BRIEF REPORT

PD-L1 (CD274) promoter methylation predicts survival in colorectal cancer patients

Diane Goltz^{a,*}, Heidrun Gevensleben^{a,*}, Jörn Dietrich^b, and Dimo Dietrich^{a,b}

^aInstitute of Pathology, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn, Germany; ^bDepartment of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn, Germany

ABSTRACT

This study evaluates promoter methylation of the programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1) as a biomarker in a cohort of 383 colorectal cancer patients. PD-L1 methylation (mPD-L1) was inversely correlated with PD-L1 mRNA expression (p = 0.001) and was associated with significantly shorter overall survival (OS, p =0.003) and recurrence-free survival (RFS, p < 0.001). In age-stratified multivariate Cox proportional hazards analyses including sex, tumor, nodal, distant metastasis categories, microsatellite instability (MSI)-status, and PD-L1 mRNA, mPD-L1 is classified as an independent prognostic factor (OS: p = 0.030; RFS: p < 0.001). Further studies are needed to evaluate PD-L1 methylation as a biomarker for response prediction of immunotherapies targeting the PD-1/PD-L1 axis.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 30 September 2016 Revised 28 October 2016 Accepted 1 November 2016

KEYWORDS

CD274; colorectal cancer; DNA methylation; immunotherapeutic; immunotherapies; immunotherapy; microsatellite instability; PD-L1; prognostic biomarker

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the leading causes of death in both men and women in the Western hemisphere. On the molecular level, CRC is a highly heterogeneous disease accumulating multiple driver mutations such as mutations within KRAS, BRAF, and mismatch repair (MMR) genes.¹ A subset of CRC is characterized by MMR-deficiency, leading to a phenotype with high microsatellite instability (MSI-H). Sessile serrated adenomas with intraepithelial neoplasia are considered precursors of sporadic MMR-deficient CRC. They are unique in that they present with BRAF mutations (c.1799T>A) and generalized CpG island methylation, which affect the mismatch repair gene MLH1, and thus result in MSI-H.² As a consequence, MSI-H CRCs exhibit an extraordinary mutational burden, harboring hundreds to thousands of mutations. Additionally, these tumors typically present with prominent lymphocytic infiltrates.

Various tumor entities with elevated immune response, including MSI-H CRC, have dense CD8pos T-cell infiltrates in common, which are responsible for a local production of interferon gamma (IFN γ).^{3,4} IFN γ , in turn, provokes the adaptive upregulation of the programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1, also known as B7-H1) on nearby tumor cells via NF κ B,⁵ thereby mediating a negative feedback mechanism that ultimately leads to T-cell exhaustion in tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes. Upon binding of PD-L1 to its programmed death receptor (PD-1) on T lymphocytes, it limits the activity of the T-cell receptor (TCR) and thereby abolishes cytolytic activity. So far, PD-L1 expression in CRC has not been fully addressed, and the function of PD-L1 in CRC remains largely unknown. However, a strong correlation between PD-L1 expression on tumor cells and discrepant clinical outcomes has been observed, and recent reports have shown

that PD-L1 may correlate with prognosis in CRC patients.^{6,7} Droeser et al., for instance, detected strong PD-L1 expression in 36% (433/1,197) of MMR-proficient and 28% (62/223) of MMR-deficient CRC,8 which was associated with improved survival in MMR-proficient CRC, possibly due to concomitant increase of CD8pos T-cells infiltration. In contrast, two previous studies reported PD-L1 expression in tumor cells to be an independent predictor of poor prognosis in CRC.9,10

Data on the epigenetic regulation of the PD-L1 encoding gene CD274 are sparse. Pharmacologically induced gene methylation, however, has been shown to adjust PD-L1 expression in various malignancies.¹¹ In prostate cancer and acute myeloid leukemia cohorts analyzed by The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), CD274 promoter methylation (mPD-L1) correlates with gene expression and is associated with survival.^{12,13} We therefore hypothesized that PD-L1 expression might be under direct epigenetic control in CRC as well and consequently might be of major importance for the stratification of patients potentially benefitting from immunotherapeutic PD-1/PD-L1 checkpoint inhibition.

The results shown here are entirely based upon gene methylation data and mRNA expression data generated by the TCGA Research Network (http://cancergenome.nih.gov/) using the Infinium HumanMethylation450 BeadChip (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) and gene expression RNAseq (polyA+ IlluminaHiSeq), respectively. Data from the TCGA Colon and Rectal Cancer (COADREAD) cohort were downloaded from the UCSC Xena browser (http://xena.ucsc.edu) and analyzed. The generation of data is described in detail on UCSC Xena webpage (https://genome-cancer.ucsc.edu/proj/site/composite/datapages/ ?cohort=TCGA%20Colon%20and%20Rectal%20Cancer%20

CONTACT Dimo Dietrich 🖾 dimo.dietrich@amail.com 🖻 Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, University Hospital Bonn, Sigmund-Freud-Str. 25, 53105 Bonn, Germany. *These authors contributed equally to this work.

^{© 2017} Taylor & Francis Group, LLC

(COADREAD)). For further analysis, two bead pairs (cg15837913 and cg19724470) targeting CpGs within the upstream CpG-island located in the *CD274* promoter were analyzed and mean averaged in order to achieve a stable signal.

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS, version 23.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Statements regarding potential correlations of characteristics were made using the Spearman's correlation coefficient. Comparisons were performed using the Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney *U* test and the Kruskal–Wallis test. Survival was defined as time to death (overall survival, OS) and time to recurrence (recurrence-free survival, RFS). Hazard ratios (HRs) were calculated using univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards models. These models incorporated age as a stratifying variable rather than as a covariate for two reasons: (1) age is known to affect the outcome of CRC patients. Our primary aim, however, was to obtain estimates of the effects of the other variables given in the equation. (2) Given the very large range of age (31–90 y) in this survival study and the fact that there is an inverse correlation between *CD274* methylation and age, it would seem a reasonable assumption that baseline hazard will vary with age. Specifying age strata allowed for different baseline hazards for each age

Table 1. PD-L1 promotor methylation (mPD-L1, beta-values) and PD-L1 mRNA expression (normalized counts) in a clinico-pathological context. Bold numbers indicate statistically significant correlations with p-values less than 0.05.

All patients 333 100 -0.371 4.109 Ser		Patients	%	Median mPD-L1	<i>p</i> -value	Median PD-L1 mRNA	<i>p</i> -value
Missing data (methylation/mRNA expression) 11/1 2.9/2.9 Ser Female 168 3.9 -0.372 0.67" 4.089 0.88" Male 206 5.3.8 -0.370 4.135 0.88" Unknown 9 2.3 - - - - - - 0.67" 4.089 0.88" Patients with follow-up (DS 364 95 -	All patients	383	100	-0.371		4.109	
Sev Sev 0.67* 4.089 0.88* Male 206 53.8 -0.370 4.135 0.88* Male 206 53.8 -0.370 4.135 0.88* Patients with follow-up: CS 364 95 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 97 <td< td=""><td>Missing data (methylation/mRNA expression)</td><td>11/11</td><td>2.9/2.9</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Missing data (methylation/mRNA expression)	11/11	2.9/2.9				
Fenale 168 4.3 −.0.372 0.67' 4.089 0.88' Male 206 5.3.8 −.0.370 4.135 Pattents with follow up: DS 364 95 5.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2	Sex						
Male 206 5.8 -0.370 4.135 Unknown 9 2.3	Female	168	43.9	-0.372	0.67*	4.089	0.88*
Unknown 9 2.3 Pattents with follow-up: DS 364 95 Events/deaths 70 18.3 Pattents with follow-up: RFS 313 82 Events/deaths 60 15.7 Mealan follow-up [mo] 24.5 [21.6-27.5] 13.7 Range follow-up [mo] 0.1-142.3 - Median follow-up [mo] 0.1-142.3 - Se 6 9 193 50.4 -0.365 0.023* 3.924 0.066" > 6 6 9 137 - 0.371 4.544 0.81" Unknown 2 5.8 - 0.371 4.032 0.81" 9 (2 105 1.4 -0.381 <0.001"	Male	206	53.8	-0.370		4.135	
Fallers Fallers <t< td=""><td>Unknown</td><td>9</td><td>2.3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Unknown	9	2.3				
Partients with follow-up: CS 364 95 Events/deaths 70 18.3 Patients with follow-up: RFS 313 82 Events/deaths 60 15.7 Meatin follow-up: (mo) 24.5 [21.6-27.5] Mark follow-up (mo) 0.1-142.3 Age (r)	Follow-up						
Events/deaths 70 18.3 Patients with follow-up, RFS 31.3 82 Wean follow-up [mo] 13.7 13.7 Range follow-up [mo] 0.1-142.3 13.7 Range follow-up [mo] 0.1-142.3 13.7 Median follow-up [mo] 0.1-142.3 3.924 0.066* S 6k y 193 50.4 -0.365 0.023* 3.924 0.066* S 6k y 193 47.3 -0.380 4.544 0.066* S 6k y 181 47.3 -0.380 0.41** 3.722 0.81** T category 2 2 0.41** 3.722 0.81** T category 2 0.3 -0.374 4.367 0.1** T category 2 0.31 4.367 0.15* 4.4 4.422 0.398 0.41** 4.367 0.15* T category 2 0.31 -0.301 4.367 0.15* 1 0.3983 0.15* 1 0.4022 0.15* 1	Patients with follow-up: OS	364	95				
Patients with follow-up: RFS 313 82 Events/recurrences 60 15.7 Mean follow-up (mo) 24.5 [21.6 - 27.5] Notes and follow-up (mo) 13.7 Renge follow-up (mo) 0.1 - 142.3 Notes and follow-up (mo) 13.7 Mean 66 Notes and follow-up (mo) 3.924 0.066° Se 69 y 193 50.4 -0.365 0.023° 3.924 0.066° Se 69 y 181 47.3 -0.300 4.544 0.066° Unknown 9 2.3 Notes and follow-up (mo) 0.31°* 1 10 2.6 -0.369 0.41** 3.722 0.81** 2 55 14.4 -0.389 4.358 0.31** 3 220 65 -0.375 0.001* 4.367 0.15* 1 10 2.6 -0.375 0.001* 4.367 0.15* 1 10 46 12 -0.375 0.001* 4.367 0.15* 1	Events/deaths	70	18.3				
Event/recurrences 60 15.7 Mean follow-up [mo] 24.5 (21.6 - 27.5) Range follow-up [mo] 0.1-142.3 Age (y)	Patients with follow-up: RFS	313	82				
Median follow-up (mo) 24.5 [21.6-27.5] Median follow-up (mo) 0.1-142.3 Age (g)'	Events/recurrences	60	15.7				
Median follow-up [mo] 0.1-142.3 Age [y] 0.1-142.3 Age [y] 0.1-142.3 Median 64 5 65 (%) 2 66 y 193 0.4 2 66 y 181 4.3 2 66 y 181 4.3 2 7 0.023' 3.924 1 1 10 2.6 -0.369 2 3 5 1.13.5 1.13.5 2 3 5 1.14 -0.389 0.411'* 2 3 2.50 65.3 -0.371 4.135 9 (Category 1 2.0351 -0.351 3.083 1 2 96 2.1 -0.351 4.0021 0.15' 1 46 12 -0.351 3.083 0.045' 1 category 0 2.42 6.32 -0.351 3.024 1 category 0	Mean follow-up [mo]	24.5 [21.6–27.5]					
Range follow-up [mo] 0.1-142.3 Age (y)	Median follow-up [mo]	13.7					
Age by Machine 64 (63.0-65.7] Median 66 S 66 y 193 50.4 -0.365 0.023* 3.924 0.066* S 66 y 181 47.3 -0.380 0.4544 0.066* S 66 y 181 47.3 -0.380 0.41** 3.722 0.81** J 7 Category 0 2.6 -0.369 0.41** 3.722 0.81** J 1 0 2.6 -0.379 0.001* 4.358 0.81** J 1 0 2.6 -0.350 3.924 0.01* 0.15* J 1 96 25.1 -0.351 4.022 0.15* 0.15* J 2 66 17.2 -0.350 3.938 0.01* Unknown 24 6.3 -0.375 0.002* 4.313 0.00* Unknown 24 6.3 -0.375 0.002* 4.313 0.00* Unknown 24 6.3 -0.375 0.002* 4.318 <td>Range follow-up [mo]</td> <td>0.1-142.3</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Range follow-up [mo]	0.1-142.3					
Main 64.4 [63.0-65.7] Median 66 2 66 y 193 50.4 -0.365 0.023* 3.924 0.066* > 66 y 181 47.3 -0.380 4.544 0.068* pT category 7 3.722 0.81** 1 0 2.6 -0.369 0.41** 3.722 0.81** 2 55 14.4 -0.389 4.358 0.01* 4.358 3 2.50 65.3 -0.371 4.043 0.81** PK category -0.354 4.043 0.15* 9K category -0.351 <0.001*	Age [v]						
Median 66 ≤ 66 y 193 50.4 -0.365 0.023" 3.924 0.066" > 66 y 181 47.3 -0.380 0.023" 3.924 0.066" > 66 y 181 47.3 -0.380 0.023" 3.924 0.066" > 67 category - - - - 3.722 0.81"" 1 10 2.6 -0.369 0.41"" 3.722 0.81"" 3 250 65.3 -0.371 4.135 4.043 - 0 12 5.8 - <td>Mean</td> <td>64.4 [63.0-65.7]</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Mean	64.4 [63.0-65.7]					
≤ 66 y 193 50.4 −0.365 0.023'' 3.924 0.066'' > 66 y 181 47.3 −0.360 4.544 0.086'' pT category 5 1.4 −0.369 0.41'*' 3.722 0.81'*' 2 55 14.4 −0.389 4.358 0.01'' 4.358 3 250 65.3 −0.371 4.135 0.01'' 4.357 4 46 12 −0.354 4.043 0.15'' DK category 0 197 51.4 −0.31 <0.001''	Median	66					
> 66 y 181 47.3 −0.380 10.0000 4.544 10.0000 PT category 1 10 2.6 −0.369 0.41** 3.722 0.81** 1 10 2.6 −0.369 0.41** 3.722 0.81** 2 55 14.4 −0.389 4.135 4.135 3 250 65.3 −0.371 4.135 4 46 12 −0.381 4.043 Unknown 22 5.8 7 4.022 PV category 0 197 51.4 −0.357 4.022 1 96 25.1 −0.357 4.022 0.15* 2 0 66 17.2 −0.357 3.083 0.045* 1 107 242 6.32 −0.351 3.734 0.045* 1 48 12.5 −0.351 3.734 0.001* Microsatellite instability 3 0.8 −0.410 3.055	< 66 v	193	50.4	-0.365	0.023*	3.924	0.066*
Unknown 9 2.3 Unknown pT category - <td>> 66 V</td> <td>181</td> <td>47.3</td> <td>-0.380</td> <td></td> <td>4.544</td> <td></td>	> 66 V	181	47.3	-0.380		4.544	
pT category 1 2.6 -0.369 0.41** 3.722 0.81** 1 15 14.4 -0.389 4.358 4.358 3 250 65.3 -0.371 4.135 4 46 12 -0.371 4.135 Unknown 22 5.8 4.043 5.5 pN category - - -0.357 4.021 0.15** 1 96 25.1 -0.357 4.022 0.15** 1 96 25.1 -0.357 4.022 0.15** 1 96 25.1 -0.357 0.001* 4.313 0.045** 1 96 25.1 -0.357 0.002* 4.313 0.045** 1 96 24.2 6.3 3.734 0.045** 1 48 12.5 -0.351 3.734 0.00** 1 48 12.5 -0.351 3.734 0.00** 1 10 80 0.41** 0.50* 1.15** 1 10 30 0.8<	Unknown	9	2.3				
10 2.6 -0.369 0.41** 3.722 0.81** 2 55 14.4 -0.389 4.358 3 250 65.3 -0.371 4.135 4 46 12 -0.354 4.043 <i>pN category</i> 0 197 51.4 -0.381 <0.001*	pT category						
2 55 14.4 -0.389 4.358 3 250 65.3 -0.371 4.135 4 46 12 -0.354 4.043 Unknown 22 5.8 - - 0 197 51.4 -0.357 4.022 0.15* 1 96 25.1 -0.357 4.022 0.15* 2 66 17.2 -0.350 3.933 0.045* 1 96 25.1 -0.357 4.022 0.15* 1 96 25.1 -0.357 4.022 0.002* 3.933 0.045* 1 96 25.4 -0.357 0.002* 4.313 0.045* 1 48 12.5 -0.351 3.734 0.00* Microstellite instability 1 48 12.5 -0.371 3.837 <0.00*	1	10	2.6	-0.369	0.41**	3.722	0.81**
3 250 65.3 -0.371 4.135 4 46 12 -0.354 4.043 Unknown 22 5.8 - - 0 197 51.4 -0.381 <0.001*	2	55	14.4	-0.389		4.358	
4 46 12 -0.354 4.043 Unknown 22 5.8 - - PN category - - - - 0 197 51.4 -0.381 <0.001*	3	250	65.3	-0.371		4.135	
Unknown 22 5.8 <i>pN category</i> 197 51.4 -0.381 <0.001*	4	46	12	-0.354		4.043	
pN category	Unknown	22	5.8				
0 197 51.4 -0.381 <0.001* 4.367 0.15* 1 96 25.1 -0.357 4.022 3.983 2 66 17.2 -0.350 3.983 M category	pN category						
1 96 25.1 -0.357 4.022 2 66 17.2 -0.350 3.983 Mattegory - - - 0 242 63.2 -0.375 0.002* 4.313 0.045* 1 48 12.5 -0.351 3.734 0.045* 1 48 12.5 -0.351 3.734 0.002* Microsatellite instability - - 3.734 0.001* MSS 258 67.4 -0.372 0.54* 3.837 <0.001*	0	197	51.4	-0.381	<0.001*	4.367	0.15*
2 66 17.2 -0.350 3.983 M category	1	96	25.1	-0.357		4.022	
Unknown 24 6.3 M category	2	66	17.2	-0.350		3.983	
M category	Unknown	24	6.3				
0 242 63.2 -0.375 0.002* 4.313 0.045* 1 48 12.5 -0.351 3.734	<i>M</i> category						
1 48 12.5 -0.351 3.734 Unknown 93 24.3	0	242	63.2	-0.375	0.002*	4.313	0.045*
Unknown 93 24.3 Microsatellite instability 74 -0.372 0.54 ^e 3.837 <0.001 ^e MSS 258 67.4 -0.372 0.54 ^e 3.837 <0.001 ^e MSI-L 65 17.0 -0.358 4.136 MSI-H 55 14.4 -0.371 5.545 Intermediate 3 0.8 -0.410 3.055 Unknown 2 0.5 7 7 7.0 7.0 Midtype 32 8.4 -0.374 0.80 ^a 2.918 0.025 ^a Mutation 3 0.8 -0.383 4.347 7 Unknown 348 90.9 7 7.0 7.	1	48	12.5	-0.351		3.734	
Microsatellite instability Vicrosatellite instability <th< td=""><td>Unknown</td><td>93</td><td>24.3</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Unknown	93	24.3				
MSS 258 67.4 -0.372 0.54 ^e 3.837 <0.001 ^e MSI-L 65 17.0 -0.358 41.36 MSI-H 55 14.4 -0.371 5.545 Intermediate 3 0.8 -0.410 3.055 Unknown 2 0.5 - - BRAF - 32 8.4 -0.374 0.80 ^e 2.918 0.025 ^e Wildtype 32 8.4 -0.373 0.80 ^e 2.918 0.025 ^e Mutation 3 0.8 -0.383 4.347 - Vikitype 32 8.4 -0.353 0.38 ^e 2.996 0.86 ^e Mutation 27 7.0 -0.357 3.261 - - Unknown 32 8.4 -0.353 0.38 ^e 2.996 0.86 ^e Mutation 27 7.0 -0.357 3.261 - - MLH1 expression by IHC - - -<	Microsatellite instability						
MSI-L 65 17.0 -0.358 4.136 MSI-H 55 14.4 -0.371 5.545 Intermediate 3 0.8 -0.410 3.055 Unknown 2 0.5 5 5 5 BRAF - -0.374 0.80° 2.918 0.025° Wildtype 32 8.4 -0.374 0.80° 2.918 0.025° Mutation 3 0.8 -0.383 4.347 - 5 Vildtype 32 8.4 -0.353 0.38° 2.996 0.86° Mutation 32 8.4 -0.353 0.38° 2.996 0.86° Mutation 27 7.0 -0.357 3.261 - Unknown 224 84 - - - - MLH1 expression by IHC - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - </td <td>MSS</td> <td>258</td> <td>67.4</td> <td>-0.372</td> <td>0.54^{<i>e</i>}</td> <td>3.837</td> <td><0.001^{<i>ɛ</i>}</td>	MSS	258	67.4	-0.372	0.54 ^{<i>e</i>}	3.837	<0.001 ^{<i>ɛ</i>}
MSI-H 55 14.4 -0.371 5.545 Intermediate 3 0.8 -0.410 3.055 Unknown 2 0.5 - - BRAF - - - - Wildtype 32 8.4 -0.374 0.80* 2.918 0.025* Mutation 3 0.8 -0.383 4.347 -	MSI-L	65	17.0	-0.358		4.136	
Intermediate 3 0.8 -0.410 3.055 Unknown 2 0.5	MSI-H	55	14.4	-0.371		5.545	
Unknown 2 0.5 BRAF - - - <t< td=""><td>Intermediate</td><td>3</td><td>0.8</td><td>-0.410</td><td></td><td>3.055</td><td></td></t<>	Intermediate	3	0.8	-0.410		3.055	
BRAF Wildtype 32 8.4 -0.374 0.80* 2.918 0.025* Mutation 3 0.8 -0.383 4.347 Unknown 348 90.9 4.347 KRAS - - - - Wildtype 32 8.4 -0.353 0.38* 2.996 0.86* Mutation 27 7.0 -0.357 3.261 - - Unknown 324 84.6 - <td< td=""><td>Unknown</td><td>2</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Unknown	2	0.5				
Wildtype 32 8.4 -0.374 0.80* 2.918 0.025* Mutation 3 0.8 -0.383 4.347 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	BRAF						
Mutation 3 0.8 -0.383 4.347 Unknown 348 90.9	Wildtype	32	8.4	-0.374	0.80*	2.918	0.025*
Unknown 348 90.9 KRAS -	Mutation	3	0.8	-0.383		4.347	
KRAS Vildtype 32 8.4 -0.353 0.38* 2.996 0.86* Mutation 27 7.0 -0.357 3.261 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	Unknown	348	90.9				
Wildtype 32 8.4 -0.353 0.38* 2.996 0.86* Mutation 27 7.0 -0.357 3.261 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	KRAS						
Mutation 27 7.0 -0.357 3.261 Unknown 324 84.6 - 3 - <t< td=""><td>Wildtype</td><td>32</td><td>8.4</td><td>-0.353</td><td>0.38*</td><td>2.996</td><td>0.86*</td></t<>	Wildtype	32	8.4	-0.353	0.38*	2.996	0.86*
Unknown 324 84.6 MLH1 expression by IHC -0.368 0.94* 3.810 0.014* Absent 58 15.1 -0.368 0.94* 3.810 0.014* Present 176 46.0 -0.370 4.482 Unknown 149 38.9	Mutation	27	7.0	-0.357		3.261	
MLH1 expression by IHC 58 15.1 -0.368 0.94* 3.810 0.014* Absent 58 15.1 -0.368 0.94* 3.810 0.014* Present 176 46.0 -0.370 4.482 Unknown 149 38.9	Unknown	324	84.6				
Absent 58 15.1 -0.368 0.94* 3.810 0.014* Present 176 46.0 -0.370 4.482 Unknown 149 38.9	MLH1 expression by IHC						
Present 176 46.0 -0.370 4.482 Unknown 149 38.9	Absent	58	15.1	-0.368	0.94*	3.810	0.014*
Unknown 149 38.9	Present	176	46.0	-0.370		4.482	
	Unknown	149	38.9				

*Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney U test.

**Kruskal-Wallis test.

IHC: immunohistochemistry; OS: overall survival; RFS: recurrence free survival.

group and thereby removed these issues. Age strata were introduced using median age (66 y). Follow-up regarding OS was available for 364 patients, 34 cases (8.9%) were censored before the earliest event in a stratum and were therefore omitted from Cox proportional hazard analysis. Strata showed 22 deaths (age \leq 66 y) and 48 deaths (age > 66 y). Follow-up regarding RFS was accessible for 313 patients, thereof only 1 was censored before the earliest event in a stratum and the case was excluded from Cox proportional hazard analysis. Strata provided 30 recurrences for each age group. *p*-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

First, we investigated whether PD-L1 upregulation in CRC is regulated by promoter methylation. Gene expression data from 383 tumor samples were analyzed with respect to PD-L1 expression and mPD-L1. Median mPD-L1 was -0.371 (range -0.455to 0.144) among all patients enrolled in the study (Table 1). mPD-L1 levels were slightly lower in patients with nodal and distant metastases. A strong inverse correlation was observed between mPD-L1 and the patients' age (r = -0.166; p = 0.001). In addition, mPD-L1 inversely correlated with PD-L1 mRNA expression (r = -0.112, p = 0.031), indicating that PD-L1 expression may be regulated by promoter methylation on a cellular level in CRC.

A strong association was observed between PD-L1 mRNA expression and MLH1 expression detected by immunohistochemistry, MSI status, and *BRAF*-mutation. mPD-L1, however, did not associate with MLH1 expression or MSI-status, as reported by the TCGA Research Network. Neither was mPD-L1 related to *BRAF* or *KRAS* mutational status in the limited number of specimens evaluated (Table 1).

We further investigated whether aberrant m*PD-L1* is associated with adverse outcome in CRC patients. In addition, we tested whether OS and RFS were associated with differential PD-L1 expression. In univariate Cox proportional hazard analysis, increased m*PD-L1* was significantly associated with reduced OS and RFS (HR = 21.1 [95% CI: 2.92–152], p = 0.003 for OS; HR = 35.3 [95% CI: 5.05–247], p < 0.001 for RFS; Table 2). PD-L1 mRNA expression, however, was unrelated to patients' outcome. In age-stratified multivariate Cox proportional hazard analyses including tumor (pT), nodal (pN), distant metastasis (pM) categories as well as MSI-status, and PD-L1 mRNA, m*PD-L1* added significant prognostic information with regard to OS and RFS (HR = 17.7 [95% CI: 1.33–225], p = 0.030 for OS; HR = 84.7 [95% CI: 7.85–915], p < 0.001 for RFS; Table 2).

Of the 383 patients under investigation, 32 patients (8%) were treated with an additional pharmaceutical therapy, whereas 23 patients (6%) did not receive any further treatment. For the majority of patients (328/383, 86%), however, data on additional treatment were not available, and no details were obtainable for the few patients having undergone further therapy. Therefore, the influence of adjuvant therapies, in particular epigenetic drugs like 5-azacytidine or histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors, which might potentially influence PD-L1 expression and/or methylation, could not be evaluated in the present study.

As another limitation of our study, data on PD-L1 protein expression were not available to us. Zhang *et al.*, however, have recently published a proteomic characterization of the cohort under investigation.¹⁴ They demonstrated that protein abundance could not be reliably predicted from DNA- or RNA-level measurements. Although mRNA and protein levels were modestly correlated, over two-thirds of these correlations were not statistically significant. Thus, further studies are required to explore the correlation of PD-L1 protein expression, mRNA expression, and promoter methylation in CRC.

Immunotherapies targeting immune checkpoint molecules, especially PD-1/PD-L1, may foster innate immune responses antagonizing tumor growth.¹⁵ T-cell suppression preventing excessive inflammatory response at the site of chronic inflammation depends on an intact PD-1/PD-L1 axis.¹⁶ In the presence of activated T cells, in return, tumor cells upregulate PD-L1, the major mediator of immunosuppression, resulting in inhibition of T_{helper} cell response and "T-cell exhaustion" via the PD-1 pathway.⁴ Targeting the immune system as novel therapeutic modality has proven efficacy in CRC. It has previously been shown that PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint inhibition can be a promising therapeutic option for CRC patients.¹⁷ Results from the phase II KEYNOTE-016 study showed that the monoclonal anti-PD-1 antibody pembrolizumab provided an objective response rate of 40% in patients

Table 2. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard analyses of overall survival and recurrence-free survival in patients with CRC. Bold numbers indicate statistically significant correlations with p-values less than 0.05.

		Overall survival				Recurrence-free survival					
		Univ	ariate	Multivariate ($n = 256$)			Univariate			Multivariate ($n = 242$)	
Variable	n	<i>p</i> -value	Hazard Ratio [95% Cl]	<i>p</i> -value	Hazard ratio [95% Cl]	n	<i>p</i> -value	Hazard ratio [95% Cl]	<i>p</i> -value	Hazard ratio [95% CI]	
Stratifying by age \leq 66 y vs. age $>$ 66 y [*]											
Sex (women vs. men)	330	0.23	0.74 [0.45–1.21]	0.76	1.09 [0.62–1.93]	313	0.14	0.67 [0.39–1.14]	0.93	0.97 [0.50–1.89]	
pT category	318	<0.001	3.96 [2.18–7.18]	0.005	3.07 [1.40-6.72]	301	<0.001	3.70 [2.01–6.82]	0.14	1.89 [0.81–4.40]	
pN category	316	<0.001	1.79 [1.36–2.38]	0.34	1.23 [0.81–1.86]	300	<0.001	1.86 [1.34–2.57]	0.98	1.01 [0.61–1.65]	
pM category	258	<0.001	5.40 [2.87–10.2]	0.003	2.96 [1.45-6.05]	243	0.001	3.76 [1.79–7.91]	0.006	3.35 [1.41–7.94]	
MSI-H vs. non-MSI-H	329	0.37	0.85 [0.60–1.21]	0.76	0.93 [0.57–1.51]	312	0.36	0.83 [0.56–1.23]	0.69	0.90 [0.53–1.52]	
mPD-L1	319	0.003	21.1 [2.92–152]	0.030	17.7 [1.33–225]	302	<0.001	35.3 [5.05–247]	<0.001	84.7 [7.85–915]	
PD-L1 mRNA	330	0.44	0.94 [0.79–1.11]	0.89	0.98 [0.78–1.24]	313	0.35	0.91 [0.76–1.11]	0.66	1.06 [0.82–1.37]	

*Stratifying by age \leq 66 y vs. age > 66 y.

Overall survival: 13 events/127 censored (age \leq 66 y), 38 events/78 censored (age > 66 y:); recurrence-free survival: 20 events/113 censored (age \leq 66 y), 21 events/88 censored (age > 66 y).

with progressive MMR-deficient metastatic CRC vs. 0% in patients with MMR-proficient CRC.¹⁸ Accordingly, a clinical evaluation of PD-L1/PD-1 blockage in CRC patients in an ongoing phase III clinical trial is currently evaluating the efficacy of pembrolizumab in MMR-deficient CRC patients (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02563002).

Further studies are needed to determine whether mPD-L1 allows for survival prediction in CRC patients treated with PD-1/PD-L1 antagonists. The strong correlation of mPD-L1 with PD-L1 mRNA expression and outcome in addition to the relevance of PD-L1/PD-1 axis as an immunotherapeutic target suggests that DNA methylation might be a predictive biomarker for respective immunotherapies. The epigenetic regulation of PD-L1 demonstrated in the present study further suggests that mPD-L1 as prognostic and potential predictive biomarker needs to be evaluated in the context of epigenetic drugs, which could be suited to modulate the PD-L1 expression and thereby sensitize tumors to immunotherapy. As DNA methylation can be measured accurately and robustly in various sample types, including minute amounts of formalin-fixed and paraffinembedded tissues, it is well suited for clinical routine diagnostics. From our point of view, PD-L1 gene methylation needs to be considered as a companion biomarker for immunotherapies, and we would strongly recommend the integration of its analysis in ongoing clinical trials.

Disclosure of potential conflicts of interest

A patent on *CD274* methylation as a predictive and prognostic biomarker is pending (inventor: Dimo Dietrich). All other authors state no conflict of interest.

Funding

This study was funded by the University Hospital Bonn.

References

- Funkhouser WK, Jr., Lubin IM, Monzon FA, Zehnbauer BA, Evans JP, Ogino S, Nowak JA. Relevance, pathogenesis, and testing algorithm for mismatch repair-defective colorectal carcinomas: a report of the association for molecular pathology. J Mol Diagn 2012; 14:91-103; PMID:22260991; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoldx.2011.11.001
- Snover DC. Update on the serrated pathway to colorectal carcinoma. Hum Pathol 2011; 42:1-10; PMID:20869746; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.humpath.2010.06.002
- Wu X, Zhang H, Xing Q, Cui J, Li J, Li Y, Tan Y, Wang S. PD-1 (+) CD8(+) T cells are exhausted in tumours and functional in draining lymph nodes of colorectal cancer patients. Br J Cancer 2014; 111:1391-9; PMID:25093496; http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ bjc.2014.416
- Topalian SL, Taube JM, Anders RA, Pardoll DM. Mechanism-driven biomarkers to guide immune checkpoint blockade in cancer therapy. Nat Rev Cancer 2016; 16:275-87; PMID:27079802; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1038/nrc.2016.36
- Chen J, Jiang CC, Jin L, Zhang XD. Regulation of PD-L1: a novel role of pro-survival signalling in cancer. Ann Oncol 2016; 27:409-16; PMID:26681673; http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdv615

- Zhu H, Qin H, Huang Z, Li S, Zhu X, He J, Yang J, Yu X, Yi X. Clinical significance of programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1) in colorectal serrated adenocarcinoma. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 2015; 8:9351-9; PMID:26464688
- Song M, Chen D, Lu B, Wang C, Zhang J, Huang L, Wang X, Timmons CL, Hu J, Liu B et al. PTEN loss increases PD-L1 protein expression and affects the correlation between PD-L1 expression and clinical parameters in colorectal cancer. PLoS One 2013; 8:e65821; PMID:23785454; http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0065821
- Droeser RA, Hirt C, Viehl CT, Frey DM, Nebiker C, Huber X, Zlobec I, Eppenberger-Castori S, Tzankov A, Rosso R et al. Clinical impact of programmed cell death ligand 1 expression in colorectal cancer. Eur J Cancer 2013; 49:2233-42; PMID:23478000; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.ejca.2013.02.015
- Liang M, Li J, Wang D, Li S, Sun Y, Sun T, Zhang J, Chen X, Li Q, Sun S. T-cell infiltration and expressions of T lymphocyte coinhibitory B7-H1 and B7-H4 molecules among colorectal cancer patients in northeast China's Heilongjiang province. Tumour Biol 2014; 35:55-60; PMID:23873101; http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13277-013-1006-6
- Shi SJ, Wang LJ, Wang GD, Guo ZY, Wei M, Meng YL, Yang AG, Wen WH. B7-H1 expression is associated with poor prognosis in colorectal carcinoma and regulates the proliferation and invasion of HCT116 colorectal cancer cells. PLoS One 2013; 8:e76012; PMID:24124529; http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0076012
- Yang H, Bueso-Ramos C, DiNardo C, Estecio MR, Davanlou M, Geng QR, Fang Z, Nguyen M, Pierce S, Wei Y et al. Expression of PD-L1, PD-L2, PD-1 and CTLA4 in myelodysplastic syndromes is enhanced by treatment with hypomethylating agents. Leukemia 2014; 28:1280-8; PMID:24270737; http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/leu.2013.355
- Gevensleben H, Holmes EE, Goltz D, Dietrich J, Sailer V, Ellinger J, Dietrich D, Kristiansen G PD-L1 promoter methylation is a prognostic biomarker for biochemical recurrence-free survival in prostate cancer patients following radical prostatectomy. Oncotarget 2016; [Epub ahead of print]; PMID:27835597; http://dx.doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.13161
- Goltz D, Gevensleben H, Grünen S, Dietrich J, Kristiansen G, Landsberg J. Dietrich D PD-L1 (CD274) promoter methylation predicts survival in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. Leukemia 2016; [Epub ahead of print]; PMID:27840427; http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/leu.2016.328
- Zhang B, Wang J, Wang X, Zhu J, Liu Q, Shi Z, Chambers MC, Zimmerman LJ, Shaddox KF, Kim S et al. Proteogenomic characterization of human colon and rectal cancer. Nature 2014; 513:382-7; PMID:25043054; http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature13438
- Austin R, Smyth MJ, Lane SW. Harnessing the immune system in acute myeloid leukaemia. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2016; 103:62-77; PMID:27247119; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.critrevonc.2016.04.020
- Zhou Q, Munger ME, Highfill SL, Tolar J, Weigel BJ, Riddle M, Sharpe AH, Vallera DA, Azuma M, Levine BL et al. Program death-1 signaling and regulatory T cells collaborate to resist the function of adoptively transferred cytotoxic T lymphocytes in advanced acute myeloid leukemia. Blood 2010; 116:2484-93; PMID:20570856; http://dx.doi. org/10.1182/blood-2010-03-275446
- Le DT, Yoshino T, Jäger D, Andre T, Bendell JC, Wang R, Kang SMP, Koshiji Minori, Diaz LA The Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center at Johns Hopkins, Baltimore, MD et al. KEYNOTE-164: Phase II study of pembrolizumab (MK-3475) for patients with previously treated, microsatellite instability-high advanced colorectal carcinoma. J Clin Oncol 2016; 34:suppl 4S; abstr TPS787
- Le DT, Uram JN, Wang H, Bartlett BR, Kemberling H, Eyring AD, Skora AD, Luber BS, Azad NS, Laheru D et al. PD-1 blockade in tumors with mismatch-repair deficiency. N Engl J Med 2015; 372:2509-20; PMID:26028255; http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMoa1500596