OPEN

Structural Level Differences in the Mother-to-Child HIV Transmission Rate in South Africa: A Multilevel Assessment of Individual-, Health Facility-, and Provincial-Level Predictors of Infant HIV Transmission

Selamawit A. Woldesenbet, PhD,*† Debra J. Jackson, DSc,‡§ CJ Lombard, PhD,||¶ Thu-Ha Dinh, MD,# Vundli Ramokolo, MPH,* Tanya Doherty, PhD,*‡**

Gayle G. Sherman, MD, PhD,††‡‡ Yogan Pillay, PhD,§§ and Ameena E. Goga, MD***|||

Objectives: In 2010, South Africa reported an early mother-to-child transmission (MTCT) rate of 3.5% at 4–8 weeks postpartum. Provincial early MTCT rates ranged from 1.4% [95% confidence interval (CI): 0.1 to 3.4] to 5.9% (95% CI: 3.8 to 8.0). We sought to determine reasons for these geographic differences in MTCT rates.

Methods: This study used multilevel modeling using 2010 South African prevention of mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT) eval-

Received for publication September 9, 2016; accepted December 19, 2016. From the *Health Systems Research Unit, South African Medical Research Council, Cape Town; †US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Center for Global Health, Division of Global HIV/AIDS, Pretoria, South Africa; ‡School of Public Health, University of the Western Cape, Cape Town, South Africa; §UNICEF, Knowledge Management and Implementation Research Unit, New York, NY; ||Biostatistics Unit, South African Medical Research Council, Cape Town; ¶School of Public Health and Family Medicine, University of Cape Town, South Africa; #US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Center for Global Health, Division of Global HIV/AIDS, Atlanta, Georgia, US; **School of Public Health, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa; ††Centre for HIV and STI, National Institute for Communicable Diseases of the National Health Laboratory Services, Johannesburg, South Africa; ‡‡Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa; §§National Department of Health, Pretoria, South Africa; || Department of Paediatrics, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa; and ***Health Systems Research Unit, South African Medical Research Council, Pretoria.

Supported by the President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief through the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention under the terms of Cooperative Agreements 1U2GPS001137-02 and 1U2GPS001137-03, the National Department of Health, United Nations Children's Fund, The National Research Foundation, the Clinton Health Access Initiative, African Doctoral Dissertation Research Fellowship, and South African Centre for Epidemiological Modeling and Analysis.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control. Correspondence to: Selamawit A. Woldesenbet, PhD, Center for HIV/STI,

National institute for Communicable Disease, National Health Laboratory Service, private Bag X8, Sandringham 2131, Johannesburg, South Africa (e-mail: SelamawitW@nicd.ac.za).

Copyright © 2017 The Author(s). Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

uation (SAPMTCTE) data from 530 facilities. Interview data and blood samples of infants were collected from 3085 mother–infant pairs at 4–8 weeks postpartum. Facility-level data on human resources, referral systems, linkages to care, and record keeping were collected through facility staff interviews. Provincial level data were gathered from publicly available data (eg, health professionals per 10,000 population) or aggregated at province-level from the SAPMTCTE (PMTCT maternal-infant antiretroviral (ARV) coverage). Variance partition coefficients and odds ratios (for provincial facility- and individual-level factors influencing MTCT) from multilevel modeling are reported.

Results: The provincial- (5.0%) and facility-level (1.4%) variance partition coefficients showed no substantive geographic variation in early MTCT. In multivariable analysis accounting for the multilevel nature of the data, the following were associated with early MTCT: individual-level—low maternal—infant ARV uptake [adjusted odds ratio (AOR) = 2.5, 95% CI: 1.7 to 3.5], mixed breastfeeding (AOR = 1.9, 95% CI: 1.3 to 2.9) and maternal age <20 years (AOR 1.8, 95% CI: 1.1 to 3.0); facility-level—insufficient (≤2) health care-personnel for HIV-testing services (AOR = 1.8, 95% CI: 1.1 to 3.0); provincial-level PMTCT ARV (maternal—infant) coverage lower than 80% (AOR = 1.4, 95% CI: 1.1 to 1.9), and number of health professionals per 10,000 population (AOR = 0.99, 95% CI: 0.98 to 0.99).

Conclusions: There was no substantial province-/facility-level MTCT difference. This could be due to good overall performance in reducing early MTCT. Disparities in human resource allocation (including allocation of insufficient health care personnel for testing and care at facility level) and PMTCT coverage influenced overall PMTCT programme performance. These are long-standing systemic problems that impact quality of care.

Key Words: population-level variations, mother-to-child transmission of HIV, PMTCT, universal access

(J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2017;74:523–530)

INTRODUCTION

By mid-2014, an estimated 170,000 children in low- and middle-income countries were infected annually with HIV through mother-to-child HIV transmission (MTCT). The World Health Organization outlines a case rate of new

pediatric HIV infections ≤50 per 100,000 livebirths as one of the minimum criteria for validating elimination of MTCT of HIV among children.² Sub-Saharan African countries have shown substantial progress in reducing MTCT rates, but achievements vary considerably across populations.³ In South Africa, though the national early (4–8 weeks postpartum) transmission rate from 2 consecutive national surveys (2010, 2011) is reported at 3.5% [95% confidence interval (CI): 2.9 to 4.1] and 2.7% (95% CI: 2.1 to 3.2), respectively, transmission rates vary between provinces ranging from 1.4%–5.9% in 2010 and 2.0%–6.1% in 2011.⁴,⁵ What explains the variation in transmission rates across provinces is not well understood.

Studies show that the South African health system suffers from historical inequity in health resource allocation among and within provinces.⁶ Trends in provincial and local government primary health care (PHC) expenditure per capita (uninsured population) for the years 2011/2012–2014/2015 show an annual minimum 30% difference between the province with the lowest PHC expenditure per capita and the province with the highest.^{7–9} Limited research has been done to assess whether the disparity in resource allocation between provinces, and other facility- and provincial-level factors, has any impact on the performance of the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV (PMTCT) programmes across and within provinces.¹⁰

This article aims to understand the contribution of factors at 3 levels, namely, individual, facility, and provincial, to the early (4–8 weeks postpartum) MTCT measured in each province of South Africa using data from 2010 South African PMTCT Evaluation (SAPMTCTE).

METHODS

Study Design, Sample Size, Sampling

The detailed methods used in this study have been described elsewhere.11 In brief, the SAPMTCTE, from which the early MTCT and individual-level factors have been obtained, was based on a complex survey design. The total population was first stratified by province (n = 9) and within each province, public PHC clinics and community health centers (CHCs) were stratified into 3 groups based on their 6-week annual immunization numbers (extracted from the 2007 district health information system data) and antenatal HIV prevalence of the district (from 2009 antenatal survey): small [<130 annual diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis-1 (DTP1) coverage], medium (130-300 annual DTP1 coverage), large (≥300 annual DTP1 coverage) with below the 2009 national average antenatal HIV prevalence (<29%), and large with above the 2009 national average antenatal HIV prevalence $(\geq 29\%)$. This was followed by a selection of facilities using probability proportional to size (with replacement) sampling methods. At the final step, a fixed number of individual mother-infant pairs attending 6-week immunization visits were recruited consecutively or systematically from each selected facility within a specified period. Individual mother-infant pairs represent the lower-level (level 1) units, who are nested within health facilities (level 2) and health facilities are nested within provinces (level 3) providing a natural 3-level hierarchy.

A detailed description of sample size is presented elsewhere.⁴ In summary, the sample size calculation was targeted to provide national and provincial level stable estimates of transmission rates. The following indicators were taken into account in sample-size calculation: the 2009 antenatal HIV prevalence, ¹³ transmission rate estimates from 2 previous regional surveys, ^{14,15} and the coverage of PMTCT antiretroviral (ARV) prophylaxis in each province from district health information system reports, with varying MTCT precision levels by province (ranging from 1% to 2%) and a design effect of 2 to account for clustering within health facilities. Based on this, a desired sample size [ie, the collection of interview data and dried blood spots (DBSs) of infants] of 12,200 mother–infant pairs from 580 facilities was needed.

Data Collection

Individual-Level Indicators

Mother/caregiver-infant pairs visiting 6-week immunization services at the selected 580 facilities were approached and screened for eligibility by trained nurses. Mothers/caregivers were recruited if their infants were 4-8 weeks old, were receiving DPT1 vaccination that day, had no emergency illness, and mother/caregiver consented to participate in the study. Those who gave consent were interviewed on antenatal and peripartum services received, socio-demographic indicators, and knowledge about PMTCT and PMTCT services received. The infant Road-To-Health-Card was checked for documentation of maternal and infant HIV status, gestational age at birth, and birth weight. We spent 3 weeks in 8 provinces and 4 weeks in 1 province (Northern Cape) in each facility for data collection. Interview data were collected on hand-held devices (cell phone preprogrammed with a questionnaire).

After the interview, individual pretest counseling was given to each mother and if mothers consented, DBSs of infants were collected using heel-prick. Blood specimens of infants were collected from all infants irrespective of prior knowledge of the HIV-exposure status of the infant. DBS specimens were tested for HIV antibodies by means of an enzyme immunoassay (EIA) (Genscreen HIV1/2 Ab EIA Version 2; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Schiltigheim, France). A positive EIA result indicated infant HIV-exposure and was considered a proxy indicator for maternal HIV-positive status. A qualitative HIV polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test [COBAS AmpliPrep/COBAS TagMan (CAP/CTM) Qualitative assay version 1.0 assay; Roche Diagnostics, Branchburg, NJ] was performed on all EIA-positive DBS, and DBS of infants born to self-reported HIV-positive women to determine whether the infant was HIV PCR positive (ie, HIVinfected).

Health Facility-Level Indicators

Data on health facility-level indicators were collected from 530 of the selected 580 facilities after a situational assessment conducted 6 months before the SAPMTCTE. Trained field workers used open-ended and close-ended questions to collect data on human resources for health (HRH), referral systems, record keeping, linkages, and organization of systems for PMTCT during structured interviews with clinic managers, district health information officers, immunization nurses, PMTCT nurses, and sick-child (integrated management of childhood illnesses) nurses.

Provincial Level Indicators

Provincial level indicators were obtained from publicly available reports from the National Treasury South Africa [on proportion of total provincial budget allocated to the Department of Health (DoH) and annual under spending of health budget], 16-18 Statistics South Africa (on provincial proportion whose income or consumption is below the upper-bound poverty line and proportion of children living in rural areas^{19,20}). Aggregated data were extracted from National DOH (NDOH) Antenatal Survey report (provincial HIV prevalence among pregnant women),13 the South African Health Review,²¹ and the 2010 District Health Barometer (proportion of under 18 facility deliveries, PHC expenditure per capita and percent of expenditure on PHC facilities).²² Provincial health HRH data (total health professionals per 10,000 population) for 2010 were drawn from the NDoH Draft HRH Consultation and Strategy Document.²³ All provincial data gathered were for the year 2009/ 2010. Provincial perinatal PMTCT ARV regimen coverage and HIV transmission rates were aggregated from the current (SAPMTCTE) data.

Statistical Analysis

All HIV-exposed infants with individual- (interview and PCR-negative or PCR-positive test results), facility- and provincial-level information were included in this analysis. We used percentages, medians, and inter-quartile ranges (IQRs) to describe data at individual-, health facility-, and provincial-level. PMTCT ARV regimen coverage (at province-level) was defined as the proportion of HIVpositive mothers (identified by reactive infant EIA test) who received any maternal antiretroviral prophylaxis or treatment (cART) with infant nevirapine (NVP)/azidothymidine. The United Nations General Assembly (UNGASS) universal PMTCT coverage goal of ≥80% was used as the cut-off for "good" PMTCT ARV coverage. Based on this, provincial PMTCT ARV coverage was categorized into 2 categories: below 80% PMTCT ARV coverage and ≥80% PMTCT ARV coverage. A socio-economic score was created based on the availability of assets (television, car, refrigerator, stove), and dwelling characteristics (type of water source, toilet, fuel and building material) using the principal component analysis method. Provinces were ranked 1-9 according to their performance on each of the following 4 indicators: PMTCT ARV coverage, HRH (ie, number of health professionals per 10,000 population), budget (proportion of provincial budget allocated for DoH), and poverty measure (proportion below the upper-bound poverty line).

We used multilevel mixed (MLM) effects logistic regression models with random facility-level and provincial-level intercepts to examine correlates of MTCT at individual-,

facility-, and provincial-level. The multilevel analysis was implemented in a stepwise manner starting with the unconditional model (null model) which was fitted to determine the significance of the 2 random effects (facility and province) and the intraclass correlation coefficient that describes the proportion of variance that is attributable to clustering at facility- and provincial-level. We used a likelihood ratio test to compare the null MLM model with a single level (ie, no intercept) logit model to determine the significance of the facility and province random intercepts. Three models were subsequently fitted by including (into the null model) individual-level factors (model 1), followed by health facility-level factors (model 2), and provincial-level factors (model 3). Individual-, facility- and provincial-level indicators were included in the model if their P value in a bivariate analysis was below 0.2.

The MLM models were weighted at both facility- (level 2) and individual-levels (level 1). The level 1 weights were computed as the product of the population size (births) and the sample size realization weights.²⁴ There was no design weight at level 1 as we did a period census in each facility and all mothers eligible up to the required sample size were included. The weight for level 2 (health facility-level) was calculated for each facility as the inverse of the sampling probability which was calculated taking into account the probability proportional to size sampling method. Provinces (level 3) were selected with full certainty so the weight for level 3 was equal to that of 1. For the MLM models, level 1 and level 2 weights were scaled using one of the methods discussed by Pfeffermann et al.25 The method used here is to scale the weights so that their sum equals to the effective sample size. This method improves estimation of variance components when both level 1 and level 2 samplings are noninformative as is the case in our study. Descriptive analysis incorporated either facility-level nonscaled weights (for facility-level variables) or both facility- and individuallevel nonscaled weights (for individual-level variables and for provincial PMTCT ARV regimen coverage).

From individual-level variables, gestational age at birth had the highest (23%) missing responses, and of the facility-level variables, 10%–20% of data were missing on a number of variables. To account for uncertainty arising from missing data, we performed multiple imputations using a multilevel random effects multiple imputation model, REALCOM Impute package (developed by Harvey Goldstein at the Centre for Multilevel Modeling in Bristol). We had no missing data for level 3 variables, therefore imputation was only performed for relevant individual- and facility-level variables. Information across levels was used to improve the quality of imputation.

Variance coefficients from multiple imputed data sets were combined using Rubin's rule.²⁶ The variance partition coefficient (VPC) was calculated as a proportion of total variance explained by facility- and provincial-level random effects, respectively. The median odds ratio (MOR) which quantifies the between cluster (ie, province and facility) variance by comparing 2 persons from different clusters is reported. All analyses were done using STATA SE (version 12; StataCorp LP, College Station, TX).

TABLE 1. Individual- and Facility-Level Characteristics of the Study Sample

outer campio	N (Unweighted Number) = 3085 Individuals and 530 Health Facilities		
Maternal and Infant Characteristics	Unweighted n	Weighted Percentage or IQR	
Maternal age, yr			
Median (IQR)	28 (24–32)		
Maternal education	- (-)		
None	89	2.6	
Grade 1–7	591	18.3	
Grade 8–12	2292	76.4	
Above grade 12	80	2.7	
Marital status			
Single	2331	79.0	
Married/cohabiting	707	20.2	
Widowed/Divorced	25	0.8	
Infant race	-20	0.0	
Black	3010	98.6	
Coloured*	69	1.3	
White, Indian, other	6	0.1	
SES quintile†	· ·	0.1	
Poorest 20%	574	20.5	
Second	621	20.0	
Third	793	24.7	
Fourth	890	28.6	
Highest 20%	177	6.2	
No. of lifetime pregnancy	1//	0.2	
1	851	27.9	
2	1144	38.4	
3	637	21.4	
<i>≥</i> 4	369	12.3	
Planned pregnancy	30)	12.3	
Yes	1126	36.7	
No	1851	63.4	
Gestational age at 1st ANC	1651	03.4	
1st trimester	671	24.1	
2nd trimester	1439	60.4	
3rd trimester	353	15.5	
Infant gender	333	13.3	
Male	1555	50.7	
Female	1530	49.3	
Infant birth weight	1330	49.3	
<2.5 kg	536	16.8	
≥2.5 kg	2549	83.2	
Facility characteristics	2349	63.2	
Type of health care facility			
Community health centre	65	15.2	
Clinic	465	84.8	
Onsite adult ARV clinic	+03	04.0	
Available	135	26.6	
Not available	323	73.4	
Recording system	323	13.4	
Electronic medical recording	3	0.5	
system	3	0.3	

462

99.5

TABLE 1. (*Continued*) Individual- and Facility-Level Characteristics of the Study Sample

N (Unweighted Number) = 3085

	Individuals and 530 Health Facilities		
Maternal and Infant Characteristics	Unweighted n	Weighted Percentage or IQR	
No. of staff providing VCT service			
Median (IQR)	7	5-11	
Task shifting (to lay counselors) for pre- and post-test counseling			
Yes	91	17.9	
No	380	82.1	
Post-test counseling offered in			
A separate room allocated for VCT	244	51.6	
In any available private space/ consulting room	208	48.4	
PMTCT available everyday during the week			
Yes	324	71.1	
No	140	28.9	
Referral to community-based support and care services available for HIV-positive mothers			
Yes	153	31.1	
No	304	68.9	

Category frequencies do not add to the total N because of missing responses. *People of mixed racial origin.

†The socio-economic score was constructed from the following assets (television, car, refrigerator, and stove), and dwelling characteristics (water, toilet, fuel, and building material). We used this score to assess availability of basic assets/utilities in the house. Only 29.1% (the fourth level) and 9.5% (the fifth level) had the basic assets/utilities in the house which are flush toilet (in the house), pipe water (in the house), stove, refrigerator, TV, electricity, gas or paraffin for cooking, and brick/cement house. Participants in the fifth level also had car.

ANC, antenatal care; SES, socio-economic score.

The survey protocol was approved by the institutional review board of the South African Medical Research Council and the Office of the Associate Director of Science at the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The South African Medical Research Council Ethics Committee approved the situational assessment protocol (Ref: EC09-002). Informed consent was obtained verbally from all participating clinic nurses/managers, and written consent from participating mothers/caregivers.

RESULTS

Study Sample

The 2010 national SAPMTCTE achieved 83.4% (10,178) of the planned 12,200 sample size: 3 provinces achieved less than 75% of the target sample size, namely, Limpopo (LP) (73%), Eastern Cape (EC) (55%), and Northern Cape (NC) (63%). Out of the 10,178 enrolled study participants (infants) 3088 were HIV-exposed; 3085 of these infants, from 530 facilities, with both individual- (interview and PCR-negative or PCR-positive results) and facility-level data were included in this analysis.

Paper based

Individual-Level Data

Most mothers included in this analysis were single (79.0%), from Black/African race (98.6%), with some secondary-level education (76.4%). The median maternal age was 28 years (IQR: 24–32). Less than a fifth (16.8%) of infants had low birth weight (<2.5 kg) (Table 1).

Health Facility-Level Data

Of the facilities visited 15.2% (65) were community health centers and 84.8% (465) were PHC Clinics. Most (71.1%) offered daily PMTCT services. In each facility, a median number of 7 (IQR 5–11) staff was allocated to provide HIV testing services (HTSs); 17.9% reported that the task of pre- and post-HIV–test counseling had shifted to lay counselors. Just more than half (51.6%) of selected facilities reported having a separate room allocated for post-test counseling of mothers, and the rest 48.4% reported that post-test counseling was provided in any available private space/consulting room (Table 1).

Provincial-Level Indicators

Among HIV-positive (infant EIA positive) mothers, coverage of PMTCT ARV regimen (ie, either dual prophylaxis or cART plus infant NVP at birth) varied by province ranging from 63.9% in EC to 87.4% in KwaZulu-Natal (KZN). Three provinces namely, KZN, Gauteng (GP), and Western Cape (WC) achieved the UNGASS target for universal access (≥80.0%) to PMTCT ARV regimens (range 80.1%–87.4%). Mpumalanga (MP), LP, and EC had the lowest (range 63.9%–66.0%) PMTCT ARV coverage (Table 2).

HRH distribution across provinces ranged from 33 health professionals per 10,000 total population in North West (NW) to >70 per 10,000 population in NC and WC

provinces. Proportion of total provincial budget allocated for health was highest in WC (36.0%) and GP (33.0%) and lowest in MP (23.9%). In terms of socioeconomic indicators, most (>60%) GP and WC population were living above the upper-bound poverty line and were urbanized (with <10.0% rural population) compared with the other 7 provinces (Table 2). When provinces were ranked according to their performance on PMTCT ARV coverage, budget allocation, poverty measure, and HRH indicators, WC and GP overall ranked as the best performing provinces, whereas LP, EC, and MP ranked as the least well-performing provinces.

Multilevel Mixed Effect Model With Random Effects Only (Null Model)

When the multilevel (3 level) model for MTCT was compared with the single-level (null) logistic regression model for MTCT, no significant (P value = 0.26) differences were found, showing that MTCT is not structurally correlated at the hierarchical level of province and facility (Table 3). Only a small proportion of the variance in early MTCT was attributable to the differences seen in MTCT rates across facilities (VPC = 5.0%; MOR = 1.5) and provinces (VPC = 1.4%; MOR = 1.2) (Table 4).

Bivariable and Multivariable Multilevel Models

In bivariable and multivariable multilevel modelling, 3 individual-level indicators were significant predictors of early MTCT, namely, low uptake of maternal, infant, or both ARVs (dual prophylaxis or cART plus infant NVP) [adjusted odds ratio (AOR) 2.5, 95% CI: 1.7 to 3.5], feeding pattern (mixed breastfeeding vs other) (AOR 1.9, 95% CI: 1.3 to 2.9) and

TABLE 2. Provincial-Level Comparison of Early Transmission Rates With ARV Regimen Coverage, Health Professionals and Budget Distribution, and Population Socioeconomic Status

	Transmission Rate % (95% CI)	PMTCT ARV Regimen Coverage % (95% CI)*	Total Health Professionals per 10,000 Population*	Proportion (%) of Provincial Budget Allocated for Health Department†	% of Population Living Above the Upper-Bound Poverty Line‡	Proportion (%) of Children Living in Rural areas§
NC	1.4 (0.1 to 3.4)	76.5 (68.0 to 83.3)	79.2	29.0	37.0	28.5
GP	2.5 (1.5 to 3.6)	80.1 (75.4 to 84.1)	69.2	33.0	67.0	5.3
KZN	2.9 (1.7 to 4.0)	87.4 (84.0 to 90.2)	58.8	30.5	35.0	63.0
LP	3.6 (1.4 to 5.8)	65.3 (59.2 to 71.0)	48.8	25.7	21.1	90.0
WC	3.9 (1.9 to 5.8)	85.9 (80.7 to 89.9)	74.1	36.0	64.6	6.1
NW	4.4 (2.9 to 5.9)	78.0 (72.3 to 82.8)	33.1	26.0	38.6	57.5
EC	5.5 (3.4 to 8.8)	63.9 (56.8 to 70.5)	44.8	26.5	29.4	67.2
MP	5.7 (4.1 to 7.3)	66.0 (60.8–70.9)	45.2	23.9	32.9	65.6
FS	5.9 (3.8 to 8.0)	76.0 (70.2 to 80.4)	52.0	28.0	38.1	15.0

The table is sorted by transmission rate. Bold text indicates the 4 provinces with the lowest MTCT, lowest % children living in rural areas, lowest % living below the poverty line, highest PMTCT ARV regimen coverage; highest number of health professionals per 10,000 population, and highest % budget allocated to the health department.

FS, Free State.

Numbers have been rounded to the nearest tenth decimal places.

*Department of health human resource consultation document/report.

[†]Treasury reports for 2010.

^{\$}Statistics SA report for 2009—upper-bound poverty line is defined as—below average per capita spending on food and nonfood items (R577 per month for 2009).

[§]Statistics SA report for 2010 (data analysed by Children's Institute, University of Cape Town). PMTCT ARV regimen coverage is defined as the proportion of HIV-positive mothers (per infant EIA test) enrolled in the SAPMTCTE in each province who received maternal zidovudine (azidothymidine) or triple antiretroviral treatment (cART).

TABLE 3. Comparison of Multilevel Null Model With Single-Level (Null) Logistic Model

	P (LRTEST)
Single-level logistic regression model	Ref*
Multilevel logistic regression (with province as the only intercept)	0.14 (NS)
Multilevel logistic regression (with facility as the only intercept)	0.25 (NS)
Multilevel logistic regression (with both province and facility as intercepts)	0.26 (NS)

^{*}All models were compared with single-level logistic regression model as a reference model.

maternal age below 20 years (AOR 1.8, 95% CI: 1.1 to 3.0) (Table 5). The odds ratio for the relationship between feeding pattern and MTCT, and age <20 years and MTCT was reduced when controlling for facility-level factors, and the odds ratio between ARV coverage and MTCT reduced when controlling for provincial level factors (Table 5).

Of the facility-level indicators, in the unadjusted model, the number of health professionals allocated for HTS was an influential predictor of early MTCT. After adjusting for individual- and provincial-level factors, facilities that allocated ≤2 staff for HTS had a significantly higher odds of transmission (AOR 1.8, 95% CI: 1.1 to 3.0) compared with those who allocated more than 2 health personnel for providing HTS. Other facility-level variables (ie, indicators for referral system, record keeping, and infrastructure) were nonsignificant in both bivariable and multivariable multilevel modelling (Table 5).

From provincial-level indicators, in adjusted models, provinces with lower than universal (80.0%) coverage for perinatal PMTCT ARV regimens had significantly higher (AOR 1.4, 95% CI: 1.1 to 1.9) MTCT compared with provinces that achieved universal coverage (Table 5). Provincial variation in HRH distribution was also a significant predictor of MTCT in adjusted models—for each additional health professional per 10,000 population, MTCT decreased by 0.01% (AOR 0.99, 95% CI: 0.98 to 0.997) (Table 5). Other provincial indicators, such as provincial budget allocation for

health and poverty measures were significantly associated with transmission only in a bivariable analysis and were nonsignificant in a multivariable analysis.

DISCUSSION

This study shows no substantive geographic (province-/facility-level) differences in early MTCT. Although early MTCT was associated with both individual and aggregate/contextual (facility- and provincial-level) factors, the overall contribution of aggregate level factors to early MTCT was modest (provincial VPC = 1.4% and facility VPC = 5%). The lack of significant geographic variation in MTCT could be due to good overall performance across provinces and facilities in reducing perinatal MTCT.

Despite a moderate effect on MTCT, some of the aggregate-level factors identified in this study are longstanding problems of the health care-system in South Africa with reported serious impact on quality of care. 10,27,28 Inequitable HRH distribution—one of the provincial level factors identified as influential predictor of MTCT in this study has been reported as a primary bottleneck for delivering quality health care in South Africa. 10,27,28 At facility level, we found allocation of ≤ 2 staff for HIV-testing services as a significant risk factor for MTCT. In the new South African national HTS guideline released in 2016, HTS staff are tasked with a number of responsibilities including providing pretest information, HIV testing, posttest counseling, and active referral of HIV-positive clients to ART clinic: recommended referral methods include escorting HIV-positive clients to ARV clinics (if within same facility) or setting-up appointment at the receiving facility (if ART is not provided within the same facility).²⁹ While these services are important, facilities with only 2 staff allocated for HTS could struggle to provide these services at an acceptable level of quality. More health workers, with the right mix of skills, are needed to provide HIV services that are at acceptable standard. We recommend implementing effective recruitment and retention strategies (including appropriate selection of students and training of health professionals in rural areas, financial incentives, and capacity building support), task shifting,

TABLE 4: Random Effects Result

	Null Model	Model With Individual-Level Variables Only	Model With Facility-Level Variables Only	Model With Province-Level Variables Only	Final Model With All 3 Level Variables
%VPC (for facility)	5.0 (NS)	0.1 (NS)	3.4 (NS)	0 (NS)	0 (NS)
%VPC (for province)	1.4 (NS)	0.004 (NS)	3.2 (NS)	0 (NS)	0 (NS)
MOR facility	1.5 (NS)	1.1 (NS)	1.4 (NS)	1.0 (NS)	1.0 (NS)
MOR province	1.2 (NS)	1.0 (NS)	1.4 (NS)	1.0(NS)	1.0 (NS)

NS, not significant (P value > 0.05), the VPC numbers indicate a proportion of variance that is attributable to facility- and province-level factors. The variance component is combined using Rubin's rule across imputations and %VPC is calculated as follows:

$$VPC_{province(P)} = \frac{\sigma_{up}^2}{\sigma_{uf}^2 + \sigma_{up}^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{3}}$$

 $VPC_{province(P)} = \frac{\sigma_{up}^2}{\sigma_{uf}^2 + \sigma_{up}^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{3}}$

MOR is calculated as: $\exp(0.675 \times \sqrt{2 \times \sigma_u^2})$

NS, not significant.

TABLE 5. Crude and Adjusted Odds Ratios (OR) for Individual-, Facility-, and Provincial-Level Factors Associated With Infant HIV Transmission Among 4–8 Weeks Old HIV-Exposed Infants in the SAPMTCTE

Predictors	Crude OR	Adjusted OR (95% CI) Model 1	Adjusted OR (95% CI)—Model 2	Adjusted OR (95% CI) Model 3
Individual level				
Infant feeding				
Mixed breastfeeding	2.7 (1.8-4.0)	2.0 (1.3 to 3.0)	2.0 (1.3 to 3.0)	1.9 (1.3 to 2.9)
Exclusive breast or formula	ref	ref	ref	ref
Maternal and infant ARV				
Missed infant, maternal, or both ARV	3.3 (2.5-4.0)	3.3 (2.0 to 3.8)	3.3 (2.0 to 3.8)	2.5 (1.7 to 3.5)
Received maternal and infant ARV	ref	ref	ref	ref
Maternal age				
Maternal age <20	2.6 (1.5-4.4)	1.7 (1.1 to 2.9)	1.8 (1.1 to 3.0)	1.8 (1.1 to 3.0)
Maternal age ≥20	ref	ref	ref	ref
Facility level				
Health workers (HW) providing HTS				
Facilities who have ≤2 HW allocated for HTS	1.7 (1.0-3.0)		1.6 (1.0 to 2.6)	1.8 (1.1 to 3.0)
Facilities who have more than 2 HW allocated for HTS	ref		ref	ref
Provincial level				
Provincial ARV regimen coverage				
Provinces with ARV regimen coverage below the universal coverage (80%) target	2.1 (1.7–2.6)			1.4 (1.1 to 1.9)
Provinces with ARV regimen coverage 80% and above	ref			ref
Provincial human resource distribution				
Number of health professionals per 10,000 population (continuous)	0.98 (0.96–0.99)			0.99 (0.98 to 0.99)

Model 1-Model with individual variable only.

Model 2-Model with individual and facility-level variables.

Model 3—Model with individual-, facility- and provincial-level variables.

The following were not added in a multivariable analysis as they were not significant in a bivariable analysis.

HTS, HIV-testing services.

introducing patient appointment system, and decentralization of service to lower-level care to redress the inequitable distribution and inadequate staffing of PHC facilties. ^{27,30,31} The provinces with the least HRH allocation (NW, EC, MP, and LP) need to be prioritized in redressing the inequitable distribution of HRH. In addition, the disparities in HRH within province (eg, between rural and urban facilities) should be addressed.

The provinces that achieved the UNGASS PMTCT ARV regimen target (≥80% coverage) had a significantly lower MTCT rate compared with provinces that did not achieve the UNGASS target. Three of the provinces in this study achieved at least 80% ARV coverage—early MTCT in 2 of the 3 provinces was ≤2.5%. This low MTCT levels achieved with PMTCT coverages of 80% and above show promise for targets to eliminate MTCT by 2020.

The study limitations are acknowledged. The lack of significant variability at province- and facility-level could be a result of 2 features: first, the small number of infections

overall; and second, the even smaller number of infections at the facility-level. Given that the prevalence of our outcome measure (ie, MTCT) is small, a large sample size would be needed at level 1 (individual-level) to precisely measure provincial- and facility-level HIV transmission rates. In our study, the sample size achievement at province-level was below the required sample size, with 3 of 9 provinces achieving below 75% of the required sample size. As a result, although the MTCT point estimates varied across provinces (ranging between 1.4% and 5.9%), the CIs around these estimates were fairly wide implying unstable estimates or large variance of the provincial MTCT point estimates. As South Africa contains only 9 provinces, the available observations at level 3 (provincelevel) in our study were also slightly fewer than the recommended minimum sample size limit—a minimum of 10-30 sample size is recommended (at level 3) for multilevel modeling whereas our sample size (n = 9) was close to the lower limit (10).³²

^{1.} From individual-level variables: gestational age at pregnancy, place of delivery, type of delivery, maternal education, and not planned pregnancy were nonsignificant in a bivariable analysis.

^{2.} From facility-level variables: separate room for post-test counseling, task shifting, onsite ARV clinic, referral available to community-based organization, referral system, and daily availability of PMTCT service.

^{3.} From provincial-level variables: proportion of children living in rural areas, PHC expenditure per capita.

The following provincial level variables were significant in a bivariable analysis but were nonsignificant in a multivariable analysis: proportion of population below poverty line, proportion of provincial budget allocated for health, under spending of health budget. We experienced model over-fitting as more provincial level variables were added because of the small sample size at level 3 (the sample size for level 3 is number of province = 9).

In conclusion, in this study, there were no substantial province-/facility-level MTCT differences because of good overall performance in reducing early MTCT. However, facility- and provincial-level factors play a role in the relationship between individual-level factors and MTCT. Most of the facility-/province-level factors examined (such as human resource) are long-standing problems of the health care—system in South Africa. Plans to improve overall maternal and child health outcome indicators should aim to address these aggregate as well as individual-level factors. This includes continued investment in human resource management and planning, and improving the overall provincial achievement in PMTCT ARV coverage.

REFERENCES

- UNAIDS. 2015 Progress Report on the Global Plan. Available at: http:// www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents/2015/JC2774_2015ProgressReport_ GlobalPlan. Accessed December 23, 2015.
- WHO. Global Guidance on Criteria and Processes for Validation: Elimination of Mother-to-Child Transmission of HIV and Syphilis. 2014. Available at: http://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/publications/ rtis/9789241505888/en/. Accessed September 22, 2015.
- Global report. UNAIDS Report on the Global AIDS Epidemic 2013.
 Available at: http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/ UNAIDS_Global_Report_2013_en_1.pdf. Accessed September 17, 2015
- Goga A, Dinh T, Jackson D; for the SAPMTCTE Study Group. Evaluation of the Effectiveness of the National Prevention of Mother- to-Child Transmission (Pmtct) Programme Measured at Six Weeks Postpartum in South Africa. 2010. Available at: http://www.info.gov. za/view/DownloadFileAction?id=165280. Accessed August 17, 2012.
- Goga A, Dinh T, Jackson D. 2011 SAPMTCTE Report Early (4-8 Weeks Post-Delivery) Population-Level Effectiveness of WHO PMTCT Option A, South Africa. 2011. Available at: www.mrc.ac.za/healthsystems/SAPMTCTE2011. pdf. Accessed August 17, 2014.
- McIntyre D, Muirhead D, Gilson L. Geographic patterns of deprivation in South Africa: informing health equity analyses and public resource allocation strategies. *Health Pol Plann*. 2002(suppl 17):30–39.
- Massyn N, Day C, Peer N, et al. District Health Barometer 2013/14.
 Durban, South Africa: Health system unit; 2014.
- 8. Massyn N, Day C, Peer N, et al. *District Health Barometer 2014/15*. Durban, South Africa: Health system unit; 2015.
- Massyn N, Day C, Peer N, et al. District Health Barometer 2012/13. Durban, South Africa: Health system unit; 2013.
- Lerebo W, Callens S, Jackson D, et al. Identifying factors associated with the uptake of prevention of mother to child HIV transmission programme in Tigray region, Ethiopia: a multilevel modeling approach. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2014;14:181.
- Goga AE, Dinh TH, Jackson DJ, et al. First population-level effectiveness evaluation of a national programme to prevent HIV transmission from mother to child, South Africa. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2014:1–9
- DHIS. District Health Information System. 2007. Available at: http:// www.hst.org.za/publications/841. Accessed January 22, 2011.
- National Antenatal Sentinel HIV and Syphilis Prevalence Survey in South Africa. Pretoria, South Africa: Department of Health; 2009: Available at:

- http://www.health-e.org.za/documents/85d3dad6136e8ca9d02cceb7f4a36145.pdf. Accessed October 14, 2012.
- Rollins N, Little K, Mzolo S, et al. Surveillance of mother-to-child transmission prevention programmes at immunization clinics: the case for universal screening. AIDS. 2007;21:1341–1347.
- Rollins N, Mzolo S, Moodley T, et al. Universal HIV testing of infants at immunization clinics: an acceptable and feasible approach for early infant diagnosis in high HIV prevalence settings. AIDS. 2009;23:1851–1857.
- National Treasury. Estimates of Provincial Revenue and Expenditure (EPRE), 2007/08, 2008/09, 2009/10, 2010/11, & 2011/12. Available at: www.treasury.gov.za. Accessed April 3, 2014.
- Provincial Budgets and Expenditure Review: 2005/2006—2011/2012.
 Available at: http://www.gov.za/provincial-budgets-and-expenditure-review.
 Accessed 23 January, 2015.
- Lomahoza K, Brockerhoff S, Frye I. A Review of National And Provincial Government Budgets in South Africa 2007/08–2011/12, Monitoring the Progressive Realisation of Socio Economic Rights Project, Working Paper 7. Studies in Poverty and Inequality Institute (SPII); 2013.
- Poverty Trends in South Africa an Examination of Absolute Poverty Between 2006 And 2011/Statistics South Africa. Statistics South Africa: 2014.
- Katharine H, Winnie S. Housing and Services—Urban-Rural Distribution. Children's Institute, University of Cape Town. Cape Town, South Africa: University of Cape Town; 2014.
- Day C, Gray A. South African health review 2012/13, health and related indicators. Available at: http://www.hst.org.za/publications/south-African-health-review-2012/2013. Accessed September 27, 2015.
- HST. District Health Information System (DHIS). Available at: http://www.hst.org.za/district-health-barometer-dhb-22010. Accessed April 28, 2015.
- Department of Health Human Resource for Health Consultation Document v5. 2011. Available at: http://www.gov.za/sites/www.gov.za/files/DoH_draftHRstrategy_Consultation.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2015.
- Carle A. Fitting multilevel models in complex survey data with design weights: Recommendations. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2009;9:49.
- Pfeffermann D, Skinner CJ, Holmes DJ, et al. Weighting for unequal selection probabilities in multilevel models. *JRSS*. 1998;60(series B): 123–140.
- Rubin D. Multiple Imputation for Nonresponse in Surveys. New York, NY: J. Wiley & Sons; 1987.
- Chan A, Ford D, Namata H, et al. The Lablite project: a cross-sectional mapping survey of decentralized HIV service provision in Malawi, Uganda and Zimbabwe. BMC Health Serv Res. 2014;14:352.
- Eygelaar J, Stellenberg E. Barriers to quality patient care in rural district hospitals. *Curationis*. 2012;34:8.
- NDOH. National HIV Testing Services: Policy and Guidelines. Pretoria, South Africa: Department of Health; 2016.
- Homsy J, Kalamya J, Obonyo J, et al. Routine intrapartum HIV counseling and testing for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV in a rural Ugandan hospital. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2006; 42:149–154.
- Versteeg M, du Toit L, Couper I. Building consensus on key priorities for rural health care in South Africa using the Delphi technique. *Glob Health Action*. 2013;6:19522.
- Bryan M, Jenkins S. Regression analysis of country effects using multilevel data: a cautionary tale. IZA Discussion Paper No. 7583. Available at SSRN: http://ssrn.com/abstract=2322088. 2013. Accessed September 21, 2015.