

REPLY TO JOHNSON ET AL.:

Functionally active cryptophyte cell membrane and cytoplasm indicate intact symbionts within *Mesodinium*

Dajun Qiu^a, Lingxiao Lin^{b,c}, and Senjie Lin^{b,c,d,1}

We would like to thank Johnson et al. (1) for their interest in our paper. They contest our view (2) that simultaneous expression of cell membrane, organellar, and cytoplasmic protein genes of *Teleaulax amphioxeia* in *Mesodinium rubrum* indicate a complete endosymbiont.

First, Johnson et al. compared their microscopic and transcriptomic data (figure 1 and table 1 of ref. 1) to argue that a stolen nucleus can express cryptophyte nuclear genes as abundantly as a complete cryptophyte cell. However, their figure 1 only depicts an ultrathin section, which could have missed parts of a complete endosymbiotic cell such as a nucleus that must be present to have any cryptophyte nuclear genes expressed. On the contrary, their figure 1 shows distinct cytoplasm surrounding the cryptophyte plastids and thin cell membranes separating different “organelle complex” entities, essentially similar to our micrographs (2).

Second, they questioned whether expressed cell membrane and cytoplasmic protein genes we reported were really from *T. amphioxeia*. Without available genomic or transcriptomic data of *Teleaulax* we had made sure they were cryptophyte genes based on the *Guillardia theta* genome. Now, with the *T. amphioxeia* transcriptome (Sequence Read Archive Study SRP059399) available (3), we conducted BLAST analysis for cell membrane ammonium transporter and cytoplasmic pyruvate kinase genes. The high (93–100%) sequence identity and tight phylogenetic affiliation (Fig. 1) with *T. amphioxeia* reinforced the *T. amphioxeia* origin of these genes.

Third, Johnson et al. (1) suggest that the cell membrane and cytoplasmic protein genes might have been a “contamination” by undigested cryptophyte cells in *Mesodinium* food vacuole. We examined this by comparing the expression levels of these genes relative to chloroplast

protein ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco) in our *Mesodinium*-symbiotic versus in free-living *T. amphioxeia*. We found that the ammonium transporter [reads per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (RPKM) = 321] and pyruvate kinase (RPKM = 290) to Rubisco (RPKM = 11.73) ratios in our sample, 27 and 25, respectively, were remarkably higher than the ratios ($69.19/195.67 = 0.35$ and $55.73/195.67 = 0.28$) in the free-living culture (3). A lower ratio would be expected for the scenario of contamination, especially given the high ratio of chloroplast to vacuole-contained cell in figure 1 of Johnson et al. (1).

We agree that our *Mesodinium*-farming-*Teleaulax* postulation would have been strengthened had we obtained time series samples. This should be pursued in the future. However, although this one sample would be inadequate for proving the absence of any cellular structure or gene expression, the detection of any cellular structure and gene expression in this sample is still proof of their presence.

These new assessments have bolstered our view (2) that the *T. amphioxeia* in the bloom *Mesodinium* we investigated were whole-cell endosymbionts. Findings by Johnson et al. (ref. 1 and references therein) of gene expression of cryptophyte nuclei and chloroplasts in *Mesodinium* are consistent with this postulation. We differ only in the interpretation of the altered cellular structure of the cryptophyte in *Mesodinium*. We believe that the alteration, including cytoplasm shrinking and cell membrane thinning, might have been rendered by the host to facilitate symbiosis. Nevertheless, ultimate proof will require further scrutiny, including mechanistic understanding of how the host ciliate regulates the function of the endosymbiont.

^aChinese Academy of Sciences Key Laboratory of Tropical Marine Bio-Resources and Ecology, South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou 510301, China; ^bState Key Laboratory of Marine Environmental Science, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China; ^cXiamen City Key Laboratory of Urban Sea Ecological Conservation and Restoration, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China; and ^dDepartment of Marine Sciences, University of Connecticut, Groton, CT 06340

Author contributions: D.Q., L.L., and S.L. analyzed data; and D.Q. and S.L. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: senjie.lin@uconn.edu.

