



Published in final edited form as:

*J Int Neuropsychol Soc.* 2016 July ; 22(6): 586–594. doi:10.1017/S1355617716000400.

## The Neuropsychology of Risky Sexual Behavior

J. Megan Ross, Jacqueline Duperrouzel, Melanie Vega, and Raul Gonzalez

Center for Children and Families, Florida International University, Department of Psychology,  
11200 SW 8<sup>th</sup> Street, AHC1 Rm. 140, Miami, FL, 33199

### Abstract

**Objective**—Engagement in risky sexual behavior (RSB) is a significant public health concern. A growing body of literature is elucidating the role of brain systems and neuropsychological constructs implicated in RSB, which may pave the way for novel insights and prevention efforts.

**Methods**—In this article, we review studies incorporating neuropsychology into the study of RSB across the lifespan. The review of the literature on the neuropsychology of RSB is separated into three different sections by age of participants. Background is presented on research associating RSB with neurocognitive processes and the brain systems involved. Given the overlap between RSBs and substance use, studies addressing these problems in tandem are also discussed.

**Results**—Neurocognitive constructs are implicated in RSB, including impulsivity, decision-making, and working memory.

**Discussion**—Thus far, evidence suggest that neuropsychological factors are associated with engagement in RSB. More research on the influence of neuropsychological factors on engagement in RSB is necessary and may help inform future prevention efforts.

### Keywords

risky sexual behavior; risk-taking; neuropsychology; substance use; adolescents; neurodevelopment

### Introduction

Engagement in risky sexual behavior (RSB) is a significant public health concern. Negative consequences as a result of RSB include sexually transmitted infections (STIs) and unplanned pregnancies. Adolescents and young adults often fail to consistently use any type of protection against pregnancy or STIs (Kann et al., 2014). Although recent national trends suggest an increase in condom use over the last several decades (Kann et al., 2014), this population accounts for over half of the STIs in the United States (Satterwhite et al., 2013). Several research studies have established that there is an association between substance use and RSB (Bellis et al., 2008; Cooper, 2002), specifically substance use is associated with a greater number of sexual partners, less consistent condom use, and more STIs (Santelli,

\*Correspondence may be sent to Raul Gonzalez, Ph.D. at the Center for Children and Families, Florida International University, 11200 SW 8<sup>th</sup> Street, AHC4 Rm. 461, Miami, FL, 33199, telephone: 305-348-4921, or via at raul.gonzalezjr@fiu.edu.

The authors have no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Brenen, Lowry & Zabin et al., 1998; Tapert, Aarons, Sedlar, & Brown, 2001). RSB is also a vector for transmission of HIV, which causes a negative impact on the physical health of infected individuals.

Studies focusing on how neurocognitive functioning influences risky behaviors (including RSB) present a promising new area of inquiry and growth. Indeed, we think there is a role for neuropsychology to expand our knowledge on the determinants of RSB, which may help increase our understanding of contributing factors and provide additional clues for more effective prevention and intervention efforts. Although the role of brain structure, function, and neurocognitive abilities in RSB remains poorly understood, significant progress has been made in recent years. As such, we think it timely to present a review of this work. The goal of this review are twofold. First, we briefly describe literature examining brain systems and neurocognitive functions relevant to RSB focusing on executive function, as well as structural and functional neuroimaging studies. Secondly, and more importantly, we review and summarize the current literature on neuropsychological functioning and RSB.

### **Brain Systems and Functions Relevant to Risky Sexual Behavior**

An exhaustive review of all the brain regions relevant to a behavior as complex as “sexual behavior” is beyond the scope of this paper. However, here we briefly review some important brain systems and neurocognitive functions relevant to RSB, which include executive functioning, functional and structural neuroimaging studies and neurodevelopment.

**Executive Functions**—The prefrontal-subcortical circuits innervating dorsolateral prefrontal, orbitofrontal, and anterior cingulate cortex are important for sexual behavior (Spinella, 2007) as well as executive functioning (Alvarez & Emory, 2006; Masterman & Cummings, 1997; Stuss & Levine, 2002; Tekin & Cummings, 2002). Executive functions are the complex cognitive processes involved in goal-oriented, autonomous behavior (Elliott, 2003) as well as inhibiting responses. As presented by Spinella (2007), human lesion and animal studies have shown that the orbitofrontal cortex, medial prefrontal cortex (including the anterior cingulate), striatum, nucleus accumbens, and the thalamus are likely implicated in RSB. Lesions to the orbitofrontal cortex (specifically ventromedial orbitofrontal cortex) and the thalamus can result in sexual disinhibition (Malloy, Bihle, Duffy, & Cimino, 1993; Starkstein & Robinson, 1997). As discussed in Spinella (2007), reward, punishment, initiation, and motivation – all processes relevant to sexual behavior – are influenced by functioning of orbitofrontal cortex, medial prefrontal cortex, striatum, nucleus accumbens and thalamus. As such, disruptions of these regions have been found to be associated with either decreases or increases in sexual behavior.

**Functional Neuroimaging Studies and RSB among Youth**—Functional neuroimaging (fMRI; PET) techniques have been utilized with impulse control paradigms to specifically assess the association between neural response and RSB among adolescents. Response inhibition among sexually active youth was assessed with a Go/No-Go task by Feldstein Ewing, Houck, and Bryan (2015) and heightened blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) response was found within the middle frontal gyrus (MFG), inferior

parietal lobules (IPL), and insula to correlate with risk-taking behaviors (substance use; risky sex). Independently, hyperactivation observed in the right inferior frontal gyrus (rIFG) was positively correlated with recent RSB. In contrast, a previously conducted study assessed neural responses in sexually active youth reporting varying levels of risky contraceptive use within an inhibition Go/No-Go paradigm (Goldenberg, Telzer, Lieberman, Fuligni, & Galván, 2013). Within this sample, RSB (minimal contraceptive use) was associated with hypoactivation in the insula and right inferior frontal gyrus (rIFG) suggesting that frontal regions implicated in impulse control and emotion regulation were under employed in individuals who engage in more RSB. These mixed results indicate that despite relevance of IFG recruitment in risky sex decision-making, determining directionality and conclusions based on various levels of activation continues to be a challenge.

Feldstein Ewing and colleagues (2016) recently proposed a unique neural network for adolescent sexual decision-making in a systematic review of extant functional neuroimaging studies on adolescent sexual riskiness (N=7). The authors suggest that adolescent sexual decision-making includes activation in the ventral tegmental area, striatum, prefrontal cortex, limbic system, and insula and anterior cingulate cortex. Compared with other types of adolescent risk-taking, signaling between the mesocorticolimbic, emotion- regulation (VTA, striatum, insula), prefrontal cortex, and gonadal and stress hormone centers may facilitate increased RSB in adolescents. Studies employing functional neuroimaging techniques suggest that brain regions associated with impulse control, emotion regulation, and reward are implicated in RSB. However, the mixed findings (i.e., hypoactivation and hyperactivation within the right inferior frontal gyrus correlating with RSB) also demonstrate the need for more research on the neural substrates of RSB and the importance of reviewing the literature on the neuropsychology of RSB.

### **Search Strategy for Review of Literature on Neuropsychological Functioning and RSB**

In this paper, we set out to review literature examining relationships between neuropsychological functioning and RSB. We conducted a literature review that focused solely on studies examining the association between neuropsychological functioning and RSB. RSB is defined, for the purposes of this study, as any sexual behavior that increases an individual's risk for STIs or unwanted pregnancies. Broad definitions of RSB were included in this review because we wanted to be as inclusive as possible. Studies included in the review had varying definitions of RSB including not using a condom or other form of protection, younger age of sex initiation, and greater numbers of regular and casual sexual partners. Our literature review was limited to studies conducted prior to August 2015 via Google Scholar and PubMed. Search terms were "risky sexual behavior" and "neurocognition" or "neuropsychology" and abstracts were reviewed to identify papers relating neuropsychological function and RSB. Lastly, we reviewed the reference sections of articles that met our inclusion criteria (located during the first literature review) to determine if any articles cited met our inclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria were: 1) English language, 2) peer-reviewed, 3) published before August 2015, 4) examined relationships between neuropsychological tests and RSB (i.e., number of partners, condom use, age of initiation), and 6) human participants. This manuscript did not require Institutional Review Board approval and this research was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration.

## Review of Literature on Neuropsychological Functioning and RSB

The sections below summarize the studies identified by our search, which are grouped by the age of the participant sample (i.e., adolescents, young adults, and adults). For the purposes of this review, we define adolescence as ages 12 to 18 and young adulthood as ages 18 to 25. Adolescence has been defined as beginning at puberty and ending when the individual takes on adult responsibilities, however there are varying definitions of what constitutes the age of adolescence (Dahl, 2004; Spear, 2000). Most definitions suggest that it spans in age from 10 to 25 (Dahl, 2004). The final section reviews studies that contain adult participant samples (ages 26 and older). However, it is important to note that there are no studies that evaluate the association of neuropsychological functioning and RSB in a normative sample of adults. Table 1 summarizes these studies.

**Adolescents**—The Balloon Analogue Risk Task (BART) is a commonly used measure of risk-taking that has been employed across numerous studies (Lejuez et al., 2002, 2007). The BART is a computerized measure that evaluates propensity for risk-taking by presenting the participant with a situation where they can take increased risk for potential rewards, which is offset by increased risk to lose accumulated rewards on a given trial. Among a sample of high school students, poorer BART performance (i.e., more risk-taking) was associated with a greater frequency of sex without a condom (Lejuez et al., 2007). Another study employing the BART, reported that among 96 male and female black high school students, childhood physical, emotional and sexual abuse was related to sexual intercourse without a condom and the relationship was mediated by BART performance and sensation-seeking (Bornoalova, Gwadz, Kahler, Aklin & Lejuez, 2008). However, one study found that condom use was not related to performance on the BART among a sample of 51 male and female black high school students. Of note, other measures of risk behavior have also been related to performance on the BART, including substance use, stealing, and gambling (Aklin, Lejuez, Zvolensky, Kahler, & Gwadz, 2005), suggesting that poor performance on this task is may not specific to RSBs.

A notable study conducted by Khurana and colleagues (2012) examined whether several aspects of executive function, including working memory, and impulsivity, were related to age of sexual debut among 350 adolescents. Khurana and colleagues (2012) found that poorer working memory predicted younger age of sex initiation, but the relationship was entirely mediated by greater impulsivity (i.e. temporal discounting and “acting without thinking”). However, sensation seeking did not predict a younger age of sex initiation. Importantly, the complex nature of RSB and the importance of socio-cultural factors, which are not covered in this review, are highlighted by the finding that low socioeconomic background also predicted age of sex initiation and the effect of low SES on age of sexual debut was partially mediated by temporal discounting and working memory, with each accounting for 53% and 47% of variance in the model, respectively. Khurana and colleagues (2015) conducted a follow-up study with the same participant sample and found that poorer working memory predicted a younger age of sexual initiation and more unprotected sex, even after controlling for parental influences in the analysis. These findings suggest that some neurocognitive abilities may continue to account for RSB even when controlling for relevant psychosocial factors.

**Young Adults**—Similar to studies among adolescents, the BART has also been commonly used in studies with young adults. Results of studies employing the BART among young adults have reported that BART performance is associated with sex without a condom (Derefinko et al., 2014; Lejuez et al., 2007, Schuster, Crane, Mermelstein, & Gonzalez, 2012). More specifically, one study evaluated the association between BART performance and different indices of RSB (other than sex without a condom), which were total number of sexual partners and total number of sexual partners who the individual had known for less than 24 hours among 135 male undergraduate students. BART performance was a better predictor of number of sexual partners and causal sexual partners compared to the other behavior traits and characteristics evaluated, including skin conductance reactivity and self-report personality measures. However, in the same study, Go/No-go task performance (i.e., measure of response inhibition) was not associated with RSB (Derefinko et al., 2014).

In addition to using the BART, Schuster and colleagues (2012) also included the Iowa Gambling Task (IGT), and reported that more risk-taking and poorer decision-making was associated with more RSB among late adolescent and young adult participants who used cannabis. The IGT is a measure of decision-making under conditions of ambiguous risk and individuals with lesions to the ventromedial prefrontal cortex as well as individuals with drug addiction often perform poorly on this task. Furthermore, the interaction between greater amounts of recent cannabis use and poorer IGT performance was associated with more overall RSB, while the interaction between greater amounts of recent cannabis use and poorer BART performance was associated with more negative consequences from RSB (e.g., STIs and unplanned pregnancies). Among the same sample of young adult cannabis users, Ross, Coxe, Schuster, Rojas and Gonzalez (2015) found that conduct disorder symptoms were related to number of oral sex partners and age of vaginal sex initiation. Furthermore, this relationship was moderated by decision-making performance (on the IGT) and amount of lifetime cannabis use. At high levels of cannabis use, more conduct disorder symptoms were associated with more oral sex partners when decision-making was poor and less oral sex partners when decision-making was better.

**Adults**—Unlike most studies conducted with adolescents and young adults, studies evaluating RSB in adult samples have typically focused on substance users. It has been well established that alcohol and drug use are associated with engagement in RSB (Bellis et al., 2008; Brodbeck, Matter, & Moggi, 2006; Cooper, 2002; Santelli, Brener, Lowry, Bhatt, & Zabin, 1998; Tapert, Aarons, Sedlar, & Brown, 2001). However, the role of neurocognition in the relationship between substance use and RSB is not well understood. There are several ways by which neurocognition may interact with substance use and RSB. First, individuals may be more likely to engage in RSB when intoxicated as the effects of many substances of abuse can impair judgment, thus increasing the likelihood of engaging in risk behaviors. Secondly, as substance use, per se, is often viewed as a risky behavior, it is likely that common underlying factors may contribute to both substance abuse and RSB (e.g., conduct disorder and impulsivity; Giancola & Tarter, 1999; Tarter, 2002; Tarter, Kirisci, Habeych, Reynolds, & Vanyukov, 2004). Finally, to the extent that neurocognitive functions influence RSB, it is also possible that adverse effects of substances of abuse on executive functions

may make some individuals more likely to engage in RSB. Of these, it is the latter which has received the least attention in the scientific literature.

To date, several studies have employed laboratory tasks to examine how neurocognition might influence the relationship between alcohol and/or drug use and RSB. Golub, Starks, Kowalczyk, Thompson and Parsons (2012) found that performance on tasks of executive functions (IGT, Wisconsin Card Sorting Task, Counting Span and Go/No-go Task) predicted engagement in RSB in a sample of 104 homosexual and substance-using adult men. Participants were divided into three groups based on executive function performance: high performing on all measures of executive function ( $n = 26$ ), low performing on all measures of executive function ( $n = 52$ ), and a group with low IGT performance but high performance on all other measures of executive function ( $n = 22$ ). The low performing group had a significantly higher number of total sex acts compared to the other groups. Compared to the low IGT performance group, the low performing group had higher numbers of high-risk sex acts and high-risk sex acts under the influence of drugs. In addition, the low performing group had an association between the number of drug use days and increased high risk sex acts. Lastly, differences between the groups also emerged for sober sex acts; the high performing group had the least percentage of sober high-risk sex acts.

Others have also examined the influence of executive functions on RSB among substance users, and have revealed additional psychosocial and neurocognitive variables relevant to RSB. In a sample of 76 adults who resided in a substance abuse treatment center, impulsivity, self-esteem, and risk-taking were independently related to RSB, with risk-taking evaluated by the BART (Lejuez, Simmons, Aclin, Daughters, & Dvir, 2004). Among a sample of adult drug offenders, response inhibition, measured by the Go/No-go task, moderated the relationship between problems related to drug use and RSB, such that the relationship between problematic drug use and less condom use as strongest for individuals with poorer inhibitory control (Nydegger, Ames, Stacy, & Grenard, 2014).

Two additional studies on neurocognition and RSB in the context of substance use disorders have also included subsets of participants who are HIV seropositive (HIV+). Gonzalez and colleagues (2005) found that positive HIV serostatus, sensation seeking, and decision-making performance (assessed via the IGT) were associated with RSB. However the relationship was not straightforward. Rather, decision-making performance and HIV serostatus moderated the relationship between sensation seeking and risky sex. Specifically, individuals who were HIV+ and had better decision-making abilities had a significant relationship between sensation seeking and RSB; however this relationship was not present among those who performed more poorly on the decision-making task or among HIV- individuals. Wardle, Gonzalez, Bechara and Martin-Thormeyer (2010) also examined the influence of emotional distress (i.e., symptoms of depression and anxiety) in conjunction with decision-making among a sample of HIV+ and substance dependent individuals. Greater emotional distress was associated with greater sexual risk-taking, but only among those who performed better on decision-making tasks (also measured via the IGT). In these studies, the emergence of significant relationships between sensation-seeking or emotional distress and RSB only among those with more intact decision-making was speculated to be at least partially explained by the somatic marker hypothesis (Damasio, Everitt, & Bishop,



1996), which posits that the orbitofrontal cortex is essential for incorporating information from somatic states into cognitive processes. Thus, for emotional distress or sensation seeking to influence RSB an individual would need to have intact brain systems relevant for decision-making.

**Summary**—In summary, across most studies assessing the association of neuropsychological performance with RSB, poorer executive functioning was found to be associated with RSB, either directly or indirectly. More specifically, studies with adolescent participant samples suggest that working memory (Khurana et al., 2012, 2015) and risk-taking propensity (Bornovalova et al., 2008; Lejuez et al., 2007) may contribute to RSB. Studies with young adult participant samples report that greater risk-taking propensity (Derefinko et al., 2014; Lejuez et al., 2002; Schuster et al., 2012) and poorer decision-making performance (Ross et al., 2014; Schuster et al., 2012) is associated with RSB. Similar to findings among adolescents and young adults, various indices of executive functioning are associated with more RSB among adults. Specifically, response inhibition (Golub et al., 2012; Nydegger et al., 2014), working memory (Golub et al., 2012), decision-making (Golub et al., 2012) and risk-taking propensity (Lejuez et al., 2004) are associated with more RSB among adults. Although similar factors seem to influence RSB across studies, it is important to note that to our knowledge, there are no studies that evaluate the association of neurocognition and RSB among a sample of non-substance using adults. Many questions remain to be answered, including whether individuals who use substances are more likely to engage in RSB because of an underlying propensity to make risky decisions, or whether the acute effects of alcohol and drugs reduces inhibitions or the long term effects of continued use reduce neurocognitive abilities. Future studies that address such questions may shed further light on the relationship between substance use and RSB.

## Conclusions and Future Directions

In this review, we argue that the study of neurocognition is relevant to our understanding of RSB. The recent, but growing body of literature we presented highlights neurocognitive constructs and underlying neural systems implicated in RSB. Executive functions, including constructs such as decision-making and risk-taking, appear to play an important role in RSB.

Given the focus of the reviewed studies on measures of executive functioning and their association with RSB, it is worth considering these findings in the context of the dual systems theory of risk-taking, which highlights the importance of the development of the cognitive control system (i.e., executive functioning) in influencing risk-taking behavior among adolescents (Steinberg, 2007, 2010). This theory focuses on the interplay between the cognitive control system with the drive and motivation system. Uneven development between the ‘cognitive control system’ (which develops later) and the ‘socioemotional system’ (which develops earlier) are theorized as the reason that increases in risk-taking behavior is often observed during adolescence (Steinberg, 2007, 2010). Taken together, the current literature supports that poorer executive functioning may lead to more RSB, which only partially supports the dual systems model. Drive and motivation, or the socioemotional system, has received far less attention in neuropsychological research on RSB.

However, there have been several criticisms to the dual systems theory of adolescent risk-taking which are relevant to this review. Specifically, these include evidence that suggests risk-taking actually peaks in young adulthood and may continue well beyond (Willoughby, Good, Adachi, Hamza, & Tavernier, 2013), findings inconsistent with poor executive functions being invariability associated with greater risk taking (Pfeifer & Allen, 2012), and the absence of considering the role of important psychosocial factors like early persistent life stressors (Romer, 2010). Willoughby and colleagues (2013) emphasize the importance of a lifespan perspective on risky behaviors due to epidemiological studies suggesting that risk-taking behaviors begin during adolescence and peak in young adulthood (e.g., binge drinking) and, at times, may continue into adulthood (e.g., RSB). Several studies have been found to be inconsistent with the dual systems theory. For example, in a sample of adolescents, greater white matter integrity in the frontal subcortical regions is associated with more risk taking behavior (i.e., substance use, delinquency) compared to those with lower white matter integrity. Adolescents with greater white matter integrity in frontal subcortical structures more closely resemble adult frontal subcortical structures (Berns et al., 2009; Squeglia et al., 2014). It is not surprising that any single and circumscribed theory for a complex and heterogeneous set of behaviors such as risk-taking or RSB may be overly simplistic.

We note that although this paper focuses on the neuropsychology of RSB, this is not meant to deemphasize the importance of established environmental and individual characteristics reported to be associated with engagement in RSB. RSB is incredibly complex such that it is likely that environmental, psychosocial and neurocognitive factors influence each other and RSB. These include parenting style (Biglan et al., 1990), cultural factors (Kann et al., 2014), and religiosity (Rostosky, Wilcox, Wright, & Randall, 2004), which have been discussed in several excellent reviews (Buhi & Goodson, 2007; Kotchick, Shaffer, Miller, & Forehand, 2001).

Another complexity in the study of the neuropsychology of RSB, is a fundamental limitation in the research of brain-behavior relationships. When neuropsychological measures are associated with behaviors, the behavior is, many times, not measured at the same time as the neuropsychological task. Unfortunately, it is difficult, particularly with a behavior like RSB, to measure neuropsychological functioning concurrently with the behavior.

Examining the role of neurocognitive factors and the brain systems involved in RSB not only elucidates why adolescents and young adults engage in greater amounts of RSB compared to other ages, but may also reveal avenues for successful intervention and prevention programs aimed at reducing RSB. The findings from this review suggest that improving executive functioning in adolescence as well as adults is one possible new route of intervention. For example, a pilot study implementing Goal Management Training and Mindfulness in combination has successfully improved executive functions (e.g., decision-making assessed by the IGT) in a sample of abstinent drug abusers (Alfonso, Caracuel, Delgado-Pastor, & Verdejo-García, 2011). Tang, Yang, Leve, and Harold, (2012) reviewed studies that assessed the impact of mindfulness-based techniques on different dimensions of executive functioning. Results suggest that mindfulness-based techniques improve sustained attention and emotion regulation. Additionally, these techniques have also been shown to



improve academic performance and social behavior. As such, focusing on interventions known to improve executive functioning among individuals who engage in RSB may have a greater impact on reducing RSB. Attempts to improve executive functions in specific populations (e.g., individuals with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder who have executive control dysfunctions) may prove to be more difficult.

Moving forward, research in this area could benefit from several approaches. For example, longitudinal studies (particularly from adolescence into adulthood) on neuropsychological functioning and engagement in RSB are sorely needed. Such studies allow tracking how changes in neurocognitive functioning (through normal development, neurological trauma or heavy substance use) prospectively influences RSB. They may also be better poised to capture the complex interactions between the cognitive control and socioemotional systems from early adolescence to young adulthood. Advances in neuroscience research over the last decade have greatly increased our understanding of mechanisms that underlie various complex behaviors. Incorporating neuropsychological approaches into studies of RSB may help to further move this research forward and determine more effective approaches for preventing risky health behaviors and subsequent negative consequences. As this research continues to grow with stronger research designs, larger sample sizes, and more robust measures of brain functioning and sexual risk, we anticipate that this new knowledge will be applied to develop better intervention and prevention programs that will help reduce the spread of STIs and reduce negative consequences of RSBs particularly.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants R01 DA031176 and R01 DA033156 (PI: Gonzalez) from the National Institute on Drug Abuse. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

## References

- Aklin WM, Lejuez C, Zvolensky MJ, Kahler CW, Gwadz M. Evaluation of behavioral measures of risk taking propensity with inner city adolescents. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*. 2005; 43(2):215–228. [PubMed: 15629751]
- Alfonso JP, Caracuel A, Delgado-Pastor LC, Verdejo-García A. Combined goal management training and mindfulness meditation improve executive functions and decision-making performance in abstinent polysubstance abusers. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 2011; 117(1):78–81. [PubMed: 21277705]
- Alvarez JA, Emory E. Executive function and the frontal lobes: A meta-analytic review. *Neuropsychology Review*. 2006; 16(1):17–42. [PubMed: 16794878]
- Bellis MA, Hughes K, Calafat A, Juan M, Ramon A, Rodriguez JA, Phillips-Howard P. Sexual uses of alcohol and drugs and the associated health risks: A cross sectional study of young people in nine European cities. *BMC Public Health*. 2008; 8(1):155. [PubMed: 18471281]
- Berns GS, Moore S, Capra CM. Adolescent engagement in dangerous behaviors is associated with increased white matter maturity of frontal cortex. *PLoS One*. 2009; 4(8):6773.
- Biglan A, Metzler CW, Wirt R, Ary D, Noell J, Ochs L, Hood D. Social and behavioral factors associated with high-risk sexual behavior among adolescents. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*. 1990; 13(3):245–261. [PubMed: 2213868]
- Bornova MA, Gwadz M, Kahler CW, Aklin WM, Lejuez CW. Sensation seeking and risk-taking propensity as mediators in the relationship between childhood abuse and HIV-related risk behavior. *Child Abuse and Neglect*. 2008; 32:99–109. [PubMed: 18155295]

- Brodbeck J, Matter M, Moggi F. Association between cannabis use and sexual risk behavior among young heterosexual adults. *AIDS and Behavior*. 2006; 10(5):599–605. [PubMed: 16691461]
- Buhi ER, Goodson P. Predictors of adolescent sexual behavior and intention: A theory-guided systematic review. *Journal of Adolescent Health*. 2007; 40(1):4–21. [PubMed: 17185201]
- Cooper ML. Alcohol use and risky sexual behavior among college students and youth: Evaluating the evidence. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs*. 2002; 14:101.
- Dahl RE. Adolescent brain development: A period of vulnerabilities and opportunities. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 2004; 1021(1):1–22. [PubMed: 15251869]
- Damasio AR, Everitt B, Bishop D. The somatic marker hypothesis and the possible functions of the prefrontal cortex. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*. 1996; 351(1346):1413–1420. [PubMed: 8941953]
- Derefinko KJ, Peters JR, Eisenlohr-Moul TA, Walsh EC, Adams ZW, Lynam DR. Relations between trait impulsivity, behavioral impulsivity, physiological arousal, and risky sexual behavior among young men. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*. 2014; 43(3):1149–1158. [PubMed: 24958252]
- Elliott R. Executive functions and their disorders: Imaging in clinical neuroscience. *British Medical Bulletin*. 2003; 65(1):49–59. [PubMed: 12697616]
- Feldstein Ewing SW, Houck JM, Bryan AD. Neural activation during response inhibition is associated with adolescents' frequency of risky sex and substance use. *Addictive Behaviors*. 2015; 44:80–87. [PubMed: 25532443]
- Feldstein Ewing SW, Ryman SG, Gillman AS, Weiland BJ, Thayer RE, Bryan AD. Developmental cognitive neuroscience of adolescent sexual risk and alcohol use. *AIDS and Behavior*. 2016; 20(1):97–108.
- Giancola PR, Tarter RE. Executive cognitive functioning and risk for substance abuse. *Psychological Science*. 1999; 10(3):203–205.
- Goldenberg D, Telzer EH, Lieberman MD, Fuligni A, Galván A. Neural mechanisms of impulse control in sexually risky adolescents. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*. 2013; 6:23–29. [PubMed: 23835204]
- Golub SA, Starks TJ, Kowalczyk WJ, Thompson LI, Parsons JT. Profiles of executive functioning: Associations with substance dependence and risky sexual behavior. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*. 2012; 26(4):895. [PubMed: 22775771]
- Gonzalez R, Vassileva J, Bechara A, Grbesic S, Sworowski L, Novak RM, Martin EM. The influence of executive functions, sensation seeking, and HIV serostatus on the risky sexual practices of substance-dependent individuals. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society*. 2005; 11(02):121–131. [PubMed: 15962700]
- Kann L, Kinchen S, Shanklin SL, Flint KH, Kawkins J, Harris WA, Chyen D. Youth risk behavior surveillance—United States, 2013. *MMWR: Surveillance Summaries*. 2014; 63(SS-04):1–168.
- Khurana A, Romer D, Betancourt LM, Brodsky NL, Giannetta JM, Hurt H. Early adolescent sexual debut: The mediating role of working memory ability, sensation seeking, and impulsivity. *Developmental Psychology*. 2012; 48(5):1416. [PubMed: 22369334]
- Khurana A, Romer D, Betancourt LM, Brodsky NL, Giannetta JM, Hurt H. Stronger working memory reduces sexual risk taking in adolescents, even after controlling for parental influences. *Child Development*. 2015; 86(4):1125–1141.
- Kotchick BA, Shaffer A, Miller KS, Forehand R. Adolescent sexual risk behavior: A multi-system perspective. *Clinical Psychology Review*. 2001; 21(4):493–519. [PubMed: 11413865]
- Lejuez C, Aklin W, Daughters S, Zvolensky M, Kahler C, Gwadz M. Reliability and validity of the youth version of the Balloon Analogue Risk Task (BART–Y) in the assessment of risk-taking behavior among inner-city adolescents. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*. 2007; 36(1):106–111. [PubMed: 17206886]
- Lejuez CW, Read JP, Kahler CW, Richards JB, Ramsey SE, Stuart GL, Strong DR, Brown RA. Evaluation of a behavioral measure of risk taking: The Balloon Analogue Risk Task (BART). *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*. 2002; 8(2):75–84. [PubMed: 12075692]
- Lejuez CW, Simmons BL, Aklin WM, Daughters SB, Dvir S. Risk-taking propensity and risky sexual behavior of individuals in residential substance use treatment. *Addictive Behaviors*. 2004; 29:1643–1647. [PubMed: 15451132]

- Malloy P, Bihle A, Duffy J, Cimino C. The orbitomedial frontal syndrome. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*. 1993; 8(3):185–201. [PubMed: 14589631]
- Masterman DL, Cummings JL. Frontal-subcortical circuits: the anatomic basis of executive, social and motivated behaviors. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*. 1997; 11(2):107–114. [PubMed: 9208374]
- Nydegger LA, Ames SL, Stacy AW, Grenard JL. Response inhibition moderates the association between drug use and risky sexual behavior. *Substance Use & Misuse*. 2014; 49(11):1457–1464. [PubMed: 24827870]
- Pfeifer JH, Allen NB. Arrested development? Reconsidering dual-systems models of brain function in adolescence and disorders. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 2012; 16(6):322–329. [PubMed: 22613872]
- Romer D. Adolescent risk taking, impulsivity, and brain development: Implications for prevention. *Developmental Psychobiology*. 2010; 52(3):263–276. [PubMed: 20175097]
- Ross JM, Coxe S, Schuster RM, Rojas A, Gonzalez R. The moderating effects of cannabis use and decision making on the relationship between conduct disorder and risky sexual behavior. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*. 2015; 37(3):303–315. [PubMed: 25832553]
- Rostovsky SS, Wilcox BL, Wright MLC, Randall BA. The impact of religiosity on adolescent sexual behavior: A review of the evidence. *Journal of Adolescent Research*. 2004; 19(6):677–697.
- Santelli JS, Brener ND, Lowry R, Bhatt A, Zabin LS. Multiple sexual partners among US adolescents and young adults. *Family Planning Perspectives*. 1998:271–275. [PubMed: 9859017]
- Satterwhite CL, Torrone E, Meites E, Dunne EF, Mahajan R, Ocfemia MCB, Weinstock H. Sexually transmitted infections among US women and men: Prevalence and incidence estimates, 2008. *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*. 2013; 40(3):187–193. [PubMed: 23403598]
- Schuster RM, Crane NA, Mermelstein R, Gonzalez R. The influence of inhibitory control and episodic memory on the risky sexual behavior of young adult cannabis users. *Journal of International Neuropsychology*. 2012; 18(5):827–833.
- Spear LP. The adolescent brain and age-related behavioral manifestations. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2000; 24(4):417–463. [PubMed: 10817843]
- Spinella M. The role of prefrontal systems in sexual behavior. *International Journal of Neuroscience*. 2007; 117(3):369–385. [PubMed: 17365121]
- Squeglia LM, Jacobus J, Brumback T, Meloy M, Tapert SF. White matter integrity in alcohol-naive youth with a family history of alcohol use disorders. *Psychological Medicine*. 2014; 44(13):2775–2786. [PubMed: 25066702]
- Starkstein SE, Robinson RG. Mechanism of disinhibition after brain lesions. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*. 1997; 185(2):108–114. [PubMed: 9048703]
- Steinberg L. Risk taking in adolescence new perspectives from brain and behavioral science. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*. 2007; 16(2):55–59.
- Steinberg L. Commentary: A behavioral scientist looks at the science of adolescent brain development. *Brain and Cognition*. 2010; 72(1):160. [PubMed: 19963311]
- Stuss DT, Levine B. Adult clinical neuropsychology: Lessons from studies of the frontal lobes. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 2002; 53(1):401–433.
- Tang YY, Yang L, Leve LD, Harold GT. Improving executive function and its neurobiological mechanisms through a mindfulness-based intervention: Advances within the field of developmental neuroscience. *Child Development Perspectives*. 2012; 6(4):361–366. [PubMed: 25419230]
- Tapert SF, Aarons GA, Sedlar GR, Brown SA. Adolescent substance use and sexual risk-taking behavior. *Journal of Adolescent Health*. 2001; 28(3):181–189. [PubMed: 11226840]
- Tarter RE. Etiology of adolescent substance abuse: A developmental perspective. *The American Journal on Addictions*. 2002; 11(3):171–191. [PubMed: 12202010]
- Tarter RE, Kirisci L, Habeych M, Reynolds M, Vanyukov M. Neurobehavior disinhibition in childhood predisposes boys to substance use disorder by young adulthood: Direct and mediated etiologic pathways. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 2004; 73(2):121–132. [PubMed: 14725951]
- Tekin S, Cummings JL. Frontal-subcortical neuronal circuits and clinical neuropsychiatry: An update. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*. 2002; 53(2):647–654. [PubMed: 12169339]

- Wardle MC, Gonzalez R, Bechara A, Martin-Thormeyer EM. Iowa Gambling Task performance and emotional distress interact to predict risky sexual behavior in individuals with dual substance and HIV diagnoses. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*. 2010; 32(10):1110–1121. [PubMed: 20480423]
- Willoughby T, Good M, Adachi PJ, Hamza C, Tavernier R. Examining the link between adolescent brain development and risk taking from a social–developmental perspective. *Brain and Cognition*. 2013; 83(3):315–323. [PubMed: 24128659]

**Table 1**  
 Summary of studies assessing relationships between neuropsychological functioning and RSB

Study	Sample Size	Age	Sample Characteristics	Neurocognitive Measures	Neurocognitive Functions Assessed	Measure of Risky Sexual Behavior	Outcomes
Aklin, Lejuez, Zvolensky, Kahler, & Gwadz, 2005	N = 51	M = 14.8 (9 <sup>th</sup> –12 <sup>th</sup> grade)	Male = 51% African American/black = 98%	BART-Y	Risk-taking	Sex without a condom	Risk-taking not related to condom use
Bomovalova, Gwadz, Kahler, Aklin & Lejuez, 2008	N = 96	13 – 18	Male = 52% African American/black = 100%	BART-Y	Risk-taking	Sex without a condom	Risk-taking mediated relationship between physical, emotional and sexual abuse with HIV risk behavior which included sex without a condom
Derefinko et al., 2014	N = 135	17–26	Male = 100% Race/ethnicity not reported	BART, Go/No-Go Task	Risk-taking and inhibitory control	Total number of partners, number of times had sex with a stranger, sex without a condom use	Risk-taking associated with number of partners and ever having sex with a stranger
Golub, Starks, Kowalczyk, Thompson, & Parsons, 2012	N = 130	M = 30	Male = 100% Non-Hispanic white = 38% Gay or bisexual = 100% Substance users = 100%	Counting Span, Wisconsin Card Sorting Task, Go/No-Go Task, IGT and IGT-variant	Executive Function Profiles (i.e., low on all measures, high on all measures and low only on IGT)	Number of anal sex acts, number of times had unprotected anal sex or had sex with HIV serodiscordant main partner	Low performing group had higher numbers of high risk sex acts and high risk sex acts under the influence compared to low IGT group
Gonzalez et al., 2005	N = 263	M = 44.1	Male = 87% African American/black = 91% Met criteria for substance dependence or abuse = 97% HIV + = 41%	IGT, delayed non-matching to sample, stroop task—reaction time version	Decision-making, working memory, inhibitory control	Total score calculated with variables like frequency of condom use, number of partners, number of times paid for sex, etc.	HIV+ status, sensation seeking and better decision-making associated with RSB
Khurana, Romer, Beancourt, Brodsky, Ginnetta, & Hurt, 2012	N = 347	10–12 years old at baseline, follow-up assessment 3 and 4 years later	Male = 48% Non-Hispanic white = 55%	Digit Span, Corsi Block, Tapping, Letter two-back, Object two-back, Spatial working memory	Working Memory, Impulsivity—acting without thinking and temporal discounting, sensation seeking	Age of sex initiation	Working memory and impulsivity were associated with age of sexual debut

Study	Sample Size	Age	Sample Characteristics	Neurocognitive Measures	Neurocognitive Functions Assessed	Measure of Risky Sexual Behavior	Outcomes
Khurana, Romer, Blancourt, Brodsky, Ginnetta & Hurt, 2015	N = 387	9–12 years old at baseline, follow-up assessment 3 and 4 years later	Male = 48% Non-Hispanic white = 56%	Corsi block tapping, Digit span backwards, Object working memory	Working memory, acting without thinking and inability to delay gratification, sensation seeking	Ever had vaginal sex without a condom	Weak working memory predicts more RSB, even when parental influence is controlled
Lejeuz et al., 2007	N = 98	M = 14.8 (9th – 12th grade)	Male = 52% African American/black = 100%	BART-Y	Risk-taking	Sex without a condom	Risk-taking was associated with risk composite score that included having sex without a condom
Lejeuz et al., 2002	N = 86	18–25	Male = 50% Non-Hispanic white = 75%	BART	Risk-taking	Sex without a condom	Risk-taking associated with having sex without a condom
Lejeuz et al., 2004	N = 76	21–58	Male = 76% African American/black = 91% In-patient at substance use residential treatment center = 100%	BART	Risk-taking	Sex without a condom	Risk-taking related to RSB
Nydegger, Ames, Stacy & Grenard, 2014	N = 196	M = 31.26	Male = 67% Hispanic = 55% Drug diversion clients = 100%	Go/No-Go Task	Inhibitory control	Sex without a condom	Greater drug use problems predicted more RSB, however, only among those with poorer inhibitory control
Ross, Cox, Schuster, Rojas & Gonzalez, 2015	N = 79	17–24	Male = 64% Non-Hispanic white = 48%	IGT	Decision-making	Age of vaginal sex initiation and number of oral sex partners	Poorer decision-making and more cannabis use moderated the relationship between more conduct disorder symptoms and more RSB.
Schuster, Crane, Mermelstein & Gonzalez, 2012	N = 66	M = 20.77	Male = 62% Non-Hispanic white = 38% Cannabis users = 100%	Hopkins Verbal Learning Test, IGT, BART, Go/Stop Task, Monetary Choice Questionnaire	Memory, decision-making, inhibitory control, delayed discounting	Number of STIs and unplanned pregnancies	Greater cannabis use and poorer performance on the IGT and BART associated with more RSB
Wardle, Gonzalez, Bechara, &	N = 190	M = 43.53	Male = 79% African American/black = 93%	IGT, delayed non-matching to sample, stroop task—reaction time version	Decision-making, working memory, inhibitory control	Total score calculated with variables like	Greater emotional distress and more sensation seeking



Study	Sample Size	Age	Sample Characteristics	Neurocognitive Measures	Neurocognitive Functions Assessed	Measure of Risky Sexual Behavior	Outcomes
Martin-Thormeyer, 2010			Met criteria for substance dependence or abuse = 100% HIV + = 100%			frequency of condom use, number of partners, number of times paid for sex, etc.	was associated with more RSB but only among those with better decision-making