Development of a Multilocus Sequence Typing Scheme for the Opportunistic Pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

Barry Curran,¹ Daniel Jonas,² Hajo Grundmann,² Tyrone Pitt,³ and Christopher G. Dowson^{1*}

Department of Biological Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry,¹ and Laboratory of Hospital Infection, Health Protection Agency, Colindale, London,³ United Kingdom, and Institute of Environmental Medicine and Hospital Epidemiology, Freiburg University Hospital, Freiburg, Germany²

Received 14 June 2004/Returned for modification 30 July 2004/Accepted 23 August 2004

A multilocus sequence typing (MLST) scheme has been developed for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* which provides molecular typing data that are highly discriminatory and electronically portable between laboratories. MLST data confirm the data from previous studies that suggest that *P. aeruginosa* is best described as nonclonal but as having an epidemic population. The index of association was 0.17, indicating a freely recombining population; however, there was evidence of clusters of closely related strains or clonal complexes among the members of this population. It is apparent that the sequence types (STs) from single isolates, representing each of the present epidemic clones in the United Kingdom from Liverpool, Manchester, and the West Midlands, are not closely related to each other. This suggests distinct evolutionary origins for each of these epidemic clones in the United Kingdom. Furthermore, these clones are distinct from European clone C. Comparison of the results of MLST with those of *toxA* typing and serotyping revealed that strains with identical STs may possess different *toxA* types and diverse serotypes. Given that recombination is important in the population of *P. aeruginosa*, the lack of a linkage between *toxA* type and serotype is not surprising and reveals the strength of the MLST approach for obtaining a better understanding of the epidemiology of *P. aeruginosa*.

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a gram-negative rod which is reported to be ubiquitous in the natural environment, humans, and animals. The species thrives in moist and wet conditions and is able to utilize a wide range of organic compounds. It can cause severe infections that may be associated with high rates of mortality in immunocompromised patients (3, 8, 24), and it is a frequent cause of infections acquired by patients during hospitalization (4). Almost any type of hospital equipment or utensil has been implicated as a reservoir for *P. aeruginosa*, and these sources may serve as foci for the dissemination of the organism in common-source outbreaks (9).

Infections are most often self-limiting in healthy individuals, such as folliculitis in association with contamination of swimming pools and hot tubs (21). However, occasionally, acute infection of the eyes of contact lens wearers (2) may result in *P. aeruginosa* ocular infections (1).

P. aeruginosa is the most common organism isolated from the lungs of approximately 80% of adult patients with cystic fibrosis (CF). The presence and persistence of the organism correlate with the deterioration of lung function and the clinical decline of the patient (7). Most patients appear to acquire the organism from the natural environment and not from other patients (25), but there is gathering evidence that some clonal lineages are widespread among the CF patient population, apparently contracted through cross infection from other CF patients (12, 15). Indeed, a highly widespread clonal complex, clone C, has been associated with a wide range of different infections in CF and non-CF patients and has been found in the natural environment (6, 20).

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Biological Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom. Phone: 44 2476 523534. Fax: 44 2476 523568. E-mail: c.g.dowson @warwick.ac.uk.

The genome size of *P. aeruginosa* varies from 5.2 to 7.1 Mbp (22). This degree of variation has important implications for the methods used to study the evolution and epidemiology of this organism. Recent work suggests that more than 80% of the genome of the sequenced strain (strain PAO1) is shared (with only 0.5% nucleotide divergence) by CF and environmental strains (26). Denamur et al. (5) and Picard et al. (16) considered that the species had a panmictic population structure, but Kiewitz and Tummler (13) proposed a net-like structure characterized by high frequencies of recombination. An epidemic structure was favored by Lomholt et al. (14) and Pirnay et al. (17), who used sequencing-based techniques, such as sequencing of the outer membrane lipoprotein, combined with serotyping and pyoverdine type determination in a polyphasic approach to reveal extensive genetic mosaicism, particularly in the oprD gene.

A variety of molecular genetic methods have been used to type *P. aeruginosa* strains (10), but these vary in their discriminatory potentials. Many investigators have considered pulsedfield gel electrophoresis of DNA macrodigests to represent the "gold standard" against which newer methods are measured. However, the lack of a discriminating and portable scheme suitable for population genetics analysis and an exceptionally variable phenotype (18) have hindered epidemiological and population biology studies. We describe the development and use of a multilocus sequence typing (MLST) scheme to characterize a diverse collection of clinical and environmental isolates of *P. aeruginosa*, including representatives of clone C and recently identified epidemic clones from the United Kingdom.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

P. aeruginosa culture collection. *P. aeruginosa* strains were obtained from the Hajo Grundmann collection (10), deposited at the Health Protection Agency, Colindale, London, United Kingdom. Six isolates were from mushroom compost

at one experimental mushroom farm and were provided by Alun Morgan Horticulture Research International. In addition, ~ 100 isolates were collected from hospitals across the United Kingdom. See Table 1 for a summary of all 143 isolates. Strains were identified as *P. aeruginosa* as described previously (10), and DNA fingerprinting of *toxA* and serotyping were also performed.

Culture of isolates and preparation of chromosomal DNA. Bacterial strains were maintained at -80° C in 12% (vol/vol) glycerol in brain heart infusion (BHI) broth, streaked to single colonies, and cultured on BHI agar at 37°C under aerobic conditions. Chromosomal DNA was extracted from these purified strains with a DNeasy kit (Qiagen).

Locus selection. Several potential loci were identified by using the *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 genome database (http://www.pseudomonas.com/) (27). Criteria governing locus selection included biological role (e.g., a diverse range of different central housekeeping roles, such as mismatch repair, DNA replication, and amino acid biosynthesis), size (>600 bp), location (i.e., a minimum of 6 kbp upstream or downstream from known virulence factors, lysogenic phage, or insertion sequence elements), and suitability for nested primer design and sequence diversity (ideally, the possession of conserved domains flanking a variable central core). The seven genes finally selected for use with the MLST scheme were *acsA*, *aroE*, *guaA*, *mutL*, *nuoD*, *ppsA*, and *trpE* (Table 2).

Amplification and sequencing of loci. PCR primers were designed for the loci listed above by using the published P. aeruginosa sequences (27). The primers used, all of which had a common melting temperature are shown in Table 3. The 50-µl amplification reaction mixture comprised ~10 ng of chromosomal DNA, 1 µM each primer, 1× PCR buffer (Qiagen), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM each deoxynucleoside triphosphate, and 2.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Qiagen). The reaction conditions were denaturation at 96°C for 1 min, primer annealing at 55°C for 1 min, and extension at 72°C for 1 min for 35 cycles. The amplification product was purified with MinElute UF (Qiagen), according to the protocol of the manufacturer. The nucleotide sequences were determined by using internal nested primers and 2 µl of BigDye Terminator Ready Reaction Mix (version 3.1) with standard sequencing conditions, according to the protocol of the manufacturer. Unincorporated dye terminators were removed by precipitation with 95% alcohol. The reaction products were separated and detected on an ABI PRISM 3100 genetic analyzer by using a standard sequencing module with Performance Optimized Polymer Applera UK, Warrington, United Kingdom) and a 50-cm array.

Allele and ST assignment. An arbitrary number was given to each distinct allele within a locus. Each isolate was therefore given seven numbers that represented its sequence type (ST). Each sequence type was numbered in order of appearance (ST1, ST2, etc.). Allele profiles and STs can be found at http://pubmlst.org/paeruginosa.

Phylogenetic analysis. The number of polymorphic nucleotide sites, calculation of the ratio of the number of nonsynonymous substitutions to the number of synonymous substitutions (the d_N/d_S ratio), and construction of a dendrogram by the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic averages (UPGMA) were performed with the START program (http://www.mlst.net) (11).

RESULTS

Allelic variation in *P. aeruginosa*. Among the 143 isolates investigated, the number of housekeeping gene alleles ranged from 21 for *nuoD* to 43 for *acsA* (Table 4). There were between 23 and 37 variable sites within each locus; i.e., 5 to 8% of base pairs represented variable sites.

The d_N/d_S ratio indicates the presence or absence of a selection pressure on the locus. Usually, most nonsynonymous changes would be expected to be eliminated by purifying selection, but under certain conditions Darwinian selection may lead to their retention. Investigation of the number of synonymous and nonsynonymous substitutions may therefore provide information about the degree of selection operating on a system. The low d_N/d_S ratios in Table 4 indicate the absence of a strong positive selective pressure at these loci and the suitability of these loci for population genetic studies.

Relatedness of *P. aeruginosa* isolates. A total of 139 different STs were assigned to the 143 isolates investigated (Table 1). The rank order of isolates within Table 1 was derived from a

UPGMA dendrogram of ST allelic profiles. Ten lineages or clonal complexes were identified among these isolates, and these were composed of strains with either identical STs or STs that varied at one or two loci (single- or double-locus variants), with founder strains indicated below with an asterisk. A founder strain has the ST to which all other STs in the clonal group are related (at least for that sample of strains examined). The compositions of these groups were as follows: group 1, 2 isolates; STs 82 and 83; group 2, 12 isolates, STs 7, 11, 15, 27*, 119, 122, 128, and 129; group 3, 5 isolates, STs 14, 17*, 115, 117, and 142; group 4, 6 isolates; STs 53, 97, 102, 111, 113, and 124; group 5, 5 isolates, STs 30, 31, 38, 39, and 46; group 6, 3 isolates, STs 104, 107, and 109; group 7, 2 isolates, STs 61 and 69; group 8, 5 isolates, STs 41, 45, 49, 52, and 57; group 9, 2 isolates, STs 9 and 118; group 10, 2 isolates; STs 5 and 23.

The environmental isolates (from mushroom compost, soil, and an oil-contaminated aquifer) were unrelated to each other but did cluster among the clinical isolates. In fact, mushroom compost isolates 1349 M (ST5, group 10) and 1346 M (ST41, group 8) clustered with clinical isolates from around the United Kingdom; and isolate 2359 (ST7, group 2), which was from a Canadian oil-contaminated aquifer, clustered with 11 clinical isolates from hospitals around the United Kingdom.

Isolates previously identified as members of the Liverpool, Manchester, Midlands, and Melbourne epidemic clones (Table 1) were found to be unrelated, sharing few if any alleles. Previously reported clone C was also unrelated to the United Kingdom epidemic isolates, although two isolates from the United Kingdom, isolates 8277 (ST14) and 8735 (ST17), from Durham and Birmingham, respectively, were identified here by MLST as belonging to clone C. In fact, from this small data set, ST17, the Birmingham isolate, was identified by BURST (based upon related STs) as the founder member of this small group of clone C isolates. BURST is a novel clustering algorithm designed for use with microbial MLST data. The approach specifically examines the relationships within clonal complexes.

The index of association (23) for all 143 isolates was found to be 0.288, and that for the 139 individual STs was found to be 0.17, indicating that P. aeruginosa has a nonclonal population structure. This statistical test attempts to measure the extent of linkage equilibrium within a population by quantifying the amount of recombination among a set of sequences and detecting associations between alleles at different loci. Comparisons of the topologies of neighbor-joining trees for the nucleotide sequences of individual loci (data not presented) revealed that there was little, if any, congruence between the trees. This is further evidence of the importance of recombination in the evolution of P. aeruginosa and indicates that the long-term phylogenetic inference of interstrain relationships, beyond the closely related groups identified, is relatively meaningless. For this reason we have not presented a dendrogram; however, as mentioned previously, the order of strains in Table 1 is the same as that derived from a UPGMA tree of allelic profiles (STs).

Relationship between ST, serotype, and *toxA* **type.** The serotypes of 118 of the isolates included in this study had been determined previously, and the *toxA* types had been determined for 38 isolates. Individual serotypes were found to be widely distributed across the dendrogram generated from the

TABLE 1.	Properties of the	P. aeruginosa	strains used	for validation ^a
		0		

Midlands 1 epidemic 1 8623 1 PA0093 8 PA0092 8 1353 M 1	145 116 110		17 25 26 2 14 4 7					
8623 1 PA0093 8 PA0092 8 1353 M 1			17, 35, 36, 3, 14, 4, 7 17, 20, 1, 3, 13, 6, 7	NA NA		Wellesbourne, UK NA	Soil Sputum	Jan-99
PA0092 8 1353 M 1			15, 5, 1, 3, 2, 12, 7	NA	07.06.06 T6	NA	NA	
1353 M 1	83	1	11, 5, 1, 3, 14, 17, 7	PA		Folkestone, UK	Tissue sample	Nov-01
	82	1	32, 5, 24, 3, 14, 17, 7	011		Wycombe, UK	Blood	Nov-01
	100	2	33, 3, 3, 3, 4, 12, 7	NA O2	07.02.02 T21	Wellesbourne, UK	Mushroom compost	
	129 119	2 2	6, 5, 6, 7, 2, 6, 7 6, 5, 6, 7, 3, 6, 7	O3 O11	07.03.22 T31 10.08.10 T13	West Yorkshire, UK Bristol, UK	NA NA	
	27	$\frac{2}{2}$	6, 5, 6, 7, 4, 6, 7	011	04.08.10 T5	Queen Mary's, UK	NA	
	27	2	6, 5, 6, 7, 4, 6, 7	011	10.08.17 T7	Stourbridge, UK	NA	
	27	2	6, 5, 6, 7, 4, 6, 7	O4	04.06.11 T10	Glasgow, UK	NA	
	27	2	6, 5, 6, 7, 4, 6, 7		02.02.02 T17	NA	NA	
	27 11	2 2	6, 5, 6, 7, 4, 6, 7 6, 5, 6, 7, 4, 6, 3	O11 O4	10.08.09 T14 01.01.01 T1	Boston, UK Croydon, UK	NA NA	
	7	2	6, 5, 6, 7, 4, 6, 1	NA	01.01.01 11	Canada	Oil-contaminated aquifer	Jan-87
	, 15	2	12, 5, 6, 7, 4, 6, 7	NA		NA	NA	Juli 07
	128	2	6, 5, 6, 8, 21, 6, 7	01	03.10.15. T12	Mid-Glamorgan, UK	NA	
	122	2	6, 5, 6, 8, 2, 6, 7	NA		NA	NA	
	10		8, 5, 8, 8, 4, 6, 7	03	01.01.01 T1	Blackburn, UK	NA	
	44 66		4, 5, 6, 7, 1, 16, 7	O9 O4		Luton, UK Dorset, UK	Sputum Blood	Aug-02 Mar-02
	43		30, 10, 23, 5, 4, 2, 7 25, 5, 17, 5, 4, 15, 7	011		Chelsea, UK	Wound swab	Sep-02
	33		19, 5, 15, 3, 4, 12, 7	011		Birmingham, UK	NA	Nov-02
	25		19, 5, 12, 7, 4, 10, 7	06		Manchester, UK	Sputum	Jan-03
	142	3	11, 5, 1, 7, 9, 26, 7	NA	Sputum			
	17	3	11, 5, 1, 7, 9, 4, 7	01	01.01.01 T1	Birmingham, UK	NA	
	14	3	11, 5, 1, 7, 9, 8, 7	01	01.01.01 T1	Durham, UK	NA	
Clone C genotype P10118 1	117	3	11, 20, 1, 7, 9, 4, 32	NA		NA	Sputum	
Clone C genotype	11/	5	11, 20, 1, 7, 9, 4, 52				Sputulli	
	115	3	11, 20, 1, 7, 9, 4, 7	NA		NA	Sputum	
	90		39, 25, 9, 7, 9, 20, 7	01		Northampton, UK	ŇA	Sep-01
	64		28, 4, 22, 7, 9, 10, 7	015		Ormskirk, UK	Urine	Apr-02
	141		11, 33, 11, 31, 23, 4, 7	NA		NA	Sputum	
	95 42		7, 4, 7, 5, 2, 7, 7	O6 O11		London, UK London, UK	NA Proposolvoslar lavogo fluid	Aug-01 Sep-02
	42 67		4, 13, 16, 5, 2, 7, 7 31, 4, 6, 5, 2, 6, 7	011		Birmingham, UK	Bronccoalveolar lavage fluid NA	Mar-02
	50		26, 17, 11, 5, 2, 4, 7	06		Newcastle, UK	Blood	Jul-02
Manchester 1	143		17, 34, 11, 18, 4, 13, 3	NA		NA	Sputum	
	37		23, 16, 11, 4, 4, 6, 3	O7		Leeds, UK	Blood	Oct-02
	124	4	20, 8, 5, 4, 4, 4, 3	06	06.04.00 T4	Cheshire, UK	NA	Dec-02
	113 111	4 4	17, 5, 5, 4, 19, 4, 3 17, 5, 5, 4, 4, 4, 3	O12 O12	06.04.00 T4 06.04.00 T4	France Belfast, UK	NA NA	
	102	4	15, 5, 5, 3, 4, 4, 3	012	06.04.00 T4	Southport, UK	NA	
	97	4	11, 5, 1, 4, 4, 20, 3	01	00.01.00 11	Bristol, UK	NA	Jul-01
	53	4	11, 5, 19, 4, 4, 4, 3	PA		Brighton, UK	Sputum	Jun-02
	4		3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 3	NA		Wellesbourne, UK	Mushroom compost	
	133		1, 20, 32, 3, 4, 25, 3	03	07.08.17 T34	St. Mary's, UK	NA	
	134 88		23, 20, 7, 30, 4, 4, 10	O6 PA	04.08.05 T24	Cumbria, UK London, UK	NA Blood	Oct-01
	00 60		22, 4, 17, 7, 4, 13, 7 28, 4, 17, 15, 4, 4, 3	ГА 04		Nottingham, UK	Tissue sample	May-02
	132		6, 20, 1, 3, 4, 4, 2	06	10.08.03 T41	Carmarthen, UK	NA	Widy-02
	84		6, 11, 1, 5, 4, 4, 27	O4		Bristol, UK	NA	Nov-01
PA0107 9	96		6, 5, 1, 11, 17, 7, 2	O6		Leicester, UK	Sputum	Aug-01
	18		6, 4, 1, 11, 4, 7, 10	PA		Stafford, UK	NA	Feb-03
	135		6, 20, 11, 7, 1, 12, 19	6	06.09.13 T25	Queen Elizabeth II	NA	
	106 68		22, 20, 11, 23, 1, 3, 3 32, 20, 24, 3, 1, 17, 15	011 011	10.08.09 T4	Conquest Dublin, Ireland	NA Blood	Mar-02
	36		11, 15, 11, 3, 1, 14, 15	01		York, UK	NA	Oct-02
	28		15, 10, 11, 3, 2, 7, 12	NT		London, UK	Blood	Dec-02
	20		15, 5, 11, 3, 1, 7, 11	06		Cheshire, UK	Sputum	Feb-03
	114		11, 20, 11, 3, 4, 23, 1	NA		Liverpool, UK	Sputum	
	59		11, 4, 11, 11, 4, 12, 20	011	01 02 10 520	London, UK	NA	May-02
	130 87		5, 8, 25, 28, 4, 24, 34 5, 24, 25, 21, 4, 4, 7	O3 O3	01.02.18 T38	University College, UK London, UK	NA Bronccoalveolar lavage fluid	Oct 01
	87 127		5, 24, 25, 21, 4, 4, 7 43, 30, 31, 26, 4, 24, 32	03	Atyp 4 T49	Bangor, UK	NA	Oct-01
	131		6, 5, 11, 29, 11, 15, 1	NA	1 up + 1 + 2	NA	NA	
	112		6, 5, 1, 25, 1, 12, 1	06	06.03.14 T11	Stoke/Trent, UK	NA	
PA0089 1	125		36, 1, 28, 1, 1, 7, 1	PA		London, UK	NA	Dec-01
	1		1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1	NA	04.05.15	NA	NA	Apr-69
	103		17, 5, 12, 22, 14, 22, 29	011	04.08.10 T5	Truro, UK	NA	1 02
	76 21		17, 21, 12, 5, 1, 17, 1	04		London, UK Bedford UK	Blood	Jan-02 Jan 03
	21 123		16, 5, 12, 11, 1, 2, 1 17, 5, 5, 1, 10, 12, 2	O3 O6		Bedford, UK Sheffield, UK	Skin NA	Jan-03 Jan-03

Continued on following page

TABLE 1-Continued

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Date of isolation (mo-yr)
PA00544717, 5, 5, 12, 1, 10, 16PALondon, UKSputumPA0070622, 5, 5, 16, 3, 1, 19O11London, UKBloodPA0062542, 5, 5, 11, 4, 4, 16O11Leeds, UKHospital sink838612111, 5, 7, 27, 1, 7, 33O109.11.10 T40Wexham, UKNAS67087, 5, 7, 3, 7, 1, 6O1110.08.04 T16Scunthorpe, UKNAPA01029240, 5, 30, 3, 1, 4, 8O9London, UKNAPA00534654, 5, 16, 3, 1, 17, 13O9Lincoln, UKUrinePA00453854, 5, 16, 3, 1, 4, 13O3Wolverhampton, UKBloodPA003831521, 5, 5, 3, 1, 4, 13O14Ashton under Lyme, UKNAPA00908137, 5, 29, 3, 4, 4, 26O6Folkestone, UKNAPA00817333, 5, 25, 20, 5, 1, 6, 28O6London, UKBloodPA01111265, 27, 5, 13, 1, 13, 4O1London, UKBloodPA0129322, 5, 11, 3, 1, 15, 4O14London, UKBloodPA0045855, 11, 3, 13, 1, 4, 4O4London, UKBloodPA0051737323, 5, 20, 5, 16, 6, 28O6London, UKBloodPA0129424, 5, 3, 5, 16, 6, 28O6London, UKBloodPA0053855, 11, 3, 1, 15, 4O14Leeds, UKBloodPA0055486, 5, 4, 13, 1, 4, 4PA<	Sep-01
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Aug-02
8386 121 11, 5, 7, 27, 1, 7, 33 O1 09.11.10 T40 Wexham, UK NA 5670 8 7, 5, 7, 3, 7, 1, 6 O11 10.08.04 T16 Scunthorpe, UK NA PA0102 92 40, 5, 30, 3, 1, 4, 8 O9 London, UK NA PA0053 46 5 4, 5, 16, 3, 1, 17, 13 O9 Lincoln, UK Urine PA0045 38 5 4, 5, 16, 3, 1, 4, 13 O3 Wolverhampton, UK Blood PA0046 39 5 18, 5, 5, 3, 1, 6, 13 O11 Folkestone, UK Blood PA0037 30 5 15, 12, 5, 3, 1, 4, 13 O10 London, UK Blood PA0090 81 37, 5, 29, 3, 4, 4, 26 O6 Folkestone, UK NA 8318 108 39, 5, 20, 5, 1, 6, 31 O6 01.01.23 T3 Sutton, UK Blood PA0111 126 5, 27, 5, 13, 1, 13, 4 O6 London, UK Blood PA0104 94 24, 5, 3, 5, 16, 6, 28 O6 Leicester, UK Hospital floor PA0111 126 5, 27, 5, 13, 1, 13, 4	May-02
567087, 5, 7, 3, 7, 1, 6O1110.08.04 T16Scunthorpe, UKNAPA01029240, 5, 30, 3, 1, 4, 8O9London, UKNAPA00534654, 5, 16, 3, 1, 17, 13O9Lincoln, UKUrinePA00453854, 5, 16, 3, 1, 4, 13O3Wolverhampton, UKBloodPA004639518, 5, 5, 3, 1, 6, 13O11Folkestone, UKBloodPA003831521, 5, 5, 3, 1, 4, 13O14Ashton under Lyme, UKNAPA003730515, 12, 5, 3, 1, 4, 13O10London, UKBloodPA00908137, 5, 29, 3, 4, 4, 26O6Folkestone, UKNA831810839, 5, 20, 5, 1, 6, 31O601.01.23 T3Sutton, UKNAPA00419424, 5, 3, 5, 16, 6, 28O6Leicester, UKHospital floorPA01111265, 27, 5, 13, 1, 13, 4O6Nottingham, UKBloodPA0039855, 11, 3, 13, 1, 4, 4O4London, UKBloodPA0039855, 11, 3, 13, 1, 4, 4O4London, UKBloodPA0039855, 11, 3, 13, 1, 4, 4O4London, UKBloodPA00365527, 5, 20, 13, 1, 2, 8O6Bedford, UKBlood	Jun-02
PA010292 $40, 5, 30, 3, 1, 4, 8$ O9London, UKNAPA00534654, 5, 16, 3, 1, 17, 13O9Lincoln, UKUrinePA00453854, 5, 16, 3, 1, 4, 13O3Wolverhampton, UKBloodPA004639518, 5, 5, 3, 1, 6, 13O11Folkestone, UKBloodPA003831521, 5, 5, 3, 1, 4, 13O14Ashton under Lyme, UKNAPA003730515, 12, 5, 3, 1, 4, 13O10London, UKBloodPA00908137, 5, 29, 3, 4, 4, 26O6Folkestone, UKNAPA00817333, 5, 22, 00, 5, 1, 6, 31O601.01.23 T3Sutton, UKNAPA00817333, 5, 25, 20, 1, 6, 24O10London, UKBloodPA01111265, 27, 5, 13, 1, 13, 4O6Nottingham, UKBloodPA0095855, 11, 3, 13, 1, 4, 4O4London, UKBloodPA01039322, 5, 11, 13, 1, 15, 4O14Leeds, UKBloodPA0066584, 5, 16, 13, 1, 2, 4O4Nottingham, UKNAPA0065486, 5, 4, 13, 1, 4, 4PANewcastle on Tyne, UKBloodPA00635527, 5, 20, 13, 1, 2, 8O6Bedford, UKBlood	
PA00534654, 5, 16, 3, 1, 17, 13O9Lincoln, UKUrinePA00453854, 5, 16, 3, 1, 4, 13O3Wolverhampton, UKBloodPA004639518, 5, 5, 3, 1, 4, 13O1Folkestone, UKBloodPA003831521, 5, 5, 3, 1, 4, 13O14Ashton under Lyme, UKNAPA003730515, 12, 5, 3, 1, 4, 13O10London, UKBloodPA00908137, 5, 29, 3, 4, 4, 26O6Folkestone, UKNAPA0091335, 20, 5, 1, 6, 31O601.01.23 T3Sutton, UKNAPA00817333, 5, 25, 20, 1, 6, 24O10London, UKBloodPA01049424, 5, 3, 5, 16, 6, 28O6Leicester, UKHospital floorPA01111265, 27, 5, 13, 1, 13, 4O6Nottingham, UKBloodPA01039322, 5, 11, 13, 1, 15, 4O14Leeds, UKBloodPA0055486, 5, 4, 13, 1, 2, 4O4Nottingham, UKNAPA0055486, 5, 4, 13, 1, 4, 4PANewcastle on Tyne, UKBloodPA00635527, 5, 20, 13, 1, 2, 8O6Bedford, UKBlood	C 01
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sep-01
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Aug-02 Oct-02
PA0038 31 5 $21, 5, 5, 3, 1, 4, 13$ O14Ashton under Lyme, UKNAPA0037 30 5 $15, 12, 5, 3, 1, 4, 13$ O10London, UKBloodPA0090 81 $37, 5, 29, 3, 4, 4, 26$ O6Folkestone, UKNA8318 108 $39, 5, 20, 5, 1, 6, 31$ O601.01.23 T3Sutton, UKNAPA00401 73 $33, 5, 25, 20, 1, 6, 24$ O10London, UKBloodPA0104 94 $24, 5, 3, 5, 16, 6, 28$ O6Leicester, UKHospital floorPA0111 126 $5, 27, 5, 13, 1, 13, 4$ O6Nottingham, UKBloodPA005 85 $5, 11, 3, 13, 1, 4, 4$ O4London, UKBloodPA0103 93 $22, 5, 11, 13, 1, 15, 4$ O14Leeds, UKBloodPA0066 58 $4, 5, 16, 13, 1, 2, 4$ O4Nottingham, UKNAPA0065 55 $27, 5, 20, 13, 1, 2, 8$ O6Bedford, UKBlood	Oct-02 Oct-02
PA0037 30 5 15, 12, 5, 3, 1, 4, 13 O10 London, UK Blood PA0090 81 37, 5, 29, 3, 4, 4, 26 O6 Folkestone, UK NA 8318 108 39, 5, 20, 5, 1, 6, 31 O6 01.01.23 T3 Sutton, UK NA PA0081 73 33, 5, 25, 20, 1, 6, 24 O10 London, UK Blood PA0104 94 24, 5, 3, 5, 16, 6, 28 O6 Leicester, UK Hospital floor PA0111 126 5, 27, 5, 13, 1, 13, 4 O6 Nottingham, UK Blood PA0103 93 22, 5, 11, 13, 1, 5, 4 O14 Leeds, UK Blood PA0066 58 4, 5, 16, 13, 1, 2, 4 O4 Nottingham, UK NA PA0065 48 6, 5, 4, 13, 1, 4, 4 PA Newcastle on Tyne, UK Blood PA0063 55 27, 5, 20, 13, 1, 2, 8 O6 Bedford, UK Blood	Nov-02
PA0090 81 37, 5, 29, 3, 4, 4, 26 O6 Folkestone, UK NA 8318 108 39, 5, 20, 5, 1, 6, 31 O6 01.01.23 T3 Sutton, UK NA PA0081 73 33, 5, 25, 20, 1, 6, 24 O10 London, UK Blood PA0104 94 24, 5, 3, 5, 16, 6, 28 O6 Leicester, UK Hospital floor PA0111 126 5, 27, 5, 13, 1, 13, 4 O6 Nottingham, UK Blood PA0095 85 5, 11, 3, 13, 1, 4, 4 O4 London, UK Blood PA0103 93 22, 5, 11, 13, 1, 15, 4 O14 Leeds, UK Blood PA0066 58 4, 5, 16, 13, 1, 2, 4 O4 Nottingham, UK NA PA0055 48 6, 5, 4, 13, 1, 4, 4 PA Newcastle on Tyne, UK Blood PA0063 55 27, 5, 20, 13, 1, 2, 8 O6 Bedford, UK Blood	Nov-02
PA0081 73 33, 5, 25, 20, 1, 6, 24 O10 London, UK Blood PA0104 94 24, 5, 3, 5, 16, 6, 28 O6 Leicester, UK Hospital floor PA0111 126 5, 27, 5, 13, 1, 13, 4 O6 Nottingham, UK Blood PA0095 85 5, 11, 3, 13, 1, 4, 4 O4 London, UK Blood PA0103 93 22, 5, 11, 13, 1, 15, 4 O14 Leeds, UK Blood PA0066 58 4, 5, 16, 13, 1, 2, 4 O4 Nottingham, UK NA PA0055 48 6, 5, 4, 13, 1, 4, 4 PA Newcastle on Tyne, UK Blood PA0063 55 27, 5, 20, 13, 1, 2, 8 O6 Bedford, UK Blood	Nov-01
PA0104 94 24, 5, 3, 5, 16, 6, 28 O6 Leicester, UK Hospital floor PA0111 126 5, 27, 5, 13, 1, 13, 4 O6 Nottingham, UK Blood PA0095 85 5, 11, 3, 13, 1, 4, 4 O4 London, UK Blood PA0103 93 22, 5, 11, 13, 1, 15, 4 O14 Leeds, UK Blood PA0066 58 4, 5, 16, 13, 1, 2, 4 O4 Nottingham, UK NA PA0055 48 6, 5, 4, 13, 1, 4, 4 PA Newcastle on Tyne, UK Blood PA0063 55 27, 5, 20, 13, 1, 2, 8 O6 Bedford, UK Blood	
PA0111 126 5, 27, 5, 13, 1, 13, 4 O6 Nottingham, UK Blood PA0095 85 5, 11, 3, 13, 1, 4, 4 O4 London, UK Blood PA0103 93 22, 5, 11, 13, 1, 15, 4 O14 Leeds, UK Blood PA0066 58 4, 5, 16, 13, 1, 2, 4 O4 Nottingham, UK NA PA0065 48 6, 5, 4, 13, 1, 4, 4 PA Newcastle on Tyne, UK Blood PA0063 55 27, 5, 20, 13, 1, 2, 8 O6 Bedford, UK Blood	Jan-02
PA0095 85 5, 11, 3, 13, 1, 4, 4 O4 London, UK Blood PA0103 93 22, 5, 11, 13, 1, 15, 4 O14 Leeds, UK Blood PA0066 58 4, 5, 16, 13, 1, 2, 4 O4 Nottingham, UK NA PA0055 48 6, 5, 4, 13, 1, 4, 4 PA Newcastle on Tyne, UK Blood PA0063 55 27, 5, 20, 13, 1, 2, 8 O6 Bedford, UK Blood	Aug-01
PA0103 93 22, 5, 11, 13, 1, 15, 4 O14 Leeds, UK Blood PA0066 58 4, 5, 16, 13, 1, 2, 4 O4 Nottingham, UK NA PA0055 48 6, 5, 4, 13, 1, 4, 4 PA Newcastle on Tyne, UK Blood PA0063 55 27, 5, 20, 13, 1, 2, 8 O6 Bedford, UK Blood	Jul-01
PA0066 58 4, 5, 16, 13, 1, 2, 4 O4 Nottingham, UK NA PA0055 48 6, 5, 4, 13, 1, 4, 4 PA Newcastle on Tyne, UK Blood PA0063 55 27, 5, 20, 13, 1, 2, 8 O6 Bedford, UK Blood	Oct-01 Sop 01
PA0055 48 6, 5, 4, 13, 1, 4, 4 PA Newcastle on Tyne, UK Blood PA0063 55 27, 5, 20, 13, 1, 2, 8 O6 Bedford, UK Blood	Sep-01 May-02
PA0063 55 27, 5, 20, 13, 1, 2, 8 O6 Bedford, UK Blood	Jul-02
	Jun-02
	Jan-02
PA0099 89 13, 8, 5, 13, 1, 7, 25 O7 Folkestone, UK Sputum	Sep-01
8297 16 13, 8, 9, 3, 1, 9, 9 O11 10.08.17 T7 Ports; mouth, UK NA	*
8382 109 6 4, 4, 16, 24, 1, 6, 3 O10 05.03.05 T2 Whippscross, UK NA	
8202 107 6 4, 4, 16, 21, 1, 6, 1 O10 05.03.05 T2 St. Thomas, UK NA	
7215 104 6 4, 4, 16, 3, 1, 6, 30 O11 05.03.05 T2 Bath, UK NA	
8237 13 10, 4, 5, 10, 1, 6, 3 O10 05.03.05 T2 St. Bar, UK NA PA0077 69 7 28, 4, 3, 3, 1, 6, 11 O11 Dudley, UK Sputum	Mar 02
PA0077 69 7 28, 4, 3, 3, 1, 6, 11 O11 Dudley, UK Sputum PA0069 61 7 13, 4, 9, 3, 1, 6, 11 O15 Gloucester, UK Sputum	Mar-02 May-02
PA0096 86 38, 4, 3, 3, 15, 15, 3 PA London, UK Blood	Oct-01
PA0087 79 34, 11, 3, 12, 1, 13, 3 O11 London, UK Staff hands, intensive care	Dec-01
PA0056 49 8 24,5,3,12,1,6,3 NT Surrey,UK NA	Jul-02
1346 M 41 8 24, 3, 3, 12, 1, 7, 3 NA Wellesbourne, UK Mushroom compost	
PA0065 57 8 11, 5, 1, 12, 1, 12, 3 O12 London, UK Blood	May-02
PA0060 52 8 11, 19, 12, 12, 1, 6, 3 O11 Wycombe, UK Sputum	Jun-02
PA0052 45 8 11, 5, 12, 12, 1, 6, 3 O9 Lincoln, UK Sputum	Aug-02
PA0085 77 17, 22, 12, 12, 1, 18, 3 O4 Nottingham, UK Hospital floor	Jan-02
PA0073 65 29, 4, 16, 12, 1, 18, 21 O10 London, UK Blood PA0082 74 4, 5, 1, 19, 13, 7, 23 O3 Wycombe, UK Blood	Apr-02 Jan-02
PA0082 74 4, 5, 1, 19, 13, 7, 23 O3 Wycombe, UK Blood PA0080 72 4, 4, 16, 19, 13, 10, 23 PA York, UK Sputum	Feb-02
PA0047 40 4, 5, 16, 11, 11, 7, 14 O7 Chelsea, UK Wound swab	Sep-02
PA0041 34 4, 5, 16, 3, 3, 7, 3 O9 Luton, UK Sputum	Nov-02
PA0078 70 4, 4, 16, 17, 10, 12, 22 PA London, UK Sputum	Feb-02
7193 120 17, 22, 5, 3, 4, 14, 3 O4 09.08.11 T22 Milton Keynes, UK NA	
4785 101 7, 22, 5, 3, 14, 19 O4 04.06.11 T10 Kings College, UK NA	
PA0064 56 13, 17, 5, 14, 3, 6, 19 O6 Telford, UK Wound swab	Jun-02
8420 118 9 6, 6, 4, 3, 20, 4, 7 O1 01.13.15 T15 Hope, UK NA	
5757 9 9 6, 6, 4, 3, 3, 4, 7 O1 01.01.01 T1 Toulouse, France NA 7433 105 42, 28, 4, 3, 18, 4, 7 O1 03.10.15 T12 Leicester, UK NA	
7433 105 42, 28, 4, 3, 18, 4, 7 O1 03.10.15 T12 Leicester, UK NA PA0109 98 41, 12, 4, 3, 3, 4, 14 O10 Cheshire, UK Blood	Jul-01
PA0110 99 17, 26, 5, 3, 3, 4, 7 O11 Brighton, UK NA	Jul-01
PA0079 71 28, 4, 5, 18, 3, 4, 7 O11 Bristol, UK NA	Feb-02
PA0086 78 11, 11, 11, 3, 3, 19, 19 PA Stoke, UK Catheter	Dec-01
PA0042 35 22, 14, 17, 3, 3, 6, 7 O6 Glasgow, UK Sputum	Oct-02
PA0035 29 7, 11, 14, 3, 3, 2, 7 O11 London, UK Blood	Dec-02
PA0030 26 16, 8, 1, 3, 3, 13, 3 PA Cheshire, UK NA	Dec-02
PA0025 22 6, 4, 4, 3, 3, 11, 2 O4 Northampton, UK Tissue sample	Jan-03
1329 M 3 1, 2, 3, 3, 3, 2 NA Wellesbourne, UK Mushroom compost	1997
PA0059 51 24, 19, 3, 3, 11, 10, 18 NT Luton, UK Eye PA0088 80 35, 23, 27, 6, 6, 4, 5 O1 London, UK Trachea	Jul-02 Dec-01
PA0021 19 14, 9, 10, 6, 6, 10, 5 PA Dudley, UK Vaginal swab	Feb-03
Product Product Product Product Valuation 2351 M 6 5, 4, 5, 6, 6, 5, 5 NA Wellesbourne, UK Mushroom compost	100-05
PA0071 63 5, 4, 21, 5, 12, 10, 15 OI5 Ormskirk, UK Urine	Apr-02
PA0027 23 10 18, 4, 5, 5, 5, 4, 4 O15 Tyne and Wear, UK Urine	Jan-03
1349 M 5 10 4, 3, 5, 5, 5, 4, 4 NA Wellesbourne, UK Mushroom compost	
PA0039 32 18, 13, 13, 11, 11, 4, 14 O11 London, UK Venous line	Nov-02
PA0028 24 18, 5, 13, 11, 2, 6, 2 PA Tyne and Wear, UK Urine	Jan-03
8079 12 9, 7, 2, 9, 8, 7, 8 NA NA NA NA	
1327 M 2 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2 NA Wellesbourne, UK Mushroom compost	

^a Symbols and abbreviations: NA, not available; NT, nontypeable; UK, United Kingdom; boldface, epidemic clones.

TABLE 2. Functions and genome positions of the seven loci used in the *P. aeruginosa* typing scheme

Locus	Putative function of gene (strain)	Position in PAO1 genome (base pair)
acsA	Acetyl coenzyme A synthetase (PA0887)	969670
aroE	Shikimate dehydrogenase (PA0025)	26711
guaA	GMP synthase (PA3769)	4227237
mutL	DNA mismatch repair protein (PA4946)	5551681
nuoD	NADH dehydrogenase I chain C, D (PA2639)	2983963
ppsA	Phosphoenolpyruvate synthase (PA1770)	1914037
trpE	Anthralite synthetase component I (PA0609)	670980

allelic profiles rather than solely associated with closely related clusters of strains (Table 1). Within the BURST groups of closely related isolates, 7 of 10 BURST groups possessed more than one serotype. Group 2 had five different serotypes among the nine isolates that had previously been serotyped, and the ST27 isolates from group 2 had four different serotypes.

Although fewer data were available for *toxA* types, a picture similar to that for serotypes was also found for *toxA* types. BURST group 2 contained multiple *toxA* types, and each of the five ST27 isolates possessed a different *toxA* type.

Both of these data sets reveal that there is a weak linkage between ST, serotype, and *toxA* type, which could be expected from the population structure. There is evidence that strains possess identical serotypes and *toxA* types but different STs (e.g., ST8277 and ST8735 members of clone C BURST group 2 and ST102, ST111, and ST113 members of BURST group 4), and there are examples of strains with identical STs but different serotypes and *toxA* types (e.g., members of ST27 in BURST group 2).

DISCUSSION

At present there is a great need for a universal technique for *P. aeruginosa* typing that is unambiguous and reproducible and that can be used for epidemiological studies of the organism. It has been shown here that MLST fulfills these criteria and effectively types all strains from a diverse collection of *P. aeruginosa* strains.

Analysis of these data has further confirmed that *P. aeruginosa* has a nonclonal population structure punctuated by highly successful epidemic clones or clonal complexes. Recombination is therefore likely to play an important role in shaping the evolution of *P. aeruginosa*. The weak association between serotypes, *toxA* types, and MLST STs is a probable result of the effect of recombination on the evolution of *P. aeruginosa*. The isolates with identical STs examined usually possessed different serotypes and different *toxA* types. However, further analysis of *toxA* type and serotype stability is required, ideally with a different collection of isolates with a predetermined association in space and time, to better understand the value of the *toxA* type and the serotype for the local epidemiology of *P. aeruginosa* over different periods of time.

Included within the strain collection evaluated in the present study were representative isolates of each of the recently identified clinical epidemic isolates from across the United Kingdom, examples of European clone C, and an epidemic isolate from Melbourne, Australia. It is interesting from the allele profiles in Table 1 that the epidemic clones are not closely

TABLE 3. Dideoxyoligonucleotide primers used for P. aeruginosa MLST

Locus and function	Primer sequ	Amplicon size		
Locus and function	Forward	Reverse	(bp)	
acsA				
Amplification	ACCTGGTGTACGCCTCGCTGAC	GACATAGATGCCCTGCCCCTTGAT	842	
Sequencing	GCCACACCTACATCGTCTAT	GTGGACAACCTCGGCAACCT	390	
aroE				
Amplification	TGGGGCTATGACTGGAAACC	TAACCCGGTTTTGTGATTCCTACA	825	
Sequencing	ATGTCACCGTGCCGTTCAAG	TGAAGGCAGTCGGTTCCTTG	495	
guaA				
Amplification	CGGCCTCGACGTGTGGATGA	GAACGCCTGGCTGGTCTTGTGGTA	940	
Sequencing	AGGTCGGTTCCTCCAAGGTC	TCAAGTCGCACCACAACGTC	372	
mutL				
Amplification	CCAGATCGCCGCCGGTGAGGTG	CAGGGTGCCATAGAGGAAGTC	940	
Sequencing	AGAAGACCGAGTTCGACCAT	ATGACTTCCTCTATGGCACC	441	
nuoD				
Amplification	ACCGCCACCCGTACTG	TCTCGCCCATCTTGACCA	1,042	
Sequencing	ACGGCGAGAACGAGGACTAC	TTCACCTTCACCGACCGCCA	366	
ppsA				
Amplification	GGTCGCTCGGTCAAGGTAGTGG	GGGTTCTCTTCTTCCGGCTCGTAG	989	
Sequencing	GGTGACGACGGCAAGCTGTA	TCCTGTGCCGAAGGCGATAC	369	
trpE				
Amplification	GCGGCCCAGGGTCGTGAG	CCCGGCGCTTGTTGATGGTT	811	
Sequencing	TTCAACTTCGGCGACTTCCA	GGTGTCCATGTTGCCGTTCC	441	

TABLE 4. Analysis of the seven loci in the *P. aeruginosa* population sampled

Locus	Fragment size (bp)	No. of alleles	No. of variable sites	% Variable sites	d_N/d_S
acsA	390	43	32	8.2	0.03
aroE	495	35	33	6.7	0.087
guaA	372	36	24	6.5	0.02
mutL	441	31	26	5.9	0.051
nuoD	366	23	25	6.8	0.033
ppsA	369	26	25	6.8	0.06
trpE	441	35	37	8.4	0.017

related to each other, suggesting that they have evolved independently. Furthermore, some of the clone C isolates had different alleles for the trpE locus, which lies within a region of the chromosome that has been inverted within some clone C isolates (19). This suggests that the inversions in these isolates were independent events and not that they arose once and were then subsequently transferred between strains. Additional work with large numbers of representatives of each epidemic clone is required to understand better how these clinically important organisms have evolved and to understand more about clonal stability within *P. aeruginosa*.

Finally, environmental isolates from soil, an oil-contaminated aquifer, and mushroom compost did not cluster away from clinical isolates. In fact, some of these environmental isolates were members of clones or clonal complexes that possessed isolates from cases of invasive disease. This corroborates assumptions based on previous studies which found no correlations between habitat and particular clones (17, 20).

The MLST scheme described here shows that *P. aeruginosa* has a nonclonal epidemic population structure. Further work is required to better understand the evolution of epidemic clones; to compare MLST with typing systems that rely upon genome fragment analysis, such as pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and amplified fragment length polymorphism analysis; and to characterize the genetic diversity and assess the risk of environmental reservoirs of *P. aeruginosa*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Micropathology Ltd., Coventry, United Kingdom, and BBSRC for sponsoring B. Curran and the Walsgrave UHCW for contributing toward MLST costs. Part of this study was supported by a grant (grant 01KI9907 to D.J.) from the German Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung.

We thank Alun Morgan of HRI Wellsbourne for providing access to mushroom compost isolates. We thank Keith Jolley, Peter Medwar Pathogen Research Building, University of Oxford, for creating the website. We are grateful to the Molecular Biology Service, University of Warwick, for DNA sequencing.

REFERENCES

- 1. Baltch Aldona, L., and P. Smith Raymond. 1994. Pseudomonas aeruginosa infections and treatment. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, N.Y.
- Belin, M. W., and P. Zloty. 1993. Accuracy of the PAR corneal topography system with spatial misalignment. CLAO J. 19:64–68.
- Chitkara, Y. K., and T. C. Feierabend. 1981. Endogenous and exogenous infection with Pseudomonas aeruginosa in a burns unit. Int. Surg. 66:237– 240.
- Cross, A., J. R. Allen, J. Burke, G. Ducel, A. Harris, J. John, D. Johnson, M. Lew, B. MacMillan, P. Meers, et al. 1983. Nosocomial infections due to Pseudomonas aeruginosa: review of recent trends. Rev. Infect. Dis. 5(Suppl. 5):S837–S845.
- 5. Denamur, E., B. Picard, G. Decoux, J. B. Denis, and J. Elion. 1993. The

absence of correlation between allozyme and rrn RFLP analysis indicates a high gene flow rate within human clinical Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **110**:275–280.

- Dinesh, S. D., H. Grundmann, T. L. Pitt, and U. Romling. 2003. Europeanwide distribution of Pseudomonas aeruginosa clone C. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 9:1228–1233.
- Doring, G., S. P. Conway, H. G. Heijerman, M. E. Hodson, N. Hoiby, A. Smyth, and D. J. Touw. 2000. Antibiotic therapy against Pseudomonas aeruginosa in cystic fibrosis: a European consensus. Eur. Respir. J. 16:749– 767.
- Fagon, J. Y., J. Chastre, A. J. Hance, P. Montravers, A. Novara, and C. Gibert. 1993. Nosocomial pneumonia in ventilated patients: a cohort study evaluating attributable mortality and hospital stay. Am. J. Med. 94:281–288.
- Grundmann, H., A. Kropec, D. Hartung, R. Berner, and F. Daschner. 1993. Pseudomonas aeruginosa in a neonatal intensive care unit: reservoirs and ecology of the nosocomial pathogen. J. Infect. Dis. 168:943–947.
- Grundmann, H., C. Schneider, D. Hartung, F. D. Daschner, and T. L. Pitt. 1995. Discriminatory power of three DNA-based typing techniques for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 33:528–534.
- Jolley, K. A., E. J. Feil, M. S. Chan, and M. C. Maiden. 2001. Sequence type analysis and recombinational tests (START). Bioinformatics 17:1230–1231.
- Jones, A. M., J. R. Govan, C. J. Doherty, M. E. Dodd, B. J. Isalska, T. N. Stanbridge, and A. K. Webb. 2001. Spread of a multiresistant strain of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in an adult cystic fibrosis clinic. Lancet 358:557– 558.
- Kiewitz, C., and B. Tummler. 2000. Sequence diversity of *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa: impact on population structure and genome evolution. J. Bacteriol. 182:3125–3135.
- Lomholt, J. A., K. Poulsen, and M. Kilian. 2001. Epidemic population structure of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: evidence for a clone that is pathogenic to the eye and that has a distinct combination of virulence factors. Infect. Immun. 69:6284–6295.
- McCallum, S. J., M. J. Gallagher, J. E. Corkill, C. A. Hart, M. J. Ledson, and M. J. Walshaw. 2002. Spread of an epidemic Pseudomonas aeruginosa strain from a patient with cystic fibrosis (CF) to non-CF relatives. Thorax 57: 559–560.
- Picard, B., E. Denamur, A. Barakat, J. Elion, and P. Goullet. 1994. Genetic heterogeneity of Pseudomonas aeruginosa clinical isolates revealed by esterase electrophoretic polymorphism and restriction fragment length polymorphism of the ribosomal RNA gene region. J. Med. Microbiol. 40:313– 322.
- Pirnay, J. P., D. De Vos, C. Cochez, F. Bilocq, A. Vanderkelen, M. Zizi, B. Ghysels, and P. Cornelis. 2002. Pseudomonas aeruginosa displays an epidemic population structure. Environ. Microbiol. 4:898–911.
- Pitt, T. L. 1988. Epidemiological typing of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 7:238–247.
- Romling, U., K. D. Schmidt, and B. Tummler. 1997. Large chromosomal inversions occur in Pseudomonas aeruginosa clone C strains isolated from cystic fibrosis patients. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 150:149–156.
- Romling, U., J. Wingender, H. Muller, and B. Tummler. 1994. A major *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* clone common to patients and aquatic habitats. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 60:1734–1738.
- Schlech, W. F., III, N. Simonsen, R. Sumarah, and R. S. Martin. 1986. Nosocomial outbreak of Pseudomonas aeruginosa folliculitis associated with a physiotherapy pool. Can. Med. Assoc. J. 134:909–913.
- Schmidt, K. D., B. Tummler, and U. Romling. 1996. Comparative genome mapping of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO with *P. aeruginosa* C, which belongs to a major clone in cystic fibrosis patients and aquatic habitats. J. Bacteriol. 178:85–93.
- Smith, D. L., E. G. Smith, L. B. Gumery, D. E. Stableforth, L. M. Dalla Costa, and T. L. Pitt. 1993. Epidemiology of Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection in cystic fibrosis and the use of strain genotyping. J. Infect. 26: 325–331.
- Speert, D. P. 2002. Molecular epidemiology of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Frontiers Biosci. 7:e354–e361.
- Speert, D. P., M. E. Campbell, D. A. Henry, R. Milner, F. Taha, A. Gravelle, A. G. Davidson, L. T. Wong, and E. Mahenthiralingam. 2002. Epidemiology of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in cystic fibrosis in British Columbia, Canada. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 166:988–993.
- Spencer, D. H., A. Kas, E. E. Smith, C. K. Raymond, E. H. Sims, M. Hastings, J. L. Burns, R. Kaul, and M. V. Olson. 2003. Whole-genome sequence variation among multiple isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J. Bacteriol. 185:1316–1325.
- 27. Stover, C. K., X. Q. Pham, A. L. Erwin, S. D. Mizoguchi, P. Warrener, M. J. Hickey, F. S. Brinkman, W. O. Hufnagle, D. J. Kowalik, M. Lagrou, R. L. Garber, L. Goltry, E. Tolentino, S. Westbrock-Wadman, Y. Yuan, L. L. Brody, S. N. Coulter, K. R. Folger, A. Kas, K. Larbig, R. Lim, K. Smith, D. Spencer, G. K. Wong, Z. Wu, I. T. Paulsen, J. Reizer, M. H. Saier, R. E. Hancock, S. Lory, and M. V. Olson. 2000. Complete genome sequence of Pseudomonas aeruginosa PA01, an opportunistic pathogen. Nature 406: 959–964.