


# Correction

The authors would like to draw the reader’s attention to errors in the following article:

Tsai M-M, Lin PY, Cheng W-L, Tsai C-Y, Chi H-C, Chen C-Y, Tseng Y-H, Cheng Y-F, Chen C-D, Liang Y, Liao C-J, Wu S-M, Lin Y-H, Chung I-H, Wang C-S, Lin K-H. Overexpression of ADP-ribosylation factor 1 in human gastric carcinoma and its clinicopathological significance. *Cancer Sci* 2012; **103**: 1136–44.

In Figure 4(D), two control images (control-1 and control-2) were identical. The correct Figure 4(D) image is shown below.



In Table 2, the table header ‘No.’ should read ‘5-yr S.R.’. The original column for the ‘No.’ header was missing. The correct Table 2 is shown below.

**Table 2.** Analysis of risk factors for 5-year survival rate in 110 gastric cancer patients

Risk factors	No.	5-yr S.R.†	Univariate analysis‡ <i>P</i>	Multivariate analysis§		
				HR¶	95% CI	<i>P</i>
ARF1 (IHC ≥ 90 score)						
Low expression	50	62.9	0.0288	1.987	1.045–3.776	0.036
High expression	60	40.9				
Gross type						
Localized	43	78.8	<0.0001	0.556	0.214–1.441	NS
Infiltrative	67	31.0				
Size (cm)						
<5	60	69.3	<0.0001	1.986	0.929–4.247	NS
≥5	50	25.3				
Histological type						
Intestinal	41	72.2	0.0001	2.063	0.924–4.607	NS
Diffuse	69	36.7				
Serosal invasion						
No (T1, T2)	43	82.3	<0.0001	1.584	0.578–4.340	NS
Yes (T3, T4)	72	30.3				
Lymph node metastasis						
No (N0)	32	93.7	<0.0001	9.985	1.913–52.125	0.006
Yes (N1, N2, N3)	78	32.4				
Distant metastasis (pM)						
No	85	64.0	<0.0001	2.502	1.071–5.848	0.034
Yes	25	4.2				
Peritoneal seeding						
No	91	59.6	<0.0001	1.070	0.441–2.597	NS

Table 2 (Continued)

Risk factors	No.	5-yr S.R.†	Univariate analysis‡ <i>P</i>	Multivariate analysis§		
				HR¶	95% CI	<i>P</i>
Yes	19	5.6				
Vascular invasion						
No	91	57.7	<0.0001	1.435	0.726–2.836	NS
Yes	19	12.1				
Lymphatic invasion						
No	45	79.2	<0.0001	0.769	0.295–2.004	NS
Yes	65	29.5				
Perineural invasion						
No	71	64.9	<0.0001	1.138	0.589–2.197	NS
Yes	39	22.7				

†Five-year survival rate; ‡Log rank test, *P* value < 0.05; §Cox regression method, *P* value < 0.05; ¶Multivariate-adjusted hazard ratio (HR). CI, Confidence interval; NS, not significant.

The authors apologize for these errors and any confusion these may have caused.