

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript Methods Mol Biol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 January 17.

Published in final edited form as: Methods Mol Biol. 2017 ; 1528: 121–148. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-6630-1\_8.

## **Characterization of individual histone post-translational modifications and their combinatorial patterns by mass spectrometry-based proteomics strategies**

### **Simone Sidoli** and **Benjamin A. Garcia**\*

Epigenetics Program, Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, 19104, USA

### **Summary**

Histone post-translational modifications (PTMs) play an essential role in chromatin biology, as they model chromatin structure and recruit enzymes involved in gene regulation, DNA repair and chromosome condensation. Such PTMs are mostly localized on histone N-terminal tails where, as single units or in a combinatorial manner, influence chromatin reader protein binding and fine-tune the abovementioned activities. Mass spectrometry (MS) is currently the most adopted strategy to characterize proteins and protein PTMs. We hereby describe the protocols to identify and quantify histone PTMs and their patterns using either bottom-up or middle-down proteomics. In the bottom-up strategy we obtain 5–20 aa peptides by derivatization with propionylation followed by trypsin digestion. The newly generated N-termini of histone peptides can be further derivatized with light or isotopically heavy propionyl groups to increase chromatographic retention and allow multiplexed analyses. Moreover, we describe how to perform derivatization and trypsin digestion of histones loaded into a gel, which is usually the final step of immunoprecipitation experiments. In the middle-down strategy we obtain intact histone tails of 50–60 aa by digestion with the enzyme GluC. This allows characterization of combinatorial histone PTMs on N-terminal tails.

### **Keywords**

histones; mass spectrometry; proteomics; bottom-up; middle-down

### **1. Introduction**

Epigenetics is defined as the study of inheritable changes in the phenotype of an organism caused by mechanisms other than changes in the underlying DNA sequence [1]. The phenotype of a complex organism changes dramatically during development, from the embryo to the adult form, even though its DNA remains mostly unaltered. The epigenetic machinery involves different cellular biomolecules, including histone post-translational modifications (PTMs), histone variants, non-coding RNAs, DNA methylation and DNA binding factors[2]. While DNA methylation is known as irreversible modification that inactivates chromatin regions from being translated [3], histone variants and histone PTMs

<sup>\*</sup> corresponding and senior author: bgarci@mail.med.upenn.edu.

Sidoli and Garcia **Page 2** 

are more dynamic units that influence chromatin-related functions. PTMs are mostly localized in the N-terminal tails, as it is the region of the histones most exposed and flexible. Even though histone marks have been extensively characterized in the last decade, many links between known histone marks and their function are still missing. This is mostly due to the peculiarity of histone PTMs to shuffle in a large variety of combinations, modifying dramatically the affinity with histone interacting proteins and thus their role in the chromatin. The presence of sequence variants also contributes to increase the complexity of histone analysis, as histone isotypes are generally highly similar in sequence, but they might have different roles in the chromatin; e.g. H2A.x has a C-terminal sequence which is more easily phosphorylated in case of DNA damage compared to canonical H2A [4] and it is required for inactivation of sex chromosomes in male mouse meiosis [5], while CENP-A substitutes canonical histone H3 in centromers [6].

Antibody-based techniques such as western blotting have been extensively adopted to characterize histones. However, this approach is limited for the following reasons: (i) antibodies only work as confirmation, they cannot identify unknown PTMs; (ii) they are biased through presence of co-existing marks, which might influence binding affinity; (iii) they cannot identify combinatorial marks, as only very few antibodies are available for such purpose and (iv) they happen to cross-react between highly similar histone variants or multiple PTMs (e.g., di- and trimethylation of lysine residues). Egelhofer et al. described that more than 25% of commercial antibodies fail specificity tests by dot blot or western blot, and among specific antibodies more than 20% fail in chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments [7]. Mass spectrometry (MS) is currently the most suitable analytical tool to study novel and/or combinatorial PTMs, and it has been extensively implemented for histone proteins (reviewed in [8]). This is mostly due to MS high sensitivity, high mass accuracy and the possibility to perform large-scale analyses. In this chapter, we describe the workflow to purify histones and prepare them for PTM analysis via bottom-up or middle-down proteomics (Fig. 1). Both strategies achieve quantification of single histone marks. While bottom-up is more sensitive and requires less advanced instrumentation, middle-down is more suitable to characterize distant co-existing marks and their respective histone variants. An overview of the major differences between the bottom-up and the middle-down proteomics strategies is illustrated in Table 1. We recommend consulting the table prior deciding which strategy to follow for histone PTM analysis, as it specifies both requirements and the different type of results that can be achieved.

### **2. Materials**

### **2.1. Reagents and abbreviations**





Sidoli and Garcia Page 4



analysis requires HPLC with at least three channels (one for loading buffer, one for buffer A and one for buffer B) and high resolution MS and MS/MS with electron transfer dissociation (ETD) as fragmentation technique.

### **3. Methods**

Carry out all procedures at room temperature, unless otherwise specified.

### **3.1. Cell harvest from tissue culture**



**3.3. Cell nuclei isolation**

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript



50 μL of 1 M DTT, 125 μL of 200 mM AEBSF, 100 μL of

### **3.4. Purification of histone proteins**

Histones are highly enriched in basic amino acid residues. This property highly facilitates their interaction with DNA, which has a backbone containing phosphoric acid. The described histone extraction protocol is based on their acid solubility (with  $0.2 M H_2SO_4$ ) followed by precipitation with highly concentrated TCA (33%) (see Note 1 for alternative protocol).



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The high-salt extraction protocol can be used to purify histones [14] in alternative to TCA precipitation. Such protocol is intrinsically milder, as it does not use strong acid. This preserves acid-labile PTMs and increases the yield of extracted histones, as TCA precipitation co-precipitates many other chromatin binding proteins. However, high-salt extraction leads to samples containing too concentrated salt for HPLC-MS/MS. The Stage-tip step (section 3.11) is often not sufficient in this case. Salt removal might generate consistent sample losses, making high-salt extraction procedure not favorable for our workflow



### **3.6. Online HPLC-UV fractionation of histone variants (optional)**

The crude histone mixture can be fractionated with reversed-phase HPLC coupled to a UV detector. This step allows for purification of histone variants and thus leads to more sensitive analyses for single histones as compared to the analysis of the crude histone mixture (see Note 2 for recommendations on when to perform this step).



<sup>2</sup>Fractionation of intact histone variants ideally requires at least 100 μg of starting material (if 2.1 mm ID column is used), or 300 μg (if 4.6 mm ID column is used). In case the available sample is less than 25% of these references, we recommend avoiding HPLC-UV fractionation

Methods Mol Biol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 January 17.



approximately to three column volumes. Use this signal to

### **3.7. Propionic anhydride derivatization prior histone digestion for bottom-up analysis**

The bottom-up strategy is the most commonly used MS-based proteomics strategy for histone characterization, as it is based on histone digestion into short peptides (5–20 aa), which facilitates both HPLC separation and MS detection (Fig. 2). Masses in the range of 600–2000 Da are commonly more easily ionized, and identified with higher mass accuracy and resolution than larger masses. Smaller masses are instead hard to retain by chromatography. MS/MS fragmentation is also facilitated, as short peptides are generally well-suited for collision induced dissociation (CID). However, histones are highly enriched in basic amino acid residues such as lysine and arginines. Therefore, trypsin digestion leads to the generation of too short peptides for HPLC retention and unambiguous localization of the PTMs. Our protocol includes a step of lysine and peptide N-terminal chemical derivatization [9]. We use propionic anhydride for this purpose, as we recently proved that it is the most suitable anhydride for the purpose [10]. Such derivatization blocks the ε-amino groups of unmodified and monomethyl lysine residues, allowing trypsin to perform proteolysis only at the C-terminal of arginine residues. Moreover, derivatized lysine residues, contrary to unmodified ones, cannot exchange protons with the solution and thus the peptides are generally only doubly or triply charged, facilitating MS and MS/MS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The time and time windows of fraction collection might vary depending on your HPLC system and the collecting tubes in use. Perform a test run at first

Methods Mol Biol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 January 17.

detection. N-terminal derivatization increases peptide hydrophobicity and thus reversedphase chromatographic retention (see Note 4 for alternative protocol).

1.	reaching 50 mM	Dissolve histone samples in 30 $\mu$ L of 50 mM NH <sub>4</sub> HCO <sub>3</sub> , pH 8.0 (recommended amount: 50-100 µg). If samples were in pure ddH <sub>2</sub> O, add concentrated NH <sub>4</sub> HCO <sub>3</sub> until
2.		Dip a P10 pipette tip into the sample and touch with this a pH indicator strip to monitor the pH. It should be enough to have an idea of the current pH without having sample losses. NH <sub>4</sub> OH and glacial acetic acid can be used to adjust the pH (see Note 5 for safety instructions)
3.		Prepare propionylation reagent by mixing propionic anhydride with 2-propanol in the ratio 1:3 ( $v/v$ ); e.g. for three samples that have the volume of 20–30 µL, mix 15 µL of propionic anhydride and 45 µL of 2-propanol. This reagent must be made fresh every 3-4 samples (see Note 6 for details regarding reagents' reactivity)
4.		Add rapidly the propionylation reaction to the histone sample with a ratio of 1:2 ( $v/v$ ); e.g. 15 µL propionylation reaction for 30 µL sample
5.		Add rapidly $NH_4OH$ to re-establish pH 8.0 to the solution. Propionic anhydride reacting with the free amines of the peptides produces propionic acid that decreases pH. Usually, adding $NH_4OH$ to the sample with a ratio of 1:5 $(v/v)$ is appropriate to re-establish pH 8.0; e.g. 6 µL of $NH_4OH$ to 30 µL of sample
		WARNING - When pH is larger than 10.0, labeling of other amino acid residues with higher pKa is possible
6.		Mix immediately by vortex
7.		Check pH with the same procedure as Step 2
8.		Briefly centrifuge and incubate samples at 37 °C on a heat block or in a water bath for 15 min
9.		Repeat Steps 3-8, always taking care to not perform the reaction for more than 3-4 samples per batch of propionylation reagent

<sup>4</sup>Histone digestion for bottom-up proteomics analysis can be performed without propionylation, for instance by reducing trypsin incubation time and the enzyme/substrate ratio [15] or using ArgC as digestion enzyme [16–18]. However, we recommend our described protocol, as it leads to the generation of more hydrophobic peptides which are better retained during liquid chromatography<br><sup>5</sup>Propionic anhydride, NH<sub>4</sub>OH and acetic acid should be handled in the fumehood. After should be filled with argon to prevent slow conversion to propionic acid due to water vapor from air<br><sup>6</sup>The propionylation mixture rapidly becomes inefficient, due to the conversion of propionic anhydride to propionic acid

reason, it is highly recommended to perform the reaction rapidly and for a limited number of samples for each batch

Sidoli and Garcia **Page 11** Page 11



### **3.8. Proteolytic digestion with trypsin (in solution)**



### **3.9 Derivatization and proteolytic digestion of histones (in gel – alternative to 3.7–8)**

This part of the protocol should be used for histones loaded in gel. Protein separation using SDS-PAGE is an efficient technique to achieve both sample fractionation and removal of detergents (e.g. if sample is an elution from immunoprecipitation). This part is alternative to sections 3.7 and 3.8. Section 3.10 is in common for both in solution and in gel histone derivatization and digestion.

**1.** Excise the histone fraction from the polyacrylamide gel. Cut as close to the protein band as possible to reduce the amount of background



Sidoli and Garcia Page 13



### **3.10. Propionylation of histone peptides at N-termini after trypsin digestion**

This section describes the derivatization of peptide N-termini. Such procedure is not essential for most of histone peptides, but it facilitates the HPLC retention of the shortest ones (e.g. aa 3–8 histone H3), as the propionyl group increases peptide hydrophobicity (see Note 7 for modifications of the protocol that includes multiplexing).



### **3.11. Sample desalting with Stage-tips (this step can be omitted if using trap column in HPLC-MS)**

The protocol we describe leads to presence of salts in the sample at this stage of the preparation. Salts are detrimental for HPLC-MS analysis. First, ionized salts are also injected into the mass spectrometer, contributing in suppressing the signal of the peptides and contaminating the instrument. Moreover, salts might form ionic adducts with peptides, reducing the signal intensity of the "clean" peptide, as a percentage of such peptide would be detected with a different molecular weight. This prevents efficient identification and quantification of the given peptide. Desalting can be performed offline with Stage-tips or

 $7$ In bottom-up sample preparation it is possible to differentially derivatize peptide N-termini of two samples with light and heavy propionic anhydride. One sample can be modified with a D0 propionic anhydride (CH3CH2CO)2O, while the other with a D10 propionic anhydride (CD<sub>3</sub>CD<sub>2</sub>CO)<sub>2</sub>O at this step. This leads to a delta mass between the light and heavy labeled peptides of +5 Da and multiplexing analysis can be performed. The two samples should be mixed in equal amounts to obtain the least variation in ionization efficiency. The procedure to extract the area of heavy labeled peptides is equal to the light version

Methods Mol Biol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 January 17.

online when the HPLC-MS setup consists of a two column system (Fig. 3). In this section we describe the offline protocol.



### **3.12. Sample preparation for middle-down histone PTM analysis (alternative to sections 3.7–11)**

The middle-down strategy takes advantage of the fact that the N-terminal tail of the histones can be proteolytically digested by GluC, an enzyme that cleaves at the C-terminal of the glutamic acid residue. This generates a polypeptide of 40–50 aa residues (5–6 kDa) that contain the majority of histone PTMs. For instance, histone H3 isotypes in mammals and many model organisms contain the first glutamic acid in position 50. This strategy is an effective compromise between bottom-up and top-down (intact protein analysis), as it allows precise mapping and quantification of single PTMs, still technically challenging with topdown, and combinatorial PTMs, not possible with bottom-up.

<sup>8</sup>To desalt a large number of samples, centrifugation can be used instead of air pressure. Do not simply place the tips into 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes, as they might break. Use appropriate holders, or drill a hole on the top of the tube using a suitable size screw driver or a mini drill

Methods Mol Biol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 January 17.

Sidoli and Garcia **Page 15** Page 15



### **3.13. Preparation of the nano HPLC setup for online HPLC-MS analysis**

Online HPLC-MS in proteomics is commonly performed using nano liquid chromatography. This is because nanoHPLC allows for loading of low amounts of material, and guarantees high sensitive analyses. However, particular attention must be used when preparing the HPLC setup, as small errors in column cuts or connections highly affect chromatographic performance. Here we describe how to prepare nanoHPLC columns (steps 1–10 can be omitted if using commercial columns) and how to configure the HPLC setup for bottom-up and middle-down analysis.





**7.** Prepare in a clean HPLC glass vial the resin slurry for column packing in 100% methanol or any other organic solvent and add a micro-stir magnet. Use  $C_{18}$ -AQ reversedphase 3 μm particles for trap columns and bottom-up analytical column, and Polycat A resin 3 μm 1500 Å pore size for middle-down analytical column

- **8.** Place the resin slurry in a pressure bomb and turn on magnetic stirring
- **9.** Place the Kasil-fritted fused silica in the pressure bomb. Pressure is delivered by a gas bomb, containing helium, nitrogen or air. Traditional pressure bombs cannot stand pressures above 100–150 bars. Verify that value on the pressure limiting valve placed on the gas bomb
- **10.** Turn on pressure and leave the column packing. The recommended lengths for columns are:

**•** 15–18 cm for C18 analytical column for bottom-up analysis. The column can be packed indefinitely, and then cut the desired length

**•** 10–12 cm for Polycat A analytical column for middle-down analysis. The column can be packed indefinitely, and then cut the desired length

**•** 1.5 cm for trap columns. Make sure that you turn off the pressure at the desired length, as it is not possible to cut a capillary so short and then make the HPLC connections. Leave at least 5 cm of empty capillary for

<sup>9</sup>Alternatively to preparing frit for in-house packed column, it is possible to pull a tip from one extreme of the capillary, if the lab is equipped with a laser tip puller. Such procedure can be performed only for the analytical column, as it can be directly placed at the front of the mass spectrometer

bottom-up analysis, and 8 cm for middle-down



### **3.14. Bottom-up analysis of histone peptides**

At this stage the histone sample and the HPLC setup are ready. It is possible now to proceed to the HPLC-MS/MS analysis. The method described is meant to be used for the columns we previously recommended (section 3.13).



 $+3H$ <sup>3+</sup> ions of the same peptide, even though in most cases the  $[M + 2H]^{2+}$  is the prevalent form (see Note 10 for further instructions on how to discriminate the differently modified peptides)

**7.** Calculate the relative abundance of each PTM by calculating the sum of all different modified forms of a histone peptide (100%), and divide the area of the particular peptide by the total histone peptide. When isobaric species are present, e.g. K18ac and K23ac, MS/MS information is used to find the ratio between the two species (Fig. 4). This ratio is used to divide the area of the chromatographic peak between the two species

### **3.15. Middle-down analysis of intact histone tails (alternative to section 3.14)**

WCX/HILIC is currently the best suited column material to online separate histone tails. Large basic and hydrophilic polypeptides bind efficiently in high organic solvent (75%) and near-neutral pH (6.00), since the hydrophilic stationary phase contains glutamic acid that deprotonates and generates ionic bonds with positively charged polypeptides. Elution is performed with a gradient of water and decreasing pH, avoiding the use of salts that are potentially detrimental for MS. Detection is performed with high resolution MS/MS and ETD fragmentation. Afterwards, database searching is mandatory to follow our workflow, which is currently the only one publicly available to map and quantify precisely single and combinatorial histone PTMs with middle-down proteomics. The method described is meant to be used with the column type and configuration we described previously (section 3.13).

**1.** Program the HPLC method as follows: from 0 to 55% buffer B in 1 min, from 55 to 85% B in 160 min and from 85 to 100% in 5 min. If the HPLC is not programmed for automated column equilibration before sample loading then include this part in the method: switch the valve in position load (Fig. 3), from 100 to 0% B in 1 min and isocratic flow at 0% B for 10 min. The flow rate of the analysis should be 250–300 nL/min

**2.** Program the MS acquisition method to perform MS/MS data dependent acquisition of the 6–8 most abundant precursor masses without dynamic exclusion. The full MS scan range should be 450–750  $m/z$  to avoid repetitive selection of the same peptides in multiple charge states. If only histone H3 is analyzed, the window can be narrowed to 660–720 m/z

<sup>10</sup>For the bottom-up analysis lysine acetylation (+42.011 Da) can be discriminated from the nearly isobaric trimethylation (+42.047 Da) by using high resolution MS acquisition (>30,000). Moreover, acetylation is more hydrophobic than trimethylation, leading to later elution of acetylated peptides as compared to the respective trimethylated ones. The unmodified form of the same peptide elutes even later, due to the fact that the lysine is propionylated. In summary, the order of hydrophobicity for a peptide with one modifiable site is di- and trimethylated < acetylated < unmodified (propionylated) < monomethylated (propionylated)





### **3.16. Middle-down data processing**

While bottom-up LC-MS runs do not necessarily need a proper database searching, in the case of middle-down it is mandatory with our developed workflow. In middle-down each precursor mass might easily correspond to more than 30 isobaric peptides (value estimated in [11]), which should be discriminated at the MS/MS level. Peptide-spectrum match validation and peptide quantification are performed with our in-house developed bioinformatics tools, freely available at [http://middle-down.github.io/Software.](http://middle-down.github.io/Software) However, they require the result file of Mascot (Matrix Science, UK) as input.

**1.** Collect all raw files and submit them to a deconvolution tool. MS/MS spectra ions should be all singly charged previous Mascot database searching. We recommend Xtract as deconvolution algorithm if Thermo Fisher Scientific instrument is used (e.g. LTQ-Orbitrap). Xtract can be directly used in Proteome Discoverer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) as workflow node. Alternatively, any other deconvolution algorithm that generates Mascot Generic Format (.mgf) files can be used **2.** Perform database searching using the following parameters: MS mass tolerance: 2.2 Da, to include possible errors of the deconvolution algorithm in isotopic recognition. MS/MS mass tolerance: 0.01 Da. Enzyme: GluC with no missed

cleavages allowed. No static modifications. Variable modifications: mono- and dimethylation (KR), trimethylation (K), acetylation (K) and, optionally,

phosphorylation (ST). The sequence database should contain only histones; large databases increase dramatically searching time

**3.** Export Mascot results in .csv file extension. In the export include the following information to the file: all Query level information, all the default information (already ticked when export page is opened)

**4.** Import the .csv file in isoScale slim, which you can find at [http://middle-down.github.io/Software.](http://middle-down.github.io/Software) Select the tolerance for the search (recommended: 30 ppm) and the type of fragmentation adopted. The result table is in the same folder where the software is located. This table contains the list of peptides that passed the site determining ions validation and their absolute and relative intensity. isoScale outputs the calculated relative abundance for each combinatorial PTM identified and validated (software principle described in [11])

**5.** The output table contains duplicates (peptides with the same sequence and PTM combination). Remove them by using the "Remove duplicates" option in Excel

> Middle-down is used to study PTM co-existence, but also to compare multiple conditions. However, all samples need to be run separately (multiplexing is still not possible). From the relative abundance of the combinatorial marks it is possible to extract the relative abundance of single marks simply by summing all relative abundances of peptides that contain the given PTM. In figure 5 we display some examples of how to represent middle-down data.

> To estimate which histone marks tend to co-exist with each other with high or low frequency it is possible to calculate the interplay score [12,13]. This score is calculated as:

$$
I_{xy} = \log 2 \left( F_{xy} / (F_x * F_y) \right)
$$

where  $I_{xy}$  is the interplay score between the marks X and Y,  $F_{xy}$  is the co-existence frequency (or relative abundance) of the two marks and  $F_x$  or  $F_y$  are the frequencies of the single marks in the dataset. In other words, Fxy is the observed co-existence frequency, while is the theoretical co-existence frequency, calculated based on the relative abundance of single PTMs. The interplay score provides how much two marks "like" to share the same histone tail. Positive values indicate tendency to co-exist higher than if the two marks

were completely independent from each other, while negative values indicate the opposite. The interplay score calculated for binary PTMs quantified in middle-down experiments could be used as indicator to predict cross-talk between histone marks.

### **References**

- 1. Waddington CH. Canalization of development and the inheritance of acquired characters. Nature. 1942; 150:563–565. DOI: 10.1038/150563a0
- 2. Sharma S, Kelly TK, Jones PA. Epigenetics in cancer. Carcinogenesis. 2010; 31(1):27–36. DOI: 10.1093/carcin/bgp220 [PubMed: 19752007]
- 3. Reik W, Dean W, Walter J. Epigenetic reprogramming in mammalian development. Science. 2001; 293(5532):1089–1093. DOI: 10.1126/science.1063443 [PubMed: 11498579]
- 4. van Attikum H, Gasser SM. Crosstalk between histone modifications during the DNA damage response. Trends Cell Biol. 2009; 19(5):207–217. DOI: 10.1016/j.tcb.2009.03.001 [PubMed: 19342239]
- 5. Fernandez-Capetillo O, Mahadevaiah SK, Celeste A, Romanienko PJ, Camerini-Otero RD, Bonner WM, Manova K, Burgoyne P, Nussenzweig A. H2AX is required for chromatin remodeling and inactivation of sex chromosomes in male mouse meiosis. Dev Cell. 2003; 4(4):497–508. DOI: 10.1016/S1534-5807(03)00093-5 [PubMed: 12689589]
- 6. Santaguida S, Musacchio A. The life and miracles of kinetochores. Embo Journal. 2009; 28(17): 2511–2531. DOI: 10.1038/emboj.2009.173 [PubMed: 19629042]
- 7. Egelhofer TA, Minoda A, Klugman S, Lee K, Kolasinska-Zwierz P, Alekseyenko AA, Cheung MS, Day DS, Gadel S, Gorchakov AA, Gu TT, Kharchenko PV, Kuan S, Latorre I, Linder-Basso D, Luu Y, Ngo Q, Perry M, Rechtsteiner A, Riddle NC, Schwartz YB, Shanower GA, Vielle A, Ahringer J, Elgin SCR, Kuroda MI, Pirrotta V, Ren B, Strome S, Park PJ, Karpen GH, Hawkins RD, Lieb JD. An assessment of histone-modification antibody quality. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2011; 18(1):91.doi: 10.1038/Nsmb.1972 [PubMed: 21131980]
- 8. Sidoli S, Cheng L, Jensen ON. Proteomics in chromatin biology and epigenetics: Elucidation of post-translational modifications of histone proteins by mass spectrometry. Journal of proteomics. 2012; 75(12):3419–3433. DOI: 10.1016/j.jprot.2011.12.029 [PubMed: 22234360]
- 9. Plazas-Mayorca MD, Zee BM, Young NL, Fingerman IM, LeRoy G, Briggs SD, Garcia BA. One-Pot Shotgun Quantitative Mass Spectrometry Characterization of Histones. Journal of proteome research. 2009; 8(11):5367–5374. DOI: 10.1021/Pr900777e [PubMed: 19764812]
- 10. Sidoli S, Yuan ZF, Lin S, Karch K, Wang X, Bhanu N, Arnaudo AM, Britton LM, Cao XJ, Gonzales-Cope M, Han Y, Liu S, Molden RC, Wein S, Afjehi-Sadat L, Garcia BA. Drawbacks in the use of unconventional hydrophobic anhydrides for histone derivatization in bottom-up proteomics PTM analysis. Proteomics. 2015; doi: 10.1002/pmic.201400483
- 11. Sidoli S, Schwammle V, Ruminowicz C, Hansen TA, Wu X, Helin K, Jensen ON. Middle-down hybrid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry workflow for characterization of combinatorial post-translational modifications in histones. Proteomics. 2014; doi: 10.1002/pmic.201400084
- 12. Schwammle V, Aspalter CM, Sidoli S, Jensen ON. Large-scale analysis of co-existing posttranslational modifications on histone tails reveals global fine-structure of crosstalk. Molecular & cellular proteomics : MCP. 2014; doi: 10.1074/mcp.O113.036335
- 13. Jung HR, Sidoli S, Haldbo S, Sprenger RR, Schwammle V, Pasini D, Helin K, Jensen ON. Precision mapping of coexisting modifications in histone H3 tails from embryonic stem cells by ETD-MS/MS. Analytical chemistry. 2013; 85(17):8232–8239. DOI: 10.1021/ac401299w [PubMed: 23889513]
- 14. Vonholt C, Brandt WF, Greyling HJ, Lindsey GG, Retief JD, Rodrigues JD, Schwager S, Sewell BT. Isolation and Characterization of Histones. Method Enzymol. 1989; 170:431–523.
- 15. Zhang KL, Tang H, Huang L, Blankenship JW, Jones PR, Xiang F, Yau PM, Burlingame AL. Identification of acetylation and methylation sites of histone H3 from chicken erythrocytes by

high-accuracy matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time-of-flight, matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-postsource decay, and nanoelectrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry. Analytical biochemistry. 2002; 306(2):259–269. DOI: 10.1006/abio.2002.5719 [PubMed: 12123664]

- 16. Jufvas A, Stralfors P, Vener AV. Histone Variants and Their Post-Translational Modifications in Primary Human Fat Cells. PloS one. 2011; 6(1) ARTN e15960. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0015960
- 17. Bonaldi T, Imhof A, Regula JT. A combination of different mass spectroscopic techniques for the analysis of dynamic changes of histone modifications. Proteomics. 2004; 4(5):1382–1396. DOI: 10.1002/pmic.200300743 [PubMed: 15188406]
- 18. Zhao XL, Sidoli S, Wang LL, Wang WJ, Guo L, Jensen ON, Zheng L. Comparative Proteomic Analysis of Histone Post-translational Modifications upon Ischemia/Reperfusion-Induced Retinal Injury. Journal of proteome research. 2014; 13(4):2175–2186. DOI: 10.1021/Pr500040a [PubMed: 24628298]

Sidoli and Garcia **Page 23** Page 23



### **Figure 1. workflow for histone sample preparation**

After cell harvesting, nuclei are extracted with acid precipitation (TCA). The yield of histone extraction can be verified by using protein quantification methods such as Bradford, and the purity of the sample by SDS gel. When histone amount is sufficient it is possible to fractionate the different histone variants by reversed-phase HPLC. The different fractions (or the crude histone extract in case of low sample amounts) can then be digested for bottom-up or middle-down analysis.

Sidoli and Garcia Page 24



**Figure 2. comparison of HPLC-MS performance for bottom-up and middle-down analysis**

A) Full MS scan performed at 60,000 resolution with an Orbitrap Fusion (Thermo Fisher Scientific) of a bottom-up-like peptide (1 kDa), a middle-down-like peptide (5 kDa) and a mass comparable to an intact histone (14–15 kDa). Higher masses lead to lower efficiency in resolving the isotopic distribution of the analyzed molecule. B) Reversed-phase HPLC separation of a histone mixture digested with trypsin after derivatization with propionic anhydride. Peptides are eluted in sharp, mostly baseline separated, peaks. C) WCX/HILIC separation of histone H3 N-terminal tails. The different peaks correspond to the same peptide sequence with different number of PTM equivalents. Heavily methylated and acetylated peptides elute first, while poorly modified tails elute in the final part of the chromatography. D) MS/MS spectrum of a bottom-up like peptide (1 kDa). E) Deconvoluted

Sidoli and Garcia **Page 25** Page 25

MS/MS spectrum of a middle-down-like peptide (5 kDa), where ETD fragmentation was used. On the right side of the spectrum the precursor mass and its respective neutral losses are the most abundant species in the spectrum, indicating that the fragmentation is not complete

Sidoli and Garcia Page 26



### **Figure 3. Column configuration for online HPLC-MS**

A) Connection of trap and analytical column for bottom-up analysis. The arrows represent the direction of the flow. During loading the loading buffer, which is also the buffer A, is pumped through the trap column and to the waste, as the valve leaves open the waste line. During the run the valve blocks the tee and the gradient flows through trap and analytical column. If trap column is omitted both load and run is performed with the valve in the position run, while the valve in position load is used only to rapidly change buffer composition without system backpressure. B) Connections for middle-down analysis. During loading the loading buffer is pumped through the trap column, connected to generate a loop within the valve, while the analytical column can be equilibrated with buffer A



### **Figure 4. quantification of two co-eluted isobaric peptides**

A) The histone H3 peptide KQLATKAAR (aa 18–26) was found acetylated in both K18 and K23 residues. The two peptides generate different MS/MS fragments. We used the fragments y5–8 to calculate their relative abundance, as they were the most intense ones (highlighted). B) Extracted ion chromatogram of the precursor mass corresponding to the peptide sequence + one acetyl group (top) and the ion chromatography of the targeted MS/MS scans (top-middle). The extracted MS/MS ion chromatography generates a smaller area than the extracted precursor mass, as the fragmented peptide has a lower signal than the precursor mass. Below, extracted MS/MS ion chromatography of the fragments y5–8 of the K18ac (bottom-middle) and the K23ac peptide (bottom). The area of the K23ac chromatogram is about 6 times higher than K18ac. The total precursor area should then be divided by the two species according to their calculated ratio. C) MS/MS spectrum of co-

Sidoli and Garcia **Page 28** Page 28

fragmented K18ac and K23ac peptides. Also from the single MS/MS spectrum it is possible to calculate the ratio between K23ac and K18ac, which is about 6-folds.

Sidoli and Garcia Page 29



### **Figure 5. examples of middle-down data representation**

A) Bar plot of the relative abundance of single PTMs. Middle-down analysis allows for quantification of arginine methylations, while with bottom-up arginine is the cleavage site of the proteolytic enzyme. B) Comparison of co-existence frequency of binary marks in two conditions. The graph displays the relative abundance of co-existing marks in sample A (green) and sample B (red); e.g. the combination K9acK27me3 is the binary PTM with the highest A/B ratio. C) Bubble plot of binary PTMs. The graph displays three levels of information: the observed co-existence frequency of the binary marks (X axis), the interplay score of the two marks (Y axis) and the relative abundance of the single marks summed together (bubble size). The colors green, blue and red represent binary marks with interplay score higher than 1, between 1 and −1, and below −1, respectively. Single marks with high

Sidoli and Garcia **Page 30** Page 30

relative abundance (large bubble size) and with low co-existence frequency have intuitively low interplay score, as they are abundant marks that rarely share the same histone tail.





# **Table 2**

# peptides of most common interest in bottom-up histone analysis **peptides of most common interest in bottom-up histone analysis**

The table displays the histone variant and the peptide position in the protein sequence. Each peptide is then present in all most common modified states, and we calculated their respective *m/z* signal for singly, The table displays the histone variant and the peptide position in the protein sequence. Each peptide is then present in all most common modified states, and we calculated their respective m/z signal for singly, doubly, triply and, where possible, quadruply charged forms. doubly, triply and, where possible, quadruply charged forms.



