



Published in final edited form as:

Intensive Care Med. 2017 February ; 43(2): 240–242. doi:10.1007/s00134-016-4656-1.

The unmet need of information access for family members of ICU patients

Christopher E. Cox¹ and Hanne I. Jensen²

¹Duke University, Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Durham, NC, USA

²Syddansk Universitet, Center Lillebælt, Anæstesiologisk Afdeling, Anæstesi og Intensivafdeling, Odense, Denmark

Information is critical to the success of clinical interactions among parties that may possess different abilities, levels of medical comprehension, and preparedness to take action. A substantial body of research has examined the process of information *exchange* (e.g., communication, incorporation of patient reported outcomes measures in workflows, etc.) between clinicians and patients/families, and its impact on both processes and outcomes in the context of critical illness. Perhaps less well explored is information *access*, a topic taken on by Mistraletti and colleagues in this issue of *Intensive Care Medicine*.

Think about a decision you made today. Maybe you browsed an online retailer to find an obscure type of lightbulb that fits your ceiling fan (the really tall one that needs the ladder). Of the 10 varieties of lightbulbs you reviewed, what drove you to choose the one you did? Perhaps it was the numerous five-star ratings provided by previous customers. Or the comparatively affordable price? Or the knowledge that it would arrive in 2 days or less, compared to longer wait times for cheaper brands? Regardless of the depth of your research, you have to admit that your access to trustworthy information made all the difference.

We would venture that few patients and families today possess a working knowledge of complex critical illness adequate to enable them to feel particularly confident in their roles as decision makers, advocates, or partners in care.^{1, 2} Clinicians certainly want to help, but they are often overwhelmed with multiple patient care issues, note writing, billing, teaching, etc—time is short for deep daily conversations. Friends and family may not have ever encountered such a serious situation. The internet is always open—what about that? Just try and you'll be discouraged.³ There is little curation of medical information relevant to critical illness, absolute uncertainty regarding trustworthiness, intimidating amounts of jargon, and a dearth of information for those who speak languages other than English, French, and German. There are no systems that easily facilitate an understanding of how others 'like me' made decisions—or what the outcomes were. Few 'question coaches' exist as well to help families learn to speak the acronym-overloaded language of medicine. And currently there is little practical application of data from either patients' health status or their family members' in-the-moment needs.

To truly personalize the experience and empower patients/families, we believe more attention needs to be given to information access, information sharing, and information infrastructure (Figure).^{4, 5} Rapid, free access to essential information is the least we can

aspire to do as citizens and healthcare providers.⁶ And this works both ways: clinicians should design and use systems that allow patients/families to provide information that is relevant to care and important to them. Such information sharing of course requires digital infrastructure that is currently painfully underdeveloped. The previous healthcare information technology charge in the United States was the ‘meaningful use’ of health data. There is a new pivot toward interoperability of health system data as a path toward data empowerment, scalability, and unified learning health systems.⁷ While both the US and Denmark struggle to overcome the siloed and secretive nature of historically uncooperative electronic health record (EHR) vendors, other nations have done better such as the United Kingdom’s ICNARC group.

But of course this digital infrastructure also includes the public domain. Mistraletti et al have provided an important service to ICU families with their critical illness-centric brochure and informational website (www.intensiva.it).⁸ The aim of both the brochure and the website was to combine a rational understanding of the ICU environment (explaining what happens, procedures, equipment, staff, timing and rules), with emotional justification (underlining that it is normal to experience doubt, anger, worry, apprehension, and suffering). In their before/after trial, these researchers found that a brochure and access to a complementary website were associated with improved prognostic understanding, awareness of procedures, and post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms. However, even though 73% stated that they had received the brochure, only 19% reported having viewed the website.^[cite] Combined with the before-after design, caution should be taken in regard to causality.

But while the differential contribution of brochure and website to improved outcomes may be murky, we are impressed with their novel approach. The study elucidates that there is no dominant single model that fits all, and that providing a wide range of information options will increase the possibility of meeting the individual family member’s needs. However, the proportion of families who reported viewing the website may well have been higher if they had been given a short introduction to the website, provided with the website address on a written card or, as the authors suggest themselves, the website was made visible via posters in the family waiting room. It could be stated that providing such online information should be a standard expectation of all institutions with reasonable resources, whether the information is self-produced or borrowed from others.

We would remind others who enter the health IT space to focus not just on content, but also to assess and optimize the usability of their digital tools.⁸ This can help to ensure that users can not only access the information, but efficiently use it for purposes they value. To avoid risk of confounding, researchers should consider using other study designs such as cluster-randomized trials, stepped-wedge, interrupted time series, and others.

Yet this is exciting work that could help ICU families to better understand what is going on in the ICU and to become better decision makers—and experience better psychological outcomes in addition.

Bibliography

1. Azoulay E, Chevret S, Leleu G, Pochard F, Barboteu M, Adrie C, Canoui P, Le Gall JR, Schlemmer B. Half the families of intensive care unit patients experience inadequate communication with physicians. *Crit Care Med.* 2000; 28:3044–3049. [PubMed: 10966293]
2. Cox CE, Martinu T, Sathy SJ, Clay AS, Chia J, Gray AL, Olsen MK, Govert JA, Carson SS, Tulskey JA. Expectations and outcomes of prolonged mechanical ventilation. *Crit Care Med.* 2009; 37:2888–2894. quiz 2904. PMC2766420. [PubMed: 19770733]
3. Mitchell IA, Schuster AL, Lynch T, Smith KC, Bridges JF, Aslakson RA. Why don't end-of-life conversations go viral? A review of videos on YouTube. *BMJ supportive & palliative care.* 2015
4. Cox CE, White DB, Abernethy AP. A universal decision support system. Addressing the decision-making needs of patients, families, and clinicians in the setting of critical illness. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2014; 190:366–373. PMID: 25019639. [PubMed: 25019639]
5. Brown SM, Bell SK, Roche SD, Dente E, Mueller A, Kim TE, O'Reilly K, Lee BS, Sands K, Talmor D. Preferences of Current and Potential Patients and Family Members Regarding Implementation of Electronic Communication Portals in Intensive Care Units. *Ann Am Thorac Soc.* 2016; 13:391–400. [PubMed: 26700656]
6. Krumholz HM, Terry SF, Waldstreicher J. Data Acquisition, Curation, and Use for a Continuously Learning Health System. *JAMA.* 2016; 316:1669–1670. [PubMed: 27668668]
7. Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology. Connecting health and care for the nation: a shared nationwide interoperability roadmap. Available at: <https://www.healthit.gov/sites/default/files/hie-interoperability/nationwide-interoperability-roadmap-final-version-1.0.pdf>
8. Usability.gov. Research-Based Web Design & Usability Guidelines. Available at: http://www.usability.gov/sites/default/files/documents/guidelines_book.pdf?post=yes
9. Longo DR, Woolf SH. Rethinking the information priorities of patients. *JAMA.* 2014; 311:1857–1858. [PubMed: 24825638]




Figure. Stages of information utilization in clinical settings
Examples of methods to enhance each step for patient / family user experience are shown in arrows. Loosely adapted from Longo et al.⁹

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript