RESEARCH PAPER



Serological survey of hepatitis B immunity in healthcare workers in Catalonia (Spain)

A. Domínguez^{a,b}, L. Urbiztondo^c, J. M. Bayas^{a,d}, E. Borrás^{a,b,c}, S. Broner^b, M. Campins^e, J. Costa^f, M. Esteve^g, and the Working Group for the Study of the Immune Status in Healthcare Workers of Catalonia

^aDepartament de Salut Pública, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain; ^bCIBER Epidemiología y Salud pública (CIBERESP), Madrid, Spain; ^cAgència de Salut Pública de Catalunya, Generalitat de Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain; ^dAdult Vaccination Centre, Hospital Clínic, Barcelona, Spain; ^eDepartament de Medicina Preventiva i Epidemiologia. Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebrón, Barcelona, Spain; ^fDepartament de Microbiología, Hospital Clínic, Barcelona, Spain; ^gDepartament de Medicina Preventiva I Epidemiología, Hospital Germans Trías i Pujol, Badalona, Spain

ABSTRACT

Healthcare workers (HCW) are exposed to preventable infectious diseases, notably hepatitis B (HB). The aim of this study was to determine the immunity of HCW against hepatitis B. We made a seroprevalence study using a self-administered survey and obtained blood samples. Antibodies against the HB surface antigen (anti-HBs) and against the HB core antigen (anti-HBc) were studied. The odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated. The adjusted OR were calculated using logistic regression.

Of the 644 HCW who participated (29.7% physicians, 38.7% nurses, 13.4% other clinical workers and 18.3% non-clinical workers), 46.4% were primary care workers and 53.6% hospital workers. The overall prevalence of anti-HBs was 64.4%. HCW aged <25 y had a significantly higher prevalence (86.7%) than those aged 35–44 y (adjusted OR 3.40; 95% Cl 1.06–10.90). The prevalence of anti-HBc was 4.1%, and increased with age. Significant differences were found for HCW aged >44 y with respect to those aged 25–34 y. 75.6% of HCW stated they were vaccinated, but only 39.3% had a vaccination card. In HCW who stated they were unvaccinated, 10.8% had a vaccinated serological pattern (anti-HBc-negative and anti-HBs -positive). Written, updated vaccination records are essential to reliably determine the vaccination status.

Introduction

Hepatitis B (HB) infection is caused by the HB virus, which is transmitted through percutaneous or mucosal contact with infected blood or other body fluids. The HB virus is very stable in the external environment and may remain viable in contaminated surfaces for at least 7 days¹ or even months under appropriate conditions.² Of the blood-borne viruses, including hepatitis C virus and human immunodeficiency virus, HB is the most efficiently transmitted after percutaneous exposure. The risk of unvaccinated health workers acquiring HB infection in a percutaneous accident depends on the infectiousness of the person the blood or bodily fluid comes from. Studies in healthcare workers with percutaneous exposure to blood contaminated by HB virus suggest the risk of transmission is <30% if the source patient is HB surface antigen (HBsAg) and HB e antigen (HBeAg) positive, and < than 6% if HBeAg is negative.3

Healthcare workers (HCW) are one of the main groups at risk of HB infection. The risk is particularly high in HCW with greater exposure to accidental inoculation at work and is related to the duration of professional activity (although it is higher during training), the characteristics of the healthcare center and the type of population served.^{2,4} Infected HCW are also a potential source of infection of the patients they care for. Hepatitis B vaccination is the

KEYWORDS

hepatitis B; healthcare workers; nosocomial transmission; seroprevalence; vaccination

best tool for the primary prevention of HB infection. For these reasons, HCW were one of the first risk groups in whom vaccination was recommended.⁵

HB vaccination of HCW began in Catalonia with the appearance of the first vaccines in the 1980s, with good acceptance, especially of recombinant vaccines.⁶ In 1992, HB vaccination was incorporated into the immunization schedule of Catalonia at 12 y of age, and high vaccination coverages were obtained.⁷ Therefore, most people born after 1980 in Catalonia are vaccinated, including HCW. Although most HCW in Catalonia have had the opportunity to be vaccinated, either in the workplace or through routine vaccination, a percentage of HCW may be susceptible to HB because they have not been vaccinated or do not respond to vaccination.

The aim of this study was to determine the history of HB vaccination or infection and the state of susceptibility or protection against HB infection in HCW in Catalonia in order to implement appropriate strategies for the detection of susceptible HCW and minimize their risk of contracting hepatitis B and infecting the susceptible patients they care for.

Results

Five of the 10 primary healthcare occupational risk prevention services (ORPS) of Catalonia and 6 of the 9 hospital ORPS

CONTACT L. Urbiztondo 🖾 luis.urbitzondo@gencat.cat 📼 Agència de Salut Pública de Catalunya, Generalitat de Catalunya, Spain C/Roc Boronat 81–95, 08002 Barcelona, Spain. © 2017 Taylor & Francis invited to participate in the study accepted. The participating centers were located in 5 of the 7 Catalan health regions, representing 87.6% of the population.

A total of 644 HCW participated in the study, of whom 151 (23.4%) were male and 493 (76.6%) female. The median age was 42 y (range 22–67). The distribution according to professional category was: nurses (249; 38.7%), physicians (191; 29.7%) other clinical workers (86; 13.4%) and non-clinical workers (118; 18.3%). According to type of center, 345 workers (53.6%) came from hospitals and 299 (46.4%) from primary healthcare centers. Less than 5% of HCW in participating ORPS refused to take part in the study.

The overall prevalence of protective HB surface antigen antibodies (anti-HBs) was 64.4%, (95% CI 60.6–68.1), and was higher in HCW born after 1985 and lower in HCW born in 1975 and before. The prevalence of anti-HBs was higher in women, nurses and physicians and in hospital workers. In the multivariate analyses, significant differences were found for HCW aged <25 y (born after 1985) with respect to those aged 35- 44 y (born between 1964 and 1975), in physicians, nurses and clinical workers versus non-clinical workers, and in hospital workers vs. primary care workers (Table 1).

Anti-core antibodies (anti-HBc) were determined in 633 HCW (in 18 subjects no sample was available), of whom 26 (4.1%) were positive. The prevalence of anti-HBc increased with age. In the multivariate analyses, significant differences were found for HCW aged >44 y (born before 1964) with respect to those aged 25–34 y (born between 1985 and 1975). No significant differences between the prevalence of anti-HBc were found according to sex, type of occupation or type of center (Table 2).

Of the 633 HCW with anti-HBc and anti-HBs analyzed, 385 (60.8%) had a serological pattern corresponding to vaccination (anti-HBc negative and anti-HBs positive). The prevalence of HCW with markers compatible with vaccination showed a downward trend with increasing age. Differences were also observed with respect to sex (lower prevalence in men), professional status (lower prevalence in non-clinical workers) and type of center (lower prevalence in primary healthcare centers). In the multivariate analyses, significant differences were found for HCW aged < 35 y (born after 1985) with respect to those

aged > 54 y (born between 1964 and 1975), in women with respect to men, in physicians, nurses and clinical workers with respect to non-clinical workers, and in hospital workers vs. primary care workers (Table 3).

Of the participants, 487 stated they were vaccinated, 112 that they were unvaccinated, and 45 were unaware of their vaccination status: 415 had a vaccination card, which confirmed HB vaccination in 253. In HCW who stated they were vaccinated, the prevalence of anti-HBs was 76.2% (95% CI 72.1–79.9) and was 74.3% (95% CI 68.4–79.6) in those for whom the vaccination card was available. The difference between HCW who stated they were vaccinated and those who stated they were not was statistically significant (p < 0.001). In HCW who stated they were not vaccinated, the prevalence of anti-HBs was 23.2% (95% CI 15.8–32.1), and in HCW who stated they were not vaccinated and were anti-HBc negative, the prevalence of anti-HBs was 10.8% (95% CI 5.7–18.1).

Discussion

The most important result of this study is that the proportion of HCW showing a serological history of HB infection (anti-HBcpositive) is low, especially in younger HCW, without differences according to type of professional activity. This contrasts with the results of a 1993 study of Barcelona HCW, which found a prevalence of markers for HB infection of 16.07%,⁸ similar to the 15.6% (95% CI 13.9-17.3) in the general population found in a 1989 seroprevalence study.⁹ This is probably due to the implementation of preventive measures in the health care setting (standard precautions practices against bloodborne pathogens and vaccination) and to the success of HB vaccination programs performed in Catalonia, both those specifically aimed at HCW and at routine vaccination. This is similar to the results observed in the USA, where the decrease in acute HB infection among HCW probably resulted from routine pre-exposure to HB vaccination and reduced the risk of exposure through improvements in infection-control practices.¹⁰

Nearly two-thirds (64.4%) of the HCW in our study had protective anti-HBs titres (anti-HBs \geq 10 mIU/mL) and most of these were anti-HBc negative, indicating that protection was

Table 1. Prevalence of antibodies against the hepatitis B surface antigen (anti-HBs).

| Variable | n | Prevalence (95% Cl) | OR (95% CI) | p | aOR (95% CI) | р |
|------------------------|-----|---------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| Age (in years) | | | | | | |
| <25 | 30 | 86.7 (69.3–96.2) | 4.25 (1.42–12.73) | 0.010 | 3.40 (1.06–10.90) | 0.040 |
| 25 – 34 | 186 | 68.8 (61.6–75.4) | 1.44 (0.93–2.24) | 0.102 | 1.41 (0.87–2.27) | 0.162 |
| 35 – 44 | 167 | 60.5 (52.6-67.9) | Reference | | Reference | |
| 45 – 54 | 170 | 61.2 (53.4–68.5) | 1.03 (0.67–1.60) | 0.896 | 0.94 (0.58–1.51) | 0.790 |
| ≥55 | 91 | 61.5 (50.8–71.6) | 1.05 (0.62–1.77) | 0.868 | 0.89 (0.51-1.57) | 0.692 |
| Sex | | | | | | |
| Male | 151 | 57.6 (49.3–65.6) | Reference | | Reference | |
| Female | 493 | 66.5 (62.2-70.7) | 1.46 (1.01–2.12) | 0.046 | 1.52 (0.99–2.33) | 0.054 |
| Professional category | | | | | | |
| Physicians | 191 | 69.1 (62–75.6) | 3.90 (2.40-6.34) | < 0.001 | 4.15 (2.49–6.90) | < 0.001 |
| Nurses | 249 | 77.1 (71.4-82.2) | 5.88 (3.65-9.47) | < 0.001 | 5.84 (3.56-9.58) | < 0.001 |
| Other clinical workers | 86 | 55.8 (44.7-66.5) | 2.20 (1.25-3.89) | 0.006 | 1.95 (1.08-3.52) | 0.027 |
| Non-clinical workers | 118 | 36.4 (27.8-45.8) | Reference | | Reference | |
| Type of centre | | | | | | |
| Primary healthcare | 299 | 56.2 (50.4–61.9) | Reference | | Reference | |
| Hospital | 345 | 71.6 (66.5–76.3) | 1.97 (1.42–2.73) | < 0.001 | 1.83 (1.28–2.62) | 0.001 |
| All | 644 | 64.4 (60.6–68.1) | . , | | . , | |

| Table 2. Prevalence of antibod | ies against the he | epatitis B core antige | n (anti-HBc). |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------|
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------|

| Variable | n | Prevalence (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) | р | aOR (95% CI) | р |
|------------------------|-----|---------------------|---------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|
| Age (in years) | | | | | | |
| <25 | 30 | 0 (0–11.6) | 0 | 0.998 | 0 | 0.998 |
| 25 – 34 | 183 | 0.5 (0-3.0) | Reference | | Reference | |
| 35 – 44 | 161 | 3.7 (1.4–7.9) | 7.05 (0.84–59.16) | 0.072 | 7.19 (0.85–61.16) | 0.071 |
| 45 – 54 | 168 | 4.8 (2.1–9.2) | 9.10 (1.13–73.55) | 0.038 | 8.58 (1.04–70.99) | 0.046 |
| ≥55 | 91 | 12.1 (6.2–20.6) | 25.03 (3.18–197.12) | 0.002 | 23.24 (2.9–186.36) | 0.003 |
| Sex | | | | | | |
| Male | 148 | 5.4 (2.4–10.4) | 1.48 (0.63-3.48) | 0.366 | 2.03 (0.75-5.47) | 0.161 |
| Female | 485 | 3.7 (2.2–5.8) | Reference | | Reference | |
| Professional category | | | | | | |
| Physicians | 186 | 3.2 (1.2–6.9) | Reference | | Reference | |
| Nurses | 248 | 4.8 (2.5-8.3) | 1.53 (0.56-4.14) | 0.407 | 1.81 (0.59–5.56) | 0.298 |
| Other clinical workers | 85 | 3.5 (0.7–10) | 1.10 (0.27-4.50) | 0.897 | 1.71 (0.39–7.47) | 0.475 |
| Non-clinical workers | 114 | 4.4 (1.4–9.9) | 1.38 (0.41–4.62) | 0.605 | 1.69 (0.47-6.00) | 0.421 |
| Type of centre | | | | | | |
| Primary healthcare | 299 | 5.7 (3.3–8.9) | 2.18 (0.96-4.96) | 0.064 | 1.73 (0.73-4.09) | 0.212 |
| Hospital | 334 | 2.7 (1.2–5.1) | Reference | | Reference | |
| All | 633 | 4.1 (2.7–6.0) | | | | |

due to vaccination. In any case, an anti-HBs level <10 mIU/mL is equivalent to not being vaccinated, as some vaccinated subjects will not respond to vaccination and, in responders, anti-HBs titres diminish over time, becoming negative in many cases.^{11,12}

The highest proportion of HCW with serological markers of vaccination was found in younger subjects (86.7%). This may be explained by the fact that they belonged to cohorts receiving routine vaccination in childhood, where there is a better response to vaccination anti-HBs. In a study in dental students from the University of Granada with a mean age of 20 y who had been vaccinated at 10–12 y of age, over 70% had anti-HBs levels \geq 10 mIU/mL.¹³

The percentage of HCW with serological markers of vaccination differed according to sex (higher in women), and job characteristics (higher in hospital workers and lower in those with non-clinical jobs). The greater protection in hospital workers may be due to the fact that these workers are continuously engaged in activities aimed at determining the HB immune status and the promotion of vaccination. By contrast, the lower level of immunity in non-clinical workers may be explained by a low level of coverage as they are not considered priority targets for vaccination due to the low risk activities they carry out.

More than 3-quarters of the study participants stated they had received HB vaccination but this was recorded in the vaccination card in only 39.3%. Although the proportion of anti-HBs-positive subjects was much higher and statistically significant in HCW who stated being vaccinated compared with those who stated they were not, 10.8% of the latter group had serological markers of vaccination, emphasizing the need for written information and updated immunization records that reliably record the vaccination status.

HB vaccination coverage in HCWs is not uniform in all countries: according to a WHO estimate, coverages in 2005 ranged from 18% in Africa to 77% in Australia and New Zealand.¹⁴ The 2011 National Health Interview survey (NHIS) found a coverage of 63.8% in the USA HCW (10), and a 2004 study found a coverage of 85% in Belgium.¹⁵

The prevalence of serological markers of infection (anti-HBc positive) is not uniform in all countries, reflecting the prevalence of infection in the general population.² In highly endemic countries, the percentage of HCW who have markers of immunity

| Table 3. P | Prevalence o | of HCW \ | with serologic | al markers of | vaccination | (anti-HBc n | egative and | anti-HBs | positive). |
|------------|--------------|----------|----------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------|------------|
| | | | | | | | | | |

| ariable n | | Prevalence (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) | р | aOR (95% CI) | р |
|------------------------|-----|---------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| Age (in years) | | | | | | |
| <25 | 30 | 86.7 (69.3–96.2) | 5.82 (1.88–18.03) | 0.002 | 5.67 (1.67–19.21) | 0.005 |
| 25 – 34 | 183 | 67.8 (60.5–74.5) | 1.88 (1.12–3.15) | 0.016 | 2.25 (1.27–3.97) | 0.005 |
| 35 – 44 | 161 | 56.5 (48.5–64.3) | 1.17 (0.70–1.95) | 0.563 | 1.37 (0.78–2.40) | 0.272 |
| 45 – 54 | 168 | 57.1 (49.3–64.7) | 1.19 (0.72–1.99) | 0.497 | 1.34 (0.77–2.31) | 0.300 |
| ≥55 | 91 | 52.7 (42.0-63.3) | | | | |
| Sex | | | | | | |
| Male | 148 | 53.4 (45.0–61.6) | | | | |
| Female | 485 | 63.1 (58.6–67.4) | 1.49 (1.03–2.17) | 0.035 | 1.60 (1.04-2.47) | 0.033 |
| Professional category | | | | | | |
| Physicians | 186 | 66.1 (58.8–72.9) | 4.41 (2.67–7.27) | < 0.001 | 4.87 (2.86-8.28) | < 0.001 |
| Nurses | 248 | 73.4 (67.4–78.8) | 6.22 (3.82-10.14) | < 0.001 | 6.39 (3.83-10.64) | < 0.001 |
| Other clinical workers | 85 | 52.9 (41.8-63.9) | 2.54 (1.42-4.55) | 0.002 | 2.17 (1.17-4.00) | 0.014 |
| Non-clinical workers | 114 | 30.7 (22.4–40.0) | | | | |
| Type of centre | | | | | | |
| Primary healthcare | 299 | 51.2 (45.4–57.0) | | | | |
| Hospital | 334 | 69.5 (64.2–74.4) | 2.17 (1.57–3) | < 0.001 | 1.96 (1.37–2.81) | < 0.001 |
| All | 633 | 60.8 (56.9–64.6) | , | | | |
| | | | | | | |

acquired due to HB infection is high: 36.5% in a hospital in Tanzania,¹⁶ 41% in Kenya,¹⁷ 48.8% in Laos (Lao PDR),¹⁸ 73.4% in hospital HCW and 91% in rural Cameroon HCW.¹⁹⁻²⁰

Paradoxically, a study performed in a Polish university hospital found that 15.7% of HCW had anti-HBc, almost 3-fold higher than the rate observed in young Polish military recruits: the authors attributed these results to infections acquired before hepatitis B vaccination.²¹

Our results show a very low level of HB infection in HCW in Catalonia and a high vaccination coverage, especially in younger people. The current situation is probably due to the introduction of the vaccination of HCW as a risk group and the adoption of standard precautions for infection control since the 1980s. However, the reduction in the risk of infection in the healthcare setting was probably decisively influenced by the changes in HB epidemiology caused by the inclusion of the HB vaccine in the routine immunization schedule in the early 1990s, as occurred in the USA.^{1,7,9,22}

One limitation of this study is that, as a convenience sample was used, the results may not be generalizable to all Catalan HCW. Likewise, the serological study was made in HCW who voluntarily attended ORPS health examinations. Therefore, the prevalence of anti-HBs and anti-HBc in the study subjects may differ from that of HCW who did not attend these examinations. However, the large sample size, including hospital and primary healthcare workers from 5 of the 7 Catalan health regions, and the fact that less than 5% of HCW invited to participate refused, suggest that our results may reflect the true situation in many Catalan healthcare centers.

In conclusion, although HB infections have declined substantially since the introduction of HB vaccination, the risk of exposure to HB persists in the healthcare setting. Therefore, there is a need to improve vaccination programs in HCW, in order to protect them from infected persons and vice versa. Since not all vaccinated subjects respond to vaccination, the serological response should be checked one to 2 months after vaccination.^{2,10,23,24} Non-responders should receive a new round of vaccination and, if there is no response, should be fully informed of the risk of infection. Some guidelines recommend annual monitoring of nonresponding HCW to detect possible infection.²² It is essential to have easily-accessible records of the immune status of HCW against HB and other vaccine-preventable diseases.²⁵ In the case of accidental exposure, it may be necessary to have information on the previous immune status, as many vaccinated subjects who responded to the vaccine may have negative anti-HBs at the time of the accident, and this affects post-exposure prophylaxis. Accessing information systems on the immune status of HCW might decrease unnecessary passive post-exposure prophylaxis with hepatitis B immune globulin (HBIG) and revaccination among responder HCW, and also reduce the cost and inconvenience of repeat anti-HBs testing.¹⁰

Material and methods

The study was performed using a convenience sample. ORPS from 10 primary healthcare areas and 9 of the leading tertiary hospitals in Catalonia were asked to recruit patients. HCW were defined as all persons, paid and unpaid, working in healthcare settings potentially exposed to patients and/or infectious materials. HCW included physicians, nurses, other clinical workers (nursing assistants, therapists, technicians, emergency medical service personnel, pharmacists, laboratory personnel, students and trainees) and non-clinical workers (clerical, house-keeping, laundry, security, maintenance, administrative staff and billing). HCW attending voluntary periodic health examinations between June 2008 and December 2010 were informed of the study and were recruited after written informed consent was obtained. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Barcelona. Blood samples were obtained and demographic and epidemiological variables were collected using a questionnaire (age, sex, type of HCW, type of center, history of having had hepatitis B disease and vaccination history) and completed by ORPS physicians and nurses. If available, the vaccination card was also reviewed.

To determine HB immunity, antibodies against the HB surface antigen (anti-HBs) and antibodies against the HB core antigen (anti-HBc) were studied. Anti-HBs were determined using the ADVIA Centaur anti-HBs2 Assay (Siemens Health-care Diagnostics Inc. Tarrytown, New York 10591–5097 USA). According to the manufacturer, the sensitivity and specificity of the method are 100% and 99.4%, respectively. Values \geq 10 mIU/mL were considered protective. Anti-HBc were determined using the ADVIA Centaur HBc Total Assay (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Inc. Tarrytown, New York 10591–5097 USA). According to the manufacturer, the sensitivity and specificity of the method are 99.8% and 98.9%, respectively. Index values \geq 0.5 were considered positive.

The prevalence of anti-HBs and anti-HBc and the 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated using the exact binomial method. The relationship between the dependent variables (anti-HBs and anti-HBc) and the independent variables (age, sex, professional category and type of center) was assessed using the odds ratios (OR) and 95% CI. Odds ratios were adjusted using logistic regression. Statistical significance was established assuming an α error of 0.05.

Data processing and analysis were performed using the SPSS v19.0 for Windows and R 2.13.0 (R Development Core Team 2011) programs.

Abbreviations

| anti-HBc | antibody to hepatitis B core antigen |
|----------|---|
| anti-HBe | antibody to hepatitis e antigen |
| anti-HBs | antibody to hepatitis B surface antigen |
| aOR | adjusted odds ratio |
| CI | confidence interval |
| HB | hepatitis B |
| HBcAg | hepatitis B core antigen |
| HBeAg | hepatitis B e antigen |
| HBIG | hepatitis B immune globulin |
| HBsAg | hepatitis B surface antigen |
| HBV | hepatitis B virus |
| HCW | health care workers |
| OR | odds ratio |
| ORPS | occupational risk prevention service |

Disclosure of potential conflicts of interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Acknowledgments

We thank the workers of the Basic Preventive Units of the Catalan Health Institute and the hospitals that participated in the study.

The members of the Working Group for the Study of the Immune Status in Healthcare Workers in Catalonia are: J Amores, T Catalán, A Company, M Cortés, C Diez, E Domenech, X Esteva, J Funes, R Llobet, A Marinetto, M Plana, J Sol, A Tarragó, M Vendrell (Unitats Bàsiques de Prevenció de Riscos Laborals d'Atenció Primària del Institut Català de la Salut); E Barbé, J Peña, M L Villarte (Hospital Arnau de Vilanova, Unitat Bàsica de Prevenció Lleida Alt Pirineu); MT Mestre, P Carbajo (Hospital U. de Tarragona Joan XXIII); I Casas, R Guerola (Hospital Germans Trias, Badalona); A Gascó (H. Viladecans); A Ayora, I Bravo (Hospital Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona); RM Labarta, J Matllo (Servei Propi Mancomunat de Prevenció de Riscos Laborals, Barcelona); P Varela (Hospital Clínic, Barcelona); J Espuñes, R Moreno, M Martínez (Public Health Agency of Catalonia).

Funding

This study was supported by the Direcció General de Salut Pública, Generalitat of Catalonia, Fundación Bosch i Gimpera (Project 305232) and AGAUR (project 2014 SGR 1403) and CIBERESP.

References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Immunization of health-care personnel recommendations of the advisory committee on immunization practices (ACIP). MMWR Recomm Rep 2011; (RR7):1-45.
- Bonanni P, Bonaccorsi G. Vaccination against hepatitis B in health care workers. Vaccine 2001; 19:2389-94; PMID:11257366; http://dx. doi.org/10.1016/S0264-410X(00)00460-6
- [3] U.S. Public Health Service. Updated U.S. Public Health Service Guidelines for the Management of Occupational Exposures to HBV, HCV, and HIV and Recommendations for Postexposure Prophylaxis. MMWR Recomm Rep 2001; 50(RR-11):1-52.
- [4] Campins M, Torres M, Bayas JM, Serra C, Bruguera M. La vacunación del personal sanitario. Med Clin (Barc) 1999; 113:583-91; PMID:10605686
- [5] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Recommendation of the Immunization practices advisory committee (ACIP). Inactivated hepatitis B Virus Vaccine. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 1982; 31:317-22, 327-8.
- [6] The Spanish Group for the Study of Viral Hepatitis. [Report on the use of hepatitis B vaccine in health personnel in Spanish hospitals] [article in Spanish]. Med Clin (Barc) 1988; 90:355-7; PMID:2969067
- [7] Salleras L, Bruguera M, Taberner JL, Domínguez A, Batalla J, Buti M, Plans P, Navas E, Costa J, Vidal J, et al. [Effectiveness of the mass antihepatitis B program in preadolescents in Catalonia] [article in Spanish]. Med Clin (Barc) 2003; 121(Supl 1):79-82; PMID:12828892
- [8] Alerany C, Zara C, Grifell E, Guarga A. [Vaccination against hepatitis B in health workers and other staff in Barcelona] [article in Spanish]. Aten Primaria 1993; 5(12):480-6.
- [9] Salleras L, Domínguez A, Bruguera M, Plans P, Costa J, Cardeñosa N, Batalla J, Plasència A. Declining prevalence of hepatitis B virus infection in Catalonia (Spain) 12 years after the introduction of universal vaccination. Vaccine 2007; 25:8726-31; PMID:18045753; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2007.10.027
- [10] Schillie S, Murphy TV, Sawyer M, Ly K, Hughes E, Jiles R, de Perio MA, Reilly M, Byrd K, Ward JW, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). CDC guidance for evaluating health-care personnel for hepatitis B virus protection and for administering postexposure management. MMWR Recomm Rep 2013; 62(RR-10):1-19; PMID:24352112

- [11] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. A comprehensive immunization strategy to eliminate transmission of hepatitis B virus infection in the United States: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) part II: immunization of adults. MMWR Recomm Rep 2006; 55(RR-16):1-25.
- [12] World Health Organization. Hepatitis B vaccines WHO position paper. Wkly Epidemiol Rec 2009; 84:405-20; PMID:19817017
- [13] Arias-Moliz MT, Rojas L, Liébana-Cabanillas F, Bernal C, Castillo F, Rodríguez- Archilla A, Castillo A, Liébana J. Serologic control against hepatitis B virus among dental students of the University of Granada, Spain. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 2015; 20:e566-71; PMID:26241457; http://dx.doi.org/10.4317/medoral.20579
- [14] Batra V, Goswami A, Dadhich S, Kothari D, Bhargava N. Hepatitis B immunization in healthcare workers. Ann Gastroenterol 201 28:276-80.
- [15] Vranckx R, Jacques P, De Schrijver A, Moens G. Hepatitis B vaccination coverage in Belgian health care workers. Infection 2004; 32:278-81; PMID:15624891; http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s15010-004-2204-3
- [16] Mueller A, Stoetter L, Kalluvya S, Stich A, Majinge C, Weissbrich B, Kasang C. Prevalence of hepatitis B virus infection among health care workers in a tertiary hospital in Tanzania. BMC Infect Dis 2015; 15:386; PMID:26399765; http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12879-015-1129-z
- [17] Black AP, Vilivong K, Nouanthong P, Souvannaso C, Hübschen JM, Muller CP. Serosurveillance of vaccine preventable diseases and hepatitis C in healthcare workers from Lao PDR. PLoS One 2015; 10: e0123647; PMID:25874696; http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0123647
- [18] Fritzsche C, Becker F, Hemmer CJ, Riebold D, Klammt S, Hufert F, Akam W, Kinge TN, Reisinger EC. Hepatitis B and C: neglected diseases among health care workers in Cameroon. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 2013; 107:158-64; PMID:23303802; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1093/trstmh/trs087
- [19] Birguel J, Ndong JG, Akhavan S, Moreau G, Sobnangou JJ, Aurenche C, Lunel F, Thibault V, Huraux JM. [Viral markers of hepatitis B, C and D and HB vaccination status of a health care team in a rural district of Cameroon][Article in French]. Med Trop (Mars) 2011; 71:201-2; PMID:21695887
- [20] Suckling RM, Taegtmeyer M, Nguku PM, Al-Abri SS, Kibaru J, Chakaya JM, Tukei PM, Gilks CF. Susceptibility of healthcare workers in Kenya to hepatitis B: new strategies for facilitating vaccination uptake. J Hosp Infect 2006; 64:271-7; PMID:16926061; http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.jhin.2006.06.024
- [21] Slusarczyk J, Małkowski P, Bobilewicz D, Juszczyk G. Cross-sectional, anonymous screening for asymptomatic HCV infection, immunity to HBV, and occult HBV infection among health care workers in Warsaw, Poland. Przegl Epidemiol 2012; 66:445-51; PMID:23230715
- [22] Lewis JD, Enfield KB, Sifri CD. Hepatitis B in healthcare workers: Transmission events and guidance for management. World J Hepatol 2015; 7:488-97; PMID:25848472; http://dx.doi.org/10.4254/wjh.v7. i3.488
- [23] Van Damme P. Hepatitis B vaccination of healthcare workers. Viral Hepatitis Prevention Board. Viral Hepatitis 1995; 3:8-10.
- [24] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Updated CDC recommendations for the management of hepatitis B virus infected healthcare providers and students. MMWR Recomm Rep 2012; 61 (RR-3):1-12.
- [25] Chen SY, Anderson S, Kutty PK, Lugo F, McDonald M, Rota PA, Ortega IR, Komatsu K, Armstrong GL, Sunenshine R, et al. Healthcare associated measles outbreak in the United States after an importation: challenges and economic impact. J Infect Dis 2011; 203:1517-25.
- [25] Chen SY, Anderson S, Kutty PK, Lugo F, McDonald M, Rota PA, Ortega IR, Komatsu K, Armstrong GL, Sunenshine R, et al. Healthcare associated measles outbreak in the United States after an importation: challenges and economic impact. J Infect Dis 2011; 203:1517-25.
- [25] Chen SY, Anderson S, Kutty PK, Lugo F, McDonald M, Rota PA, Ortega IR, Komatsu K, Armstrong GL, Sunenshine R, et al. Healthcare associated measles outbreak in the United States after an importation: challenges and economic impact. J Infect Dis 2011; 203:1517-25.