Genes encoding transcription factor IIIA and the RNA polymerase common subunit RPB6 are divergently transcribed in Saccharomyces cerevisiae

(zinc finger/RNA polymerase III/5S rRNA)

NANCY A. WOYCHIK^{*†} AND RICHARD A. YOUNG[‡]

*Department of Gene Regulation, Roche Institute of Molecular Biology, Nutley, NJ 07110; and tWhitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, MA ⁰²¹⁴² and Department of Biology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA ⁰²¹³⁹

Communicated by Herbert Weissbach, January 30, 1992

ABSTRACT The gene encoding Saceharomyces cerevisiae transcription factor TFHIA has been found adjacent to RPB6, ^a gene that specifies ^a subunit shared by nuclear RNA polymerases. Analysis of DNA upstream of the RPB6 gene revealed an open reading frame that predicts a protein, designated PZF1, with nine C_2H_2 zinc fingers. The presence of nine C_2H_2 zinc fingers in PZF1 protein, a hallmark of amphibian TFIIIA proteins, suggested that PZF1 might be a TFUIA homologue. We found that purified recombinant PZF1 specifically binds the internal control region (ICR) of the SS rRNA gene in S. *cerevisiae*. The presence of nine C_2H_2 zinc fingers, the specific binding to ICR DNA, and the similarity of the predicted molecular mass of PZF1 with that determined for purified yeast TFIIIA, together indicate that PZF1 is TFIHA. The yeast and amphibian TFIIIA proteins share only a limited number of residues outside of those normally conserved in C_2H_2 zinc fingers; these conserved residues may provide clues to the sequence specificity of these proteins. The PZF1 gene was found to be single copy, transcribed into a 1.5-kilobase mRNA, and essential for yeast cell viability. Interestingly, the yeast RPB6 and TFIIIA coding sequences are divergently transcribed and are separated by only 233 base pairs, providing the potential for coregulated expression of components of RNA polymerases and the SS rRNA component of ribosomes.

The transcriptional activator transcription factor IIIA (TF-IIIA) is required for initiation of 5S rRNA transcription by RNA polymerase III (refs. 1-4; reviewed in ref. 5). Synthesis of 5S rRNA begins with the binding of TFIIIA to the internal control region (ICR) of the 5S rRNA gene (6, 7), followed by sequential binding of factors TFIIIC and TFIIIB and RNA polymerase III (1, 3, 4, 8). Unlike TFIIIC and TFIIIB, which are required for synthesis of both tRNA and 5S rRNA, TFIIIA appears to be exclusively required for 5S rRNA synthesis. In addition to its ability to specifically bind the 5S rRNA-encoding DNA ICR, TFIIIA is able to specifically bind 5S rRNA (9, 10).

Cloning and analysis of the TFIIIA gene product from Xenopus laevis (11) lead to recognition of a tandemly repeated pattern of cysteine and histidine residues that came to be known as zinc fingers (12, 13). Structural and mutational analyses of zinc finger peptides (14-19) have provided evidence that the zinc finger is a structural domain that is responsible for sequence-specific recognition of DNA. TF-IIIA in X. laevis has nine C_2H_2 zinc fingers, which bind to the ICR of the 5S gene (20-22). Nonfinger residues of the C terminus appear to be important for transcriptional activation (20). Thus far, TFIIIA genes have been isolated only from the amphibians X . laevis (11), Xenopus borealis (23), and Rana

catesbeiana (23). All three of the amphibian TFIIIA proteins have nine contiguous C_2H_2 zinc fingers.

Since TFIIIA plays a key role in the synthesis of 5S rRNA, and because the molecular interactions between zinc fingers and specific DNA sequences are being studied intensively, the isolation of TFIIIA genes from genetically tractable organisms has been of great interest. However, attempts to isolate the TFIIIA genes from other eukaryotes have been unsuccessful thus far, apparently because of the lack of sequence similarity between amphibian TFIIIAs and those of other eukaryotes. Here we describe the isolation and characterization of a gene for a nonamphibian TFIIIA from the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae.§ The yeast TFIIIA protein possesses the nine zinc fingers found in amphibian TFIIIA proteins but is otherwise substantially different in sequence.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmids and Yeast Strains. Plasmid pPZF1 was constructed by inserting a 3-kilobase (kb) PZFI-containing Nsi I/Sac ^I DNA fragment into the Nsi I/Sac ^I sites of pGem9Zf(+). pPZF4 was constructed from pPZF1 by replacement of the coding region of PZF1 with BamHI/Sma I sites (24) followed by insertion of a 1.75-kb HIS3-containing DNA fragment. pPZF5, the plasmid used to obtain the complete DNA sequence of $PZFI$, has a 4-kb Bgl II DNA fragment inserted into the BamHI site of pBluescript $KS +$. PZFJ was sequenced from both strands of pPZF5 plasmid DNA. pPZF7 contains the coding region of PZF1, minus the first 30 base pairs (bp), flanked with PCR-synthesized restriction sites (a BamHI site on the 5' end and an EcoRI site on the ³' end) to permit insertion into the BamHI/EcoRI sites of the glutathione S-transferase (GST; RX:glutathione R-transferase, EC 2.5.1.18) expression vector, pGEX-2T (Pharmacia LKB). The first 30 bp encoding the N-terminal 10 amino acids were deleted to allow for induced expression of GST-PZF1 after it was noted that isopropyl β -D-thiogalactoside (IPTG)-mediated induction of the full-length PZF gene in pGEX-2T killed the bacterial cells shortly after addition of IPTG. pNW9 contains an \approx 310-bp BamHI/EcoRI S. cerevisiae ICR-containing DNA fragment [approximately positions +180 through -120 of the 5S rRNA gene, obtained by PCR of the plasmid pDPL13-5S (kindly provided by J. Segall, University of Toronto)] ligated to BamHI/EcoRI digested $pGem7Zf(+)$. All DNA fragments generated by the PCR were determined to be error-free by DNA sequence analysis. Yeast strains were as follows: Z321, MATa/MATa ura3-52/

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Abbreviations: GST, glutathione S-transferase; ICR, internal control region; IPTG, isopropyl β -D-thiogalactoside; TFIIIA, transcription factor IIIA.

tTo whom reprint requests should be addressed.

[§]The sequence reported in this paper has been deposited in the GenBank data base (accession no. M90638).

ura3-52 his3A200/his3A200 leu2-3/Ieu2-3 leu2-112/leu2-112 lys2Δ201/lys2Δ201 ade2/ade2; WY20, MATa/MATα ura3-52/ura3-52 his3A200/his3A200 leu2-3/leu2-3 leu2-112/leu2- 112 lys3A201/lys2A201 ade2/ade2 pzf/pzflAl::HI53.

Deletion of PZF1. To create the $pzf\Delta1$::*HIS3* allele, a 3.5-kb Spe I/Xmn I HIS3-containing fragment cut from pPZF4 was used to transform the yeast diploid Z321. Genomic DNA was prepared from the His' transformant WY20 and subjected to Southern analysis to verify substitution of the chromosomal copy with HIS3. WY20 cells were sporulated and subjected to tetrad analysis.

Bacterial Expression and Purification of PZF1. Overnight cultures of pP \overline{Z} F7 cells were diluted 1:50 and grown for $2-3$ hr at 37°C followed by addition of IPTG to a final concentration of ¹ mM. Three hours after IPTG induction, 50-ml aliquots were pelleted and stored at -70° C. Pellets were sonicated in 1 ml of TKZD (50 mM Tris HCl, pH 8.0/200 mM $KCl/10$ mM $ZnCl₂/1$ mM dithiothreitol) followed by addition of Triton X-100 to 1%. GST-PZF1 fusion protein was adsorbed from the supernatant with 200 μ l of glutathione Sepharose 4B (Pharmacia LKB) for ¹ hr at 4°C. The affinity gel was pelleted and washed four times with 0.5 ml of TKZD, and the fusion protein was eluted with 200 μ l of TKZD plus ⁵ mM reduced glutathione for ⁵ min at room temperature.

Mobility-Shift DNA Binding Assay. A DNA fragment containing the yeast ICR region was used to demonstrate sequence-specific DNA binding with the GST-PZF1 fusion protein. Plasmid pNW9 was digested with BamHI/EcoRI, purified, and end-labeled using the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I. Binding reaction mixtures contained 20 μ l of TKZD containing 5% glycerol, 5 μ g of bovine serum albumin, 2 μ g of dI-dC, 5 μ l of purified GST-PZF1, plus 1 μ g of competitor DNA when specified. The sequences of the wild-type and mutant duplex 51-mer DNA oligonucleotides are represented in Fig. 2B. Linearized pUC19 DNA was used as a nonspecific competitor. All reaction mixtures were incubated for 10 min at room temperature before addition of the radioactively labeled ICR-containing DNA, followed by an additional incubation for 10 min at room temperature. Gel electrophoresis conditions were as described by Challice and Segall (25).

RESULTS

A Gene Adjacent to RPB6 Encodes a Zinc Finger Protein. The RPB6 gene encodes a subunit shared by RNA polymerases I, II, and III in S. cerevisiae, and it is located on chromosome XVI (26). Sequence analysis of DNA upstream ofRPB6 revealed a 1.3-kb open reading frame that is oriented opposite that encoding RPB6 (Fig. 1A). The 1.3-kb open reading frame and the RPB6 coding sequences are separated by only 233 bp.

The 1.3-kb open reading frame predicts a 429-amino acid protein (50 kDa) containing nine C_2H_2 zinc fingers, and we designated this putative protein PZF1 (Fig. 1B). The nine C_2H_2 zinc fingers belong to a class of proteins that have two invariant cysteine and histidine residues spaced within the general pattern $F/YXCX_{2-4}CX_3FX_5LX_2HX_{3-4}HX_5$, where X is any amino acid (27). Proteins that contain this class of zinc fingers include the Xenopus transcription factor TFIIIA, the human factor Spl, and the yeast factor ADR1, among others (28, 29). The TFIIIA proteins distinguish themselves by containing nine C_2H_2 zinc fingers, but alignment of PZF1 amino acid residues with those of TFIIIA revealed only \approx 20% sequence identity, with most of the shared residues being in the general pattern exhibited by the C_2H_2 zinc finger proteins. Although PZF1 appeared likely to be ^a DNA binding factor, its identity was unclear.

PZF1 Is an Essential Single-Copy Gene. We further investigated PZFJ by determining its copy number, identifying a

FIG. 1. The PZF1 gene. (A) Orientation of the PZF1 and RPB6 genes. Arrows represent gene orientation; lengths of shaded and solid rectangles reflect relative gene sizes. (B) DNA sequence of the PZFI gene. The nine zinc fingers of the predicted amino acid sequence are underlined. The 233-bp ⁵' untranslated region shown corresponds to the promoter region shared by PZF1 and RPB6 as diagramed in A.

specific transcript, and ascertaining whether the gene is essential for yeast cell viability. To determine PZFI copy number, Southern blots containing immobilized restriction digests of S. cerevisiae genomic DNA were probed with PZFI DNA fragments at moderate stringency. The pattern of hybridization, in which only a single band producing a strong signal was observed (data not shown), indicated that PZFI was a single copy gene in haploid yeast. PZFI DNA hybridized to ^a 1.5-kb mRNA on ^a Northern blot containing immobilized yeast mRNA (data not shown).

To construct a yeast strain lacking the PZFJ gene, the entire protein coding region of the plasmid-borne PZFJ gene was first deleted and replaced with a unique restriction site. A HIS3 DNA fragment was inserted into the newly created restriction site to produce the $pzf\Delta1$:: $HIS3$ allele. One chromosomal copy of the gene in diploid yeast cells was replaced by the method of Rothstein (30), producing strain WY20. Tetrad analysis of the sporulation products of WY20 revealed that deletion of PZF1 produces nonviable haploid cells (Table 1). Thus, PZFJ is essential for cell viability.

PZF1 Binds to the ICR of the 5S rRNA Gene. Three pieces of evidence led us to suspect that PZF1 might be the S. cerevisiae transcription factor TFIIIA, despite limited sequence similarity between yeast PZF1 and amphibian TF-IIIA. First, PZF1 has nine C_2H_2 zinc fingers, a hallmark of TFIIIA. Second, Wang and Weil (31) recently purified an \approx 48-kDa protein doublet from S. cerevisiae possessing TF-HIIA activity, closely corresponding to the predicted size of

Table 1. Tetrad analysis of diploid cells with PZF gene replacements

	Viable spores per tetrad			
Genotype at target locus				
$pzfl/pzfl\Delta l$::HIS3				

All viable spores were His⁻.

the PZF1 gene product (50 kDa). Third, attempts to isolate the yeast gene encoding TFIIIA by using either lowstringency hybridization with the Xenopus gene or antibodies against the Xenopus TFIIIA have failed, apparently because of significant differences in the TFIIIA gene and protein in these two species (31).

To determine whether PZF1 was yeast TFIIIA, we investigated whether PZF1 would bind specifically to the ICR of the S. cerevisiae 5S rRNA gene. Since purified yeast TFIIIA binds exclusively to DNA sequences contained in the ICR DNA in vitro (31), we used a mobility-shift binding assay to assess whether PZF1 has this TFIIIA function. Recombinant PZF1 protein fusions to either maltose binding protein or GST were generated with two different Escherichia coli expression systems and purified to homogeneity. The purified PZF1 protein fusions were tested for their ability to bind ^a radioactively labeled DNA fragment containing the S. cerevisiae 5S rRNA gene ICR by using ^a mobility-shift DNA binding assay. The behavior of maltose binding protein and

FIG. 2. Mobility-shift binding assay of the GST-PZF1 fusion protein. (A) Mobility-shift assay using a radiolabeled 310-bp fragment containing the S. cerevisiae ICR and purified GST-PZF1 fusion protein (GST-PZF1) or purified GST protein alone (GST). The following competitor DNAs were used: ^a duplex 51-mer DNA oligonucleotide containing the yeast ICR (ICR) (see B), a duplex 51-mer DNA oligonucleotide with mutations in bases involved in $DNA-protein binding (mICR)$ (see B), and pUC19 as a nonspecific competitor. -, Absence of a component. The low intensity band above the unshifted labeled DNA represents ^a small percentage of proteolyzed PZF1 protein whose binding is blocked by competition with unlabeled ICR DNA. (B) Sequence of the duplex 51-mer containing the S. cerevisiae ICR. Residues $+48$ to $+98$ are on the lower (noncoding) strand, +48 is at the ⁵' end, and +98 is at the ³' end. The guanosines marked with an asterisk are TFIIIA-DNA contact points demonstrated by DNA methylation protection experiments with the TFIIIA-5S rRNA gene complex (25). Base changes (G to T, C to A) represented in the mutant ICR duplex DNA oligonucleotide are shown on both strands.

GST-PZF1 fusions were comparable in this assay. Fig. ² reveals a significant mobility shift of the labeled ICRcontaining DNA fragment with the GST-PZF1 fusion protein (Fig. 2A, lane 1). Preincubation of PZF1 with unlabeled ICR DNA completely prevented the shift of the labeled ICRcontaining DNA fragment (lane 2), while preincubation with nonspecific DNA did not (lane 4). The loss of PZF1 binding to the ICR-containing DNA fragment by competition with the oligonucleotide [representing the 51-bp region of DNA that contained the eight known yeast TFIIIA-ICR close contact points (25)] demonstrated that PZF1 binds specifically to the ICR of the 5S rRNA gene. When all eight of these contact points (25) were mutated, the mutant ICR fragments failed to compete with the binding of PZF to the wild-type ICR. This experiment further supported the competition experiment with the wild-type oligonucleotide, since it revealed that the residues shown to be TFIIIA-ICR contact points are critical for ICR binding as well. The specific binding of PZF1 to ICR DNA, the presence of nine C_2H_2 zinc fingers, and the similarity of the predicted molecular mass of PZF1 with that determined for yeast TFIIIA lead us to conclude that PZF1 is TFIIIA.

Comparison of Yeast and Amphibian TFMA Proteins. To date, only amphibian TFIIIA genes have been isolated and characterized. When aligned, the amino acid sequences of TFIIIA proteins from X . laevis and X . borealis are 84% identical (23). Those of TFIIIA from X . laevis and R . catesbeiana are 63% identical (23). Alignment of yeast TFIIIA with X . laevis reveals more substantial differences in sequence and size (Fig. 3). Only \approx 20% of the amino acids are identical. Amphibian TFIIIAs have nine contiguous zinc finger domains, while the yeast protein has eight contiguous domains that are separated from a ninth by ≈ 80 amino acids. Finally, the molecular mass of yeast TFIIIA is ≈ 10 kDa greater than the amphibian TFIIIAs. These differences almost certainly account for the difficulties encountered in isolating the yeast TFIIIA gene by using amphibian DNA and

S.cerevisiae X. laevis				
	S.cerevisiae YFCDYDGCDKAFTRPSILTEHQLSVHQGLRA X. laevis YICSFADCGAAYNKNWKLQAH-LCKHTGEKP	finger 1		
	S.cerevisiae FOCDK--CARSFVKKSFLERHLYTHSDTRP X. laevis FPCKEEGCEKGFTSLHHLTRHSLHHTGEKN	finger 2		
	S.cerevisiae FOC-SY-CGKGVTTROOLKRH-EVTHT-KS-- X. laevis FTCDSDGCDLRFTTKANMKKHFNRFHNIKTCV	finger 3		
	S.cerevisiae FICPERGCNLRFYKHPOLRAHILSVHLHK-- X. laevis YVCHFBNCGKAFKKHNOLKVHQFS-HTQQLP	finger 4		
	S.cerevisiae LTOPH-CNRSFORDYRLRNHISKHHDPEVENP X. laevis YEOPHEGCDKRFSLPSRLKRHE-KVHAG-----	finger 5		
	S.cerevisiae YQCTFAGCCKEFRIWSQLQS-HIKNDHPK- X. laevis YPCKKDDSCSFVGKTWTLYLKHVAECHODL	finger 6		
	S.cerevisiae LKCPICSKPCVGENGLOMMMIIMDDSLVTKN X. laevis AVCDVCNRKFRHKDYLRDHQKTMEKER-TV-	finger 7		
	S.cerevisiae WKCHI-CPDMSFSRKHDLLTHYGSIHTEEDIF X. laevis YLCPRDGODRSYTTAFNLRSHIQSFH-EEQRS	finger 8		
X. laevis	S.cerevisiae LELKYKISDIQQLVQDHGVQLGNSKHSNEQDEEKISNRLR			
	S.cerevisiae YRCFYNNCSRTFKTKEKYEKMIDKHKVHEL X. laevis FVCEHAGCGKCFAMKKSLERHSVVHDPEKR	finger 9		
S.cerevisiae X. laevis	KLKILOEKEENKTLVDONHKEPFIIOKETOSAGDK------ KLKEKCPRPKRSLASRLTGYIPPKSKEKNASVSGTEKTDSLVKNK			
S.cerevisiae X. laevis	------------------- PSGTETNGSLVLDKLTIQ			

FIG. 3. Comparison of S. cerevisiae and X. laevis TFIIIA proteins. Amino acid sequences of the two proteins are aligned to show the nine zinc fingers. The invariant residues of C_2H_2 zinc fingers are in boldface. Other identical residues are boxed and shaded. A 20-amino acid serine-rich region near the TFIIIA N terminus is boxed.

FIG. 4. Comparison of Xenopus and Saccharomyces zinc finger residues that may be involved in DNA recognition. (A) Positioning of the X, Y, and Z residues within the C_2H_2 zinc finger modeled previously by Klevit (33) . (B) Alignment of X, Y, and Z amino acids of the nine zinc fingers of X . laevis (Xenopus) and S . cerevisiae (Saccharomyces).

antibody probes. More importantly, they are likely to account for differences in 5S rRNA ICR interactions observed between Xenopus and Saccharomyces TFIIIA (32). For example, S. cerevisiae TFIIIA has a much smaller DNase ^I footprint $(\approx 30 \text{ bp})$ with the 5S rRNA ICR compared to Xenopus (>50 bp) (32), and the S. cerevisiae TFIIIA has close contact with ^a different pattern of DNA residues (identified by methylation interference studies) compared to Xenopus TFIIIA (31).

DISCUSSION

The gene encoding S. cerevisiae transcription factor TFIIIA, PZF1, is located adjacent to the RNA polymerase subunit gene RPB6 on chromosome XVI. The single copy PZFJ gene is essential for yeast cell viability. The PZF1, or TFIIIA, protein contains the nine C_2H_2 zinc fingers characteristic of the amphibian TFIIIA proteins and binds specifically to DNA containing the yeast 5S rRNA ICR sequence.

Comparison of the yeast and amphibian TFIIIA proteins reveals that most of the sequence identity resides in amino acid residues within the zinc fingers (Fig. 3). Although the two proteins are only $\approx 20\%$ identical overall, they are $\approx 30\%$ identical within the zinc finger motifs. In addition, there are a substantial number of aligned residues that are conserved.

Recent studies of sequence specificity by two zinc finger domains, Zif268 and Krox-20 (18, 19), revealed that each zinc finger appears to bind 3 bp, with both Zif268 and Krox-20 zinc fingers making contacts exclusively with guanines of the DNA via arginine and histidine side chains. The main contacts were in the α -helix of the finger, which corresponds roughly to the region containing the histidine pairs in the C_2H_2 finger sequence (i.e., the $X_3LX_2HX_3$ ₋₄H X_5 portion of the entire $F/YXCX_{2-4}CX_3FX_5LX_2HX_{3-4}HX_5$ domain). By analysis of amino acid positions within the finger α -helix [designated X, Y, and Z in a recent review by Klevit (33)], Pavletich and Pabo (18) were able to correlate the presence of arginine or histidine residues at either position X, Y, or Z with specific binding to guanosines of the DNA (33).

Since the X, Y, and Z residues of the zinc finger appear to be involved in specific interactions with DNA, it may be instructive to compare these amino acid residues in each of the TFIIIA zinc fingers. This analysis indicates that the X, Y, and Z residues of fingers 1-5 are reasonably conserved in the Saccharomyces and Xenopus TFIIIA proteins, while those of fingers 6-9 differ substantially (Fig. 4). The differences in the two TFIIIA proteins probably reflect differences in the yeast and amphibian 5S rRNA ICR sequences but may also account for differences observed in the DNase ^I footprints produced by the yeast and amphibian factors (32).

Yeast TFIIIA differs from the amphibian proteins in two additional features-an 81-amino acid spacer region between the eighth and ninth fingers and a serine-rich stretch of amino acids near the N terminus of the protein. These domains may be required for interaction of TFIIIA with species-specific transcription factors or they may be necessary for distinct functions that vary among eukaryotic TFIIIA proteins.

The yeast RPB6 and TFIIIA coding sequences are divergently transcribed and are separated by only 233 bp. The proximity of the two genes strongly suggests that RPB6 and PZFI share promoter regulatory elements. The divergently transcribed yeast genes $GALI$ and $GALI0$ are coregulated; the coding sequences of these two genes are separated by \approx 606 bp (34). Coordinated expression of RPB6 and TFIIIA might serve as a control point for synthesis of components required for both transcription (RNA polymerases) and translation (rRNA). It will be of interest to investigate the features of this small divergent promoter region that are involved in transcriptional regulation.

We thank Keith McKune for technical assistance and David Chao, Anthony Koleske, Tom RajBhandary, and Anna Aldovini for helpful discussions. This work was supported in part by Public Health Service Grant GM-34365 and by Public Health Service Postdoctoral Fellowship GM-11605 to N.A.W. from the National Institutes of Health. R.A.Y. is a Burroughs Wellcome Scholar.

- 1. Segall, J., Matsui, T. & Roeder, R. G. (1980) J. Biol. Chem. 255, 11986-11991.
- 2. Shastry, B. S., Ng, S.-Y. & Roeder, R. G. (1982) J. Biol. Chem. 257, 12979-12986.
- 3. Bieker, J. J., Martin, P. L. & Roeder, R. G. (1985) Cell 40, 119-127.
- 4. Setzer, D. R. & Brown, D. D. (1985) J. Biol. Chem. 260, 2483-2492.
- 5. Geiduschek, E. P. & Tocchini-Valentini, G. P. (1988) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 57, 873-914.
- 6. Engelke, D. R., Ng, S.-Y., Shastry, B. S. & Roeder, R. G. (1980) Cell 19, 717-728.
- 7. Sakonju, S., Brown, D. D., Engelke, D., Ng, S.-Y., Shastry, B. S. & Roeder, R. G. (1981) Cell 23, 665-669.
- 8. Carey, M. F., Gerrard, S. P. & Cozzarelli, N. R. (1986) J. Biol. Chem. 261, 4309-4317.
- 9. Pelham, H. R. B. & Brown, D. D. (1980) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77, 4170-4174.
- 10. Honda, B. M. & Roeder, R. G. (1980) Cell 22, 119-126.
- Ginsberg, A. M., King, B. O. & Roeder, R. G. (1984) Cell 39, 479-489.
- 12. Miller, J., McLachlan, A. D. & Klug, A. (1985) EMBO J. 4, 1609-1614.
- 13. Brown, R. S., Sander, C. & Argos, P. (1985) FEBS Lett. 186, 271-274.
- 14. Parraga, G., Horvath, S. J., Eisen, A., Taylor, W. E., Hood, L., Young, E. T. & Klevit, R. E. (1988) Science 241, 1489- 1492.
- 15. Lee, M. S., Gippert, G. P., Soman, K. V., Case, D. A. & Wright, P. E. (1989) Science 245, 635-637.
- 16. Klevit, R. E., Herriott, J. R. & Horvath, S. J. (1990) Proteins: Struct. Funct. Genet. 7, 215-226.
- 17. Omichinski, J. G., Clore, G. M., Appella, E., Sakaguchi, K. & Gronenborn, A. M. (1990) Biochemistry 29, 9324-9334.
-
- 18. Pavletich, N. P. & Pabo, C. O. (1991) Science 252, 809-817.
19. Nardelli, J., Gibson, T. J., Vesque, C. & Charnay, P. (1991) 19. Nardelli, J., Gibson, T. J., Vesque, C. & Charnay, P. (1991) Nature (London) 349, 175-178.
- 20. Vrana, K. E., Churchill, M. E. A., Tullius, T. D. & Brown, D. D. (1988) Mol. Cell. Biol. 8, 1684-16%.
- 21. Fiser-Littel, R. M., Duke, A. L., Yanchick, J. S. & Hanas, J. S. (1988) J. Biol. Chem. 263, 1607-1610.
- 22. Hanas, J. S., Littell, R. M., Gaskins, C. J. & Zebrowski, R. (1989) Nucleic Acids Res. 17, 9861-9870.
- 23. Gaskins, C. J. & Hanas, S. (1990) Nucleic Acids Res. 18, 2117-2123.
- 24. Kunkel, T. A. (1985) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82, 488-492.
25. Challice, J. M. & Segall, J. (1989) J. Biol. Chem. 264, 20060-
- 25. Challice, J. M. & Segall, J. (1989) J. Biol. Chem. 264, 20060- 20067.
- 26. Woychik, N. A., Liao, S.-M. & Young, R. A. (1990) Genes Dev. 4, 313-323.
- 27. Evans, R. M. & Hollenberg, S. M. (1988) Cell 52, 1-3.
28. Kadonaga, J. T., Carner, K. R., Masiara, F. R. & Tii.
- Kadonaga, J. T., Carner, K. R., Masiara, F. R. & Tjian, R. (1987) Cell 51, 1079-1090.
- 29. Hartshorne, T. A., Blumberg, H. & Young, E. T. (1986) Nature (London) 320, 283-287.
- 30. Rothstein, R. J. (1983) Methods Enzymol. 101, 202-211.
31. Wang, C. K. & Weil, P. A. (1989) J. Biol. Chem. 264.
- 31. Wang, C. K. & Weil, P. A. (1989) J. Biol. Chem. 264, 1092- 1099.
- 32. Braun, B. R., Riggs, D. L., Kassavetis, G. A. & Geiduschek, E. P. (1989) Proc. NatI. Acad. Sci. USA 86, 2530-2534.
- 33. Klevit, R. E. (1991) Science 253, 1367, 1393.
- 34. Johnston, M. & Davis, R. W. (1984) Mol. Cell. Biol. 4, 1440-1448.