

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Biochim Biophys Acta.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 February 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Biochim Biophys Acta. 2017 February ; 1863(2): 569–584. doi:10.1016/j.bbadis.2016.12.005.

GPR4 Deficiency Alleviates Intestinal Inflammation in a Mouse Model of Acute Experimental Colitis

Edward J. Sanderlin^{1,*}, Nancy R. Leffler^{1,*}, Kvin Lertpiriyapong^{2,*}, Qi Cai³, Heng Hong³, Vasudevan Bakthavatchalu⁴, James G. Fox⁴, Joani Zary Oswald⁵, Calvin R. Justus¹, Elizabeth A. Krewson⁵, Dorcas O'Rourke², and Li V. Yang^{1,5,#}

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Brody School of Medicine, East Carolina University

²Department of Comparative Medicine, Brody School of Medicine, East Carolina University

³Department of Pathology, Brody School of Medicine, East Carolina University

⁴Division of Comparative Medicine, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

⁵Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology, Brody School of Medicine, East Carolina University

Abstract

GPR4 is a proton-sensing G protein-coupled receptor that can be activated by extracellular acidosis. It has recently been demonstrated that activation of GPR4 by acidosis increases the expression of numerous inflammatory and stress response genes in vascular endothelial cells (ECs) and also augments EC-leukocyte adhesion. Inhibition of GPR4 by siRNA or small molecule inhibitors reduces endothelial cell inflammation. As acidotic tissue microenvironments exist in many types of inflammatory disorders, including inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), we examined the role of GPR4 in intestinal inflammation using a dextran sulfate sodium (DSS)-induced acute colitis mouse model. We observed that GPR4 mRNA expression was increased in mouse and human IBD tissues when compared to control intestinal tissues. To determine the function of GPR4 in intestinal inflammation, wild-type and GPR4-deficient mice were treated with 3% DSS for 7 days to induce acute colitis. Our results showed that the severity of colitis was decreased in GPR4-deficient DSS-treated mice in comparison to wild-type DSS-treated mice. Clinical

Disclosure

[#]To whom correspondence should be addressed: Li V. Yang, Ph.D., Department of Internal Medicine, East Carolina University, Greenville, NC 27834, U.S.A. Phone: 252-744-3419; yangl@ecu.edu.

^{*}Co-first authors

Note: A part of the results described in this manuscript was presented as a poster at the 2015 Innovations Symposium of Kenneth Rainin Foundation "Wound healing, Repair and IBD", SanFrancisco, California, July 20–21, 2015. A recent version of this manuscript has been deposited into the preprint sever bioRxiv (doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/059014).

Author contributions

EJS, KL, and LVY designed the experiments; EJS, NRL performed the majority of the experiments and KL, JZO, CRJ, EAK, DO, LVY performed some experiments; QC, HH, VB, and JGF performed histopathological analyses; EJS, NRL, and LVY analyzed data; EJS and LVY wrote the manuscript; all authors reviewed and edited the manuscript.

Dr. Li Yang is the inventor of a U.S. patent (US 8207139 B2) "Function of GPR4 in vascular inflammatory response to acidosis and related methods".

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

parameters, macroscopic disease indicators, and histopathological features were less severe in the DSS-treated GPR4-deficient mice than the DSS-treated wild-type mice. Endothelial adhesion molecule expression, leukocyte infiltration, and isolated lymphoid follicle (ILF) formation were reduced in intestinal tissues of DSS-treated GPR4-null mice. Collectively, our results suggest GPR4 provides a pro-inflammatory role in the inflamed gut as the absence of GPR4 ameliorates intestinal inflammation in the acute experimental colitis mouse model.

Keywords

GPR4; inflammatory bowel disease (IBD); acidosis; endothelial cell; inflammation

1. Introduction

The pH-sensing G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) have emerged as a new class of receptors that are involved in sensing both local and systemic pH changes. Subsequently, these receptors have been implicated in various disease states associated with dysregulated pH homeostasis such as cancer, ischemia, metabolic acidosis, and inflammation [1–3]. Family members of the pH-sensing GPCRs include GPR4, TDAG8 (GPR65), and OGR1 (GPR68) [1–9]. These receptors are capable of sensing protons in the extracellular milieu by the protonation of several histidine residues on their extracellular domains [5, 8, 10]. GPR65 and GPR68 are predominately, though not exclusively, expressed on leukocytes and provide various roles in the exacerbation or amelioration of a diverse set of diseases associated with inflammation and acidosis [1, 11]. GPR4, reciprocally, is highly expressed in vascular endothelial cells (ECs) and blood vessel rich tissues such as the lung, kidney, heart, and liver [9, 12–14]. Recently, GPR4 has been shown to mediate EC inflammatory responses to acidosis and is central for leukocyte-endothelium interaction [15, 16].

In response to extracellular acidosis (increased extracellular proton concentration), GPR4 has been reported as a pro-inflammatory mediator in a variety of ECs [15, 16]. Both isocapnic and hypercapnic acidosis have been demonstrated to activate GPR4 and induce an inflammatory response in three types of primary endothelial cells, including human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs), human pulmonary artery endothelial cells (HPAECs), and human lung microvascular endothelial cells (HMVEC-Ls) [15, 16]. The GPR4 mediated inflammatory response to acidosis encompasses the induction of adhesion molecules such as E-selectin (SELE), vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM-1), and intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1) in ECs and subsequently increases the functional adhesion of leukocytes in vitro [15, 16]. In addition to adhesion molecules, GPR4 activation in ECs increases the expression of chemokines such as CCL20, CXCL2, and IL-8 (CXCL8) involved in the recruitment and activation of leukocytes [15, 16]. Furthermore, GPR4 activity stimulates the induction of COX-2, NF-rb pathway genes, and stress responsive genes in ECs under acidic conditions. These results collectively describe GPR4 as pro-inflammatory through increasing leukocyte-EC adhesion and subsequent extravasation into inflamed tissues [15, 16]. Therefore, GPR4 could potentially provide a role in the inflammatory response for host defense and the removal of pathogens or

apoptotic cells in various tissues by the recruitment of leukocytes. If inflammation is not properly resolved, however, GPR4 could exacerbate inflammatory disorders.

Recently, a family of imidazo pyridine derivatives has been identified as exhibiting antiinflammatory functions in ECs by reducing pro-inflammatory cytokine secretion, adhesion molecule expression, and leukocyte-EC adhesion through the inhibition of GPR4 [15, 17, 18]. In addition to chemical antagonists of GPR4, similar results were observed with use of siRNA inhibitors specifically targeting GPR4 expression [16]. Moreover, it has been shown that the expression of the GPR4 gene can be stimulated in ECs by inflammatory stresses such as cytokines (TNF- α) and reactive oxygen species (H₂O₂) [13], which commonly exist in inflammatory bowel disease.

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is characterized by chronic, aberrant mucosal inflammation of the gastrointestinal tract [19]. There are two distinct disease subsets in which IBD can take form, namely, Crohn's disease (CrD) and ulcerative colitis (UC). The exact etiology of IBD is unknown, but a complex interaction between immunologic, environmental, microbiome, and genetic constituents is believed to contribute to the disease onset and continued progression. Both CrD and UC have distinct, yet overlapping clinical and histopathological features that are a result of altered mucosal homeostasis. The production of cellular metabolic byproducts contributes to an acidic inflammatory mucosal loci in IBD [20]. Indeed, an acidic inflammatory microenvironment is a hallmark of chronically inflamed tissue as numerous studies have shown that local tissue pH below 7.0, and sometimes even below 6.0, is detected in inflammatory diseases and alters cellular functions [20–25]. In addition to tissue acidosis in the gut, reports indicate that the lumen of the colon is more acidic in patients with IBD than patients without IBD [26–29]. As a result, host vasculature, leukocyte infiltrates, and stromal cells often function within an acidic tissue microenvironment and can in turn modulate the inflammatory response [20].

Inflammation in IBD is a conglomerate of gut associated pathologies, but one particular pathological hallmark is a hyper-dysregulated vascular inflammatory response in the gut [30]. Host vasculature is critical in mediating the extent of inflammation and subsequent tissue damage resulting from chronic inflammation. The inflammatory response requires the active passage of leukocytes such as neutrophils, monocytes, and lymphocytes to the site of inflammation through host vasculature. EC adhesion molecules and chemokines facilitate leukocyte complementary binding for firm adhesion and subsequent extravasation from the blood vessel wall into tissue. The endothelium therefore functions as a gate; either barring or allowing the passage of inflammatory cells into inflamed tissue. Modulating the passage of leukocytes into tissue is an ideal target for IBD therapy. Currently, anti-adhesion biologics such as natalizumab and vedolizumab are used in the clinic for IBD patients [31, 32]. Even though anti-adhesion therapies have proven efficacious in the clinical remission of IBD, there have been some limitations reported. For example, cases of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy have been observed in patients treated with natalizumab [33, 34].

We hypothesize that endothelial GPR4 expression functions as a "gatekeeper" in regulating the extent of leukocyte infiltration into the inflamed colon. In this study, we observed that GPR4 mRNA expression was increased in the inflamed colon of human IBD samples as

well as in a DSS-induced experimental colitis mouse model. GPR4 deficiency reduced the overall inflammation parameters used to gauge the extent of disease severity in the acute colitis mouse model. Altogether, our study has identified GPR4 as a potential regulator of intestinal inflammation and suggests that molecular responses to the acidic microenvironment in inflamed intestinal tissues may be a novel mechanism involved in IBD pathogenesis. A similar mechanism may also exist in other inflammatory disorders.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Dextran sulfate sodium (DSS)-induced acute experimental colitis mouse model

All experiments were carried out in 9 week old male and female wild-type and GPR4deficient mice. GPR4 deficient mice and wild-type littermates were generated as previously described [9] and were backcrossed to C57BL/6 background for 11 generations. The mice were maintained specific pathogen-free of exogenous murine viruses, ectoparasites, endoparasites, and Helicobacter. Mice were housed in an Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care (AAALAC)-accredited facility under environmental conditions of a 12:12 light/dark cycle, temperature maintenance at $22 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C and relative humidity range of 30-70%. Mice were group housed in microisolator caging on corncob bedding and provided autoclaved tap water and pelleted diet (ProLab 2000, Purina Mills, St. Louis, MO) ad libitum. Colitis was induced by the addition of 3% (w/v) Dextran Sulfate Sodium Salt (DSS) [36,000-50,000 M.Wt, Lot# Q1408, MP Biomedical, Solon, OH] to autoclaved drinking water. Mice were treated with 3% DSS or water for seven consecutive days, with a replenishment of 3% DSS or water every two days. Mouse body weight and clinical phenotypic scores were assessed daily during the treatment period and tissue was collected at the end of the treatment period. Animal studies were performed according to the randomized block experimental designs that can increase the power and reproducibility [35]. All animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care & Use Committee of East Carolina University, Greenville, North Carolina and were in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals administered by the Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare, NIH.

2.2. Clinical scoring

Colitis severity was quantified using the clinical phenotype parameters of weight loss and fecal score which were determined daily for each mouse. The fecal score was determined using the parameters of stool consistency and fecal blood (0= normal, firm, dry; 1 = formed soft pellet, negative hemoccult test; 2 = formed soft pellet with positive hemoccult test; 3 = formed soft pellet with visual blood; 4 = liquid feces with visual blood; 5 = no feces, only bloody mucus or empty colon upon necropsy). Presence of fecal blood was determined by the use of the Hemoccult Single Slides screening test (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA).

2.3. Collection of tissue for histology and molecular analysis

After the seven day treatment of DSS, mice were euthanized and the entire gastrointestinal tract was removed. The colon length was measured from anus to ileocecal junction, then detached from the cecum. The colon was then washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) to remove fecal matter. Six, two-millimeter segments of the colon were resected

commencing from the anus and moving toward the cecum and promptly snap frozen in liquid nitrogen for storage at a –80°C freezer for RNA analysis. The remaining colon tissue was fixed in 10% buffered formalin for further histological analysis. The cecum was also cleaned of all fecal matter and fixed in 10% buffered formalin for histological studies. The mesenteric lymph nodes were isolated. The lymph nodes most proximal to the cecum were used for size measurement and histological analysis. Lymph node length (L) and width (W) were measured to calculate the volume of each lymph node using the formula (L×W²) $\pi/6$. Once the measurements were taken, the lymph nodes were fixed using 10% buffered formalin and processed for histological analysis.

2.4. Histopathological scoring

Two independent board certified pathologists, with expertise in animal or human pathology, analyzed colon and cecum 5µm sections stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) in a blind fashion. Pathologists analyzed and scored sections for histopathological features commonly observed in IBD tissues. Scoring criteria and methodology were conducted as previously reported with minor adaptations [36, 37]. Each pathologist used complementary, yet distinct scoring systems for histopathological analysis. Briefly, the criteria used by the veterinary pathologist (V.B. and J.F.) for histopathological changes included a scoring system from one to four, wherein a score of four was most severe. The veterinary pathologist assessed and scored the degree of inflammation, epithelial defects, crypt atrophy, epithelial hyperplasia, and dysplasia. Each cecum and colon section was assessed for each parameter and the sum of each parameter was presented as total histopathological score per mouse [36]. The scoring criteria used by the human pathologists (Q.C. and H.H.) included the individual assessment of each parameter including inflammation, area of leukocyte infiltration, crypt damage, and edema. The score for each parameter was multiplied by a factor corresponding to the degree of overall intestinal tissue involvement. The sum of all parameters for each mouse provided the total histopathological score [37].

2.5. Isolated lymphoid follicle quantification

Cecum and colon tissue was collected as described above and serial histological sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). Using a light microscope, cecum tissue sections were scanned using $4 \times$ and $10 \times$ objective lenses and isolated lymphoid follicles (ILFs) were counted. Colon sections were scanned from proximal to distal on longitudinal sections using $4 \times$ and $10 \times$ objective and ILFs were counted. The entire colon section was then measured in centimeters. ILF number is presented as ILFs per centimeter of colon sections length. ILFs in the cecum are presented as ILFs per cecum in tissue sections.

2.6. Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Immunohistochemistry was performed on serial sections of 5-µm paraffin-embedded cecum, lymph node, and colon tissue sections. All colon, cecum, and mesenteric lymph node sections were de-paraffinized and hydrated from 100% ethanol to water followed by antigen retrieval using Tris-EDTA pH 9.0 with 0.1% Tween 20. Slides were incubated in antigen retrieval buffer for 18 minutes at 99 °C followed by blocking of endogenous peroxidase activity. Tissue sections used to analyze GFP expression in GPR4 KO and GPR4 heterozygous mice were incubated with primary goat polyclonal against green florescent

protein (GFP) (Abcam, ab6673, 1:1000, Cambridge, MA). The IHC system (Anti-goat HRP-DAB Cell and Tissue Staining Kit, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) was used which employs a peroxidase-conjugated streptavidin as a colorogenic component. For IHC analysis of E-selectin (CD62E), VCAM-1, F4/80, and CD3, SuperPicture 3rd Gen IHC Detection system (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA) was employed. To block endogenous mouse IgG in blood serum, Mouse on Mouse blocking reagent (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) was used and followed by blockade with 10% normal serum. Primary antibodies against E-selectin/CD62E (Abcam, ab18981, 1:500, Cambridge, MA), VCAM-1 (Abcam, ab134047, 1:1000, Cambridge, MA), F4/80 (Invitrogen, 1:500, SP115, Waltham, MA), and CD3 (Invitrogen, 1:1000, Waltham, MA) were incubated overnight at 4°C and then recombinant secondary antibody incubation occurred followed by DAB (3,3'-diaminobenzidine) incubation. Slides were then dehydrated and mounted. Pictures were taken with a Zeiss Axio Imager A1 microscope.

2.7. Mucosal inflammatory Infiltrate Quantification

Colon tissue sections were randomly selected from WT-DSS (n= 4–5) and GPR4 KO DSS (n= 4–5) mice. The numbers of polymorphonuclear neutrophils, F4/80- positive macrophages, and CD3-positive T cells were counted from high-power (400× magnification) pictures taken from 5–10 random fields per distal colon section in a blind manner as previously described [38–40]. Neutrophils were quantified by their distinct polymorphonuclear morphology and cytoplasmic staining by H&E staining under guidance of board certified pathologists (H.H. and Q.C.). ImageJ software was used for immune cell numeration.

2.8. Real-time RT-PCR and Western blotting

Total RNA and protein were isolated using IBI Scientific DNA/RNA/Protein Extraction Kit (MidSci) and 500-1000ng of RNA were reverse transcribed using SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA). TaqMan pre-designed primers-probe sets specific for target gene (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) were used and are listed in supplementary information (Supplementary Table 1). Real-time PCR was performed in duplicate with a program of 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec and 60°C for 1 min, and the data was acquired and analyzed using the ABI 7300 or ABI 7900HT real-time PCR thermocycler machines. Crohn's and colitis cDNA arrays were purchased from Origene Technologies (catalog #CCRT102, Rockville, MD) and subjected to real-time PCR using specific primer-probes for human GPR4 and β -actin. The primer and probe used for human GPR4 has been previously described [16]. The cDNA array contained 47 samples including 7 normal, 14 Crohn's, and 26 ulcerative colitis intestinal samples from patients diagnosed with IBD. All de-identified sample information can be obtained through Origene Technologies (http://www.origene.com/qPCR/Tissue-(PCR-Arrays.aspx) and is listed as a supplemental table (Supplementary Table 2). Following protein extraction, protein concentration was determined using the DC Protein Assay (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The protein was separated by gel electrophoresis using 4–12% SDS-PAGE Bis-Tris Gels (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA). Protein was then transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane followed by probing with polyclonal goat IgG anti-mouse VCAM-1/CD106 (R&D Systems, #AF643, Minneapolis, MN). Signals were detected using

the Amersham ECL Western Blotting Detection Kit (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ), normalized to GAPDH and β -actin and quantified using the ImageJ software.

2.9. Statistical analysis

GraphPad Prism software was used to perform the statistical analyses. Differences between two groups (wild-type-DSS mice versus GPR4 knockout-DSS mice) were compared using the unpaired *t* test or Mann-Whitney test. Correlation of gene expression was determined by the linear regression analysis. P < 0.05 is considered as statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. GPR4 deficiency attenuates the severity of colitis in the DSS-induced acute experimental colitis mouse model

In order to determine the functional role of GPR4 in intestinal inflammation, we chemically induced intestinal inflammation in wild type (WT) and GPR4 KO mice. By day 7, WT mice treated with DSS (WT-DSS) lost nearly 16% of bodyweight on average (Fig. 1A). In comparison, GPR4 KO mice treated with DSS (GPR4 KO-DSS) had only a 7% reduction in bodyweight. The WT-DSS mice also had a fecal score more severe compared to GPR4 KO-DSS mice intermittently throughout the experiment (Fig. 1B). On day 7 of the experiment, mice were euthanized and the colon length was evaluated as an indicator of the degree of colonic inflammation/fibrosis induced by DSS. GPR4 KO-DSS mice had less colon shortening compared to WT-DSS mice (Fig. 1C, Supplementary Fig. S1).. Additionally, mesenteric lymph nodes were isolated and measured to calculate the volume as an assessment of the response to inflammatory stimulation. GPR4 KO-DSS mice had a significant reduction in mesenteric lymph node expansion compared to WT-DSS mice (Fig. 1D). Collectively, the clinical phenotype of gut inflammation was less severe in GPR4 KO-DSS mice compared to WT-DSS mice indicating GPR4 is pro-inflammatory. The DSSinduced colitis disease model causes very severe acute intestinal inflammation and tissue damage [41, 42]; subsequently, the partial recovery phenotype observed in the GPR4 KO-DSS mice is comparable to other studies showing an alleviation of the DSS-induced phenotype with mutant mice [43–45]. Additionally, we observed no sex dependent susceptibility to DSS in our experiments (Supplementary Fig. S2).

In conjunction with the clinical aspects of colonic inflammatory extent, severity was also assessed through histopathological analysis by both veterinary and human pathologists. Common features of IBD were evaluated and scored such as the degree of inflammation, area of leukocyte infiltration, edema, epithelium damage, hyperplasia, dysplasia, and crypt damage for both the cecum and colon. Both independent pathologists, using distinct methodologies, arrived at the same observation that GPR4 KO-DSS mice were less severe when compared to WT-DSS mice in both the colon and cecum (Fig. 2; Supplementary Fig. S3). Of particular interest, the degree of inflammation and area of leukocyte infiltration were reduced spanning from cecum and colon tissues in the GPR4 KO-DSS mice compared to WT-DSS mice (Fig. 2C–D). Interestingly, the degree of reduction in histopathological features of GPR4 KO-DSS mice were significantly greater in the cecum when compared to

colon (Fig. 2A–B). This observation could be due to the increased acidity in the cecum compared to the colon [28, 46, 47], thereby increasing GPR4 activity in the cecum.

To further address the reduction of leukocyte infiltration observed by pathologists in GPR4 KO-DSS mice compared to WT-DSS mice, we compared the number of neutrophils, macrophages, and T cells between WT and GPR4 KO colon tissues. We observed a significant increase in immune cell infiltrates in DSS-treated mice when compared to untreated mice. When comparing WT-DSS and GPR4 KO-DSS mice, there was a 20–30% reduction in the number of neutrophils (Fig. 3A–C), macrophages (Fig. 3D–F), and T cells (Fig. 3G–I) in the inflamed colon tissues. These results indicate GPR4 may regulate leukocyte infiltration and potentiate intestinal inflammation.

3.2. Formation of lymphoid follicles in the colon and cecum is reduced in DSS-treated GPR4-null mice

Recently there has been growing interest in isolated lymphoid follicles (ILFs) and local gut immunity. ILFs predominately develop in the colon and are similar in structure and function as Peyer's patches (PP) in the small intestine with the major difference between PP and ILFs being the inducible nature of ILFs in response to inflammatory stimuli [48, 49]. Crosstalk between stromal cells, lymphoid tissue inducer cells (LTi), and immune cells (dendritic cells, T cells, B cells) are critical for ILF development and effector functions in the gut [50]. Increased development of ILFs in the colon is associated with increased intestinal inflammation and tissue damage [51, 52]. In keeping with these reports, we observed a significant increase in ILF development in WT-DSS mouse colons when compared to WT control colons (4.0 ILFs/cm vs. 0.8 ILFs/cm of colon section) (Fig. 4A). Similar results were observed upon evaluation of the cecum sections for ILFs (Fig. 4B; Supplementary Fig. S4). The GPR4 KO-DSS mice, however, had no significant increase of ILF formation in both the colon and the cecum (Fig. 4; Supplementary Fig. S4). The GPR4 KO-DSS mice had on average 1.1 ILFs per centimeter of colon section. ILFs could be observed spanning the proximal and distal sections of the colon. ILF density increased in areas of greater inflammation. As such, fewer ILFs were observed in the proximal regions of the colon compared to the distal colon where increased inflammation was visible. The GPR4 KO-DSS mice had an ILF number very similar to the WT-control mice in both colon and cecum. These results suggest GPR4 is critical for ILF formation in response to gut inflammation.

3.3. GPR4 mRNA expression is increased in human and mouse IBD intestinal tissues compared to normal intestinal tissues

To further characterize the involvement of GPR4 in intestinal inflammation, we examined GPR4 mRNA expression in WT-DSS and WT-control mice by real-time PCR. Our results demonstrated that GPR4 mRNA expression was upregulated in the inflamed colonic tissue of WT-DSS mice by nearly 2.7 fold when compared to normal controls (Fig. 5A). No GPR4 mRNA expression was detected in colon tissues of GPR4 KO mice, confirming the deficiency of GPR4 in the KO mice (data not shown). Furthermore, we measured the GPR4 mRNA expression in IBD and normal human intestinal tissues by real-time PCR. We used a cDNA array containing 7 normal colon, 26 active colitis, and 14 Crohn's tissue samples. We observed a ~4.7 fold increase of GPR4 mRNA expression in human colitis and Crohn's

disease lesions compared to normal human intestinal tissues (Fig. 5B). These data collectively demonstrate that GPR4 expression is increased in inflamed intestinal lesions and could be involved in the pathogenesis of the disease.

3.4. GPR4 expression is primarily localized in vascular endothelial cells of intestinal tissues

In order to characterize the expression pattern of GPR4 in the mouse colon and cecum, we performed immunohistochemistry for green fluorescent protein (GFP) as a surrogate marker for GPR4 due to the lack of an antibody that can reliably detect endogenous GPR4 protein. GPR4-deficient mice were generated by replacing the GPR4 coding region with an internal ribosome entry site (IRES)-GFP cassette under the control of the GPR4 gene promoter as previously described [9]. Therefore, GFP expression in mouse tissues serves as a surrogate marker for endogenous GPR4 expression. GFP expression was predominately detected in the endothelial cells (ECs) of blood vessels, including arteries, veins, and microvessels of the cecum and colon in GPR4 KO untreated mice (Fig.6A-E, Supplementary Fig. S5A-B). GPR4 heterozygous untreated mice had the same GFP expression pattern in the intestinal tissues as GPR4 KO untreated mice (Supplementary Fig. S6). Additionally, GFP expression could also be observed in microvessels adjacent to ILFs and the specialized high endothelial venules (HEVs) in mesenteric lymph nodes (Fig. 6E-F). GFP expression could not, however, be significantly detected in lymphatic endothelial cells (Fig. 6A). In addition to GFP expression in ECs, GFP expression could be detected in histiocytes (macrophages) located in the sinus of the mesenteric lymph nodes (Fig. 6F). No GFP signal could be detected in WT untreated control intestinal tissues, with the exception of background signal on the epithelium, luminal content, and adipose tissue (Supplementary Fig. S5E-F and S7). The GFP expression pattern is in accordance with previously published results showing that GPR4 is expressed in several types of cultured vascular endothelial cells and isolated monocytes/macrophages [16, 53–55]. The role of GPR4 in macrophages is currently unknown and further studies will need to be conducted in the future to elucidate the functional and molecular role of GPR4 in macrophages.

Upon examination of GFP expression in the inflamed colon and cecal tissues of GPR4 KO-DSS mice, similar localization of GFP in the endothelial cells of arteries, veins, microvessels, and HEVs could be observed as the GPR4 KO control mice (Fig. 7A–E; Supplementary Fig. S5A–D). GFP expression could also be detected in mucosal macrophages in inflamed lesions of the GPR4 KO-DSS mice as well as the sinus regions of the mesenteric lymph nodes (Fig. 7B, F). In accordance with the WT untreated control tissues, no GFP signal could be detected in WT-DSS tissues with the exception of minor background staining of the luminal epithelium, luminal content, and adipose tissue (Supplementary Fig. S5G–H and S7).

Due to the localization of GPR4 in HEVs traversing lymphoid tissues such as mesenteric lymph nodes and in microvessels adjacent to ILFs in the mucosa, GPR4 could regulate lymphoid tissue expansion in a manner consistent with previous publications demonstrating GPR4 in ECs increases numerous cytokines, chemokines, and adhesion molecules regulating leukocytes interaction with ECs [15, 16]. GPR4 could be involved in increasing the passage

of leukocytes critical for inflammatory responses in secondary and tertiary lymphoid tissues as is observed in GPR4 KO-DSS mice having reduced mesenteric lymph node volume (Fig. 1D) and ILF development (Fig. 4, Supplementary Fig. S4).

3.5. GPR4 deficiency alters the expression of inflammatory genes in the colon of DSStreated mice

As previous GPR4 inhibitor and shRNA knockdown studies have shown that inhibition of GPR4 reduces the expression of adhesion molecules and numerous inflammatory genes in endothelial cell cultures [15, 16], we sought to examine a selection of inflammatory genes expressed in WT and GPR4 KO whole colon tissue. Given the diverse cell population in the inflamed colon, coupled with the focal nature of IBD, inflammatory molecules such as VCAM-1, E-selectin and ICAM-1 can be expressed by a variety of stromal and immune cells in addition to endothelial cells [56-58]. As GPR4 is expressed primarily in vascular endothelial cells, much of the detectable inflammatory molecule expression is derived from other cell types within the inflamed colon tissue and is not regulated by GPR4. Therefore, the effects of EC gene expression governed by GPR4 can be masked by gene analysis of whole tissue colon segments. In spite of this limitation, using real-time PCR analysis of whole colon tissue segments, we observed the mRNA expression of adhesion molecules Eselectin, ICAM-1, VCAM-1, and MAdCAM-1 were modestly reduced (~15-30%), although not statistically significant with this sample size, in the GPR4 KO-DSS mouse colon compared to the WT-DSS colon (Fig. 8A-D). In addition to adhesion molecule expression, mRNA levels of COX-2 were modestly reduced (~30%) in GPR4 KO-DSS mice whereas CXCL2 showed no difference between WT-DSS and GPR4 KO-DSS mice (Fig. 8E-F). In addition to gene expression analysis, we examined the protein expression of VCAM-1 in whole colon tissues. We observed a similar trend in the reduction of VCAM-1 protein expression as noted in VCAM-1 gene expression (Supplementary Fig. S9, Fig. 8B).

Furthermore, correlating GPR4 mRNA expression in WT-DSS colonic tissues to inflammatory gene expression, we were able to see a statistically significant positive correlation between increased GPR4 mRNA expression and inflammatory gene expression such as E-selectin and COX-2 (Fig. 8G, L). With the exception of CXCL2; VCAM-1, ICAM-1, and MAdCAM-1 showed a trend in correlation with GPR4 mRNA expression that has not yet reached statistical significance given the current sample size (Fig. 8K, H, I, J).

To further examine the effects of GPR4 within endothelial cells and overcome the limitations of whole tissue gene analysis, we performed immunohistochemistry to examine the expression of E-selectin and VCAM-1 in the vascular endothelium. Overall, the E-selectin and VCAM-1 protein expression was increased in the DSS-treated inflamed WT and GPR4 KO mouse intestinal tissues when compared to the non-treated control tissues. E-selectin was expressed in the vascular endothelium and some colon epithelial cells. One report confirms the expression of E-selectin in colon epithelial cells [57]. We observed ECs in GPR4 KO-DSS mouse colons and cecums had reduced E-selectin expression when compared to WT-DSS mice (Fig. 9A–D, 10A–D). Immunohistochemical analysis of VCAM-1 revealed its expression on a variety of cell types in addition to the ECs within the inflamed colon and cecum. Expression could be observed in the mucosa, submucosa, and

muscularis externa. Overall, total VCAM-1 expression was visibly reduced and less extensive in the GPR4 KO-DSS mice compared to WT-DSS mice, and an appreciable reduction in VCAM-1 signal could be discerned in the mucosal endothelial cells themselves within the colon and cecum tissues (Fig. 9E–H, 10E–H).

Taken together, GPR4 appears to increase the expression of E-selectin and VCAM-1 in the inflamed colon and cecum based on immunohistochemical analysis. These data suggest GPR4 could increase intestinal inflammation through the regulation of endothelial inflammation and leukocyte infiltration into inflamed gastrointestinal tissues.

4. Discussion

This study demonstrates that GPR4 deficiency alleviates intestinal inflammation in the DSSinduced acute experimental colitis mouse model, suggesting that GPR4 is a proinflammatory mediator in IBD. These results corroborate previous studies showing GPR4 contributes to the inflammatory response in endothelial cells by isocapnic and hypercapnic acidotic stress *in vitro* [15, 16]. GPR4 significantly increased endothelial cell and leukocyte interaction through the up-regulation of cytokines, chemokines, and adhesion molecule gene expression [15–17]. Our *in vivo* data described in this report provide novel insights into the vascular inflammatory response in intestinal inflammation. In keeping with previous results describing the role of GPR4 in various endothelial cell types [15–17], our results suggest that GPR4 increases the expression of cytokines, chemokines, and adhesion molecules in intestinal endothelial cells and potentiates intestinal inflammation by increasing leukocyte recruitment to the gut and extravasation from blood to intestinal mucosa in response to acidic tissue microenvironments.

Acid sensing is critical for cells in the maintenance of proper cellular functions. When improper pH homeostasis occurs, various pathological conditions can arise. Extracellular tissue pH is tightly regulated around 7.4, while intracellular pH is slightly more acidic (pH 7.2). If cells are unable to maintain this narrow pH range, cell death will occur [59, 60]. Cells must be able to sense and modulate their processes in response to an altered extracellular pH gradient. pH sensing can occur through acid sensing ion channels (ASICs), transient receptor potential (TRP) channels, and the GPR4 family of proton-sensing GPCRs [5, 61]. Recently, GPR4 has been implicated in regulating systemic pH through the renal system [62]. GPR4 is expressed in the kidney and involved in the pH sensing function of kidney collecting duct cells and regulating renal acid secretion in response to systemic acidotic challenges. In addition to the renal system, GPR4 expression in retrotrapezoid nucleus (RTN) neurons mediate the central respiratory chemoreflexes to altered systemic CO₂/pH homeostasis [63]. This study showed GPR4 is required for RTN neurons to respond to elevated brain pCO2. GPR4 is also involved in local pH responses where tissue becomes acidic due to pathological conditions such as ischemia, hypoxia, and inflammation [1-3]. Indeed, tissue acidosis is a hallmark of inflammation.

Numerous studies have demonstrated that acute and chronically inflamed tissues are characterized by acidosis and can modulate the function of both immune cells and stromal cells [20, 21]. The reduced tissue pH is owing to multiple factors in the context of

inflammation. Increased leukocyte infiltrates quickly deplete available O₂ and cells in the hypoxic tissue switch from aerobic to anaerobic glycolysis generating lactic acid. Neutrophils are typically the first responders to an inflammatory stimuli which is often due to bacterial infiltration. These bacteria can acidify the inflammatory microenvironment owing to accumulation of short chain fatty acids as microbial metabolic by-products [64, 65]. Neutrophils and macrophages can attempt to eliminate these harmful bacteria through respiratory bursts, which can further acidify the microenvironment [66, 67]. Interestingly, reports find that the colonic lumen of patients with active UC is more acidic than the normal colon [26, 27]. There are some varying reports regarding intraluminal colonic pH [68], however, the consensus seems to hold the lumen of active UC patients are more acidic than control patients [28, 46].

Using the GFP knock-in as a surrogate marker for GPR4 expression in GPR4 KO intestinal tissues, we were able to observe GFP expression on ECs of blood vessels in the muscularis externa, mucosa, and transverse folds (Fig. 6, 7, and Supplementary Fig. S5). Interestingly, however, no appreciable expression of GFP was visible in lymphatic ECs. Increased density of GFP-positive blood vessels could clearly be observed in the context of inflamed intestinal lesions of GPR4 KO-DSS mice where tissue acidosis is co-existent with inflammation. It is possible that the increased GPR4 expression could be correlated with increased blood vessel density in the inflamed tissues. Increased angiogenesis is common in the mucosa of IBD patients and these blood vessels grow into inflamed, acidic tissues. With previous reports showing GPR4 expression is increased by TNF-a and H₂O₂, of which is commonly expressed in the milieu of the inflamed gut mucosa [13], GPR4 expression could be upregulated in vascular endothelial cells and potentiate inflammation in response to the acidic microenvironment. Consistently, the increased mRNA expression of GPR4 by nearly 2.7 fold was observed in WT-DSS mice and 4.7 fold in human intestinal IBD lesions (Fig. 5). Due to the lack of a reliable GPR4 antibody, we cannot directly examine the expression of GPR4 protein. Using IHC methods for detecting the knock-in GFP expression can serve as a surrogate marker to localize GPR4 expression in intestinal tissues (Fig. 6, 7, and Supplementary Fig. S5), but does not necessarily correlate to the level of GPR4 protein and cannot be used to quantitatively measure GPR4 protein expression between non-inflamed and inflamed ECs. Nonetheless, increased GPR4 expression correlates with the observed IBD pathology and can contribute to the pathogenesis of the disease.

High endothelial venules (HEVs) are specialized ECs for lymphoid tissues. In addition to visualizing GFP expression in mucosal vasculature, we were also able to observe GFP expression in HEVs of mesenteric lymph nodes and microvessels of ILFs (Fig. 6, 7). GPR4 could play a substantial role in the expansion of secondary and tertiary lymphoid tissue. Based on macroscopic disease indicators, we observed the average volume of mesenteric lymph nodes from GPR4 KO-DSS mice were similar in size to WT control mice and ~40% reduced when compared to WT-DSS mice (Fig. 1D). Additionally, a significant reduction can be observed in isolated lymphoid follicle numbers between WT-DSS and GPR4 KO-DSS (Fig. 4). GPR4 could play a novel role in regulating the passage of leukocytes, such as lymphocytes and antigen presenting cells into lymph tissue and thereby regulate the adaptive immune response.

As immunologic, environmental, and genetic factors have been clearly shown to contribute to the development and progression of IBD, so too are these pH-sensing GPCRs implicated in the regulation of IBD within these categories. Ovarian cancer G protein-coupled receptor 1 (OGR1) has recently been implicated as a regulator of intestinal inflammation through the control of macrophage inflammatory responses [69]. Using IL- $10^{-/-}$ (knockout) mice for the development of chronic spontaneous intestinal inflammation, OGR1 deficiency alleviated mucosal inflammation when compared to control mice. This group demonstrates OGR1 expression, among other pH-sensors, is exclusively upregulated by TNF-α, PMA, and LPS in macrophages and potentiates intestinal inflammation. Interestingly, using IHC analysis, we observed GFP (a surrogate marker for GPR4) expression in mouse macrophages in both the lymph node sinus region and inflamed mucosal lesions of GPR4-KO mice (Fig. 6, 7). This observation is consistent with previous results showing that GPR4 is expressed in purified monocytes and macrophages [53–55]. However, the role of GPR4 in macrophages is unknown and further studies must be done to characterize the functional role of GPR4 in macrophages. This endeavor may be confounded by genetic redundancy as the whole family of proton sensing GPCRs are expressed in macrophages. Additionally, in keeping with these observations that GPR4 KO and OGR1 KO mice have a similar phenotype under intestinal inflammation, it is possible GPR4 and OGR1 may have redundant roles. Additional studies using OGR1 and GPR4 double knockouts will need to be done to reveal further biological functions of these receptors. Finally, T cell death associated gene 8 (TDAG8) has recently been presented as an IBD susceptibility candidate gene [70]. Polymorphisms in the TDAG8 (GPR65) gene have been linked with increased risk of developing IBD based on several genome-wide association study (GWAS) efforts. A recent study showed that TDAG8 (GPR65) deficient mice have increased susceptibility to bacteria-induced colitis [71]. Collectively, the GPR4 family of receptors are emerging as regulators of intestinal inflammation.

Here we propose a mechanism of how GPR4 specifically contributes to intestinal inflammation (Fig. 11). As mentioned earlier, we have previously reported that GPR4 can stimulate the expression of adhesion molecules, chemokines, and other inflammatory genes in a variety of endothelial cells in response to isocapnic and hypercapnic acidosis [15, 16]. Notably, some of these inflammatory molecules are E-selectin, VCAM-1, and ICAM-1. These adhesion molecules are involved in the tethering and firm adhesion of leukocytes to endothelial cells and are regulated by GPR4. To confirm a functional role for the GPR4-dependent expression of adhesion molecules, we performed adhesion assays under static and flow conditions. We observed an increase in leukocyte adhesion to endothelial cells in a GPR4 dependent manner [15, 16]. The leukocyte adhesion was reduced when treated with a GPR4 inhibitor in a dose dependent manner or by GPR4 shRNA knockdown in ECs.

Consistent with our previous publications on the role of GPR4 in cellular adhesion, we observed GPR4 increased pro-inflammatory molecule mRNA expression in WT mice (Fig. 8). Even though whole colon tissue is not ideal for the examination of EC specific gene expression, we were able to observe a trend of reduction in E-selectin, VCAM-1, ICAM-1, MAdCAM-1, and COX-2 mRNA in GPR4 KO-DSS mice compared to WT-DSS mice. Furthermore, inflammatory gene expression positively correlated with GPR4 mRNA gene expression in WT-DSS colon tissues (Fig. 8), which could suggest the inflammatory genes

are co-regulated by GPR4. Using immunohistochemistry, we were able to observe the reduction in E-selectin and VCAM-1 specifically in the blood vessels of GPR4 KO-DSS mice compared to WT-DSS mice (Fig. 9, 10). These observations are consistent with our previous reports showing GPR4 increases the expression of adhesion molecules, chemokines and other inflammatory genes [15, 16]. Additionally, histopathological analysis confirmed a reduction of total leukocyte infiltration into the mucosa of GPR4-KO-DSS mice compared to WT-DSS mice (Fig. 2), suggesting GPR4 deficiency can reduce leukocyte infiltration into inflamed tissue through the regulation of adhesion molecules in ECs in vivo. Reduced inflammatory cell infiltration can be associated with less intestinal epithelial defects and crypt damage observed in GPR4 KO-DSS mice (Fig. 2). These results were further corroborated through analyzing specific immune cell infiltrates such as neutrophils, macrophages, and T cells. All immune cell numbers were reduced in GPR4 KO-DSS colon tissues compared to WT-DSS (Fig. 3). We propose the reduced inflammation associated clinical severity, pro-inflammatory molecule expression, and isolated lymphoid follicle development in GPR4-KO-DSS mice is owing to the GPR4 dependent effects on proinflammatory molecule expression, leukocyte trafficking, and extravasation into inflamed mucosal tissue in the gut (Fig. 11). While focused on intestinal inflammation in this study, a similar GPR4 regulating mechanism can potentially be extrapolated to many other inflammatory disorders.

Inhibition of leukocyte-endothelial cell interactions are an attractive approach to treat inflammatory diseases as the inhibition of leukocyte adhesion would reduce the influx of inflammatory cells into inflamed tissue. Currently, FDA approved therapeutics targeting ECleukocyte interaction, such as vedolizumab and natalizumab, are used for IBD treatment [31, 32]. Even though these drugs have been shown to reduce aberrant inflammatory responses, the efficacy of targeting specific inflammatory mediators can be reduced over time through host immune compensatory mechanisms. Examples can be observed in the recently failed efforts to attenuate intestinal inflammation by supplementing interleukin-10 in IBD patients [72]. GPR4 is a strong potential candidate for targeted therapy, as it is upstream of the predominate EC-leukocyte targets currently used in IBD therapy and can up-regulate adhesion molecules and chemokines involved in both tethering and firm adhesion of leukocytes to the endothelium as well as leukocyte activation. Use of GPR4 inhibitors could prove as a valuable tool to inhibit inflammation by reducing leukocyte recruitment and adhesion to inflamed tissues. Recently, our group and others have demonstrated the effectiveness of the GPR4 inhibitors in the selective targeting of GPR4 and inhibition of GPR4 target gene expression [15, 17, 73]. Additionally, GPR4 antagonists have been used in vivo and no obvious toxicities have been reported [18, 73]. A recent study showed that GPR4 antagonists provided therapeutic benefits in a myocardial infarction mouse model [73]. Use of GPR4 antagonists could prove as a novel therapeutic in the treatment of IBD and other inflammatory diseases.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Connor Hart and Lixue Dong for their excellent technical assistance. Additionally, we would like to thank Dr. Owen N. Witte for providing the GPR4 knockout mouse strain and Dr. Mary J. Thomassen's lab for generously sharing equipment and reagents. This study was supported in part by National Institutes of Health (1R15DK109484-01, to L.V.Y. and K.L.), Brody Brothers Endowment Fund (# 213149, to L.V.Y. and K.L.), American Heart Association (# 11SDG5390021, to L.V.Y.), and Vidant Cancer Research and Education Fund (to L.V.Y.).

References

- Sanderlin EJ, Justus CR, Krewson EA, Yang LV. Emerging roles for the pH-sensing G proteincoupled receptors in response to acidotic stress. Cell Health Cytoskelet. 2015; 7:99–109.
- 2. Justus CR, Dong L, Yang LV. Acidic tumor microenvironment and pH-sensing G protein-coupled receptors. Front Physiol. 2013; 4:354. [PubMed: 24367336]
- 3. Okajima F. Regulation of inflammation by extracellular acidification and proton-sensing GPCRs. Cell Signal. 2013; 25:2263–2271. [PubMed: 23917207]
- 4. Li Z, Dong L, Dean E, Yang LV. Acidosis decreases c-Myc oncogene expression in human lymphoma cells: a role for the proton-sensing G protein-coupled receptor TDAG8. Int J Mol Sci. 2013; 14:20236–20255. [PubMed: 24152439]
- Ludwig MG, Vanek M, Guerini D, Gasser JA, Jones CE, Junker U, Hofstetter H, Wolf RM, Seuwen K. Proton-sensing G-protein-coupled receptors. Nature. 2003; 425:93–98. [PubMed: 12955148]
- Ishii S, Kihara Y, Shimizu T. Identification of T cell death-associated gene 8 (TDAG8) as a novel acid sensing G-protein-coupled receptor. J Biol Chem. 2005; 280:9083–9087. [PubMed: 15618224]
- 7. Wang JQ, Kon J, Mogi C, Tobo M, Damirin A, Sato K, Komachi M, Malchinkhuu E, Murata N, Kimura T, Kuwabara A, Wakamatsu K, Koizumi H, Uede T, Tsujimoto G, Kurose H, Sato T, Harada A, Misawa N, Tomura H, Okajima F. TDAG8 is a proton-sensing and psychosine-sensitive G-protein-coupled receptor. J Biol Chem. 2004; 279:45626–45633. [PubMed: 15326175]
- Radu CG, Nijagal A, McLaughlin J, Wang L, Witte ON. Differential proton sensitivity of related G protein-coupled receptors T cell death-associated gene 8 and G2A expressed in immune cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005; 102:1632–1637. [PubMed: 15665078]
- 9. Yang LV, Radu CG, Roy M, Lee S, McLaughlin J, Teitell MA, Iruela-Arispe ML, Witte ON. Vascular abnormalities in mice deficient for the G protein-coupled receptor GPR4 that functions as a pH sensor. Mol Cell Biol. 2007; 27:1334–1347. [PubMed: 17145776]
- Liu JP, Nakakura T, Tomura H, Tobo M, Mogi C, Wang JQ, He XD, Takano M, Damirin A, Komachi M, Sato K, Okajima F. Each one of certain histidine residues in G-protein-coupled receptor GPR4 is critical for extracellular proton-induced stimulation of multiple G-proteinsignaling pathways. Pharmacol Res. 2010; 61:499–505. [PubMed: 20211729]
- Radu CG, Cheng D, Nijagal A, Riedinger M, McLaughlin J, Yang LV, Johnson J, Witte ON. Normal immune development and glucocorticoid-induced thymocyte apoptosis in mice deficient for the T-cell death-associated gene 8 receptor. Mol Cell Biol. 2006; 26:668–677. [PubMed: 16382156]
- An S, Tsai C, Goetzl EJ. Cloning sequencing and tissue distribution of two related G proteincoupled receptor candidates expressed prominently in human lung tissue. FEBS Lett. 1995; 375:121–124. [PubMed: 7498459]
- Lum H, Qiao J, Walter RJ, Huang F, Subbaiah PV, Kim KS, Holian O. Inflammatory stress increases receptor for lysophosphatidylcholine in human microvascular endothelial cells. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. 2003; 285:H1786–1789. [PubMed: 12805023]
- Mahadevan MS, Baird S, Bailly JE, Shutler GG, Sabourin LA, Tsilfidis C, Neville CE, Narang M, Korneluk RG. Isolation of a novel G protein-coupled receptor (GPR4) localized to chromosome 19q13.3. Genomics. 1995; 30:84–88. [PubMed: 8595909]
- Dong L, Li Z, Leffler NR, Asch AS, Chi JT, Yang LV. Acidosis Activation of the Proton-Sensing GPR4 Receptor Stimulates Vascular Endothelial Cell Inflammatory Responses Revealed by Transcriptome Analysis. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e61991. [PubMed: 23613998]

- 16. Chen A, Dong L, Leffler NR, Asch AS, Witte ON, Yang LV. Activation of GPR4 by acidosis increases endothelial cell adhesion through the cAMP/Epac pathway. PLoS One. 2011; 6:e27586. [PubMed: 22110680]
- 17. Tobo A, Tobo M, Nakakura T, Ebara M, Tomura H, Mogi C, Im DS, Murata N, Kuwabara A, Ito S, Fukuda H, Arisawa M, Shuto S, Nakaya M, Kurose H, Sato K, Okajima F. Characterization of Imidazopyridine Compounds as Negative Allosteric Modulators of Proton-Sensing GPR4 in Extracellular Acidification-Induced Responses. PLoS One. 2015; 10:e0129334. [PubMed: 26070068]
- Taracido, IC.; Harrington, EM.; Hersperger, R.; Lattmann, R.; Miltz, W.; Weigand, K. Imidazo pyridine derivatives. US 2009/0291942 A1. 2009. p. 1-50.
- Xavier RJ, Podolsky DK. Unravelling the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. Nature. 2007; 448:427–434. [PubMed: 17653185]
- Lardner A. The effects of extracellular pH on immune function. J Leukoc Biol. 2001; 69:522–530. [PubMed: 11310837]
- 21. Kellum JA, Song M, Li J. Science review: extracellular acidosis and the immune response: clinical and physiologic implications. Crit Care. 2004; 8:331–336. [PubMed: 15469594]
- 22. Edlow DW, Sheldon WH. The pH of inflammatory exudates. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med. 1971; 137:1328–1332. [PubMed: 5138458]
- Ward TT, Steigbigel RT. Acidosis of synovial fluid correlates with synovial fluid leukocytosis. Am J Med. 1978; 64:933–936. [PubMed: 26220]
- Simmen HP, Blaser J. Analysis of pH, pO2 in abscesses, peritoneal fluid and drainage fluid in the presence or absence of bacterial infection during and after abdominal surgery. Am J Surg. 1993; 166:24–27. [PubMed: 8328625]
- Latson KM, Nieto JE, Beldomenico PM, Snyder JR. Evaluation of peritoneal fluid lactate as a marker of intestinal ischaemia in equine colic. Equine Vet J. 2005; 37:342–346. [PubMed: 16028624]
- 26. Sasaki Y, Hada R, Nakajima H, Fukuda S, Munakata A. Improved localizing method of radiopill in measurement of entire gastrointestinal pH profiles: colonic luminal pH in normal subjects and patients with Crohn's disease. Am J Gastroenterol. 1997; 92:114–118. [PubMed: 8995949]
- Fallingborg J, Christensen LA, Jacobsen BA, Rasmussen SN. Very low intraluminal colonic pH in patients with active ulcerative colitis. Dig Dis Sci. 1993; 38:1989–1993. [PubMed: 8223071]
- Nugent SG, Kumar D, Rampton DS, Evans DF. Intestinal luminal pH in inflammatory bowel disease: possible determinants and implications for therapy with aminosalicylates and other drugs. Gut. 2001; 48:571–577. [PubMed: 11247905]
- Vernia P, Caprilli R, Latella G, Barbetti F, Magliocca FM, Cittadini M. Fecal lactate and ulcerative colitis. Gastroenterology. 1988; 95:1564–1568. [PubMed: 3181680]
- 30. Cromer WE, Mathis JM, Granger DN, Chaitanya GV, Alexander JS. Role of the endothelium in inflammatory bowel diseases. World J Gastroenterol. 2011; 17:578–593. [PubMed: 21350707]
- Guagnozzi D, Caprilli R. Natalizumab in the treatment of Crohn's disease. Biologics. 2008; 2:275– 284. [PubMed: 19707360]
- McLean LP, Shea-Donohue T, Cross RK. Vedolizumab for the treatment of ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease. Immunotherapy. 2012; 4:883–898. [PubMed: 23046232]
- Govani SM, Waljee AK, Higgins PD. Anti-adhesion therapies and the rule of 3 for rare events. Am J Gastroenterol. 2013; 108:1831–1832. [PubMed: 24300858]
- Tavazzi E, Ferrante P, Khalili K. Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy: an unexpected complication of modern therapeutic monoclonal antibody therapies. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2011; 17:1776–1780. [PubMed: 22082208]
- 35. Festing MF. Randomized block experimental designs can increase the power and reproducibility of laboratory animal experiments. ILAR J. 2014; 55:472–476. [PubMed: 25541548]
- Rogers AB, Houghton J. Helicobacter-based mouse models of digestive system carcinogenesis. Methods Mol Biol. 2009; 511:267–295. [PubMed: 19347301]
- 37. Krause P, Zahner SP, Kim G, Shaikh RB, Steinberg MW, Kronenberg M. The tumor necrosis factor family member TNFSF14 (LIGHT) is required for resolution of intestinal inflammation in mice. Gastroenterology. 2014; 146:1752–1762 e1754. [PubMed: 24560868]

- 38. Lean QY, Eri RD, Randall-Demllo S, Sohal SS, Stewart N, Peterson GM, Gueven N, Patel RP. Orally Administered Enoxaparin Ameliorates Acute Colitis by Reducing Macrophage-Associated Inflammatory Responses. PLoS One. 2015; 10:e0134259. [PubMed: 26218284]
- Farooq SM, Stillie R, Svensson M, Svanborg C, Strieter RM, Stadnyk AW. Therapeutic effect of blocking CXCR2 on neutrophil recruitment and dextran sodium sulfate-induced colitis. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2009; 329:123–129. [PubMed: 19131582]
- Vowinkel T, Mori M, Krieglstein CF, Russell J, Saijo F, Bharwani S, Turnage RH, Davidson WS, Tso P, Granger DN, Kalogeris TJ. Apolipoprotein A-IV inhibits experimental colitis. J Clin Invest. 2004; 114:260–269. [PubMed: 15254593]
- 41. Kim JJ, Shajib MS, Manocha MM, Khan WI. Investigating intestinal inflammation in DSS-induced model of IBD. J Vis Exp. 2012
- 42. Laroui H, Ingersoll SA, Liu HC, Baker MT, Ayyadurai S, Charania MA, Laroui F, Yan Y, Sitaraman SV, Merlin D. Dextran sodium sulfate (DSS) induces colitis in mice by forming nanolipocomplexes with medium-chain-length fatty acids in the colon. PLoS One. 2012; 7:e32084. [PubMed: 22427817]
- 43. Shi C, Liang Y, Yang J, Xia Y, Chen H, Han H, Yang Y, Wu W, Gao R, Qin H. MicroRNA-21 knockout improve the survival rate in DSS induced fatal colitis through protecting against inflammation and tissue injury. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e66814. [PubMed: 23826144]
- Shon WJ, Lee YK, Shin JH, Choi EY, Shin DM. Severity of DSS-induced colitis is reduced in Ido1-deficient mice with down-regulation of TLR-MyD88-NF-kB transcriptional networks. Sci Rep. 2015; 5:17305. [PubMed: 26610689]
- 45. Ohkawara T, Takeda H, Nishihira J, Miyashita K, Nihiwaki M, Ishiguro Y, Takeda K, Akira S, Iwanaga T, Sugiyama T, Asaka M. Macrophage migration inhibitory factor contributes to the development of acute dextran sulphate sodium-induced colitis in Toll-like receptor 4 knockout mice. Clin Exp Immunol. 2005; 141:412–421. [PubMed: 16045730]
- 46. Fallingborg J. Intraluminal pH of the human gastrointestinal tract. Dan Med Bull. 1999; 46:183– 196. [PubMed: 10421978]
- 47. Fallingborg J, Christensen LA, Ingeman-Nielsen M, Jacobsen BA, Abildgaard K, Rasmussen HH, Rasmussen SN. Measurement of gastrointestinal pH and regional transit times in normal children. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 1990; 11:211–214. [PubMed: 2395061]
- Lorenz RG, Chaplin DD, McDonald KG, McDonough JS, Newberry RD. Isolated lymphoid follicle formation is inducible, dependent upon lymphotoxin-sufficient B lymphocytes, lymphotoxin beta receptor and TNF receptor I function. J Immunol. 2003; 170:5475–5482. [PubMed: 12759424]
- Lorenz RG, Newberry RD. Isolated lymphoid follicles can function as sites for induction of mucosal immune responses. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2004; 1029:44–57. [PubMed: 15681742]
- Tsuji M, Suzuki K, Kitamura H, Maruya M, Kinoshita K, Ivanov, Itoh K, Littman DR, Fagarasan S. Requirement for lymphoid tissue-inducer cells in isolated follicle formation and T cellindependent immunoglobulin A generation in the gut. Immunity. 2008; 29:261–271. [PubMed: 18656387]
- Yeung MM, Melgar S, Baranov V, Oberg A, Danielsson A, Hammarstrom S, Hammarstrom ML. Characterisation of mucosal lymphoid aggregates in ulcerative colitis: immune cell phenotype and TcR-gammadelta expression. Gut. 2000; 47:215–227. [PubMed: 10896913]
- 52. Shah N, Thakkar B, Shen E, Loh M, Chong PY, Gan WH, Tu TM, Shen L, Soong R, Salto-Tellez M. Lymphocytic follicles and aggregates are a determinant of mucosal damage and duration of diarrhea. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2013; 137:83–89. [PubMed: 23276179]
- Peter C, Waibel M, Radu CG, Yang LV, Witte ON, Schulze-Osthoff K, Wesselborg S, Lauber K. Migration to apoptotic "find-me" signals is mediated via the phagocyte receptor G2A. J Biol Chem. 2008; 283:5296–5305. [PubMed: 18089568]
- 54. Lauber K, Bohn E, Krober SM, Xiao YJ, Blumenthal SG, Lindemann RK, Marini P, Wiedig C, Zobywalski A, Baksh S, Xu Y, Autenrieth IB, Schulze-Osthoff K, Belka C, Stuhler G, Wesselborg S. Apoptotic cells induce migration of phagocytes via caspase-3-mediated release of a lipid attraction signal. Cell. 2003; 113:717–730. [PubMed: 12809603]

- 55. Mogi C, Tobo M, Tomura H, Murata N, He XD, Sato K, Kimura T, Ishizuka T, Sasaki T, Sato T, Kihara Y, Ishii S, Harada A, Okajima F. Involvement of proton-sensing TDAG8 in extracellular acidification-induced inhibition of proinflammatory cytokine production in peritoneal macrophages. J Immunol. 2009; 182:3243–3251. [PubMed: 19234222]
- Ulyanova T, Scott LM, Priestley GV, Jiang Y, Nakamoto B, Koni PA, Papayannopoulou T. VCAM-1 expression in adult hematopoietic and nonhematopoietic cells is controlled by tissueinductive signals and reflects their developmental origin. Blood. 2005; 106:86–94. [PubMed: 15769895]
- Vainer B, Nielsen OH, Horn T. Expression of E-selectin, sialyl Lewis X and macrophage inflammatory protein-1alpha by colonic epithelial cells in ulcerative colitis. Dig Dis Sci. 1998; 43:596–608. [PubMed: 9539657]
- Hubbard AK, Rothlein R. Intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) expression and cell signaling cascades. Free Radic Biol Med. 2000; 28:1379–1386. [PubMed: 10924857]
- Lagadic-Gossmann D, Huc L, Lecureur V. Alterations of intracellular pH homeostasis in apoptosis: origins and roles. Cell Death Differ. 2004; 11:953–961. [PubMed: 15195071]
- Siesjo BK, Katsura KI, Kristian T, Li PA, Siesjo P. Molecular mechanisms of acidosis-mediated damage. Acta Neurochir Suppl. 1996; 66:8–14. [PubMed: 8780790]
- 61. Holzer P. Acid-sensitive ion channels and receptors. Handb Exp Pharmacol. 2009:283–332. [PubMed: 19655111]
- Sun X, Yang LV, Tiegs BC, Arend LJ, McGraw DW, Penn RB, Petrovic S. Deletion of the pH sensor GPR4 decreases renal acid excretion. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2010; 21:1745–1755. [PubMed: 20798260]
- 63. Kumar NN, Velic A, Soliz J, Shi Y, Li K, Wang S, Weaver JL, Sen J, Abbott SB, Lazarenko RM, Ludwig MG, Perez-Reyes E, Mohebbi N, Bettoni C, Gassmann M, Suply T, Seuwen K, Guyenet PG, Wagner CA, Bayliss DA. PHYSIOLOGY. Regulation of breathing by CO(2) requires the proton-activated receptor GPR4 in retrotrapezoid nucleus neurons. Science. 2015; 348:1255–1260. [PubMed: 26068853]
- 64. Huda-Faujan N, Abdulamir AS, Fatimah AB, Anas OM, Shuhaimi M, Yazid AM, Loong YY. The impact of the level of the intestinal short chain Fatty acids in inflammatory bowel disease patients versus healthy subjects. Open Biochem J. 2010; 4:53–58. [PubMed: 20563285]
- Takahashi K, Terashima H, Kohno K, Ohkohchi N. A stand-alone synbiotic treatment for the prevention of D-lactic acidosis in short bowel syndrome. Int Surg. 2013; 98:110–113. [PubMed: 23701144]
- Borregaard N, Schwartz JH, Tauber AI. Proton secretion by stimulated neutrophils. Significance of hexose monophosphate shunt activity as source of electrons and protons for the respiratory burst. J Clin Invest. 1984; 74:455–459. [PubMed: 6430961]
- 67. van Zwieten R, Wever R, Hamers MN, Weening RS, Roos D. Extracellular proton release by stimulated neutrophils. J Clin Invest. 1981; 68:310–313. [PubMed: 6265500]
- Press AG, Hauptmann IA, Hauptmann L, Fuchs B, Fuchs M, Ewe K, Ramadori G. Gastrointestinal pH profiles in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 1998; 12:673– 678. [PubMed: 9701532]
- de Valliere C, Wang Y, Eloranta JJ, Vidal S, Clay I, Spalinger MR, Tcymbarevich I, Terhalle A, Ludwig MG, Suply T, Fried M, Kullak-Ublick GA, Frey-Wagner I, Scharl M, Seuwen K, Wagner CA, Rogler G. G Protein-coupled pH-sensing Receptor OGR1 Is a Regulator of Intestinal Inflammation. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2015; 21:1269–1281. [PubMed: 25856770]
- 70. Franke A, McGovern DP, Barrett JC, Wang K, Radford-Smith GL, Ahmad T, Lees CW, Balschun T, Lee J, Roberts R, Anderson CA, Bis JC, Bumpstead S, Ellinghaus D, Festen EM, Georges M, Green T, Haritunians T, Jostins L, Latiano A, Mathew CG, Montgomery GW, Prescott NJ, Raychaudhuri S, Rotter JI, Schumm P, Sharma Y, Simms LA, Taylor KD, Whiteman D, Wijmenga C, Baldassano RN, Barclay M, Bayless TM, Brand S, Buning C, Cohen A, Colombel JF, Cottone M, Stronati L, Denson T, De Vos M, D'Inca R, Dubinsky M, Edwards C, Florin T, Franchimont D, Gearry R, Glas J, Van Gossum A, Guthery SL, Halfvarson J, Verspaget HW, Hugot JP, Karban A, Laukens D, Lawrance I, Lemann M, Levine A, Libioulle C, Louis E, Mowat C, Newman W, Panes J, Phillips A, Proctor DD, Regueiro M, Russell R, Rutgeerts P, Sanderson J, Sans M, Seibold F, Steinhart AH, Stokkers PC, Torkvist L, Kullak-Ublick G, Wilson D, Walters T, Targan SR, Brant

SR, Rioux JD, D'Amato M, Weersma RK, Kugathasan S, Griffiths AM, Mansfield JC, Vermeire S, Duerr RH, Silverberg MS, Satsangi J, Schreiber S, Cho JH, Annese V, Hakonarson H, Daly MJ, Parkes M. Genome-wide meta-analysis increases to 71 the number of confirmed Crohn's disease susceptibility loci. Nat Genet. 2010; 42:1118–1125. [PubMed: 21102463]

- 71. Lassen KG, McKenzie CI, Mari M, Murano T, Begun J, Baxt LA, Goel G, Villablanca EJ, Kuo SY, Huang H, Macia L, Bhan AK, Batten M, Daly MJ, Reggiori F, Mackay CR, Xavier RJ. Genetic Coding Variant in GPR65 Alters Lysosomal pH and Links Lysosomal Dysfunction with Colitis Risk. Immunity. 2016
- Marlow GJ, van Gent D, Ferguson LR. Why interleukin-10 supplementation does not work in Crohn's disease patients. World J Gastroenterol. 2013; 19:3931–3941. [PubMed: 23840137]
- 73. Fukuda H, Ito S, Watari K, Mogi C, Arisawa M, Okajima F, Kurose H, Shuto S. Identification of a Potent and Selective GPR4 Antagonist as a Drug Lead for the Treatment of Myocardial Infarction. ACS Med Chem Lett. 2016; 7:493–497. [PubMed: 27190599]

Highlights

- GPR4 gene expression is upregulated in inflamed intestinal tissues.
 - Vascular endothelial cells are the major cell type in which GPR4 is expressed.
- GPR4 deficiency alleviates intestinal inflammation and reduces endothelial adhesion molecule expression in an acute experimental colitis mouse model.



Fig. 1.

Clinical phenotypes and macroscopic indicators. To assess the extent of DSS-induced colitic inflammation in mice, we measured several parameters to gauge the disease severity in mice. We observed GPR4 KO-DSS mice had reduced disease severity when compared to WT-DSS mice. Clinical parameters of disease severity, including (A) body weight loss, (B) colon shortening, (C) fecal score and (D) mesenteric lymph node volume, were assessed in WT-control (n=12), WT-DSS (n=13), GPR4 KO-control (n=12), and GPR4 KO-DSS (n=18) mice. Each dot represents the data from an individual mouse. Data are presented as mean \pm SEM and was analyzed for statistical significance using the unpaired *t*-test between WT-DSS mice and GPR4 KO-DSS mice or as indicated within graph. (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001).



Fig. 2.

Histopathological analysis of mouse colon and cecum. Histological features of colitis were examined to further assess the degree of disease activity in the mice by veterinary and human pathologists using complementary, yet distinct scoring systems. Overall, GPR4 KO DSS mice had reduced histopathological scores in cecum and colon when compared to WT DSS mice. (A) Veterinary pathologist and (B, C, D) human pathologist assessment of colon and cecum. (C) Reduced leukocyte infiltration was observed spanning from the cecum to distal colon in GPR4 KO DSS mice compared to WT DSS mice. (D) Overall inflammation was reduced in GPR4 KO DSS mice compared to WT DSS mice in tissues spanning from the cecum to distal colon. Representative H&E staining pictures of colon in (E) WT control, (F) WT-DSS, (G) GPR4 KO control, and (H) GPR4 KO DSS using a 20× microscope objective. Data are presented as mean \pm SEM and analyzed for statistical significance between WT-DSS and GPR4 KO DSS groups using the unpaired *t*-test. WT-Control (n=12), WT-DSS (n=13), GPR4 KO Untreated (n=12), and GPR4 KO DSS (n=18) tissues were used for analysis. (**P*<0.05, ***P*<0.01)



Fig. 3.

Immune cell infiltrate quantification in colon mucosa. GPR4 KO-DSS mice (n= 4–5) had reduced numbers of neutrophils, macrophages, and T cells in the mucosa of the colon compared to WT-DSS mice (n= 4–5). (Fig. 3A–C) Neutrophil quantification based on polymorphonuclear (PMN) morphology and cytoplasmic staining, (Fig. 3D–F) F4/80⁺ macrophages, and (Fig. 3G–I) CD3⁺ T cells. 40× microscope objectives. Statistical analysis was performed using the unpaired *t*-test between WT-DSS and GPR4 KO-DSS groups. (**P* < 0.05, ***P*< 0.01)



Fig. 4.

Isolated lymphoid follicle (ILF) quantification and H&E staining of ILFs. GPR4 KO DSS mice had reduced ILF number in the colon and cecum when compared to WT-DSS mice. ILF quantification in WT and GPR4 KO (A) colon and (B) cecum tissues. Representative H&E staining of ILFs in (C) WT control (n=12), (D) WT-DSS (n=13), (E) GPR4 KO control (n=12), and (F) GPR4 KO-DSS colons (n=18). Red asterisks indicate representative ILFs in colon tissue. Red and blue dotted lines indicate WT-control and GPR4 KO control ILF quantification, respectively (A–B). Data are presented as mean \pm SEM. WT-Control (n=12), WT-DSS (n=13), GPR4 KO control (n=12), and GPR4 KO DSS (n=18) tissues were used for analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using the unpaired *t*-test between WT-DSS and GPR4 KO-DSS groups. (**P< 0.01, ***P< 0.001)



Fig. 5.

GPR4 mRNA expression in human and mouse inflamed intestinal tissues. Expression levels of GPR4 in inflamed and non-inflamed intestinal tissues were assessed. GPR4 mRNA was increased in inflamed lesions of human and mouse intestinal tissues when compared to normal intestinal tissues. (A) GPR4 mRNA expression in mouse WT-DSS colonic tissue compared to WT-control tissues. (B) GPR4 mRNA expression in human normal (n=7), ulcerative colitis (n=26), and Crohn's intestinal tissues (n=14). Data are presented as mean \pm SEM and analyzed for statistical significance using the (A) unpaired *t*-test and (B) nonparametric Mann-Whitney test. (*** *P*< 0.001)



Fig. 6.

GFP knock-in as a surrogate marker for GPR4 expression in GPR4 KO control mouse colon and lymphoid tissues. To assess the localization of GPR4 in intestinal tissues, we performed IHC of GFP in intestinal and lymph tissues. GFP expression was visualized as brown signals in the intestinal microvascular endothelial cells, ex-mural blood vessels, and mesenteric lymph node high endothelial venules (HEVs). GFP expression was barely detectable in lymphatic ECs. (A–B) Colonic GPR4 KO-control mouse blood vessel, artery, and lymphatic vessel, (C) transverse fold microvessels, (D) ex-mural blood vessel and arteries, (E) microvessels adjacent to isolated lymphoid follicles, and (F) mesenteric lymph node HEVs and histiocytes. No GFP signal detected in WT untreated control tissues (Supplementary Fig. S7). (A–E) 40× and (F) 63× microscope objectives. Red arrow heads indicate histiocytes (macrophages) and green arrow heads indicates lymphocytes. Red arrows indicate blood vessels, yellow arrows indicate HEVs, and purple arrows indicate lymphatics.



Fig. 7.

GFP knock-in as a surrogate marker for GPR4 expression in GPR4 KO-DSS mouse colon and lymph tissues. To examine the expression of GPR4 in inflamed intestinal tissues, we performed IHC of GFP in GPR4 KO-DSS tissues. GFP expression could be visualized as brown signals in the intestinal microvascular endothelial cells, ex-mural blood vessels, mesenteric lymph node HEVs, and macrophages. Minimal GFP could be detected in lymphatic ECs. (A) Colonic GPR4 KO-DSS blood vessel, artery, and lymphatic vessel, (B) macrophages in inflamed lesions, (C) transverse fold ECs, (D) ex-mural blood vessels, (E) isolated lymphoid follicle vessels, and (F) mesenteric lymph node HEVs and histiocytes. No expression of GFP could be detected in WT-DSS control tissues (Supplementary Fig. S8). (A–E) $40\times$ and (F) $63\times$ microscope objectives. Red arrow heads indicate macrophages, yellow arrow heads indicate neutrophils, and green arrow heads indicates lymphocytes. Red arrows indicate blood vessels, yellow arrows indicate HEVs, and purple arrows indicate lymphatics.



Fig. 8.

Real-time PCR analysis of inflammatory gene expression and correlation with GPR4 expression. Inflammatory gene expression was evaluated in whole colon tissue segments to assess the contribution of GPR4, among other cells not regulated by GPR4, to inflammatory molecule expression. DSS induced the expression of the inflammatory genes in both WT-DSS and GPR4 KO-DSS colon tissues when compared to control colon tissues. GPR4 KO-DSS mice exhibited a trend of reduced pro-inflammatory gene expression when compared to WT-DSS mice, though not statistically significant. Adhesion molecules (A) E-selectin, (B) VCAM-1, (C) ICAM-1, and (D) MAdCAM-1 were analyzed along with chemokine (E) CXCL2, and inflammatory enzyme (F) COX-2. (A–F) Red and blue dotted lines indicate WT-control and GPR4 KO control quantification, respectively. Data are presented as mean \pm SEM and analyzed for statistical significance using the unpaired *t*-test. WT-Control (n=12), WT-DSS (n=13), GPR4 KO-Control (n=12), and GPR4 KO DSS (n=18) were used for analysis. (G–L) To further characterize GPR4 regulated inflammatory gene expression, GPR4 mRNA expression was correlated with inflammatory gene expression from WT-DSS

colon segments. Each dot represents the data from an individual mouse. GPR4 mRNA expression positively correlates with increased inflammatory gene expression when analyzing (G) E-selectin, (H) VCAM-1, (I) ICAM-1, and (J) MAdCAM-1, (K) CXCL2, and (L) COX-2. WT-DSS (n=13) tissues were used for gene expression correlation by linear regression analysis. (**P< 0.01)



Fig. 9.

Immunohistochemical analysis of E-selectin and VCAM-1 protein expression in mouse colon tissues. As whole tissues are not ideal for analyzing endothelial cell specific gene expression, we performed IHC to analyze adhesion molecules E-selectin and VCAM-1 protein expression in ECs within the tissue. GPR4 KO-DSS mice have reduced E-selectin and VCAM-1 protein expression in colonic mucosal vasculature when compared to WT-DSS mice. E-selectin expression could be visualized as brown signals in (A) WT-control, (B) WT-DSS, (C) GPR4 KO-control, and (D) GPR4 KO-DSS colon tissues. VCAM-1 expression could be visualized in (E) WT-control, (F) WT-DSS, (G) GPR4 KO-control, and (H) GPR4 KO-DSS colon tissues. 40× microscope objective. Red Arrows indicate blood vessels.



Fig. 10.

Immunohistochemical analysis of cecum adhesion molecule expression in ECs. In addition to the colon, cecum tissues were examined for adhesion molecule expression between WT and GPR4 KO mice. Similar to colon, GPR4 KO-DSS mice had a reduction in the expression of E-selectin and VCAM-1 in ECs. E-selectin protein expression could be visualized as brown signals in (A) WT-control, (B) WT-DSS, (C) GPR4 KO-control, and GPR4 KO-DSS mucosal blood vessels. VCAM-1 protein expression could be visualized in (E) WT-control, (F) WT-DSS, (G) GPR4 KO-control, and (H) GPR4 KO-DSS mucosal blood vessels. 40× microscope objective. Red Arrows indicate blood vessels.



Fig. 11.

Model of proposed mechanism for the governance of endothelial cell inflammatory responses by GPR4. GPR4 can be activated by protons in the acidic microenvironment and increase the expression of adhesion molecules and chemokines for the recruitment and adherence of leukocytes to the endothelium. Increased leukocyte extravasation will occur into the inflamed tissue and potentiate local inflammation.