

Reply to the letter to the editor “chronic disseminated candidiasis” by Kenneth Rolston

Roberta Della Pepa¹ · Livio Pagano² · Claudio Cerchione¹ · Novella Pugliese¹ ·
Fabrizio Pane¹ · Marco Picardi³

Received: 18 November 2016 / Accepted: 9 January 2017 / Published online: 14 January 2017
© The Author(s) 2017. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Dear Editor,

We would like to thank Kenneth Rolston for his comments regarding our recent *Supportive Care in Cancer* article on chronic disseminated candidiasis (CDC) in patients with hematological malignancies on the behalf of SEIFEM (Sorveglianza Epidemiologica Infezioni Fungine in Ematologia) group [1].

We acknowledge the small sample size ($N = 20$) and the retrospective nature of the study, which is probably not enough capable to lead to significant modifications of the CDC treatment recommendations. However, we would like to underline some aspects.

First, the guidelines of the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) strongly recommend the first line therapy of CDC with lipid formulation amphotericin B (AmB) 3–5 mg/kg daily [2]. Our data suggest that high-dose (HD) liposomal AmB (5 mg/kg daily) is the better choice for the treatment of CDC. This is likely due to the fungicide action of HD liposomal AmB in the liver and spleen derived from better tissue concentrations (target of liposomal formulation: reticuloendothelial system) than that of triazoles and echinocandins [3]. In addition, the 5 mg/kg daily dosage for liposomal AmB may be useful for less

susceptible species, such as *Candida glabrata* and *Candida krusei* [2]. On the other hand, in our series, the majority of patients were receiving triazoles prophylaxis and thus had an increased risk of developing infection with a fluconazole-resistant organism [2]. Moreover, according to the IDSA guidelines, fluconazole (6 mg/kg daily) should be administered only for maintenance therapy [2].

Second, 13/20 (65%) patients received diagnosis of probable CDC according to standard criteria, i.e., an alkaline phosphatase increase, hepatic and/or splenic nodules with typical bull’s eye aspect (seen at imaging tools), and blood cultures positive for *Candida* spp. (no polymicrobial sepsis occurred in our series) [4]. Such patients had negative serum galactomannan monitoring and negative thorax radiological assessments; three cases had a serum β -D-glucan assay >80 pg/ml (270, 520, and 370 pg/ml, respectively). Altogether, it is very unlikely that these findings may represent infections due to other organisms, particularly molds. According to the policy of the SEIFEM group, when clinically indicated, we performed liver biopsy using a Menghini-type automatic fine-cutting needle (1.2 mm, 18G) under color ultrasound guidance, as already reported [5, 6]. In fact, the remaining seven patients underwent a mini-invasive procedure that was well tolerated with no discomfort and provided reliable information regarding liver histology, leading to the definitive diagnosis of CDC.

Third, both cases no. 11 and no. 20 died early as a result of CDC (before the definitive microbiological results from blood samples); they were receiving empirical antifungal treatment, respectively, with fluconazole and itraconazole.

Finally, no liposomal AmB-related toxicity of grade ≥ 3 , according to the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE), occurred in our series [7].

✉ Roberta Della Pepa
roberta.dellapepa@unina.it

¹ Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, Hematology, Federico II University, Via Sergio Pansini, 5, 80131 Naples, Italy

² Institute of Hematology, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy

³ Department of Advanced Biomedical Science, Federico II University, Naples, Italy

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

1. Della Pepa R, Picardi M, Sora F, Stamouli M, Busca A, Candoni A, Delia M, Fanci R, Perriello V, Zancanella M, Nosari A, Salutari P, Marchesi F, Pane F, Pagano L, (2016) Successful management of chronic disseminated candidiasis in hematologic patients treated with high-dose liposomal amphotericin B: a retrospective study of the SEIFEM registry. *Supportive care in cancer : official journal of the Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer* 24(9):3839–3845. doi:10.1007/s00520-016-3208-0
2. Pappas PG, Kauffman CA, Andes DR, Clancy CJ, Marr KA, Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Reboli AC, Schuster MG, Vazquez JA, Walsh TJ, Zaoutis TE, Sobel JD (2016) Clinical practice guideline for the management of candidiasis: 2016 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America* 62(4):e1–50. doi:10.1093/cid/civ933
3. Vogelsinger H, Weiler S, Djanani A, Kountchev J, Bellmann-Weiler R, Wiedermann CJ, Bellmann R (2006) Amphotericin B tissue distribution in autopsy material after treatment with liposomal amphotericin B and amphotericin B colloidal dispersion. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 57(6):1153–1160. doi:10.1093/jac/dkl141
4. De Pauw B, Walsh TJ, Donnelly JP, Stevens DA, Edwards JE, Calandra T, Pappas PG, Maertens J, Lortholary O, Kauffman CA, Denning DW, Patterson TF, Maschmeyer G, Bille J, Dismukes WE, Herbrecht R, Hope WW, Kibbler CC, Kullberg BJ, Marr KA, Munoz P, Odds FC, Perfect JR, Restrepo A, Ruhnke M, Segal BH, Sobel JD, Sorrell TC, Viscoli C, Wingard JR, Zaoutis T, Bennett JE, European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer/Invasive Fungal Infections Cooperative Group, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Mycoses Study Group Consensus G (2008) Revised definitions of invasive fungal disease from the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer/Invasive Fungal Infections Cooperative Group and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Mycoses Study Group (EORTC/MSG) Consensus Group. *Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America* 46(12):1813–1821. doi:10.1086/588660
5. Pagano L, Mele L, Fianchi L, Melillo L, Martino B, D'Antonio D, Tosti ME, Posteraro B, Sanguinetti M, Trape G, Equitani F, Carotenuto M, Leone G (2002) Chronic disseminated candidiasis in patients with hematologic malignancies. Clinical features and outcome of 29 episodes. *Haematologica* 87(5):535–541
6. Picardi M, Muretto P, De Rosa G, Sella C, De Renzo A, Persico M, Rotoli B (2002) Color ultrasound-guided fine needle cutting biopsy for the characterization of diffuse liver damage in critical bone marrow transplanted patients. *Haematologica* 87(6):652–657
7. Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) (2009) Version 4.0 (May 28, 2009 (v4.03: June 14, 2010))