

TWO-DIMENSIONAL SPECKLE-TRACKING ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY IN NORMAL KOREAN POPULATION: IS NOW TO START USING IN ROUTINE CLINICAL PRACTICE?

JIN OH NA, MD, PHD

CARDIOVASCULAR CENTER, KOREA UNIVERSITY GURO HOSPITAL, SEOUL, KOREA

REFER TO THE PAGE 285-293

Left ventricle (LV) strain measurement by using the two-dimensional (2D) speckle-tracking echocardiography (STE) was first described in 2004,¹⁾ and has been widely applied in research, and the number of publications in the medical literature regarding the clinical utility of STE has been grown rapidly.^{2,3)} STE is based on frame-by-frame image tracking of tiny natural acoustic markers within the myocardium and subsequent measurement of LV deformation.⁴⁾ Assessment of strain by 2D STE is now present as a semiautomatic method in many ultrasound vendors and in off-line programs. Moreover, several previous reports have demonstrated the usefulness of STE-derived global longitudinal strain (GLS) as a novel echocardiographic methodology of cardiac function, which has been demonstrated as a prognostic value in the general population,³⁾ in patients with myocardial infarction,⁵⁾ and in heart failure patients with preserved and reduced LV ejection fraction (LVEF).⁶⁾

However, in spite of long experience and confidential results, STE has not yet fully adopted in routine clinical practice, as the robustness of the method has been in doubt mainly due to insufficient reproducibility and vendor dependency of measurement itself.⁷⁾ In addition, the reason of variability of strain parameters are diverse which are influenced by patient (age, gender, race), hemodynamic (blood pressure, heart rate), and cardiac (LV chamber size, wall thickness) factors limits its usage in real practice. Hence, the routine use of myocardial strain in clinical practice essentially requires the definition of a normal range first. The article of Park et al.⁸⁾ in this issue of the Journal of Cardiovascular Ultrasound, tried to address these issues and to define STE based normal values for LV GLS

in the Korean population by conducting a multicenter study of healthy volunteers. In this study, to minimize the vendor dependency and inter-observer variation of strain values, authors used acquired images from GE Medical Systems (Horten, Norway) only and analyzed the data in the Core lab by one researcher. The main results of this study can be summarized as follows: in total of 501 subjects, 1) normal reference values of LV GLS and strain rate was $-20.4 \pm 2.2\%$ [95% confidence interval (CI) = -25.4 – -16.7%], $-1.21 \pm 0.21^{\circ}$ (95% CI = -1.59 – -0.92°), respectively, and there was modest correlation between LVEF and GLS ($r = -0.296$, $p < 0.001$) or GLS rate (GLSR; $r = -0.271$, $p < 0.001$); 2) GLS and GLSR values were significantly more negative in women than in men; and 3) age was not significantly associated with LV myocardial deformation.

Until recently, there have been scarce studies reporting a comprehensive assessment of LV myocardial deformation in healthy Korean population, including data about the impact of age and sex on these parameters. In this study, Park et al.⁸⁾ reported the age- and sex-specific normal values of LV GLS and GLSR in Korean population which were similar to those of previous studies in other countries.^{9,10)} This study is quite meaningful because with these confidence results of reference values for GLS may expect to enhance the usage of strain in routine clinical practice in Korea. However, there are several limitations to be noted. First, the study included normal adults between 20 years and 80 years of age, there was no relationship between age and myocardial deformation. This results is quite controversial to previous studies that strain values, especially GLS, became less negative with aging.^{11,12)} One possible explanation may be that the relatively low number of elderly have enrolled compared to younger subjects. Second,

• Editorials published in the Journal of Cardiovascular Ultrasound do not necessarily represent the views of JCU or the Korean Society of Echocardiography.

• Received: November 29, 2016 • Revised: December 6, 2016 • Accepted: December 6, 2016

• Address for Correspondence: Jin Oh Na, Cardiovascular Center, Korea University Guro Hospital, 148 Gurodong-ro, Guro-gu, Seoul 08308, Korea
Tel: +82-2-2626-3025, Fax: +82-2-863-1109, E-mail: koolup93@gmail.com

• This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

the normal values might only limit to the vendor used in this study (GE Medical Systems). Additional studies will be needed by using other vendors, and comparative data between different vendors might provide further convergence of measurements and ultimately leverage the clinical application of this methodology. Third, authors only suggested the values of GLS in this study. There are many well-defined parameters in LV strain trace such as segmental, radial, or circumferential strains and this information might be wasted when using GLS as the only measurements.

Numerous previous reports have demonstrated that myocardial strain imaging provides unique diagnostic and prognostic information. In addition, Park et al.⁸⁾ clearly demonstrated the normal reference values of GLS using healthy volunteers in Korea and this meaningful measurements might be helpful for enhancing accessibility of STE based LV strain imaging. However, the strain imaging methodology is still undergoing development (such as 3D strain) and several issues need to be addressed before strain image can become a mainstream modality for assessment of global LV function. Moreover, prospective clinical trials should be warranted to investigate the added clinical value that clinical decisions based on LV strain imaging results in better outcome. In the meanwhile, we should keep in mind that strain imaging can be applied as a supplementary diagnostic method in routine practice.

REFERENCES

1. Leitman M, Lysyansky P, Sidenko S, Shir V, Peleg E, Binenbaum M, Kaluski E, Krakover R, Vered Z. *Two-dimensional strain—a novel software for real-time quantitative echocardiographic assessment of myocardial function.* *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2004;17:1021-9.
2. Shah AM, Solomon SD. *Myocardial deformation imaging: current status and future directions.* *Circulation* 2012;125:e244-8.
3. Russo C, Jin Z, Elkind MS, Rundek T, Homma S, Sacco RL, Di Tullio MR. *Prevalence and prognostic value of subclinical left ventricular systolic dysfunction by global longitudinal strain in a community-based cohort.* *Eur J Heart Fail* 2014;16:1301-9.
4. Amundsen BH, Helle-Valle T, Edvardsen T, Torp H, Crosby J, Lyseggen E, Støylen A, Ihlen H, Lima JA, Smiseth OA, Slørdahl SA. *Noninvasive myocardial strain measurement by speckle tracking echocardiography: validation against sonomicrometry and tagged magnetic resonance imaging.* *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;47:789-93.
5. Hung CL, Verma A, Uno H, Shin SH, Bourgoun M, Hassanein AH, McMurray JJ, Velazquez EJ, Kober L, Pfeffer MA, Solomon SD; VALIANT investigators. *Longitudinal and circumferential strain rate, left ventricular remodeling, and prognosis after myocardial infarction.* *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;56:1812-22.
6. Hasselberg NE, Haugaa KH, Sarvari SI, Gullestad L, Andreassen AK, Smiseth OA, Edvardsen T. *Left ventricular global longitudinal strain is associated with exercise capacity in failing hearts with preserved and reduced ejection fraction.* *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2015;16:217-24.
7. Farsalinos KE, Daraban AM, Ünlü S, Thomas JD, Badano LP, Voigt JU. *Head-to-head comparison of global longitudinal strain measurements among nine different vendors: The EACV/ASE Inter-Vendor Comparison Study.* *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2015;28:1171-81, e2.
8. Park JH, Lee JH, Lee SY, Choi JO, Shin MS, Kim MJ, Jung HO, Park JR, Sohn IS, Kim H, Park SM, Yoo NJ, Choi JH, Kim HK, Cho GY, Lee MR, Park JS, Shim CY, Kim DH, Shin DH, Shin GJ, Shin SH, Kim KH, Kim WS, Park SW. *Normal 2-dimensional strain values of the left ventricle: a substudy of the Normal Echocardiographic Measurements in Korean Population study.* *J Cardiovasc Ultrasound* 2016; 24:285-93.
9. Takigiku K, Takeuchi M, Izumi C, Yuda S, Sakata K, Ohte N, Tanabe K, Nakatani S; JUSTICE investigators. *Normal range of left ventricular 2-dimensional strain: Japanese Ultrasound Speckle Tracking of the Left Ventricle (JUSTICE) study.* *Circ J* 2012;76:2623-32.
10. Dalen H, Thorstensen A, Aase SA, Ingul CB, Torp H, Vatten LJ, Støylen A. *Segmental and global longitudinal strain and strain rate based on echocardiography of 1266 healthy individuals: the HUNT study in Norway.* *Eur J Echocardiogr* 2010;11:176-83.
11. Sun JP, Lee AP, Wu C, Lam YY, Hung MJ, Chen L, Hu Z, Fang F, Yang XS, Merlino JD, Yu CM. *Quantification of left ventricular regional myocardial function using two-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography in healthy volunteers—a multi-center study.* *Int J Cardiol* 2013;167: 495-501.
12. Zghal F, Bougteb H, Réant P, Lafitte S, Roudaut R. *Assessing global and regional left ventricular myocardial function in elderly patients using the bidimensional strain method.* *Echocardiography* 2011;28:978-82.