- Conteh L, Kurowski C, et al. Improving the health of the global poor. *Science* 2002;295:2036-9.
- Easterlin RA. How beneficent is the market? A look at the modern history of mortality. Eur Rev Econ Hist 1999;3(3):257-94.
- Deaton A. Health, inequality, and economic development. Paper no. WG1:3 of CMH Working Paper Series. [place unknown]: Commission on Macroeconomics and Health; 2001 May. Available: www.cmhealth.org/docs/wg1_paper3.pdf (accessed 2004 Sep 8).
- Measham AR, Rao KD, Jamison DT, Wang J, Singh A. The performance of India and Indian states in reducing infant mortality and fertility, 1975–1990. Econ Polit Wkly 1999;34(22):1359-67.
- Jha P. Avoidable mortality in India: past progress and future prospects. Natl Med J India 2002;15 (Suppl 1):32-6.

DOI:10.1503/cmaj.1041238

Seeking clarification of osteoporosis guidelines

he recent statement of the Cana-▲ dian Task Force on Preventive Health Care regarding prevention of osteoporosis and osteoporotic fractures in postmenopausal women¹ contains some confusing information. One example is the statement that "Although there is no direct evidence that screening reduces fractures, there is good evidence that screening is effective in identifying postmenopausal women with low bone mineral density and that treating osteoporosis can reduce the risk of fractures in this population." This wording appears to have been chosen to obfuscate the meaning, since low bone mineral density, particularly in the younger population, does not strongly correlate with fracture risk or osteoporosis.2,3

Other parts of the recommendation statement do not appear particularly practical. For example, the algorithm shown in Fig. 1 of the article¹ suggests that all women 65 years of age or older should undergo repeat dual-energy xray absorptiometry (DEXA) every 1 to 2 years, regardless of the result of initial DEXA (even if that result is normal). Admittedly, this agrees with the guidelines of the US Preventive Services Task Force⁴ and the Osteoporosis Society of Canada,2 but what does it mean for those of us providing primary care? Should we in fact send all of our female patients over age 65, including those in rest homes, for DEXA screening? Would it not be adequate to suggest to women in this age group that they try to exercise regularly and take adequate amounts of vitamin D and calcium?

Also of great concern are the potential medicolegal implications if clinicians do not follow guidelines developed by authoritative bodies such as the Task Force.

Do the *CMAJ* editors accept guidelines and protocols produced by distinguished Canadian associations (often sponsored by drug companies) without the benefit of peer review or editing?

John Sehmer

Clinical Assistant Professor University of British Columbia Vancouver, BC

References

- Cheung AM, Feig DS, Kapral M, Diaz-Granados, Dodin S and The Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. Prevention of osteoporosis and osteoporotic fractures in postmenopausal women: recommendation statement from the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. CMA7 2004;170(11):1665-7.
- Brown JP, Josse RG, for the Scientific Advisory Council of the Osteoporosis Society of Canada. 2002 clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of osteoporosis in Canada. CMAJ 2002:167(10 Suppl):S1-34.
- Moynihan R, Heath I, Henry D. Selling sickness: the pharmaceutical industry and disease mongering [editorial]. BM7 2002;324:886-91.
- US Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for osteoporosis in postmenopausal women: recommendations and rationale. Ann Intern Med 2002;137:576-8

Competing interests: None declared.

DOI:10.1503/cmaj.1040991

The recommendation statement from the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care about preventing osteoporosis and osteoporotic fractures in postmenopausal women¹ mentions oral pamidronate as a second-line drug choice. However, to the best of my knowledge, oral pamidronate is not available in Canada.

Mario L. de Lemos

British Columbia Cancer Agency Vancouver, BC

Reference

 Cheung AM, Feig DS, Kapral M, Diaz-Granados N, Dodin S and The Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. Prevention of osteoporosis and osteoporotic fractures in postmenopausal women: recommendation statement from the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. *CMA*7 2004;170(11):1665-7.

Competing interests: None declared.

DOI:10.1503/cmaj.1040953

[The lead author and the chair of the Task Force respond:]

The recent recommendation statement concerning the prevention of osteoporosis and osteoporotic fractures in postmenopausal women¹ was developed after a detailed process of identifying the appropriate analytic framework, systematically reviewing the literature, discussing the evidence at multiple Task Force meetings and subjecting the statement to 2 levels of peer review (internal peer review within the Task Force and external peer review organized by the Task Force).

On the basis of our analytic framework and the evidence available, we concluded that there is no direct evidence that screening reduces fractures. In other words, there were no acceptable randomized controlled trials that directly evaluated routine screening linked to treatment compared with usual care. However, there is evidence that screening is effective in identifying postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. There is also evidence that treating osteoporosis can reduce the risk of fractures in postmenopausal women. Because the evidence that supports fracture reduction through screening is therefore indirect, our overall recommendation was grade B, rather than grade A. Currently, there is much controversy as to what the treatment threshold should be. Most experts agree that postmenopausal women with osteoporosis (T score at or below -2.5) should be treated with pharmacologic therapies, because there is good to fair evidence from randomized controlled trials that such treatment will reduce osteoporotic fractures in this population. Some of these trials have included women with T scores between -2.0 and -2.5.

There is a strong correlation be-