

Ohr plays a central role in bacterial responses against fatty acid hydroperoxides and peroxynitrite

Thiago G. P. Alegria^{a,1}, Diogo A. Meireles^{a,1}, José R. R. Cussiol^{a,2}, Martín Hugo^{b,3}, Madia Trujillo^b, Marcos Antonio de Oliveira^c, Sayuri Miyamoto^d, Raphael F. Queiroz^{d,4}, Napoleão Fonseca Valadares^{e,5}, Richard C. Garratt^e, Rafael Radi^{b,6}, Paolo Di Mascio^d, Ohara Augusto^d, and Luis E. S. Netto^{a,6}

^aDepartamento de Genética e Biologia Evolutiva, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, 05508-090, Sao Paulo, Brazil; ^bDepartamento de Bioquímica and Center for Free Radical and Biomedical Research, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de la República, 11800 Montevideo, Uruguay; ^cDepartamento de Biologia, Universidade Estadual Paulista Júlio de Mesquita Filho, Campus do Litoral Paulista, 11330-900, Sao Vicente, Brazil; ^dDepartamento de Bioquímica, Instituto de Química, Universidade de São Paulo, 05508-000, Sao Paulo, Brazil; and ^eInstituto de Física de São Carlos, Universidade de São Paulo, 13566-570, Sao Carlos, Brazil

Contributed by Rafael Radi, November 30, 2016 (sent for review October 8, 2016; reviewed by Leopold Flohé and Leslie B. Poole)

Organic hydroperoxide resistance (Ohr) enzymes are unique Cysbased, lipoyl-dependent peroxidases. Here, we investigated the involvement of Ohr in bacterial responses toward distinct hydroperoxides. In silico results indicated that fatty acid (but not cholesterol) hydroperoxides docked well into the active site of Ohr from Xylella fastidiosa and were efficiently reduced by the recombinant enzyme as assessed by a lipoamide-lipoamide dehydrogenase-coupled assay. Indeed, the rate constants between Ohr and several fatty acid hydroperoxides were in the $10^7 - 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ range as determined by a competition assay developed here. Reduction of peroxynitrite by Ohr was also determined to be in the order of $10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at pH 7.4 through two independent competition assays. A similar trend was observed when studying the sensitivities of a Δohr mutant of *Pseu*domonas aeruginosa toward different hydroperoxides. Fatty acid hydroperoxides, which are readily solubilized by bacterial surfactants, killed the Δohr strain most efficiently. In contrast, both wild-type and mutant strains deficient for peroxiredoxins and glutathione peroxidases were equally sensitive to fatty acid hydroperoxides. Ohr also appeared to play a central role in the peroxynitrite response, because the Δohr mutant was more sensitive than wild type to 3-morpholinosydnonimine hydrochloride (SIN-1, a peroxynitrite generator). In the case of H₂O₂ insult, cells treated with 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole (a catalase inhibitor) were the most sensitive. Furthermore, fatty acid hydroperoxide and SIN-1 both induced Ohr expression in the wildtype strain. In conclusion, Ohr plays a central role in modulating the levels of fatty acid hydroperoxides and peroxynitrite, both of which are involved in host-pathogen interactions.

hydroperoxides | thiols | Cys-based peroxidase | pathogenic bacteria | Pseudomonas aeruginosa

Thiol peroxidases are receiving increasing attention as biological sensors and signal transducers of hydroperoxide-dependent pathways (1–3). Peroxiredoxin (Prx) and glutathione peroxidase (Gpx) are central players in hydroperoxide metabolism and sensing, which is consistent with their high overall abundance and reactivity (3).

The issue of substrate specificity in Cys-based peroxidases is intriguing. Prx enzymes are highly reactive to hydroperoxides but not to other oxidants or thiol reagents, such as chloroamines or iodoacetamide (4). The structural features responsible for this phenomenon are still emerging (5–7), including some more subtle aspects of their specificity. For instance, the three different Prxs from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* display distinct hydroperoxide specificities with alkyl hydroperoxide reductase E (AhpE) being highly specialized toward long-chain fatty acid hydroperoxides (8). Furthermore, yeast Tsa1, yeast Tsa2, rat Prx6, and human Prx2 are more reactive toward H₂O₂ (9–11), whereas human Prx5 is more reactive toward organic hydroperoxides and peroxynitrite (12, 13).

Organic hydroperoxide resistance (Ohr) and osmotically inducible protein C (OsmC) form another group of Cys-based peroxidases that are biochemically and structurally distinct from Prx and Gpx. Ohr was first described in *Xanthomonas campestris*, through the characterization of a null mutant strain (Δohr). The Δohr strain displays high sensitivity toward *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide (*t*-BHP), but not to H₂O₂. Moreover, transcription of the *ohr* gene is specifically induced by *t*-BHP (14). Later on, this enzyme was found in several bacteria (15). Subsequently, we and others were able to show that this "organic hydroperoxide resistance" phenotype is due to the Cys-based, thiol-dependent peroxidase activity of Ohr (16, 17). In contrast, OsmC proteins were initially implicated in the osmotic stress response (18), and only later were shown to share structural and biochemical similarities with Ohr (19, 20). Ohr/OsmC enzymes present an α/β -fold that is very distinct from the thioredoxin-fold characteristic of Prx and Gpx (17, 21, 22). Furthermore, Ohr/OsmC

Significance

Hydroperoxides play central roles in cell signaling. Hydroperoxides of arachidonic acid are mediators of inflammatory processes in mammals, whereas hydroperoxides of linoleic acid play equivalent roles in plants. Peroxynitrite is also involved in host-pathogen interactions, and hydroperoxide levels must therefore be strictly controlled by host-derived thiol-dependent peroxidases. Organic hydroperoxide resistance (Ohr) enzymes, which are present in many bacteria, display unique biochemical properties, reducing fatty acid hydroperoxides and peroxynitrite with extraordinary efficiency. Furthermore, Ohr (but not other thiol-dependent peroxidases) is involved in the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* response to fatty acid hydroperoxides and to peroxynitrite, although the latter is more complex, probably depending on other enzymes. Therefore, Ohr plays central roles in the bacterial response to two hydroperoxides that are at the host–pathogen interface.

Reviewers: L.F., University of Padua; and L.B.P., Wake Forest School of Medicine.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

¹T.G.P.A. and D.A.M contributed equally to this work.

²Present address: Departamento de Bioquímica, Instituto de Química, Universidade de Sao Paulo, 05508-000, Sao Paulo, Brazil.

³Present address: German Institute of Human Nutrition, 14558 Potsdam, Germany.

⁴Present address: Departamento de Ciências Naturais, Universidade Estadual do Sudoeste da Bahia, Vitória da Conquista, 45083-900, Bahia, Brazil.

⁵Present address: Laboratório de Biofísica Molecular, Departamento de Biologia Celular, Universidade de Brasília, CEP 70910-900, Brasília, Brazil.

⁶To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: rradi@fmed.edu.uy or nettoles@ib. usp.br.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10. 1073/pnas.1619659114/-/DCSupplemental.

Author contributions: T.G.P.A., D.A.M., J.R.R.C., M.H., M.T., S.M., R.R., P.D.M., O.A., and L.E.S.N. designed research; T.G.P.A., D.A.M., J.R.R.C., M.T., M.A.d.O., S.M., R.F.Q., and N.F.V. performed research; M.H. and M.T. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; T.G.P.A., D.A.M., J.R.R.C., M.T., R.C.G., R.R., O.A., and L.E.S.N. analyzed data; and T.G.P.A., D.A.M., M.T., R.C.G., R.R., and L.E.S.N. wrote the paper.

enzymes accept electrons from lipoyl groups of proteins (23, 24) in contrast to Prx and Gpx, which are most commonly reduced by thioredoxin or glutaredoxin/glutathione (GSH) (1, 2).

Despite all of these studies, the identification of the natural oxidizing substrates of Ohr is still a challenge. It is known that the Ohr from Xylella fastidiosa (XfOhr) is 10,000-fold more efficient in the removal of t-BHP compared with H₂O₂ (23). However, because t-BHP and cumene hydroperoxide (CHP) are artificial compounds, the identity of the biological oxidizing substrates for Ohr remains to be identified. We previously raised the hypothesis that elongated hydrophobic molecules, such as hydroperoxides derived from fatty acids, could be the natural substrates, because these compounds fitted very well into the electron density corresponding to a PEG molecule found bound to the active site of Ohr in the crystal structure (22). Other corroborating evidence came from the observation that the Ohr and its transcriptional repressor (OhrR) system are responsible for linoleic acid hydroperoxide (LAOOH) clearance in Xanthomonas spp. (25). Furthermore, LAOOHs induce ohr transcription, at higher levels than t-BHP in some cases (25-27).

Here, we systematically investigated the involvement of Ohr in the bacterial response toward distinct hydroperoxides. Initially, using different approaches, we showed that XfOhr preferentially reduced long-chain fatty acid hydroperoxides and also peroxynitrite. Furthermore, microbiological assays using *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* correlated well with the biochemical/kinetic assays. Taken together, our results indicated that Ohr protein is central to the response of the bacterium to fatty acid hydroperoxides and peroxynitrite, although the process appears to be more complex in the latter case, possibly involving the cooperation of other enzymes. In contrast, catalase is a central player in the response of *P. aeruginosa* to exogenously added H₂O₂. The experimental approach presented herein allows defining the relative sensing and detoxifying roles of various peroxidatic systems in bacteria challenged with different biologically relevant hydroperoxides.

Results

Alegria et al.

Fatty Acid Hydroperoxides Dock into the Ohr Active Site. Previous data suggested that the active site cavity of XfOhr preferentially accommodates elongated molecules (22). Motivated by this observation, we performed an unbiased docking analysis to gain further insight into the affinity of Ohr protein for different organic hydroperoxides. The full structure of XfOhr [Protein Data Bank (PDB) ID code 1ZB8] was analyzed against all *cis* and *trans* isomers of hydroperoxides derived from mono- or polyunsaturated fatty acids (Fig. 1 *A* and *C*).

Long-chain fatty acid hydroperoxides docked well into the XfOhr active site, overlapping with the position occupied by a PEG molecule in the Ohr crystal structure. All of the elongated hydroperoxide molecules produced good fits with their O-O bond pointing toward the catalytic cysteine (Cys⁶¹, so-called peroxidatic Cys), although *trans* isomers gave higher scores (Fig. 1 B and D). However, it was not possible to observe any preferred orientation of the carboxyl group in relation to the surface of the enzyme, suggesting that hydrophobic interactions are major factors for the binding and orientation of the hydroperoxide within the active site (Fig. 1 and Fig. S1A). This finding is consistent with the fact that no systematic difference could be observed between S and R enantiomers. In the case of hydroperoxides containing more than one peroxidation, no preference for the peroxide group pointing toward Cys⁶¹ was detected. However, in stark contrast, neither the cis nor trans isomers of cholesterol hydroperoxide (ChOOH) docked well into the active site cavity (Fig. S1B). For example, the fitness scores for the docked ChOOHs, which varied between 30.1 and 47.1, were, on average, 38% lower than the fitness scores for hydroperoxides derived from arachidonic acid (54.8-67.3). This finding is all the more significant, given that the cholesterol derivatives contain more atoms; therefore, the ligand efficiency for these molecules would be even lower. For the cholesterol deriva-



Fig. 1. Molecular docking of long-chain hydroperoxides into the Ohr active site pocket. The molecular surface of XfOhr (PDB ID code 1ZB8) is represented in brown, whereas the hydroperoxides are shown as lines with the atoms colored as follows: carbon = purple (A and B) and cyan (C and D), oxygen = red, and hydrogen = white. (A and C) Results of docking simulations, showing the entire protein structure. Ligands used hydroperoxides derived from OAOOH, palmitoleic acid hydroperoxide, and LAOOH (A) and arachidonic acid hydroperoxide (C): 5-HpETE, 5(S)-HpETE, 8-HpETE, 9-HpETE, 12-HpETE, 15-HpETE, and 15(S)-HpETE. Oleic and linoleic acid molecules containing the hydroperoxide group in both the cis- and trans-configurations or in different combinations of the two (linoleic hydroperoxides) were considered in the analysis. (B and D) Details of the Ohr active site pocket, showing the hydroperoxide groups of the fatty acid hydroperoxides facing toward the S_Y of Cys⁶¹. The atoms of the enzyme are represented as spacefilling spheres and colored brown, except for the sulfur atom of Cys⁶¹ (vellow). The docking procedures were performed using Gold software (70), and molecular graphics were generated using PyMOL (www.pymol.org/).

tives, the lower fitness values are probably due to the failure to orient the hydroperoxide moiety adequately toward the catalytic cysteine and/or to their rigidity, which prohibits optimizing hydrophobic interactions within the binding site cavity. These data support the hypothesis that elongated hydrophobic molecules are the preferred oxidizing substrates of Ohr proteins (22).

Biochemical Characterization of Peroxidase Specificity. Next, we tested the ability of *Xf*Ohr to reduce various lipid-derived hydroperoxides to validate the docking analyses. Thiol peroxidase activity was assessed using the previously described lipoamide-lipoamide de-hydrogenase–coupled assay (23). In contrast to other thiol-dependent peroxidases, lipoylated proteins (but not thioredoxin or glutathione) are the most probable physiological reductants of *Xf*Ohr and osmotically inducible protein C from *Escherichia coli* (*Ec*OsmC) (23). However, alternative ways of Ohr reduction (e.g., redoxins) cannot be excluded and should be tested in the future.

Following the reduction of an artificial organic hydroperoxide (*t*-BHP) and applying a bisubstrate steady-state approach, it was previously determined that Ohr displays a ping-pong kinetic mechanism, and the true $K_{\rm m}$ values for dihydrolipoamide and lipoylated proteins are in the 10–50 μ M range (23).

A major challenge here was to establish conditions for solubilizing hydrophobic hydroperoxides without compromising the structure and activity of *Xf*Ohr. Initially, *Xf*Ohr activity was evaluated in the presence of a series of detergents and organic solvents (Fig. S24), using a highly water-soluble organic hydroperoxide, *t*-BHP, as a substrate. *Xf*Ohr retained more than 80% of its original activity toward *t*-BHP when Tween-20 (0.25%) was used. At the same time, the activity toward LAOOH was maximal (Fig. S2*B*), indicating good solubilization of LAOOH (100 μ M). Therefore, Tween-20 was chosen to solubilize hydrophobic hydroperoxides in the lipoamide-lipoamide dehydrogenase–coupled assays.

Reduction of LAOOH by XfOhr presented a complex steadystate kinetic behavior that was biphasic: At low concentrations, up to 20 μ M, the rate of the reaction increased hyperbolically with increasing concentrations of LAOOH, as expected for a Michaelis-Menten model (Fig. 24). However, above 20 μ M, the rate dropped markedly as a function of LAOOH concentration (Fig. 24).

Considering only the first phase (up to 20 μ M), we estimated by nonlinear regression a k_{cat} app of 36 s⁻¹ and a K_{m} app of 7.3 μ M at 50 μ M dihydrolipoamide (Fig. 24, *Inset*), which indicated that *Xf*Ohr is highly efficient in the removal of LAOOH (k_{cat} app/ K_{m} app = 5×10^6 M⁻¹ s⁻¹). However, one major limitation of this analysis was that saturation was not achieved; therefore, the kinetic parameters have probably been underestimated. Consistent with this result, we note that data for Ohr from *Mycobacterium smegmatis* also did not fit well to the Michaelis–Menten model at high LAOOH concentrations; however, in this case, k_{cat} app/ K_m app was estimated to be in the range of 10^5 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ (24).

The catalytic efficiency for LAOOH was in the same range as the range previously determined for *t*-BHP, considering true k_{cat} and K_m values in the latter case (23). Unfortunately, the biphasic shape of the curves (Fig. 24) does not allow us to obtain true enzymatic parameters for fatty acid hydroperoxides. Therefore, it was not possible to compare the reduction of fatty acid and artificial organic hydroperoxides on the same grounds.

We also evaluated the possibility that X_f Ohr could reduce hydroperoxides derived from unsaturated fatty acids attached to phosphatidylcholine, which could reflect the capacity of the enzyme to reduce hydroperoxides resident in membranes, similar to the activity described for phospholipid Gpx4 (28, 29). However, no such activity for X_f Ohr was detected, although it was capable of reducing both *t*-BHP and LAOOH in the same experiment (Fig. 2B). Moreover, when phosphatidylcholine hydroperoxide was incubated with phospholipase A₂ (PLA₂), releasing the corresponding free fatty acid hydroperoxide, NADH consumption was observed (Fig. 2C). Therefore, it appears that fatty acid hydroperoxides attached to phosphatidylcholine were not accessible to the X_f Ohr active site. Furthermore, under the same conditions, X_f Ohr did not reduce ChOOH (Fig. 2B), consistent with the docking analysis. In these experiments, Triton X-100 (0.15%) was used instead of Tween-20 (0.25%) to solubilize ChOOH, because this procedure results in good solubilization of this lipid (30). The products of oleic hydroperoxide (OAOOH) and LAOOH reduction by *Xf*Ohr were confirmed to be the corresponding alcohols by mass spectrometry (Fig. S3).

Regarding the non-Michaelis–Menten behavior of XfOhr at substrate concentrations higher than 20 μ M (Fig. 2A), two nonexclusive hypotheses can be proposed: micelle formation of lipid hydroperoxide molecules and/or hyperoxidation of the peroxidatic cysteine of XfOhr (Cys⁶¹) to sulfinic acid (Cys-SO₂H⁻) or sulfonic acid (Cys-SO₃H⁻) (Fig. 3A).

Considering the first hypothesis, the critical micelle concentration (CMC) lies in the range of 6–60 μ M for most unsaturated fatty acids, depending on pH and the counter-cation used (31–34), which is consistent with the inflection point of 20 μ M (Fig. 24). However, Tween-20 was present at a 0.25% concentration, which appears to solubilize LAOOH, at least up to a 100- μ M concentration (Fig. S2B).

So, we investigated if hyperoxidation could be involved in the second phase decay (Fig. 24). Among Cys-based peroxidases, it is well known that sulfenic acid (Cys-SOH) formed in the peroxidatic Cys can have two fates: (*i*) disulfide formation through a condensation reaction toward a second Cys residue or (*ii*) hyperoxidation to sulfinic acid and sulfonic acid due to a reaction with a second or third hydroperoxide molecule, respectively (Fig. 3*A*). Because sulfinic acid and sulfonic acid are not reducible by dihydrolipoamide, such reactions would result in *Xf*Ohr inactivation and could explain the rate drop at concentrations above 20 μ M LAOOH (Fig. 24).

We next evaluated the remaining activity of *Xf*Ohr after treatment with several hydroperoxides in the presence of Triton X-100 (Fig. 3*B*) or Tween-20 (Fig. S4). In the conditions used, *t*-BHP and CHP (Fig. 3*B*, *c* and *d*) inactivated *Xf*Ohr only slightly. In contrast, fatty acid hydroperoxides (OAOOH and LAOOH) inactivated *Xf*Ohr at very low concentrations (Fig. 3*B*, *a* and *b*), whereas H_2O_2 and ChOOH did not affect this peroxidase (Fig. 3*B*, *e* and *f*).

In parallel, the same samples were also analyzed by nonreducing SDS/PAGE and the pattern of *Xf*Ohr inactivation by various hydroperoxides correlated to its oxidation state (Fig. 3*B*, *Lower* and Fig. S4, *Lower*). Previously, we have characterized in detail by mass spectrometry the *Xf*Ohr oxidation states corresponding to each one of the bands (22). The intramolecular disulfide form of *Xf*Ohr has a lower hydrodynamic volume, and consequently runs faster (band A), than the reduced and overoxidized forms (band B) of the enzyme (Fig. 3*B*, *Lower* and Fig. S4, *Lower*). Hyperoxidation (assessed by appearance of band B)



Fig. 2. Lipoamide-lipoamide dehydrogenase-coupled assay for the reduction of lipid hydroperoxides by *Xf*Ohr. Peroxidase activity was monitored by the consumption of NADH at 340 nm in the presence of *Xf*Ohr (0.05 μM), lipoamide dehydrogenase from *X. fastidiosa* (*Xf*LpD, 0.5 μM), and lipoamide (50 μM) in sodium phosphate buffer (20 mM, pH 7.4) and DTPA (1 mM). (*A*) Dose-dependent reduction of LAOOH by *Xf*Ohr in the presence of Tween-20 (0.25%). (*Inset*) Plot of the rates of NADH oxidation, considering points up to 20-μM concentration of LAOOH. (*B*) Reduction of hydroperoxides (100 μM) in the presence of Triton X-100 (0.15%). PCOOH, phosphatidylcholine hydroperoxide. (*C*) Peroxidase activity of Ohr on PCOOH, measured after indicated time of treatment with PLA₂.



Fig. 3. Inactivation/hyperoxidation of Ohr. (A) Schematic catalytic cycle of Ohr and its hyperoxidation: Reaction of reduced Ohr with hydroperoxides generates the peroxidatic Cys (Cys_p)-SOH intermediate, which undergo condensation with resolution Cys (Cys_R = Cys125). This intramolecular disulfide runs faster than the reduced form in nonreducing SDS/PAGE (22). The intramolecular disulfide can be reduced back by dihydrolipoamide. In the presence of high amounts of organic hydroperoxides, Cys_p-SOH can be further oxidized to Cys_p-SO₂H⁻ (sulfinic acid) or Cys_p-SO₃H⁻ (sulfonic acid), which comigrates with the reduced form of Ohr in SDS/PAGE. Cys_p-SO₄H⁻ or Cys_p-SO₃H⁻ cannot be reduced by dihydrolipoamide. (*B*) Oxidative inactivation/hyperoxidation of XfOhr by various hydroperoxides in the presence of 0.1% Triton X-100. Reduced XfOhr (10 μ M) was incubated for 1 h at 37 °C with distinct hydroperoxides at the indicated concentrations: OAOOH (*a*), LAOOH (*b*), t-BHP (*c*), CHP (*d*), ChOOH (*e*), and H₂O₂ (*f*). Main panels illustrate residual activity measured by the lipoamide-lipoamide dehydrogenase–coupled assay, using 200 μ M *t*-BHP as a substrate, in the presence of 0.05 μ M XfOhr, 0.5 μ M lipoamide dehydrogenase from X. *fastidiosa* (XfLpD), and 50 μ M dihydrolipoamide. Each point represents an average of triplicates, and the respective bars correspond to the SD. (*Insets*) Nonreducing SDS/PAGE, with each well corresponding to an aliquot of Ohr incubated with different concentrations of hydroperoxides (0, 10, 20, 50, and 100 μ M). In each well, 2 μ g of total protein was applied.

could only be observed in conditions where some inactivation was observed (Fig. 3*B* and Fig. S4).

Inadvertently, Triton X-100 (Fig. 3*B*, *Lower*) or Tween-20 (Fig. S4, *Lower*) provoked oxidation of *Xf*Ohr to the intramolecular disulfide form, even with no addition of hydroperoxide. This oxidation might be related to the partial structural loss of *Xf*Ohr due to the detergent treatment (Fig. 2*A*, *Inset* and Fig. S2). Another possible explanation is that traces of hydroperoxides present in detergents could have provoked the oxidation of *Xf*Ohr (35).

For ChOOH, no evidence of a reaction was obtained. These data agree well with the docking analysis (Fig. 1). However, we cannot exclude the possibility that ChOOH could not access the

*Xf*Ohr active site, because this molecule can form micelles. Indeed, the parental compound (cholesterol) presents very a low CMC (25–40 nM) (36). On the other hand, because Triton X-100 and Tween-20 at least partly solubilize ChOOH (30), our results (Fig. 3*B*, *Lower* and Fig. S4, *Lower*) suggested that this hydroperoxide is not a good substrate for *Xf*Ohr. Also, H_2O_2 did not inactivate or hyperoxidize *Xf*Ohr in the analyzed conditions (Fig. 3*B*), consistent with the low reactivity previously described (22, 23).

For other Cys-based peroxidases, substrate specificity correlates well with hyperoxidation rates (8, 37–39), which is consistent with the fact that oxidation to Cys-SOH and hyperoxidation are both reactions dependent on hydroperoxide concentration (Fig. 3*A*). Noteworthy, *E. coli* Tpx also displays biphasic

| Hydroperoxide | $\frac{k_{\rm obs}, M^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}}{AhpE/Ohr competition}$ | $\frac{\text{Oxidative inactivation, } \mu M}{{\sim}\text{IC}_{10}{}^{\dagger}}$ | $\frac{MIC_{wt}/MIC_{\Delta ohr}^{*}}{Extent of inhibition}$ |
|---------------|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| LAOOH | $(6.3 \pm 1.7) \times 10^7$ | 1 | >10 |
| OAOOH | $(4.5 \pm 3.5) \times 10^8$ | 1 | >10 |
| 5(S)-HpETE | $(2.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^7$ | ND | ND |
| 15(S)-HpETE | $(6.0 \pm 3.0) \times 10^7$ | ND | ND |
| ChOOH | _ | >100 | 1 |
| t-BHP | $2.0 	imes 10^{6^{\pm}}$ | 100 | 3 |
| ONOOH | $(2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^7$ | ND | _ |
| CHP | ND | 100 | 3 |

 k_{obs} , observed rate constant; ND, not determined.

*Ratio of MIC_{wt} (minimal concentration of hydroperoxide that inhibits growth of wild-type strain) to $MIC_{\Delta ohr}$ (minimal concentration of hydroperoxide that inhibits growth of Δohr strain).

[†]Defined here as the amount of hydroperoxide that provokes 10% Ohr inactivation.

[‡]Because Ohr is several orders of magnitude more efficient than *Mt*AhpE in the reduction of t-BHP, it was not possible to determine this rate constant through the competitive assay. Instead, data from the study by Cussiol et al. (23) were added here.

Michaelis–Menten curves with major hyperoxidation by fatty acid hydroperoxides at concentrations above $10 \ \mu$ M, and H_2O_2 is again a poor substrate (37).

Therefore, considering the amount of hydroperoxide that provokes 10% Ohr inactivation, we estimated that the reactivity of *Xf*Ohr to tested compounds increased in the following order: $H_2O_2 <<<< CHP < t$ -BHP < LAOOH ~ OAOOH (Table 1). These data are also consistent with the idea that fatty acid hydroperoxides are the preferred substrates for Ohr proteins.

Competition and Fast Kinetics Assays on the Oxidation of Ohr. Next, we pursued the determination of the rate constants for the reactions between XfOhr and various hydroperoxides. This task is not simple because there are not many assays for organic hydroperoxides in comparison to the tools available for peroxynitrite and H₂O₂. Therefore, we developed a competitive assay between XfOhr and AhpE from *M. tuberculosis (Mt*AhpE) for distinct hydroperoxides, monitoring the intrinsic fluorescence of MtAhpE (further details are provided in *Materials and Methods*) and taking advantage of the fact that XfOhr and Ohr from *P. aeruginosa (Pa*Ohr) lack Trp residues, and therefore present no such intrinsic fluorescence when excited at 295 nm (Fig. S5A).

To validate this AhpE/Ohr competitive assay, we first investigated *t*-BHP, because the corresponding rate constants are already known for both Cys-based peroxidases. For instance, the rate constant for the reaction between *t*-BHP and *Mt*AhpE is quite low, 8×10^3 M⁻¹·s⁻¹ (8), compared with the corresponding value for *Xf*Ohr and *t*-BHP, which is two to three orders of magnitude higher (23). As expected, *Xf*Ohr strongly inhibited the drop in *Mt*AhpE fluorescence induced by *t*-BHP (Fig. 44).

We went on to evaluate the competition between XfOhr and MtAhpE for several organic hydroperoxides. As an illustrative example, we show here the competition of the two Cys-based peroxidases for 15-hydroperoxyeicosatetraenoic acid [15(S)-HpETE], an arachidonic acid hydroperoxide. Reduced XfOhr inhibited the decrease of MtAhpE fluorescence caused by 15(S)-HpETE in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 4B), and the second-order rate constant between XfOhr and 15(S)-HpETE was determined to be $6 \pm 3 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$. As anticipated, XfOhr previously alkylated with N-ethylmaleimide (NEM) completely lost its ability to inhibit MtAhpE oxidation (Fig. S5B).

A second example is the competition between the two peroxidases for H₂O₂, considering that the rate constant of *Mt*AhpE for H₂O₂ is $8.1 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (8). We observed that only at very high concentrations (10–30 µM) was *Xf*Ohr able to inhibit *Mt*AhpE (2 µM) oxidation (Fig. 4*C*). The rate constant of the reaction between XfOhr and H₂O₂ was estimated to be $3.0 \pm 0.6 \times 10^3$ M⁻¹s⁻¹. Once again, XfOhr blocked with NEM lost its capacity to compete with MtAhpE for H₂O₂. Previously, by a steady-state approach (the lipoamide-lipoamide dehydrogenase-coupled assay), we determined that the catalytic efficiency (k_{cat}/K_m) of XfOhr for H₂O₂ was (2.3 ± 0.2) × 10² M⁻¹·s⁻¹ (23).

This Ohr/AhpE competition assay was performed for several hydroperoxides of distinct chemical structures (Fig. S6), and it was possible to establish the respective rate constants (Table 1). *Pa*Ohr was also assessed using this competitive assay, and essentially the same results were obtained as for the enzyme from *X. fastidiosa*. For instance, the second-order rate constant between OAOOH and *Pa*Ohr is also in the range of $10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Fig. S6).

Once again, the same trend was observed: Ohr proteins displayed higher reactivity toward hydroperoxides with an elongated shape and hydrophobic properties. However, it was not possible to determine the rate constant for the reaction between *Xf*Ohr and ChOOH because the intrinsic fluorescence of *Mt*AhpE did not change upon treatment with this oxidant. One exception was peroxynitrite, which is described below.

Reactivity of XfOhr Toward Peroxynitrite. Pathogens can be exposed to peroxynitrite during host–pathogen interactions (40–42). Because the reactivity of Ohr proteins toward peroxynitrite has not been hitherto reported, this analysis was performed here. Reduced *Xf*Ohr caused peroxynitrite to decay faster, in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 5*A*). The reaction was so rapid that it precluded the determination of the rate constant by this direct approach. Rather, the rate constant was determined by two independent competitive assays against HRP (11) or against *Mt*AhpE (as described above). *Xf*Ohr at concentrations considerably lower than HRP (10 μ M) inhibited the formation of compound I by peroxynitrite (0.4 μ M) (Fig. 5*B*). From the dose–response effect of *Xf*Ohr on peroxynitrite-mediated HRP compound I formation, an apparent rate constant of (1.2 \pm 0.4) × 10⁷ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ at pH 7.4 and 25 °C was determined (Fig. 5*B*, *Inset*).

As an alternative, we also analyzed the competition between MtAhpE and XfOhr for peroxynitrite. Peroxynitrite (0.5 µM) caused a rapid decrease in total fluorescence intensity ($\lambda_{ex} = 295$ nm) of reduced MtAhpE (2.5 µM). The exponential fitting of the experimental curve was consistent with a rate constant of peroxynitritemediated MtAhpE oxidation of 1.1×10^7 M⁻¹·s⁻¹ at pH 7.4 and 25 °C, in close agreement to the previously reported value (8). Reduced XfOhr dose-dependently inhibited the decrease in fluorescence of MtAhpE caused by peroxynitrite, consistent with a rate constant between peroxynitrite and XfOhr of $(2.0 \pm 0.3) \ge 10^7$ M⁻¹·s⁻¹ at pH 7.4 and 25 °C (Fig. 5C). Therefore, XfOhr reduces not only



Fig. 4. AhpE/XfOhr competitive assay for distinct hydroperoxides. Reactions were carried out in potassium phosphate buffer (100 mM) and DTPA (50 μ M), pH 7.4, at 25 °C. (A) *Mt*AhpE (2 μ M) and *t*-BHP (1.8 μ M) in the presence (red line) or absence (black line) of XfOhr (2 μ M). (B) Emission spectrum of *Mt*AhpE (2 μ M) in the reduced state (black) and after oxidation by 1.7 μ M 15(*S*)-HpETE (red). To the reaction mixture represented by the red line, *Xf*Ohr was added at the following concentrations: 1.0 μ M (brown), 2.0 μ M (blue), 4.0 μ M (green), and 8.0 μ M (purple). (C) Emission spectrum of AhpE (2 μ M) in the reduced state (black) and after oxidation by 1.8 μ M hydrogen peroxide (red). To the reaction mixture represented by red line, *Xf*Ohr was added at the following concentrations: 10.0 μ M (blue) and 30.0 μ M (green). RFU, relative fluorescence units.



Fig. 5. Peroxynitrite reduction by XfOhr. Reactions were carried out in potassium phosphate buffer (100 mM), DTPA (100 μ M), pH 7.4, at 25 °C. (A) Peroxynitrite (50 μ M) was rapidly mixed with reduced Ohr at increasing concentrations, and oxidant decay was followed by its intrinsic absorbance at 310 nm. (*B*) Peroxynitrite (0.4 μ M) was mixed with HRP (10 μ M) in the absence and presence of increasing concentrations of reduced Ohr. HRP-compound I formation was monitored at the Soret band. The line represents the expected results simulated using the Gepasi program (76), and considering a simple competition between reduced XfOhr and HRP for peroxynitrite, a rate constant of HRP-mediated peroxynitrite reduction of 2.2 × 10⁶ M⁻¹·s⁻¹ and a rate constant of XfOhr-mediated peroxynitrite reduction of 1.2 × 10⁷ M⁻¹·s⁻¹, calculated according to Eq. 1. (*Inset*) Time course of compound I formation by the reaction of HRP (10 μ M) with peroxynitrite (0.4 μ M) in the absence or presence of increasing concentrations of reduced Ohr. (*C*) Peroxynitrite (0.5 μ M) was mixed with reduced *Mt*AhpE (2.5 μ M) in the absence or presence of increasing concentrations of reduced Ohr. (*C*) Peroxynitrite (0.5 μ M) was mixed with reduced MtAhpE (2.5 μ M) in the absence or presence of increasing concentrations of reduced by its total intrinsic fluorescence decrease (λ = 295 nm).

fatty acid hydroperoxides but also peroxynitrite, with extraordinarily high rates.

In Vivo Characterization of Ohr Sensitivities Toward Various Hydroperoxides. We aimed to investigate if these results on substrate specificity correlate to bacterial responses toward distinct hydroperoxides. For this purpose, we used *P. aeruginosa*, which produces surfactants and secretes lipases, allowing it to solubilize and take up very hydrophobic compounds (43).

The sensitivities of Δohr and wild-type strains were comparatively analyzed by the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) assay against various hydroperoxides. Interestingly, none of the lipid hydroperoxides in the concentration range studied here (OAOOH, LAOOH, and ChOOH) inhibited the growth of the wild-type strain, whereas H_2O_2 , t-BHP, and CHP did (Fig. 6). As expected, the Δohr strain presented a reduced capacity to grow in the presence of either artificial (CHP and *t*-BHP) or fatty acid hydroperoxides compared with the wild type (Fig. 6). It is noteworthy that the extent of inhibition (defined here as $MIC_{wild-type strain}/MIC_{\Delta ohr strain}$) for OAOOH and LAOOH (more than 10-fold) was considerably greater than a similar parameter for artificial hydroperoxides (around threefold for t-BHP and CHP). For H₂O₂, the MIC was the same for the wild-type and Δohr strains (Table 1) and ChOOH did not inhibit the growth of either. These results indicated once again that fatty acid hydroperoxides are the best substrates for Ohr proteins, which correlates well with the original docking analysis (Fig. 1 and Fig. S1), the inactivation assay data (Fig. 3B and Fig. S4), and the second-order rate constant (k) values (Table 1). As important controls, the phenotypes of the Δohr strain were complemented in trans (pUCp18 plasmid) with the ohr gene from P. aeruginosa (LN04 strain) with the restoration of the wild-type resistance to hydroperoxides (Fig. 6). These controls unequivocally indicated that the observed sensitivities were due to the ohr gene deletion. Furthermore, nonoxidized lipids did not kill either wild-type or Δohr strains (Fig. S7 A-C), indicating that the hydroperoxide moiety in the carbon backbone was fundamental for inducing cell death.

The response of *P. aeruginosa* to fatty acid hydroperoxides was further investigated by analyzing other mutants to thioldependent peroxidases. Remarkably, among all mutants, only Δohr displayed sensitivity to LAOOH (Fig. 7 *A* and *B*), indicating the *Pa*Ohr is essential to the protection of *P. aeruginosa* to this insult. Furthermore, the Δohr strain transformed with genes for other thiol-dependent peroxidases did not recover the wild-type phenotype. For example, Gpx expression in the Δohr background only partially restored the resistance to LAOOH treatment (Fig. 7*A*). Expression of thiol-dependent peroxidases in the Δohr background was ascertained by Western blot (Fig. S8*A*). Finally, as expected for a gene central to the bacterial response to fatty acid hydroperoxides, treatment with LAOOH led to higher levels of *ohr* induction than did *t*-BHP (Fig. 7*C* and Fig. S8*B*). For comparison purposes, we also show here that the expression of the *ohr* gene in the $\Delta ohrR$ background was maximal, because the repression by the transcription factor OhrR was absent (Fig. 7*C*).

In contrast to the LAOOH insult, the response of *P. aeruginosa* to exogenous H_2O_2 was highly dependent on catalase, because increased sensitivity toward the wild-type strain was only detected in cells treated with 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole (ATZ), a



Fig. 6. Susceptibility of $\Delta ohr P$. aeruginosa strain to various hydroperoxides. The MIC assay was performed to assess the sensitivities of *P*. aeruginosa strains to distinct hydroperoxides: pUCp18 Ø is an empty vector, and pUCp18 *ohr* is a vector for expression of *ohr* from *P*. aeruginosa. The concentrations of hydroperoxides used are described above each panel. Plates were incubated at 37 °C under agitation (200 rpm), and the results were observed after 16 h. MIC assays were done in biological triplicates, each one with two technical replicates. All hydroperoxides were dissolved in DMSO, with the exception of ChOOH, which was dissolved in isopropyl alcohol. As control experiments, the same assays were performed with 5% DMSO or 5% isopropyl alcohol only or with the respective, and no loss of viability were observed. Wt, wild type.



Fig. 7. Response of *P. aeruginosa* to LAOOH and peroxynitrite. pUCp18 Ø is an empty vector, and pUCp18 *ohr*, *ahpC1*, *tpx*, and *gpx* from *P. aeruginosa* are vectors for *ohr*, *ahpC1*, *tpx*, and *gpx* expression, respectively. (A and B) MIC assay to assess the sensitivities of *P. aeruginosa* strains deficient for Cys-based peroxidases to LAOOH. (*C, Upper*) Western blot for Ohr expression in *P. aeruginosa* using an antibody against Ohr from *X. fastidiosa*. (*C, Lower*) Ponceau S was used as a loading control. Cells were exposed to SIN-1 (1 mM), DMSO (5%), LAOOH (50 μ M), *t*-BHP (200 μ M), and MeOH (5%) for 30 min at 37 °C. WT, wild type. (*D*) Colony count assay to assess the sensitivities of *P. aeruginosa* strains to SIN-1 (3 mM). In all cases, cells were treated with ATZ (5 mM), a catalase inhibitor, 10 min before cells were challenged with SIN-1 (3 mM) for 30 min at 37 °C. The bars represent the means of the colony formation unit (*c.f.u.*) percentage relative to the sensitivity of cells treated with DMSO (5%) + 3-ATZ (5 mM) plus SD. The Δohr mutant was statistically more sensitive than the wildtype strain (***P* < 0.05, unpaired *t* test; *n* = 8). WT, wild type.

catalase inhibitor (Fig. S7 D–F). This observation is in agreement with studies in *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa*, and *Francisella tularensis*, where catalase enzymes are preponderant in the defense against exogenous H₂O₂ (44–47).

The response of *P. aeruginosa* to peroxynitrite is more difficult to investigate, in part, due to the intrinsic instability of this hydroperoxide. In this case, we had to use a distinct assay, different from MIC, by exposing the bacterium to 3-morpholinosydnonimine hydrochloride (SIN-1, a peroxynitrite generator) in buffer and then assessing the number of viable colonies. A tendency of an increased sensitivity for Δohr cells in relation to the wild-type strain was detected (Fig. S8D), which was statistically significant in cells, where catalase was inhibited by ATZ (Fig. 7D). In contrast, both ATZtreated and untreated wild-type cells were equally sensitive to SIN-1, and deletion of *ahpC* gene did not affect the viability of bacterial cells (Fig. S8C). Furthermore, the expression of *ohr* was induced in cells exposed to SIN-1 (Fig. 7C), implicating *Pa*Ohr in the response of *P. aeruginosa* to peroxynitrite.

Discussion

Previous work has indicated that substrate hydrophobicity is a major physicochemical determinant of substrate specificity for Ohr (16, 19, 21, 22). Accordingly, docking analysis performed here with XfOhr indicated that nonpolar contacts appear to be major determinants in the binding of lipid hydroperoxides within the active site (Fig. 1 and Fig. S1A). The elongated shape of the substrate appears to be another feature to be considered presumably because the substrates, once docked, fill a Y-shaped cavity on the enzyme generating a large hydrophobic contact area, which would be anticipated to contribute significantly to the interaction energy. Indeed, cholesterol, which is highly hydrophobic but with a shape very distinct from PEG and fatty acids, did not dock well into the XfOhr active site (Fig. S1B). Other biochemical (Figs. 2 and 3) and microbiological (Fig. 6) assays also indicated that ChOOH is not an Ohr substrate. For example, in the case of the MIC assay (Fig. 6), in addition to the natural surfactants of P. aeruginosa, Brij-58 (1%) was supplemented during the experiments. Despite this fact, the Δohr strain was no more sensitive to ChOOH than the wild-type strain, indicating a lack of significant affinity between ChOOH and the enzyme.

The AhpE/Ohr competitive assay developed here was straightforward in comparison to other methods previously described, allowing us to obtain the second-order rate constants for the oxidation of peroxidatic Cys by distinct hydroperoxides. Importantly, we could use fatty acid hydroperoxides in the low micromolar range (1–2 μ M), which is well below the CMC of long-chain fatty acid hydroperoxides (10–40 μ M). With this approach (associated with the inactivation and the MIC assays), we could unambiguously establish that long-chain fatty acid hydroperoxides are more efficiently reduced by Ohr than artificial organic hydroperoxides and H₂O₂ (Table 1).

In addition to fatty acid hydroperoxides, peroxynitrite was reduced with very high efficiency by *Xf*Ohr (on the order of $10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$). This finding was somehow unexpected because peroxynitrite is not a hydrophobic molecule, although the reaction of peroxynitrite with thiols involves the protonated form of peroxynitrite (peroxynitrous acid) and thiolates (48). It should be noted here that reactions of peroxynitrite with thiolates are much faster than similar reactions of thiolates with organic hydroperoxides or H₂O₂ (48–50). Unfortunately, there is no report of the kinetics between fatty acid hydroperoxides with noncatalytic thiols, such as free Cys or the single reduced Cys residue in BSA. Nevertheless, using the reaction between *t*-BHP and ordinary thiolates as a reference (51), it is evident that the gain in reactivity afforded by Ohr is two to three orders of magnitude for H₂O₂ and peroxynitrite, but six orders of magnitude for *t*-BHP (Table S1).

According to the Brønsted relationship, the pK_a of the leaving group is a factor that is involved in the reactivity of thiolates with hydroperoxides (13). The pK_a values for aliphatic alkoxyl groups, which are the leaving groups corresponding to *t*-BHP and fatty acid hydroperoxides, are expected to have similar values (13). Therefore, it is reasonable to think that the reactions of *t*-BHP and fatty acid hydroperoxides with ordinary thiols (e.g., the thiol of BSA) are within the same range and that the catalytic power of Ohr proteins for fatty acid hydroperoxides is therefore extraordinary (at least 10⁶-fold increase). This high reactivity is probably related to its capacity to bind and orient the peroxyl group of fatty acid hydroperoxides toward the thiolate group of Cys⁶¹ (Fig. 1), decreasing the free energy of the transition state.

In the case of the reduction of peroxynitrite by Ohr, the corresponding rate constant is one of the highest determined so far (52). In fact, the rate constant of peroxynitrite reduction by Ohr is comparable to the rate constants reported for Prxs and thiolor selenol-dependent Gpxs (10, 12, 13, 53-55). Therefore, it is feasible that Ohr plays a relevant role in vivo in the reduction of peroxynitrite. This role might be significant, especially considering that inducible nitric oxide synthase (and possibly peroxynitrite as a product of nitric oxide) displays a crucial role in the pulmonary response to P. aeruginosa infection (42, 56). Also for plant pathogens (e.g., X. fastidiosa), peroxynitrite and other nitric oxide-mediated processes are emerging as relevant players in defense responses (41). Accordingly, the levels of PaOhr are induced in cells exposed to the peroxynitrite generator (Fig. 7C), and the Δohr strain treated with ATZ is sensitive to this oxidant (Fig. 7D). However, at the moment, it is difficult to identify if the response of P. aeruginosa to SIN-1 is a direct effect of peroxynitrite or if it is due to some secondary derived oxidant. For instance, it is well known that lipids are targets for peroxynitrite oxidation and could release secondary products that might mount the adaptive response of P. aeruginosa (57). The involvement of catalase in the response of bacteria to peroxynitrite was shown previously in F. tularensis and Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium, two microorganisms devoid of Ohr (47, 58), although the latter does contain an osmC gene. Our data indicate that especially Ohr and

possibly catalase cooperate in the protection of *P. aeruginosa* against this oxidant (Fig. 7).

In addition to peroxynitrite, fatty acid hydroperoxides are involved in host-pathogen interactions (59, 60). Fatty acid hydroperoxides could be generated by nonenzymatic lipid peroxidation of *cis*-vaccenic acid and other monounsaturated fatty acids that are present in bacterial membranes, because most bacteria synthesize little or no polyunsaturated fatty acids (61). For this reason, it is assumed that nonenzymatic lipid peroxidation is not a favorable process in bacteria. Alternatively, Ohr may reduce polyunsaturated fatty acid hydroperoxides enzymatically generated by plant or animal hosts. In mammals, pathogens, such as P. aeruginosa, can activate host cytosolic PLA₂ (62), releasing arachidonic acid (20:4 ratio) for oxidative modification by enzymes, such as 5-lipoxygenase or 15-lipoxygenase (63). Products of lipoxygenases have profound and distinct effects on the outcome of inflammation (59, 64). Given that endogenous levels of fatty acid hydroperoxides, such as 5-hydroperoxyeicosatetraenoic acid [5(S)-HpETE] or 15(S)-HpETE, regulate acute inflammation (59), it is reasonable to hypothesize that Ohr might somehow impact the progression of the immune response. In plants, the immune response is also mediated by oxidized products of fatty acids, derived from linoleic (18:2 ratio) and linolenic (18:3 ratio) acids in this case (60). Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that Ohr might subvert host immune pathways by some still unknown mechanisms (65). Remarkably, P. aeruginosa contains endogenous enzymatic systems capable of oxidizing unsaturated fatty acids (especially oleic acid) to hydroperoxide and hydroxide derivatives, whose biological function is still elusive (66, 67). Moreover, the experimental approach presented herein allowed dissecting the relevance of Ohr toward three different groups of biologically relevant hydroperoxides, underscoring the complementary role that different thiol- and heme-dependent peroxidases have on controlling cellular oxidative signaling and damage.

Materials and Methods

Reagents, Including Hydroperoxides. All reagents purchased had the highest degree of purity. The *t*-BHP was obtained from Sigma–Aldrich Co. CHP and H_2O_2 were obtained Merck KGaA. *XfOhr* and *PaOhr*, as well as *MtAhpE*, were obtained and, in some experiments, reduced as previously described (8, 55). The 15(*S*)-HpETE and 5(*S*)-HpETE were purchased from Cayman Chemical.

Photochemical Synthesis of Lipid Hydroperoxides. Oleic acid, linoleic acid, cholesterol, and phosphatidylcholine were photooxidized to the corresponding hydroperoxides according to the method of Miyamoto et al. (68). This procedure is based on the oxidation of lipids by singlet molecular oxygen produced in an O₂-saturated atmosphere using methylene blue as a photosensitizer. OAOOHs, LAOOHs, and ChOOHs were purified by flash-column chromatography and were quantified by iodometry (68). The hydroperoxide isomers were not separated atmosp themselves, only from the parental lipids. Phosphatidylcholine hydroperoxide was purified by HPLC and was quantified by phosphorus assay and iodometry (69).

Docking Lipid Hydroperoxides in Ohr. Using the *X. fastidiosa* Ohr structure (PDB ID code 1ZB8) as a template, docking analysis was carried out using Gold software, version 5.0 (70), utilizing organic hydroperoxides with distinct chemical structures.

Lipoamide-Lipoamide Dehydrogenase Peroxidase-Coupled Assay. The lipoyl peroxidase activity of Ohr was determined as previously described (23). Reactions were followed by decay of A_{340} ($\varepsilon = 6,290 \text{ M}^{-1}.\text{cm}^{-1}$) due to NADH oxidation. In some cases, several hydrophobic hydroperoxides were solubilized in Tween-20 or Triton X-100 as described throughout the main text and appropriate controls were performed.

Mass Spectrometry Analysis of the Products Formed in the Ohr Reaction with LAOOH and OAOOH. OAOOH or LAOOH (4 μ M) was incubated in the presence of an equimolar concentration of reduced *Xf*Ohr for 1 min at 37 °C in sodium phosphate buffer (20 mM), pH 7.4, containing diethylenetriaminepenta-acetic acid (DTPA) (0.1 mM). As a control reaction, OAOOH and LAOOH were incubated with previously alkylated Ohr (0.2 mM NEM). Afterward, an or-

ganic extraction was performed, and the products were analyzed by HPLCtandem mass spectrometry. Mass spectrometry analysis was performed in a triple-quadrupole instrument (Quattro II; Micromass) essentially as described by Miyamoto et al. (68).

Analysis of Ohr Hyperoxidation and Inactivation. Freshly purified recombinant *Xf*Ohr (300 μ M) was reduced for 1 h using DTT (100 mM). Afterward, excessive DTT was removed by gel filtration (PD-10 desalting; GE Healthcare), and the amount of protein recovered was quantified by its A_{280} ($\epsilon = 3,960 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$; determined by the ProtParam tool ExPASy). Next, *Xf*Ohr (10 μ M) was treated with increasing amounts of hydroperoxides (as depicted in Fig. 3*B* and Fig. S4) for 1 h at 37 °C in sodium phosphate buffer (20 mM), pH 7.4, containing DTPA (1 mM) and 0.15% (vol/vol) Triton X-100 or 0.25% (vol/vol) Tween-20.

After these pretreatments, XfOhr was again submitted to gel filtration to remove excessive hydroperoxides, and the recovered enzyme was again quantified by A_{280} . Finally, the same sample of XfOhr was assayed by the lipoamide-lipoamide dehydrogenase–coupled assay (using *t*-BHP as a substrate) and by nonreducing SDS/PAGE, which allow the assessment of the XfOhr oxidative state (22).

Digestion of Phosphatidylcholine Hydroperoxide with PLA₂. This enzymatic digestion was performed as previously described (71). In a solution containing CaCl₂ (10 mM), KCl (35 mM), and Tris (20 mM) at pH 8.0, phosphatidylcholine (catalog no. P3556; Sigma) from egg yolk was added at a final concentration equal to 100 μ M. The solution was stirred for 1 min abruptly. Thereafter, PLA₂ (P0861 Sigma–Aldrich Co.) was added (final amount of 10 units), and the solution was then incubated for 15 min or 30 min at 40 °C under gentle agitation. After this time, the components of the dihydrolipoamide system and Triton X-100 were added for testing the activity of XfOhr on phospholipids treated with PLA₂.

Kinetics of Organic Hydroperoxide Reduction by Ohr. A competition method was developed here, taking advantage of the fact that Ohr from *X. fastidiosa* and *P. aeruginosa* does not possess Trp residues, and consequently displays low intrinsic fluorescence. In contrast, *MtAhpE* has intrinsic fluorescence that decreases upon oxidation (8, 55). As expected, *XfOhr* practically lacks intrinsic fluorescence when excited at 295 nm, in contrast to *MtAhpE* (Fig. S5). Therefore, the assay developed here is based on the competition between Ohr and *MtAhpE* for distinct hydroperoxides, monitoring the intrinsic fluorescence of *MtAhpE* when exciting at 295 nm, either following the time course of the reaction (using an SX20 Applied Photophysics stopped-flow apparatus) or at final time points (using an Aminco Bowman Series 2 luminescence spectrophotometer or a Cary Eclipse Fluorimeter). Rate constants for *MtAhpE* oxidation were determined for those organic hydroperoxides that were not previously studied by Reyes et al. (8) and Hugo et al. (55).

Concentrations of *Mt*AhpE, Ohr, and hydroperoxide used were typically 2 μ M, 2–8 μ M, and 1.8 μ M, respectively. Because enzyme concentration decreased during the time course of the experiment, no pseudo-first-order conditions applied. Therefore, we used the following equation (Eq. 1) to find out the relationship between the rate constants for the reaction of a selected hydroperoxide with Ohr (k_{OHR}) and with *Mt*AhpE (k_{AhpE}):

$$\frac{k_{\text{C. Prot}}}{k_{OHR}} = \frac{\ln\left\{\frac{\int_{\text{red}} C. \operatorname{Prot}_{|_0}}{\int_{\text{red}} C. \operatorname{Prot}_{|_0} - \int_{\infty} C. \operatorname{Prot}_{|_{\infty}}\right\}}{\ln\left\{\frac{\int_{\text{red}} OHR_{|_0}}{\int_{\text{cold}} OHR_{|_0} - \int_{\infty} OHR_{|_0}}\right\}},$$
[1]

where $k_{\text{C. Prot}}$ is the rate constant for the reaction between a selected hydroperoxide with the protein competing with Ohr for it (in this case, reduced *Mt*AhpE, whose reactivity with the hydroperoxide is either already known or determined herein, as described in Fig. S6); k_{OHR} is the rate constant of the reaction between the hydroperoxide with reduced Ohr; $[_{\text{red}}$ C. Prot]_o is the initial concentration of the reduced competing protein; $[_{\text{ox}}$ C. Prot]_o corresponds to the final concentration of the competing protein; $[_{\text{ox}}$ C. Prot]_o is the final concentration of reduced Ohr; and $[_{\text{ox}}$ Ohr]_o is the final concentration of reduced Ohr; and $[_{\text{ox}}$ Ohr]_o is the final concentration of reduced Ohr; and $[_{\text{ox}}$ Ohr]_o is the final concentration of reduced Ohr; and $[_{\text{ox}}$ Ohr]_o is the final concentration of selected Ohr; determined as $[_{\text{ox}}$ C. Prot]_o formed in the absence minus the presence of Ohr (50). The final concentration of *Mt*AhpE in the oxidized state was determined assuming an equimolar reaction with hydroperoxide in the absence of the competing protein.

Kinetics of Peroxynitrite Reduction by Ohr. The reduction of peroxynitrite by *XfOhr* was investigated using different methodologies. First, the initial rate of peroxynitrite decomposition was followed at 310 nm due to its intrinsic absorbance in the absence and presence of increasing concentrations of reduced *XfOhr* in 100 mM (pH 7.4) sodium phosphate buffer containing

DTPA (0.1 mM) at 25 °C, using an SX20 Applied Photophysics stopped-flow apparatus (50). In a second approach, the kinetics of peroxynitrite reduction were indirectly determined using a competition assay between reduced *Xf*Ohr and HRP for peroxynitrite (10, 11, 50). HRP compound I formation was measured at various concentrations of reduced *Xf*Ohr, and experimental curves were fitted to exponential curves before the slow decay of compounds I –II occurred. Because no pseudo–first-order conditions applied (reduced *Xf*Ohr concentration decreased during the time course of the experiment), we used Eq. 1 to find out the relationship between the k_{HRP} (the C. Prot in this assay) and k_{OHR} .

The final concentration of compound I was quantitated using the reported ε_{398} nm = 42,000 M⁻¹·cm⁻¹ and the final concentration of oxidized XfOhr, determined as compound I formed in the absence minus the presence of XfOhr (11). To perform these calculations, we previously determined the rate constant between HRP and peroxynitrite as 2.2 × 10⁶ M⁻¹·s⁻¹ at pH 7.4 and 25 °C for the specific batch and experimental conditions used in the experiments described here. Indeed, the rate constant changed from batch to batch of HRP (72).

Finally, the competition approach between reduced Ohr and *Mt*AhpE described above was also used for determining the kinetics of peroxynitrite-mediated *Mt*AhpE oxidation.

Strains and Growth Conditions. *P. aeruginosa* UCBPP-PA14 (73) wild-type and MAR2xT7 mutants (74) (see Table S2), and *E. coli* strains were grown in lysogenic broth (LB) medium at 37 °C supplemented, when necessary, with gentamicin (10 µg/mL), carbenicillin (300 µg/mL), or ampicillin (100 µg/mL).

Cloning for Complementation Assays in P. aeruginosa. The coding region from ohr gene (PA14_27220) with its 150-bp upstream sequence and ahpC (PA14_01710), ahpC2 (PA14_18690), tpx (PA14_31810), and gpx (PA14_27520) genes (corresponding to fragments of 780 bp, 561 bp, 600 bp, 485 bp, and 490 bp, respectively) were amplified using oligonucleotides described in Table S3). With the exception of ohr, all genes were cloned without a stop codon and harboring a human influenza hemagglutinin (HA) tag inserted at 3', allowing us to check their expression levels in P. aeruginosa cells by Western blotting.

The final products were cloned into EcoRI and BamHI sites of the broadhost range vector pUCp18 (GenBank accession no. U07164) previously digested with the same enzymes. The pUCp18 constructs were introduced into *P. aeruginosa* PA14 wild-type and MAR2xT7 transposon *ohr* mutant (Δohr) cells by electroporation (75). As a control, the same strains were transformed with empty pUCp18 vector. All PCR reactions were carried out with Thermo Scientific High Fidelity Taq Polymerase using genomic DNA of PA14 as a template. Errors from PCR reactions were checked by sequencing the clones.

MIC Assay. Cultures of *P. aeruginosa* (PA14 and Δohr) were grown on LB medium at 37 °C until OD₆₀₀ = 1. After that time, cultures were diluted in fresh LB medium supplemented with an appropriate antibiotic to OD₆₀₀ = 0.01; then, 150 µL was dispensed in 96-well plates previously loaded with different concentrations of tested hydroperoxides. Afterward, assay plates were incubated at 37 °C under agitation (200 rpm), and the results were recorded after 16 h. To increase the solubility of ChOOH, LB medium was

- 1. Flohé L (2016) The impact of thiol peroxidases on redox regulation. Free Radic Res 50(2):126–142.
- 2. Rhee SG, Woo HA, Kil IS, Bae SH (2012) Peroxiredoxin functions as a peroxidase and a regulator and sensor of local peroxides. J Biol Chem 287(7):4403–4410.
- Winterbourn CC, Hampton MB (2008) Thiol chemistry and specificity in redox signaling. Free Radic Biol Med 45(5):549–561.
- Peskin AV, et al. (2007) The high reactivity of peroxiredoxin 2 with H₂O₂ is not reflected in its reaction with other oxidants and thiol reagents. J Biol Chem 282(16):11885–11892.
- Hall A, Parsonage D, Poole LB, Karplus PA (2010) Structural evidence that peroxiredoxin catalytic power is based on transition-state stabilization. J Mol Biol 402(1):194–209.
- 6. Portillo-Ledesma S, et al. (2014) Deconstructing the catalytic efficiency of peroxiredoxin-5 peroxidatic cysteine. *Biochemistry* 53(38):6113–6125.
- Zeida A, et al. (2014) The extraordinary catalytic ability of peroxiredoxins: A combined experimental and QM/MM study on the fast thiol oxidation step. *Chem Commun* (*Camb*) 50(70):10070–10073.
- Reyes AM, et al. (2011) Oxidizing substrate specificity of Mycobacterium tuberculosis alkyl hydroperoxide reductase E: Kinetics and mechanisms of oxidation and overoxidation. Free Radic Biol Med 51(2):464–473.
- Manta B, et al. (2009) The peroxidase and peroxynitrite reductase activity of human erythrocyte peroxiredoxin 2. Arch Biochem Biophys 484(2):146–154.
- Ogusucu R, Rettori D, Munhoz DC, Netto LE, Augusto O (2007) Reactions of yeast thioredoxin peroxidases I and II with hydrogen peroxide and peroxynitrite: Rate constants by competitive kinetics. *Free Radic Biol Med* 42(3):326–334.

supplemented with 1% Brij-58. Concentrations of hydroperoxides and conditions of solubilization are described in Figs. 6 and 7 and Fig. S7.

Colony Counting Assay to Assess SIN-1 Sensitivity. Cultures of *P. aeruginosa* were grown on LB medium at 37 °C until OD₆₀₀ = 1. After that point, cultures were washed twice in Dulbecco PBS (Life Technologies) and diluted to OD₆₀₀ = 0.01 in the same buffer. A volume of 200 μ L of the cell suspension was incubated with 5 mM catalase inhibitor ATZ for 10 min at room temperature without agitation. After that time, 6.2 μ L of 96 mM SIN-1 solution (diluted in DMSO) was added at the bottom of the tube and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C at 200 rpm, and treated cultures were then serially diluted in 10 mM MgSO₄ and plated on solid LB medium to count the number of viable cells by colony formation unit. As a control, the same treatment was carried out only with DMSO. The results were expressed by the relative percentage, compared with controls, of viable cells remaining after treatment. The statistical analysis was performed using an unpaired *t* test (*P* < 0.05).

Ohr Expression upon Oxidative Insults. Cultures of P. aeruginosa (PA14, ∆ohr, and $\Delta ohrR$) were grown on M9 medium supplemented with 0.2% glucose at 37 °C and 200 rpm until $OD_{600} = 0.5$. After that time, 500 µL of each culture was separated in different flasks to receive the treatments (200 µM t-BHP, 50 μM LAOOH, and 1 mM SIN-1). As a control, the same volumes of the solvents in which the previous compounds were diluted [water, 5% (vol/vol) methanol, and 5% (vol/vol) DMSO, respectively] were added. After 30 min of treatment (37 °C, 200 rpm), cells were pelleted and then resuspended in Laemmli buffer and processed for SDS/PAGE analysis. The gel was electroblotted onto a 0.45-µm nitrocellulose membrane in Tris-glycine buffer at 24 V/600 mA for 30 min. Blotted membranes were blocked for 3 h at room temperature in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) containing 0.1% Tween-20 (TBS-T) and 5% (vol/wt) nonfat dry milk (Bio-Rad). The membrane was then incubated with a rabbit anti-XfOhr polyclonal serum (1:1,000 in TBS-T) overnight at 4 °C, followed by washing with TBS-T. The membrane was subsequently incubated with an anti-rabbit IgG-alkaline phosphatase antibody (KPL, Inc.; 1:1,000 in TBS-T) for 2 h. After washing, the proteins were detected following incubation with alkaline phosphatase substrates: 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate (0.3 mg/mL)/nitro blue tetrazolium (0.1 mg/mL) on an appropriate buffer [10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.0), 100 mM NaCl, and 5 mM MgCl₂].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Dr. Regina Baldini [Instituto Quimica, Universidade de São Paulo (USP)] and Laurence G. Rahme (Harvard University) for providing PA14 and strains from a transposon library. We also thank Adriano de Britto Chaves-Filho (Instituto Quimica, USP) for his support in mass spectrometry analysis. This work was financially supported by Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) Grant 13/07937-8 (Redox Processes in Biomedicine) (to M.A.d.O., S.M., P.D.M., O.A., and L.E.S.N.), NIH Grant 1R01AI095173 (to R.R.), a grant from the Universidad de la República (Comisión Sectorial de Investigación Científica, Uruguay; to R.R.), and a grant from Ridaline (Fundación Manuel Pérez) (to R.R.). M.H. was the recipient of a fellowship from the Agencia Nacional de Investigación e Innovación (Uruguay), and T.G.P.A. (2008/07971-3) and D.A.M. (2012/21722-1) were recipients of fellowships from FAPESP.

- Toledo JC, Jr, et al. (2011) Horseradish peroxidase compound I as a tool to investigate reactive protein-cysteine residues: From quantification to kinetics. Free Radic Biol Med 50(9):1032–1038.
- Dubuisson M, et al. (2004) Human peroxiredoxin 5 is a peroxynitrite reductase. FEBS Lett 571(1-3):161–165.
- Trujillo M, et al. (2007) Pre-steady state kinetic characterization of human peroxiredoxin 5: Taking advantage of Trp84 fluorescence increase upon oxidation. Arch Biochem Biophys 467(1):95–106.
- Mongkolsuk S, Praituan W, Loprasert S, Fuangthong M, Chamnongpol S (1998) Identification and characterization of a new organic hydroperoxide resistance (ohr) gene with a novel pattern of oxidative stress regulation from Xanthomonas campestris pv. phaseoli. J Bacteriol 180(10):2636–2643.
- Atichartpongkul S, et al. (2001) Bacterial Ohr and OsmC paralogues define two protein families with distinct functions and patterns of expression. *Microbiology* 147(Pt 7): 1775–1782.
- Cussiol JR, Alves SV, de Oliveira MA, Netto LE (2003) Organic hydroperoxide resistance gene encodes a thiol-dependent peroxidase. J Biol Chem 278(13):11570–11578.
- Lesniak J, Barton WA, Nikolov DB (2002) Structural and functional characterization of the *Pseudomonas* hydroperoxide resistance protein Ohr. *EMBO J* 21(24):6649–6659.
- Gutierrez C, Barondess J, Manoil C, Beckwith J (1987) The use of transposon TnphoA to detect genes for cell envelope proteins subject to a common regulatory stimulus. Analysis of osmotically regulated genes in *Escherichia coli*. J Mol Biol 195(2):289–297.
- Lesniak J, Barton WA, Nikolov DB (2003) Structural and functional features of the Escherichia coli hydroperoxide resistance protein OsmC. Protein Sci 12(12):2838–2843.

BIOCHEMISTRY

- 20. Shin DH, et al. (2004) Structure of OsmC from *Escherichia coli*: A salt-shock-induced 49. Radi R, Beckr
- protein. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 60(Pt 5):903–911.
 Meunier-Jamin C, Kapp U, Leonard GA, McSweeney S (2004) The structure of the organic hydroperoxide resistance protein from *Deinococcus radiodurans*. Do conformational changes facilitate recycling of the redox disulfide? J Biol Chem 279(24): 25830–25837.
- Oliveira MA, et al. (2006) Structural insights into enzyme-substrate interaction and characterization of enzymatic intermediates of organic hydroperoxide resistance protein from Xylella fastidiosa. J Mol Biol 359(2):433–445.
- Cussiol JR, Alegria TG, Szweda LI, Netto LE (2010) Ohr (organic hydroperoxide resistance protein) possesses a previously undescribed activity, lipoyl-dependent peroxidase. J Biol Chem 285(29):21943–21950.
- Ta P, Buchmeier N, Newton GL, Rawat M, Fahey RC (2011) Organic hydroperoxide resistance protein and ergothioneine compensate for loss of mycothiol in *Mycobacterium smegmatis* mutants. J Bacteriol 193(8):1981–1990.
- Klomsiri C, Panmanee W, Dharmsthiti S, Vattanaviboon P, Mongkolsuk S (2005) Novel roles of ohrR-ohr in Xanthomonas sensing, metabolism, and physiological adaptive response to lipid hydroperoxide. J Bacteriol 187(9):3277–3281.
- Chuchue T, et al. (2006) ohrR and ohr are the primary sensor/regulator and protective genes against organic hydroperoxide stress in Agrobacterium tumefaciens. J Bacteriol 188(3):842–851.
- Oh SY, Shin JH, Roe JH (2007) Dual role of OhrR as a repressor and an activator in response to organic hydroperoxides in *Streptomyces coelicolor. J Bacteriol* 189(17): 6284–6292.
- Roveri A, Maiorino M, Nisii C, Ursini F (1994) Purification and characterization of phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase from rat testis mitochondrial membranes. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1208(2):211–221.
- Thomas JP, Geiger PG, Maiorino M, Ursini F, Girotti AW (1990) Enzymatic reduction of phospholipid and cholesterol hydroperoxides in artificial bilayers and lipoproteins. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1045(3):252–260.
- Pal S, Moulik SP (1983) Cholesterol solubility in mixed micellar solutions of ionic and non-ionic surfactants. J Lipid Res 24(10):1281–1290.
- Rand Doyen J, Yucer N, Lichtenberger LM, Kulmacz RJ (2008) Phospholipid actions on PGHS-1 and -2 cyclooxygenase kinetics. *Prostaglandins Other Lipid Mediat* 85(3-4): 134–143.
- Richieri GV, Ogata RT, Kleinfeld AM (1992) A fluorescently labeled intestinal fatty acid binding protein. Interactions with fatty acids and its use in monitoring free fatty acids. J Biol Chem 267(33):23495–23501.
- Sermon BA, Eccleston JF, Skinner RH, Lowe PN (1996) Mechanism of inhibition by arachidonic acid of the catalytic activity of Ras GTPase-activating proteins. J Biol Chem 271(3):1566–1572.
- Serth J, Lautwein A, Frech M, Wittinghofer A, Pingoud A (1991) The inhibition of the GTPase activating protein-Ha-ras interaction by acidic lipids is due to physical association of the C-terminal domain of the GTPase activating protein with micellar structures. *EMBO J* 10(6):1325–1330.
- 35. Miki T, Orii Y (1985) The reaction of horseradish peroxidase with hydroperoxides derived from Triton X-100. *Anal Biochem* 146(1):28–34.
- Haberland ME, Reynolds JA (1973) Self-association of cholesterol in aqueous solution. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 70(8):2313–2316.
- 37. Baker LM, Poole LB (2003) Catalytic mechanism of thiol peroxidase from *Escherichia coli*. Sulfenic acid formation and overoxidation of essential CYS61. *J Biol Chem* 278(11):9203–9211.
- Nelson KJ, Parsonage D, Karplus PA, Poole LB (2013) Evaluating peroxiredoxin sensitivity toward inactivation by peroxide substrates. *Methods Enzymol* 527:21–40.
- 39. Parsonage D, Karplus PA, Poole LB (2008) Substrate specificity and redox potential of AhpC, a bacterial peroxiredoxin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105(24):8209–8214.
- Alvarez MN, Peluffo G, Piacenza L, Radi R (2011) Intraphagosomal peroxynitrite as a macrophage-derived cytotoxin against internalized *Trypanosoma cruzi*: consequences for oxidative killing and role of microbial peroxiredoxins in infectivity. J Biol Chem 286(8):6627–6640.
- 41. Vandelle E, Delledonne M (2011) Peroxynitrite formation and function in plants. *Plant Sci* 181(5):534–539.
- Zhang Y, et al. (2011) Kinase suppressor of Ras-1 protects against pulmonary Pseudomonas aeruginosa infections. Nat Med 17(3):341–346.
- Noordman WH, Janssen DB (2002) Rhamnolipid stimulates uptake of hydrophobic compounds by Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Appl Environ Microbiol 68(9):4502–4508.
- Imlay JA (2013) The molecular mechanisms and physiological consequences of oxidative stress: lessons from a model bacterium. Nat Rev Microbiol 11(7):443–454.
- Lee JS, Heo YJ, Lee JK, Cho YH (2005) KatA, the major catalase, is critical for osmoprotection and virulence in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA14. *Infect Immun* 73(7):4399–4403.
- 46. Brown SM, Howell ML, Vasil ML, Anderson AJ, Hassett DJ (1995) Cloning and characterization of the katB gene of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* encoding a hydrogen peroxide-inducible catalase: Purification of KatB, cellular localization, and demonstration that it is essential for optimal resistance to hydrogen peroxide. *J Bacteriol* 177(22):6536–6544.
- Lindgren H, et al. (2007) Resistance of *Francisella tularensis* strains against reactive nitrogen and oxygen species with special reference to the role of KatG. *Infect Immun* 75(3):1303–1309.
- Trujillo M, Radi R (2002) Peroxynitrite reaction with the reduced and the oxidized forms of lipoic acid: New insights into the reaction of peroxynitrite with thiols. Arch Biochem Biophys 397(1):91–98.

- Radi R, Beckman JS, Bush KM, Freeman BA (1991) Peroxynitrite oxidation of sulfhydryls. The cytotoxic potential of superoxide and nitric oxide. J Biol Chem 266(7):4244–4250.
- Trujillo M, Ferrer-Sueta G, Radi R (2008) Kinetic studies on peroxynitrite reduction by peroxiredoxins. *Methods Enzymol* 441:173–196.
- Pirisino R, Di Simplicio P, Ignesti G, Bianchi G, Barbera P (1988) Sulfhydryl groups and peroxidase-like activity of albumin as scavenger of organic peroxides. *Pharmacol Res Commun* 20(7):545–552.
- Trujillo M, Alvarez B, Radi R (2016) One- and two-electron oxidation of thiols: Mechanisms, kinetics and biological fates. Free Radic Res 50(2):150–171.
- Briviba K, Kissner R, Koppenol WH, Sies H (1998) Kinetic study of the reaction of glutathione peroxidase with peroxynitrite. *Chem Res Toxicol* 11(12):1398–1401.
- Selles B, et al. (2012) Hydroperoxide and peroxynitrite reductase activity of poplar thioredoxin-dependent glutathione peroxidase 5: Kinetics, catalytic mechanism and oxidative inactivation. *Biochem J* 442(2):369–380.
- Hugo M, et al. (2009) Thiol and sulfenic acid oxidation of AhpE, the one-cysteine peroxiredoxin from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: Kinetics, acidity constants, and conformational dynamics. *Biochemistry* 48(40):9416–9426.
- Satoh S, et al. (2001) Dexamethasone impairs pulmonary defence against *Pseudo-monas aeruginosa* through suppressing iNOS gene expression and peroxynitrite production in mice. *Clin Exp Immunol* 126(2):266–273.
- Szabó C, Ischiropoulos H, Radi R (2007) Peroxynitrite: Biochemistry, pathophysiology and development of therapeutics. Nat Rev Drug Discov 6(8):662–680.
- McLean S, Bowman LA, Poole RK (2010) Peroxynitrite stress is exacerbated by flavohaemoglobin-derived oxidative stress in Salmonella Typhimurium and is relieved by nitric oxide. Microbiology 156(Pt 12):3556–3565.
- Tobin DM, Ramakrishnan L (2013) TB: The Yin and Yang of lipid mediators. Curr Opin Pharmacol 13(4):641–645.
- Prost I, et al. (2005) Evaluation of the antimicrobial activities of plant oxylipins supports their involvement in defense against pathogens. *Plant Physiol* 139(4):1902–1913.
- Moss CW, Samuels SB, Weaver RE (1972) Cellular fatty acid composition of selected Pseudomonas species. Appl Microbiol 24(4):596–598.
- 62. Guillemot L, et al. (2014) Cytosolic phospholipase A2α enhances mouse mortality induced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pulmonary infection via interleukin 6. *Biochimie* 107(Pt A):95–104.
- 63. Leslie CC (2015) Cytosolic phospholipase A₂: Physiological function and role in disease. *J Lipid Res* 56(8):1386–1402.
- Serhan CN, Chiang N (2013) Resolution phase lipid mediators of inflammation: Agonists of resolution. Curr Opin Pharmacol 13(4):632–640.
- 65. Baxt LA, Garza-Mayers AC, Goldberg MB (2013) Bacterial subversion of host innate immune pathways. *Science* 340(6133):697–701.
- Martínez E, et al. (2010) Biochemical characterization of the oxygenation of unsaturated fatty acids by the dioxygenase and hydroperoxide isomerase of *Pseudo*monas aeruginosa 42A2. J Biol Chem 285(13):9339–9345.
- Vance RE, Hong S, Gronert K, Serhan CN, Mekalanos JJ (2004) The opportunistic pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* carries a secretable arachidonate 15-lipoxygenase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101(7):2135–2139.
- Miyamoto S, et al. (2006) Linoleic acid hydroperoxide reacts with hypochlorous acid, generating peroxyl radical intermediates and singlet molecular oxygen. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103(2):293–298.
- Miyamoto S, Martinez GR, Medeiros MH, Di Mascio P (2003) Singlet molecular oxygen generated from lipid hydroperoxides by the Russell mechanism: Studies using ¹⁸O-labeled linoleic acid hydroperoxide and monomol light emission measurements. J Am Chem Soc 125(20):6172–6179.
- Verdonk ML, Cole JC, Hartshorn MJ, Murray CW, Taylor RD (2003) Improved proteinligand docking using GOLD. Proteins 52(4):609–623.
- Menashe M, Romero G, Biltonen RL, Lichtenberg D (1986) Hydrolysis of dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine small unilamellar vesicles by porcine pancreatic phospholipase A2. J Biol Chem 261(12):5328–5333.
- Loumaye E, et al. (2011) Kinetic studies of peroxiredoxin 6 from Arenicola marina: Rapid oxidation by hydrogen peroxide and peroxynitrite but lack of reduction by hydrogen sulfide. Arch Biochem Biophys 514(1-2):1–7.
- 73. Rahme LG, et al. (1995) Common virulence factors for bacterial pathogenicity in plants and animals. *Science* 268(5219):1899–1902.
- Liberati NT, et al. (2006) An ordered, nonredundant library of *Pseudomonas aerugi-nosa* strain PA14 transposon insertion mutants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103(8): 2833–2838.
- Choi KH, Kumar A, Schweizer HP (2006) A 10-min method for preparation of highly electrocompetent *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cells: Application for DNA fragment transfer between chromosomes and plasmid transformation. *J Microbiol Methods* 64(3):391–397.
- Mendes P (1997) Biochemistry by numbers: Simulation of biochemical pathways with Gepasi 3. Trends Biochem Sci 22(9):361–363.
- 77. Meireles DA, Alegria TG, Alves SV, Arantes CR, Netto LE (2014) A 14.7 kDa protein from Francisella tularensis subsp. novicida (named FTN_1133), involved in the response to oxidative stress induced by organic peroxides, is not endowed with thioldependent peroxidase activity. *PLoS One* 9(6):e99492.
- Schweizer HP (1991) Escherichia-Pseudomonas shuttle vectors derived from pUC18/19. Gene 97(1):109–121.