

Bacterial Energy Sensor Aer Modulates the Activity of the Chemotaxis Kinase CheA Based on the Redox State of the Flavin Cofactor*

Received for publication, September 8, 2016, and in revised form, October 13, 2016
 Published, JBC Papers in Press, November 1, 2016, DOI 10.1074/jbc.C116.757492

Dipanjan Samanta^{‡§}, Joanne Widom[‡], Peter P. Borbat^{‡§},
 Jack H. Freed^{‡§}, and Brian R. Crane^{‡1}

From the [‡]Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853 and the [§]National Biomedical Center for Advanced ESR Technologies, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853

Edited by Ruma Banerjee

Flagellated bacteria modulate their swimming behavior in response to environmental cues through the CheA/CheY signaling pathway. In addition to responding to external chemicals, bacteria also monitor internal conditions that reflect the availability of oxygen, light, and reducing equivalents, in a process termed “energy taxis.” In *Escherichia coli*, the transmembrane receptor Aer is the primary energy sensor for motility. Genetic and physiological data suggest that Aer monitors the electron transport chain through the redox state of its FAD cofactor. However, direct biochemical data correlating FAD redox chemistry with CheA kinase activity have been lacking. Here, we test this hypothesis via functional reconstitution of Aer into nanodiscs. As purified, Aer contains fully oxidized FAD, which can be chemically reduced to the anionic semiquinone (ASQ). Oxidized Aer activates CheA, whereas ASQ Aer reversibly inhibits CheA. Under these conditions, Aer cannot be further reduced to the hydroquinone, in contrast to the proposed Aer signaling model. Pulse ESR spectroscopy of the ASQ corroborates a potential mechanism for signaling in that the resulting distance between the two flavin-binding PAS (Per-Arnt-Sim) domains implies that they tightly sandwich the signal-transducing HAMP domain in the kinase-off state. Aer appears to follow oligomerization patterns observed for related chemoreceptors, as higher loading of Aer dimers into nanodiscs increases kinase activity. These results provide a new methodological platform to study Aer function along with new mechanistic details into its signal transduction process.

Bacteria search out conditions that provide sufficient energy to sustain homeostasis and fuel growth. In *Escherichia coli*, so-called “energy taxis” employs a sensory transmembrane pro-

tein, Aer, to monitor the cellular energy state and convey that information to the chemotaxis system (1–5). Aer is related to bacterial chemoreceptors, also known as MCPs,² that sense chemicals and ligand-binding proteins in the periplasmic space (5–8). However, unlike MCPs, the sensor domain of Aer resides on the cytoplasmic side of the membrane and Aer lacks the methylation-based adaptation mechanism that provides negative feedback in chemosensing (9, 10). Homodimeric Aer is composed of two N-terminal cytoplasmic PAS (Per-Arnt-Sim) domains, a membrane anchor region composed of two transmembrane helices per subunit (TM1 and TM2) (11, 12), a cytoplasmic HAMP domain (helices AS1 and AS2), and a kinase control module that binds and regulates the histidine kinase CheA. MCPs, CheA, and the coupling protein CheW form extended hexagonal arrays that generate signal gain and cooperative responses in chemotaxis (7, 13). Within these arrays, a core complex composed of two receptor trimer-of-dimers, a dimeric CheA, and two CheW proteins comprise a minimal unit for signaling (14). The Aer PAS domains bind FAD (3, 9, 15) and are believed to receive redox signals from components of the electron transport chain (ETC) in the inner membrane (15).

Genetic and cellular experiments have determined that Aer mediates aerotaxis (2, 3, 9); however, this behavior does not involve direct reaction of Aer with O₂. Rather, Aer responds to the redox status of the ETC, which in turn depends on aerobic respiration (4, 15). The prevailing model for Aer signaling has been derived largely from physiological data and involves the three redox states of FAD cofactor. In the absence of substrates to provide reducing equivalents, the FAD remains oxidized and Aer activates CheA autophosphorylation. CheA then transfers phosphate to the response regulator, CheY. Phosphorylated CheY binds to the flagellar motor, reverses the direction of flagella rotation, and causes cell tumbling. When substrates and terminal electron acceptors are present, Aer inhibits CheA, causing smooth swimming (5, 15). Under these conditions, Aer is presumed to be reduced to the semiquinone state by the ETC (5, 15). With substrates present, but no terminal acceptors for the ETC, cells tumble again, which has been hypothesized to occur because of further FAD reduction to the hydroquinone (HQ) state (5, 15) (see Fig. 1A).

There is currently no direct biochemical data correlating the redox state of the Aer PAS domain with kinase activity. Furthermore, although Aer clearly regulates CheA, it has not been determined whether Aer forms similar core complexes with CheA and CheW as do other MCPs (15, 16). Here, we report the purification of *E. coli* Aer and its incorporation into functional nanodiscs. We demonstrate that the full-length protein binds flavin as predicted, and that the cofactor undergoes chemical

* This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grants GM066775 (to B. R. C.) and P41GM103521 and R01EB003150 (to J. H. F.). The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed: Brian R. Crane, Physical Sciences Building, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853. Tel.: 607-254-8634; E-mail: bc69@cornell.edu.

² The abbreviations used are: MCP, methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein; ETC, electron transport chain; MSP, membrane scaffold protein; ASQ, anionic semiquinone; HQ, hydroquinone; DT, dithionite; cw-ESR, continuous wave electron spin resonance; PDS, pulsed-dipolar ESR spectroscopy; DEER, double electron-electron resonance; DQC, double quantum coherence; DDM, *n*-dodecyl- β -D-maltopyranoside.




FIGURE 1. **Purification of Aer.** *A*, schematic representation for the prevailing model of Aer-mediated energy taxis. *B*, the schematic of Aer dimer depicts the organization of the functional domains, and the SDS-PAGE gel shows the migration of detergent-purified Aer. Lane 1, molecular weight (*MW*) marker; lane 2, purified Aer. The inset displays the size exclusion profile of *E. coli* Aer in detergent in Superdex 200 10/300 column. Abs., absorbance; mAU, milliabsorbance units. *C*, UV-visible spectra of FAD in detergent-solubilized Aer after reduction with 10 mM sodium dithionite for the indicated times. AU, arbitrary units. *D*, Aer-bound FAD and the Aer subunit concentrations are highly correlated (black line: best fit). The FAD concentration (*FAD Conc.*) was calculated from UV-visible spectra of Aer with an extinction coefficient of $11.3 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ at 445 nm, and the Aer subunit concentration (*Subunit Conc.*) was measured with the Bradford assay. Error bars that are not evident are smaller than the data symbols. *E*, cw-ESR spectra of dithionite-reduced and aerobically reoxidized Aer-bound FAD.

reduction to the anionic semiquinone (ASQ). Aer assembles into higher-order complexes with CheA and CheW, where it regulates CheA activity based on the redox state of FAD. No evidence is found for a stable hydroquinone form of the protein.

Results

Detergent-solubilized Aer Undergoes Reversible Chemical Reduction—N-terminally His₆-tagged *E. coli* Aer was expressed in BL21(DE3) cells and purified in 0.1% *n*-dodecyl- β -D-maltopyranoside (DDM) using Co²⁺ affinity chromatography (Fig. 1B). The purified Aer shows signature UV-visible spectra of protein-bound FAD in the fully oxidized state with three absorption peaks at 416, 441, and 465 nm and a relatively broad shoulder centered at 365 nm (Fig. 1C). Aer binds approximately one FAD cofactor per subunit (Fig. 1D). Upon treatment with 10 mM dithionite in anaerobic conditions, the UV-visible spectrum of the Aer FAD converts to that of the single-electron reduced ASQ state as reflected by the concomitant increase at 372 and 500 nm, and disappearance of the 441 nm peak. A shoulder at 395 nm, which is a defining characteristic of the FAD ASQ state, could also be detected. The ASQ reverts back to the fully oxidized cofactor on exposure to air (Fig. 1C) (17). The continuous wave electron spin resonance (cw-ESR) spectrum confirms the radical nature of the dithionite-reduced

FAD, which becomes ESR-silent upon reoxidation (Fig. 1E). The magnetic dipolar coupling between the flavin radicals can be used to measure their distance of separation by pulsed-dipolar ESR spectroscopy (PDS). PDS of the FAD ASQ state reveals one distance peak centered at 41.3 Å, suggesting purely dimeric association of Aer in DDM (Figs. 1B and 2A). These data also define a structural constraint on the disposition of the PAS domain in Aer (Fig. 2B). Interestingly, prolonged anaerobic incubation of Aer with 16 mM dithionite (DT) does not yield the two-electron reduced HQ state. DDM is detrimental to CheA activity, and thus nanodisc incorporation was applied to stabilize Aer in the absence of detergent (18–20). Nanodisc incorporation is extremely effective at reconstituting transmembrane MCPs in active forms (14, 21, 22), and the same holds true for Aer.

Aer Reversibly Regulates CheA Activity Based on FAD Redox State—Aer was incorporated into nanodiscs assembled with *E. coli* polar lipids and the membrane scaffold protein (MSP), MSP1E3D1 (Fig. 3A, inset). As in detergent, Aer in nanodiscs can be reversibly reduced to the ASQ state upon dithionite treatment as revealed by UV-visible (Fig. 3A) and cw-ESR (Fig. 3B) spectroscopies. Nanodisc-incorporated Aer with fully oxidized FAD activates CheA to produce phosphorylated CheY,




FIGURE 2. **PAS domain separations in *Aer*.** *A*, PDS-derived distance distributions between ASQ states of FAD in *Aer* solubilized in DDM and incorporated into nanodiscs (*Aer*:MSP1E3D1 = 1.43). Both $P(r)$ s are plotted normalized to the area of major peaks. The *insets* show the fits to the time domain DEER data for both cases. DQC data for detergent are very similar to respective DEER data (not shown). *B*, model of *Aer* based on data in Ref. 28. The 42 Å flavin separation agrees well with a tight interaction between the PAS β -sheet and HAMP helix AS2. Dotted lines represent an \sim 40-residue unmodeled linker between PAS and the first transmembrane helix. An F1 linker helix between PAS and HAMP is also not shown.




FIGURE 3. ***Aer* activity in nanodiscs depends on redox state.** *A* and *B*, UV-visible spectra (*A*) and cw-ESR spectra (*B*) at different times during dithionite reduction and aerobic reoxidation of FAD in *Aer* incorporated in nanodiscs. *Abs.*, absorbance; *AU*, absorbance units. The *inset* of *A* displays the bands for *Aer* and MSP1E3D1 on an SDS-PAGE gel after nanodisc reconstitution and purification. *C*, reversible regulation of CheA phospho-transfer activity based on the FAD redox state. Phospho-transfer to CheY is shown relative to that for fully oxidized FAD (designated as *Aer*), which has been normalized to 100. The error bars display the S.E. with $n \geq 6$. The *inset* displays a representative autoradiograph, and the individual data points are shown as open black circles. *D*, the effect of additional free FAD on the chemical reduction of *Aer*. The UV-visible absorbance spectrum of *Aer* in nanodiscs with additional free FAD (0.1 mg/ml) is shown after subtracting the spectrum for free FAD alone. Likewise, the spectrum for dithionite-treated *Aer* in nanodiscs with additional FAD is shown after subtracting the spectrum for the reduced, free FAD. The light-colored spectra are for *Aer* without additional free FAD added, whereas the dark-colored spectra are for the *Aer* contribution in the presence of oxidized (blue) or fully reduced (red) free FAD.

whereas upon chemical reduction to the ASQ, CheA activity is inhibited (Fig. 3C). *Aer* reoxidation by ambient oxygen recovers a majority of the activity. The reason for incomplete recovery is unknown, although it is not uncommon for DT to adversely affect protein activity. DT has little effect on CheA activity alone. Thus, *Aer* with oxidized FAD activates CheA and would therefore cause cell tumbling. Reduction of *Aer* to the ASQ reversibly inhibits kinase activity and would cause smooth swimming.

In nanodiscs, we still could not generate a stable HQ state of *Aer* FAD by prolonged incubation with dithionite in anaerobic

conditions even in the presence of redox mediators, which facilitate outer sphere electron and proton transfer reactions to FAD. Another reductant, Cr-EDTA, also failed to reduce the cofactor to the HQ state. As free FAD is reduced to the HQ state by dithionite, chemical reduction of *Aer* was tested in the presence of additional FAD with the expectation that the exchange of free FAD with that bound to *Aer* would lead to overall reduction to the HQ state. However, UV-visible spectroscopy could only detect the semiquinone state for the *Aer*-bound FAD (Fig. 3D), thereby indicating that exchange of the *Aer* FAD with free FAD HQ is not favored.




FIGURE 4. Aer oligomerization affects activity. *A*, higher molar ratios of Aer to MSP1E3D1 increases Aer loading into nanodiscs. The analytical size exclusion chromatograms for two preparations (*Prep*) of Aer into nanodiscs are shown after the areas of the peaks have been normalized. Larger particles with a higher average number of Aer dimers per nanodisc result from increased Aer:MSP1E3D1 ratios. The *solid black line* marks the position of the void peak. *Abs.*, absorbance; *AU*, arbitrary units. *B*, CheA activities in the presence of various Aer preparations are compared. The activities are measured relative to the control sample (designated by 0), which contains only CheA, CheW, and CheY. The continuous line is drawn for the aid of inspection. Individual data points are shown as *open black circles*. The error bars are S.E. with $n \geq 4$.

Activity Depends on Oligomerization of Aer Dimers—Ternary signaling complexes of the major *E. coli* MCPs Tar (aspartate receptor) and Tsr (serine receptor) with CheA and CheW require a trimer of receptor dimers to activate CheA (14, 23–26). To investigate whether association of dimers is important for Aer activity, we varied the molar ratio of Aer to MSP1E3D1 for the reconstitutions to alter the average number of Aer dimers in each nanodisc, as was demonstrated previously for Tar (14). Notably, two MSP proteins are required for nanodisc formation, and thus the preparation with Aer to the MSP1E3D1 ratio of 0.16 will mainly produce single Aer dimers in the discs. Size exclusion chromatograms for two preparations (Aer:MSP1E3D1 = 0.16 and 0.77) reveal a shift of the nanodisc-incorporated Aer to a smaller elution volume in the 0.77 preparation, implying substantially larger particles with higher Aer:MSP subunit ratios (Fig. 4A). Increasing the molar ratio of the receptor in the preparations (14, 27) raises the probability of three dimers aligned on the same side of the nanodiscs. Interestingly, distance distribution measurement between FAD ASQs in the highest ratio preparation (1.43) gives two peaks centered at 41.5 and 52.4 Å, respectively (Fig. 2A). The peak at smaller distance arises from the intersubunit separation (which matches well to the distance in detergent). The narrow breadth of the shorter distance distribution indicates a relatively stable juxtaposition of the PAS domains, whereas the ~42 Å separation is too far for a PAS-PAS dimer. However, a close association between the two PAS and HAMP domains (Fig. 2B), in accordance with identified PAS-HAMP interface residues (28), agrees well with the PDS data. Although the PDS peak at longer separation is rather weak and cannot be confirmed with full certainty, the longer distance (absent in detergent) may arise from different dimers on the same side of the nanodiscs. Accordingly, the ability of CheA to catalyze phospho-transfer to CheY is modulated based on the preparation ratio for the Aer incorporation into nanodiscs (Fig. 4B). The kinase activity shows a bell-shaped dependence on the molar ratio, which is very similar to what has been observed for Tar (14), suggesting that Aer requires the similar trimeric assembly of other MCPs to achieve maximum kinase activation. However, due to the low cellular abundance of Aer (~2% of all MCPs (29, 30), it may associate as mixed trimers with the related high abundance chemoreceptors Tar and Tsr (31, 32).

Discussion

Here, we show that Aer modulates CheA activity based on FAD redox states, and like other chemoreceptors, likely functions as a trimer-of-dimers. The prevailing model (5, 15) conjectured a third HQ state to explain the cellular tumbling in the presence of substrate and absence of terminal electron acceptors. However, in our *in vitro* experimental conditions, even the low-potential reductant DT was unable to produce a fully reduced HQ state. Notably, the related flavin-binding protein *Azotobacter vinelandii* NifL reduces to the HQ upon DT treatment (33, 34). Further reduction of Aer may be limited by its preference to form the anionic instead of the neutral semiquinone. Reduction to the hydroquinone requires proton transfer, which may be kinetically disfavored under these conditions. If oxygen were to react directly with Aer, it would not be expected to produce the observed smooth swimming response because this behavior requires reduced FAD, which O₂ destabilizes. However, oxygen can favor FAD reduction by rather acting as an acceptor to the ETC.

Interface studies indicate that the HAMP AS2 helix and the PAS β-sheet closely associate in the kinase-off state (28). Such interactions are fully consistent with the well defined ~42 Å separation between the reduced flavin cofactors that we observe. Thus, tight sandwiching of the HAMP domain by the reduced PAS domains appears to send an “off” signal through Aer to CheA.

Experimental Procedures

E. coli Aer Expression and Purification—BL21(DE3) cells were transformed with pET28 vector containing N-terminally His₆-tagged *E. coli* Aer, plated on a kanamycin-added LB-agar plate, and incubated at 37 °C overnight. A single colony was added to an autoclaved and kanamycin-mixed LB medium for overnight growth under 200 rpm of shaking at 37 °C. 1 liter of the autoclaved and antibiotic-added Terrific Broth medium was inoculated with 20 ml of the overnight culture. The cells were then grown at 37 °C until A₆₀₀ reached ~0.3. The temperature was turned down to 16 °C, whereas cell growth continued until A₆₀₀ reached 0.6–0.8. At this point, the expression was induced by adding 0.5 mM isopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside. Cells were harvested after overnight expression at 16 °C and stored at –80 °C.

The cells were resuspended in KCl extraction buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8.0; 500 mM KCl; 10% glycerol), sonicated for 5–6 min, and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 30 min to obtain the membrane fractions in the low-spin pellet, which was again resuspended in KCl extraction buffer added with 1 mM PMSF and 1% DDM. The resuspended low-spin pellet was first sonicated at low power with the 4.5 power setting on the instrument to ensure fine resuspension and then at high power (7.5 setting) for 2 min before rocking at 4 °C for 5 h and centrifuging at 22,000 rpm for 1 h. The supernatant was incubated with Co(II) resin overnight after 0.1 mg/ml FAD was added to it. The next morning, the resin was washed once with wash buffer I (50 mM Tris, pH 8.0; 300 mM NaCl; 10% glycerol) containing 0.1% DDM and 0.1 mg/ml FAD. The resin was then washed several times with wash buffer II (25 mM Tris, pH 8.0; 150 mM NaCl; 10% glycerol) containing 0.1% DDM and 0.1 mg/ml FAD, and then with the buffer without FAD, and finally, *Aer* protein was eluted from the resin with elution buffer (wash buffer II; 250 mM imidazole, pH 8.0). UV-visible spectrum was acquired to ensure that *Aer* contained FAD. Normally, 1 liter of Terrific Broth culture typically gave ~12 mg of *Aer* (1 ml of 100 μ M dimer).

Aer Incorporation into Nanodiscs—Detergent-purified *Aer* was incorporated into lipidic nanodiscs following the protocols described in various articles (35–37). Briefly, chloroform was evaporated from *E. coli* polar lipid stock by blowing argon and then by keeping under vacuum overnight. The lipid was then dissolved in sodium cholate by sonication to form vesicles and stored at –80 °C. Membrane scaffold protein His₆-MSP1E3D1 was purified from BL21(DE3) as described elsewhere (36, 37). The lipid amount to be used in the nanodisc preparations with variable molar ratio of *Aer* to MSP1E3D1 was determined empirically. 25 mM sodium cholate, 4% glycerol, and 0.05 mg/ml FAD were maintained into the reaction mixture to ensure solubility and stability. The mixture was rocked at 4 °C for 60 min before pre-hydrated Bio-Beads (SM2) of two-thirds of the volume of the mixture were added and then incubated at 4 °C overnight. The mixture was then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 30 min, and the supernatant was further purified either through size exclusion chromatography or in a desalting column to separate the nanodiscs from free FAD and excess lipids. The size exclusion chromatography experiments were done with the Superdex 200 10/300 column from GE Healthcare.

Sodium Dithionite Reduction of Aer and Aerobic Reoxidation—10 mM DT was added to *Aer* from a stock of 100 mM prepared in 1 M Tris, pH 8.0, in an anaerobic glove box, and UV-visible spectra were recorded with a cuvette of 0.2-cm path length that was sealed with Parafilm. To follow aerobic reoxidation, the Parafilm seal was removed, the cuvette was stored in a 4 °C refrigerator, and at different times, the spectra were recorded.

cw-ESR Spectra Collection—*cw*-ESR spectra were collected at 4 °C on Bruker Elexsys E500 EPR instrument at 9.4 GHz with 100-kHz modulation frequency and 1.5- or 2-G modulation amplitude. DT-reduced spectra of *Aer* contained narrow features because of DT radicals, which were subtracted in MATLAB (MathWorks Inc.).

Pulsed-dipolar ESR—Distance distributions between ASQ FADs in *Aer* were calculated from PDS data as described else-

where (26, 38, 39). Briefly, ~60 μ M DT-treated *Aer* solutions containing 35% (v/v) glycerol were prepared in ESR tubes. The dipolar evolution was recorded for ~20 h (DDM sample) and for ~40 h (nanodisc sample) at 17.35 GHz on a home-built 2D Fourier transform-ESR instrument using four-pulse double electron-electron resonance (DEER) and double quantum coherence (DQC) ESR. Pump and detection π -pulses were 40 ns, and a 60-MHz frequency separation was used. Data averaging was significantly faster with DQC (4 h for DDM) and is further reduced by the use of a new wavelet-based data denoising method (40).³ The baseline of the time domain data was corrected with a log-linear polynomial function. The data were then converted to distance distributions between ASQ FAD centers with Tikhonov regularization (41) followed by maximum entropy refinement (42) where the regularization parameter λ of 0.05 was used to achieve acceptable fits of time-domain data (Fig. 2A).

CheY Phospho-transfer Assay—As described elsewhere (26) samples containing 2 μ M CheA subunit, 3 μ M CheW, 15 μ M *Aer* subunit, and 50 μ M CheY were produced and treated with no DT (for the fully oxidized state), or 16 mM DT (for the ASQ state). The 20- μ l assay mixtures contained ~10% glycerol (v/v) and 2 μ l of buffer composed of 62.5 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 625 mM KCl, 6.25 mM EDTA, and 125 mM MgCl₂. 16 mM DT was utilized to ensure that the *Aer* stayed reduced even after the addition of 5 μ l of radioactive ATP solution (which was prepared aerobically) during the assays. For the reoxidation experiment, *Aer* alone was first reduced with 10 mM DT and aerobically reoxidized, and then this reoxidized *Aer* was mixed with the other proteins.

Author Contributions—D. S. and B. R. C. designed the research, D. S., J. W., and P. P. B. performed the experiments, D. S., P. P. B., and B. R. C. analyzed the data, and D. S. and B. R. C. wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.

Acknowledgments—We thank Boris Dzikovski for valuable suggestions and discussions, Madhur Srivastava for help with data analysis, and Zachary Maschmann for help with sample preparation.

References

1. Taylor, B. L., Zhulin, I. B., and Johnson, M. S. (1999) Aerotaxis and other energy-sensing behavior in bacteria. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **53**, 103–128
2. Rebbapragada, A., Johnson, M. S., Harding, G. P., Zuccarelli, A. J., Fletcher, H. M., Zhulin, I. B., and Taylor, B. L. (1997) The *Aer* protein and the serine chemoreceptor Tsr independently sense intracellular energy levels and transduce oxygen, redox, and energy signals for *Escherichia coli* behavior. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **94**, 10541–10546
3. Bibikov, S. I., Biran, R., Rudd, K. E., and Parkinson, J. S. (1997) A signal transducer for aerotaxis in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* **179**, 4075–4079
4. Taylor, B. L., and Zhulin, I. B. (1999) PAS domains: internal sensors of oxygen, redox potential, and light. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **63**, 479–506
5. Taylor, B. L. (2007) *Aer* on the inside looking out: paradigm for a PAS-HAMP role in sensing oxygen, redox and energy. *Mol. Microbiol.* **65**, 1415–1424
6. Wadhams, G. H., and Armitage, J. P. (2004) Making sense of it all: bacterial chemotaxis. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol.* **5**, 1024–1037

³ M. Srivastava, E. R. Georgieva, and J. H. Freed, manuscript in preparation.

7. Hazelbauer, G. L., Falke, J. J., and Parkinson, J. S. (2008) Bacterial chemoreceptors: high-performance signaling in networked arrays. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **33**, 9–19
8. Parkinson, J. S. (2010) Signaling mechanisms of HAMP domains in chemoreceptors and sensor kinases. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **64**, 101–122
9. Bibikov, S. I., Barnes, L. A., Gitin, Y., and Parkinson, J. S. (2000) Domain organization and flavin adenine dinucleotide-binding determinants in the aerotaxis signal transducer Aer of *Escherichia coli*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **97**, 5830–5835
10. Bibikov, S. I., Miller, A. C., Gosink, K. K., and Parkinson, J. S. (2004) Methylation-independent aerotaxis mediated by the *Escherichia coli* Aer protein. *J. Bacteriol.* **186**, 3730–3737
11. Amin, D. N., Taylor, B. L., and Johnson, M. S. (2006) Topology and boundaries of the aerotaxis receptor Aer in the membrane of *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* **188**, 894–901
12. Amin, D. N., Taylor, B. L., and Johnson, M. S. (2007) Organization of the aerotaxis receptor Aer in the membrane of *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* **189**, 7206–7212
13. Parkinson, J. S., Hazelbauer, G. L., and Falke, J. J. (2015) Signaling and sensory adaptation in *Escherichia coli* chemoreceptors: 2015 update. *Trends Microbiol.* **23**, 257–266
14. Boldog, T., Grimme, S., Li, M., Sligar, S. G., and Hazelbauer, G. L. (2006) Nanodiscs separate chemoreceptor oligomeric states and reveal their signaling properties. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **103**, 11509–11514
15. Repik, A., Rebbapragada, A., Johnson, M. S., Haznedar, J. O., Zhulin, I. B., and Taylor, B. L. (2000) PAS domain residues involved in signal transduction by the Aer redox sensor of *Escherichia coli*. *Mol. Microbiol.* **36**, 806–816
16. Taylor, B. L., Rebbapragada, A., and Johnson, M. S. (2001) The FAD-PAS domain as a sensor for behavioral responses in *Escherichia coli*. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* **3**, 867–879
17. Liu, B., Liu, H., Zhong, D., and Lin, C. (2010) Searching for a photocycle of the cryptochrome photoreceptors. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **13**, 578–586
18. Bayburt, T. H., Grinkova, Y. V., and Sligar, S. G. (2002) Self-assembly of discoidal phospholipid bilayer nanoparticles with membrane scaffold proteins. *Nano Lett.* **2**, 853–856
19. Denisov, I. G., Grinkova, Y. V., Lazarides, A. A., and Sligar, S. G. (2004) Directed self-assembly of monodisperse phospholipid bilayer Nanodiscs with controlled size. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **126**, 3477–3487
20. Nath, A., Atkins, W. M., and Sligar, S. G. (2007) Applications of phospholipid bilayer nanodiscs in the study of membranes and membrane proteins. *Biochemistry* **46**, 2059–2069
21. Amin, D. N., and Hazelbauer, G. L. (2010) The chemoreceptor dimer is the unit of conformational coupling and transmembrane signaling. *J. Bacteriol.* **192**, 1193–1200
22. Amin, D. N., and Hazelbauer, G. L. (2012) Influence of membrane lipid composition on a transmembrane bacterial chemoreceptor. *J. Biol. Chem.* **287**, 41697–41705
23. Kim, K. K., Yokota, H., and Kim, S.-H. (1999) Four-helical-bundle structure of the cytoplasmic domain of a serine chemotaxis receptor. *Nature* **400**, 787–792
24. Studdert, C. A., and Parkinson, J. S. (2004) Crosslinking snapshots of bacterial chemoreceptor squads. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **101**, 2117–2122
25. Briegel, A., Li, X., Bilwes, A. M., Hughes, K. T., Jensen, G. J., and Crane, B. R. (2012) Bacterial chemoreceptor arrays are hexagonally packed trimers of receptor dimers networked by rings of kinase and coupling proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **109**, 3766–3771
26. Samanta, D., Borbat, P. P., Dzikovski, B., Freed, J. H., and Crane, B. R. (2015) Bacterial chemoreceptor dynamics correlate with activity state and are coupled over long distances. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **112**, 2455–2460
27. Bayburt, T. H., Grinkova, Y. V., and Sligar, S. G. (2006) Assembly of single bacteriorhodopsin trimers in bilayer nanodiscs. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **450**, 215–222
28. Garcia, D., Watts, K. J., Johnson, M. S., and Taylor, B. L. (2016) Delineating PAS-HAMP interaction surfaces and signalling-associated changes in the aerotaxis receptor Aer. *Mol. Microbiol.* **100**, 156–172
29. Li, M., and Hazelbauer, G. L. (2004) Cellular stoichiometry of the components of the chemotaxis signaling complex. *J. Bacteriol.* **186**, 3687–3694
30. Gosink, K. K., Burón-Barral, M. C., and Parkinson, J. S. (2006) Signaling interactions between the aerotaxis transducer Aer and heterologous chemoreceptors in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* **188**, 3487–3493
31. Feng, X., Baumgartner, J. W., and Hazelbauer, G. L. (1997) High- and low-abundance chemoreceptors in *Escherichia coli*: differential activities associated with closely related cytoplasmic domains. *J. Bacteriol.* **179**, 6714–6720
32. Barnakov, A. N., Barnakova, L. A., and Hazelbauer, G. L. (1998) Comparison *in vitro* of a high- and a low-abundance chemoreceptor of *Escherichia coli*: similar kinase activation but different methyl-accepting activities. *J. Bacteriol.* **180**, 6713–6718
33. Hill, S., Austin, S., Eydmann, T., Jones, T., and Dixon, R. (1996) *Azotobacter vinelandii* NIFL is a flavoprotein that modulates transcriptional activation of nitrogen-fixation genes via a redox-sensitive switch. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **93**, 2143–2148
34. Macheroux, P., Hill, S., Austin, S., Eydmann, T., Jones, T., Kim, S. O., Poole, R., and Dixon, R. (1998) Electron donation to the flavoprotein NifL, a redox-sensing transcriptional regulator. *Biochem. J.* **332**, 413–419
35. Boldog, T., Li, M., and Hazelbauer, G. L. (2007) Using Nanodiscs to create water-soluble transmembrane chemoreceptors inserted in lipid bilayers. *Methods Enzymol.* **423**, 317–335
36. Ritchie, T. K., Grinkova, Y. V., Bayburt, T. H., Denisov, I. G., Zolnerciks, J. K., Atkins, W. M., and Sligar, S. G. (2009) Chapter 11: Reconstitution of membrane proteins in phospholipid bilayer nanodiscs. *Methods Enzymol.* **464**, 211–231
37. Karasawa, A., Swier, L. J. Y. M., Stuart, M. C. A., Brouwers, J., Helms, B., and Poolman, B. (2013) Physicochemical factors controlling the activity and energy coupling of an ionic strength-gated ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter. *J. Biol. Chem.* **288**, 29862–29871
38. Airola, M. V., Sukomon, N., Samanta, D., Borbat, P. P., Freed, J. H., Watts, K. J., and Crane, B. R. (2013) HAMP domain conformers that propagate opposite signals in bacterial chemoreceptors. *PLoS Biol.* **11**, e1001479
39. Bhatnagar, J., Sircar, R., Borbat, P. P., Freed, J. H., and Crane, B. R. (2012) Self-association of the histidine kinase CheA as studied by pulsed dipolar ESR spectroscopy. *Biophys. J.* **102**, 2192–2201
40. Srivastava, M., Anderson, C. L., and Freed, J. H. (2016) A new wavelet denoising method for selecting decomposition levels and noise thresholds. *IEEE Access* **4**, 3862–3877
41. Chiang, Y.-W., Borbat, P. P., and Freed, J. H. (2005) The determination of pair distance distributions by pulsed ESR using Tikhonov regularization. *J. Magn. Reson.* **172**, 279–295
42. Chiang, Y.-W., Borbat, P. P., and Freed, J. H. (2005) Maximum entropy: a complement to Tikhonov regularization for determination of pair distance distributions by pulsed ESR. *J. Magn. Reson.* **177**, 184–196