Role of prostacyclin signaling in endothelial production of soluble amyloid precursor protein-a in cerebral microvessels

Tongrong He^l, Anantha Vijay R Santhanam^l, Tong Lu², Livius V d'Uscio¹ and Zvonimir S Katusic¹

Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism 2017, Vol. 37(1) 106–122 \circ Author(s) 2015 Reprints and permissions: sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/0271678X15618977 jcbfm.sagepub.com

Abstract

We tested hypothesis that activation of the prostacyclin (PGI₂) receptor (IP receptor) signaling pathway in cerebral microvessels plays an important role in the metabolism of amyloid precursor protein (APP). In human brain microvascular endothelial cells activation of IP receptor with the stable analogue of PGI₂, iloprost, stimulated expression of amyloid precursor protein and a disintegrin and metalloprotease 10 (ADAM10), resulting in an increased production of the neuroprotective and anticoagulant molecule, soluble APP α (sAPP α). Selective agonist of IP receptor, cicaprost, and adenylyl cyclase activator, forskolin, also enhanced expression of amyloid precursor protein and ADAM10. Notably, in cerebral microvessels of IP receptor knockout mice, protein levels of APP and ADAM10 were reduced. In addition, iloprost increased protein levels of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor δ (PPAR δ) in human brain microvascular endothelial cells. PPAR₀-siRNA abolished iloprost-augmented protein expression of ADAM10. In contrast, GW501516 (a selective agonist of PPARd) upregulated ADAM10 and increased production of sAPPa. Genetic deletion of endothelial PPAR δ (ePPAR $\delta^{-/-}$) in mice significantly reduced cerebral microvascular expression of ADAM10 and production of sAPPa. In vivo treatment with GW501516 increased sAPPa content in hippocampus of wild type mice but not in hippocampus of ePPAR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice. Our findings identified previously unrecognized role of IP-PPAR δ signal transduction pathway in the production of sAPPa in cerebral microvasculature.

Keywords

ADAM10, APP, PPARδ, sAPPα, endothelium

Received 28 August 2015; Revised 20 October 2015; Accepted 30 October 2015

Introduction

Vascular protective effects of prostacyclin $(PGI₂)$ are essential for the preservation of cerebrovascular homeostasis and hemostasis. $1-4$ The endothelium is a major source of $PGI₂$ which is generated in response to a number of different stimuli including vascular injury.^{5–7} PGI₂ is a potent vasodilator; it stimulates angiogenesis and preserves barrier function of endothelial cells.^{8,9} Furthermore, PGI₂ analogues attenuate brain damage caused by ischemic stroke, 10 suggesting that activation of $PGI₂$ receptors (IP receptors) is an important neuroprotective mechanism. In addition, PGI₂ also exerts an inhibitory effect on blood coagulation by activation of IP receptors coupled to adenylyl cyclase and increased formation of cyclic AMP in circulating platelets.^{6,9}

Amyloid precursor protein (APP) is a member of a family of evolutionarily conserved single-pass type I transmembrane glycoproteins.¹¹ Three major isoforms

Corresponding author:

Zvonimir S Katusic, Mayo Clinic, Joseph Building 4-184, 200 First Street, SW Rochester, MN 55905, USA. Email: katusic.zvonimir@mayo.edu

¹Department of Anesthesiology and Molecular Pharmacology & Experimental Therapeutics, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Rochester, MN, USA

² Department of Internal Medicine, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Rochester, MN, USA

of APP (APP695, APP751, and APP770) have been identified. APP 751 and APP770 contain a domain homologous to Kunitz-type protein inhibitors (KPI) .¹² We have reported that APP is highly expressed in endothelium.¹³ It has also been demonstrated that brain microvascular endothelial cells primarily express very high levels of APP770 containing KPI domain.¹² APP770 and soluble APP770 (sAPP770) that contains the KPI domain may protect the brain from cerebrovascular thrombosis by inhibition of prothrombotic proteinase factor Xla.^{12,14–16} Thus, both PGI₂ and APP protect cerebral vasculature against thrombosis; however, to date the functional relationship between these two molecules has not been studied. In the present study we tested the hypothesis that activation of the IP receptor signal transduction pathway in cerebral microvessels plays an important role in metabolism of APP.

Once expressed, APP is subsequently cleaved by two different pathways. The amyloidogenic pathway generates cytotoxic $\mathbf{A}\beta$, which is considered a major culprit in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease. In the nonamyloidogenic pathway APP is cleaved by α -secretases within the $\mathbf{A}\beta$ sequence thereby generating soluble $APP\alpha$ (sAPP α) ectodomain. Under physiological conditions, α -processing of APP is a dominant pathway, producing sAPPa, a well-known neurotrophic and neuroprotective molecule.^{17–21} Of note, sAPP770 has stronger in vitro neurotrophic effect than neuronal isoform sAPP695.²² In vivo studies have also revealed an important role of $sAPP\alpha$ in cognitive function such as memory, and maintenance of normal brain structure and electrophysiological function.^{17,23,24} Interestingly, elevated levels of KPI-containing APP have been observed in brain tissue exposed to ischemia and neurotoxic damage, $25-28$ indicating a possible neuro-vascular protective effect of endothelial APP under pathological conditions. Indeed this concept has been reinforced by the findings that inflammatory cytokines stimulate release of sAPP α 770 from endothelial cells.²⁹ Thus, endothelial sAPPa might play a critical role in neurovascular protection in response to ischemia and inflammatory insults. Therefore, understanding mechanisms underlying regulation of sAPPa production in cerebral vascular endothelial cells is of major importance for the development of therapeutic targets in prevention and treatment of stroke and brain injury.

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor δ (PPARd) is a ligand-activated transcription factor that belongs to the nuclear receptor super-family which includes two additional isoforms $PPAR\alpha$ and $PPAR\gamma$.³⁰ Besides their role in the control of metabolism, PPAR isoforms are essential regulators of cardiovascular system.³⁰ Prior studies suggest that systemic activation of PPAR₀ is protective against major cardiovascular risk factors including dyslipidemia, insulin resistance, and obesity.³⁰ In peripheral blood vessels, pharmacological analysis demonstrated that PPAR δ agonists exert vascular protective effects^{13,31,32}; however, the role of PPAR δ in cerebral vascular function is not fully understood. In our previous study we demonstrated that in the cerebral microvessels, activation of PPARd prevented endothelial dysfunction thereby suggesting that endothelial PPAR δ might be an important vasoprotective molecule.³³ We also established that in endothelial specific PPAR₀ knockout $(ePPAR\delta^{-/-})$ mice oxidative stress is a major factor leading to peripheral vascular dysfunction. 32 Since our prior studies suggest that PPAR₀ could mediate PGI_2 -induced endothelial regeneration,³¹ the present study was also designed to determine the role of $PPAR\delta$ in PGI_2 -dependent regulation of APP expression and metabolism.

Material and methods

Mice

Use of the animals and protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Mayo Clinic. Mice homozygous for deletion of IP receptor $(IP^{-/-})$ mice) were generously provided by Dr. Garret A. FitzGerald (University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 34 and bred with C57BL/6J mice (Jackson Laboratory) to generate mice heterozygous for deletion of IP receptor. The $IP^{-/-}$ mice and wild type littermates (2–3 months old, males and females) generated from breeder mice heterozygous for deletion of IP receptor were used in experiments. Mice with endothelial-specific knock-down PPAR δ (ePPAR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice) and their wild type littermates were kindly provided to us by Dr. Ronald. M. Evans (The Salk Institute, La Jolla, CA) and bred in our laboratory.³² Male mice (3–5 months old) were maintained on standard chow with free access to drinking water. $ePPAR\delta^{-/-}$ and wild type mice were orally gavaged with GW501516 (2 mg/kg/day) or vehicle (0.5% carboxy methyl cellulose in 2% dimethylsulfoxide, control group) for 14 days. This dose was chosen based on the results of the previous in vivo studies demonstrating selectivity of GW501516 as a PPAR δ agonist.^{33,35} Investigator was not blinded as to which mouse belonged to control group and which belonged to treated group. Brain tissues were collected after mice were killed by injection of an overdose of pentobarbital (250 mg/kg body weight, i.p.). The experiments were performed in accordance with the ARRIVE guidelines. All efforts were made to minimize animal suffering and to reduce the number of animals used.

Vascular reactivity

Organ chambers were used for recording isometric force of isolated aortic rings as described previously.³² Relaxations to cicaprost were obtained in aortas of wild-type and $IP^{-/-}$ mice during submaximal contractions to phenylephrine.

Isolation of cerebral microvessels

Isolation of cerebral microvessels was performed as described in our previous studies. 33 Briefly, mouse brain was removed and placed in cold $(4^{\circ}C)$ modified Krebs–Ringer bicarbonate solution (in mmol/L: NaCl 118.6; KCl 4.7; CaCl₂ 2.5; MgSO₄ 1.2; KH₂PO₄ 1.2; NaHCO₃25.1; glucose 10.1; EDTA 0.026). Under a surgical microscope, anterior and posterior cerebral, middle cerebral and basilar arteries were removed. Cerebral microvessels were then isolated from whole brain or brain hemisphere using 15% Dextran (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) centrifugation. The isolated microvessels contained a heterogeneous mixture of small arteries, arterioles, capillaries, small veins, and venules.³³

Isolation of mouse cerebral microvascular endothelial cells

Primary mouse brain endothelial cells were obtained as described³⁶ with minor modification. After brain microvessels were isolated, the pellet was suspended in endothelial basal medium 2 (EBM2) (Lonza, Allendale, NJ) containing 1 mg/ml collagenase/dispase (Roche, Indianapolis, IN), 1000 U/ml DNase 1 (Roche), and $0.147 \,\mu$ g/ml tosyllysine chloromethyl ketone (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and incubated at 37° C for 75 min with occasional shaking. The digest was centrifuged at $2000 g$ for 5 min, and cells were washed with endothelial growth medium 2 (EGM2, Lonza), and seeded in 24-well plates coated with mouse collagen IV (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). Cells were cultured in EGM2 $+15\%$ FCS. To deplete the cells of nonendothelial origin, puromycin $(4 \mu g/ml, Sigma-Aldrich$ St. Louis, MO) was added to the culture for the first 48 h. After culturing for 8 days, cells were collected for characterization. For fluorescent confocal microscopy, cells were seeded on 4-well glass chamber slide system. Immunofluorescent confocal microscopy analysis was performed as described in our previous studies, 37 using mouse antibody against PECAM-1 (1:100 dilution, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX).

Western blot analysis

Western blotting was performed as previously described.³⁸ Cerebral microvessels were homogenized in lysis buffer containing (50 mmol/L NaCl, 50 mmol/L NaF, 50 mmol/L sodium pyrophosphate, 5 mmol/L EDTA, 5 mmol/L EGTA, 0.1 mmol/L Na₃VO₄, 1% Triton X-100, 10 mmol/L HEPES, pH 7.4, and protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma, St. Louis, MO)). Rabbit antibodies against APP, and β -site APP cleaving enzyme 1 (BACE1) were obtained from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA), and Abcam (Cambridge, MA), respectively. Rabbit antibody against a disintegrin and metalloprotease 9 (ADAM9), and mouse antibody against sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA). Rabbit antibodies against ADAM10 and ADAM17 were obtained from Chemicon International (Temecula, CA). Rabbitanti-PPARd was obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Blots probed with goat-anti-actin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) were used as loading controls. Protein expression was normalized to actin. For α -secretase, the protein levels of mature ADAM10, mature ADAM17, and mature ADAM9 (lower bands on the blots) were quantified. Mouse anti-soluble $APP\alpha$ antibody (2B3) was purchased from Immuno-Biological Laboratories (Minneapolis, MN).

cAMP measurement

Cerebral microvessels were isolated and incubated in Krebs–Ringer bicarbonate solution containing $5 \mu M$ cicaprost (for microvessels of right hemisphere) or DMSO (vehicle, for microvessels of left hemisphere) at 37° C for 30 min. The microvessel lysates were prepared for measuring cAMP levels using colorimetric cAMP ELISA Kit (Cell Biolabs, San Diego, CA). The samples were acetylated before the assay according to manufacturer's protocol.

Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction and RT-PCR

Quantitative real time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed as previously described.³⁹ For in vitro experiments, primary human brain cerebral cortex microvascular endothelial cells (BMECs, Applied Cell Biology Research Institute, Kirkland, WA) were used at passages 4–6. After human BMECs were treated with iloprost (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor MI) or GW501516 (Cayman Chemical) for 57 h, total cellular RNA was isolated using RNeasy Plus Mini kit (Qiagen, Redwood City, CA), and reverse transcription of RNA to cDNA was performed using SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System kit (Invitrogen). The amounts mRNA were determined (in triplicate for each sample) using iCycler IQ Real Time Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The primers⁴⁰ corresponding to human ADAM10 mRNA were forward

(5'TTTGAAGGATTCATCCAGACTC3') and reverse (5'ACACCAGTCATCTGGTATTTCC3'). Internal control human GAPDH mRNA was amplified with forward AAAACCTGCCAAATATGATGAC3') and reverse (5'CAGGAAATGAGCTTGACAAAG T3') primers. To determine mRNA levels in cerebral microvessels of ePPAR^{$-/-$} mice and wild type mice in vivo, primers for mouse $ADAM10⁴¹$ were used: forward (5'AATTCTGCTCCTCTCCTGGG3'),), and reverse (5'ACGCTGGTGTTTTTGGTGTA3'). Mouse GAPDH primers are: forward (TGCCAAGGCT GTGGGCAAGG3'), and reverse (5'TGGGCCCTCA GATGCCTGCT3').

RT-PCR was performed to confirm endothelial deletion of PPAR δ in ePPAR^{-/-} mice. Primers for mouse PPARd, eNOS, and GAPDH were used as described in our previous publication.³²

Knockdown of PPAR δ and SIRTT by small interfering RNA (siRNA)

Experiments were performed as described in our previous studies by use of Lipofectamine 2000 $(Invitrogen).$ ³⁹ PPAR δ -siRNA targeting human PPAR₀ mRNA (ON-TARGETplus SMART pool), and Control-siRNA (ON-TARGETplus Non-targeting siRNA#1) were obtained from Dharmacon (Lafayette, CO). Human BMECs were treated with PPAR_{o-si}RNA or Ct-siRNA 30 nM for five days. The protein samples were then collected for Western blot. In some experiments, cells were treated with PPARδ-siRNA or CtsiRNA for 2 days, followed by addition of iloprost to the culture for 3 days. For knock-down of SIRT1, cells were treated with SIRT1-siRNA (ON-TARGETplus human SIRT1 siRNA-SMART pool, from Thermo Scientific), or Control-siRNA-A (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) (50 nM) for 2 days. Then cells were incubated in GW501516 (100 nM) for 3 days, and protein samples were collected.

ELISA for sAPP α , A β 42, and A β 40

Human BMECs were incubated with EGM2 containing iloprost or GW501516, or EGM2 alone for 48 h. Then cells were incubated in $EBM2+0.5\%$ FCS containing iloprost or GW501516, or $EBM2 + 0.5\%$ FCS for 24 h. Supernatants were collected for assay of sAPP α , using human sAPP α high sensitive assay kit (Immuno-Biological Laboratories-America, Minneapolis, MN). The supernatants were also subjected to assay for $A\beta40$ and $A\beta42$ using human $A\beta$ 40 ELISA kit and human $A\beta$ 42 ELISA kit (Invitrogen, Camarillo, CA). Microvessels isolated from whole brain were incubated in 200μ l EBM2 containing 0.1% BSA for 24 h. The supernatants were then collected and subjected to measurement of $sAPP\alpha$ using mouse/rat sAPPa high sensitive assay kit (Immuno-Biological Laboratories-America) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as mean \pm SEM. Differences between mean values of multiple groups were analyzed using 1-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey test (SigmaStat 12.0 for Windows). Unpaired Student t -test was used to analyze comparison between two groups. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

To determine the role of $PGI₂$ in APP processing, we first examined the effects of the stable analogue of PGI2, iloprost, in cultured human BMECs. Iloprost treatment caused concentration-dependent increase in protein expression of APP (Figure $1(a)$). The time course experiments demonstrated that treatment with iloprost for 48–72 h, but not 24 h, increased APP protein levels (Figure 1(b)). Iloprost also significantly augmented APP mRNA levels (Figure 1(c)). We further determined expressions of ADAM9, 10, and 17, since these three metalloproteases of the ADAM family have been suggested to exert α -secretase activity in metabolism of \widetilde{APP} . ^{17,40–42} Iloprost treatment for three days increased ADAM10 protein expression in a concentration dependent manner (Figure 1(d) and (e)). Incubation of cells with iloprost enhanced mRNA levels of ADAM10 (Figure 1(f)). The expression of ADAM 9, ADAM17, or BACE1 was not significantly altered in response to iloprost treatment (Suppl. Figure $1(A)$ to (C)). Effects of iloprost on expression and processing of APP led to increased sAPPa formation, but did not affect production of $\text{A}\beta$ 40 and $\text{A}\beta$ 42 (Figure $1(g)$ and (h)).

Since IP receptors are coupled to activation of adenylyl cyclase and generation of cyclic AMP, in the next series of experiments we examined the effects of forskolin (an adenylyl cyclase activator) and cicaprost (a selective agonist of IP receptor) on expression and processing of APP. Treatment of human BMECs with forskolin or cicaprost stimulated expression of APP and ADAM10 (Figure 2(a) to (d)). To validate the in vivo relevance of the findings observed in cultured human BMECs, we next performed studies in cerebral microvessels derived from $IP^{-/-}$ mice. The phenotype of $IP^{-/-}$ mice was confirmed by the experiment demonstrating that relaxation of aorta to cicarprost was abolished in $IP^{-/-}$ mice (Suppl. Figure 2(A) and (B)). In addition, in isolated brain microvessels of WT mice, cicaprost significantly increased cAMP levels; however,

Figure 1. Iloprost stimulated production of sAPP α in human BMECs. (a) Cells were treated with iloprost with indicated concentrations for three days. Protein samples were subjected to Western blot. $n = 6-8$, *P < 0.05, compared to control; $\#P$ < 0.05 compared to iloporst 0.01 µM. (b) After cells were incubated with iloprost (0.5 µM) for indicated durations, APP protein expression was assayed. $n = 6$, *P < 0.05, compared to control. (c) Human BMECs were treated with iloprost (0.5 μ M) for 57 h; cells were collected for quantitative real-time PCR. $n = 7$, *P < 0.05, compared to control. (d) Cells were treated with iloprost for three days. $n = 5-7$, *P < 0.05, compared to control; #P < 0.05 compared to 0.01 μ M iloprost (pro-ADAM10 is \sim 85 kDa, mat-ADAM10 is \sim 60 kDa). (e) Time course of ADAM10 expression in response to 0.5 µM iloprost treatment. n = 6, *P < 0.05, compared to control. (f) mRNA levels of ADAM10 after treatment with 0.5 µM iloprost for 57 h. n = 4, *P < 0.05, compared to control. (g) and (h) Cells were treated with iloprost (0.5 µM) or EGM2 alone (Ct) for 48 h. Then cells were incubated in EBM2 + 0.5% FCS containing iloprost (0.5 μ M), or EBM2 + 0.5% FCS for 24 h. Conditioned media were collected for measuring sAPP α (g), and A β 42 and A β 40 (H). n = 6, $*P < 0.05$, compared to control.

Figure 2. IP-cAMP signaling regulated expression of APP and ADAM10. (a)–(d) Activation of IP-cAMP signaling pathway stimulated protein expression of APP and ADAM10 in human BMECs. (a) and (b) Cells were treated with 40 μ M forskolin for 3 days. (a), n = 3, $*P < 0.05$, compared to control; (b) n = 6, $*P < 0.05$, compared to control. (c) and (d) Cells were treated with 1 µM cicaprost for three days. $n = 8$, *P < 0.05, compared to control. (e) and (f) Genetic deletion of IP receptor reduced cerebral microvascular expression of APP and ADAM10. Cerebral microvessels were isolated from IP^{-/-} and littermate WT mice, and protein samples were subjected to Western blot. $n = 10$, *P < 0.05, compared to WT mice.

brain microvessels of $IP^{-/-}$ mice failed to respond to cicaprost stimulation (Suppl. Figure 2 (C) and (D)). Consistent with findings in cultured human BMECs, protein levels of APP and ADAM10 were significantly

reduced in the brain microvessels of $IP^{-/-}$ mice (Figure 2(e) and (f)). Protein expression of BACE1, ADAM9, and ADAM17 were not changed (Suppl. Figure 3(A) to (C)). Since hippocampal neuronal loss

Figure 3. PPAR₀-mediated iloprost-induced expression of ADAM10. (a) Human BMECs were treated with iloprost for three days. $n = 4$, $P < 0.05$, compared to control. (b) Human BMECs were treated with PPAR₀-siRNA or Ct-siRNA (30 nM) for two days, then cells were treated with iloprost for three days; $n = 4$, *P < 0.05 compared to other two groups.

and cognitive dysfunction have been observed in $IP^{-/-}$ mice after brain ischemic injury,43,44 we further examined the sAPP α levels in hippocampus. As shown in Suppl. Figure $3(D)$, sAPP α protein was significantly reduced in hippocampus of $IP^{-/-}$ mice. Thus, our findings suggest that activation of IP receptors and the subsequent increase in cAMP stimulate expression and a-processing of APP.

Because cAMP signaling has been shown to activate $PPAR\delta$,^{45,46} it is possible that PPAR δ plays a role in the effect of iloprost on APP processing. Indeed, iloprost treatment increased PPAR₀ protein expression (Figure 3(a)). Moreover, PPAR δ -siRNA abolished the stimulatory effect of iloprost on expression of ADAM10 (Figure 3(b)), suggesting that PPAR δ plays a major role in mediating the iloprost-induced expression of ADAM10. To further examine the contribution of PPARd in regulation of expression of APP and its

processing enzymes, we treated human BMECs with the PPARδ selective agonist, GW501516, for three days. We observed that GW501516 stimulated ADAM10 expression (Figure 4(a) and (b)), but did not affect APP protein levels (Figure 4(c)). Moreover, the sAPPa production was increased in response to GW501516 treatment (Figure 4(d)). Shorter duration of treatment with GW501516 (for 24–48 h) did not significantly change ADAM10 expression $(n = 5-7, data)$ not shown), consistent with time lines of the effects of iloprost on ADAM10. GW501516 did not affect protein levels of ADAM9, ADAM17, and BACE1 (Suppl. Figure 4(a) to (c)). Deletion of PPAR δ using siRNA reduced ADAM10 protein levels (Figure 4(e)), but did not significantly change expression of APP (Figure 4(f)), ADAM9, ADAM17, or BACE1 (Suppl. Figure 4(D) to (F)). Therefore, activity of PPAR δ in human BMECs appears to be involved only in regulation of ADAM10 but not APP expression. In addition, we tested the effect of PPARa agonist WY14643 and PPARg agonist rosiglitazone on ADAM10 expression in human BMECs. As shown in Suppl. Figure 5(A), WY14643 had no effect on ADAM10 protein expression, whereas rosiglitazone significantly reduced ADAM10 protein expression. Moreover, PPARδsiRNA did not affect protein expression of PPARa and PPAR γ (Suppl. Figure 5(B) and (C)). These results indicate that the regulatory role of $PPAR\delta$ in expression of ADAM10 is not dependent on other two isoforms.

To further investigate the role of $PPAR\delta$ in APP processing in vivo, we used ePPAR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice, in which PPAR δ is exclusively deleted in the endothelium.³² Here we confirmed that $PPAR\delta$ was knockeddown in the endothelium of cerebral microvessels derived from ePPAR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice. In cultured cerebral microvascular endothelial cells of ePPAR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice PPAR δ mRNA was deleted (Suppl. Figure 6(A) and (B)). Furthermore, in isolated cerebral microvessels of ePPAR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice, the mRNA levels of PPAR δ were also decreased, as compared to that of cerebral microvessels derived from WT littermates (Suppl. Figure $6(C)$). The incomplete deletion of PPAR δ in microvessels of ePPAR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice most likely reflects presence of other cells such as smooth muscle cells in the isolated cerebral microvessel preparation. Most importantly, in isolated microvessels of ePPAR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice, the levels of ADAM10 protein and mRNA were significantly reduced, as compared to WT littermates (Figure $5(a)$ and (b)). Interestingly, the protein level of ADAM17 was increased in isolated microvessels of ePPAR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice (Figure 5(c)). But, protein expression of ADAM9, BACE1, and APP was not significantly changed by deletion of $PPAR\delta$ in cerebrovascular endothelium (Figure 5(d) to (f)). Importantly,

Figure 4. PPAR δ regulated ADAM10 expression and sAPP α production in human BMECs. (a) Cells were cultured in EGM2 with indicated concentrations of GW501516 for 3 days. Cells were collected for Western blot. $n = 6$, *P < 0.05, compared to control (no GW501516 treatment). (b) Human BMECs were cultured in EGM2 with GW501516 (100 nM) or EGM-2 (Ct) for 48 h, then in EBM2 + 0.5%FCS + GW501516 or EBM2 + 0.5%FCS (Ct) for 9 h. Cells were collected for quantitative real-time PCR. $n = 4$, *P < 0.05, compared to control. (c) APP protein levels in response to GW501516 treatment for three days, $n = 6$. (d) Cells were cultured in EGM2 with GW501516 (100 nM) or EGM2 alone for 48 h, then in EBM2 + 0.5%FCS with or without GW501516 (100 nM) for 24 h. The conditioned media were collected for measuring sAPP α n = 9–10, *P < 0.05, compared to control. (e) and (f) Human BMECs were treated with PPAR δ -siRNA or Ct-siRNA (30 nM) for 5 days. $n = 4$, *P < 0.05 compared to Ct-siRNA.

release of sAPPa from isolated brain microvessels of ePPAR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice was significantly reduced (Figure 5(g)). Most notably, sAPP α protein level was significantly reduced in hippocampus of ePPAR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice, as compared to WT mice (Figure 5(h)). Endothelial knockdown of PPARd did not affect sAPPa levels in cortex and cerebellum (Figure 5(h)). In vivo treatment of WT mice with GW501516 for two weeks significantly increased sAPPa content in hippocampus, but not in cortex and cerebellum (Figure 5(i)). GW501516 had no effect on sAPP α levels in all three brain regions derived from ePPAR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice (Figure 5(j)). These results

Figure 5. PPAR δ regulated ADAM10 expression and sAPP α production in vivo. (a) ADAM10 protein expression in brain microvasculature of ePPAR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice is decreased. n = 18, *P < 0.05, compared to wild type. (b) mRNA levels of ADAM10 in brain microvasculature of ePPAR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice is reduced, in compared to wild type mice, n $=$ 5, *P $<$ 0.05. (c) Protein levels of ADAM17 were increased in brain microvasculature of ePPAR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice, n $=$ 4, *P $<$ 0.05, compared to wild type. (d)–(f) The cerebral microvascular expression of ADAM9 (D, n $=$ 13), BACE1 (E, n $=$ 4), and APP (f, n $=$ 19) are not significantly different between WT and ePPAR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice. (g) sAPP α production was decreased in cerebral microvessels of ePPAR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice. Brain microvessels isolated from whole brain of ePPAR $\delta^{-/-}$ and wild type mice were incubated in EBM2 containing 0.1% BSA for 24h. The supernatants were collected for measuring sAPP α n = 17–19, P < 0.05. (h) Protein levels of sAPP α in brain regions. Note that in hippocampus of ePPAR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice sAPP α level was decreased compared to WT mice (n = 11, *P < 0.05). (i) In wild type mice, GW501516 treatment increased sAPP α protein level in hippocampus (n $=$ 10, *P $<$ 0.05, compared to vehicle treatment). (j) In ePPAR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice, GW501516 treatment did not affect sAPP α levels in all three brain regions (n = 5).

indicated that endothelial PPAR^{δ} was critical for ADAM10 expression and sAPPa production in mouse cerebral microvessels, as well as sAPPa content in hippocampus.

It has been suggested that ADAM10 gene transcription is up-regulated by SIRT1 via activation of retinoid acid receptor/retinoid X receptor (RAR/RXR) heterodimers in neuroblastoma and neuroglioma cells.^{47,48}

Figure 5. Continued.

It was also observed that SIRT1 gene transcription could be regulated by PPAR δ .^{49,50} Therefore, we further explored the relationship between PPAR δ , SIRT1, and ADAM10. In human BMECs, both iloprost and forskolin up-regulated SIRT1 protein levels (Figure 6(a) and (b)), indicating that IP-cAMP signaling induced expression of ADAM10 might be mediated by SIRT1. Activation of PPARδ using GW501516 also enhanced SIRT1 protein expression (Figure 6(c)), while PPARδ-siRNA reduced SIRT1 protein levels (Figure 6(d)). PPAR δ -siRNA also blocked stimulatory effect of iloprost on expression of SIRT1 (Figure 6(e)), suggesting that PPARd mediates iloprost-induced SIRT1 expression. Notably, SIRT1-siRNA did not affect PPAR δ protein expression (Suppl. Figure 7); however, SIRT1-siRNA inhibited GW501516-induced expression of ADAM10 (Figure 6(f)), indicating that SIRT1 was downstream of PPAR δ in the ADAM10 regulation pathway (Figure 7).

Discussion

In the present study, we report several novel findings. First, activation of IP-cAMP signaling pathway upregulates expression of APP and ADAM10 and stimulates production of sAPPa in human BMECs and

Figure 6. Role of SIRT1 in the regulation of ADAM10. (a)–(c) Protein levels of SIRT1 in human BMECs in response to iloprost $(n = 4)$, forskolin $(n = 7)$, or GW501516 $(n = 6)$ treatment for three days. *P < 0.05, compared to control. (d) Cells were treated with PPAR δ -siRNA or Ct-siRNA (30 nM) for five days. $n = 4$, *P < 0.05, compared to Ct-siRNA. E, human BMECs were treated with PPAR δ -siRNA or Ct-siRNA (30 nM) for two days, then treated with iloprost for three days. n = 11, *P < 0.05, compared to other two groups. (f) Cells were incubated with SIRT1-siRNA or Ct-siRNA (50 nM) for two days, then treated with GW501516 (100 nM) for three days. $n = 12$, *P < 0.05, compared to two other groups.

murine cerebral microvasculature. Second, PPAR δ plays a critical role in regulation of ADAM10 expression and production of sAPPa stimulated by iloprost. Third, $PPAR\delta$ is coupled to activation of SIRT1 and subsequent increase in expression of ADAM10 in human $BMECs$. Fourth, endothelial PPAR δ activity is a critical determinant of sAPPa level in mouse hippocampus.

Our previous studies have demonstrated that APP is highly expressed in vascular endothelium¹³ thereby suggesting that intraluminal release of the products of APP processing from the endothelium may play an important role in vascular homeostasis and hemostasis. Most notably, previous studies have provided evidence that sAPPa released from the endothelium contains a KPI

Figure 7. Schematic summary of possible mechanism of $PGI₂$ signaling responsible for sAPPa production.

domain, an established anticoagulation factor.^{12,14} Our studies are the first to demonstrate that in human BMECs, activation of the IP-cAMP signaling pathway stimulates expression of APP and production of sAPPa, an anticoagulant and neuroprotective molecule derived from non-amyloidogenic processing of APP. In contrast, deletion of IP receptor in mouse reduces APP protein levels in cerebral microvessels, indicating an important in vivo role of IP-cAMP signaling in regulation of cerebrovascular expression of APP.

The ADAMs are type 1 transmembrane proteins with endoproteolytic activities. By shedding the ectodomains of various membrane proteins, they are involved in physiological and pathological processes such as cell growth, adhesion, and migration.¹⁷ Among all ADAMs, ADAM9, 10, and 17 participate in α secretase activity-mediated shedding of APP.¹⁷ ADAM10 is responsible for the constitutive α -secretase activity in primary neurons, 51 and contributes to both constitutive and inducible a-secretase activity in the central nervous system.^{52,53} In vivo and in vitro studies

suggest that the α -secretase activity of ADAM17 is primarily PKC-regulated (inducible activity).54–56 It has been suggested that the ADAM9 is not able to directly cleave APP protein at α -secretase site, but that its a-secretase activity could be related to ADAM9 regulation of ADAM10 activity.^{17,57} We have detected all three ADAMs in mouse brain microvessels and human BMECs. Interestingly, endothelial deletion of $PPAR\delta$ in mouse decreased sAPPa production, accompanied by a significant reduction of ADAM10 expression and increased ADAM17 protein level, indicating that in brain microvessels sAPPa production is primarily determined by activity of ADAM10. The mechanisms underlying increased ADAM17 expression in brain microvessels of ePPAR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice is unknown and remains to be determined. Of note, in vitro knockdown of PPARd in human BMECs did not change ADAM17 expression. We do not have an explanation for discrepancy between in vivo and in vitro results, other than the possibility that species difference or experimental conditions may account for our observations.

PPAR δ belongs to the nuclear hormone receptor family of ligand-activated transcription factors.⁸ PPAR δ is ubiquitously expressed in various tissues, including the vasculature, and plays important roles in the regulation of lipid and glucose metabolism, inhibition of inflammation, and improvement of insulin sensitivity.⁸ Preclinical experiments also demonstrated strong vascular protective effects of PPAR δ agonists.⁸ Our previous studies have demonstrated that endogenous PGI2-dependent angiogenesis is at least in part mediated by activation of PPAR_{8.}³¹ In addition, activation of PPAR₀ protects cerebral microvasculature from oxidative stress, 33 indicating that activity of PPAR δ may play a critical vascular protective role in the cerebral circulation. Our current findings revealed a previously unrecognized role of $PPAR\delta$ in the regulation of ADAM10 in the cerebral microvessels. We demonstrated that PPAR₈-siRNA abolished iloprostinduced expression of ADAM10, indicating that PPAR δ was a major mediator of the iloprost-induced expression of ADAM10. Since cAMP signaling has been shown to activate PPAR δ , $8,45,46$ it is likely that activation of IP-cAMP signaling augments ADAM10 expression via activation of PPAR δ (Figure 7). It has also been reported that in vitro both PGI₂ and iloprost could directly bind to PPAR₀, 8,58,59 therefore, we cannot rule out the possibility that direct activation of PPAR₀ by iloprost might contribute to increase in expression of ADAM10.

We also observed that iloprost and forskolin augmented SIRT1 protein levels in endothelial cells. This finding is consistent with the results of a previous study demonstrating that in mouse carotid arteries activation

of IP receptors activates SIRT1.⁶⁰ Our findings indicate that SIRT1 may also mediate iloprost and cAMP signaling-induced up-regulation of ADAM10. Since iloprost augmented ADAM10 protein expression via PPAR₀-SIRT1 pathway, and activation of PPAR₀ by GW501516 also increased SIRT1 protein levels, it is likely that IP-cAMP activation stimulated PPAR₀-SIRT1 leading to up-regulation of ADAM10. Though it has been reported that cAMP/PKA signaling phosphorylates and activates SIRT1,⁶¹ our results demonstrating that deletion of $PPAR\delta$ completely inhibit the effect of iloprost on expression of ADAM10 suggest that direct activation of SIRT1 by cAMP/PKA leading to stimulation of ADAM10 expression is unlikely a dominant pathway in our experimental model. Rather, our observations suggest that $PPAR\delta$ activated by IP-cAMP signaling or directly by binding of IP ligands (such as iloprost and PGI₂), stimulates SIRT1 activity and consequently up-regulates expression of ADAM10 (Figure 7).

We do not have an explanation as to why activation of PPARd by GW501516 increases production of sAPPa but does not affect protein expression of APP. It has been reported that both ubiquitin dependent and independent mechanisms of proteasome mediate APP degradation, 62 and that PPAR isoforms regulate proteosomal degradation.⁶³ Therefore, it is possible that GW501516 may also affect metabolism of APP via proteasome system $62,63$ thereby preserving apparently normal protein levels of APP despite increased α -processing of APP. We also wish to point out that similar to our findings in endothelium, previous studies in neuronal tissue reported that activation of protein kinase C increased production of sAPPa without affecting expression of APP protein.^{64,65} The exact mechanisms responsible for discrepancy between increased generation of sAPPa and preservation of APP protein expression are currently unknown and remain to be determined.

Relevant to our study, it has been shown that reduction of cholesterol promotes APP processing via the non-amyloidogenic α -secretase pathway, increasing the formation of sAPP α , and decreasing A β production.⁶⁶ Our previous studies have revealed that $ePPAR\delta^{-/-}$ mice have normal lipid profile,³² therefore ruling out the possibility that suppressed ADAM10 expression in cerebral microvessels of ePPAR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice is caused by changes in lipid profile. Moreover, experiments in cultured human BMECs indicated that $PPAR\delta$ up-regulated ADAM10 expression, thus minimizing the possibility that systemic factors were involved in reduced expression of ADAM10 in $ePPAR\delta^{-/-}$ mice.

Endothelium-derived $PGI₂$ is an essential molecule responsible for preservation of cerebrovascular homeostasis under pathological conditions when blood vessels are exposed to injury induced by stroke, inflammation, and brain trauma.^{1-3,6,7,43,44} Indeed. PGI₂ has been identified as a major protective product of arachidonic acid metabolism within the vasculature.⁶ In the cerebral circulation, elevated production of PGI₂ in response to vascular injury is designed to prevent aggregation of platelets, to preserve blood flow, and to inhibit aberrant remodeling of injured vascular wall.^{3,34} However, no previous studies have addressed the role of $PGI₂$ in regulation of APP processing. Recognition of the ability of $PGI₂$ to regulate expression and non-amyloidogenic processing of APP suggests that this mechanism might be an important contributor to overall neurovascular protective functions of $PGI₂$.

Prior studies established that activation of IP receptors exerts neuro-protective effects during focal cerebral ischemia, transient global cerebral ischemia, and traumatic brain injury.^{3,43,44,67} Moreover, systemic administration of $PGI₂$ analogue, beraprost, attenuated neuronal damage caused by ischemic stroke 67 thereby suggesting that activation of vascular IP receptors may contribute to tissue protective effects of beraprost. In the present study, we provide in vitro evidence that activation of IP receptors increases expression and a-processing of APP and generation of neuroprotective and anticoagulant cleavage product sAPPa. Moreover, we found that hippocampal sAPP α was significantly reduced in $IP^{-/-}$ mice. These findings are in agreement with previous observations that impairment of PGI₂ signaling enhances loss of neuronal cell in hippocampus exposed to brain ischemia or traumatic $\frac{1}{2}$ brain injury, $43,44$ conditions known to be associated with neuro-protective activation of $COX2-PGI₂$ signaling. $3,7,68$

In line with our findings regarding an important role of PPAR δ in production of sAPP α , previous studies also demonstrated that activation of PPAR₀ reduces ischemia-induced brain vascular endothelial injury,⁶⁹ and attenuates injury induced by ischemic stroke.⁷⁰ Moreover, previous study established important role of PPARd/SIRT1 activation in anticoagulant effect of PGI₂.⁷¹ Another notable prior observation was that PPARd agonist, GW501516, improved spatial memory in wild type mice under physiological conditions.⁷² Consistent with this observation, we found that systemic administration of GW501516 increased levels of sAPP α in hippocampus. Since sAPP α level was decreased in hippocampus of in ePPAR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice, and loss of endothelial PPAR δ abolished GW501516induced increase of hippocampal sAPP α , PPAR δ signaling in cerebrovascular endothelium appears to be responsible for the changes of $sAPP\alpha$ content in hippocampus. This explanation is also consistent with

prior findings demonstrating that GW501516 does not cross blood-brain barrier.⁷³

At the present time, the reasons for selective elevation of sAPPa in hippocampus are unknown. However, findings from several prior studies are relevant for understanding of our observations: (a) vascular architecture and function may vary in different brain regions, 74 (b) albumin can access the parenchyma of the hippocampus under normal conditions, 75 and (c) different transport rates of chemokine in different brain regions have been reported.⁷⁶ Moreover, a distinguished feature of subgranular zone of hippocampus is that angiogenesis and neurogenesis are tightly coupled⁷⁴ thereby maintaining strong interaction between vascular and neuronal compartment. These findings imply that in hippocampus, large proteins including sAPPa produced in endothelium might have access to neuronal tissue. In addition, activation of PPARd may exert more pronounced effect in hippocampal microvessels as compared to microvessels derived from other brain regions. Of note, it has been reported that in hippocampus exercise stimulates angiogenesis and neurogenesis.^{74,77,78} Since activation of PPAR δ mimics beneficial effects of exercise,^{79,80} it is possible that hippocampal vasculature is generally more dependent on activation of PPAR δ for production of sAPPa. In aggregate, our findings provide new insights into signal transduction mechanisms that might help to explain therapeutic effects of $PPAR\delta$ agonists.⁸¹

In the present study, we provide in vitro and in vivo evidence that in cerebral microvessels activation of IP receptors promotes expression and a-processing of APP. Our results suggest that in hippocampus, endothelial PPARδ mediates IP signaling-induced production of sAPPa. These findings have important implications for understanding the mechanisms underlying neurovascular protective function of PGI₂.

Funding

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) Grants HL-91867 and HL-111062 and the Mayo Foundation (to Z. S. Katusic), and American Diabetes Association Grant 1-12-BS-119 (to T. Lu).

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Authors' contributions

Tongrong He: experiments design and performance, data collection and analysis, and manuscript writing.

Anantha Vijay R. Santhanam: isolation of brain microvessels.

Tong Lu: performed quantitative real-time PCR and data analysis.

Livius V. d'Uscio: performed vascular reactivity experiments and data analysis.

Zvonimir S. Katusic: experiment design and manuscript writing.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material for this paper can be found at http:// jcbfm.sagepub.com/content/by/supplemental-data

References

- 1. Leffler CW, Busija DW, Fletcher AM, et al. Effects of indomethacin upon cerebral hemodynamics of newborn pigs. Pediatr Res 1985; 19: 1160–1164.
- 2. Faraci FM and Heistad DD. Regulation of the cerebral circulation: role of endothelium and potassium channels. Physiol Rev 1998; 78: 53–97.
- 3. Lundblad C, Grände PO and Bentzer P. Increased cortical cell loss and prolonged hemodynamic depression after traumatic brain injury in mice lacking the IP receptor for prostacyclin. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2008; 28: 367–376.
- 4. Pickard JD. Role of prostaglandins and arachidonic acid derivatives in the coupling of cerebral blood flow to cerebral metabolism. J Cereb Blood Flow Metabol 1981; 1: 361–384.
- 5. Jeremy JY, Mikhailidis DP and Dandona P. Vascular trauma and prostacyclin release. Microcirc Endothel Lymphatics 1984; 1: 629–644.
- 6. Moncada S, Gryglewski R, Bunting S, et al. An enzyme isolated from arteries transforms prostaglandin endoperoxides to an unstable substance that inhibits platelet aggregation. Nature 1976; 263: 663–665.
- 7. Fang YC, Wu JS, Chen JJ, et al. Induction of prostacyclin/PGI2 synthase expression after cerebral ischemiareperfusion. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2006; 26: 491–501.
- 8. Katusic ZS, Santhanam AV and He T. Vascular effects of prostacyclin: does activation of PPAR δ play a role? Trends Pharmacol Sci 2012; 33: 559–564.
- 9. Shepherd JT and Katusic ZS. Endothelium-derived vasoactive factors: I. Endothelium-dependent relaxation. Hypertension 1991; 18: III76–III85.
- 10. Saleem S, Shah ZA, Maruyama T, et al. Neuroprotective properties of prostaglandin I2 IP receptor in focal cerebral ischemia. Neuroscience 2010; 170: 317–323.
- 11. Thinakaran G and Koo EH. Amyloid precursor protein trafficking, processing, and function. J Biol Chem 2008; 283: 29615–29619.
- 12. Kitazume S, Tachida Y, Kato M, et al. Brain endothelial cells produce amyloid {beta} from amyloid precursor protein 770 and preferentially secrete the O-glycosylated form. J Biol Chem 2010; 285: 40097–40103.
- 13. d'Uscio LV, Das P, Santhanam AV, et al. Activation of PPAR₀ prevents endothelial dysfunction induced by overexpression of amyloid- β precursor protein. Cardiovasc Res 2012; 96: 504–512.
- 14. Wu W, Li H, Navaneetham D, et al. The Kunitz protease inhibitor domain of protease nexin-2 inhibits factor XIa and murine carotid artery and middle cerebral artery thrombosis. Blood 2012; 120: 671–677.
- 15. Smith RP, Higuchi DA and Broze GJ Jr. Platelet coagulation factor XIa-inhibitor, a form of Alzheimer amyloid precursor protein. Science 1990; 248: 1126–1128.
- 16. Xu F, Davis J, Miao J, et al. Protease nexin-2/amyloid beta-protein precursor limits cerebral thrombosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005; 102: 18135–18140.
- 17. Vingtdeux V and Marambaud P. Identification and biology of a-secretase. J Neurochem 2012; 120 Suppl 1: 34–45.
- 18. Kögel D, Deller T and Behl C. Roles of amyloid precursor protein family members in neuroprotection, stress signaling and aging. Exp Brain Res 2012; 217: 471–479.
- 19. Mattson MP, Cheng B, Culwell AR, et al. Evidence for excitoprotective and intraneuronal calcium-regulating roles for secreted forms of the beta-amyloid precursor protein. Neuron 1993; 10: 243–254.
- 20. Caillé I, Allinquant B, Dupont E, et al. Soluble form of amyloid precursor protein regulates proliferation of progenitors in the adult subventricular zone. Development 2004; 131: 2173–2181.
- 21. Guo Q, Robinson N and Mattson MP. Secreted betaamyloid precursor protein counteracts the proapoptotic action of mutant presenilin-1 by activation of NF-kappaB and stabilization of calcium homeostasis. J Biol Chem 1998; 273: 12341–12351.
- 22. Hayashi Y, Kashiwagi K, Ohta J, et al. Alzheimer amyloid protein precursor enhances proliferation of neural stem cells from fetal rat brain. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1994; 205: 936–943.
- 23. Mucke L, Abraham CR and Masliah E. Neurotrophic and neuroprotective effects of hAPP in transgenic mice. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1996; 777: 82–88.
- 24. Ring S, Weyer SW, Kilian SB, et al. The secreted b-amyloid precursor protein ectodomain APPsa is sufficient to rescue the anatomical, behavioral, and electrophysiological abnormalities of APP-deficient mice. J Neurosci 2007; 27: 7817–7826.
- 25. Abe K, Tanzi RE and Kogure K. Selective induction of Kunitz-type protease inhibitor domain-containing amyloid precursor protein mRNA after persistent focal ischemia in rat cerebral cortex. Neurosci Lett 1991; 125: 172–174.
- 26. Kalaria RN, Bhatti SU, Lust WD, et al. The amyloid precursor protein in ischemic brain injury and chronic hypoperfusion. Ann NY Acad Sci 1993; 695: 190–193.
- 27. Kalaria RN, Bhatti SU, Palatinsky EA, et al. Accumulation of the beta amyloid precursor protein at sites of ischemic injury in rat brain. Neuroreport 1993; 4: 211–214.
- 28. Solà C, García-Ladona FJ, Mengod G, et al. Increased levels of the Kunitz protease inhibitor-containing beta APP mRNAs in rat brain following neurotoxic damage. Brain Res Mol Brain Res 1993; 17: 41–52.
- 29. Kitazume S, Yoshihisa A, Yamaki T, et al. Soluble amyloid precursor protein 770 is released from inflamed endothelial cells and activated platelets: a novel biomarker for

acute coronary syndrome. J Biol Chem 2012; 287: 40817–40825.

- 30. Ehrenborg E and Skogsberg J. Peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor δ and cardiovascular disease. Atherosclerosis 2013; 231: 95–106.
- 31. He T, Lu T, d'Uscio LV, et al. Angiogenic function of prostacyclin biosynthesis in human endothelial progenitor cells. Circ Res 2008; 103: 80–88.
- 32. d'Uscio LV, He T, Santhanam AV, et al. Mechanisms of vascular dysfunction in mice with endothelium-specific deletion of the PPAR- δ gene. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2014; 306: H1001–H1010.
- 33. Santhanam AV, d'Uscio LV, He T, et al. PPAR δ agonist GW501516 prevents uncoupling of endothelial nitric oxide synthase in cerebral microvessels of hph-1 mice. Brain Res 2012; 1483: 89–95.
- 34. Cheng Y, Austin SC, Rocca B, et al. Role of prostacyclin in the cardiovascular response to thromboxane A2. Science 2002; 296: 539–541.
- 35. Barish GD, Atkins AR, Downes M, et al. PPARdelta regulates multiple proinflammatory pathways to suppress atherosclerosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2008; 105: 4271–4276.
- 36. Ridder DA, Lang MF, Salinin S, et al. TAK1 in brain endothelial cells mediates fever and lethargy. J Exp Med 2011; 208: 2615–2623.
- 37. He T, Joyner MJ and Katusic ZS. Aging decreases expression and activity of glutathione peroxidase-1 in human endothelial progenitor cells. Microvasc Res 2009; 78: 447–452.
- 38. He T, Peterson TE, Holmuhamedov EL, et al. Human endothelial progenitor cells tolerate oxidative stress due to intrinsically high expression of manganese superoxide dismutase. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2004; 24: 2021–2027.
- 39. He T, Smith LA, Lu T, et al. Activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-{delta} enhances regenerative capacity of human endothelial progenitor cells by stimulating biosynthesis of tetrahydrobiopterin. Hypertension 2011; 58: 287–294.
- 40. Hikita A, Tanaka N, Yamane S, et al. Involvement of a disintegrin and metalloproteinase 10 and 17 in shedding of tumor necrosis factor-alpha. Biochem Cell Biol 2009; 87: 581–593.
- 41. Lucitti JL, Mackey JK, Morrison JC, et al. Formation of the collateral circulation is regulated by vascular endothelial growth factor-A and a disintegrin and metalloprotease family members 10 and 17. Circ Res 2012; 111: 1539–1550.
- 42. Sastre M, Walter J and Gentleman SM. Interactions between APP secretases and inflammatory mediators. J Neuroinflammation 2008 Jun 18; 5: 25.
- 43. Shakil H and Saleem S. Genetic deletion of prostacyclin IP receptor exacerbates transient global cerebral ischemia in aging mice. Brain Sci 2013; 3: 1095–1108.
- 44. Wei G, Kibler KK, Koehler RC, et al. Prostacyclin receptor deletion aggravates hippocampal neuronal loss after bilateral common carotid artery occlusion in mouse. Neuroscience 2008; 156: 1111–1117.
- 45. Hansen JB, Zhang H, Rasmussen TH, et al. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor delta (PPARdelta) mediated regulation of preadipocyte proliferation and gene expression is dependent on cAMP signaling. J Biol Chem 2001; 276: 3175–3182.
- 46. Mottillo EP, Bloch AE, Leff T, et al. Lipolytic products activate peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) α and δ in brown adipocytes to match fatty acid oxidation with supply. J Biol Chem 2012; 287: 25038–25048.
- 47. Tippmann F, Hundt J, Schneider A, et al. Up-regulation of the alpha-secretase ADAM10 by retinoic acid receptors and acitretin. FASEB J 2009; 23: 1643–1654.
- 48. Theendakara V1, Patent A, Peters Libeu CA, et al. Neuroprotective Sirtuin ratio reversed by ApoE4. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2013; 110: 18303–18308.
- 49. Okazaki M, Iwasaki Y, Nishiyama M, et al. PPARbeta/ delta regulates the human SIRT1 gene transcription via Sp1. Endocr J 2010; 57: 403–413.
- 50. Kim MY, Kang ES, Ham SA, et al. The PPARdmediated inhibition of angiotensin II-induced premature senescence in human endothelial cells is SIRT1-dependent. Biochem Pharmacol 2012; 84: 1627–1634.
- 51. Kuhn PH, Wang H, Dislich B, et al. ADAM10 is the physiologically relevant, constitutive alpha-secretase of the amyloid precursor protein in primary neurons. EMBO J 2010; 29: 3020–3032.
- 52. Lammich S, Kojro E, Postina R, et al. Constitutive and regulated alpha-secretase cleavage of Alzheimer's amyloid precursor protein by a disintegrin metalloprotease. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1999; 96: 3922–3927.
- 53. Jorissen E, Prox J, Bernreuther C, et al. The disintegrin/ metalloproteinase ADAM10 is essential for the establishment of the brain cortex. J Neurosci 2010; 30: 4833–4484.
- 54. Buxbaum JD, Liu KN, Luo Y, et al. Evidence that tumor necrosis factor alpha converting enzyme is involved in regulated alpha-secretase cleavage of the Alzheimer amyloid protein precursor. J Biol Chem 1998; 273: 27765–27767.
- 55. Merlos-Suárez A, Fernández-Larrea J, Reddy P, et al. Pro-tumor necrosis factor-alpha processing activity is tightly controlled by a component that does not affect notch processing. J Biol Chem 1998; 273: 24955–24962.
- 56. Caccamo A, Oddo S, Billings LM, et al. M1 receptors play a central role in modulating AD-like pathology in transgenic mice. Neuron 2006; 49: 671–682.
- 57. Tousseyn T, Thathiah A, Jorissen E, et al. ADAM10, the rate-limiting protease of regulated intramembrane proteolysis of Notch and other proteins, is processed by ADAMS-9, ADAMS-15, and the gamma-secretase. J Biol Chem 2009; 284: 11738–11747.
- 58. Forman BM, Chen J and Evans RM. Hypolipidemic drugs, polyunsaturated fatty acids, and eicosanoids are ligands for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors alpha and delta. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1997; 94: 4312–4317.
- 59. Jin L, Lin S, Rong H, et al. Structural basis for iloprost as a dual peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha/ delta agonist. J Biol Chem 2011; 286: 31473–31479.
- 60. Lin H, Lin TN, Cheung WM, et al. Cyclooxygenase-1 and bicistronic cyclooxygenase-1/prostacyclin synthase gene transfer protect against ischemic cerebral infarction. Circulation 2002; 105: 1962–1969.
- 61. Gerhart-Hines Z, Dominy JE Jr, Blättler SM, et al. The cAMP/PKA pathway rapidly activates SIRT1 to promote fatty acid oxidation independently of changes in NAD(+). Mol Cell 2011; 44: 851-863.
- 62. Wang H and Saunders AJ. The role of ubiquitinproteasome in the metabolism of amyloid precursor protein (APP): implications for novel therapeutic strategies for Alzheimer's disease. Discov Med 2014; 18: 41–50.
- 63. Genini D, Carbone GM and Catapano CV. Multiple interactions between peroxisome proliferators-activated receptors and the ubiquitin-proteasome system and implications for cancer pathogenesis. PPAR Res 2008; 2008: 195065.
- 64. McLaughlin M and Breen KC. Protein kinase C activation potentiates the rapid secretion of the amyloid precursor protein from rat cortical synaptosomes. J Neurochem 1999; 72: 273–281.
- 65. Small CI, Lyles GA and Breen KC. Lipopolysaccharide stimulates the secretion of the amyloid precursor protein via a protein kinase C-mediated pathway. Neurobiol Dis 2005; 19: 400–406.
- 66. Kojro E, Gimpl G, Lammich S, et al. Low cholesterol stimulates the nonamyloidogenic pathway by its effect on the alpha-secretase ADAM 10. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001; 98: 5815–5820.
- 67. Saleem S, Shah ZA, Maruyama T, et al. Neuroprotective properties of prostaglandin I2 IP receptor in focal cerebral ischemia. Neuroscience 2010; 170: 317–323.
- 68. Dash PK, Mach SA and Moore AN. Regional expression and role of cyclooxygenase-2 following experimental traumatic brain injury. J Neurotrauma 2000; 17: 69–81.
- 69. Yin KJ, Deng Z, Hamblin M, et al. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor delta regulation of miR-15 a in ischemia-induced cerebral vascular endothelial injury. J Neurosci 2010; 30: 6398–6408.
- 70. Chao X, Xiong C, Dong W, et al. Activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor β/δ attenuates acute ischemic stroke on middle cerebral ischemia occlusion in rats. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis 2014; 23: 1396–1402.
- 71. Barbieri SS, Amadio P, Gianellini S, et al. Cyclooxygenase-2-derived prostacyclin regulates arterial thrombus formation by suppressing tissue factor in a sirtuin-1-dependent-manner. Circulation 2012; 126: 1373–1384.
- 72. Kobilo T, Yuan C and van Praag H. Endurance factors improve hippocampal neurogenesis and spatial memory in mice. Learn Mem 2011; 18: 103–107.
- 73. Iwashita A, Muramatsu Y, Yamazaki T, et al. Neuroprotective efficacy of the peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor delta-selective agonists in vitro and in vivo. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2007; 320: 1087–1096.
- 74. Goldberg JS and Hirschi KK. Diverse roles of the vasculature within the neural stem cell niche. Regen Med 2009; 4: 879–897.
- 75. Vorbrodt AW, Dobrogowska DH, Ueno M, et al. A quantitative immunocytochemical study of blood-brain

barrier to endogenous albumin in cerebral cortex and hippocampus of senescence-accelerated mice (SAM). Folia Histochem Cytobiol 1995; 33: 229–237.

- 76. Erickson MA, Morofuji Y, Owen JB, et al. Rapid transport of CCL11 across the blood-brain barrier: regional variation and importance of blood cells. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2014; 349: 497–507.
- 77. Ding Y, Li J, Luan X, et al. Exercise pre-conditioning reduces brain damage in ischemic rats that may be associated with regional angiogenesis and cellular overexpression of neurotrophin. Neuroscience 2004; 124: 583–591.
- 78. Kempermann G, Kuhn HG and Gage FH. More hippocampal neurons in adult mice living in an enriched environment. Nature 1997; 386: 493–495.
- 79. Narkar VA, Downes M, Yu RT, et al. AMPK and PPARdelta agonists are exercise mimetics. Cell 2008; 134: 405–415.
- 80. Kobilo T, Yuan C and van Praag H. Endurance factors improve hippocampal neurogenesis and spatial memory in mice. Learn Mem 2011; 18: 103–107.
- 81. Kalinin S, Richardson JC and Feinstein DL. A PPARdelta agonist reduces amyloid burden and brain inflammation in a transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Curr Alzheimer Res 2009; 6: 431–437.