

Journal section: Oral Medicine and Pathology
 Publication Types: Review

doi:10.4317/medoral.21405
<http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.4317/medoral.21405>

Inflammatory papillary hyperplasia: A systematic review

Patricia Gual-Vaqués ¹, Enric Jané-Salas ², Sonia Egido-Moreno ³, Raúl Ayuso-Montero ², Antoni Marí-Roig ⁴, José López-López ²

¹ DDS, Master's degree. School of Dentistry, University of Barcelona. University Campus of Bellvitge, Barcelona, Spain

² DDS, MD, PhD. Department of Odontostomatology. School of Dentistry, University of Barcelona. University Campus of Bellvitge, Barcelona, Spain. / Oral Health and Masticatory System Group (Bellvitge Biomedical Research Institute) IDIBELL, Barcelona, Spain

³ DDS, Professor of Master's degree. School of Dentistry, University of Barcelona. University Campus of Bellvitge, Barcelona, Spain

⁴ DDS, MD, PhD, Specialist in Maxillofacial Surgery. Head of Department of Maxillofacial Surgery, University Hospital of Bellvitge. Catalonia, Spain. / Oral Health and Masticatory System Group (Bellvitge Biomedical Research Institute) IDIBELL, Barcelona, Spain

Correspondence:

University Campus of Bellvitge
 Pabellón de Gobierno, 2nd floor, office 2:29
 Feixa Llarga, s/n 08907
 L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona, España
 18575jll@gmail.com

Gual-Vaqués P, Jané-Salas E, Egido-Moreno S, Ayuso-Montero R, Marí-Roig A, López-López J. Inflammatory papillary hyperplasia: A systematic review. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2017 Jan 1;22 (1):e36-42.
<http://www.medicinaoral.com/medoralfree01/v22i1/medoralv22i1p36.pdf>

Received: 03/05/2016
 Accepted: 18/11/2016

Article Number: 21405 <http://www.medicinaoral.com/>
 © Medicina Oral S. L. C.I.F. B 96689336 - pISSN 1698-4447 - eISSN: 1698-6946
 eMail: medicina@medicinaoral.com

Indexed in:

Science Citation Index Expanded
 Journal Citation Reports
 Index Medicus, MEDLINE, PubMed
 Scopus, Embase and Emcare
 Índice Médico Español

Abstract

Introduction: Inflammatory papillary hyperplasia (IPH) is a benign lesion of the palatal mucosa. It is usually found in denture-wearers but also has been reported in patients without a history of use of a maxillary prosthesis use.

Objectives: The aim of this study is to review the literature to assess the prevalence of denture stomatitis and inflammatory papillary hyperplasia and the etiological factors associated.

Material and Methods: A search was carried out in PubMed (January 2005 to October 2015) with the key words "inflammatory papillary hyperplasia", "denture stomatitis", "granular stomatitis" and "Newton's type III". The inclusion criteria were studies including at least a sample of 50 apparently healthy patients, articles published from 2005 to 2015 written in English. The exclusion criteria were reviews and non-human studies.

Results: Out of the 190 studies obtained initially from the search 16 articles were selected to be included in our systematic review. The prevalence of denture stomatitis was 29.56% and 4.44% for IPH. We found 5 cases of denture stomatitis among non-denture-wearer individuals. All IPH cases were associated with the use of prosthesis. Smoking and continued use of ill-fitting dentures turned out to be the most frequent risk factors for developing IPH.

Conclusions: IPH is a rare oral lesion and its pathogenesis still remains unclear. Its presentation among non-denture-wearers is extremely unusual.

Key words: Inflammatory papillary hyperplasia, denture stomatitis, prevalence, granular stomatitis, Newton's type III stomatitis.

Introduction

Inflammatory papillary hyperplasia (IPH) is a benign lesion of the oral mucosa which is characterized by the growth of one or more nodular lesions, measuring about 2mm or less (Fig. 1). The lesion almost exclusively involves the hard palate (1-4). Nevertheless, in rare instances, it also has been seen on the mandible (5). The lesion is mostly asymptomatic and the color of the mucosa may vary from pink to red (1-5).




Fig. 1. Inflammatory papillary hyperplasia. A case showing some injuries (marked by arrow) located under the framework.

In general, IPH is associated with the use of removable upper dentures although it also has been found in dentulous patients with no history of a dental prosthesis (1,5). The lesion can be classified in the third type of denture stomatitis (Newton's classification, 1962) when it is related to removable prosthesis (6).

In a study carried out by Ettinger (7) with a sample of 700 subjects who were upper denture wearers, prevalence of IPH was found to be around 14%.

Histopathologically, the lesion has been described as papillary projections covered by stratified squamous epithelium with or without chronic inflammation (2) (Fig. 2). Although historically some authors believed the lesions had a premalignant component (1,5), the current predominant belief based on diverse and extensive histologic samples is that the lesion has a predominantly inflammatory nature (2,4).

The pathogenesis is unclear. The following have been suggested as potential etiological factors: ill-fitting dentures, continuous day and night denture use, poor oral hygiene, sensitivity to denture liners, tobacco, age related changes and some systemic conditions (1-4). IPH is also associated with the colonization of *Candida* caused by poor oral hygiene (4,5). However, *Candida* is not indispensable for the development of denture stomatitis (8-10).

The treatment of IPH varies widely among clinicians.




Fig. 2. Epithelial hyperplasia with inflammation and fibrosis in Hematoxylin-Eosin at 200x magnification.

The type of treatment rendered is related to the severity of the condition and the clinical presentation (4). When the clinical presentation is aggressive and large papillary lesions are present, clinicians have recommended laser (11), electrosurgery (12) or cryotherapy (13). Small localized lesions have been typically treated with mouthrinses. Orenstein & Taylor (4) use chlorhexidine mouthrinse at 0.12% and Salonen *et al.* (14) prescribe antifungal mouthrinse or gels.

The objective of the present study was to evaluate the prevalence of denture stomatitis and inflammatory papillary hyperplasia in the past 10 years and the etiological factors associated with them.

Material and Methods

In this systematic review, a search was carried out in MEDLINE (PubMed) database (January 2005 to October 2015) with the key words "*inflammatory papillary hyperplasia*", "*denture stomatitis*", "*granular stomatitis*" and "*Newton's type III*". The terms were merged using the Boolean operator "OR". The inclusion criteria were studies including at least a sample of 50 apparently healthy patients, articles published from 2005 to 2015 written in English. The exclusion criteria were reviews and nonhuman studies. The articles were selected firstly by reading the titles and abstracts of the citations found in the bibliography to identify the most relevant studies and then, by means of reading the full-text article.

Results

Out of the 190 studies obtained initially from the search 171 articles were excluded after applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Thus, the complete text of 19 studies was analyzed. Two of these 19 articles were excluded due to the lack of direct relationship with the subject and another one was removed because the sample included clinical cases from the year 1993 (Fig. 3).




Fig. 3. Flow of articles through the systematic review.

The 16 articles were stratified according to their level of evidence, using Jadad scale (15). All of them were classified with a level 2 scientific evidence (Table 1).

The studies included analyzed, among other parameters, the prevalence of denture stomatitis in different population groups. Thus, with a total sample of 30.797 patients with a mean age ≥ 60 years, the prevalence of denture stomatitis for our review was found to be 29.56% (Table 2).

In the sample analyzed, all cases of papillary inflammatory hyperplasia (109 cases) occur in denture wearers, with a prevalence of 4.43%. Freitas *et al.* (16) reported 5 cases of denture stomatitis in non-denture-wearing patients. Nevertheless, the authors did not specify the type of denture stomatitis according to Newton’s classification (6). So, we can conclude that the prevalence of IPH in non- denture-wearing patients for our sample is 0% (Table 3).

Table 1. Quality assessment of the articles included in the review using Jadad scale (15).

Author	Randomization	Double-blinding	Withdrawals and dropouts	Appropriate randomization	Appropriate blinding	Final score
Dundar <i>et al.</i> , (25) 2006	1	0	0	1	0	2
Freitas <i>et al.</i> , (16) 2008	1	0	0	1	0	2
Mathew <i>et al.</i> , (26) 2008	1	0	0	1	0	2
Taiwo <i>et al.</i> , (27) 2008	1	0	0	1	0	2
Canger <i>et al.</i> , (19) 2009	1	0	0	1	0	2
Mello dos Santos <i>et al.</i> , (20) 2009	1	0	0	1	0	2
Kossioni, (17) 2009	1	0	0	1	0	2
Ferreira <i>et al.</i> , (29) 2010	1	0	0	1	0	2
Byakodi <i>et al.</i> , (28) 2013	1	0	0	1	0	2
Fondes da Silva <i>et al.</i> , (30) 2013		0	0	1	0	2
Evren <i>et al.</i> , (21) 2013	1	0	0	1	0	2
Mandali <i>et al.</i> , (22) 2013	1	0	0	1	0	2
Uludamar, (23) 2013	1	0	0	1	0	2
Atashrzam <i>et al.</i> , (24) 2013	1	0	0	1	0	2
Martori <i>et al.</i> , (35) 2014	1	0	0	1	0	2

Table 2. Summary of the 16 articles reviewed.

Authors	Sample (N)	Gender		Mean age	Denture wearers		Denture stomatitis (number of cases and %)	Number of cases of IPH
		M	F		YES	NO		
Dundar <i>et al</i> , (25) 2006	700	430	270	≥60	310	390	40 5,7%	Do not specify
Freitas <i>et al</i> , (16) 2008	344	-	-	≥60	146	198	91 60,7%	Do not specify
Mathew <i>et al</i> , (26) 2008	1190	747	443	41	45	1145	10 0.84%	Do not specify
Taiwo <i>et al</i> , (27) 2008	690	403	287	69.7	-	-	6 3.9%	Do not specify
Mujica <i>et al</i> , (18) 2008	340	128	212	82	227,8	112,2	54 18%	4 1%
Canger <i>et al</i> , (19) 2009	170	39	131	57.69	170	0	43 27.4%	43 27.4%
Mello dos Santos <i>et al</i> , (20) 2009	872	386	486	≥60	631	241	310 35.55%	20 2.29%
Kossioni, (17) 2009	106	38	68	67.7	106	0	42 39.6%	7 6.59%
Ferreira <i>et al</i> , (29) 2010	335	26.9	73.1	≥60	51.3	48.7	51 15.2%	2 0.6%
Byakodi <i>et al</i> , (28) 2013	24422	-	-	-	-	-	23 3.69%	Do not specify
Fondes da Silva, <i>et al</i> , (30) 2013	102	18	84	49	102	0	73 71.4%	2 1.8%
Evren <i>et al</i> , (21) 2013	269	119	150	76.2	226	43	59 44%	0 0%
Mandali <i>et al</i> , (22) 2013	153	76	77	61.8	153	0	54 35.3%	Do not specify
Uludamar, (23) 2013	346	146	200	75.2	267	79	79 61.7%	Do not specify
Atashrzam <i>et al</i> , (24) 2013	674	-	-	≥65	201	473	74 36%	31 15.4%
Martori <i>et al</i> , (35) 2014	84	21	63	83.7	84	0	12 14%	0 0%
Total	30797	2551	2471	≥60	2668,8	2681,2	1021 29,56%	109 4,43%

Table 3. Prevalence of IPH.

	Sample	Denture stomatitis	IPH
Non-denture-wearing patients	2681.2	5	0
Denture-wearing patients	2668.8	1016	109
Total	5350	1021 (28,56%)	109 (4,43%)

Discussion

The prevalence of denture stomatitis and the associated risk factors differ in various studies, mainly because of differences in research methodology (age of the study group, dental status of the study group, dental school patients or community population, institutionalized or non-institutionalized patients, different method of assessment of various factors and subjectivity of classification) (17).

In general, the prevalence rate of denture stomatitis is reported within the range of 20-67% (18), in accordance with the present review with a prevalence of 29.56%. The majority of the studies analyzed (16-24) report different rates of prevalence, all within this range. On the other hand (25-28), reported rates of prevalence of denture stomatitis lower than 6%. Mathew *et al.* (26), with a low prevalence of 0.84%, attributed the result to the low number of denture wearing subjects in the study group (45 out of 1190). According to Taiwo *et al.* (27), this observation is consistent with the finding of minimal tooth loss and edentulism in the study group.

Ferreira *et al.* (29) and Da Silva *et al.* (30) found a strong correlation between denture stomatitis and poor hygiene in the use of prostheses. This is in compliance with previous publications (31-34). Other factors, such as the continuous wearing of the dentures (16,17,19,20,25,26,28), and the integrity and adaptation of the prosthesis (16,18,19,28,35); have also been considered statistically significant factors.

Dundar *et al.* (25) found a correlation between diabetes mellitus and denture stomatitis. On the other hand, Kossioni (17) observed that denture stomatitis was more prevalent among smokers, even though the correlation was statistically insignificant.

The study of the prevalence of inflammatory papillary hyperplasia or type III denture stomatitis is complex because to the majority of publications fail to distinguish between different types of denture stomatitis, in accordance with Newton's classification (6). In fact, in the literature reviewed we have not been able to find any article studying exclusively the IPH prevalence. The reality is that IPH was of great interest in the 60's and 70's when it was believed that the lesion had a premalignant component (1,5). This led to research and several studies were carried out in order to determine the cause and prevalence of the lesion [Ettinger, 1975 (7); Lambson 1967 (36); Waite, 1961 (37)].

Out of the 16 articles reviewed only 9 mention inflammatory papillary hyperplasia. In the year 1975, Ettinger (7) assessed the prevalence of IPH in a sample of 700 subjects, obtaining a considerable prevalence of 13.9%. This result is in contrast with the occurrence rate found in our review (4.43%).

With regards to etiological factors, most of the literature suggests that there are several factors associated with

IPH. Atashrazm *et al.* (24) reported 31 cases of IPH in a group of 201 complete denture wearers. The authors mention the presence of vacuum suction in all maxillary dentures and suggest a possible relationship with IPH. With a prevalence of 2.29%, Dos Santos *et al.* (20) observed that patients with IPH were significantly most likely to be 70 years old or older, smokers and live in rural areas. In addition, the mean denture plaque score of those with IPH was higher. Al-Dwairi (38) also established a close relationship between smoking and IPH, suggesting that aggressive forms of granular stomatitis are associated with a daily consumption of 25 cigarettes. In compliance with previous studies (2,5), Canger *et al.* (19) concluded that the most significant risk factor for IPH is wearing ill-fitting dentures for more than 10 years. In addition, and in accordance to Coelho *et al.* (39), gender was also found to be another significant factor. The high prevalence of IPH within the females can be attributed to the fact that females live longer than men, more women wear dentures (and for longer periods) than men and also due to postmenopausal changes that make the oral mucosa more susceptible to hyperplastic changes.

In compliance with Fisher *et al.* (2), Kossioni (17) noted that the frequency of denture cleaning was significantly related to IPH. Other factors such as age, gender and tobacco were not found to be significant for any type of denture stomatitis.

In the literature reviewed, we have found only 2 cases of IPH in non-denture-wearing patients. The first case, published in 1976, refers to a dentulous patient who had never worn dental prosthesis. On the oral examination, multiple papules could be seen on the palatal mucosa. The authors concluded that there was no apparent reason for occurrence of IPH in this individual (5). The second case report presented a 10 year old female who had a single nodule on the hard palate. The oral examination revealed poor oral hygiene conditions and mouth breathing. The child had no history of appliance therapy with either a metal or acrylic base. An excisional biopsy of the lesion was done and the provisional diagnosis of IPH was thus confirmed. In this case, the authors attributed the IPH to the poor oral hygiene and the fact the patient was a mouth breather (4).

Conclusions

In compliance with the literature reviewed, the prevalence of denture stomatitis varies from 20-67%. Poor oral hygiene and the continuous use of dentures were found to be the most significant risk factors for developing denture stomatitis.

IPH is a rare benign lesion and its pathogenesis remains unclear. The predisposing factors most widely described are continuous day and night ill-fitting denture use, poor oral condition and smoking.

The presence of IPH among non-denture-wearing patients is extremely unusual although is more likely to occur in patients with poor oral hygiene, smokers and/or mouth breathers.

In short, we would like to emphasize that a maxillary denture may not be the exclusive etiology for the appearance of IPH.

References

1. Thwaites MS, Jeter TE, Ajagbe O. Inflammatory papillary hyperplasia: review of literature and case report involving a 10-year-old child. *Quintessence Int.* 1990;21:133-8.
2. Fisher AK, Rashid PJ. Inflammatory papillary hyperplasia of the palatal mucosa. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1952;5:191-8.
3. Canger EM, Celenk P, Kayipmaz S. Denture-related hyperplasia: a clinical study of a Turkish population group. *Braz Dent J.* 2009;20:243-8.
4. Orenstein NP, Taylor T. A non surgical approach to treating aggressive inflammatory papillary hyperplasia: clinical report. *J Prosthet Dent.* 2014;111:264.
5. Tucker KM, Heget HS. The incidence of inflammatory papillary hyperplasia. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 1976;93:610-3.
6. Emami E, Taraf H, de Grandmont P, Gauthier G, de Koninck L, Lamarche C, et al. The association of denture stomatitis and partial removable dental prostheses: a systematic review. *Int J Prosthodont.* 2012;25:113-9.
7. Ettinger RL. The etiology of inflammatory papillary hyperplasia. *J Prosthet Dent.* 1975;34:254-61.
8. Altarawneh S, Bencharit S, Mendoza L, Curran A, Barrow D, Barros S, et al. Clinical and Histological Findings of Denture Stomatitis as Related to Intraoral Colonization Patterns of *C. albicans*, Salivary Flow, and Dry Mouth. *Prosthodont.* 2013;22:13-22.
9. Pouloupoulos A, Belazi M, Epivatianos A, Velegraki A, Antoniadis D. The role of *Candida* in inflammatory papillary hyperplasia of the palate. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2007;34:685-92.
10. Lara VS. Inflammatory papillary hyperplasia of the palate: quantitative analysis of *Candida albicans* and its negative correlation with microscopic and demographic aspects. *Int J Prosthodont.* 2013;24:235-7.
11. Infante-Cossio P, Martinez-de-Fuentes R, Torres-Carranza E, Gutierrez-Perez JL. Inflammatory papillary hyperplasia of the palate: treatment with carbon dioxide laser, followed by restoration with an implant-supported prosthesis. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2007;45:658-60.
12. Rathofer SA, Gardner FM, Vermilyea SG. A comparison of healing and pain following excision of inflammatory papillary hyperplasia with electrosurgery and blade-loop knives in human patients. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1985;59:130-5.
13. Amaral WJ, Frost JR, Howard WR, Cheatham JL. Cryosurgery in treatment of inflammatory papillary hyperplasia. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1968;25:648-54.
14. Salonen MA, Raustia AM, Oikarinen KS. Effect of treatment of palatal inflammatory papillary hyperplasia with local and systemic antifungal agents accompanied by renewal of complete dentures. *Acta Odontol Scand.* 1996;54:87-91.
15. Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, Jenkinson C, Reynolds DJ, Gavaghan DJ, et al. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? *Control Clin Trials.* 1996;17:1-12.
16. Freitas JB, Gomez RS, De Abreu MHNG, Ferreira E. Relationship between the use of full dentures and mucosal alterations among elderly Brazilians. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2008;35:370-4.
17. Kossioni AE. The prevalence of denture stomatitis and its predisposing conditions in an older Greek population. *Gerodontology.* 2013;28:85-90.
18. Mujica V, Rivera H, Carrero M. Prevalence of oral soft tissue lesions in an elderly venezuelan population. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal.* 2008;13:E270-4.
19. Canger EM, Celenk P, Kayipmaz S. Denture-related hyperplasia: a clinical study of a Turkish population group. *Braz Dent J.* 2009;20:243-8.
20. Dos Santos CM, Balbinot J, Pereira DM, Neves F. Denture stomatitis and its risks indicators in south Brazilian older adults. *Gerodontology.* 2010;27:134-40.
21. Evren BA, Uludamar A, İşeri U, Ozkan YK. The association between socioeconomic status, oral hygiene practice, denture stomatitis and oral status in elderly people living different residential homes. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* 2013;53:252-7.
22. Mandali G, Sener ID, Turker SB, Ulgen H. Factors affecting the distribution and prevalence of oral mucosal lesions in complete denture wearers. *Gerodontology.* 2013;28:97-103.
23. Uludamar A, Evren BA, İşeri U, Özkan YK. Oral health status and treatment requirements of different residential homes in Istanbul: a comparative study. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* 2011;53:e67-74.
24. Atashrazm P, Sadri D. Prevalence of oral mucosal lesions in a group of Iranian dependent elderly complete denture wearers. *J Contemp Dent Pract.* 2013;14:174-8.
25. Dunder N, Ilhan Kal B. Oral mucosal conditions and risk factors among elderly in a Turkish school of dentistry. *Gerontology.* 2007;53:165-72.
26. Mathew AL, Pai KM, Sholapurkar AA, Vengal M. The prevalence of oral mucosal lesions in patients visiting a dental school in South India. *Indian J Dent Res.* 2008;19:99-103.
27. Taiwo JO, Kolude B, Akinmoladun V. Oral mucosal lesions and temporomandibular joint impairment of elderly people in the South East Local Government Area of Ibadan. *Gerodontology.* 2009;26:219-24.
28. Byakodi R, Shipurkar A, Byakodi S, Marathe K. Prevalence of oral soft tissue lesions in Sangli, India. *J Community Health.* 2013;36:756-9.
29. Ferreira RC, Magalhães CS, Moreira AN. Oral mucosal alterations among the institutionalized elderly in Brazil. *Braz Oral Res.* 2010;24:296-302.
30. da Silva HF, Martins-Filho PR, Piva MR. Denture-related oral mucosal lesions among farmers in a semi-arid Northeastern Region of Brazil. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal.* 2011;16:e740-4.
31. Budtz-Jorgensen E. [The role of *Candida albicans* in the development of stomatitis in denture wearers]. *Med Hyg (Geneve).* 1975;33:1434-5.
32. Schou L, Wight C, Cumming C. Oral hygiene habits, denture plaque, presence of yeasts and stomatitis in institutionalized elderly in Lothian, Scotland. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* 1987;15:85-9.
33. Arikan A, Kulak Y, Kadir T. Comparison of different treatment methods for localized and generalized simple denture stomatitis. *J Oral Rehabil.* 1995;22:365-9.
34. Kulak-Ozkan Y, Kazazoglu E, Arikan A. Oral hygiene habits, denture cleanliness, presence of yeasts and stomatitis in elderly people. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2002;29:300-4.
35. Martori E, Ayuso-Montero R, Martinez-Gomis J, Viñas M, Peiraire M. Risk factors for denture-related oral mucosal lesions in a geriatric population. *J Prosthet Dent.* 2014;111:273-9.
36. Lambson GO, Anderson RR. Palatal papillary hyperplasia. *J Prosthet Dent.* 1967;18:528-33.
37. Waite DE. Inflammatory papillary hyperplasia. *J Oral Surg.* 1961;19:210-4.
38. Al-Dwairi ZN. Prevalence and risk factors associated with denture-related stomatitis in healthy subjects attending a dental teaching hospital in North Jordan. *J Ir Dent Assoc.* 2008; 54:80-3.
39. Coelho CMP, Sousa TCS, Dare AMZ. Denture-related oral mucosal lesions in a Brazilian school of dentistry. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2004;31:135-9.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr. August Vidal Bel, Dr. Xavier Roselló Llabrés and Dr. Siddharth Mishra for their valuable contributions.

Conflict of Interest

The authors hereby declare that they have actively participated in this work and preparation of the manuscript and have read the contents of this manuscript. We affirm that we have no financial affiliation or involvement with any commercial organization with direct financial interest in the subject or materials discussed in this manuscript.