



# One-dimensional TiO<sub>2</sub> Nanotube Photocatalysts for Solar Water Splitting

Mingzheng Ge, Qingsong Li, Chunyan Cao, Jianying Huang, Shuhui Li, Songnan Zhang, Zhong Chen, Keqin Zhang, Salem S. Al-Deyab, and Yuekun Lai\*

Hydrogen production from water splitting by photo/photoelectron-catalytic process is a promising route to solve both fossil fuel depletion and environmental pollution at the same time. Titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) nanotubes have attracted much interest due to their large specific surface area and highly ordered structure, which has led to promising potential applications in photocatalytic degradation, photoreduction of CO<sub>2</sub>, water splitting, supercapacitors, dye-sensitized solar cells, lithium-ion batteries and biomedical devices. Nanotubes can be fabricated via facile hydrothermal method, solvothermal method, template technique and electrochemical anodic oxidation. In this report, we provide a comprehensive review on recent progress of the synthesis and modification of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes to be used for photo/photoelectro-catalytic water splitting. The future development of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes is also discussed.

#### 1. Introduction

The rapid development of globalization and industrialization has caused a series of serious environmental problems to the world's population.<sup>[1–5]</sup> The supplies of fossil energy sources such as oil and gas are depleting exponentially and may be depleted within the next several decades if the current trend continues. The consumption of fossile fuels has also contaminated the environment, leading to global warming and climate

M. Z. Ge, Q. S. Li, C. Y. Cao, Dr. J. Y. Huang, S. H. Li, S. N. Zhang, Prof. K. Q. Zhang, Prof. Y. K. Lai National Engineering Laboratory for Modern Silk College of Textile and Clothing, Engineering Soochow University Suzhou 215123, P. R. China E-mail: yklai@suda.edu.cn Prof. Z. Chen School of Materials Science and Engineering Nanyang Technological University Singapore 639798, Singapore Prof. S. S. Al-Devab Petrochemical Research Chair Department of Chemistry College of Science King Saud University Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia



This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### DOI: 10.1002/advs.201600152

changes due to the greenhouse effect.[6-10] To address these concerns, there has been considerable attention devoted to the development of clean and renewable energy sources to meet the increasing energy demand.<sup>[11-14]</sup> Water splitting for hydrogen production in the presence of a semiconductor photocatalyst has been studied extensively as a potential method to provide hydrogen, a clean energy source. On exposure to sunlight, solar energy can be absorbed on photocatalysts to produce hydrogen and degrade pollutants at the same time, paving the way for producing clean energy source and creating a cleaner environment.[15-19]

Since the titanium dioxide  $(TiO_2)$  was discovered for its potential for water

photolysis by Fujishima and Honda in 1972,<sup>[20]</sup> it has been widely investigated in photocatalytic degradation of pollutants,<sup>[21,22]</sup> photoreduction of CO2 into energy fuels,<sup>[23,24]</sup> water splitting,<sup>[25,26]</sup> supercapacitors,<sup>[27,28]</sup> dye-sensitized/quantum dotsensitized/perovskite solar cells,<sup>[29–31]</sup> lithium-ion batteries,<sup>[32,33]</sup> biomedical devices<sup>[34,35]</sup> and self-cleaning.<sup>[36,37]</sup> After Iijima et al. discovered carbon nanotubes (CNTs) in 1991,<sup>[38]</sup> much more interests were activated in the one dimensional (1D) dimensional tubular nanomaterials. In contrast to CNTs, TiO2 nanotubes were readily fabricated by template-assisted technique,[39] solvothermal method,<sup>[40,41]</sup> hydrothermal method,<sup>[42,43]</sup> and electrochemical anodic oxidation.[44,45] Different fabrication methods have their own unique advantages and features. Since TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes were first synthesized by Hoyer using templateassisted method,<sup>[46]</sup> the effects of fabrication factors, doping methods and applications have expanded rapidly.<sup>[47-50]</sup> However, there are still some intrinsic drawbacks that have limited the wide application of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes in some fields. On the one hand, wide band gap makes TiO<sub>2</sub> (anatase: 3.2 eV, rutile: 3.0 eV) occupy only 3-5% of the total solar spectrum. On the other hand, fast recombination of photogenerated electron-hole pairs also leads to decreased efficiency in the photo/photoelectrocatalytic activity.<sup>[51–55]</sup> Therefore, many works have been devoted to enlarging the photocatalytic active surface, forming Schottky junction or heterojunctions, and engineering the band structure to match particular energy levels. These efforts aim to widen the visible light absorption, separate the recombination of electron/ holes for improved photo-to-energy conversion efficiency.<sup>[56–60]</sup>

In this review, the preparation methods of  $TiO_2$  nanotube are summarized and the optimum conditions are proposed





www.advancedsciencenews.com

(Scheme 1). Besides, this review will address various methods to modify  $TiO_2$  nanotube by enhancing the visible light absorption and suppressing the recombination of photogenerated electron/hole pairs. In addition, the mechanism and application of photo/photoelectro-catalytic water splitting are comprehensively discussed. Challenges and the future development on 1D TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes will be discussed in the end.

#### 2. Basic Mechanism of Photo/photoelectro-catalytic Water Splitting for Hydrogen Generation on TiO<sub>2</sub> Nanotubes

Energy crisis and environmental issues are hot topics all over the word. Hydrogen is considered to be an ideal fuel for future energy demands because it can be produced in a sustainable manner and its consumption does not generate environmental pollutants. Besides, the photo/photoelectro-catalytic water splitting reaction for hydrogen generation can be integrated with simultaneous pollutant removal in the oxidation process.<sup>[61–63]</sup> After the early work of TiO<sub>2</sub> photoelectrochemical hydrogen production reported by Fujishima and Honda, scientific and engineering interests in semiconductor photocatalysis have expanded tremendously.<sup>[64–67]</sup> The number of technical publications on water splitting for hydrogen production has grown rapidly; more than 10000 papers have been published in the last decade (**Figure 1**).

The mechanism of water splitting can be elaborated as follows. The water molecules are reduced by electrons to form  $H_2$ , while they are also oxidized by holes to form  $O_2$  at the same time. The reduction potential (H<sup>+</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>) of water is 0 V and the oxidation potential  $(H_2O/O_2)$  is 1.23 V with respect to the normal hydrogen electrode (NHE). For hydrogen production, the conduction band (CB) level should be more negative than hydrogen production level (0 V) while the valence band (VB) should be more positive than water oxidation level (1.23 V) for efficient oxygen production from water by photo/ photoelectro-catalysis.<sup>[68-71]</sup> As we can see from band levels of various semiconductor materials (Figure 2a), TiO<sub>2</sub> is one of the most used semiconductors for hydrogen production as a result of their suitable band structures, low environmental impact and low toxicity, and high stability, while some other semiconductors such as SiC, CdS may not be suitable for water splitting because of the problem of photocorrsion.<sup>[72,73]</sup> In a photocatalytic water splitting process (Figure 2b), electrons at the valance band of TiO2 are exicted to the conduction band upon UV illumination. Hydrogen ions are reduced into hydrogen by the electrons at the conduction band, while the generated holes at the valence band oxide water molecules into oxygen (or degrade pollutants if they are presentin the solution). Apart from photocatalytic water splitting, photoelectrocatalytic water splitting has been proven a facile and promising route to solve the difficult problem of the fast recombination between photogenerated electron and holes, which can be beneficial for improving the photoelectrocatalytic hydrogen production efficiency. As depicted in Figure 2c, when a low bias potential is applied on the TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube, it can significantly facilitate the transfer of photocarriers and



Mingzheng Ge received his B.S. degree in College of Textile and Clothing Engineering at Yancheng Institute of Technology in 2013. He is currently pursuing a Ph.D. degree in College of Textile and Clothing Engineering at Soochow University. His research interests include inorganic semiconductor

advanced materials and their application in photocatalytic degradation, water splitting, supercapacitors and lithium-ion batteries.



Qingsong Li received his bachelor degree in College of Textile and Clothing Engineering at Soochow University in 2014. He is currently pursuing a Ph.D. degree at National Engineering Laboratory for Modern Silk in Soochow University. His research interests focus on the functionalization of

photocatalyst and bio-nanomaterials for energy & environmental applications.



**Dr. Yuekun Lai** is a professor in the College of Textile and Clothing Engineering at Soochow University, with joint appointments in the National Engineering Laboratory for Modern Silk from June of 2013. He obtained his Ph.D. degree from the Department of Chemistry, Xiamen University. During 2009–2011, he worked with

Prof. Zhong Chen as a research fellow at the School of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore. At July of 2011, he moved to Muenster University with Prof. Harald Fuchs and Prof. Lifeng Chi under the support of the Humboldt Foundation Scholarship of Germany. His current scientific interests are focused on TiO<sub>2</sub> nanostructures, bio-inspired surfaces and intelligent materials with special wettability, and energy & environmental materials.

suppressed the recombination of photogenerated electrons and holes. Upon UV light irradiation, the electrons can leap up the valance band of  $TiO_2$  to the conduction band, and





**Scheme 1.** Schematic illustration of the preparation methods and modification strategies of  $TiO_2$  nanotubes for enhanced solar water splitting.

then be driven to the counter electrode via the external circuit, leaving the holes on the surface of the  $TiO_2$  nanotubes electrode. Meanwhile, a large number of active species were produced. The electrons at the counter electrode can react with hydrogen ions to generate hydrogen, while the holes at the valance band can react with water molecules to generate oxygen and hydrogen ions, or to degrade the pollutants, similar to the above discussed photocatlystic process.<sup>[74–79]</sup> The reaction mechanism is described as follows:



**Figure 1.** Number of articles published on photo/photoelectro-catalytic water splitting for hydrogen production from 2005 to 2015 (Data was obtained from web of science database on March 31, 2016 using water splitting, hydrogen production and generation as key words).

www.advancedscience.com

$$\mathrm{TiO}_2 + \mathrm{hv} \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^- + \mathrm{h}^+ \tag{1}$$

$$2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2 \tag{2}$$

$$2H_2O + 4h^+ \rightarrow O_2 + 4H^+ \tag{3}$$

Overall reaction :  $2H_2O \rightarrow O_2 + 2H_2$ 

Compared to TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles, TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes are widely used in water splitting due to their ordered tubular structure, strong ion-exchange ability, relatively longer lifetime of electron/hole pairs.<sup>[80–83]</sup> However, the power conversion efficiency from solar to hydrogen by TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes photo/photoelectrocatalytic water splitting is still low, mainly due to fast (in absolute terms) recombination of electron/hole pairs and inability to utilize visible light. Therefore, continuous efforts have been made to solve these problems, which will be discussed in section 4.<sup>[84–86]</sup>

The photo/photoelectro-catalytic activity for water splitting can be directly evaluated by the H<sub>2</sub> or O<sub>2</sub> gas evolution rate ( $\mu$ mol h<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> or  $\mu$ mol h<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>) and photocurrent density (mA cm<sup>-2</sup>). For photocatalytic systems, the time course and stoichiometry of H<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> evolution should be taken into account for the evaluation of activity. In addition, for photoelectrocatalytic systems, the applied potential, wavelength and intensity of incident light should also be provided when performing an evaluation of activity. In order to compare the activity of photocatalysts under different reaction conditions, it is important to determine the overall quantum yield (QY) of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube for water splitting.<sup>[87]</sup> The overall QY is defined in Equation (5) and (6) for H<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>, respectively.<sup>[73]</sup>

$$QY\% = \frac{2 \times \text{Number of evolved } H_2 \text{ molecules}}{\text{Number of absorbed photons}} \times 100$$
(5)

$$QY\% = \frac{4 \times \text{Number of evolved } O_2 \text{ molecules}}{\text{Number of absorbed photons}} \times 100$$
(6)

Similarly, the incident photon to charge carrier generation efficiency (IPCE) in a photoelectrocatalytic system describes the ratio of effective photons or generated charges that generate electrochemical current to "incident photons" of monochromatic light. IPCE at different wavelengths is determined from the short circuit photocurrents ( $I_{sc}$ ) monitored at different excitation wavelengths ( $\lambda$ ) to compare the photoresponse of the samples using Equation (7).<sup>[88]</sup>

$$IPCE(\%) = \frac{1240 \times I_{sc} (\text{mA cm}^{-2})}{\lambda (\text{nm}) \times I_{inc} (\text{mW cm}^{-2})} \times 100$$
(7)

where  $I_{sc}$  is the photocurrent density (mA cm<sup>-2</sup>) under illumination,  $\lambda$  is the wavelength (nm) of incident radiation, and  $I_{inc}$  is the incident light power intensity on the TiO<sub>2</sub> electrode (mW cm<sup>-2</sup>).

Besides, the turnover number (TON) for  $H_2$  generation is usually defined by the number of reacted molecules to that of an active site. However, it is often difficult to determine the number of active sites for photocatalysts. Therefore, the

(4)



ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS www.advancedsciencenews.com



**Figure 2.** Relationship between band structure of semiconductor and redox potentials of water splitting (a). Schematic diagram for photocatalytic (b) and photoelectrocatalytic (c) water splitting on TiO<sub>2</sub>, respectively. (a) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[72]</sup> Copyright 2009, American Chemical Society.

number of reacted electrons to the number of atoms in  $TiO_2$  nanotube (Equation (8)) or on the surface of  $TiO_2$  nanotube (Equation (9)) is employed as the TON.<sup>[72]</sup>

$$TON = \frac{Number of reacted electrons}{Number of atoms in a photocatalyst}$$
(8)

$$TON = \frac{Number of reacted electrons}{Number of surface atoms in a photocatalyst}$$
(9)

It should be noteworthy that the quantum yield and turnover number is different from the solar energy conversion efficiency that is usually used for evaluation of hydrogen production activity. The overall conversion of solar energy is given by the following equation:<sup>[72]</sup>

Solar energy conversion(%) = 
$$\frac{\text{Output energy as H}_2}{\text{Energy of incident solar light}}$$
 (10)

#### 3. Processing Techniques

Microstructure of  $TiO_2$  nanotubes plays a key role in their properties and photocatalytic efficiency. Various methods have been developed to prepare 1D  $TiO_2$  nanotubes in the past. In this section, we briefly introduce several main preparation methods, namely, the hydrothermal, solvothermal, electrochemical anodization, and template-assisted method. Each fabrication method has unique advantages and functional features, comparison among these approaches is summarized in **Table 1**.<sup>[89]</sup>

#### 3.1. Hydrothermal Method

Hydrothermal is an advanced nanostructural material processing technique encompassing the crystal growth, crystal transformation, phase equilibrium, and final ultrafine crystals formation.<sup>[90]</sup> The hydrothermal method is the most widely used method for fabrication of 1D TiO<sub>2</sub> nanostructures due to its simplicity and high productivity. Since the fabrication of TiO<sub>2</sub>-based nanotubular materials through hydrothermal method by treating amorphous TiO<sub>2</sub> powder at high temperatures in a highly concentrated NaOH solution without sacrificial templates was reported by Kasuga et al. for the first time in 1998,<sup>[91]</sup> many efforts have been made on the synthesis of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes in such way.<sup>[92,93]</sup>

In a typical synthesis process, precursors of  $\text{TiO}_2$  and reaction solutions are mixed and enclosed in a stainless steel vessel under controlled temperature and pressure. After the reaction is complete, rinse with deionized water and acidic solution is needed to remove the impurities. Usually, there is a nearly 100% conversion for the precursors to  $\text{TiO}_2$  nanotubes in one single hydrothermal process. The morphologies of the obtained

IDVANCED SCIENCE NEWS

www.advancedscience.com

Table 1		Comparison	of available	methods	for	TiO <sub>2</sub>	nanotubes	preparation.
---------	--	------------	--------------	---------	-----	------------------	-----------	--------------

Fabrication method	Reaction conditions	Advantages	Disadvantages
Hydrothermal method	High pressure and high temperature.	High nanotube production rate.	Long reaction duration.
	Aqueous based solvent.	Easy to enhance the features of titanium nanotubes.	Difficult to achieve uniform size.
Solvothermal method	High pressure and high temperature.	Better control of the nanosize, crystal phase and narrow size distribution. Varieties of chosen organic solvent.	Critical reaction conditions.
	Organic solvent.		Long reaction time.
Electrochemical anodization method	5–50 V and 0.2–10 h under ambient conditions.	Ordered alignment with high aspect ratio.	Limited mass production.
	F <sup>−</sup> -based buffered electrolytes and organic electrolytes, F <sup>−</sup> -free electrolytes.	Controllable dimension of nanotubes by varying the voltage, electrolyte, pH and anodizing time.	Length distribution and separation of nanotubes over a large surface area is not well-developed.
Template method	AAO, ZnO etc. as sacrificial template under specific conditions.	Controllable scale of nanotube by applied template.	Complicated fabrication process. Contamination or destroy of tubes may occur during fabrication process.
		Uniform size of nanotubes.	

TiO<sub>2</sub> depend on the process parameters, such as the structure of raw materials, the concentration of reacting solutions, reaction temperature and time, and even the acid washing. That is to say, the synthesis is controllable, hollow structure of the nanotubes with several layers via a single alkali treatment can be achieved (Figure 3).<sup>[94]</sup>

The synthesis method can be classified into the acid-hydrothermal and alkali-hydrothermal approaches according to the reactants used for the hydrothermal synthesis of 1D TiO<sub>2</sub> nanostructures. In the former method, the reactants are usually titanium salts with inorganic acid, and normally this method leads to the formation of TiO2 nanorods. Accordingly, the reactants in the latter method are generally TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles reacting in sodium hydroxide solution. The dissolution-recrystallization is always involved in this process and the products include nanotubes or nanowires. These two methods have different reaction mechanisms, which produce different morphology and crystalline phases of the product in the 1D nanostructures.

Though the hydrothermal process is a cost-effective method, and the as-prepared nanotubes have good dispersibility and high purity showing great potential for the formation of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanostructures, some shortcomings still should not be ignored which limit its applications. For example, slow reaction kinetics result in long reaction time and limited length of the nanotubes. And nanotubes prepared are always nonuniform on a large-scale. For improvement,



Figure 3. HRTEM image (a,b) of the multilayers TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes. Enlarged HRTEM image (c) of a part in (a). Structure model of one-unit cell of  $H_2Ti_3O_7$  on the [010] projection (d). Schematic drawing of the structure of nanotubes (e,f). Reproduced with permission.<sup>[94]</sup>



var tion the TiC cou TiC sor

various approaches have been explored, such as ultrasonication assisted, microwave-assisted, and rotation-assisted hydrothermal methods. Nawin et al. has proved that the length of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube becomes longer by the hydrothermal process coupled with sonication pretreatment.<sup>[95]</sup> By varying size of raw  $TiO_2$  powder (400 nm and 1  $\mu$ m), reaction temperature and sonication power, morphology of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanostructures, length of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube could be easily adjusted (Figure 4a-c). TiO<sub>2</sub> with various morphologies such as whiskers, nanotubes and nanofibers can be obtained at different sonication powers.<sup>[96,97]</sup> Huang et al. demonstrated a new method based on microwave irradiation for the preparation of rutile/titania-nanotube composites that exhibit highly efficiency in visible light induced photocatalysis (Figure 4d,e).<sup>[98]</sup> The nanocomposites exhibit multilayer-wall morphologies with open-ended cylindrical structures, and the presence of the rutile phase in the TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes enhanced the light-harvesting efficiency in photocatalytic reactions. In 2011, a facile vapor phase hydrothermal method for direct growth of vertically aligned TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes with larger diameter on a titanium foil substrate was reported for the first time by Zhao et al.<sup>[99]</sup> The resultant nanotubes consisted of more than 10 titanate layers, and displayed external diameters of 50-80 nm with an average wall thickness of 10 nm. Typically, unlike the mechanism frequently encountered in conventional liquid-phase hydrothermal reactions, a distinctive nanosheet roll up mechanism can be observed during the nanotubes formation process (Figure 5a-g). Torrente et al. synthesized TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes with even longer length than conventional method at a low revolving speed.<sup>[100]</sup> In particular, Tang et al. reported a new process to grew elongated TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes with length up to tens of micrometers by a stirring hydrothermal method.<sup>[101]</sup> This study confirmed that the mechanical force-driven stirring process simultaneously improved the diffusion and surface

reaction rate of  $TiO_2$  nanocrystal growth in solution phase, and the nanotube aspect ratio is strongly related to the precursor and the stirring rate. This method has provided 1D  $TiO_2$ -based nanotubular materials for long-life and ultrafast rechargeable lithium-ion batteries.

#### 3.2. Solvothermal Method

The solvothermal method is also widely used in synthesizing metallic oxides such as ZrO<sub>2</sub>, ZnO, and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> etc., and it is almost identical to the hydrothermal method except that the aqueous solutions used here are organic solvents.<sup>[102-104]</sup> However, the temperature and pressure can be controlled at a higher level than that in hydrothermal method because a variety of chosen organic solvent have higher boiling points, thus it has better control with respect to the nanosize, crystal phase, size distribution and agglomeration of products.<sup>[105,106]</sup> The solvent plays a key role in determining the crystal morphology. Solvents with different physical and chemical properties can influence the solubility, reactivity, the diffusion behavior of the reactants, and the crystallization behavior of the final products. For example, TiO<sub>2</sub> nanorods could be prepared by hydrothermal treatment of a titanium trichloride aqueous solution with NaCl at 160 °C for 2 h,<sup>[107]</sup> while when TiO<sub>2</sub> powders are put into NaOH aqueous solution and held at 20-110 °C for 20 h in an autoclave, TiO2 nanotubes are obtained.<sup>[91]</sup> Typically, the solvothermal synthesis is usually conducted in an organic solvent such as ethanol and ethylene glycol, while the hydrothermal method reacts in water solutions.<sup>[108,109]</sup> Wang et al. successfully synthesized open-ended TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes by the solvothermal method using glycerol as solvents (Figure 6a,b).<sup>[110]</sup> The nanotubes consisted of



**Figure 4.** Schematic model of formation of TNTs from different size of raw TiO<sub>2</sub> particles (a). TEM images of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes synthesized at reaction temperature of 120 °C with sonication power of 0 W (b) and 7.6 W (c). FE-SEM (d) and TEM (e) images of the rutile/TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes. (a–c) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[95]</sup> Copyright 2009, Elsevier. (d,e) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[98]</sup> Copyright 2013, Elsevier.







**Figure 5.** SEM images of the morphological evolution from a nanosheet into a nanotube (a–d); TEM image of a joint of a scrolled nanosheet in crosssection (e); side-view TEM image of a nanotube with an undetached exfoliating nanosheet (f) and its magnified TEM image (g). Reproduced with permission.<sup>[99]</sup> Copyright 2011, American Chemical Society.

continuous bilayers or multilayers (Figure 6b); this indicated that the nanotubes may probably be formed by scrolling conjoined multilayer nanosheets. And it exhibited a favorable discharge performance as anode materials in the application of lithium-ion batteries. Zheng et al. prepared visible-light-responsive N-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes via an environment-conscious solvothermal treatment of protonated titanate nanotubes in an NH<sub>4</sub>Cl/ethanol/water solution at modest temperatures (Figure 6c,d).<sup>[111]</sup> The obtained N-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes are thermally stable and robust for photodegradation of methylene blue under visible light irradiation.

#### 3.3. Electrochemical Anodization Method

The anodization method can be effectively employed to fabricate in situ nanotube arrays and has been widely used in anodizing various metals, such as 1D  $\text{TiO}_2$  nanotube arrays (TiO<sub>2</sub> NTAs). Compared with the nanotubes constructed by the hydrothermal method, the size controllable TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays fabricated by the anodization method are highly ordered and oriented perpendicular to the surface of the electrode substrate. Typically, these TiO<sub>2</sub> NTAs are ideal photoanode materials which have been used for a long time due to its excellent stability, non-toxicity, recyclability and cost-effectivity.

In a typical experiment, a clean Ti plate is anodized in a fluoride-based electrolyte (HF, NaF, or KF) using platinum as counter electrode under 10–25 V for 10–30 min.<sup>[112]</sup> Usually,

acetic acid, HNO<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> or citric acid are used to adjust the acidity.<sup>[106]</sup> Crystallized TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes are obtained after the anodized Ti plate is annealed at 300–500 °C for 1–6 h in oxygen.<sup>[113]</sup> In general, the length and wall thickness of the TiO<sub>2</sub> NTAs could be controlled over a wide range with the applied potential, electrolyte type and concentration, pH, and temperature etc.<sup>[114]</sup> The first known report on porous anodized TiO<sub>2</sub> was published by Assefpour-Dezfuly et al. in 1984, where the Ti metal was etched in alkaline peroxide at first, and then anodized in chromic acid.<sup>[115]</sup> After that, Zwilling et al. reported the formation of self-organized porous/tubular TiO<sub>2</sub> structures in fluoride-based electrolyte in 1999,<sup>[116,117]</sup> greatly prompting the development of tubular TiO<sub>2</sub> structures.

The length of nanotubes in the first generation is limited to only approximately 500 nm or less, and it could not meet the requirement for some specific applications. In 2001, Grimes et al. first reported the preparation of self-organized TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays by utilizing anodization of titanium foil in a H<sub>2</sub>O-HF electrolyte at room temperature.<sup>[118]</sup> Subsequently, buffered neutral electrolytes containing various fluoride salts such as NaF or NH4F had been developed to prepare the second generation of nanotubes which have longer lengths up to several micrometers.<sup>[119-123]</sup> It is noted that the nanotube length was increased to about 7 µm by controlling the pH of the anodization electrolyte and reducing the chemical dissolution of TiO<sub>2</sub> during anodization.<sup>[124]</sup> Later, the third generation TiO<sub>2</sub> NTAs were anodized in non-aqueous organic solvent electrolyte containing F- with smooth and taller nanotube morphologies. Various organic solvents such as glycerol, ethylene





**Figure 6.** TEM images (a,b) at different magnifications of  $TiO_2$  synthesized by the solvothermal method (inset of b: electron diffraction pattern of a single  $TiO_2$  nanotube). SEM (c) and TEM (d) image of N-doped  $TiO_2$  nanotubes fabricated by the solvothermal method. (a,b) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[110]</sup> Copyright 2006, American Chemical Society. (c,d) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[111]</sup> Copyright 2008, Royal Society of Chemistry.

glycol, formamide, and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) had been used for the formation of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes with lengths up to approximately hundreds of micrometers.<sup>[125–129]</sup> Some efforts have been made to produce TiO<sub>2</sub> NTAs in a fluorine-free electrolyte such as HClO<sub>4</sub>-containing electrolyte, and they are commonly considered as the fourth-generation nanotubes.<sup>[130,131]</sup> In addition, hexagonal nanotubes close packed arrays with high order via a multi-step approach were achieved by Schmuki and Shin et al.,<sup>[132,133]</sup> and it was also thought as the fifth generation nanotubes. Since then, much effort has been made to optimize experimental parameters with different electrolytes or reacting conditions in order to efficiently achieve high quality self-organized TNAs.<sup>[134–136]</sup>

In general, the anodization time and etching rate decide the tube length and nanotube-layer thickness, while the diameter of the nanotubes is controlled linearly by the applied voltage.<sup>[137–140]</sup> And the nanotubes grown from organic electrolytes, such as ethylene glycol, glycerol, or ionic liquids, show some significant differences in morphology and composition compared with nanotubes grown in F<sup>-</sup>-based aqueous electrolytes.<sup>[141]</sup> For example, tube length is limited to 500–600 nm in electrolytes at low pH. In neutral electrolyte systems, the reduced chemical dissolution can lead to layer thicknesses of up to 2–4  $\mu$ m. While in some glycerol or ethylene glycol ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS www.advancedsciencenews.com

based systems, reduced water content can further decrease chemical dissolution, thus the growth of tube could be significantly extended.<sup>[137]</sup> Importantly, etching of the tube at their top could form grass-like morphologies, which lead to inhomogeneous top structures (Figure 7a,b,e,f,i,j). The collapsed and bundled tube tops mainly because the walls become too thin to support the capillary forces or their own weight during drying. The morphology of either thinned or partially dissolved tube walls is not favorable for carrier transport, and limits the energy conversion efficiency, thus efforts must be put forward to avoid this irregular nanotube structure during anodization. Kim et al. demonstrated a simple approach to prevent this effect by pretreating the polished Ti surfaces in F-containing electrolytes to form a comparably compact rutile layer in the initial stages of anodization.<sup>[142]</sup> This layer shows a comparably high resistance to chemical etching and can efficiently protect the tube tops, and thus allow the growth of nanotube layers with highly ordered and defined morphology in the subsequent tube growth process (Figure 7c,d). This ordered "nanograss"-free tubes show significantly increased photocurrents and conversion efficiencies in dye-sensitized solar cells. Similarly, Song et al. obtained anodic selforganized TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube layers with a significantly improved tube morphology by forming a rutile layer on the Ti substrate by high temperature oxidation in air before the

anodic tube growth.<sup>[143]</sup> As a result, high aspect ratio nanotubes can be grown with an intact tube top (Figure 7g,h). In addition, Albu's group reported a very simple approach to produce anodic  $TiO_2$  nanotube arrays with highly defined and ordered tube openings by coating the surface with a photoresist that is slowly soluble in the electrolyte.<sup>[144]</sup> With the thin layer of photoresist acting as a sacrificial initiation layer, open and "grass"-free  $TiO_2$  nanotubes with clear tubular shape could be easily synthesized (Figure 7k,l).

Based on the chemical etching mechanism, by changing the anodization conditions, such as the applied voltage during the tube growth process, various modifications in the tube geometry can be achieved. For example, bamboo-like stratification layers can be generated when stepping first to a lower voltage for a time and then stepping back to the original high voltage (**Figure 8a**).<sup>[145,146]</sup> If the voltage is lowered during anodization, tube growth will be stopped or drastically slowed down. And at some point the oxide is thinned down sufficiently to continue growth under lower field conditions (the tube diameter will in this case adjust to the lower field and thus tube branching may occur (Figure 8b) owing to the permanent etching of the tube bottom in the fluoride environment. If tube wall separation (tube splitting) is faster than the etching process through the tube bottom, then second tube layer will initiate





**Figure 7.** Side-view (a) and top-view (b) SEM images of  $TiO_2$  nanotube layers anodized in ethylene glycol and HF on non-polished Ti plate. Sideview (c) and top-view (d) images of  $TiO_2$  nanotube layers anodized in ethylene glycol and HF on polished Ti plate. SEM images of  $TiO_2$  nanotube layers anodized in ethylene glycol and NH<sub>4</sub>F without (e,f) and with (g,h) protective layer. Top view (i) and side view (j) of the sample anodized under conventional method; top view (k) and side view (l) of the sample anodized using the new method at 80 °C post-baking temperature for 10 min. (a–d) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[142]</sup> Copyright 2008, Elsevier. (e–h) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[143]</sup> Copyright 2009, The Electrochemical Society. (i–l) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[144]</sup>

between the tubes and double-walled tubes would be formed (Figure 8c). $^{[147,148]}$  Moreover, when the first layer of nanotubes

is treated with an organic hydrophobic monolayer (octadecylphosphonic acid, ODPA), and re-grown again in an organic electrolyte, amphiphilic tube stacks would be fabricated (Figure 8d).<sup>[149]</sup>

#### 3.4. Template Method

Template method is a very commonly used synthesis technique that prepares nanostructure with a morphology which follows the known and characterized templates. Typically, anodic aluminium membranes (AAM), ZnO, and silica etc. are used as templates,[150-153] because they can be easily removed via chemical etching or combustion, leaving the resultants with a pre-set porosity and reversely duplicated morphology. In general, numerous TiO<sub>2</sub> materials in various morphologies can be prepared easily by adjusting the morphology of the template material in certain conditions, such as TiO2 nanoparticles,[154] TiO<sub>2</sub> hollow fibers,<sup>[155,156]</sup> TiO<sub>2</sub> spheres<sup>[157,158]</sup> and so on. Templates can be divided into positive and negative according to the way

the materials grow with the templates.<sup>[159,160]</sup> Positive template synthesis leads to outer surface coating of the materials,<sup>[161]</sup>



**Figure 8.** (a) Bamboo nanotubes fabricated by alternating voltage anodization. (b) branched nanotubes by voltage stepping. (c) double-walled nanotubes. (d) amphiphilic double-layer tubes.(a,b) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[145]</sup> (c) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[148]</sup> (d) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[149]</sup> Copyright 2009, American Chemical Society.



while negative template synthesis are suitable for those to be deposited inside the template inter space.<sup>[162]</sup> Lee et al. fabricated aligned TiO<sub>2</sub> one-dimensional nanotube arrays using a one-step positive templating solution approach (Figure 9a-c).<sup>[163]</sup> The deposition of TiO<sub>2</sub> and the selective-etching of the ZnO template proceeded at the same time through careful control of process parameters. By precisely controlling the deposition time, the resulted different thickness of TiO<sub>2</sub> sheaths lead to the formation of nanotubes or nanorods. In addition, Yuan et al. successfully developed TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays through an anodic aluminium oxide (AAO) template-based Ti(OC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>)<sub>4</sub> hydrolysis process (Figure 9d-f).<sup>[164]</sup> In the synthesis process, two kinds of solutions in either half of the U-tube are allowed to diffuse across the holes of the AAO membrane and then react through hydrolysis or precipitation at the interface. By carefully controlling the molar concentrations of Ti(OC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays can be obtained.

The first report on preparation of  $\text{TiO}_2$  nanotubes using template-assisted method was by Hoyer et al. in 1996.<sup>[165]</sup> In their work,  $\text{TiO}_2$  nanotubes were formed by electrochemical deposition into polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) which was produced by porous AAO membrane. Zinc oxide (ZnO) nanostructure was commonly employed as a template due to its low cost and easy fabrication, and it can be easily dissolved in mild acids. As described before, Lee et al. also demonstrated that the removal of ZnO nanorod template when prepared TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes could even be achieved by the reaction with hydrogen

ions during liquid phase deposition process.<sup>[163]</sup> Besides, carbon nanotubes have been considered to be an ideal template due to its small diameter, easy removal and self-supported tubular morphology.<sup>[166]</sup>

In a typical template preparation process, TiO<sub>2</sub> sol–gel is prepared by mixing tetrabutyl titanate or titanium isopropoxide in acetic acid in the presence of templating agents. Then the polymerization of TiO<sub>2</sub> in the templates or deposition of TiO<sub>2</sub> onto the surface of the template aggregates occurrs. Finally, selectively removal of the templating agent and calcination of the resultants are needed.<sup>[167]</sup> In addition, atomic layer deposition (ALD) combined template is also a good method to prepare TiO<sub>2</sub> nanomaterials with certain structures. Bae et al. successfully fabricated multi-walled anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes by alternating TiO<sub>2</sub> and aluminium oxide onto porous aluminium oxide templates with ALD method, followed by etching of the sacrificial aluminium oxide (**Figure 10**). The diameter, length, wall thickness, and wall layers of the multi-walled TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes can be easily adjusted.<sup>[168]</sup>

However, there are also many disadvantages which cannot be ignored about the templated method. As can be seen from the preparation process, the used template needs to be removed after synthesis in most cases, which generates waste and adds to the cost of material processing. The dissolution process may have the risk of destroying the formed  $TiO_2$  nanostructures. Besides, it requires tedious steps including pre-fabrication template and post-removal of template, which are time consuming



**Figure 9.** Schematic of the steps for forming the end-closed  $TiO_2$  nanotube using positive template (a). TEM images of ZnO nanorods (b),  $TiO_2$  nanotubes (c), and their associated selected area electron diffraction patterns. Schematic diagram of the experimental device composed of two half U-tube cells separated by an AAO membrane (d), the typical surface and section SEM images of AAO (e), and SEM images of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes prepared with  $Ti(OC_4H_9)_4$  solution in half-cell B (f).(a–c) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[163]</sup> Copyright 2005, Royal Society of Chemistry. (d–f) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[164]</sup> Copyright 2013, Royal Society of Chemistry.

REVIEW

1st ALD: TiO2 (a) 2nd ALD: Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ALD: TIO, 4th ALD: Al,O, ALD: TIO, porous alumina template alumina removal (b) e 00 nn 00 nm 100 nm 500 E

Figure 10. Schematic illustration of process to fabricate multiwall anatase  $TiO_2$  nanotubes using negative template (a). SEM image of triple-wall  $TiO_2$ NTAs and sacrificial Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> layers (b), and the broken ends of triple-wall NTAs (c). TEM of a sextuple-wall TiO<sub>2</sub> NTAs (d). TEM image of long quintuplewall NTs: the left inset is a representative electron diffraction pattern, and the right inset shows the separated TiO<sub>2</sub> walls (e). Reproduced with permission.<sup>[168]</sup> Copyright 2009, American Chemical Society.

and laborious for the practical applications. Moreover, the size and morphology varieties of templates are limited. In this regard, template method may not be suitable for large scale TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube preparation.

#### 4. Surface Engineering Strategy

TiO<sub>2</sub> nanostructured materials are widely used in photocatalysis, dye-sensitized solar cells, water splitting, lithium-ion batteries and biomedical devices due to its low-cost, good physical and chemical properties. As an ideal photocatalytic material, it must possess a sufficiently large specific surface area, high light absorption efficiency over a broad light absorption spectrum, as well as effective separation of the photo-induced electron/hole pairs.<sup>[169]</sup> However, associated with the width of energy band gap (anatase: 3.2 eV, rutile: 3.0 eV), TiO<sub>2</sub> can only absorb ultraviolet light (3-5% solar light), leaving the abundant visible light from the Sun unutilized. In addition, the recombination of electron/holes is very fast, and all these disadvantages largely limit the wide applications of TiO<sub>2</sub> for solar energy applications. Especially for the anodized TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube

arrays, they have lower specific surface area and unconformable surface compared to TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes synthesized by hydrothermal method are usually unfavorable for good contact with reactants in rigorous conditions. Therefore, it is of great importance to overcome these drawbacks to improve power conversion efficiency and photo/photoelectro-catalytic efficiencies. Over the past decades, considerable efforts have been put into extending the light absorption, enlarging surface area and suppressing combination of electron/holes.<sup>[170-174]</sup>

#### 4.1. Enlargement of the Photocatalytically Active Surface

It is noted the photocatalysis of 1D TiO<sub>2</sub> for degradation of organic molecules or water-splitting only occur on the surface where contains enough photocatalytically active sites. Therefore, the specific surface area and the active site concentration of a photocatalyst must be taken into account for the design and synthesis of a 1D TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes for use in photocatalytic applications. Compared with TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles, the 1D TiO<sub>2</sub> nanostructure has lower specific surface area and lower photocatalytic performance. To enlarge the specific surface

## IDVANCED www.advancedsciencenews.com





area, there are two effective methods in general: (1) decorating second phase materials such as nanoparticles, nanorods or nanowires on the surface of the 1D TiO<sub>2</sub> nanostructure; (2) constructing a coarse surface with numerous uniformly distributed nanoparticles using an acid corrosion process. Though the size, distribution and density of the loaded nanomaterials on the 1D TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes are difficult to control, both approaches greatly improve its photocatalytic activity. On the one hand, the surface area is significantly increased due to the large specific surface area of the nanoparticles on the surface; on the other hand, nanomaterials on the 1D TiO<sub>2</sub> nanostructure surface form surface heterostructures, which enhances the separation of photoinduced charge carriers and holes. By using TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes as backbones, branched double-shelled TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes can be synthesized on fluorine doped tin oxide (FTO) substrates via ZnO nanorod array template-assisted method (Figure 11a,b).<sup>[161]</sup> The ZnO templates were removed by using wet chemical etching in 0.015 M TiCl<sub>4</sub> aqueous solution for 1.5 h at room temperature. The branched nanotubes have good power conversion efficiency resulted from the increased surface area and the dye loading due to the radial TiO<sub>2</sub> branches with a small diameter. In addition, Roh et al. prepared hierarchical pine tree-like TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays consisting of a vertically oriented long nanotube stem and a large number of short nanorod branches with an anatase phase directly grown on an FTO substrate via a onestep hydrothermal process (Figure 11c,d).<sup>[175]</sup> The morphologies of pine tree-like TiO2 nanotube can be controlled by adjusting the water/diethylene glycol ratio. Owing to the larger surface area, improved electron transport and reduced electrolyte/electrode interfacial resistance from the pine tree-like  $TiO_2$  nanotube arrays, the assembled dye-sensitized solar cells exhibited a superior power conversion efficiency of 8.0%.

In addition, the chemical activity of anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> is closely related to its different facets which are determined by the surface energy. It is reported that the surface formation energies of TiO<sub>2</sub> facets are 0.90 J m<sup>-2</sup> for the (001), 0.53 J m<sup>-2</sup> for the (100) and 0.44 J m<sup>-2</sup> for the (101).<sup>[176]</sup> The (001) facet possesses the highest surface energy due to the coordinatively unsaturated Ti and O atoms on (001) and very large Ti-O-Ti bond angles,<sup>[177,178]</sup> but it is difficult to prepare exposed (001) facets in TiO<sub>2</sub> nanostructures due to the reduced stability, or the proposed synthesis method is time-consuming with high cost and low efficiency. Recently, Lu et al. made great progress in the preparation of anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> with exposed (001) facets using TiF<sub>4</sub> as the raw material via the hydrothermal method.<sup>[179]</sup> Inspired by this, many efforts have been put on preparing anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> with exposed (001) facets from different starting chemicals since then.[180-182] Jung et al. synthesized single-crystal-like anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube with a mainly exposed and chemically active (001) facet relied on an oriented attachment mechanism using surfactant-assisted processes with poly (vinyl pyrrolidone) (PVP) and acetic acid (Figure 12a-c).<sup>[183]</sup> The PVP in electrolyte was preferentially adsorbed onto the (101) surfaces, and then the growth of the (001) facets proceeded more quickly, leading



**Figure 11.** SEM images of branched double-shelled TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes in low (a) and high resolution (b). SEM image of hierarchical pine tree-like TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube array (c) and its TEM image (d). (a,b) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[161]</sup> Copyright 2012, Royal Society of Chemistry.(c,d) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[175]</sup>





**Figure 12.** SEM (a) and TEM (b) images of a TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube array. XRD patterns of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes after annealing (c). SEM image (d), high resolution TEM image (e) and XRD image (f) of single crystal like Zn-incorporated TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes representatively. (a–c) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[183]</sup> Copyright 2012, Royal Society of Chemistry. (d–f) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[184]</sup> Copyright 2015, Royal Society of Chemistry.

to single-crystal-like anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> with mainly the (001) plane. Such highly exposed (001) facets have shown high efficiency in retarding the occurrence of charge recombination in dye sensitized solar cells, and led to enhanced conversion efficiency. Recently, John et al. fabricated single crystal like TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes oriented along the (001) direction with improved electronic transport property.<sup>[184]</sup> The tubes were synthesised by a two-stage technique: in the first stage, well-aligned and uniform amorphous TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes were fabricated on a titanium foil by electrochemical anodization technique; the second stage consists of zinc assisted preferential orientation of grains in the nanotubes. In this stage, Zn incorporation in the amorphous nanotubes is done in a three electrode system by applying a negative voltage to TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes with ZnSO<sub>4</sub> solution as the electrolyte. After annealing, the surface deposited oxidized zinc was removed by dipping the tubes in HCl solution; finally (001) orientated single crystal like nanotubes were obtained (Figure 12d-f). The success of this method to grow the nanotubes with the intense (001) preferential orientation could be attributed to the zinc assisted minimization of the (001) surface energy. The single crystal like TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes shown superior electrochemical performance as supercapacitor electrodes.

#### 4.2. Broadening of Light Absorption

 $TiO_2$  has a wide band gap of about 3.2 eV and can only absorb UV light, which only makes up 3–5% of solar light.<sup>[181,185]</sup> This intrinsic drawback largely limits the harvesting of solar light.

Thus it is of great importance to enhance the absorption of the 1D  $\text{TiO}_2$  nanostructure by enhancement of light harvesting or broadening the light absorption from UV light to the visible light range.

Enhancing the light absorption ability is usually realized by utilizing the surface plasmon resonance (SPR) effect of metallic nanoparticles assembled on the surface of the 1D TiO<sub>2</sub> nanostructure. The decorating materials used mainly focused on various noble metals (Au, Ag, Pt, Pd or alloys) because they can be optically excited in the visible region.<sup>[186-190]</sup> The modification with noble metals can also restrain the recombination of electron/hole pairs and enhance photo/photoelectro-catalytic activity. Upon visible light illumination, the noble metal nanoparticles could be photo-excited and generate electrons on its surface due to the SPR effect. Moreover, SPR can improve solar light conversion because of enhanced light absorption and scattering at the interface of the heterostructure and induce direct electron-hole separation as well as plasmonic energy-induced electron-hole separation. All of these processes can greatly increase solar light conversion efficiency.<sup>[191-195]</sup> Strategies used for decorating 1D TiO<sub>2</sub> nanomaterials with noble metal nanoparticles always include UV irradiation reduction, plasma sputtering, electrodeposition, electrospinning and hydrothermal methods, among which the hydrothermal method has been most widely used due to its better control of the metal particle size and dispersion. Wu et al. constructed highly dispersed Au nanoparticles on the TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays by the electrodeposition method, and the composite Au/TiO<sub>2</sub> NTAs showed much higher photocatalytic degradation of methyl orange (MO) under visible light.<sup>[196]</sup> Nguyen et al. demonstrated a novel method





**Figure 13.**  $TiO_2$  nanotubes after 10 nm Au sputtering (a) and porous Au nanoparticle after dealloying in HNO<sub>3</sub> (e). Top view (b) and cross-sectional (f) SEM images of  $TiO_2$  nanotube arrays obtained under pulse current deposition. SEM (c) and TEM (g) images of Pt sputtered on  $TiO_2$  nanotube arrays. SEM (inset shows the EDX spectrum of the Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub> surface) (d) and TEM (h) image of Pd nanoparticle-functionalized  $TiO_2$  nanotube.(a,e) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[187]</sup> (b,f) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[198]</sup> Copyright 2010, Elsevier. (c,g) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[199]</sup> Copyright 2008, The Electrochemical Society. (d,h) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[200]</sup> Copyright 2008, American Chemical Society.

for fabricating a photocatalytic platform consisting of anodic TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes supporting arrays of highly ordered porous Au nanoparticles (Figure 13a,e).<sup>[187]</sup> This approach used highly ordered-TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes as a morphological guide for an optimized sputtering-dewetting-dealloying sequential approach of a co-catalyst layer. The metal nanoparticle size, shape, and distribution were controlled uniformly and the final nanoporous Au/TiO<sub>2</sub> nanostructures showed an enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen production from ethanol/water mixtures. Similarly, Yin et al. uniformly deposited Au nanoparticles on the surface of highly ordered TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays through anodization and microwave-assisted chemical reduction route.<sup>[197]</sup> The composite Au/TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes exhibited excellent visible light absorption due to the localized SPR effect of Au nanoparticles. Typically, the synergistic effect between nanotubular structures of TiO<sub>2</sub> and Au nanoparticles, as well as the small bias potential and strong interaction between Au and TiO<sub>2</sub>, facilitated the Au plasmon-induced charge separation and transfer, which lead to highly efficient and stable photoelectrocatalytic activity. Xie et al. constructed highly dispersed Ag nanoparticles on TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays by pulse current deposition (Figure 13b,f).<sup>[198]</sup> The Ag/TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays exhibited higher photocatalytic activities than the pure TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays under both UV and visible light irradiation. Lee and his colleague synthesized Pt/TiO2 nanotube catalysts by two different deposition methods of sputtering and evaporation (Figure 13c,g).<sup>[199]</sup> It is evident that Pt particles are deposited preferentially to the open top end of the TiO<sub>2</sub> by sputtering, which results in a more conformal coating than evaporation. The Pt deposited on the nanotubes enhanced the rate of oxygen reduction reaction and it is promising for fuel cell applications. Similarly, Mohapatra et al. prepared vertically oriented TiO2 nanotube arrays functionalized with Pd nanoparticles of ~10 nm size.<sup>[200]</sup> The Pd nanoparticles distributed uniformly throughout the TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubular surface by a simple incipient wetness method (Figure 13d,h). This functionalized material was found to be an excellent heterogeneous

photocatalyst for rapid and efficient decomposition of nonbiodegradable azo dyes (e.g., methyl red and methyl orange) under solar light.

It is noted that loading of metallic particles should be controlled carefully. If the amount is excessively high, the channels of  $TiO_2$  nanotubes could be partially blocked, leading to the decrease in the photo/photoelectro-catalytic activity.

At the same time, broadening the photocatalytically active wavelength region to visible light is also one of the most important design principles for fabricating improved 1D TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes. Tuning the physical and chemical properties of the TiO<sub>2</sub> and constructing surface heterostructures by semiconductor materials are good choices. By modifying the high UV photocatalytic properties of TiO2 with the visible photocatalytic properties of semiconductor nanoparticles or specially shaped noble metal nanoparticles with a narrower band gap, an active light wavelength broadened photocatalyst can be achieved. Besides, except for UV light and visible light, infrared light comprises more than 50% of solar light energy. Thus making use of infrared light would have great potential for photocatalysis or water splitting for hydrogen generation. An important approach for assembly of TiO2 nanostructures with visibleinfrared light photocatalytic activity is to decorating TiO<sub>2</sub> with specific materials containing d-block or f-block elements (such as Yb3+, Er3+, etc.), carbon or graphene quantum dots which absorbed long wavelength light and converted to shorter wavelengths that lie within the visible and UV regions.<sup>[201,202]</sup> TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes can easily obtain high photocatalytic performance under near-infrared light irradiation by assembling these upconversion nanoparticles. Since graphene or carbon quantum dots can convert infrared light to visible light, and then to UV light, it is also promising to use these newly emerging material to improve the photocatalytic properties of TiO2.[203,204] Zhang et al. fabricated TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays loaded with carbon quantum dots (CQD) by electrodeposition (Figure 14a).<sup>[205]</sup> The excited electrons from the CQDs transferred to the conduction



www.advancedsciencenews.com

**ADVANCED** SCIENCE NEWS



**Figure 14.** SEM images (a) of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes after CQD deposition. (b) The schematic diagram of the sensitization mechanism of the CQDs deposited on the surface TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes. The top (c) and cross-section (d) of GO/TiO<sub>2</sub> composite nanotube arrays. (a,b) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[205]</sup> Copyright 2013, Royal Society of Chemistry. (c,d) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[206]</sup> Copyright 2012, Royal Society of Chemistry.

band of the TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes in contact, and then transported to the counter electrode for the hydrogen evolution reaction (Figure 14b). The CQDs that were electrodeposited on the TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes can significantly broaden the photoresponse range to the visible and NIR regions. As a result, the enhanced optical absorption can effectively improve the light-to-electricity efficiency for hydrogen generation. Song et al. developed a hybrid material composed of graphene oxide (GO) network on the surface of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays by a simple impregnation method.<sup>[206]</sup> After a simple assembly process in the GO suspension, a sheet of GO coated the most surface of the TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays (Figure 14c,d). The obtained GO modified TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays showed a 15 times increase in the photoconversion efficiency ( $\eta$ ) compared with pristine TiO<sub>2</sub> under visible light illumination. The use of carbon and graphene quantum dots is cost-effective, environmentally friendly, and thus promising to combine with TiO<sub>2</sub> for the utilization of visible light under ambient conditions.

#### 4.3. Suppression of Charge Carrier Recombination

The photocatalytic capacity of TiO<sub>2</sub> mainly originates from photo-induced charge carriers, while the charge carriers are governed by the excitation of electrons from the valence band to the conduction band, the diffusion of charge carriers and their recombination/separation that occurs at the surface or in the bulk.<sup>[169]</sup> Thus to improve photo/electro-catalytic water splitting efficiency, attention should be put not only on the increase of photo-generated charge carriers by enhancement or extension

of light absorption, but also on how to prohibit the recombination of these carriers.

#### 4.3.1. Heterojunction

When the TiO<sub>2</sub> is coupled with SPR metal particles, electrons excited in the conduction band can escape from the plasmonic nanostructures and transfer to a contacted semiconductor, thereby forming a metalsemiconductor Schottky junction.<sup>[207,208]</sup> These semiconductors have a great effect on the charge injection efficiency under suitable conditions. And photo-induced charge carriers in the semiconductor can accumulate at the interface or flow into the metal leaving holes in the valence band of the semiconductor, then the charge carriers are significantly separated.

Another important principle for 1D  $\text{TiO}_2$ nanotubes to improve photo-induced carrier separation is to form p-n junction between the surface nanoparticles and the 1D  $\text{TiO}_2$  substrate. Since  $\text{TiO}_2$  is an n-type semiconductor, it would be one of the most effective strategies to construct a p-n junction with another p-type semiconductor due to the existence of an internal electric field at the interface.<sup>[209–212]</sup>

When a p-n junction is constructed, the formed local electric field drive the photo-generated electrons move to the n-type semiconductor side, and holes to the p-type semiconductor side (**Figure 15**).<sup>[213]</sup> At the same time, the charge carriers can diffuse into the space charge region. As a result, the separation of photo-induced electron-hole pairs can be effectively realized, leading to enhanced photo/photoelectro-catalytic activity.

Liu et al. successfully decorated the n-type TiO<sub>2</sub> with p-type BiOI by immersing annealed TiO<sub>2</sub> NTAs into Bi(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O and NaI, respectively.<sup>[214]</sup> The BiOI nanoflakes grow perpendicular to the wall of nanotubes, which is beneficial for the increase in the specific surface area. And they loaded on both the outer and inner walls of TiO2 nanotubes, acting as the light-transfer paths for distribution of the photo energy onto the deeper surfaces. Furthermore, the internal electric field caused by p-n BiOI/TiO2 heterojunction effectively prevented the recombination of electrons and holes. Accordingly, the BiOI/TiO2 NTAs exhibited a more effective photo-conversion efficiency than single TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes under visible light irradiation. Zhao et al. used the p-n heterojunction comprised of p-type BiOI nanoflakes array and n-type TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes array fabricated by successive ionic layer adsorption and reaction (SILAR) (Figure 16a) for the detection of cancer biomarker vascular endothelial growth factor.<sup>[213]</sup> The crossed BiOI nanoflakes on perpendicularly aligned and highly ordered TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes possessed unique layered structures (Figure 16b,c). Specifically, charge separation could occur simultaneously both in BiOI nanoflakes and TiO2 NTAs. Then, the photoelectrons of p-type BiOI would promptly inject into the conuction of n-type TiO<sub>2</sub>, while the holes of latter would transfer to the valence







**Figure 15.** Schematic diagrams for the energy bands of p-type BiOI and n-type TiO<sub>2</sub> before and after coupling, as well as the specific charge transfer process at the formed p-n junction under visible-light irradiation. Reproduced with permission.<sup>[213]</sup> Copyright 2014, Nature Publishing Group.

band of the former. These injected electrons on the conduction band of TiO<sub>2</sub> NTAs were then rapidly collected by the Ti substrate as photocurrent due to the efficient charge transport within the arrayed tubes. The synergistic effect of these factors could substantially promote the spatial charge separation and the subsequent migration of these carriers, impeding the charge recombination and thus improving the excitation and conversion efficiency. Mor et al. fabricated vertically oriented p-type Cu–Ti–O nanotube array films by anodization of copper rich (60% to 74%) Ti metal films co-sputtered onto FTO coated glass (Figure 16e).<sup>[215]</sup> In combination with n-type TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube array films, p/n-junction photochemical diodes capable of generating a chemical fuel were formed (Figure 16d) with a photocurrent of approximately  $0.25 \text{ mA cm}^2$ , at a photoconversion efficiency of 0.30%.

Some ternary metal oxides with the perovskite structure such as  $SrTiO_3$ ,  $CaTiO_3$ ,  $BaTiO_3$ ,  $MgTiO_3$ , etc., have been found to be catalytically active and can be potentially used in photocatalysis by forming  $TiO_2$  heterostructures.<sup>[48,216–219]</sup> Of particular interest,  $SrTiO_3$  has attracted much interest for water splitting due to its high corrosion resistance and excellent photocatalytic activity. Furthermore,  $SrTiO_3$  possesses only a 200 mV conduction band edge, which is more negative than  $TiO_2$ , thus it makes  $SrTiO_3$  a good candidate for coupling  $TiO_2$  and improving



**Figure 16.** Schematic illustration for fabricating crossed BiOI nanoflakes/TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes arrayed structure (a). SEM image of the self-organized  $TiO_2$  nanotubes (b) and 3D interlaced network of BiOI layer on  $TiO_2$  nanotubes (c). Illustration of photoelectrochemical diode for water splitting comprised of n-type  $TiO_2$  and p-type Cu–Ti–O nanotube array films, with their substrates connected through an ohmic contact (d), lateral and top view FESEM images of Cu–Ti–O nanotube array (e). (a–c) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[213]</sup> Copyright 2014, Nature Publishing Group. (d,e) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[215]</sup> Copyright 2008, American Chemical Society.



photoelectrochemical properties by shifting the Fermi level of the composite to more negative potentials.<sup>[220]</sup> In 2009, Zhang et al. tailored TiO<sub>2</sub>-SrTiO<sub>3</sub> heterostructure nanotube arrays by converting electrochemical anodized TiO2 nanotube arrays into TiO<sub>2</sub>-SrTiO<sub>3</sub> heterostructures through controlled substitution of Sr under hydrothermal conditions (Figure 17a,b).<sup>[220]</sup> The photoelectrochemical performance of such a vertically aligned heterostructure array is strongly dependent on its composition and morphology. At the hydrothermal reaction time equal to 1 h, nanoparticles with a diameter of approximately 50 nm start to form on the surface of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes. In this condition the TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube electrodes exhibited greater photocurrent than other composite TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes with longer reacting time. Only well-dispersed SrTiO<sub>3</sub> nanocrystallites on TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays can efficiently cause the Fermi level to equilibrate and reduce the recombination of charge carriers at the surface of the heterostructure, and finally improve the overall photoelectrochemical performance. This work provides a convenient way to tailor the photoelectrochemical properties of TiO<sub>2</sub>-SrTiO<sub>3</sub> nanotube arrays and employ them for dye-sensitized solar cells or photocatalytic hydrogen production. In 2011, Hamedani et al. reported highly ordered Sr-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays synthesized via a one-step electrochemical anodization technique (Figure 17c,d).<sup>[221]</sup> The morphology and quality of the fabricated materials were highly related to the pH of the electrolyte and the solubility limit of Sr(OH)<sub>2</sub> in the electrolyte. Moreover, Sr doping of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes showed a red shift in the absorption edge, which resulted in an electrode photoconversion efficiency

ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS \_\_\_\_\_ www.advancedsciencenews.com

> of 0.69%, more than 3 times higher than that of the undoped nanotube arrays (0.2%) under the same conditions. Additionally, metallic elements such as Nb, Cr are usually doped into TiO<sub>2</sub>/SrTiO<sub>3</sub> nanotubular heterostructures to enhance their photoelectrocatalytic activities.<sup>[222,223]</sup> For example in the SrTiO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> composites, the electrons of pure SrTiO<sub>3</sub> can only be excited from the valence band (O 2p) to the conduction band (Ti 3d) by photons with energy greater than 3.2 eV. While in Crdoped SrTiO<sub>3</sub>, a Cr 3d level appears within the forbidden gap, and the mixing of the Cr 3d with the Ti 3d level slightly lowers the conduction band, which effectively narrows the bandgap of SrTiO<sub>3</sub>. So electrons can directly transit from the newly formed dopant states (Cr 3d) to the conduction band (Ti 3d and Cr 3d). In this way the visible-light response of SrTiO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> heterostructures can be greatly improved by the partial substitution of Cr<sup>3+</sup> for Sr<sup>2+</sup> cations in the SrTiO<sub>3</sub> (Figure 17e,f).<sup>[223]</sup>

#### 4.3.2. Band Structure Engineering

A good matching of the conduction and valence bands of two semiconductors enables efficient charge carrier transfer from one to another.<sup>[224]</sup> It is reported that wide band gap energy of  $TiO_2$  nanotubes coupled with small band gap semiconductor could result in the formation of heterojunctions, which simultaneous enhances visible light harvest and charge separation.<sup>[225,226]</sup>

The modification of  $TiO_2$  nanotubes with quantum dots with narrow band gap is a promising approach since it can improve



**Figure 17.** SEM and TEM (insets) images of (a) TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube array after annealing at 450 °C and (b) TiO<sub>2</sub>–SrTiO<sub>3</sub> hybrid nanostructures obtained after 1 h hydrothermal treatment. Top-view and bottom-view (inset) images of Sr-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes (c) and its corresponding cross-sectional views in 0.04 M dopant concentration at pH = 3 (d). SEM images (e) and schematic illustration (f) of charge separation and transport of heterostructured Cr-doped SrTiO<sub>3</sub> nanocube/TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube array heterostructures. (a,b) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[220]</sup> Copyright 2009, American Chemical Society. (c,d) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[221]</sup> Copyright 2011, American Chemical Society. (e,f) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[223]</sup>



the photocatalytic activity effectively. Cadmium sulfide (CdS) is a well-known semiconductor and widely used to modify TiO<sub>2</sub> materials. CdS has a narrow band gap of 2.4 eV, which matches well with the spectrum of sunlight and allows CdS to act as photo-sensitizer to absorb visible light. The combination of CdS and TiO<sub>2</sub> can be achieved by electrochemical deposition and sequential chemical bath deposition. In a typical synthesis procedure, dipping the prepared TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays film into the precursor solution containing Cd<sup>2+</sup> for several minutes and dried at 60 °C in air are needed first. Then them are placed into the precursor solution of S2- followed by treatment with electrochemical deposition or sequential chemical bath deposition. Usually the two steps are repeated several times to realize a total deposition. And finally the mixtures are heated at 450 °C for 2 h in a nitrogen atmosphere.<sup>[227,228]</sup> Zhu et al. reported the coaxial heterogeneous composite material formed from selfassembled TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes and the narrow-band-gap compound semiconductor CdS using the electrochemical ALD technique (Figure 18a).<sup>[229]</sup> The modified electrochemical ALD technique produced uniform thin films of narrow-band-gap compound semiconductors coating onto large-surface-area nanostructured substrates in various deposition potentials (Figure 18b-d). These coaxial heterogeneous structures enhanced CdS/TiO<sub>2</sub> and CdS/electrolyte contact areas and reduced the distance of holes and electrons to the electrolyte or underlying conducting substrate. This results in enhanced photon absorption and photocurrent generation, which are favorable for water photoelectrolysis and toxic pollutant photocatalytic degradation. By using a small applied potential and white light, CdS-coated TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays could totally inactivate bacteria in very short time due to the enhanced generation of OH' radicals and with H<sup>+</sup>, which has great potential in wastewater treatment.<sup>[230]</sup> It has been proven that TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes have directionality benefits in oriented arrays through improvements in electron mobility and separation of charges, but the accessiblity of two sides for illumination is still limited when directly used for solar cell.<sup>[231,232]</sup> Thus the detachment and reassembly of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes on another conducting surface are necessary. In order to deal with such problem and assess the behavior of randomly structured nanotubes versus oriented nanotubes, David et al. conducted a photoelectrochemical study by modifying each of these systems with CdS.<sup>[233]</sup> They removed etched TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes from the titanium foil substrate by sonication and reassembled them onto new electrodes. The random nanotube structures can directly transfer electrons conducting substrate without a barrier layer and have a higher intertube porosity, providing additional space for the electrolyte solution, which allows for faster mass transfer of the redox couple. The photoelectrochemical results of these reassembled TiO<sub>2</sub>–CdS electrodes showed a slight decrease in photocurrent response but a small increase in photopotential as compared to oriented TiO2-CdS electrodes. Reconstruction of the nanotubes onto new substrates does not significantly reduce the benefits of the one dimensional TiO2 nanostructure, and it creates opportunities for various critical applications.

Besides CdS quantum dot, Jia et al. successfully prepared ZnS–In<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>–Ag<sub>2</sub>S solid solution coupled with  $TiO_{2-x}S_x$  nanotubes film catalyst by a two-step process of anodization and solvothermal methods.<sup>[234]</sup> After sovolthermal treatment, the TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes still kept their tube-like structures, and the

needle-like ZnS–In<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>–Ag<sub>2</sub>S nanorods deposited on the most part of the surface of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes (Figure 18e,f). Typically, the doping of multiple elements could modify the band structure, narrowing the band gap of TiO<sub>2</sub> and inducing visible light absorption at the sub-band-gap energies (Figure 18g). Such ZnS–In<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>–Ag<sub>2</sub>S@TiO<sub>2-x</sub>S<sub>x</sub> nanotubes composite presents the enhanced absorption in visible region and the efficient transfer of photoelectron between the solid solution and TiO<sub>2-x</sub>S<sub>x</sub> nanotubes, which leads to the excellent photocatalytic activity for the photocatalytic hydrogen evolution from aqueous solutions.

It is noted that the band gap of TiO<sub>2</sub> can be narrowed by nonmetal ion doping via using anions such as N, C, B, F, P, S and I etc.<sup>[235-238]</sup> The commonly used methods to prepare doped TiO<sub>2</sub> nanostructures are: thermal treatments or synthesis of TiO<sub>2</sub> NTAs in certain gas atmospheres such as N<sub>2</sub>, CO, Ar, etc.; co-sputtering or sputtering with doping materials; ion implantation and electrochemical oxidation.<sup>[147]</sup> Thermal treatment in gas atmospheres of the doping species is frequently used for nitrogen or carbon doping. Ion implantation and electrochemical oxidation are recognized as facile doping means to incorporate nitrogen-containing species into the TiO<sub>2</sub> lattice. However, the sputtering and ion implantation need high energy accelerators in high operating voltage, and the implantation depth is only several micrometers, which lead to inhomogeneous dopant distribution. Among all the nonmetal doped TiO<sub>2</sub>, N- and C- doped TiO<sub>2</sub> are the most successful approaches and have been most widely studied. And combing with the intrinsic defects of TiO<sub>2</sub>, such as reduced Ti species and oxygen vacancies, the formation of localized states which may merge to form sub-band gap level and thus creating a lower energy excitation pathway.<sup>[105]</sup> Recently, Su et al. synthesized a graphitic carbon nitride quantum dots (CNQDs) modified TiO2 nanotube arrays (NTAs) photoelectrode by electrochemical anodization technique and a followed organic molecular linkage using bifunctional organic molecule as an effective linker.<sup>[239]</sup> The modification of TiO<sub>2</sub> by CNQDs showed a significant improvement in the photoelectrochemical activity owing to enhanced light absorption and improved photo-generated electron-hole pairs separation. Upon solar light irradiation, photo-generated holes and electrons are generated in the valence band (VB) and conduction band (CB) of TiO<sub>2</sub> and CNQDs, respectively. These electrons in CB of CNQDs can transfer easily to the CB of TiO<sub>2</sub> due to the band alignment and potential difference, and they finally reach the Ti substrate along the vertical tubular structures, realizing fast charge transfer and separation. Under the electric field, the electrons transfer to the counter electrode to complete hydrogen production. At the same time, the accumulated holes in the VB of CNQDs which come from VB of TiO<sub>2</sub> continuely oxidize water to form oxygen. Thus, the photo-generated electron-hole pairs are effectively separated, thereby leading to the significantly enhanced photoelectrochemical performance.

#### 5. Application of Photo/photoelectro-catalytic Water Splitting

With the fast development of economy and increasing depletion of natural fossil fuels, the environmental problems and energy shortage are becoming more and more serious. Human beings





**Figure 18.** Schematic of narrow-band-gap semiconductor/TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube coaxial heterogeneous structural design (a). Top-surface FE-SEM and enlarged (inset) images of CdS electrodeposited onto TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays at -0.65 V (b), -0.70 V (c) and -0.75 V (d) (vs Ag/AgCl) representatively. SEM images of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes (e) and ZnS-In<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>-Ag<sub>2</sub>S@TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes (f). The band structures and the mechanism of electron transport for ZnS-In<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>-Ag<sub>2</sub>S solid solution coupled with TiO<sub>2-x</sub>S<sub>x</sub> nanotubes film catalyst (g). (a–d) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[229]</sup> Copyright 2010, American Chemical Society. (e–g) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[234]</sup> Copyright 2012, Elsevier.

have been urgently called up to deal with these problems. As discussed above,  $TiO_2$  nanotubes have shown to be an excellent photocatalyst for hydrogen production and decomposition of pollutants due to low-cost, non-toxicity, strong redox power, and physical and chemical stability.<sup>[240–242]</sup>

#### 5.1. Photocatalytic Water Splitting for Hydrogen Production

Photocatalysis is the simplest water-splitting approach, more amenable to cheap, large scale applications of H<sub>2</sub> production.<sup>[243–245]</sup> In general, the hydrogen production rate is greatly depending on the sacrificial agent (methanol, ethanol, KOH etc.), light intensity, and TiO<sub>2</sub> morphology and structure.<sup>[246]</sup> D'Elia et al. compared the hydrogen production activity of TiO<sub>2</sub>

nanotube with TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles by using methanol as sacrificial agent. TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube was found to be more active than TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles under UV light because of the oriented structure for superior charge transport and lower recombination of electron/hole pairs.<sup>[247]</sup> In addition, Kim et al. and Sun et al. explored the influence of anodization time and annealing temperature of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays on the photocatalytic water splitting activity, respectively. The results showed that TiO<sub>2</sub> NTAs with high ratio of anatase and media tube length displayed higher photocatalytic hydrogen production activity.<sup>[248,249]</sup> Besides, Xu et al. successfully synthesized 1D mesoporous TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes with lengths ranging from 100 nm to 400 nm and diameters around 10 nm by a hydrothermal-calcination process.<sup>[250]</sup> They exhibited excellent photocatalytic activity for simultaneous photocatalytic H<sub>2</sub> production and Cu<sup>2+</sup> removal



from water. However, TiO2 nanotubes still show low photocatalytic hydrogen production activity under visible light due to fast recombination of electron/hole pairs and low utilization of visible light. Therefore, it is essential to increase the surface area or construct heterostructures by modifying TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes with metal, non-metal and semiconductors to improve the photocatalytic performances. CdS, a well-known semiconductor (2.4 eV), which can increase visible light absorption of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube and facilitate the transfer of the photo-generated electrons at the interface between CdS and TiO<sub>2</sub>, is widely used to modify TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes to improve photocatalytic water splitting activity. Zhang et al. prepared CdS/TiO2 nanotubes composite by a facile chemical bath deposition method (Figure 19a-c).<sup>[251]</sup> Hexagonal phase CdS nanoparticles with an average particle size of ca. 8 nm were uniformly anchored inside TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes in average tubular diameter of ca. 15 nm. The absorption edge of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes can be extended to visible region by loading with CdS nanoparticles. The CdS/TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes composite exhibited high activity of hydrogen production (284.7  $\mu$ L h<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>) in a mixure of Na<sub>2</sub>S and Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> solution under visible light irradiation due to the enhanced separation efficiency of photogenerated electron-hole pair. In addition, noble metal particles such as Au, Ag, Pt etc. are also widely used to decorate TiO2 nanotubes for improving photocatalytic hydrogen production activity because of surface plasmon resonance (SPR) effect for enhanced visible light absorption and suppressed combination of electron/holes.[252-255]

Recently, we reported an enhanced hydrogen generation rate of 2  $\mu$ mol h<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> by a two-step electrochemical anodized TiO<sub>2</sub> NTAs with a ordered hexagonal and regular porous top layer.<sup>[256]</sup> Moreover, we found that Ag nanoparticles sensitized TiO<sub>2</sub> NTAs by an ultrasonication-assisted in situ deposition strategy exhibited highly efficient photocatalytic hydrogen production rate of 30  $\mu$ mol h<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> under visible light illumination (15 times over its pristine TiO<sub>2</sub> NTA counterpart) (Figure 19d–f). Except for binary, ternary Fe/Ag/TiO<sub>2</sub> NTAs, Cu<sub>2</sub>O/Cu/TiO<sub>2</sub> NTAs, CdSe/CdS/TiO<sub>2</sub> NTAs structures are widely investigated and they all showed improved photocatalytic water splitting activity under both UV and visible light.<sup>[257–259]</sup>

# 5.2. Photoelectrocatalytic Water Splitting for Hydrogen Production

Although intense efforts have been put into photocatalytic water splitting in order to increase hydrogen generation activity, it still faces several challenging issues such as fast recombination of electron/hole pairs, low quantum efficiency in the visible range and solar-to-hydrogen (STH) efficiencies less than 0.1%. Photoelectrolysis using photocatalyst electrodes with additional electrical power provided by a photovoltaic element has been proven a facile and promising route to improve the water splitting performance with higher STH efficiency over than 5%.<sup>[260–264]</sup> Lin et al. obtained an enhanced hydrogen production by



**Figure 19.** TEM images of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube (a) and CdS/TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube (b), respectively. Activities of  $H_2$  evolution for TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube and CdS/nanotube under visible light irradiation for 6 h (c). SEM (d) and TEM (e) image of Ag/TiO<sub>2</sub> NTAs, respectively. Schematic diagram showing the energy band structure and electron-hole pairs separation in Ag/TiO<sub>2</sub> NTAs under visible light irradiation (f). (a–c) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[251]</sup> Copyright 2008, Elsevier. (d–f) Reproduced with permission.<sup>[256]</sup> Copyright 2016, Royal Society of Chemistry.



**4DVANCED** 

photoelectrocatalytic water splitting using extremely highly ordered nanotubular TiO2 arrays with three-step electrochemical anodization.<sup>[265]</sup> The TiO<sub>2</sub> NTAs constructed through the third anodization showed appreciably more regular architecture than that of the sample by conventional single anodization under the same conditions. It was found that the photoelectrocatalytic water splitting for hydrogen evolution rate of the third-step anodic TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes was higher than that of single-step and second-step anodic TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes. In addition, Sun's group discussed the effect of annealing temperature on the hydrogen production of ordered TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays, which were synthesized by a rapid anodization process in ethylene glycol electrolyte (Figure 20).<sup>[266]</sup> The results indicated that the crystal phase and morphology of TiO2 NTAs had no great changes at low annealing temperatures. Anatase phase and tubular structure of TiO2 NTAs were stable up to 450 °C. With further increase in temperature, the crystallization transformation from anatase to rutile phase appeared, accompanied by the destruction of tubular structures. Due to the excellent crystallization and the maintenance of tubular structures, TiO<sub>2</sub> NTAs annealed at 450 °C exhibited the highest photoconversion efficiency of 4.49% and maximum hydrogen production rate of 122  $\mu$ mol h<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>, which is consistent with Li's results.<sup>[267]</sup> Besides, Liang's group reported a study to improve the solar water splitting activity of TiO<sub>2</sub> photoanodes by tuning the average wall thickness, inner diameter and porosity of the nanotube arrays.<sup>[268]</sup> Further analysis reveals that the photoconversion efficiency increases monotonously with porosity rather than with wall thickness or inner diameter because large porosity can ensure a much shorter hole diffusion path toward wall surface and accelerate ion migration in the tube to overcome the kinetic bottleneck, thus enhancing the photoelectrocatalytic water splitting efficiency.

However, pristine  $TiO_2$  nanotubes suffer from not only low electrical conductivity, but also fast recombination of electro/ hole pairs and weak visible-light harvest due to the larger band gap, resulting in a low STH conversion efficiency. The band engineering of  $TiO_2$  nanotubes by using dye, quantum dot sensitization, chemical doping and narrow gap semiconductor coupling has been proposed to enhance light absorption and obtain a higher STH efficiency.<sup>[269-271]</sup> Recently, black titania was reported by annealing in the hydrogen atmosphere to boost solar light harvesting impressively for enhanced photocatalytic and photoelectrochemical performances.<sup>[272,273]</sup> This was attributed to considerable amount of Ti3+ defects and oxygen vacancies introduced into lattice of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube for expanding visible light absorption, facilitating charge transport and charge separation. In addition, Ye et al. sensitized TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays by palladium quantum dots (Pd QDs) by a facile hydrothermal strategy. As shown in Figure 21a,b, the nanotube arrays were crack-free and smooth with an average tube diameter of 80 nm and a wall thickness of 30 nm after a three-step electrochemical anodization.<sup>[135]</sup> After hydrothermal reaction, Pd QDs were uniformly dispersed over the entire surface of the nanotubes, both inside and outside of the nanotubes with very small particle size of  $3.3 \pm 0.7$  nm (Figure 21c-f). By exploiting Pd@TNTAs nanocomposites as both photoanode and cathode, a substantially increased photon-to-current conversion efficiency of nearly 100% at  $\lambda = 330$  nm and a greatly promoted photocatalytic hydrogen production rate of 592  $\mu mol~h^{-1}~cm^{-2}$  at –0.3  $V_{SCE}$  in  $Na_2CO_3$  and ethylene glycol solution under 320 mW cm<sup>-2</sup> irradiation were achieved (Figure 21g-i).

Gao et al. successfully constructed heterostructures by incorporating CdTe QDs into TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes.<sup>[274]</sup> It was found that CdTe QDs have significantly extended the photon response of the  $TiO_2$  nanotube film electrodes into the visible region and the photoelectrochemical performance of the QDs-sensitized TiO<sub>2</sub> photoelectrode was affected significantly by the size of the CdTe QDs. The photocurrent and hydrogen production activity have been improved a lot under AM 1.5 light illumination than the plain TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube film. These results confirmed that semiconductors such CdTe, CdS, Cu<sub>2</sub>O etc. can be used as effective sensitizers and demonstrates the potential applications of the TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube/semiconductor heterostrutures in solar cells. In order to achieve more superior hydrogen production rate, visible-light-driven responsive Au/reduced graphene oxide/hydrogenated TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays ternary composites (Au/RGO/H-NTAs) were fabricated by electrophoretical deposition of Au nanoparticles



**Figure 20.** X-ray diffraction patterns (a), transient photocurrent response (b) and comparison of the rates of hydrogen production (c) of TiO<sub>2</sub> NTAs annealed at various temperatures ranging from 350 to 750 °C. A, anatase; R, rutile; T, titanium. Reproduced with permission.<sup>[266]</sup> Copyright 2011, American Chemical Society.







**Figure 21.** Top and cross-sectional SEM images of pure TNAs (a,b) and Pd QDs/TNAs (c,d). The insets show the corresponding magnified images. TEM (e) and HRTEM (f) images of TNAs coated with Pd QDs. Amount of hydrogen generated by capitalizing on TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes and Pd/TNTAs nanocomposites as photoanodes and Pt foil and Pd/TNTA nanocomposites as cathodes at  $-0.3 V_{SCE}$  in a PEC cell containing a 2 M Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and 0.5 M ethylene glycol solution under 320 mW cm<sup>-2</sup> irradiation (g). Schematic of Pd/TNAs on photoelectrolytic water splitting for hydrogen production (h). Schematic illustration of TNAs deposited with Pd QDs and the charge transfer process from TiO<sub>2</sub> to Pd (i). Reproduced with permission.<sup>[135]</sup> Copyright 2012, American Chemical Society.

and graphene oxide sheets onto hydrogenated TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays.<sup>[275]</sup> Under visible light illumination ( $\lambda > 400$  nm), the photoelectrochemical current density and hydrogen evolution rate of Au/RGO/H-NTAs is 224 µA cm<sup>-2</sup> and 45 µmol h<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>, which is much higher than that of pristine NTAs, single H-NTAs or RGO/H-NTAs due to the SPR effect of noble nanoparticles for visible light harvesting and the efficient separation of electron/hole pairs. Other than photoelectrocatalytic water splitting for hydrogen generation, photocatalysts can also degrade pollutants at the same time. CdSe nanoparticles enhanced TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays electrodes (CdSe/NTAs) by electrodeposition were explored as the photoanode for driving the photoelectrocatalytic generation of hydrogen and simultaneous degradation of organic pollutants in a photoelectrochemical

(PEC) system.<sup>[276]</sup> As shown in **Figure 22**, CdSe nanoparticles, with an average size about 10 nm, were highly dispersed and uniformly deposited on the surface of the pore wall and inside of TiO<sub>2</sub> NTAs. And the amount of nanoparticles can be adjusted by the electrodeposition time. Besides, both the electrodeposition time and concentration of MO was investigated for evaluating the photoelectrocatalytic activity of CdSe/NTAs photoanode. It was also found that the presence of the MO greatly enhanced the PEC efficiency for hydrogen evolution as well as the high simultaneous degradation rates of MO. CdSe/NTAs photoanode showed high degradation activity of MO and hydrogen production rate at 0.3 bias under visible light irradiation. It was noted that deposition time for 30 s and 20 ppm concentration of MO were favorable for the highest hydrogen





**Figure 22.** SEM images of pure  $TiO_2$  nanotube arrays (a,b) and CdSe/NTAs (c,d). TEM image of CdSe/NTAs (e). The volume of the evolved hydrogen on Pt foil (f), and the degradation rate of MO with different concentration MO (g) under the visible light illumination. (CdSe/TiO<sub>2</sub> NTAs with electrodeposition for 30 s as photoanode, Pt foil as counter electrode, reaction time = 2 h). Photoelectrocatalytic H<sub>2</sub> evolution and MO (20 ppm) degradation rates on different samples by changing the electrodeposition time (h). Schematic of CdSe/NTAs on photoelectrolytic water splitting for hydrogen production and degradation rate of MO at the same time (i). Reproduced with permission.<sup>[276]</sup> Copyright 2016, Elsevier.

evolution and photoelectrocatalytic degradation. The strategy could provide experience for designing new nanocomposites that generation of hydrogen and simultaneous degradation of organic pollutant, which presents both enery and environmental benefits.

Furthermore, functionalizing TiO<sub>2</sub> with biologically active materials to achieve light-assisted water splitting is a brand new avenue to pursue. Nageh et al. have successfully prepared a bacteriorhodopsin (bR)/TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube array hybrid electrode system by the sensitization of anodized TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes with bR.<sup>[277]</sup> The bR/TiO<sub>2</sub> electrodes anchored with a linker showed a approximately 50% increase in photocurrent density compared to pure TiO<sub>2</sub> when used as photoanodes to split water photoelectrochemically. Such enhanced photocurrent generation is due to the proton pumping effect of bR, and this work may provide a new perspective method for developing versatile bio-photoelectric devices for solar-to-fuel generation.

Similar to photocatalytic water splitting for hydrogen production, besides the sacrificial agent (methanol, ethanol, KOH etc.), light intensity,  $TiO_2$  morphology and structure, the bias potential also affects the hydrogen production rate. The comparison between photo/photoelectro-catalytic water splitting is summarized in **Table 2** and **Table 3**, respectively.

#### 6. Conclusions

The fast depletion of fossil fuel and serious environmental problems have been hot topics in recent years. Solar water splitting accompanied with photocatalytic pollutant degradation is recognized as a potential science and technology advancement for solving these issues in the future. Until now, a large number of fundamental studies on synthesis, modification and applications have been extensively carried out. Great progress has been made for 1D TiO<sub>2</sub> nanostructured materials at



ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS www.advancedsciencenews.com

Table 2.	Summary	of	TiO <sub>2</sub>	nanotubes	based	materials	in	photocataly	tic water	splittin	g
----------	---------	----	------------------	-----------	-------	-----------	----	-------------	-----------	----------	---

Photocatalyst	Light intensity	Electrolyte	Water splitting rate	Ref.
TiO <sub>2</sub> nanotubes	150 W halide lamp	10 v% MeOH + 90 v% H <sub>2</sub> O	0.27 $\mu$ mol min <sup>-1</sup>	[247]
TiO <sub>2</sub> nanotubes	400 W Hg lamp	$MeOH + H_2O$	15.7 mmol g <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[250]
ZnS–In <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> –Ag <sub>2</sub> S/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	500 W Xe lamp	0.1 M Na <sub>2</sub> S + 0.02 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>3</sub>	25.02 μmol h <sup>-1</sup>	[234]
CdS/TiO <sub>2</sub> nanotubes	Visible light ( $\lambda$ > 400 nm)	$Na_2S + Na_2SO_3$	284.7 $\mu$ L g <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[251]
Au/TiO <sub>2</sub> nanotubes	100 mW cm $^{-2}$ ( $\lambda$ > 400 nm)	10 v% MeOH + 90 v% H <sub>2</sub> O	482 μmol g <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[252]
Pt/TiO <sub>2</sub> nanotubes	Visible light ( $\lambda$ > 400 nm)	$MeOH + H_2O$	29.2 µmol g <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[254]
Pt/TiO <sub>2</sub> nanotubes	120 W Hg lamp	10 v% EtOH + 90 v% H <sub>2</sub> O	30 mmol g <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[255]
Ag/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	Visible light ( $\lambda$ > 420 nm)	20 v% MeOH + 80 v% H <sub>2</sub> O	$30 \ \mu mol \ cm^{-1} \ h^{-1}$	[256]
Cu/Cu <sub>2</sub> O/TiO <sub>2</sub> nanotubes	350 W Hg lamp	10 v% MeOH + 90 v% H <sub>2</sub> O	7.6 $\mu$ mol cm <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[258]
Fe/Ag/TiO <sub>2</sub> nanotubes	Visible light ( $\lambda$ > 400 nm)	10 v% EtOH + 90 v% H <sub>2</sub> O	$1.35 \ \mu mol \ cm^{-2} \ h^{-1}$	[259]
Pt/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	Solar light AM 1.5	50% MeOH + 50% H <sub>2</sub> O	25 $\mu$ mol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[278]
Au/TiO <sub>2</sub> nanotubes	6.5 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> UV light	20 v% EtOH + 80 v% H <sub>2</sub> O	31.8 mmol g <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[279]
C/TiO <sub>2</sub> nanotube/ Carbon nanotubes	Solar light AM 1.5	50 v% EtOH + 50 v% H <sub>2</sub> O	37.6 mmol g <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[280]
Cu(OH) <sub>2</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	300 W Xe lamp	0.09 M EG + H <sub>2</sub> O	$6.5 \ \mu mol \ cm^{-2} \ h^{-1}$	[281]
Pt/N/TiO <sub>2</sub> nanotubes	250 W Hg lamp	$C_3H_8O_3 + H_2O$	1508 μmol g <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[282]
Ag/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	35 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> ( $\lambda$ > 400 nm)	10 v% EtOH + 90 v% H <sub>2</sub> O	0.96 µmol cm <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[283]
Cu(OH) <sub>2</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	400 W Hg lamp	10 v% MeOH + 90 v% H <sub>2</sub> O	14.94 mmol cm <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[284]
Zn/TiO <sub>2</sub> nanotubes	18 W cm <sup>-2</sup> UV light	$MeOH + H_2O$	2.3 mL g <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[285]
CuO/TiO <sub>2</sub> nanotubes	400 W Hg lamp	10 v% MeOH + 90 v% H <sub>2</sub> O	71.6 mmol g <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[286]
Pt/CdS/TiO <sub>2</sub> nanotubes	500 W Hg lamp	0.1 M Na <sub>2</sub> S + 0.35 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>3</sub>	74 mL g <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[287]
CdS/TiO <sub>2</sub> nanotubes	Visible light ( $\lambda$ > 420 nm)	0.25 M Na <sub>2</sub> S + 0.35 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>3</sub>	2.62 mmol g <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[288]

the laboratory scale. In this review, we present the state-of-theart development on the fabrication 1D TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes with a well-controlled size and morphology by hydrothermal method, solvothermal method, template technique and electrochemical anodic oxidation. Among them, the hydrothermal and anodization route are most popular for the synthesis of 1D TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes due to the easy operation and rational control of the nanostructures. Besides, it is necessary to construct heterostructures by modifying or doping with metal, non-metal and semiconductors in order to achieve sufficient efficiency. At last, this review discussed the factors such as sacrificial agent (methanol, ethanol, KOH etc.), light intensity, the applied potential, TiO<sub>2</sub> morphology and structure which have an influence on the photo/photoelectron-catalytic hydrogen production rate. Owing to low-cost production, high aspect ratio, large specific surface area, and excellent electronic or ionic charge transfer properties, 1D TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes are widely used in photocatalytic degradation of pollutants, water splitting, solar cells, photoreduction of CO<sub>2</sub>, supercapacitors and lithium-ion batteries.

However, there are still extensive scientific and technical challenges on the functionalities and performances of 1D  $\text{TiO}_2$  nanotubes in the field of solar water splitting. As a wide band gap material,  $\text{TiO}_2$  showed a low utilization of solar light and fast recombination of electro/hole pairs, resulting in low solar-to-hydrogen efficiency and photocatalytic hydrogen generation activity. Therefore, more efforts should be focused on investigating the synergistic effects of the different engineering strategies including increasing the specific surface area, modifying

with other novel low-cost nanomaterials with improved light harvest and photoelectric conversion performances. Meanwhile, it is meaningful and promising to prepare TiO<sub>2</sub> composites to realize photocatalytic water splitting for hydrogen production and photocatalytic degradation of pollutants at the same time. Since titanate materials possess large interlayer spacing and adjustable lattice parameters, it is essential to obtain the titania materials with unique ion-exchange properties and required crystal structures by rational control of the morphology of the starting material. Moreover, by combining the excellent adsorption ability of titanates and high photocatalytic activity of titania, faster photocatalytic water splitting or photodegradation of organic pollutants in wastewater can be significantly achieved. Besides, the introduction of biologically active materials to TiO<sub>2</sub> is also a good choice to explore new materials and versatile methods for developing high performance solar-to-fuel devices with sustainable properties. Additionally, most of the researches are still in the laboratory and the output of H<sub>2</sub> falls far from the needs of industrial production. So scaling up of the photocatalytic/photoelectrochemical instrument/equipment should be developed to make these technologies applicable for practical application as soon as possible.

Morever, there is a long way to go to produce hydrogen without sacrificial agents in water. Equally challenging is to decrease the reduction overpotential needed for water splitting. With all the challenges of the  $TiO_2$  photocatalyst, we expect dimensionally constructed  $TiO_2$  materials be able to play an important role in the future clean fuel hydrogen generation and environmental

### ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS

www.advancedsciencenews.com

NCED

**ADVAN** 

www.advancedscience.com

#### Table 3. Summary of ${\rm TiO}_2$ nanotubes based materials in photoelectrocatalytic water splitting.

The second se	Photocatalyst	Light intensity	Electrolyte	Photocurrent (mA $cm^{-2}$ )	IPCE and water splitting rate	Ref.
$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 $		150 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Xe Jamp	1 M KOH + 0.5 M H-SO.	7.0	n = 4.39% at $= 5.4$ Vect	[86]
The interval is a second s	110211113	iso in we many	1 W KOTT 1 0.5 W 112504	7.0	$7 = 4.5576 \text{ at } -5.4 \text{ V}_{SCE}$	[00]
$ \begin{array}{c} 1000 \ 10000 \ 100000 \ 10000 \ 10000 \ 10000$	ΤΙΩς ΝΙΤΔς	$110 \text{ mW} \text{ cm}^{-2} 350 \text{ W} \text{ Xe lamp}$		4 95 at 0 Veer	n = 4.13% at $= 0.64$ V <sub></sub>	[248]
TOP, NTAs with two-step andization       10 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> S0 W Xe lamp       10 v/k KOH + 90 v/k EG       0.67 at 0.5 V <sub>last</sub> $\eta = 3.7\%$ [23]         TOP, NTAs with three step andization       300 W Xe lamp       2 M Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> + 0.5 M EG       24       420 µmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.5 V <sub>last</sub> [26]         TOP, NTAs with three step andization       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 350 W Xe lamp       1 M KOH + 0.5 M EG       24       420 µmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.6 V <sub>last</sub> [26]         TOP, NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 300 W Xe lamp       1 M KOH + 0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> CO, + EG       0.65 $\eta = 4.49\%$ at 0.46 V <sub>loc</sub> [26]         TOP, NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       HCl + H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + EG       0.65 $\eta = 4.22\%$ at 0.46 V <sub>loc</sub> [26]         ShiTO <sub>2</sub> /NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       HCl + H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + EG       0.65 $\eta = 4.22\%$ at 0.40 K <sub>last</sub> [27]         ShiTO <sub>2</sub> /NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       HCl + H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + EG       0.65 $\eta = 4.22\%$ at 0.30 k <sub>loc</sub> [26]         ShiTO <sub>2</sub> /NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       HCl + H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + EG       0.65 $\eta = 4.22\%$ at 0.30 k <sub>loc</sub> [27]         ShiTO <sub>2</sub> /NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       HCl + H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + EG       0.65 $\eta = 4.22\%$ at 0.30 k <sub>loc</sub> [27]         ShiTO <sub>2</sub> /NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       1 M Na <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + 0.5 M EG			1 W KOTT 1 0.5 W 112504	4.95 at 0 VSCE	$97  \text{umol}  \text{cm}^{-2}  \text{h}^{-1}$	[240]
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	TiOn NITAs with two-step	$10 \text{ m/W} \text{ cm}^{-2} 500 \text{ W/ Xe lamp}$	10 v% KOH ± 90 v% EC	0.67 at 0.5 Vaur	n – 9 75%	[292]
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c } & 100 \ W \ cm^{2} \ h^{1} \ dx \ 0 \ W \ cm^{2} \ h^{1} \ dx \ 0 \ W \ cm^{2} \ dx \ 0 \ cm^{2} \ dx \ 0 \ w \ cm^{2} \ dx \ 0 \ cm^{2}$	anodization		10 V/8 KOTT + 50 V/8 EG	0.07 at 0.5 VRHE	1 - 9.7576	[292]
TiO, NTAs         300 W Xe lamp         2.M Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> = 0.5 M EG         2.4         420 µmol cm <sup>2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at -0.3 V <sub>2CT</sub> [265]           TO, NTAs         100 mW cm <sup>2</sup> 330 W Xe lamp         1 M KOH + 0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 5.8 at 0 V <sub>3CE</sub> $\eta$ = 4.49% at -0.4 V <sub>3CT</sub> [266]           TO, NTAs         100 mW cm <sup>2</sup> 300 W Xe lamp         1 M KOH         1.55 at 0.6 V <sub>60/46</sub> $\eta$ = 4.49% at -0.4 V <sub>3CT</sub> [267]           TO, NTAs         100 mW cm <sup>2</sup> 300 W Xe lamp         1 M KOH         3.55 at 0.3 V <sub>60/46</sub> $\eta$ = 0.42% 391 µL cm <sup>2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> [269]           Back TIO, NTAs         100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 4M 1.5         1 M NAOH         3.65 at 0.3 V <sub>60/46</sub> [PCE = 100% at 23 m M <sub>26</sub> [273]           STIO <sub>3</sub> /TIO <sub>2</sub> NF3/TO <sub>2</sub> 320 mW cm <sup>-3</sup> 300 W Xe lamp         0.5 M KOH and 0.5 M EG         1.91 at 0.3 V <sub>5CE</sub> 19/ECE = 100% at 23 m M <sub>26</sub> [88]           STIO <sub>3</sub> /TIO <sub>2</sub> NF3/TO         320 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 300 W Xe lamp         2 M Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>1</sub> + 0.5 M EG         2.68 at 0.9 V <sub>5CE</sub> 10/ECE = 100% at 23 m M <sub>26</sub> [88]           Carbon QD <sub>3</sub> /TIO <sub>2</sub> NTAs         30 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5         0.4 M Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>1</sub> + 0.5 M EG         2.68 at 0.9 V <sub>5CE</sub> 120 µmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.9 V <sub>66</sub> [88]           PI/TIO <sub>2</sub> NTAs         30 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5         0.4 M Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>1</sub> + 0.5 M EG         0.66 at 0.9 V <sub>66</sub> At 0         [100 µmo					0.08 mL cm <sup>-2</sup> $h^{-1}$ at 0.5 V <sub>RHE</sub>	
TIO1, NTAs       110 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 350 W Xe lamp       1 M KOH + 0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 5.8 at 0 V <sub>KE</sub> $\eta = 4.49\% at - 0.44 VSE2       [26]         110, NTAs       100 mW cm-2 300 W Xe lamp       1 M KOH       1.55 at 0.6 VMEACC       \eta = 1.13\%       [26]         110, NTAs       100 mW cm-2 AM 1.5       HCl + H2O2 + EG       0.65       \pi = 0.42\% 39 µL cm-2 h-1       [26]         Black TIO2 NTAs       100 mW cm-2 AM 1.5       1 M NAOH       3.65 at 0.32 VMEMC \pi = 0.42\% 39 µL cm-2 h-1       [27]         STIO2 (TO2 NFA)       100 mW cm-2 AM 1.5       1 M NAOH       3.65 at 0.32 VMEMC \pi = 0.42\% 39 µL cm-2 h-1       [27]         STIO2 (TO2 NFA)       100 mW cm-2 AM 1.5       1 M NAOH       3.65 at 0.3 VMEC \pi = 0.42\% 30 mV cm-2 h-1       [18]         NTAs       20 mW cm-2 300 W Xe lamp       0.5 M KOH and 0.5 M EG       2.68 at 0.9 VSCE       [102 cm 100\% at 325 m M 30 mV cm-2       [18]         PUTIO2 NTAs       300 mW cm-2 (\lambda > 400 nm)       0.1 M NA3O4 + 1 M EG       0.046 at 0 VMEACC       [120 µm 0 cm-2 h-1 at 0.9 VMECC       [18]         Carbon DOS, TTO2 MTAs       300 mW cm-2 AM 1.5       0.25 M M 43 + 0.05 VMECC \eta = 4.7\%       [27]         Carbon DOS, TTO2 MTAs       100 mW cm-2 AM 1.5       0.25 M KO 49 ot% NA2S    $	TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs with three-step anodization	300 W Xe lamp	2 M Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> + 0.5 M EG	24	420 $\mu mol~cm^{-2}~h^{-1}$ at –0.3 $V_{SCE}$	[265]
TiO, NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>2</sup> 300 W ke lamp       1 M KOH       1.55 at 0.64 Vag/kg1 $m = 1.376$ $m = 1.376$ (26)         TiO, NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>2</sup> AM 1.5       HCl + H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + EC       0.65 $\pi = 0.42\%$ 391 µL cm <sup>2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.5 Vag/kg1       [269]         Black TO, NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>2</sup> AM 1.5       HCl + H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + EC       0.65 $\pi = 1.20\%$ at 0.23 Vag/kg1       [7]         STIO <sub>2</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> NPs/TiO <sub>2</sub> 20 mW cm <sup>2</sup> 300 W Ke lamp       0.5 M KOH and 0.5 M EG       1.91 at 0.3 Vacc       [7] $\pi = 1.20\%$ at 0.23 Vag/kg1       [7]         Pd/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs       300 mW cm <sup>2</sup> 300 W Ke lamp       0.5 M KOH and 0.5 M EG       2.68 at 0.9 Vacc       [FCE = 100% at 30.37 mm]       [18]         Pd/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs       300 mW cm <sup>2</sup> 400 nm       0.1 M Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> + 0.5 M EG       2.68 at 0.9 Vacc       [FCE = 100% at 30.0 m]       [18]         Carbon QD <sub>2</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>2</sup> 40.41.5       0.25 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> 10.04 bt 0.9 Vag/kg1       [10] µmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.3 Vag/kg1       [26]         Carbon QD <sub>2</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>2</sup> AM 1.5       0.9 VS EG + 90 v46 Na <sub>2</sub> S       10 at 0.9 Vag/kg1       [26]       [26]       [26]       [26]       [26]       [26]       [26]       [26]       [26]       [26]       [26]       [26]       [26]       [26]       [26]       [2	TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	110 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 350 W Xe lamp	1 M KOH + 0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	5.8 at 0 $V_{\text{SCE}}$	$\eta$ = 4.49% at –0.46 $V_SCE$	[266]
TiO2, NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 300 W ke lamp       1 M KOH       1.55 at 0.6 V <sub>AglAGC</sub> $\eta = 1.33\%$ $(257)$ TO2, NTAs fabricated in HC       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       HCl + H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + EG       0.65 $\eta = 0.42\%$ 301 µL cm <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.5 V <sub>AglAGC</sub> [269]         Black TiO2, NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       1 M NOH       3.65 at 0.23 V <sub>AglAGC</sub> $\eta = 0.42\%$ 301 µL cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.3 V <sub>AglAGC</sub> [27]         STIO3, TIO3, NF9/,TIO2       320 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 300 W ke lamp       0.5 M KOH and 0.5 M EG       1.91 at 0.3 V <sub>SC</sub> IPCE = 100% at 330 nm       [215]         Pd/TIO2, NTAs       320 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 300 W ke lamp       2.M Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> + 0.5 M EG       2.68 at 0.9 V <sub>SCR</sub> IPCE = 100% at 330 nm       [215]         Pd/TIO2, NTAs       30 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 40.40 nm       0.14 Na <sub>3</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> + 1 M EG       0.046 at 0 V <sub>AglAGC</sub> IPCE = 100% at 330 nm       [205]         Carbon QD <sub>5</sub> /TIO2, NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       0.15 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> + 1 M EG       0.046 at 0 V <sub>AglAGC</sub> IPCE = 25% at 410 nm       [205]         Carbon QD <sub>5</sub> /TIO2, NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       0.15 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> + 1 M EG       0.046 at 0 V <sub>AglAGC</sub> IPCE = 25% at 300 nm       [27]         Carbon QD <sub>5</sub> /TIO2, NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       0.15 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> IPCE = 100% tat 30 mm       [205]         Carbon QD <sub>5</sub> /TIO2, NTAs					122 $\mu$ mol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } & 100 \ mV \ cm^{-2} \ Ah \ 1.5 & HC \ H, \ H_{2}, \ HC \ H$	TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 300 W Xe lamp	1 М КОН	1.55 at 0.6 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$	$\eta =$ 1.13%	[267]
TiO, NTAs       IOO mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       HCl + H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> + EG       0.6S $\eta = 0.42\% 391 \muL cm^{-2}h^{-1}$ [269]         Black TOO, NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       1 M NaOH       3.65 at 0.23 V <sub>Ag/AgC</sub> IPCE = 80% at 360 nm       [27]         STTO, TOO, NP-5/TIO,       320 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 300 W ke lamp       0.5 M KOH and 0.5 M EG       1.91 at 0.3 V <sub>SCC</sub> IPCE = 100% at 325 nm       [58]         Pd/TO <sub>2</sub> NTAs       320 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 300 W ke lamp       0.5 M KOH and 0.5 M EG       2.68 at 0.9 V <sub>SCC</sub> IPCE = 100% at 325 nm       [13]         Pd/TO <sub>2</sub> NTAs       320 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 300 W ke lamp       2.4 Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> + 0.5 M EG       2.68 at 0.9 V <sub>SCC</sub> IPCE = 100% at 320 nm       [13]         Pd/TO <sub>2</sub> NTAs       30 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       0.25 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO + 0.5 M EG       0.646 at 0 V <sub>Ag/AgC</sub> 120 µmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.9 V <sub>SCC</sub> [26]         CdSe/CdS/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       0.25 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO + 0.35 M       1.0 at 0 V <sub>Ag/AgC</sub> [102 H m Cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0 V <sub>SG/AgC</sub> [27]         CdSe/CdS/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       10 v/k EG + 90 v/k Na <sub>2</sub> S       10 at 0.5 V <sub>SCC</sub> $\eta = 9.47\%$ [27]         CdSe/CdS/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       10 v/k KGH + 90 v/k EG       6.6 at 0 V <sub>SCC</sub> $\eta = 9.47\%$ [28]         CdS/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5					0.57 mL cm $^{-2}$ $h^{-1}$ at 0.6 $V_{\rm Ag/AgCl}$	
Black TiO2 NTAS       10 m W cm <sup>2</sup> AM 1.5       1 M NAOH       3.65 at 0.23 $V_{kg/kgCl}$ IPCE = 80% at 360 nm       [27] $\eta = 1.20\%$ at 0.23 $V_{kg/kgCl}$ $\eta = 1.20\%$ at 0.23 $V_{kg/kgCl}$ IPCE = 100% at 320 nm       [58]         NTAS       320 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 300 W Xe lamp       0.5 M KOH and 0.5 M EG       1.91 at 0.3 $V_{SCE}$ IPCE = 100% at 320 nm       [58]         Pd/TiO2 NTAS       320 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 300 W Xe lamp       2 M Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>1</sub> + 0.5 M EG       26.8 at 0.9 $V_{SCE}$ IPCE = 100% at 320 nm       [18]         Pd/TiO2 NTAS       30 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> (X > 400 nm)       0.1 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> + 0.5 M EG       26.8 at 0.9 $V_{SCE}$ 120 µmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.7 $V_{kg/kgCl}$ [188]         Carbon QDs/TiO2 NTAS       30 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       0.25 M Na <sub>2</sub> S + 0.35 M $Na_2SO_3$ 1.0 at 0 $V_{kg/kgCl}$ 120 µmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.5 $V_{kg/kgCl}$ [26]         CdSe/CdS/TiO2 NTAS       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       10 $v\% K EG + 90 v\% Na_2S$ 10 at 0.5 $V_{SCE}$ $\eta = 9.47\%$ [27]         Au/RGO/TiO2 NTAS       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       10 $v\% K EG + 90 v\% Ka_2$ 0.25 at 0.5 $V_{SCE}$ $\eta = 9.47\%$ [27]         TiO2 NTAS       300 W Xe lamp ( $\lambda > 400$ nm)       0.2 M Na_2S       0.25 at 0.5 $V_{SCE}$ $\eta = 3.123 V_{BEL}$ [28]         CdS/TiO2 NTAS       113 W cm <sup>2</sup> (350 × Ac 450 nm) </td <td>TiO<sub>2</sub> NTAs fabricated in HCl electrolytes</td> <td>100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5</td> <td><math>HCl + H_2O_2 + EG</math></td> <td>0.65</td> <td><math display="inline">\eta</math> = 0.42% 391 <math display="inline">\mu L~cm^{-2}~h^{-1}</math></td> <td>[269]</td>	TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs fabricated in HCl electrolytes	100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5	$HCl + H_2O_2 + EG$	0.65	$\eta$ = 0.42% 391 $\mu L~cm^{-2}~h^{-1}$	[269]
$ \begin{array}{c} & \eta = 1.20\% \ at \ 0.23 \ V_{kgl,kgcl} \\ SrTiO_{1}/TiO_{2} NPS/TiO_{2}} & 220 \ mV \ cm^{2} 300 \ WZ \ elamp \\ 0.5 \ M \ KOH \ and \ 0.5 \ M \ KOH \ and \ 0.5 \ M \ KOH \\ & 1.91 \ at \ 0.5 \ V_{SCE} \\ & 1PCE = 100\% \ at \ 325 \ smm \\ & 114.9 \ \mumol \ cm^{-2} \ h^{-1} \ at \ 0.3 \ V_{SCE} \\ & 1PCE = 100\% \ at \ 325 \ smm \\ & 1920 \ mmol \ cm^{-2} \ h^{-1} \ at \ 0.3 \ V_{SCE} \\ & 1PCE = 100\% \ at \ 320 \ mm \\ & 100 \ mW \ cm^{-2} \ 300 \ WZ \ elamp \\ & 220 \ mW \ cm^{-2} \ 300 \ WZ \ elamp \\ & 220 \ mW \ cm^{-2} \ 300 \ WZ \ elamp \\ & 220 \ mW \ cm^{-2} \ (\lambda > 400 \ nm) \\ & 0.1 \ M \ Na_{S}CO_{1} + 0.5 \ M \ KEG \\ & 100 \ mW \ cm^{-2} \ (\lambda > 400 \ nm) \\ & 0.1 \ M \ Na_{S}SO_{4} + 1 \ M \ EG \\ & 0.046 \ at \ 0 \ V_{kgl,kgcl} \\ & 100 \ mW \ cm^{-2} \ h^{-1} \ at \ 0.3 \ V_{kgl,kgcl} \\ & 100 \ mW \ cm^{-2} \ AM \ 1.5 \ AM \ A$	Black TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5	1 M NaOH	3.65 at 0.23 $V_{\mbox{Ag/AgCl}}$	IPCE = 80% at 360 nm	[273]
SrTiO <sub>2</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> NP4/TiO <sub>2</sub> 320 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 300 W ke lamp       0.5 M KOH and 0.5 M EC       1.91 at 0.3 V <sub>SCE</sub> IPCE = 100% at 325 nm       [58]         Pd/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs       320 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 300 W Xe lamp       2 M Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> + 0.5 M EG       26.8 at 0.9 V <sub>SCE</sub> IPCE = 100% at 330 nm       [13]         Pd/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs       30 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> (λ > 400 nm)       0.1 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> + 1 M EG       0.046 at 0 V <sub>AglAgCI</sub> 120 µmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.9 V <sub>AglAgCI</sub> [188]         Carbon QDs/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs       30 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> A0 at 1.5       0.25 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> + 0.5 M EG       10. at 0 V <sub>AglAgCI</sub> IPCE = 28% at 410 nm       [20]         CdSe/CdS/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       10 v/6 EG + 90 v/6 Na <sub>2</sub> S       10 at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> 9 = 9.47%       [27]         Au/RCO/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       10 v/6 EG + 90 v/6 Na <sub>2</sub> S       10 at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> 9 = 9.47%       [28]         CdS/CdS/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       10 v/6 EG + 90 v/6 Na <sub>2</sub> S       10 at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> 9.4 M Lcm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> [27]         Au/RCO/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs       Visible light (λ > 400 nm)       1 M KOH       0.224 at 1.23 V <sub>BHE</sub> IPCE = 3.8 % at 80 nm       [27]         TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs       300 W Xe lamp (λ > 400 nm)       0.2 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 6.6 at 0 V <sub>SCE</sub> 4.4 mL cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> [28]         TiO <sub></sub>					$\eta$ = 1.20% at 0.23 $V_{\text{Ag/AgCl}}$	
Pd/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs         320 mV cm <sup>-2</sup> 300 W ke lamp         2 M Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> + 0.5 M EG         2.6.8 at 0.9 V <sub>SCE</sub> IPCE = 100% at 330 nm         [13]           PI/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs         30 mV cm <sup>-2</sup> (λ > 400 nm)         0.1 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> + 1 M EG         0.046 at 0 V <sub>Ag/AgCI</sub> 120 µmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.9 V <sub>SCE</sub> [188]           Carbon QDS/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs         30 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> (λ > 400 nm)         0.1 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 1.0 at 0 V <sub>Ag/AgCI</sub> IPCE = 28% at 410 nm         [205]           CdSe/CdS/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs         100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5         0.25 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> 10 at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> 9 = 9.47%         [257]           CdSe/CdS/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs         100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5         10 v% EG + 90 v% Na <sub>2</sub> S         10 at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> 9 = 9.47%         [257]           Au/RGO/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs         Visible light (λ > 400 nm)         1 M KOH         0.224 at 1.23 V <sub>BHE</sub> 10.24 mL cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> [267]           TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs         300 W Xe lamp (λ > 400 nm)         0.2 M Na <sub>2</sub> S         0.25 at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> 0.34 ml cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> [27]           TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs         300 W Xe lamp (λ > 400 nm)         0.2 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 8.1 mA         IPCE = 5.8% at 410 nm         [29]           TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs         30 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> (350 X kelamp (λ > 400 nm)         0.2 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 0.5 S S         0.34 ml cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub>	SrTiO <sub>3</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> NPs/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	320 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 300 W Xe lamp	0.5 M KOH and 0.5 M EG	1.91 at 0.3 V <sub>SCE</sub>	IPCE = 100% at 325 nm	[58]
Pd/TiO2 NTAs       320 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 300 W Xe lamp       2 M Na2CO3 + 0.5 M EG       26.8 at 0.9 VSCE       IPCE = 100% at 330 nm       [13]         PT/TiO2 NTAs       30 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> (λ > 400 nm)       0.1 M Na2SO4 + 1 M EG       0.046 at 0 V <sub>AU/APCI</sub> 120 µmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0 V <sub>AU/APCI</sub> [188]         Carbon QD5/TIO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       0.25 M Na2SO N NA NA2SON N NA2SON N NA2SON N NA2SON N NA2SON N NA2SON N NA					314.9 $\mu mol~cm^{-2}~h^{-1}$ at 0.3 $V_{SCE}$	
Pi/TiO2, NTAs30 mW cm² ( $\lambda > 400$ nm)0.1 M Na3,SO $_4 + 1$ M EG0.046 at 0 VAgLAgCI ( $AgLAgCI120 µmol cm² h^1 at 0.9 VSCE[18]Carbon QDs/TiO2, NTAs100 mW cm² AM 1.50.25 M Na2,S + 0.35 MNa2,SO _31.0 at 0 VAgLAgCIIPCE = 28% at 410 nm[205]CdSe/CdS/TIO2, NTAs100 mW cm² AM 1.50.9% KG \pm 90 v% Na2,S10 at 0.5 VSCE\eta = 9.47\%[257]CdSe/CdS/TIO2, NTAs100 mW cm² AM 1.510 v% EG \pm 90 v% Na2,S10 at 0.5 VSCE\eta = 9.47\%[257]Au/RGO/TIO2, NTAs100 mW cm² AM 1.510 v% EG \pm 90 v% Na2,S0.224 at 1.23 VBHEIPCE \pm 5.8\% at 580 nm[278]CdS/TIO2, NTAs100 mW cm² AM 1.510 v% EG \pm 90 v% Na2,S0.25 at 0.5 VSCE0.34 ml cm² h^-1 at 0.5 VSCE[276]CdS/TIO2, NTAs300 W Xe lamp (\lambda > 400 nm)1 M KOH0.224 ml 2.3 VBHEIPCE \pm 5.8\% at 580 nm[278]CdS/TIO2, NTAs300 W Xe lamp (\lambda > 400 nm)0.2 M Na2,S0.25 at 0.5 VSCE0.34 ml cm² h-1 at 0.5 VSCE[276]TIO2, NTAs35 mW cm² (350 - \lambda < 450 nm)0.5 M H2SO48.1 mAIPCE \pm 25\% at 34 nm[290]TIO2, NTAs150 mW cm² 30al rlight0.5 M KOH + 0.1 M glucose1.1 at -0.3 VSCE\eta = 3.51\% at -0.6 VSCE[291]Pd QDs/TIO2, NTAs100 mW cm² 2 kalamp1 M KOH1.22 t 1.5 VAR/AGCI10 µL cm² h-1 at -0.3 VSCE[291]Pd QDs/TIO2, NTAs100 mW cm² 2 kalamp1 M KOH1.22 at 1.3 VAR/AGCI10 µL cm² h-1 at -0.3 VSCE[291]Pd QDs/TIO2, NTAs100 mW cm$	Pd/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	320 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 300 W Xe lamp	2 M Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> + 0.5 M EG	26.8 at 0.9 $V_{\text{SCE}}$	IPCE = 100% at 330 nm	[135]
Pt/TiO2 NTAs       30 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> ( $\lambda > 400 \text{ nm}$ )       0.1 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> + 1 M EG       0.046 at 0 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> 120 µmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> [188]         Carbon QDs/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       0.25 M Na <sub>2</sub> S + 0.35 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> 10. at 0 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> IPCE = 28% at 410 nm       [205]         CdSe/CdS/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       10 v% EG + 90 v% Na <sub>2</sub> S       10 at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> $\eta = 9.47\%$ [275]         CdSe/CdS/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       10 v% EG + 90 v% Na <sub>2</sub> S       0.25 at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> 0.34 mL cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> [275]         Au/RGO/TiO2 NTAs       Visible light ( $\lambda > 400 \text{ nm}$ )       1 M KOH       0.224 at 1.23 V <sub>BHE</sub> IPCE = 5.8% at 580 nm       [275]         TiO2 NTAs       300 W Xe lamp ( $\lambda > 400 \text{ nm}$ )       0.2 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO       0.25 at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> 0.34 ml cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> [276]         TiO2 NTAs       300 W Xe lamp ( $\lambda > 400 \text{ nm}$ )       0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 8.1 mA       IPCE = 25% at 344 nm       [290]       [291]         TiO2 NTAs       150 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 350 W Xe lamp       1 M KOH + 0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 5.5 $\eta = 3.51\% at -0.61 VSCE       [293]       [291]         Ge/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm-2 Xe lamp       1 M KOH + 0.5 M H2SO4       1.3 at 1.5 VBE/AgCl       100 µµµµµµµµµµµµµµµµµµµµµµµµµµµµµµµµµµµ$					592 $\mu mol~cm^{-2}~h^{-1}$ at 0.9 $V_{SCE}$	
Carbon QDs/TiO2 NTAs         100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5         0.25 M Na2S + 0.35 M NA2S +	Pt/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	30 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> ( $\lambda$ > 400 nm)	0.1 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> + 1 M EG	0.046 at 0 $V_{\text{Ag/AgCl}}$	120 $\mu mol~cm^{-2}~h^{-1}$ at 0 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$	[188]
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Carbon QDs/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5	0.25 M Na <sub>2</sub> S + 0.35 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>3</sub>	1.0 at 0 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$	IPCE = 28% at 410 nm	[205]
CdSe/CdS/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       10 v% EG + 90 v% Na2S       10 at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> $\eta = 9.47\%$ [257]         Au/RGO/TiO2 NTAs       Visible light ( $\lambda > 400$ nm)       1 M KOH       0.224 at 1.23 V <sub>RHE</sub> IPCE = 5.8% at 580 nm       [275]         CdS/TiO2 NTAs       300 W Xe lamp ( $\lambda > 400$ nm)       0.2 M Na2S       0.25 at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> 0.34 ml cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> [276]         TiO2 NTAs       300 W Xe lamp ( $\lambda > 400$ nm)       0.2 M Na2S       0.25 at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> 0.34 ml cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> [289]         TiO2 NTAs       113 W cm <sup>-2</sup> 10 v% KOH + 90 v% EG       6.6 at 0 V <sub>SCE</sub> 4.4 mL cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> [289]         TiO2 NTAs       35 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> (350 < $\lambda < 450$ nm)       0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 8.1 mA       IPCE = 25% at 344 nm       [290]         TiO2 NTAs       150 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 350 W Xe lamp       1 M KOH + 0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 5.5 $\eta = 3.51\%$ at -0.6 V <sub>SCE</sub> [291]         Pd QDs/TiO2 NTAs       35 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> solar light       0.5 M KOH + 0.1 M glucose       1.1 at -0.3 V <sub>SCE</sub> 27.5 µmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 1.5 V <sub>Ag/AgCI</sub> [291]         Au/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Xe lamp       1 M NAOH       1.32 at 1.5 V <sub>Ag/AgCI</sub> 10 µL cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.4 V <sub>Ag/AgCI</sub> [292]         S/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> XA 1.5       1 M KOH					14.1 $\mu mol~cm^{-2}~h^{-1}$ at 0 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$	
Au/RGO/TIO2 NTAS         Visible light (λ > 400 nm)         1 M KOH         0.224 at 1.23 V <sub>RHE</sub> IPCE = 5.8% at 580 nm         [275]           CdS/TIO2 NTAS         300 W Xe lamp (λ > 400 nm)         0.2 M Na <sub>2</sub> S         0.25 at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> 0.34 nl cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> [276]           TIO2 NTAS         300 W Xe lamp (λ > 400 nm)         0.2 M Na <sub>2</sub> S         0.25 at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> 0.34 nl cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> [276]           TIO2 NTAS         113 W cm <sup>-2</sup> 10 v/K KOH + 90 v/K EG         6.6 at 0 V <sub>SCE</sub> 4.4 mL cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> [289]           TIO2 NTAS         35 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> (350< λ <450 nm)	CdSe/CdS/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5	$10 v\% EG + 90 v\% Na_2S$	10 at 0.5 $V_{SCE}$	$\eta=$ 9.47%	[257]
Au/RGO/TiO2 NTAs       Visible light (λ > 400 nm)       1 M KOH       0.224 at 1.23 V <sub>RHE</sub> IPCE = 5.8% at 580 nm       [275]         CdS/TiO2 NTAs       300 W Xe lamp (λ > 400 nm)       0.2 M Na2S       0.25 at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> 0.34 ml cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> [276]         TiO2 NTAs       113 W cm <sup>-2</sup> 10 v% KOH + 90 v% EG       6.6 at 0 V <sub>SCE</sub> 4.4 mL cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> [289]         TiO2 NTAs       35 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> (350 < λ <450 nm)					10.24 mL cm $^{-2}$ $h^{-1}$ at 0.5 $V_{\rm SCE}$	
CdS/TiO2 NTAs         300 W Xe lamp (λ > 400 nm)         0.2 M Na2S         0.25 at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> 0.34 ml cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> [276]           TiO2 NTAs         113 W cm <sup>-2</sup> 10 v% KOH+ 90 v% EG         6.6 at 0 V <sub>SCE</sub> 4.4 mL cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> [289]           TiO2 NTAs         35 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> (350< λ <450 nm)	Au/RGO/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	Visible light ( $\lambda$ > 400 nm)	1 М КОН	0.224 at 1.23 $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{RHE}}$	IPCE = 5.8% at 580 nm	[275]
CdS/TiO2 NTAs300 W Xe lamp (λ > 400 nm)0.2 M Na2S0.25 at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> 0.34 ml cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> [276]TiO2 NTAs113 W cm <sup>-2</sup> 10 v% KOH + 90 v% EG6.6 at 0 V <sub>SCE</sub> 4.4 mL cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> [289]TiO2 NTAs35 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> (350< $\lambda$ <450 nm)					45.0 $\mu mol~cm^{-2}~h^{-1}$ at 1.23 $V_{RHE}$	
TIO2 NTAS       113 W cm <sup>-2</sup> 10 v% KOH+ 90 v% EG       6.6 at 0 V <sub>SCE</sub> 4.4 mL cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.5 V <sub>SCE</sub> [289]         TIO2 NTAS       35 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> (350< $\lambda$ <450 nm)	CdS/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	300 W Xe lamp ( $\lambda$ > 400 nm)	0.2 M Na <sub>2</sub> S	0.25 at 0.5 $V_{\text{SCE}}$	0.34 ml cm $^{-2}$ $h^{-1}$ at 0.5 $V_{SCE}$	[276]
TiQ2 NTAS $35 \text{ mW cm^{-2} (} 350 < \lambda < 450 \text{ nm}$ ) $0.5 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ $8.1 \text{ mA}$ IPCE = 25% at 344 nm       [290]         TiQ2 NTAS $150 \text{ mW cm^{-2} 350 W Xe lamp}$ $1 \text{ M KOH + 0.5 M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ $5.5$ $\eta = 3.51\% \text{ at } -0.6 \text{ V}_{SCE}$ [291] $93.6 \mu \text{ mol cm^{-2} h^{-1} at } -0.61 \text{ V}_{SCE}$ $35 \text{ mW cm^{-2} solar light}$ $0.5 \text{ M KOH + 0.1 M glucose}$ $1.1 \text{ at } -0.3 \text{ V}_{SCE}$ $27.5 \mu \text{ mol cm^{-2} h^{-1} at } -0.3 \text{ V}_{SCE}$ [293]         Fe/TiQ2 NTAS $100 \text{ mW cm^{-2} Xe lamp}$ $1 \text{ M NaOH}$ $1.32 \text{ at } 1.5 \text{ V}_{Ag/AgCl}$ $10 \mu \text{ Lcm^{-2} h^{-1} at } -0.3 \text{ V}_{SCE}$ [293]         Au/TiO2 NTAS       Visible light ( $\lambda > 400 \text{ nm}$ ) $0.1 \text{ M EDTA-2Na} + 0.2 \text{ M}_{A2} \text{ ON}$ $1.7$ $4.5 \mu \text{ mol cm^{-2} h^{-1}$ [295]         S/TiO2 NTAS       Visible light ( $\lambda > 400 \text{ nm}$ ) $0.1 \text{ M EDTA-2Na} + 0.2 \text{ M}_{A2} \text{ ON}$ $1.7$ $4.5 \mu \text{ mol cm^{-2} h^{-1}$ [295]         S/TiO2 NTAS $100 \text{ mW cm^{-2} XB  ext{ lamp}$ $0.1 \text{ M KOH}$ $2.92 \text{ at } 1.0 \text{ V}_{Ag/AgCl}$ IPCE = $4.3\% \text{ at } 350 \text{ nm}$ [296] $200 \text{ rm}^{-2} \text{ rm}^{-1} \text{ soled } 3.0 \text{ at } 1.0 \text{ mm}^{-2} \text{ rm}^{-1} \text{ soled } 3.0 \text{ at } 1.0 \text{ V}_{Ag/AgCl}$ IPCE = $2.4\% \text{ at } 500 \text{ nm} \text{ at } 1.0 \text{ V}_{Ag/AgCl}$ [297]	TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	113 W cm <sup>-2</sup>	10 v% KOH+ 90 v% EG	6.6 at 0 $V_{SCE}$	4.4 mL cm $^{-2}$ $h^{-1}$ at 0.5 $V_{SCE}$	[289]
TiO2 NTAs       150 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 350 W Xe lamp       1 M KOH + 0.5 M H2SO4       5.5 $\eta = 3.51\% at -0.6 V_{SCE}$ [291]         93.6 µmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at -0.61 V <sub>SCE</sub> 93.6 µmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at -0.61 V <sub>SCE</sub> [293]         Pd QDs/TiO2 NTAs       35 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> solar light       0.5 M KOH + 0.1 M glucose       1.1 at -0.3 V <sub>SCE</sub> 27.5 µmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at -0.61 V <sub>SCE</sub> [293]         Fe/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Xe lamp       1 M NaOH       1.32 at 1.5 V <sub>Ag/AgCI</sub> 10 µL cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 1.5 V <sub>Ag/AgCI</sub> [294]         Au/TiO2 NTAs       Visible light ( $\lambda > 400$ nm)       0.1 M EDTA-2Na +0.2 M       1.7       4.5 µmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> [295]         S/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 150 W Xe lamp       0.1 M KOH       2.92 at 1.0 V <sub>Ag/AgCI</sub> IPCE = 43% at 350 nm       [296]         Polypyrrole/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       1 M KOH       3.48 at 0.23 V <sub>Ag/AgCI</sub> IPCE = 92.5% at 300 nm       [297]         ZnO/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Xe lamp       1 M NaOH       1.24 at 1.5 V <sub>Ag/AgCI</sub> IPCE = 92.5% at 300 nm       [297]         ZnO/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Xe lamp       1 M NaOH       1.24 at 1.5 V <sub>Ag/AgCI</sub> IPCE = 92.5% at 300 nm       [297]         ZnO/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Xe lamp       1 M NaOH       1.24 at 1.5 V <sub>Ag/AgCI</sub> I1 µL cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 1.5 V <sub>Ag/A</sub>	TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	35 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> (350< $\lambda$ <450 nm)	0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	8.1 mA	IPCE = 25% at 344 nm 0.152 mmol h <sup>-1</sup>	[290]
Pd QDs/TiQ2 NTAs $35 \text{ mW cm}^{-2} \text{ solar light}$ $0.5 \text{ M KOH + 0.1 M glucose}$ $1.1 \text{ at} -0.3 \text{ V}_{SCE}$ $27.5 \mu\text{mol cm}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ at} -0.3 \text{ V}_{SCE}$ $[293]$ Fe/TiQ2 NTAs $100 \text{ mW cm}^{-2} \text{ Xe} \text{ lamp}$ $1 \text{ M NaOH}$ $1.32 \text{ at} 1.5 \text{ V}_{Ag/AgCI}$ $10 \mu\text{ L cm}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ at} -0.3 \text{ V}_{SCE}$ $[293]$ Au/TiQ2 NTAsVisible light ( $\lambda > 400 \text{ nm}$ ) $0.1 \text{ M EDTA-2Na} +0.2 \text{ M}$ $1.7$ $4.5 \mu\text{mol cm}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ $[296]$ S/TiQ2 NTAs100 mW cm^{-2} 150 W Xe lamp $0.1 \text{ M KOH}$ $2.92 \text{ at} 1.0 \text{ V}_{Ag/AgCI}$ $IPCE = 43\% \text{ at} 350 \text{ nm}$ $[296]$ IPCE = 2.4\% at 500 nm at $1.0 \text{ V}_{Ag/AgCI}$ IPCE = 2.4\% at 500 nm at $1.0 \text{ V}_{Ag/AgCI}$ IPCE = 2.4\% at 500 nm at $1.0 \text{ V}_{Ag/AgCI}$ IPCE = 2.4\% at 500 nm at $1.0 \text{ V}_{Ag/AgCI}$ IPCE = 2.4\% at 500 nm at $1.0 \text{ V}_{Ag/AgCI}$ IPCE = 2.4\% at 500 nm at $1.0 \text{ V}_{Ag/AgCI}$ IPCE = 2.4\% at 500 nm at $1.0 \text{ V}_{Ag/AgCI}$ IPCE = 2.4\% at 500 nm at $1.0 \text{ V}_{Ag/AgCI}$ IPCE = 2.4\% at 500 nm at $1.0 \text{ V}_{Ag/AgCI}$ IPCE = 2.5\% at 300 nm(297)2.8 $\mu\text{ µmol cm}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ at $0.3 \text{ V}_{Ag/AgCI}$ IPCE = 92.5\% at 300 nm(297)2.8 $\mu\text{ µmol cm}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ at $1.5 \text{ V}_{Ag/AgCI}$ IPCE = 10 mW cm}^{-2} \text{ Ke} lamp1 M NaOH1.2 $\mu\text{ w} cm}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ at $1.5 $	TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	150 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 350 W Xe lamp	1 M KOH + 0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	5.5	$\eta$ = 3.51% at –0.6 V <sub>SCE</sub>	[291]
Pd QDs/TiO2 NTAs       35 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> solar light       0.5 M KOH + 0.1 M glucose       1.1 at -0.3 V <sub>SCE</sub> 27.5 $\mu$ mol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at -0.3 V <sub>SCE</sub> [293]         Fe/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Xe lamp       1 M NaOH       1.32 at 1.5 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> 10 $\mu$ L cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 1.5 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> [294]         Au/TiO2 NTAs       Visible light ( $\lambda > 400$ nm)       0.1 M EDTA-2Na +0.2 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 1.7       4.5 $\mu$ mol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> [295]         S/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 150 W Xe lamp       0.1 M KOH       2.92 at 1.0 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> IPCE = 43% at 350 nm       [296]         Polypyrrole/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       1 M KOH       3.48 at 0.23 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> IPCE = 92.5% at 300 nm       [297]         ZnO/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Xe lamp       1 M NaOH       1.24 at 1.5 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> 11 $\mu$ L cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.23 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> [298]         ZnO/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Xe lamp       1 M NaOH       1.24 at 1.5 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> 11 $\mu$ L cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.03 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> [298]         ZnO/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Hg lamp       H <sub>2</sub> O       3.0 at 1.0 V <sub>SCE</sub> 11 mmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 1.0 V <sub>SCE</sub> [299]         Au/TiO2 NTAs       150 W Xe lamp       0.5 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 0.039 at 0.5 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> $\eta = 0.045\%$ at 0.1 V <sub>SCE</sub> [300]					93.6 $\mu mol~cm^{-2}~h^{-1}$ at –0.61 $V_{SCE}$	
Fe/TiO2 NTAs100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Xe lamp1 M NaOH1.32 at 1.5 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$ 10 $\mu$ L cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 1.5 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$ [294]Au/TiO2 NTAsVisible light ( $\lambda > 400$ nm)0.1 M EDTA-2Na +0.2 M Na2SO41.74.5 $\mu$ mol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> [295]S/TiO2 NTAs100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 150 W Xe lamp0.1 M KOH2.92 at 1.0 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$ IPCE = 43% at 350 nm IPCE = 2.4% at 500 nm at 1.0 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$ [296]Polypyrrole/TiO2 NTAs100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.51 M KOH3.48 at 0.23 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$ IPCE = 92.5% at 300 nm[297] 28.8 $\mu$ mol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.23 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$ ZnO/TiO2 NTAs100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Xe lamp1 M NaOH1.24 at 1.5 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$ 11 $\mu$ L cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 1.5 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$ [298]C/N/TiO2 NTAs3.12 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Hg lampH <sub>2</sub> O3.0 at 1.0 $V_{SCE}$ 11 mmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 1.0 $V_{SCE}$ [299]Au/TiO2 NTAs150 W Xe lamp0.5 M Na2SO40.039 at 0.5 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$ $\eta = 0.045\%$ at 0.1 $V_{SCE}$ [300]	Pd QDs/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	35 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> solar light	0.5 M KOH + 0.1 M glucose	1.1 at –0.3 V <sub>SCE</sub>	27.5 $\mu mol~cm^{-2}~h^{-1}$ at –0.3 $V_{SCE}$	[293]
Au/TiO2 NTAs       Visible light ( $\lambda$ > 400 nm)       0.1 M EDTA-2Na +0.2 M Na2SO4       1.7       4.5 µmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> [295]         S/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 150 W Xe lamp       0.1 M KOH       2.92 at 1.0 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> IPCE = 43% at 350 nm       [296]         IPCE = 2.4% at 500 nm at 1.0 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> Polypyrrole/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       1 M KOH       3.48 at 0.23 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> IPCE = 92.5% at 300 nm       [297]         ZRO/TiO2 NTAs         100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Xe lamp       1 M NaOH       1.24 at 1.5 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> 11 µL cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 1.5 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> [298]         C/N/TiO2 NTAs       3.12 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Hg lamp       H <sub>2</sub> O       3.0 at 1.0 V <sub>SCE</sub> 11 mmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 1.0 V <sub>SCE</sub> [299]         Au/TiO2 NTAs       150 W Xe lamp       0.5 M Na2SO4       0.039 at 0.5 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> $\eta$ = 0.045% at 0.1 V <sub>SCE</sub> [300]	Fe/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Xe lamp	1 M NaOH	1.32 at 1.5 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub>	10 $\mu L~cm^{-2}~h^{-1}$ at 1.5 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$	[294]
S/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 150 W Xe lamp       0.1 M KOH       2.92 at 1.0 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> IPCE = 43% at 350 nm       [296]         IPCE = 2.4% at 500 nm at 1.0 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> Polypyrrole/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       1 M KOH       3.48 at 0.23 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> IPCE = 92.5% at 300 nm       [297]         ZNO/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       1 M KOH       1.24 at 1.5 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> IPCE = 92.5% at 300 nm       [297]         ZNO/TiO2 NTAS       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Xe lamp       1 M NaOH       1.24 at 1.5 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> 11 µL cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.23 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> [298]         C/N/TiO2 NTAs       3.12 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Hg lamp       H <sub>2</sub> O       3.0 at 1.0 V <sub>SCE</sub> 11 mmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.0 V <sub>SCE</sub> [299]         Au/TiO2 NTAs       150 W Xe lamp       0.5 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 0.039 at 0.5 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> $\eta$ = 0.045% at 0.1 V <sub>SCE</sub> [300]	Au/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	Visible light ( $\lambda$ > 400 nm)	0.1 M EDTA-2Na +0.2 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	1.7	4.5 μmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	[295]
IPCE = 2.4% at 500 nm at 1.0 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$ Polypyrrole/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5       1 M KOH       3.48 at 0.23 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$ IPCE = 92.5% at 300 nm       [297]         28.8 µmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.23 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$ ZnO/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Xe lamp       1 M NaOH       1.24 at 1.5 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$ 11 µL cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 1.5 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$ [298]         C/N/TiO2 NTAs       3.12 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Hg lamp       H <sub>2</sub> O       3.0 at 1.0 $V_{SCE}$ 11 mmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 1.0 $V_{SCE}$ [299]         Au/TiO2 NTAs       150 W Xe lamp       0.5 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 0.039 at 0.5 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$ $\eta$ = 0.045% at 0.1 $V_{SCE}$ [300]	S/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 150 W Xe lamp	0.1 М КОН	2.92 at 1.0 $V_{\text{Ag/AgCl}}$	IPCE = 43% at 350 nm	[296]
Polypyrrole/TiO2 NTAs         100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5         1 M KOH         3.48 at 0.23 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> IPCE = 92.5% at 300 nm         [297]           z8.8 μmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.23 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> IPCE = 92.5% at 300 nm         [297]           ZnO/TiO2 NTAs         100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Xe lamp         1 M NaOH         1.24 at 1.5 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> 11 μL cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.23 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> [298]           C/N/TiO2 NTAs         3.12 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Hg lamp         H <sub>2</sub> O         3.0 at 1.0 V <sub>SCE</sub> 11 mmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 1.0 V <sub>SCE</sub> [299]           Au/TiO2 NTAs         150 W Xe lamp         0.5 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 0.039 at 0.5 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> η = 0.045% at 0.1 V <sub>SCE</sub> [300]					IPCE = 2.4% at 500 nm at 1.0 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$	
ZnO/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Xe lamp       1 M NaOH       1.24 at 1.5 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$ 11 $\mu$ L cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.23 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$ [298]         C/N/TiO2 NTAs       3.12 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Hg lamp       H <sub>2</sub> O       3.0 at 1.0 $V_{SCE}$ 11 mmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 1.0 $V_{SCE}$ [299]         Au/TiO2 NTAs       150 W Xe lamp       0.5 M Na2SO4       0.039 at 0.5 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$ $\eta$ = 0.045% at 0.1 $V_{SCE}$ [300]	Polypyrrole/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5	1 М КОН	3.48 at 0.23 $V_{\text{Ag/AgCl}}$	IPCE = 92.5% at 300 nm	[297]
ZnO/TiO2 NTAs       100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Xe lamp       1 M NaOH       1.24 at 1.5 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$ 11 $\mu$ L cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 1.5 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$ [298]         C/N/TiO2 NTAs       3.12 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Hg lamp       H2O       3.0 at 1.0 $V_{SCE}$ 11 mmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 1.0 $V_{SCE}$ [299]         Au/TiO2 NTAs       150 W Xe lamp       0.5 M Na2SO4       0.039 at 0.5 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$ $\eta = 0.045\%$ at 0.1 $V_{SCE}$ [300]				·· ·	28.8 $\mu mol~cm^{-2}~h^{-1}$ at 0.23 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$	
C/N/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs         3.12 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Hg lamp         H <sub>2</sub> O         3.0 at 1.0 V <sub>SCE</sub> 11 mmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 1.0 V <sub>SCE</sub> [299]           Au/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs         150 W Xe lamp         0.5 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> 0.039 at 0.5 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub> $\eta = 0.045\%$ at 0.1 V <sub>SCE</sub> [300]	ZnO/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Xe lamp	1 M NaOH	1.24 at 1.5 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$	11 $\mu L~cm^{-2}~h^{-1}$ at 1.5 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$	[298]
Au/TiO2 NTAs150 W Xe lamp0.5 M Na2SO40.039 at 0.5 $V_{Ag/AgC1}$ $\eta = 0.045\%$ at 0.1 $V_{SCE}$ [300]	C/N/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	3.12 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> Hg lamp	H <sub>2</sub> O	3.0 at 1.0 V <sub>SCE</sub>	11 mmol cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 1.0 V <sub>SCE</sub>	[299]
	Au/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	150 W Xe lamp	0.5 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	0.039 at 0.5 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$	$\eta$ = 0.045% at 0.1 V <sub>SCE</sub>	[300]



#### Table 3. Continued.



www.advancedsciencenews.com

Photocatalyst	Light intensity	Electrolyte	Photocurrent (mA cm <sup>-2</sup> )	IPCE and water splitting rate	Ref.
WO <sub>3</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 200 W Xe lamp	1 М КОН	0.62 at 0.2 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub>	1.07 mL cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> at 0.2 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub>	[301]
N/Ni/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 150 W Xe lamp	1 М КОН	2.52 at 0 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$	$\eta$ = 1.12% at –0.52 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub>	[302]
Ti <sup>3+</sup> /TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5	1 М КОН	3.05 at 1.23 V <sub>RHE</sub>	$\eta$ = 1.66% at 0.78 V <sub>RHE</sub>	[303]
				IPCE = 82.8% at 350 nm	
RGO/Ti <sup>3+</sup> /TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 500 W Xe lamp	1 М КОН	1.44 at 1.23 V <sub>RHE</sub>	$IPCE=96.2\%$ at 350 nm at 1.23 $V_{RHE}$	[304]
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	300 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5	1 М КОН	0.9 at 0.5 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$	$IPCE=70\%$ at 360 nm at 0.5 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$	[305]
N/C/H-TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5	1 М КОН	3.6 at 0.23 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$	$IPCE=64.5\%$ at 330 nm at 0.23 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$	[306]
CdSe/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	Visible light ( $\lambda$ > 400 nm)	1 M NaOH	0.14 at 0 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$	$IPCE=0.45\%$ at 550 nm at 0 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$	[307]
Ag <sub>2</sub> S/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	25 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> ( $\lambda$ > 385 nm)	0.5 M Na <sub>2</sub> S	0.84 at 0 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$	$IPCE=20\%$ at 600 nm at 0 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$	[308]
WO <sub>3</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup>	0.1 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> +1 MeOH	3.5 at 1.6 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$	$IPCE=50\%$ at 370 nm at 1.6 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$	[309]
Hydrogenated TiO <sub>2</sub> nanotubes	100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5	1 M NaOH containing 1 wt% of EG	0.65 at 0 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$	$\eta = 0.30\%$	[310]
				$IPCE=74\%$ at 370 nm at 0 $V_{Ag/AgCl}$	
W/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	75 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 300 W Xe lamp	0.1 M Na <sub>2</sub> S + 0.02 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>3</sub>	3.06 at 1.0 $\rm V_{SCE}$	$\eta = 7.3\%$	[311]
				24.97 $\mu mol~cm^{-2}~h^{-1}$ at 1.0 $V_{SCE}$	
WO <sub>3</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	800 W m <sup>-2</sup> 150 W Xe lamp	1 M KOH containing 1 wt% of EG	2.4 at 0.6 $V_{\text{SCE}}$	22 mL cm $^{-2}$ $h^{-1}$ at 0.6 $V_{SCE}$	[312]
Hierarchical TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	87 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 300 W Xe lamp	1 M KOH +10 v% EG	1.78 at 1.23 V <sub>Ag/AgCl</sub>	$\eta=$ 1.84%	[313]
				0.87 mL cm $^{-2}$ $h^{-1}$ at 1.23 $V_{\rm Ag/AgCl}$	
C/K/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	Visible light ( $\lambda$ > 400 nm)	1 М КОН	5.0 at 0.3 V <sub>SCE</sub>	$\eta$ = 2.5%	[314]
				10.98 $\mu L~cm^{-2}~h^{-1}$ at 0.3 $V_{SCE}$	
Reduced TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	370 mW cm $^{-2}$ ( $\lambda$ > 400 nm)	1 M NaOH	0.732 at 1.23 V <sub>RHE</sub>	$\eta$ = 1.31% at 0.4 V <sub>RHE</sub>	[315]
				IPCE = 68.7% at 330 nm	
				13.75 $\mu mol~h^{-1}$ at 1.23 $V_{RHE}$	
Au/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	Visible light ( $\lambda$ > 400 nm)	1 М КОН	150 at 1.23 V <sub>RHE</sub>	IPCE = 7.9% at 556 nm	[316]
				$\eta =$ 1.1%	
				27.9 $\mu mol~h^{-1}$ at 1.23 $V_{RHE}$	
Pt/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	320 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> 300 W Xe lamp	2 M Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> + 0.5 M EG	24.2 at -0.3 V <sub>SCE</sub>	IPCE = 87.9% at 350 nm	[317]
				592 $\mu mol~cm^{-2}~h^{-1}$ at –0.3 $V_{SCE}$	
CdS/TiO <sub>2</sub> NTAs	100 mW cm <sup>-2</sup> AM 1.5	1 M Na <sub>2</sub> S	4.8	$\eta$ = 2.58%	[318]
				1.12 mL cm <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	

remediation applications. Thus, with further development of new technology and more efficient semiconductor materials, commercialization of 1D  $\text{TiO}_2$ -based materials for efficient hydrogen production is achiverable in the near future.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21501127; 51502185 and 51373110), Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province of China (BK20130313; BK20140400), Natural Science Foundation of the Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions of China (15KJB430025). The project was partially supported by King Saud University, Vice Deanship of Scientific Research Chairs. We also acknowledge the funds from the project of the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (PAPD), and Project for Jiangsu Scientific and Technological Innovation Team

(2013). M.Z. Ge and Q.S. Li contributed equally to this work. M.Z. Ge acknowledges the financial support from the China Scholarship Council.

Received: April 21, 2016 Revised: June 16, 2016

Published online: September 21, 2016

- [1] W. X. Guo, F. Zhang, C. J. Lin, Z. L. Wang, Adv. Mater. 2012, 24, 4761.
- [2] Y. Y. Fan, W. G. Ma, D. X. Han, S. Y. Gan, X. D. Dong, L. Niu, Adv. Mater. 2015, 27, 3767.
- [3] C. C. Wang, J. R. Li, X. L. Lv, Y. Q. Zhang, G. S. Guo, Energy Environ. Sci. 2014, 7, 2831.
- [4] W. G. Tu, Y. Zhou, Z. G. Zou, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2013, 23, 4996.
- [5] Y. H. Sang, Z. H. Zhao, M. W. Zhao, P. Hao, Y. H. Leng, H. Liu, Adv. Mater. 2015, 27, 363.

#### **ADVANCED** SCIENCE NEWS

www.advancedsciencenews.com

- [6] M. F. Shao, F. Y. Ning, M. Wei, D. G. Evans, X. Duan, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2014, 24, 580.
- [7] D. Kang, T. W. Kim, S. R. Kubota, A. C. Cardiel, H. G. Cha, K. S. Choi, *Chem. Rev.* 2015, 115, 12839.
- [8] W. Q. Fan, Q. H. Zhang, Y. Wang, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2013, 15, 2632.
- [9] S. J. A. Moniz, S. A. Shevlin, D. J. Martin, Z. X. Guo, J. Tang, Energy Environ. Sci. 2015, 8, 731.
- [10] S. Y. Dong, J. L. Feng, M. H. Fan, Y. Q. Pi, L. M. Hu, X. Han, M. L. Liu, J. Y. Sun, J. H. Sun, RSC Adv. 2015, 5, 14610.
- [11] J. Z. Su, L. J. Guo, N. Z. Bao, C. A. Grimes, Nano Lett. 2011, 11, 1928.
- [12] M. He, X. C. Pang, X. Q. Liu, B. B. Jiang, Y. J. He, H. Snaith, Z. Q. Lin, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 4280.
- [13] X. K. Xin, M. He, W. Han, J. Han, Z. Q. Lin, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 11739.
- [14] M. D. Ye, M. Y. Wang, D. J. Zheng, N. Zhang, C. J. Lin, Z. Q. Lin, Nanoscale 2014, 6, 3576.
- [15] W. Q. Li, X. Liu, H. X. Li, J. Mater. Chem. A 2015, 3, 15214.
- [16] H. B. Wu, H. H. Hng, X. W. Lou, Adv. Mater. 2012, 24, 2567.
- [17] A. Braun, Y. L. Hu, F. Boudoire, D. K. Bora, D. D. Sarma, M. Gratzel, C. M. Eggleston, *Catal. Today* 2016, 260, 72.
- [18] D. G. Wang, Y. Y. Zhang, C. Peng, J. Q. Wang, Q. Huang, S. Su, L. H. Wang, W. Huang, C. H. Fan, Adv. Sci. 2015, 2, 1500005.
- [19] S. S. Shinde, A. Sami, J. H. Lee, *Carbon* **2016**, *96*, 929.
- [20] A. Fujishima, K. Honda, Nature 1972, 238, 37.
- [21] M. Y. Wang, J. loccozia, L. Sun, C. J. Lin, Z. Q. Lin, Energy Environ. Sci. 2014, 7, 2182.
- [22] Y. K. Lai, J. Y. Huang, Z. Q. Cui, M. Z. Ge, K. Q. Zhang, Z. Chen, L. F. Chi, Small 2016, 12, 2203.
- [23] L. L. Tan, W. J. Ong, S. P. Chai, A. R. Mohamed, Appl. Catal. B 2015, 166, 251.
- [24] O. K. Varghese, M. Paulose, T. J. LaTempa, C. A. Grimes, Nano Lett. 2009, 9, 731.
- [25] W. G. Yang, Y. H. Yu, M. B. Starr, X. Yin, Z. D. Li, A. Kvit, S. F. Wang, P. Zhao, X. D. Wang, *Nano Lett.* **2015**, *15*, 7574.
- [26] L. P. Zhang, P. Cui, H. B. Yang, J. Z. Chen, F. X Xiao, Y. Y. Guo, Y. Liu, W. N. Zhang, F. W. Huo, B. Liu, *Adv. Sci.* **2016**, *3*, 1500243.
- [27] H. L. Tang, M. Xiong, D. Y. Qu, D. Liu, Z. J. Zhang, Z. Z. Xie, X. Wei, W. M. Tu, D. Y. Qu, *Nano Energy* **2015**, *15*, 75.
- [28] X. H. Lu, M. H. Yu, G. M. Wang, T. Zhai, S. L. Xie, Y. C. Ling, Y. X. Tong, Y. Li, Adv. Mater. 2013, 25, 267.
- [29] M. D. Ye, D. J. Zheng, M. Y. Wang, C. Chen, W. M. Liao, C. J. Lin, Z. Q. Lin, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2014, 6, 2893.
- [30] M. D. Ye, X. K. Xin, C. J. Lin, Z. Q. Lin, Nano Lett. 2011, 11, 3214.
- [31] M. D. Ye, D. J. Zheng, M. Q. Lv, C. Chen, C. J. Lin, Z. Q. Lin, Adv. Mater. 2013, 25, 3039.
- [32] Y. X. Tang, Y. Y. Zhang, W. L. Li, B. Ma, X. D. Chen, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 5926.
- [33] Y. Y. Zhang, Y. X. Tang, S. Y. Yin, Z. Y. Zeng, H. Zhang, C. M. Li, Z. L. Dong, Z. Chen, X. D. Chen, *Nanoscale* **2011**, *3*, 4074.
- [34] H. Q. Li, Y. K. Lai, J. Y. Huang, Y. X. Tang, L. Yang, Z. Chen, K. Q. Zhang, X. C. Wang, L. P. Tan, J. Mater. Chem. B 2015, 3, 342.
- [35] Y. K. Lai, L. X. Lin, F. Pan, J. Y. Huang, R. Song, Y. X. Huang, C. J. Lin, H. Fuchs, L. F. Chi, *Small* **2013**, *9*, 2945.
- [36] J. Y. Huang, S. H. Li, M. Z. Ge, L. N. Wang, T. L. Xing, G. Q. Chen, X. F. Liu, S. S. Al-Deyab, K. Q. Zhang, T. Chen, Y. K. Lai, *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2015, *3*, 2825.
- [37] S. H. Li, J. Y. Huang, M. Z. Ge, C. Y. Cao, S. Deng, S. N. Zhang, G. Q. Chen, K. Q. Zhang, S. S. Al-Deyab, Y. K. Lai, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces* **2015**, *2*, 1500220.
- [38] S. lijima, Nature 1991, 354, 56.
- [39] J. C. Hulteen, C. R. Martin, J. Mater. Chem. 1997, 7, 1075.

- [40] L. H. Tian, L. Q. Ye, K. J. Deng, L. Zan, J. Solid State Chem. 2011, 184, 1465.
- [41] Z. F. Bian, J. Zhu, F. L. Cao, Y. N. Huo, Y. F. Lu, H. X. Li, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 8451.
- [42] H. Miao, X. Y. Hu, J. Fan, C. B. Li, Q. Sun, Y. Y. Hao, G. W. Zhang, J. T. Bai, X. Hou, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2015, *358*, 418.
- [43] Y. Suzuki, S. Yoshikawa, J. Mater. Res. 2004, 19, 982.
- [44] M. Z. Ge, C. Y. Cao, S. H. Li, S. N. Zhang, S. Deng, J. Y. Huang, Q. S. Li, K. Q. Zhang, S. S. Al-Deyab, Y. K. Lai, *Nanoscale* **2015**, *7*, 11552.
- [45] J. J. Gong, C. J. Lin, M. D. Ye, Y. K. Lai, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 2598.
- [46] P. Hoyer, Adv. Mater. 1996, 8, 857.
- [47] J. Wang, Z. Q. Lin, Chem. Mater. 2010, 22, 579.
- [48] P. Qin, M. Paulose, M. I. Dar, T. Moehl, N. Arora, P. Gao, O. K. Varghese, M. Gatzel, M. K. Nazeeruddin, *Small* **2015**, *11*, 5533.
- [49] J. Wang, L. Zhao, V. S. Y. Lin, Z. Q. Lin, J. Mater. Chem. 2009, 19, 3682.
- [50] Z. Seidalilir, R. Malekfar, H. P. Wu, J. W. Shiu, E. W. G. Diau, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2015, 7, 12731.
- [51] H. Xia, W. Xiong, C. K. Lim, Q. F. Yao, Y. D. Wang, J. P. Xie, Nano Res. 2014, 7, 1797.
- [52] Y. H. Sang, Z. H. Zhao, J. Tian, P. Hao, H. D. Jiang, H. Liu, J. P. Claverie, Small 2014, 10, 3775.
- [53] J. Tian, P. Hao, N. Wei, H. Z. Cui, H. Liu, ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 4530.
- [54] J. Tian, X. L. Hu, H. R. Yang, Y. L. Zhou, H. Z. Cui, H. Liu, Appl. Surf. Sci. 2016, 360, 738.
- [55] J. Tian, J. Li, N. Wei, X. H. Xu, H. Z. Cui, H. Liu, Ceram. Int. 2016, 42, 1611.
- [56] Z. H. Zhao, J. Tian, Y. H. Sang, A. Cabot, H. Liu, Adv. Mater. 2015, 27, 2557.
- [57] Y. F. Su, Z. Wu, Y. N. Wu, J. D. Yu, L. Sun, C. J. Lin, J. Mater. Chem. A 2015, 3, 8537.
- [58] Z. Wu, Y. F. Su, J. D. Yu, W. Xiao, L. Sun, C. J. Lin, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2015, 40, 9704.
- [59] J. D. Yu, C. Gong, Z. Wu, Y. N. Wu, W. Xiao, Y. F. Su, L. Sun, C. J. Lin, J. Mater. Chem. A 2015, 3, 22218.
- [60] F. Q. Xiong, X. Wei, X. Zheng, D. Zhong, W. H. Zhang, C. Li, J. Mater. Chem. A 2014, 2, 4510.
- [61] L. Nikam, R. Panmand, S. Kadam, S. Naik, B. Kale, New J. Chem. 2015, 39, 3821.
- [62] G. S. Li, Z. C. Lian, X. Li, Y. Y. Xu, W. C. Wang, D. Q. Zhang, F. H. Tian, H. X. Li, J. Mater. Chem. A 2015, 3, 3748.
- [63] C. Han, Y. D. Wang, Y. P. Lei, B. Wang, N. Wu, Q. Shi, Q. Li, Nano Res. 2015, 8, 1199.
- [64] J. M. Cai, Y. T. Wang, Y. M. Zhu, M. Q. Wu, H. Zhang, X. G. Li, Z. Jiang, M. Meng, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2015, 7, 24987.
- [65] M. Govindhan, B. Mao, A. C. Chen, Nanoscale 2016, 8, 1485.
- [66] J. Li, Y. C. Wang, T. Zhou, H. Zhang, X. H. Sun, J. Tang, L. J. Zhang, A. M. Al-Enizi, Z. Q. Yang, G. F. Zheng, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 14305.
- [67] L. Steier, J. Luo, M. Schreier, M. T. Mayer, T. Sajavaara, M. Gratzel, ACS Nano 2015, 9, 11775.
- [68] R. Wang, X. Xu, Y. Zhang, Z. Chang, Z. Sun, W. F. Dong, Nanoscale 2015, 7, 11082.
- [69] P. Zhang, T. Wang, J. L. Gong, Adv. Mater. 2015, 27, 5328.
- [70] C. Butchosa, P. Guiglion, M. A. Zwijnenburg, J. Phys. Chem. C 2014, 118, 24833.
- [71] B. Liu, C. H. Wu, J. Miao, P. Yang, ACS Nano 2014, 8, 11739.
- [72] A. Kudo, Y. Miseki, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 253.
- [73] V. J. Babu, S. Vempati, T. Uyar, S. Ramakrishna, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2015, 17, 2960.
- [74] M. Ni, M. K. H. Leung, D. Y. C. Leung, K. Sumathy, Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev. 2007, 11, 401.



#### www.advancedscience.com

- [75] S. Tanigawa, H. Irie, Appl. Catal. B 2016, 180, 1.
- [76] J. Y. Zheng, S. H. Bao, X. L. Zhang, H. B. Wu, R. X. Chen, P. Jin, *Appl. Catal. B* **2016**, *183*, 69.
- [77] X. Yu, X. Han, Z. Zhao, J. Zhang, W. Guo, C. Pan, A. Li, H. Liu, Z. L. Wang, *Nano Energy* **2015**, *11*, 19.
- [78] J. Y. Su, L. Zhu, G. H. Chen, Appl. Catal. B 2016, 186, 127.
- [79] L. Tang, Y. C. Deng, G. G. Zeng, W. Hu, J. J. Wang, Y. Y. Zhou, J. J. Wang, J. Tang, W. Fang, J. Alloys Compd. 2016, 662, 516.
- [80] M. Y. Wang, D. J. Zheng, M. D. Ye, C. C. Zhang, B. B. Xu, C. J. Lin, L. Sun, Z. Q. Lin, Small 2015, 11, 1436.
- [81] G. H. Liu, T. Chen, Y. L. Sun, G. Chen, K. Y. Wang, Appl. Surf. Sci. 2014, 311, 529.
- [82] R. G. Freitas, M. A. Santanna, E. C. Pereira, J. Power Sources 2014, 251, 178.
- [83] L. Sun, J. H. Cai, Q. Wu, P. Huang, Y. F. Su, C. J. Lin, Electrochim. Acta 2013, 108, 525.
- [84] X. W. Cheng, Q. F. Cheng, B. Li, X. Y. Deng, J. J. Li, P. Wang, B. Q. Zhang, H. L. Liu, X. N. Wang, *Electrochim. Acta* **2015**, *186*, 442.
- [85] T. T. Zhang, B. Yu, D. A. Wang, F. Zhou, J. Power Sources 2015, 281, 411.
- [86] Y. J. Xue, Y. Sun, G. X. Wang, K. P. Yan, J. Y. Zhao, *Electrochim. Acta* 2015, 155, 312.
- [87] X. Li, J. G. Yu, J. X. Low, Y. P. Fang, J. Xiao, X. B. Chen, J. Mater. Chem. A 2015, 3, 2485.
- [88] Z. Wu, Y. Y. Wang, L. Sun, Y. X. Mao, M. Y. Wang, C. Lin, J. Mater. Chem. A 2014, 2, 8223.
- [89] H. H. Ou, S. L. Lo, Sep. Purif. Technol. 2007, 58, 179.
- [90] K. Byrappa, T. Adschiri, Prog. Cryst. Growth Charact. Mater. 2007, 53, 117.
- [91] T. Kasuga, M. Hiramatsu, A. Hoson, T. Sekino, K. Niihara, Langmuir 1998, 14, 3160.
- [92] G. Armstrong, A. R. Armstrong, J. Canales, P. G. Bruce, Chem. Commun. 2005, 19, 2454.
- [93] D. V. Bavykin, V. N. Parmon, A. A. Lapkin, F. C. Walsh, J. Mater. Chem. 2004, 14, 3370.
- [94] Q. Chen, W. Z. Zhou, G. H. Du, L. M. Peng, Adv. Mater. 2002, 14, 1208.
- [95] N. Viriya-empikul, T. Charinpanitkul, N. Sano, A. Soottitantawat, T. Kikuchi, K. Faungnawakij, W. Tanthapanichakoon, *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 2009, 118, 254.
- [96] Y. Zhu, H. Li, Y. Koltypin, Y. R. Hacohen, A. Gedanken, Chem. Commun. 2001, 24, 2616.
- [97] Y. T. Ma, Y. Lin, X. R. Xiao, X. W. Zhou, X. P. Li, Mater. Res. Bull. 2006, 41, 237.
- [98] K. C. Huang, S. H. Chien, Appl. Catal. B 2013, 140, 283.
- [99] P. R. Liu, H. M. Zhang, H. W. Liu, Y. Wang, X. D. Yao, G. S. Zhu, S. Q. Zhang, H. J. Zhao, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 19032.
- [100] L. Torrente-Murciano, A. A. Lapkin, D. Chadwick, J. Mater. Chem. 2010, 20, 6484.
- [101] Y. X. Tang, Y. Y. Zhang, J. Y. Deng, D. P. Qi, W. R. Leow, J. Q. Wei, S. Y. Yin, Z. L. Dong, R. Yazami, Z. Chen, X. D. Chen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 13488.
- [102] G. H. Li, Z. L. Hong, H. Yang, D. G. Li, J. Alloys Compd. 2012, 532, 98.
- [103] H. M. Cheng, W. H. Chiu, C. H. Lee, S. Y. Tsai, W. F. Hsieh, J. Phys. Chem. C 2008, 112, 16359.
- [104] J. J. Zhang, Y. Yao, T. Huang, A. S. Yu, *Electrochim. Acta* 2012, 78, 502.
- [105] X. Chen, S. S. Mao, Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 2891.
- [106] Y. Wang, Y. M. He, Q. H. Lai, M. H. Fan, J. Environ. Sci. 2014, 26, 2139.
- [107] X. Feng, J. Zhai, L. Jiang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 5115.
- [108] D. G. Huang, S. J. Liao, J. M. Liu, Z. Dang, L. Petrik, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A 2006, 184, 282.

- [109] R. C. Xie, J. K. Shang, J. Mater. Sci. 2007, 42, 6583.
- [110] Q. Wang, Z. Wen, J. Li, Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 6944.
- [111] Z. Jiang, F. Yang, N. Luo, B. T. T. Chu, D. Sun, H. Shi, T. Xiao, P. P. Edwards, Chem. Commun. 2008, 47, 6372.
- [112] H. Wender, A. F. Feil, L. B. Diaz, C. S. Ribeiro, G. J. Machado, P. Migowski, D. E. Weibel, J. Dupont, S. R. Teixeira, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2011, 3, 1359.
- [113] Y. Suda, H. Kawasaki, T. Ueda, T. Ohshima, *Thin Solid Films* 2004, 453, 162.
- [114] Z. X. Su, W. Z. Zhou, J. Mater. Chem. 2011, 21, 8955.
- [115] M. Assefpour-Dezfuly, C. Vlachos, E. H. Andrews, J. Mater. Sci. 1984, 19, 3626.
- [116] V. Zwilling, E. Darque-Ceretti, A. Boutry-Forveille, D. David, M. Y. Perrin, M. Aucouturier, Surf. Interface Anal. 1999, 27, 629.
- [117] V. Zwilling, M. Aucouturier, E. Darque-Ceretti, *Electrochim. Acta* 1999, 45, 921.
- [118] D. Gong, C. A. Grimes, O. K. Varghese, W. Hu, R. Singh, Z. Chen, E. C. Dickey, J. Mater. Res. 2001, 16, 3331.
- [119] N. Baram, Y. Ein-Eli, J. Phys. Chem. C 2010, 114, 9781.
- [120] K. Yasuda, P. Schmuki, Electrochim. Acta 2007, 52, 4053.
- [121] L. Taveira, A. Sagüés, J. Macak, P. Schmuki, J. Electrochem. Soc. 2008, 155, C293.
- [122] F. M. Hassan, H. Nanjo, H. Tetsuka, M. Kanakubo, T. Aizawa, M. Nishioka, T. Ebina, A. M. Bond, J. Electrochem. Soc. 2009, 156, K227.
- [123] J. M. Macak, K. Sirotna, P. Schmuki, *Electrochim. Acta* 2005, 50, 3679.
- [124] J. M. Macak, H. Tsuchiya, P. Schmuki, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 2100.
- [125] Y. Yin, Z. Jin, F. Hou, X. Wang, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 2007, 90, 2384.
- [126] S. Berger, J. Kunze, P. Schmuki, A. T. Valota, D. J. LeClere, P. Skeldon, G. E. Thompson, J. Electrochem. Soc. 2010, 157, C18.
- [127] K. Shankar, G. K. Mor, A. Fitzgerald, C. A. Grimes, J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 21.
- [128] S. Yoriya, M. Paulose, O. K. Varghese, G. K. Mor, C. A. Grimes, J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 13770.
- [129] M. Paulose, H. E. Prakasam, O. K. Varghese, L. Peng, K. C. Popat, G. K. Mor, T. A. Desai, C. A. Grimes, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2007, 111, 14992.
- [130] N. K. Allam, C. A. Grimes, J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 13028.
- [131] R. Hahn, J. Macak, P. Schmuki, *Electrochem. Commun.* 2007, 9, 947.
- [132] J. M. Macak, S. P. Albu, P. Schmuki, Phys. Stat. Sol. (RRL) 2007, 1, 181.
- [133] Y. Shin, S. Lee, Nano Lett. 2008, 8, 3171.
- [134] M. Z. Ge, C. Y. Cao, J. Y. Huang, S. H. Li, Z. Chen, K. Q. Zhang, S. S. Al-Deyab, Y. K. Lai, J. Mater. Chem. A 2016, 4, 6772.
- [135] M. D. Ye, J. J. Gong, Y. K. Lai, C. J. Lin, Z. Q. Lin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 15720.
- [136] M. Z. Ge, S. H. Li, J. Y. Huang, K. Q. Zhang, S. S. Al-Deyab, Y. K. Lai, J. Mater. Chem. A 2015, 3, 3491.
- [137] J. M. Macak, H. Tsuchiya, L. Taveira, S. Aldabergerova, P. Schmuki, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 117, 7629.
- [138] J. M. Macak, H. Hildebrand, U. Marten-Jahns, P. Schmuki, J. Electroanal. Chem. 2008, 621, 254.
- [139] S. Bauer, S. Kleber, P. Schmuki, Electrochem. Commun. 2006, 8, 1321.
- [140] K. Yasuda, P. Schmuki, *Electrochim. Acta* **2007**, *52*, 4053.
- [141] S. P. Albu, A. Ghicov, J. M. Macak, P. Schmuki, Phys. Stat. Sol. (RRL) 2007, 1, R65.
- [142] D. Kim, A. Ghicov, P. Schmuki, *Electrochem. Commun.* 2008, 10, 1835.
- [143] Y. Y. Song, R. Lynch, D. Kim, P. Roy, P. Schmuki, Electrochem., Solid-State Lett. 2009, 12, C17.
- [144] S. P. Albu, P. Schmuki, Phys. Stat. Sol. (RRL) 2010, 4, 151.

www.advancedsciencenews.com

#### **ADVANCED** SCIENCE NEWS

www.advancedsciencenews.com

- [145] S. P. Albu, D. Kim, P. Schmuki, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 120, 1942.
- [146] D. Kim, A. Ghicov, S. P. Albu, P. Schmuki, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 16454.
- [147] P. Roy, S. Berger, P. Schmuki, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 2904.
- [148] S. P. Albu, A. Ghicov, S. Aldabergenova, P. Drechsel, D. LeClere, G. E. Thompson, J. M. Macak, P. Schmuki, Adv. Mater. 2008, 20, 4135.
- [149] Y. Y. Song, F. Schmidt-Stein, S. Bauer, P. Schmuki, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 4230.
- [150] T. Rattanavoravipa, T. Sagawa, S. Yoshikawa, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 2008, 92, 1445.
- [151] D. Wang, L. Zhang, W. Lee, M. Knez, L. Liu, Small 2013, 9, 1025.
- [152] A. Thomas, F. Goettmann, M. Antonietti, Chem. Mater. 2008, 20, 738.
- [153] K. J. Hwang, D. W. Cho, J. W. Lee, C. Im, New J. Chem 2012, 36, 2094.
- [154] T. Ichijo, S. Sato, M. Fujita, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 6786.
- [155] T. Zheng, Z. Tian, B. T. Su, Z. Q. Lei, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2012, 51, 1391.
- [156] L. J. Cheng, Y. Kang, F. Tong, Appl. Surf. Sci. 2012, 263, 223.
- [157] H. Q. Wang, Z. B. Wu, Y. Liu, J. Phys. Chem. C 2009, 113, 13317.
- [158] W. H. Shen, Y. F. Zhu, X. P. Dong, J. L. Gu, J. L. Shi, Chem. Lett. 2005, 34, 840.
- [159] C. Bae, H. Yoo, S. Kim, K. Lee, J. Kim, M. M. Sung, H. Shin, Chem. Mater. 2008, 20, 756.
- [160] Y. L. Pang, S. Lim, H. C. Ong, W. T. Chong, Appl. Catal. A 2014, 481, 127.
- [161] J. J. Qiu, X. M. Li, X. D. Gao, X. Y. Gan, B. B. Weng, L. Li, Z. J. Yuan, Z. S. Shi, Y. H. Hwang, J. Mater. Chem. 2012, 22, 23411.
- [162] A. M. M. Jani, D. Losic, N. H. Voelcker, Prog. Mater. Sci. 2013, 58, 636.
- [163] J. H. Lee, I. C. Leu, M. C. Hsu, Y. W. Chung, M. H. Hon, J. Phys. Chem. B 2005, 109, 13056.
- [164] L. X. Yuan, S. Q. Meng, Y. Y. Zhou, Z. X. Yue, J. Mater. Chem. A 2013, 1, 2552.
- [165] P. Hoyer, Langmuir 1996, 12, 1411.
- [166] J. H. Kim, X. H. Zhang, J. D. Kim, H. M. Park, S. B. Lee, J. W. Yi, S. I. Jung, J. Solid State Chem. 2012, 196, 435.
- [167] D. V. Bavykin, J. M. Friedrich, F. C. Walsh, Adv. Mater. 2006, 18, 2807.
- [168] C. Bae, Y. Yoon, H. Yoo, D. Han, J. Cho, B. H. Lee, M. M. Sung, M. Lee, J. Kim, H. Shin, *Chem. Mater.* **2009**, *21*, 2574.
- [169] J. Tian, Z. H. Zhao, A. Kumar, R. I. Boughton, H. Liu, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 6920.
- [170] F. Zuo, K. Bozhilov, R. J. Dillon, L. Wang, P. Smith, X. Zhao, C. Bardeen, P. Y. Feng, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 124, 6327.
- [171] G. M. Wang, X. H. Xiao, W. Q. Li, Z. Y. Lin, Z. P. Zhao, C. Chen, C. Wang, Y. J. Li, X. Q. Huang, L. Miao, C. Z. Jiang, Y. Huang, X. F. Duan, *Nano Lett.* **2015**, *15*, 4692.
- [172] M. Q. Lv, D. J. Zheng, M. Y. Ye, L. Sun, J. Xiao, W. X. Guo, C. J. Lin, *Nanoscale* **2012**, *4*, 5872.
- [173] J. Su, X. X. Zou, G. D. Li, Y. M. Jiang, Y. Cao, J. Zhao, J. S. Chen, Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 8217.
- [174] D. O. Scanlon, C. W. Dunnill, J. Buckeridge, S. A. Shevlin, A. J. Logsdail, S. M. Woodley, C. R. A. Catlow, M. J. Powell, R. G. Palgrave, I. P. Parkin, G. W. Watson, T. W. Keal, P. Sherwood, A. Walsh, A. A. Sokol, *Nat. Mater.* **2013**, *12*, 798.
- [175] D. K. Roh, W. S. Chi, H. Jeon, S. J. Kim, J. H. Kim, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2014, 24, 379.
- [176] E. Grabowska, M. Diak, M. Marchelek, A. Zaleska, Appl. Catal. B 2014, 156, 213.
- [177] A. Selloni, Nat. Mater. 2008, 7, 613.

- [178] X. Q. Gong, A. Selloni, J. Phys. Chem. B 2005, 109, 19560.
- [179] H. G. Yang, C. H. Sun, S. Z. Qiao, J. Zou, G. Liu, S. C. Smith, H. M. Cheng, G. Q. Lu, *Nature* **2008**, 453, 638.
- [180] X. H. Yang, Z. Li, C. Sun, H. G. Yang, C. Li, Chem. Mater. 2011, 23, 3486.
- [181] Z. L. Jiang, Y. X. Tang, Q. Tay, Y. Y. Zhang, O. I. Malyi, D. Wang, J. Y. Deng, Y. K. Lai, H. F. Zhou, X. D. Chen, Z. L. Dong, Z. Chen, *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2013**, *3*, 1368.
- [182] M. H. Jung, K. C. Ko, J. Y. Lee, J. Phys. Chem. C 2014, 118, 17306.
- [183] M. H. Jung, M. J. Chu, M. G. Kang, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 5016.
- [184] A. John K, J. Naduvath, S. Mallick, T. Shripathi, M. Thankamoniamma, R. R. Philip, *Nanoscale* **2015**, *7*, 20386.
- [185] X. Wang, Y. Tang, M. Y. Leiw, T. T. Lim, Appl. Catal. A 2011, 409–410, 257.
- [186] M. Z. Ge, C. Y. Cao, J. Y. Huang, S. H. Li, S. N. Zhang, S. Deng, Q. S. Li, K. Q. Zhang, Y. K. Lai, *Nanotechnology Rev.* 2016, 5, 75.
- [187] N. T. Nguyen, M. Altomare, J. Yoo, P. Schmuki, Adv. Mater. 2015, 27, 3208.
- [188] L. Zhang, N. Q. Pan, S. W. Lin, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2014, 39, 13474.
- [189] Y. H. Qin, H. H. Yang, R. L. Lv, W. G. Wang, C. W. Wang, Electrochim. Acta 2013, 106, 372.
- [190] M. Y. Wang, M. D. Ye, J. Iocozzia, C. J. Lin, Z. Q. Lin, Adv. Sci. 2016, 3, 1600024.
- [191] Y. Wang, J. Yu, W. Xiao, Q. Li, J. Mater. Chem. A 2014, 2, 3847.
- [192] Z. Y. Zhan, J. N. An, H. C. Zhang, R. V. Hansen, L. X. Zheng, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2014, 6, 1139.
- [193] B. X. Chen, W. F. Zhang, X. H. Zhou, X. Huang, X. M. Zhao, H. T. Wang, M. Liu, Y. Lu, S. F. Yang, *Nano Energy* **2013**, *2*, 906.
- [194] F. X. Xiao, S. F. Hung, J. Miao, H. Y. Wang, H. Yang, B. Liu, Small 2015, 11, 554.
- [195] Z. C. Lian, W. C. Wang, S. N. Xiao, X. Li, Y. Y. Cui, D. Q. Zhang, G. S. Li, H. X. Li, *Sci. Rep.* 2015, *5*, 10461.
- [196] L. Wu, F. Li, Y. Xu, J. W. Zhang, D. Zhang, G. Li, H. Li, Appl. Catal. B 2015, 164, 217.
- [197] Y. C. Yin, E. Z. Liu, H. Li, J. Wan, J. Fan, X. Y. Hu, J. Li, C. N. Tang, C. C. Pu, *Ceram. Int.* **2016**, *42*, 9387.
- [198] K. P. Xie, L. Sun, C. L. Wang, Y. K. Lai, M. Y. Wang, H. B. Chen, C. J. Lin, *Electrochim. Acta* **2010**, *55*, 7211.
- [199] W. J. Lee, M. Alhosan, S. L. Yohe, N. L. Macy, W. H. Smyrl, J. Electrochem. Soc. 2008, 155, B915.
- [200] S. K. Mohapatra, N. Kondamudi, S. Banerjee, M. Misra, *Langmuir* 2008, 24, 11276.
- [201] X. Y. Guo, W. H. Di, C. F. Chen, C. X. Liu, X. Wang, W. P. Qin, Dalton Trans. 2014, 43, 1048.
- [202] H. T. Li, R. H. Liu, S. Y. Lian, Y. Liu, H. Huang, Z. H. Kang, Nanoscale 2013, 5, 3289.
- [203] S. D. Perera, R. G. Mariano, K. Vu, N. Nour, O. Seitz, Y. Chabal,
   K. J. Balkus, ACS Catal. 2012, 2, 949.
- [204] D. Y. Pan, C. Xi, Z. Li, L. Wang, Z. W. Chen, B. Lu, M. H. Wu, J. Mater. Chem. A 2013, 1, 3551.
- [205] X. Zhang, F. Wang, H. Huang, H. T. Li, X. Han, Y. Liu, Z. H. Kang, Nanoscale 2013, 5, 2274.
- [206] P. Song, X. Y. Zhang, M. X. Sun, X. L. Cui, Y. H. Lin, Nanoscale 2012, 4, 1800.
- [207] W. B. Hou, S. B. Cronin, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2013, 23, 1612.
- [208] Y. Tian, T. Tatsuma, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 7632.
- [209] C. Chen, W. M. Cai, M. C. Long, B. X. Zhou, Y. H. Wu, D. Y. Wu, Y. J. Feng, ACS Nano 2010, 4, 6425.
- [210] Y. B. Tang, Z. H. Chen, H. S. Song, C. S. Lee, H. T. Cong, H. M. Cheng, W. J. Zhang, I. Bello, S. T. Lee, *Nano Lett.* 2008, *8*, 4191.
- [211] Z. Y. Zhang, C. L. Shao, X. H. Li, C. H. Wang, M. Y. Zhang, Y. C. Liu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2010, 2, 2915.



#### www.advancedscience.com

- [212] Q. Wang, K. Zhu, N. R. Neale, A. J. Frank, Nano Lett. 2009, 9, 806.
- [213] W. W. Zhao, Z. Liu, S. Shan, W. W. Zhang, J. Wang, Z. Y. Ma, J. J. Xu, H. Y. Chen, *Sci. Rep.* **2014**, *4*, 4426.
- [214] J. Q. Liu, L. L. Ruan, S. B. Adeloju, Y. C. Wu, Dalton Trans. 2014, 43, 1706.
- [215] G. K. Mor, O. K. Varghese, R. H. T. Wilke, S. Sharma, K. Shankar, T. J. Latempa, K. S. Choi, C. A. Grimes, *Nano Lett.* **2008**, *8*, 1906.
- [216] M. Miyauchi, M. Takashio, H. Tobimatsu, Langmuir 2004, 20, 232.
- [217] H. Kato, A. Kudo, J. Phys. Chem. B 2002, 106, 5029.
- [218] W. Wang, M. O. Tadé, Z. P. Shao, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 5371.
- [219] X. M. Zhang, B. Gao, L. S. Hu, L. M. Li, W. H. Jin, K. F. Huo, P. K. Chu, CrystEngComm 2014, 16, 10280.
- [220] J. Zhang, J. H. Bang, C. Tang, P. V. Kamat, ACS Nano 2010, 4, 387.
- [221] H. A. Hamedani, N. K. Allam, H. Garmestani, M. A. El-Sayed, J. Phys. Chem. C 2011, 115, 13480.
- [222] Y. Yang, K. Lee, Y. Kado, P. Schmuki, *Electrochem. Commun.* 2012, 17, 56.
- [223] Z. B. Jiao, Y. Zhang, T. Chen, Q. S. Dong, G. X. Lu, Y. P. Bi, Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 2654.
- [224] L. S. Wang, M. W. Xiao, X. J. Huang, Y. D. Wu, J. Hazard. Mater. 2009, 161, 49.
- [225] X. B. Li, B. Liu, M. Wen, Y. J. Gao, H. L. Wu, M. Y. Huang, Z. J. Li, B. Chen, C. H. Tung, L. Z. Wu, Adv. Sci. 2016, 3, 1500282.
- [226] C. Liu, J. Y. Tang, H. M. Chen, B. Liu, P. D. Yang, Nano Lett. 2013, 13, 2989.
- [227] D. Zhao, C. F. Yang, Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev. 2016, 54, 1048.
- [228] D. T. Zhou, Z. Chen, T. Gao, F. Niu, L. S. Qin, Y. X. Huang, *Energy Technol.* 2015, 3, 888.
- [229] W. Zhu, X. Liu, H. Q. Liu, D. L. Tong, J. Y. Yang, J. Y. Peng, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 12619.
- [230] S. C. Hayden, N. K. Allam, M. A. El-Sayed, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 14406.
- [231] F. Fabregat-Santiago, E. M. Barea, J. Bisquert, G. K. Mor, K. Shankar, C. A. Grimes, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 11312.
- [232] S. Tirosh, T. Dittrich, A. Ofir, L. Grinis, A. Zaban, J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 16165.
- [233] D. R. Baker, P. V. Kamat, J. Phys. Chem. C 2009, 113, 17967.
- [234] F. Z. Jia, Z. P. Yao, Z. H. Jiang, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2012, 37, 3048.
- [235] J. G. Yu, Q. Li, S. W. Liu, M. Jaroniec, Chem. Eur. J. 2013, 19, 2433.
- [236] W. Jiao, N. Li, L. Wang, L. Wen, F. Li, G. Liu, H. M. Cheng, Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 3461.
- [237] Y. Y. Zhang, Z. L. Jiang, J. Y. Huang, L. Y. Lim, W. L. Li, J. Y. Deng, D. G. Gong, Y. X. Tang, Y. K. Lai, Z. Chen, RSC Adv. 2015, 5, 79479.
- [238] N. K. Allam, M. A. El-Sayed, J. Phys. Chem. C 2010, 114, 12024.
- [239] J. Y. Su, L. Zhu, G. H. Chen, Appl. Catal. B 2016, 186, 127.
- [240] K. Shankar, J. I. Basham, N. K. Allam, O. K. Varghese, G. K. Mor, X. Feng, M. Paulose, J. A. Seabold, K. S. Choi, C. A. Grimes, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2009**, *113*, 6327.
- [241] Q. Q. Meng, J. G. Wang, Q. Xie, H. Q. Dong, X. N. Li, Catal. Today 2011, 165, 145.
- [242] H. Eskandarloo, M. Hashempour, A. Vicenzo, S. Franz, A. Badiei, M. A. Behnajady, M. Bestetti, *Appl. Catal. B* 2016, 185, 119.
- [243] J. Liu, Y. Liu, N. Y. Liu, Y. Z. Han, X. Zhang, H. Huang, Y. Lifshitz, S. T. Lee, J. Zhong, Z. H. Kang, *Science* **2015**, *347*, 970.
- [244] G. C. Xie, K. Zhang, B. D. Guo, Q. Liu, L. Fang, J. R. Gong, Adv. Mater. 2013, 25, 3820.
- [245] S. Chen, D. L. Jacobs, J. K. Xu, Y. X. Li, C. Y. Wang, L. Zang, RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 48486.
- [246] J. Y. Huang, K. Q. Zhang, Y. K. Lai, Int. J. Photoenergy 2013, 2013, 761971.

- [247] D. D'Elia, C. Beauger, J. F. Hochepied, A. Rigacci, M. H. Berger, N. Keller, V. Keller-Spitzer, Y. Suzuki, J. C. Valmalette, M. Benabdesselam, P. Achard, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2011**, *36*, 14360.
- [248] Y. Sun, G. X. Wang, K. P. Yan, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2011, 36, 15502.
- [249] E. Y. Kim, J. H. Park, G. Y. Han, J. Power Sources 2008, 184, 284.
- [250] S. P. Xu, J. W. Ng, A. J. Du, J. C. Liu, D. D. Sun, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2011, 36, 6538.
- [251] Y. J. Zhang, W. Yan, Y. P. Wu, Z. H. Wang, Mater. Lett. 2008, 62, 3846.
- [252] X. Yang, L. Wu, L. Du, X. Li, Catal. Lett. 2015, 145, 1771.
- [253] D. Eder, M. Motta, A. H. Windle, Nanotechnology 2009, 20, 055602.
- [254] M. A. Khan, M. S. Akhtar, S. I. Woo, O. B. Yang, Catal. Commun. 2008, 10, 1.
- [255] R. P. Antony, T. Mathews, C. Ramesh, N. Murugesan, A. Dasgupta, S. Dhara, S. Dash, A. K. Tyagi, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2012**, *37*, 8268.
- [256] M. Z. Ge, C. Y. Cao, S. H. Li, Y. X. Tang, L. N. Wang, N. Qi, J. Y. Huang, K. Q. Zhang, S. S. Al-Deyab, Y. K. Lai, *Nanoscale* **2016**, *8*, 5226.
- [257] H. Y. Wang, W. Zhu, B. H. Chong, K. Qin, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2014, 39, 90.
- [258] Z. H. Li, J. W. Liu, D. J. Wang, Y. Gao, J. Shen, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2012, 37, 6431.
- [259] X. Fan, J. Fan, X. Y. Hu, E. Z. Liu, L. M. Kang, C. N. Tang, Y. N. Ma, H. T. Wu, Y. Y. Li, *Ceram. Int.* **2014**, 40, 15907.
- [260] J. W. Ager, M. R. Shaner, K. A. Walczak, I. D. Sharp, S. Ardo, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2015, *8*, 2811.
- [261] C. Zhen, R. Chen, L. Z. Wang, G. Liu, H. M. Cheng, J. Mater. Chem. A 2016, 4, 2783.
- [262] J. Q. Chen, D. H. Yang, D. Song, J. H. Jiang, A. B. Ma, M. Z. Hu, C. Y. Ni, J. Power Sources 2015, 280, 649.
- [263] H. Doescher, J. L. Young, J. F. Geisz, J. A. Turner, T. G. Deutsch, Energy Environ. Sci. 2016, 9, 74.
- [264] X. J. Shi, K. Zhang, K. Shin, M. Ma, J. Kwon, I. T. Choi, J. K. Kim, H. K. Kim, D. H. Wang, J. H. Park, *Nano Energy* **2015**, *13*, 182.
- [265] J. Gong, Y. Lai, C. Lin, Electrochim. Acta 2010, 5, 4776.
- [266] Y. Sun, K. P. Yan, G. X. Wang, W. Guo, T. L. Ma, J. Phys. Chem. C 2011, 115, 12844.
- [267] Y. K. Li, H. M. Yu, C. K. Zhang, W. Song, G. F. Li, Z. G. Shao, B. L. Yi, *Electrochim. Acta* **2013**, *107*, 313.
- [268] S. Z. Liang, J. F. He, Z. H. Sun, Q. H. Liu, Y. Jiang, H. Cheng, B. He, Z. Xie, S. Q. Wei, J. Phys. Chem. C 2012, 116, 9049.
- [269] N. K. Allam, K. Shankar, C. A. Grimes, J. Mater. Chem. 2008, 18, 2341.
- [270] J. H. Park, O. O. Park, S. Kim, Appl. Phys. Lett. 2006, 89, 163106.
- [271] J. H. Park, S. Kim, A. J. Bard, Nano Lett. 2006, 6, 24.
- [272] N. Liu, C. Schneider, D. Freitag, M. Hartmann, U. Venkatesan, J. Mueller, E. Spiecker, P. Schmuki, *Nano Lett.* **2014**, 14, 3309.
- [273] H. L. Cui, W. Zhao, C. Y. Yang, H. Yin, T. Q. Lin, Y. F. Shan, Y. Xie, H. Gu, F. Q. Huang, J. Mater. Chem. A 2014, 2, 8612.
- [274] X. F. Gao, H. B. Li, W. T. Sun, Q. Chen, F. Q. Tang, L. M. Peng, J. Phys. Chem. C 2009, 113, 7531.
- [275] J. Luo, D. L. Li, Y. Yang, H. Q. Liu, J. Y. Chen, H. Y. Wang, J. Alloys Compd. 2016, 661, 380.
- [276] W. C. Wang, F. Li, D. Q. Zhang, D. Y. C. Leung, G. S. Li, Appl. Surf. Sci. 2016, 362, 490.
- [277] N. K. Allam, C. W. Yen, R. D. Near, M. A. El-Sayed, Energy Environ. Sci. 2011, 4, 2909.
- [278] K. C. Sun, Y. C. Chen, M. Y. Kuo, H. W. Wang, Y. F. Lu, J. C. Chung, Y. C. Liu, Y. Z. Zeng, *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 2011, 129, 35.
- [279] A. G. Dosado, W. T. Chen, A. Chan, D. Sun-Waterhouse, G. I. N. Waterhouse, J. Catal. 2015, 330, 238.

ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS

www.advancedsciencenews.com

#### **ADVANCED** SCIENCE NEWS

www.advancedsciencenews.com

- [280] C. X. Zhao, H. Luo, F. Chen, P. Zhang, L. H. Yi, K. Y. You, Energy Environ. Sci. 2014, 7, 1700.
- [281] S. S. Zhang, H. J. Wang, M. S. Yeung, Y. P. Fang, H. Yu, F. Peng, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2013, 38, 7241.
- [282] Slamet, D. Tristantini, Valentina, M. Ibadurrohman, Int. J. Energy Res. 2013, 37, 1372.
- [283] F. Wu, X. Y. Hu, J. Fan, E. Z. Liu, T. Sun, L. M. Kang, W. Q. Hou, C. J. Zhu, H. C. Liu, *Plasmonics* **2013**, *8*, 501.
- [284] H. F. Dang, X. F. Dong, Y. C. Dong, Y. Zhang, S. Hampshire, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2013, 38, 2126.
- [285] G. Lee, M. K. Yeo, M. H. Um, M. Kang, Int. J. Photoenergy 2012, 2012, 843042.
- [286] S. P. Xu, A. J. Du, J. C. Liu, J. Ng, D. D. Sun, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2011, 36, 6560.
- [287] J. Shen, Y. L. Meng, G. Xin, Rare Metals 2011, 30, 280.
- [288] C. L. Li, J. A. Yuan, B. Y. Han, L. Jiang, W. F. Shangguan, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2010, 35, 7073.
- [289] Z. Y. Liu, B. Pesic, K. S. Raja, R. R. Rangaraju, M. Misra, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2009, 34, 3250.
- [290] G. L. Chiarello, A. Zuliani, D. Ceresoli, R. Martinazzo, E. Salli, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 1345.
- [291] Y. Sun, K. P. Yan, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2014, 39, 11368.
- [292] S. T. Nishanthi, B. Sundarakannan, E. Subramanian, D. P. Padiyan, *Renew. Energy* 2015, 77, 300.
- [293] Y. J. Zhang, G. H. Zhao, H. J. Shi, Y. N. Zhang, W. N. Huang, X. F. Huang, Z. Y. Wu, *Electrochim. Acta* 2015, 174, 93.
- [294] M. M. Momeni, Y. Ghayeb, J. Electroanal. Chem. 2015, 751, 43.
- [295] H. K. Wang, F. Y. Chen, W. Y. Li, T. Tian, J. Power Sources 2015, 287, 150.
- [296] S. W. Shin, J. Y. Lee, K. S. Ahn, S. H. Kang, J. H. Kim, J. Phys. Chem. C 2015, 119, 13375.
- [297] J. Luo, Y. Ma, H. Y. Wang, J. Y. Chen, *Electrochim. Acta* 2015, 167, 119.
- [298] M. M. Momeni, Y. Ghayeb, J. Appl. Electrochem. 2015, 45, 557.
- [299] H. L. Chen, K. F. Chen, S. W. Lai, Z. Dang, Y. P. Peng, Sep. Purif. Technol. 2015, 146, 143.

- [300] X. Fan, J. Wan, E. Z. Liu, L. Sun, Y. Hu, H. Li, X. Y. Hu, J. Fan, *Ceram. Int.* 2015, 41, 5107.
- [301] M. M. Momeni, Y. Ghayeb, M. Davarzadeh, J. Electroanal. Chem. 2015, 739, 149.
- [302] Q. Liu, D. Y. Ding, C. Q. Ning, X. W. Wang, RSC Adv. 2015, 5, 95478.
- [303] H. Li, J. Q. Chen, Z. B. Xia, J. H. Xing, J. Mater. Chem. A 2015, 3, 699.
- [304] X. F. Zhang, B. Y. Zhang, D. K. Huang, H. L. Yuan, M. K. Wang, Y. Shen, *Carbon* **2014**, *80*, 591.
- [305] Q. F. Gui, Z. Xu, H. F. Zhang, C. W. Cheng, X. F. Zhu, M. Yin, Y. Song, L. F. Lu, X. Y. Chen, D. D. Li, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2014, 6, 17053.
- [306] X. L. Tong, P. Yang, Y. W. Wang, Y. Qin, X. Y. Guo, Nanoscale 2014, 6, 6692.
- [307] F. X. Xiao, J. W. Miao, H. Y. Wang, H. B. Yang, J. Z. Chen, B. Liu, *Nanoscale* **2014**, *6*, 6727.
- [308] M. Gholami, M. Qorbani, O. Moradlou, N. Naseri, A. Z. Moshfegh, RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 7838.
- [309] K. R. Reyes-Gil, D. B. Robinson, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2013, 5, 12400.
- [310] C. Xu, Y. Song, L. F. Lu, C. W. Cheng, D. F. Liu, X. H. Fang, X. Y. Chen, X. F. Zhu, D. D. Li, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* **2013**, *8*, 391.
- [311] J. Y. Gong, W. H. Pu, C. Z. Yang, J. D. Zhang, Catal. Commun. 2013, 36, 89.
- [312] C. W. Lai, S. Sreekantan, Mater. Sci. Semicon. Proc. 2013, 16, 947.
- [313] Y. R. Smith, B. Sarma, S. K. Mohanty, M. Misra, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2013, 38, 2062.
- [314] W. Krengvirat, S. Sreekantan, A. F. M. Noor, G. Kawamura, H. Muto, A. Matsuda, *Electrochim. Acta* 2013, *89*, 585.
- [315] Q. Kang, J. Y. Cao, Y. J. Zhang, L. Q. Liu, H. Xu, J. H. Ye, J. Mater. Chem. A 2013, 1, 5766.
- [316] Z. Zhang, L. Zhang, M. Hedhili, H. Zhang, P. Wang, Nano Lett. 2013, 13, 14.
- [317] Y. K. Lai, J. J. Gong, C. J. Lin, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2012, 37, 6438.
- [318] J. Bai, J. H. Li, Y. B. Liu, B. X. Zhou, W. M. Cai, Appl. Catal. B 2010, 95, 408.

