LJ, Schur C, Noonan C, Ahumada S, Buchwald D, Afari N. Chronic pain, overweight and obesity: findings from a community-based twin registry. *J Pain.* 2010; 11(7):628–635. [PubMed: 20338816]
37. Wang YC, McPherson K, Marsh K, Gortmaker SL, Brown M. Health and economic burden of the projected obesity trends in the USA and the UK. *Lancet.* 2011; 378(9793):815–825. [PubMed: 21872750]
38. The Joanna Briggs Institute. Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewers’ Manual 2014 edition/Supplement. The Joanna Briggs Institute;