

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript Ageing Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 March 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Ageing Soc. 2017 March; 37(3): 495–516. doi:10.1017/S0144686X15001221.

Family size and old-age wellbeing: effects of the fertility transition in Mexico

CARLOS DÍAZ-VENEGAS*, JOSEPH L. SÁENZ[†], and REBECA WONG[‡]

^{*}Rehabilitation Sciences Academic Division and Research Center, The University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, USA.

[†]Preventive Medicine and Community Health, The University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, USA.

[‡]Sealy Center on Aging, The University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, USA.

Abstract

The present study aims to determine how family size affects psycho-social, economic and health wellbeing in old age differently across two cohorts with declining fertility. The data are from the 2012 Mexican Health and Ageing Study (MHAS) including respondents aged 50+ (N = 13,102). Poisson (standard and zero-inflated) and logistic regressions are used to model determinants of wellbeing in old age: psycho-social (depressive symptoms), economic (consumer durables and insurance) and health (chronic conditions). In the younger cohort, having fewer children is associated with fewer depressive symptoms and chronic conditions, and better economic wellbeing. For the older cohort, having fewer children is associated with lower economic wellbeing and higher odds of being uninsured. Lower fertility benefited the younger cohort (born after 1937), whereas the older cohort (born in 1937 or earlier) benefited from lower fertility only in chronic conditions. Further research is needed to continue exploring the old-age effects of the fertility transition.

Keywords

fertility transition; Mexico; elderly wellbeing; number of children; MHAS

Introduction

It is now well-established that Mexico is undergoing epidemiological and demographic transitions, in which several dominant forces are occurring. First, under the epidemiological transition, chronic conditions have gained importance as main causes of mortality and illness, while infectious and parasitic diseases are losing relative ground but remain present in some parts of the country. Second, under the demographic transition, lower mortality and fertility patterns were reached rapidly over the last 50 and 30 years of the 20th century, respectively (Brea 2003; Pérez-Brignoli 2010). In addition, Mexico experienced a rural to urban population shift in the last 60 years. In 1960, around 49 per cent of the population

Address for correspondence: Carlos Díaz-Venegas, Konrad-Zuse-Str. 1, Rostock, Germany, 18057. cadiazve@utmb.edu.

lived in rural areas but this percentage decreased steadily to 23 per cent by 2010 (Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía 2011). This combination of transitions gave rise to a high speed of population ageing. However, the social and political context within which this ageing process is occurring is still under-developed. Institutional support systems are lacking or scarce and financial markets are not available for the majority of people (Wong and Palloni 2009).

Without a doubt, one of the most salient transitions that Mexico experienced was a decline in the fertility rate (Zavala de Cosío 1992). Family planning and contraceptive use began in Mexico in 1959. In the early 1960s, Mexico increased the promotion of family planning and the control of fertility rates. Further, between 1972 and 1976 Mexico liberalised laws banning the promotion and commercialisation of contraceptives and the Family Planning Commission was established. By 1976, the total fertility rate (TFR) lingered around 6 (Secretaría de Programación y Presupuesto and Instituto de Investigadores Sociales de la U.N.A.M. 1976). One year later, Mexico promoted the first National Family Planning Programme with five-year goals to encourage couples to have fewer children with the slogan 'La familia pequeña vive mejor' ('Small families live better') (Tuirán et al. 2002). The impact on the TFR happened in three separate stages (Romo-Viramontes and Sánchez-Castillo 2009), with the first showing an accelerated reduction between 1977 and 1980 when the TFR decreased to approximately 4.4 (Consejo Nacional de Población 1983). The second stage consisted of a moderate reduction between 1981 and 1998 when the TFR fluctuated around 2.8 (Consejo Nacional de Población 2011). Finally, the third stage occurred between 1999 and 2010 and was marked by a spike followed by a reduction that stabilised the TFR around 2.3 (Consejo Nacional de Población 2011).

One of the main objectives of family planning programmes was the diffusion and promotion of contraceptive options that could help women in the process of delaying childbearing or preventing unwanted pregnancies. However, contraceptives were primarily available for educated females living in large cities. Uneducated women and women living in rural areas had virtually no knowledge of the existence of these options and often relied on 'traditional' methods motivated by religious beliefs (Licea de Arenas, Arenas and Valles 2002). This created two separate demographic transitions because a large fraction of the female population, primarily those living in rural areas, experienced these changes after the planning programmes had been in place for over 15 years (Cabrera 1994; Juárez *et al.* 2009).

Socio-economic differences were present in the initial stages of the programme. In the late 1960s, nearly 95 per cent of women aged 15–49 living in urban areas were aware of at least one contraceptive method while only 44 per cent of women living in rural areas knew any of the available options. By 1976, the percentages increased to 98 per cent and 80 per cent in urban and rural areas, respectively. Further, the contraceptive pill was and still is the most widely known method. In 1976, around 82 per cent knew about this option and by 1997 it increased to 94 per cent (Zúñiga, Zubieta and Ayala 2000).

In terms of use, in the early 1970s, women who used contraceptive methods preferred the pill (36%) *versus* 'traditional' methods such as rhythm or withdrawal (23%) and the intrauterine device (19%). In the early 2000s, female permanent sterilisation (45%) and the

intrauterine device (22%) were the two preferred contraceptive methods among female users while the demand for short-term contraceptive options has declined considerably over the past 20 years (Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía 2007; Miranda 2006). A cohort effect emerged among Mexican females as a result of this process as females born in different years experienced different effects of the fertility decline (Zavala 2014).

The concept of a cohort has been widely used in the social sciences and refers to a group of individuals who share a common trait or a common event (Glenn 2005). Age cohorts are often used as a tool to conduct social studies (Ryder 1965) and in our study we seek to understand the effect that a change in a demographic component (fertility) had on old-age wellbeing among two groups that had different fertility patterns. As a result, different fertility (captured by the number of children ever born) will be associated with the wellbeing of elderly individuals by impacting health and socio-economic variables including the number of depressive symptoms, accumulated assets and others. Dividing the sample into separate age groups will allow us to assess the old-age consequences of lower fertility for each cohort.

Based on previous research demonstrating that fertility began decreasing among cohorts born after 1937 (Zavala 2014), we split our sample into two cohorts (one aged 50–74 years old and one aged 75 years or older in 2012) to examine differences across cohorts with different levels of fertility. The older cohort (aged 75 or older in 2012) was born in 1937 or earlier. Their reproductive cycle began (at age 15) approximately between 1935 and 1952 and ended (at age 49) between 1969 and 1986. This cohort experienced the introduction of family planning policies after 1975. Thus, women enjoyed the benefits of these policies when their reproductive cycle was almost over. In contrast, the younger cohort (aged 50–74 in 2012) was born between 1938 and 1962. Their reproductive cycle began (at age 15) between 1953 and 1977 and ended (at age 49) between 1987 and 2011. Compared to the older women, this cohort enjoyed the benefits of family planning for more years of their reproductive cycle and also had more years of schooling that were reflected in a higher use of contraceptive methods, delayed marriage and delayed childbearing.

The TFR¹ is a synthetic measure that represents the average number of children a woman would have during her reproductive years (ages 15–49), assuming she survived all those years and subject to the current fertility rate at each age (United Nations 2015). Figure 1 presents the TFR for Mexico between 1930 and 2010. These years include the reproductive period of the two age cohorts and illustrate the effect of the family planning policies for each cohort. Therefore, as expected, women in the older cohort exhibit little change in the TFR (*see* solid black line in the figure). In contrast, the younger cohort exhibits a much sharper decline in TFR (*see* dashed grey line in the figure).

The impact of the fertility transition brought changes to the family dynamics and, as a result, social support for older people also changed. Normally, older people and their wellbeing depend on the extended family (defined vertically or horizontally). Family members tend to make different investments in human and social capital (*e.g.* health, education and support)

¹Calculated as TFR = Σ ASFR_a, where ASFR is the age-specific fertility rate for women in age group a.

Ageing Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 March 01.

for the wellbeing of the members regardless of age (Becker 1993; Lee and Mason 2011). Intra-family support can flow from adult children to older parents or from older parents to children and grandchildren, making the flow of support dynamic and multi-directional. Over time, support may flow in any direction and may respond to temporary needs such as health shocks or high rates of unemployment in a region (Biddlecom, Chayovan and Ofstedal 2002).

Social support from children to their elderly parents has been previously studied in developed countries (*see e.g.* Felton and Berry 1992; Lee, Netzer and Coward 1995; Umberson 1992). However, family interactions are expected to vary over the fertility transition experienced in developing nations. In Latin America, older people are still regarded with respect and admiration because they fulfil a role in the family by providing advice, experience and knowledge. In addition, the concept of family can sometimes take precedence over individualism and personal independence (Beyene, Becker and Mayen 2002). Therefore, adult children are normally viewed as the 'traditional' care-givers and primary source of support for older people.

In Mexico, Wong and Higgins (2007) reported that older individuals are more likely to start receiving economic help and to stop giving it in response to the financial conditions of those involved. However, this is not the same finding for non-economic help (*e.g.* providing assistance with chores or transportation), which seems to respond more to health shocks. In this case, older individuals who were not receiving help and became sick were more likely to start receiving non-economic support. In addition, older adults who had more living children were also more likely to receive both economic and non-economic help.

In developing countries like Mexico, around 55 per cent of adults aged 60 or older live with adult children because co-residence is mutually beneficial (Bongaarts and Zimmer 2002). The former receive health and financial support while the latter receive help in household-related activities (De Vos 1990; Ruggles and Heggeness 2008). However, a transformation is expected to occur in many countries that are ageing rapidly. Changes in living arrangements motivated by the rapid decline of fertility rates will affect the traditional order in which elderly wellbeing rests solely on the younger generations (Solé-Auró and Crimmins 2014). This order is also being affected by socio-economic changes that threaten the traditional filial support as younger generations face limited economic resources to sustain their nuclear family and hence their extended families (Chen and Silverstein 2000; González-Vázquez *et al.* 2007).

As mentioned before, research on fertility levels and old-age wellbeing has focused on developed countries. Given low institutional support for older people and the traditional environment of prevailing support from children to older parents in Mexico, fertility declines may present major concerns for current and future generations of older adults. A key question regarding this transition is whether the fertility decline has resulted in a significant and noticeable change in the wellbeing of older adults.

This paper contributes to this research gap by analysing how two different cohorts have been affected by changes in fertility rates in a developing country such as Mexico. The

association between number of children and parental wellbeing vary by population subgroups and by stage of the lifecycle (Margolis and Myrskylä 2011). The fertility behaviour of an individual will be modified by past, present and even expectations on the future (such as social norms, culture and government institutions) and internal factors (such as personal resources and biological characteristics) (Huinink and Kohli 2014). People at childbearing age with more children will experience more stress than those without children (Koropeckyj-Cox, Pienta and Brown 2007), but this relationship is not evident at old age (McLanahan and Adams 1987).

Since there can be positive and negative consequences of low parity, the fertility transition could have produced various consequences for parents in old age. First, negative, as fewer adult children represent fewer opportunities for assistance to older parents in financial and non-financial terms. Second, there might be positive effects of low fertility by reducing the economic and psychological stress associated with raising a large family (Nomaguchi and Milkie 2003). In terms of health, having fewer children may positively influence wellbeing by improving the health consequences in old age of women who had a high number of pregnancies and births. High parity has been linked to higher risk of dying from cancer or chronic heart disease (Dior *et al.* 2013), higher risk of mortality (Doblhammer 2000), long-term illness (Grundy and Tomassini 2005), and overall worse health than women with fewer or no children (Aiken, Angel and Miles 2012; Grundy and Holt 2000; Kington, Lillard and Rogowski 1997).

Thus, we postulate two hypotheses: (a) that having fewer children will affect elderly wellbeing in positive and negative terms; and (b) that low fertility will influence individuals born on or before 1937 differently than individuals born after 1937 in Mexico. We empirically test our hypotheses by evaluating the influence of the number of children on health-, economic- or psychosocial-related outcomes in old age.

Data and methods

Sample

Data are from the Mexican Health and Ageing Study (MHAS), a prospective panel investigation of health and ageing in Mexicans born in 1951 or earlier. Participants were first interviewed in 2001 (92 per cent response rate) with follow-ups in 2003 (93 per cent follow-up rate) and in 2012 (88 per cent follow-up rate) in a stratified sample (N = 15,186) representative of the national population. The database includes information on the participants' economic situation, education, living arrangements, marital status and social network, as well as self-reports of functional capacity and chronic conditions (Mexican Health and Ageing Study 2001). Additionally, in 2012 the sample was refreshed with 6,259 new eligible individuals between ages 50 and 60 to keep the survey nationally representative of the population regarding the construction of the database, *see* Wong, Michaels-Obregón and Palloni 2015).

Our analysis begins with a sample of 14,872 respondents aged 50 or older in 2012. We exclude 1,770 respondents who have incomplete information. Thus the sample for our

analyses includes 13,102 respondents with 10,945 cases for the younger cohort (50-74 years old) and 2,157 respondents for the older cohort (aged 75 and older).²

Covariates

Covariates come from the 2012 survey and include age, sex, education and marital status. Education is reported in the survey as completed years of education and categorised as no education (zero years), incomplete elementary education (one to five years), complete elementary education (six years) and greater than elementary education (seven or more years). Marital status is categorised as married or in a consensual union, widowed and other (divorced, separated or never married). Fertility is captured with *total* number of children ever born³ and is categorised into tertiles as zero to two children, three or four children, and five or more children. While respondents reporting no children may have unique characteristics, this percentage in the older cohort is small (approximately 4%; 95 cases). Because our focus is not necessarily on childlessness, we group together those reporting no children with those reporting one or two to maximise the number of respondents in each category. In analyses not shown, we also separated the childless respondents and created a categorical variable: (a) childless, (b) one or two children, (c) three or four children, and (d) five or more children. Across our regression models, the childless category behaved in similar ways to the category for one or two children. Hence, we chose to leave the groups to avoid reporting results based on few respondents. The number of children ever born includes all the children born during a women's reproductive cycle. Using this variable instead of the number of children currently alive allows us to present a more accurate reflection of how an individual's fertility behaviour might affect theirsocio-economic situation and wellbeing (Adhikari 2010). Further, we use the total number of children ever born as our measure of fertility because a respondent's children may have died throughout the respondent's lifecourse but may have supported the respondent before dying.

Dependent variables

We group our outcome variables into different dimensions of wellbeing following Lindenberg (2001) and Nauck (2001). Both authors divide wellbeing into a physicalmaterial dimension that includes health and economic outcomes, a psychological dimension that includes emotional outcomes, and a social dimension that comprises social approval and behaviour inside social networks. We adapt this approach with the available data from the MHAS.

Our outcome measures are grouped into three dimensions of wellbeing (health, economic and psycho-social) and come from the 2012 survey. Health wellbeing is measured as a count of chronic conditions ranging from zero to six (the list includes: self-reported hypertension, diabetes, stroke, heart attack, cancer and pulmonary conditions). Psycho-social well-being is operationalised through a nine-item Center for Epidemiologic Studies for Depression scale

 $^{^{2}}$ We conducted a power analysis for each cohort (not shown), revealing sufficient statistical power to detect differences in every outcome with one exception: number of depressive symptoms by group had a nearly sufficient statistical power (76%) in the older cohort. ³Additionally, we considered the number of *living* children the respondent has as an alternative variable to capture fertility. We

obtained similar results thus these are omitted.

The use of a variable that clearly captures socio-economic status across studies is not consistent and often researchers switch between proxies like consumption, household or personal income, or expenditures, among others (Bollen, Glanville and Stecklov 2002). Income for elderly respondents is very low as most only receive a small pension from the Social Security system in Mexico but many have savings or other forms of wealth so their income might not be a true reflection of their wellbeing. Therefore, many studies in developing countries use the number of consumer durables in the dwelling as a proxy measure to capture socio-economic status (Bollen, Glanville and Stecklov 2001).

and computer), and having any form of health insurance.

The two main public insurance providers in Mexico are the Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social (IMSS) and the Instituto de Seguridad y Servicios Sociales de los Trabajadores del Estado (ISSSTE). Both of these institutions were created for formal labour market employees and their families so many older adults benefited by having insurance via their children. In recent years, IMSS and ISSSTE have had economic difficulties that resulted in inconsistent service across states. Further, both systems are on the verge of bankruptcy (Aguilar-García 2006).

Many individuals consider buying private insurance as a complement to their public insurance. While in some cases medical attention and coverage with private insurance companies may be superior to those from public institutions, individuals are faced with different types of coverage with varying prices and quality of service. As a result, many are unable to afford private insurance (Giedion, Villar and Ávila 2010).

As the majority of health insurance coverage in Mexico comes from IMSS and ISSSTE, we capture insurance coverage with a dummy variable based on those with any form of coverage *versus* those without coverage. Results from our analytical sample show around 88 per cent of respondents with some type of insurance *versus* 12 per cent without any type of coverage. Within the 88 per cent who had insurance in 2012, around 48.5 per cent was covered by IMSS; 18.2 per cent had access to ISSSTE; 27.2 per cent had access to Seguro Popular (a programme introduced by the federal government in 2003 to offer health insurance to the segment of the population that was not covered by any public or private institution); 4.0 per cent were covered by other public institutions (oil company workers, military and others); and only 2.0 per cent had private insurance.

Statistical analysis

Statistical methods differ based on the type of outcome variable (count/binary). We model health insurance status using logistic regression. The number of depressive symptoms and the number of consumer durables in the household are modelled using standard Poisson regression, while the count of chronic conditions is modelled through a zero-inflated Poisson regression as the number of respondents reporting zero chronic conditions is large (44%). Vuong tests are used to determine if the zero-inflated Poisson regression models fit data

significantly better than standard Poisson regressions (Vuong 1989). Standard Poisson regressions use robust standard errors (Cameron and Trivedi 2010). All data analysis uses

Stata/SE 13.1 (StataCorp. 2013). To examine differences by the two birth cohorts with differing levels of fertility, all models are stratified by birth cohort.

Results

Descriptives

Table 1 shows that important differences are observed between the two birth cohorts. First, regarding fertility, respondents in the older birth cohort had more children. The average number of total children ever born in the younger birth cohort was 4.7 and 6.9 in the older cohort. Additionally, those in the older cohort were more likely to live in rural areas and be widowed. For the health dimension of wellbeing, the older birth cohort had higher counts of chronic conditions. Differences between the two cohorts could be an effect of age rather than cohort, thus the multivariate regressions control for age. For the economic dimension of wellbeing, the older cohort reported poorer economic conditions with fewer consumer durables but similar levels of health-care access. Birth cohorts seemed to differ in the psycho-social dimension of wellbeing, with respondents in the older cohort reporting higher levels of depressive symptoms.

Psycho-social wellbeing

Regression results for measures of psycho-social wellbeing are provided in the first part of Table 2. We use Poisson regression to model the count of reported depressive symptoms. Analyses are stratified by birth cohort in Models 1 and 2 with the younger cohort in Model 1 and the older cohort in Model 2. In the younger birth cohort, lower fertility (zero to two, or three or four, compared to five or more children) is associated with reporting fewer depressive symptoms. However, this association was not observed in the older cohort. Other covariates show significant association with depressive symptoms for both cohorts. For instance, being female and having low educational achievement (zero to six years of schooling, compared to having seven or more) is associated with a higher number of depressive symptoms. Similarly, non-married respondents (divorced, separated, widowed and never married) and those living alone or in extended households exhibit more depressive symptoms compared to married couples and those living in nuclear households, respectively.

Economic wellbeing

Regression results for measures of economic wellbeing are shown in the second part of Table 2. We use Poisson regression to model the count of consumer durables in the household. We find important differences between the two age cohorts. In the younger cohort (Model 1), lower fertility (zero to two, or three or four, compared to five or more children) is associated with *better* economic wellbeing, while in the older cohort (Model 2), lower fertility (zero to two, compared to five or more children) is associated with *better* economic wellbeing, while in the older cohort (Model 2), lower fertility (zero to two, compared to five or more children) is associated with *worse* economic wellbeing. As a second measure of economic wellbeing we consider the respondent's health insurance status. We use logistic regression to predict the odds of being uninsured. The stratification shows that while fertility is not associated with the odds of

being uninsured in the younger cohort (Model 1), lower fertility is associated with higher odds of being uninsured in the older cohort (Model 2).

Other covariates show significant association with both economic outcomes. For the younger cohort, being female and living in an urban area increases the number of consumer durables and reduces the odds of being uninsured. Fewer years of education, living in a single household and not being married increases the odds of being uninsured and also reduces the number of consumer durables. However, for the older cohort, gender, having between one and six years of schooling (only for the uninsured), being a widow, and living in an extended or compounded household show no association with the economic wellbeing of older Mexicans.

Health wellbeing

Regression results for count of chronic conditions are shown in the last portion of Table 2. A Vuong test in Model 1 indicates that the zero-inflated Poisson regression model fits the data significantly better than a standard Poisson regression. Similar results are seen across models which were stratified by birth cohort (Models 1 and 2). In both cohorts, lower fertility (zero to two children compared to five or more children) was associated with *fewer* chronic conditions. Other covariates show significant association with the number of chronic conditions. For example, women have a higher number of chronic conditions for both cohorts while living in an urban area seems to impact positively only those in the younger cohort. The rest of the covariates (marital status, living arrangements and years of education) show weak associations for a few of the categories (*e.g.* one to five years of schooling and extended or compound household) in the younger cohort and no association at all with the health wellbeing of the older cohort. A summary of the effects by cohort are shown on Table 3.

We then illustrate the impact of lower fertility (having zero to two, or three or four, compared to five or more children) on each of the selected outcomes. Figure 2 shows the coefficients of having low fertility (compared to high fertility) in the psychosocial dimension for each age cohort. Both cohorts benefit from having fewer children as the number of depressive symptoms is lower with fewer children but results for the older cohort are not statistically significant.

Figure 3 shows the coefficients of low fertility (*versus* high fertility) in the economic dimension for each age cohort. This is the only case where we find a cohort difference. Having fewer children is associated with a higher number of consumer durables in the younger cohort and with a lower number of consumer durables in the older cohort. Finally, Figure 4 shows the coefficients of low fertility (*versus* high fertility) in the health dimension for each age cohort. Having low fertility (zero to two children) shows the strongest association with lower number of conditions and this is true for both the younger and the older respondents.

Discussion and conclusions

The demographic transition in Mexico brought an accelerated decline in fertility rates. The introduction of family planning programmes and the promotion and distribution of contraceptives reduced Mexico's TFR from over 6 to just above replacement level in around four decades. A cohort effect among Mexican females was created as fertility rates in Mexico started to decrease. Individuals born after 1937 and those born in 1937 or earlier experienced different effects of the fertility decline caused by the family planning programmes in Mexico. Because of the combined drops in fertility and mortality, Mexico also faced a rapid ageing of the population.

Previous research has shown that familial ties are associated with well-being at old age (Attias-Donfut 2001; Grundy and Henretta 2006; Reinhardt, Boerner and Horowitz 2006) and receiving emotional support from adult children benefits older people (Zunzunegui, Béland and Otero 2001). The impact of the fertility transition changed family dynamics and caused a change in the potential amount of social support given to older people. On one hand, in the Mexican socio-economic context, fewer adult children may represent fewer opportunities for economic and emotional support to elderly parents. On the other, low fertility may reduce financial and psychological strain caused by raising a large family with limited resources.

However, research on fertility levels and old-age wellbeing has focused on developed countries like the United States of America. Thus, our study closes the gap by analysing the effects of the fertility transition on economic, psycho-social and health aspects of old-age wellbeing in a developing and family-centric country like Mexico. We used Poisson and logistic regressions to measure four different outcomes (number of chronic conditions, number of consumer durables, insurance coverage and number of depressive symptoms) in three separate dimensions of wellbeing (health, economic and psycho-social).

Overall, we find evidence that having fewer children is associated with various wellbeing outcomes in old age and the association varies across the two age cohorts depending on the outcome (for a summary of results, *see* Table 3). In the economic dimension, for consumer durables in the household, younger cohorts seem to have a *better* economic status associated with low fertility whereas the opposite is observed in the older cohort. On the other hand, lower fertility was associated with higher odds of being uninsured in the older cohort only. This may be because the younger cohorts benefited from the emergence of the social security institutions starting in the 1950s and could gain health insurance coverage on their own, while the older cohort tended to gain health insurance coverage through their children (Aguilar-García 2006). Thus, for the older cohort, having fewer children may have implied fewer opportunities to gain access to health insurance.

Mixed results are observed for psycho-social wellbeing. Lower fertility was associated with fewer depressive symptoms, but only in the younger cohort. Finally, for the health dimension of wellbeing, lower fertility was associated with reporting fewer chronic conditions, an association that was similar for both age cohorts.

Not surprisingly in the Mexican context, urban and rural differences were significant, particularly in the economic dimension models. Living in an urban area was associated with the number of consumer durables owned by both cohorts while it seems to benefit the younger cohort in terms of insurance coverage. Socio-economic conditions influence the financial stability and wellbeing of individuals (Wong and DeGraff 2009), and urban households with more consumer durables have the ability to react better to income fluctuations than rural households (Dhawan-Biswal 2002).

Our study comes with some limitations. First, we are basing our hypotheses in the traditional familial support structure of adult children providing assistance and becoming primary caregivers for their elderly parents. Younger generations are becoming less likely to follow traditional pathways as issues like co-habitation, divorce, fewer children, among others, gain more weight in modern societies (Ryan and Willits 2007). Second, while our analysis includes the total number of children ever born, key characteristics of the children are not included. For example, the analysis may be strengthened by considering the sex and living arrangements of the children. The child may or may not live in the same household or even the same city or state as the respondent. In addition, the socio-economic characteristics of the children were not considered.

Third, mortality bias might be present as respondents in the older cohort are more likely to experience higher mortality than those in the younger cohort. Fourth, prevalence of undiagnosed conditions, like diabetes or hypertension, among cohorts with different types of access to health-care services might modify the findings in the health dimension. Fifth, the MHAS has no available data on preferences, access or the time of purchase of the consumer durables. We assume that having access to a given consumer durable provides wellbeing to the older adults, regardless of its net worth or time of purchase. The purpose of including consumer durables in our models is not to capture the net worth of the item itself or how long ago it was purchased, but to measure the potential wellbeing that the item brings to older respondents by having access to these items at home.

Further research is needed to confirm these results and to continue exploring the effects of the fertility transition as data on younger cohorts become available. The inclusion of other health-related variables, such as smoking and drinking behaviours, and economic-related variables, such as consumption and savings, among others, might be useful to determine if the number of children has other impacts on the wellbeing of elderly Mexicans. In addition, a comparison between Mexico and another rapidly ageing developing country like Costa Rica may be useful as these countries have experienced fertility declines at different times.

Acknowledgements

This work is funded by the National Institute on Aging (NIA) at the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (grant R01 AG018016 and Health of Older Minorities Award T32 AG000270), by the National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research (NIDRR) (postdoctoral training grant H133P110012), and by infrastructure and resources of the Sealy Center on Aging at the University of Texas Medical Branch. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards or Ethics Committees of the University of Texas Medical Branch in the United States of America, the Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía (INEGI) and the Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública (INSP) in Mexico.

References

- Adhikari R. Demographic, socio-economic, and cultural factors affecting fertility differentials in Nepal. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2010; 10(19):1–11. [PubMed: 20059767]
- Aguilar-García J. La seguridad social y las reformas a los sistemas de pensiones en México. Estudios Políticos, Octava Época. 2006; 8(8):133–70.
- Aiken ARA, Angel JL, Miles TP. Pregnancy as a risk factor for ambulatory limitation in later life. American Journal of Public Health. 2012; 102(12):2330–5. [PubMed: 23078483]
- Attias-Donfut C. The dynamics of elderly support: the transmission of solidarity patterns between generations. Zeitschrift für Gerontologie und Geriatrie. 2001; 34(1):9–15. [PubMed: 11310233]
- Becker, GS. Human Capital: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis, with Special Reference to Education. Third edition. The University of Chicago Press; Chicago: 1993.
- Beyene Y, Becker G, Mayen N. Perception of aging and sense of well-being among Latino elderly. Journal of Cross-cultural Gerontology. 2002; 17(2):155–72. [PubMed: 14617971]
- Biddlecom, A., Chayovan, N., Ofstedal, MB. Intergenerational support and transfers. In: Hermalin, AI., editor. The Well-being of the Elderly in Asia: A Four-country Comparative Study. The University of Michigan Press; 2002. p. 185-230.
- Bollen KA, Glanville JL, Stecklov G. Socioeconomic status and class in studies of fertility and health in developing countries. Annual Review of Sociology. 2001; 27:153–85.
- Bollen KA, Glanville JL, Stecklov G. Economic status proxies in studies of fertility in developing countries: does the measure matter? Population Studies. 2002; 56(1):81–96. [PubMed: 22010844]
- Bongaarts J, Zimmer Z. Living arrangements of older adults in the developing world: an analysis of demographic and health survey household surveys. Journals of Gerontology: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences. 2002; 57B(3):S145–57.
- Brea, JA. Population Dynamics of Latin America. Population Reference Bureau; Washington, DC: 2003. Population Bulletin 58
- Cabrera, G. Demographic dynamics and development: the role of population policy in Mexico. In: Finkle, JL., McIntosh, A., editors. The New Politics of Population: Conflict and Consensus in Family Planning. Population Council; New York: 1994. p. 105-20.
- Cameron, CA., Trivedi, PK. Microeconometrics Using Stata. Stata Press; College Station, Texas: 2010.
- Chen X, Silverstein M. Intergenerational social support and the psychological well-being of older parents in China. Research on Aging. 2000; 22(1):43–65.
- Consejo Nacional de Población. Encuesta Nacional Demográfica, 1982. CONAPO; Mexico City: 1983.
- Consejo Nacional de Población. [Accessed on May–June 2014] Indicadores Demográficos Básicos Nacionales 1990–2010. 2011. Available online at http://www.conapo.gob.mx/es/CONAPO/ Indicadores_Demograficos_Basicos_1990-2010
- De Vos S. Extended family living among older people in six Latin American countries. Journals of Gerontology: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences. 1990; 45B(3):S87–94.
- Dhawan-Biswal U. Consumption and income inequality: the case of Atlantic Canada from 1969–1996. Canadian Public Policy/Analyse de Politiques. 2002; 28(4):513–37.
- Dior UP, Hochner H, Friedlander Y, Calderon-Margalit R, Jaffe D, Burger A, Avgil M, Manor O, Elchalal U. Association between number of children and mortality of mothers: results of a 37-year follow-up study. Annals of Epidemiology. 2013; 23(1):13–18. [PubMed: 23176782]
- Doblhammer G. Reproductive history and mortality later in life: a comparative study of England and Wales and Austria. Population Studies. 2000; 54(2):169–76. [PubMed: 11624633]
- Felton BJ, Berry CA. Do the sources of the urban elderly's social support determine its psychological consequences? Psychology and Aging. 1992; 7(1):89–97. [PubMed: 1558710]
- Giedion, Ú., Villar, M., Ávila, A. Los Sistemas de Salud en Latinoamérica y el Papel del Seguro Privado. F. MAPFRE; Madrid: 2010.
- Glenn, ND., editor. Cohort Analysis. Second edition. Sage; Thousand Oaks, California: 2005.

- González-Vázquez T, Bonilla-Fernández P, Jaúregui-Ortiz B, Yamanis TJ, Salgado de Snyder VN. Well-being and family support among elderly rural Mexicans in the context of migration to the United States. Journal of Aging and Health. 2007; 19(2):334–55. [PubMed: 17413139]
- Grundy E, Henretta JC. Between elderly parents and adult children: a new look at the intergenerational care provided by the 'sandwich generation'. Ageing & Society. 2006; 26(5):707–22.
- Grundy E, Holt G. Adult life experiences and health in early old age in Great Britain. Social Science and Medicine. 2000; 51(7):1061–74. [PubMed: 11005393]
- Grundy E, Tomassini C. Fertility history and health in later life: a record linkage study in England and Wales. Social Science and Medicine. 2005; 61(1):217–28. [PubMed: 15847974]
- Huinink J, Kohli M. A life-course approach to fertility. Demographic Research. 2014; 30(45):1293–326.
- Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía. Mujeres y Hombres en México, 2007. INEGI; Aguascalientes, Mexico: 2007.
- Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía. [Accessed on May–June 2014] Tasa Específica de Fecundidad, Global de Fecundidad y Bruta de Reproducción: Años Seleccionados de 1930 a 2005. Sistema para la Consulta de las Estadísticas Históricas de México, 2009. 2009. Available online at http://dgcnesyp.inegi.org.mx/cgi-win/ehm.exe/CI010090
- Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía. [Accessed on May–June 2014] Población Total Según Tamaño de Localidad, 1900 a 2010. Población: Volumen y Crecimiento. 2011. Available online at http://www.inegi.org.mx/est/contenidos/proyectos/graficas_temas/epobla05.htm?s=estandc=17501
- Juárez F, Singh S, García SG, Díaz-Olavarrieta C. Estimaciones del aborto inducido en México: ¿Qué ha cambiado entre 1990 y 2006? Perspectivas Internacionales en Salud Sexual y Reproductiva, Número Especial de 2009. 2009:4–14.
- Kington R, Lillard L, Rogowski J. Reproductive history, socioeconomic status, and self-reported health status of women aged 50 years or older. American Journal of Public Health. 1997; 87(1):33–7. [PubMed: 9065223]
- Koropeckyj-Cox T, Pienta AM, Brown TH. Women of the 1950s and the 'normative' life course: the implications of childlessness, fertility timing, and marital status for psychological well-being in late midlife. International Journal of Aging and Human Development. 2007; 64(7):299–330. [PubMed: 17703677]
- Lee GR, Netzer JK, Coward RT. Depression among older parents: the role of intergenerational exchange. Journal of Marriage and the Family. 1995; 57(3):823–33.
- Lee, R., Mason, A. Population ageing, intergenerational transfers, and economic growth: Asia in a global context. Paper presented at the Policy Research and Data Needs to Meet the Challenges and Opportunities of Population Aging in Asia; New Delhi. 14–15 March; 2011.
- Licea de Arenas J, Arenas M, Valles J. La píldora anticonceptiva en América Latina y el Caribe. Estudio bibliométrico. Anales de Documentación. 2002; 5(1):213–22.
- Lindenberg S. Intrinsic motivation in a new light. Kyklos. 2001; 54(2/3):317-42.
- Margolis R, Myrskylä M. A global perspective on happiness and fertility. Population and Development Review. 2011; 37(1):29–56. [PubMed: 21714198]
- McLanahan S, Adams J. Parenthood and psychological well-being. Annual Review of Sociology. 1987; 13(5):237–57.
- Mexican Health and Ageing Study. [Accessed on May–June 2014] Data Files and Documentation (Public Use): Wave 1. 2001. Available online at http://www.mhasweb.org
- Mexican Health and Ageing Study. [Accessed on May–June 2014] Data Files and Documentation (Public Use): Wave 3. 2012. Available online at http://www.mhasweb.org
- Miranda A. Are young cohorts of women delaying first birth in Mexico? Journal of Population Economics. 2006; 19(5):55–70.
- Nauck B. Der Wert von Kindern für ihre Eltern. Kölner Zeitschrift für Soziologie und Sozialpsychologie. 2001; 53(3):407–35.
- Nomaguchi KM, Milkie MA. Costs and rewards of children: the effects of becoming a parent on adults' lives. Journal of Marriage and the Family. 2003; 65(2):356–74.

- Pérez-Brignoli H. América Latina en la transición demográfica, 1800–1980. Población y Salud en Mesoamérica. 2010; 7(2)
- Radloff LS. The CES-D Scale: a self-report depression scale for research in the general population. Applied Psychological Measurement. 1977; 1(3):385–401.
- Reinhardt JP, Boerner K, Horowitz A. Good to have but not to use: differential impact of perceived and received support on well-being. Journal of Social and Personal Relationships. 2006; 23(1):117–29.
- Romo-Viramontes, R., Sánchez-Castillo, M. El descenso de la fecundidad en México, 1974–2009: a 35 años de la puesta en marcha de la nueva política de población. In: CONAPO., editor. La Situación Demográfica de México 2009. CONAPO; Mexico City: 2009. p. 23-38.
- Ruggles S, Heggeness M. Intergenerational coresidence in developing countries. Population Development Review. 2008; 34(2):253–81. [PubMed: 21562612]
- Ryan AK, Willits FK. Family ties, physical health, and psychological well-being. Journal of Aging and Health. 2007; 19(6):907–20. [PubMed: 18165288]
- Ryder NB. The cohort as a concept in the study of social change. American Sociological Review. 1965; 30(6):843–61. [PubMed: 5846306]
- Secretaría de Programación y Presupuesto and Instituto de Investigadores Sociales de la U.N.A.M. (SPP-IISUNAM). Encuesta Mexicana de Fecundidad 1976. SPP-IISUNAM; Mexico City: 1976.
- Solé-Auró A, Crimmins EM. Who cares? A comparison of informal and formal care provision in Spain, England and the USA. Ageing & Society. 2014; 34(3):495–517. [PubMed: 24550574]
- StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 13. StataCorp.; College Station, Texas: 2013.
- Tuirán, R., Partida, V., Mojarro, O., Zúñiga, E. [Accessed on May–June 2014] Fertility in Mexico: Trends and Forecast. 2002. Available online at http://www.un.org/esa/population/publications/ completingfertility/RevisedTUIRAN-PARTIDApaper.PDF
- Umberson D. Relationship between adult children and their parents: psychological consequences for both generations. Journal of Marriage and the Family. 1992; 54(3):664–74.
- United Nations. World Population Prospects: The 2015 Revision. United Nations Population Division, Department of Economic and Social Affairs; New York: 2015. Available online at http:// esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/General/GlossaryDemographicTerms.aspx [Accessed on May–June 2014]
- Vuong QH. Likelihood ratio tests for model selection and non-nested hypotheses. Econometrica. 1989; 57(2):307–33.
- Wong R, DeGraff DS. Old-age wealth in Mexico: the role of reproductive, human capital, and employment decisions. Research on Aging. 2009; 31(4):413–39. [PubMed: 20694054]
- Wong, R., Higgins, M. Dynamics of intergenerational assistance in middle- and old-age in Mexico. In: Angel, JL., Whitfield, KE., editors. The Health of Aging Hispanics: The Mexican-origin Population. Springer; New York: 2007. p. 99-120.
- Wong R, Michaels-Obregón A, Palloni A. Cohort profile: the Mexican Health and Aging Study (MHAS). International Journal of Epidemiology. 2015; [Accessed 27 January 2015] First published online. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyu263
- Wong, R., Palloni, A. Aging in Mexico and Latin America. In: Uhlenberg, P., editor. International Handbook of Population Aging. Springer; Dordrecht, The Netherlands: 2009. p. 231-52.
- World Bank. [Accessed on May–June 2014] Fertility Rate, Total for Mexico. Archival Economic Data of the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis (ALFRED). 2015. Available online at https://alfred.stlouisfed.org/fred2/series/SPDYNTFRTINMEX/
- Zavala de Cosío, ME. Cambios de Fecundidad en México y Políticas de Población. El Colegio de México y Fondo de Cultura Econónomica; Mexico City: 1992.
- Zavala, ME. La transición demográfica en México (1895–2010): ¿Una transición original?. In: Rabell-Romero, C., editor. Los Mexicanos: Un Balance del Cambio Demográfico. Fondo de Cultura Económica; Mexico City: 2014. p. 80-114.
- Zúñiga, E., Zubieta, B., Ayala, C. Cuadernos de Salud Reproductiva: República Mexicana. Consejo Nacional de Población; Mexico City: 2000.
- Zunzunegui MV, Béland F, Otero A. Support from children, living arrangements, self-rated health and depressive symptoms of older people in Spain. International Journal of Epidemiology. 2001; 30(5): 1090–9. [PubMed: 11689528]



Figure 1.

Total fertility rates for Mexico, 1930–2010, with cohort reproductive cycles. *Notes*: Solid black line represents the older cohort (75 years or older). Dashed grey line represents the younger cohort (50–74 years old). Both lines overlap during the middle years. *Source*: Author's own elaboration with data compiled by the Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía (2009) and by the World Bank (2015).



Figure 2.

Effects of low fertility on the number of depressive symptoms for older Mexican adults by age cohort from the Mexican Health and Ageing Study Wave 3 (2012).

Notes: N = 13,102. Reference category is five or more children.

Source: Authors' calculations with information from the Mexican Health and Ageing Study (2012).

Significance levels: ** *p* < 0.01, *** *p* < 0.001.



Figure 3.

Effects of low fertility on the number of consumer durables for older Mexican adults by age cohort from the Mexican Health and Ageing Study Wave 3 (2012).

Notes: N = 13,102. Reference category is five or more children.

Source: Authors' calculations with information from the Mexican Health and Ageing Study (2012).

Significance levels: ** *p* < 0.01, *** *p* < 0.001.



Figure 4.

Effects of low fertility on the number of chronic conditions for older Mexican adults by age cohort from the Mexican Health and Ageing Study Wave 3 (2012).

Notes: N = 13,102. Reference category is five or more children.

Source: Authors' calculations with information from the Mexican Health and Ageing Study (2012).

Significance levels: ** *p* < 0.01, *** *p* < 0.001.

Table 1

Distribution of characteristics of older Mexican adults by age group, age 50+ from the Mexican Health and Ageing Study Wave 3 (2012)

	50–74	years of age	75+ ye	ars of age	Chi-se	quare test
Characteristics	N	%	Ν	%	x ²	p 1
Unweighted sample size	10,945		2,157			
Children ever born:					596.74	***
0–2	2,434	24.8	284	14.1		
3–4	3,808	35.5	329	16.4		
5+	4,708	39.7	1,381	69.5		
Gender:					9.94	**
Female	6,339	54.6	1,170	52.2		
Location size:					19.14	***
Urban	6,632	51.1	1,198	43.2		
Marital status:					1258.77	***
Married	8,116	74.3	1,014	46.4		
Widowed	1,358	10.1	955	46.1		
Other (divorced/separated/never married)	1,471	15.6	188	7.5		
Educational attainment (years):					714.1	***
None (0)	1,509	13.0	685	37.6		
Incomplete elementary (1-5)	3,150	28.3	842	36.6		
Complete elementary (6)	2,456	22.3	341	13.4		
Beyond elementary (7+)	3,830	36.4	289	12.4		
Living arrangements:					250.05	***
Singleton	610	5.6	273	12.7		
Nuclear family	5,953	54.4	837	38.8		
Compound or extended family	4,382	40.0	1,047	48.5		
Chronic condition count: 2					50.19	***
None	4,935	52.0	794	40.4		
One condition	3,823	32.7	866	38.1		
Two or more conditions	2,187	15.3	497	21.5		
Depressive symptoms: 3					45.73	***
High (5+)	3,363	30.3	823	40.8		
Health-care access					1.10	
Any coverage	9,614	85.1	1,912	86.2		
Number of consumer durables: ⁴					195.71	***
0–3	1,948	20.0	565	31.7		
4–6	5,524	47.0	1,208	54.0		
7 or more	3,473	33.0	384	14.4		

Notes: N=13,102. Weighted results and unweighted sample size.

¹Probability of type 1 error.

 2 Chronic condition count is self-reported hypertension, diabetes, strokes, heart attacks, cancer and pulmonary conditions.

 ${}^{\mathcal{3}}_{}$ Depressive symptoms: nine-item Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression scale.

⁴Consumer durables are self-reported ownership of: radio, television, refrigerator, washer, telephone, water heater, internet and computer.

Source: Authors' calculations with information from the Mexican Health and Ageing Study (2012).

** Significance levels: p<0.01,

*** p<0.001. Principal results for three dimensions of wellbeing of older Mexican adults by age group, age 50+ from the Mexican Health and Ageing Study Wave 3 (2012)

	Psycho-socia	l wellbeing		Economic	wellbeing		Health we	llbeing
	Depressive : (Poiss	symptoms (on)	Consumer (Poiss	durables on)	Uninsure	d (logit)	Chronic co (zero-inflate	nditions d Poisson)
	50–74 years	75+ years	50–74 years	75+ years	50–74 years	75+ years	50–74 years	75+ years
Covariates	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2
				Beta 1	values			
Fertility (total children):								
0-2	-0.14^{***}	-0.03	0.05^{***}	-0.07	0.05	0.67 ***	-0.14^{***}	-0.23
3-4	-0.06	-0.06	0.06^{***}	-0.01	-0.13	-0.06	-0.04	-0.11
5+ (Ref.)								
Demographics:								
Female	0.33^{***}	0.19^{***}	0.04^{***}	0.02	-0.54	0.09	0.27^{***}	0.17^{**}
Age	-0.00	0.00	0.00^{***}	-0.01^{**}	-0.04^{***}	0.04^{**}	0.02^{***}	-0.01^{*}
Urban (Ref. Rural)	-0.01	-0.04	0.20^{***}	0.26^{***}	-0.14 *	-0.52 ***	0.12^{***}	0.07
Education (years):								
0	0.34^{***}	0.52^{***}	-0.44	-0.43	0.81^{***}	1.21^{***}	0.08	0.00
1–5	0.31^{***}	0.39^{***}	-0.29	-0.25	0.59^{***}	0.57	0.11^{***}	0.02
9	0.23	0.31^{***}	-0.19^{***}	-0.13	0.38	0.56	0.09 **	0.07
7+ (Ref.)								
Marital status:								
Widowed	0.11^{***}	0.12^{**}	-0.08	-0.01	0.16	-0.07	-0.02	-0.01
Other (divorced/separated/never married)	0.13 ***	60.0	-0.12	-0.08	0.59 ***	0.50^{*}	-0.07	-0.03
Married/union (Ref.)								
Living arrangements:								
Singleton	0.08	0.10	-0.13	-0.13	0.40^{**}	0.17	0.05	-0.13
Extended/compound	0.05 **	0.03	-0.01	0.03	-0.04	-0.14	0.06^*	0.02

	Psycho-socia	l wellbeing		Economic	wellbeing		Health we	ellbeing
	Depressive s (Poiss	symptoms (on)	Consumer (Poiss	durables on)	Uninsure	l (logit)	Chronic co (zero-inflate	nditions d Poisson)
	50–74 years	75+ years	50–74 years	75+ years	50–74 years	75+ years	50–74 years	75+ years
Covariates	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2
Nuclear (Ref.)								
Health insurance:								
Uninsured							-0.38	-0.31
Sample								
Unweighted N	10,945	2,157	10,945	2,157	10,945	2,157	10,945	2,157
Notes: N= 13.102. Ref.: reference	category.							

caleguly. NOIGS: IN= 13,102. Rel .: Telerence

Source: Authors' calculations with information from the Mexican Health and Ageing Study (2012).

* Significance levels: p < 0.05,

p < 0.01,p < 0.001,p < 0.001.

Ageing Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 March 01.

.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 3

Effects of low fertility (zero to two children) versus five or more children for older Mexican adults by age cohort from the Mexican Health and Ageing Study Wave 3 (2012)

	Cohe	ort
Variable	50-74 years old	75+ years old
Number of depressive symptoms	+	n.s.
Number of consumer durables	+	-
Health insurance	n.s.	-
Number of chronic conditions	+	+

Notes: N = 13,102. The sign refers to the effects of low fertility on the wellbeing of older Mexican adults.

Source: Authors' calculations with information from the Mexican Health and Ageing Study (2012).

Significance level: n.s.: not statistically significant at the 95 per cent confidence level.

.