

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *J Nat Prod.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 March 24.

Published in final edited form as:

J Nat Prod. 2017 March 24; 80(3): 726–730. doi:10.1021/acs.jnatprod.6b00896.

# Ulapualides C-E Isolated from a Hawaiian *Hexabranchus* sanguineus Egg Mass

**Stephen M. Parrish<sup>†</sup>**, **Wesley Yoshida<sup>†</sup>**, **Baojun Yang<sup>‡</sup>**, and **Philip G. Williams<sup>†,‡,\*</sup>** <sup>†</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu Hawaii, 96822 <sup>‡</sup>University of Hawaii Cancer Center, 701 Ilalo Street, Honolulu, Hawaii, 96813

# Abstract

Three new ulapualides (3–5) were isolated from egg masses of the nudibranch *Hexabranchus sanguineus*. The structures of 3–5 were deduced by analyses of physical and spectroscopic data in comparisons with ulapualides A (1) and B (2). Ulapualide C demonstrated submicromolar cytotoxicity against select NCI cell lines (768-0, DU-145, MDA-MB-231, and A549) with the most potent activity against MDA-MB-231 (IC<sub>50</sub> 0.58  $\mu$ M). Ulapualides A (1) and B (2) were two to four-fold more potent than 3.

# **Graphical Abstract**



Originally isolated in 1986 from egg masses of the nudibranch *Hexabranchus sanguineus*, the ulapualides<sup>1</sup> derive their Hawaiian name from the flowerlike appearance of the Spanish dancer's eggs underwater (ula=red and pua=flower). The ulapualides contain a characteristic tris-oxazole moiety and a long aliphatic tail similar to the mycalolides<sup>2</sup> and kabiramides.<sup>3</sup> These compounds exhibit strong cytotoxicity which is proposed to protect the egg masses from predation. The cytotoxicity arises from the conserved aliphatic tail region that irreversibly binds to G-actin and behaves as a molecular mimic of the actin severing protein

<sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed: Tel. 808-956-5720. Fax: 808-956-5908. philipwi@hawaii.edu.

Dedicated to Professor Phil Crews, of University of California, Santa Cruz, for his pioneering work on bioactive natural products Supporting Information. Copies of the <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, and 2D NMR spectroscopic data for all new compounds associated with this article and a photo of the producing organism are available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI:

Parrish et al.

gelsolin.<sup>4</sup> Taking advantage of this strong affinity allowed co-crystallization with G-actin that provided the absolute configuration of ulapualide A (1) via X-ray crystallography.<sup>5,6</sup>

As part of our ongoing search for BACE1 inhibitors, preliminary data suggested the ulapualides in crude extracts bound to that enzyme. After purification, however, ulapualides A (1) and B (2) showed no significant inhibition of BACE1 when tested at concentrations up to 30  $\mu$ M. Further chemical investigation of the extract led to the isolation and identification of three new analogs, ulapualides C-E (3–5), described within.



### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Two egg masses from the west shore of Oahu (Electric Beach) were extracted with 1:1 MeOH:CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> overnight three times. The resultant extract was dry loaded on C8 silica gel and subjected to a stepped gradient of MeOH:H<sub>2</sub>O. The 75% MeOH fraction was separated by reversed-phase HPLC leading to the isolation of ulapualides A and B (1–2), along with ulapualide C (**3**) and a mixture of two other analogs. Subjecting this mixture to further HPLC using a different eluent afforded ulapualides D (**4**) and E (**5**). <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data were collected on all isolated compounds (Tables 1 and 2, respectively), but insufficient sample was available for direct detection of <sup>13</sup>C NMR for **4** and **5**. Consequently, <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts were obtained from indirect HSQC and HMBC experiments for these two compounds.<sup>7</sup>

All new compounds provided <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra consistent with tris-oxazole macrolides. These characteristic signals included the presence of a minor rotamer in an approximate 2:1 ratio evidenced by doubling of the signals for the *N*-methyl group ( $\delta_H$  3.02 and 3.06; 35-NMe) and formamide proton ( $\delta_H$  8.29 and 8.07; 35-NCHO). This doubling arises from the slow interconversion of the *s*-*cis*- and *s*-*trans*-rotamers of tertiary amide and has been documented in molecules containing similar tail regions including tolytoxin,<sup>8</sup> scytophycins,<sup>9</sup> luminaolide,<sup>10</sup> and rhizapodin.<sup>11</sup> Additionally, for compounds **3**–**5**, the deshielded <sup>1</sup>H NMR signals for H-11, H-14, and H-17 (Table 1) were consistent with the three contiguous 2,4-disubstituted oxazole rings. Co-isolation of **1**–**2** from this egg mass supported the conclusion that **3**–**5** were ulapualide analogs. In addition, **1**–**5** contained the same UV chromophore with a maximum at 249 nm and produced a consistent pattern of ESI-MS cations including [M+H-H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup>, [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, [M+NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, and [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> where the [M+H]<sup>+</sup> were the least abundant.

Parrish et al.

High resolution mass spectrometry of **3** produced a protonated molecule at m/z 883.4700 [M +H]<sup>+</sup>. This datum is consistent with a molecular formula of C<sub>46</sub>H<sub>66</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>13</sub> for the parent molecule. Comparison to the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **1** indicated **3** contained two more protons, while the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of **3** contained an additional sp<sup>3</sup> oxygenated carbon  $\delta_C$  70.0 (C-30; **3**) at the expense of a keto group at  $\delta_C$  211.6 (C-30; **1**). HMBC correlations from the methyl group at position 31 to C-30 confirm the keto group on the aliphatic tail of **1** was reduced rather than the one in the macrolide ring.

High resolution mass spectrometry of **4** provided a protonated molecule at m/z 883.4662 [M +H]<sup>+</sup> as well. Comparison between the NMR data of **4** and **1** showed an additional oxygenated sp<sup>3</sup> carbon  $\delta_C$  71.2 (C-7; **4**) and a corresponding proton signal at  $\delta_H$  4.07 (H-7; **4**) again at the expense of a carbonyl resonance  $\delta_C$  210.5 (C-7; **1**). COSY correlations from the methyl group at position 9 to H-9 and from H<sub>2</sub>-8 to both H-9 and H-7 support the conclusion that C-7 was a secondary alcohol in **4**.

High resolution mass spectrometry of **5** gave a protonated molecule at m/z 985.4976 [M +H]<sup>+</sup> consistent with the molecular formula (C<sub>50</sub>H<sub>72</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>16</sub>) 14 amu smaller than **2**. Comparison of the <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of **5** with **2** indicated that **5** contained one less methoxy group. Taken together, the data suggested **5** contained a hydroxy rather than methoxy group. HMBC correlations from the existing OMe groups in **5** pinpoint the placement of the methoxy groups and through deduction that C-38 was a primary alcohol.

The relative and absolute configurations of 3-5 could not be determined due to the limited amount of material available. In mass limited cases, configurational assignment based on carbon chemical shift comparisons with known analogs is a common tactic. In this case, synthetic studies have demonstrated that this approach is unreliable for distinguishing ulapualide diasteromers.<sup>12</sup> However, all of the tris-oxazole compounds characterized by Xray crystallography have the same absolute configuration (with the omission of position 9),<sup>13</sup> this suggests that those stereocenters are conserved via a shared biogenesis. In addition, the two other stereocenters in **5** are most likely 30R,37R consistent with **2** and the structurally-related mycalolides.<sup>14</sup>

With the exception of the kabiramides, also isolated from nudibranch eggs, all other trisoxazole containing molecules were isolated from sponges suggesting that these molecules may be sequestered through predation. The support for this hypothesis includes observed predation of *Halichondria* sp. by *Hexabranchus sanguineus*, isolation of dihydrohalichondramide from both species, and sequestration of dihydrohalichondramide in the mantle and the digestive system/gonad of the nudibranch.<sup>15</sup> That similar tris-oxazole compounds have been isolated from sponges of dissimilar phylogeny suggests the ultimate source may be microbial as was found with the bryostatins, metabolites originally isolated from the bryozoan *Bugula neritina*<sup>16</sup> and later discovered to be a product of the symbiont *Endobugula sertula*.<sup>17</sup> The symbiont *E. sertula* is vertically transmitted from bryozoan adult to embryo<sup>18</sup> and provides chemical defenses for the otherwise unprotected larvae.

Compound **3** could be an artifact as hydrolysis of the *O*-methylated glycerate in **2** would yield the free alcohol **3**. That significant amounts of **3** can be observed via LCMS in the

Parrish et al.

original MeOH: $CH_2Cl_2$  extract, before buffers were used suggests this is not the case. Furthermore, treatment of **2** in a 2:1 mixture of MeOH: $H_2O$  with 0.1% formic acid for seven days yielded no hydrolysis product detectable by LCMS.

Compounds **1–3** were tested against select cancer cell lines within the NCI 60-cell panel. These included human renal cell adenocarcinoma (768-0), prostate carcinoma (DU-145), mammary gland adenocarcinoma (MDA-MB-231) and lung carcinoma (A549) cell lines. Samples were tested in triplicate in two independent trials. For the second independent experiment, the concentration was determined through colorimetry using a standard curve made from the more abundant **2**. As expected, low to sub-micromolar IC<sub>50</sub> values were observed for all samples (Table 3). The activities of **1–2** were similar in all cell-lines tested, while **3** was two to four times less potent. Removal of methyl or methoxy groups from the tail region or the introduction of charged groups is predicted to decrease binding affinity to G-actin which is dominated by hydrophobic interactions in the cleft between actin subdomains 1 and 3.5 The observed decrease in activity could be attributed to replacement of the modified glycerate or keto group with a more polar hydroxy group.

## **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

#### **General Experimental Procedures**

Optical rotations were measured on a Jasco DIP-370 Digital Polarimeter at the sodium line (589 nm). UV absorbances were measured on a Varian Cary 50 Bio UV-Vis Spectrophotometer. IR spectroscopy was measured as a thin film on a CaF<sub>2</sub> disk using a Shimadzu IRAffinity-1 FTIR. <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C NMR and 2D NMR experiments on the natural products were carried out on Varian Unity Inova 500 MHz spectrometer. NMR spectra were referenced to the appropriate residual solvent signal ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.26,  $\delta_{\rm C}$  77.0 for CDCl<sub>3</sub>). The HSQC experiments were optimized for <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>C,H</sub> = 140 Hz and HMBC experiments for <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>C,H</sub> = 7 Hz. High-resolution mass spectrometric data were obtained on a Agilent 6210 TOF LC/MS using the ESI source in positive mode.

#### **Collection and Isolation**

The egg masses of *Hexabranchus sanguineus* were collected via SCUBA off the west shore of O'ahu at Electric Beach (21°21′14.3″N 158°07′49.7″W) at a depth of 20 feet on September 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2011. The two egg masses collected were bright pink and were immediately extracted with 1:1 MeOH:CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> three times overnight to yield 2.7 g of extract. The combined extract was then dry loaded on C8 silica gel and was subjected to a solid phase extraction procedure consisting of five steps of increasing MeOH:H<sub>2</sub>O content (0%, 25%, 50%, 75%, 100%, and an isopropanol wash). The 75% MeOH fraction was subjected to reversed-phase HPLC on a Phenomenex column (Luna C8; 250x10 mm, 5  $\mu$ ) using a flow rate of 2.8 mL/min and a concentration gradient of 50%-70% (CH<sub>3</sub>CN:H<sub>2</sub>O) over 30 min. Detection was by UV, using a PDA and monitoring at 249 nm. This afforded pure compounds ulapualide B ( $t_R = 24$  min, 8 mg, 0.32% yield), ulapualide A ( $t_R = 21$  min, 6 mg, 0.24% yield; [ $\alpha$ ]<sup>22</sup>D-39, *c* 0.20, MeOH), ulapualide C (**3**,  $t_R = 18.5$  min, 1.6 mg, 0.064% yield) and a mixture of ulapualides D and E. This mixture was further purified using a C18 column (Luna; 100x4.6 mm, 5  $\mu$ ) at a flow of 1.0 mL/min and using an isocratic system of 65% MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O to yield ulapualide D (**4**,  $t_{\rm R}$  =20 min, 0.5 mg, 0.02% yield) and ulapualide E (**5**,  $t_{\rm R}$  =24 min, 0.5 mg, 0.02% yield). Purity was assessed at 210 nm and determined to be 95.3%, 94.5%, and 95.6% for **1**, **2** and **3** respectively, prior to biological testing.

**Ulapualide C (3)**—white amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]^{22}_{D}$ -17 (*c* 0.32, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 249 (4.5), 237 (4.6), 202 (4.5); IR  $\nu_{max}$  3600-3200 (br), 3162, 2964, 2934, 1720, 1691, 1655, 1597, 1458, 1241, 1086, 916 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Tables 1 and 2 for NMR data; HRESI-TOFMS *m/z* 883.4700 [M+H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>46</sub>H<sub>67</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>13</sub>, 883.4705).

**Ulapualide D (4)**—white amorphous powder;  $[a]^{22}_{D}$ -10 (*c* 0.08, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 249 (4), 213 (5), 202 (5); Tables 1 and 2 for NMR data; HRESI-TOFMS *m*/*z* 883.4662 [M+H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>46</sub>H<sub>67</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>13</sub>, 883.4705).

**Ulapualide E (5)**—white amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]^{22}_{D}$ -23 (*c* 0.10, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 249 (4), 213 (5), 202 (5); Tables 1 and 2 for NMR data; HRESI-TOFMS *m/z* 985.4976 [M+H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>50</sub>H<sub>73</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>16</sub>, 985.5022).

#### Cytotoxicity Assay of Ulapualide A, B, and C

Human renal cell adenocarcinoma (768-0), prostate carcinoma (DU-145), mammary gland adenocarcinoma (MDA-MB-231) and lung carcinoma (A549) cell lines were maintained in RPME 1640 Medium (Gibco, REF: 11875-093) supplemented with 10% premium fetal bovine serum (Atlanta biological, Cat. No.: S11150) and 100 U/mL penicillin and 100  $\mu$ g/mL streptomycin (Gibco, REF: 15140-122). The day before treatment, cancer cells were seeded with 4,000 cells per well into a 96-well tissue culture plate. Twenty hours post seeding, the serial diluted compounds were added to the cells for the cytotoxicity assay, and co-incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 72 h. Then the medium with compounds were replaced with 1 × dye binding solution prepared according to the manufacturer's instruction (CyQuant NF Cell Proliferation Assay Kit [C35006, Invitrogen]) and incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 60 minutes. After that, cell viability data were collected with a PerkinElmer Multimode Plate Reader according to the manufacturer's instruction. IC<sub>50</sub> curves were generated using GraphPad Prism 5.

#### Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank C. Hughes and D. Hana of UCSD along with M. Hamann and C. Geny at the Medical School of South Carolina for assistance with NMR data acquisition. This work was funded by grants from the National Institute on Aging (5R01AG039468-03). Funds for the upgrades of the NMR instrumentation were provided by the CRIF program of the National Science Foundation (CH E9974921) and the Elsa Pardee Foundation. The purchase of the Agilent LC-MS was funded by grant W911NF-04-1-0344 from the Department of Defense.

#### **References and Notes**

1. Roesener J, Scheuer P. J Am Chem Soc. 1986; 108:846-847.

- 2. Fusetani N, Yasumuro K, Matsunaga S, Hashimoto K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989; 30:2809-2812.
- 3. Matsunaga S, Fusetani N, Hashimoto K. J Org Chem. 1989; 54:1360-1363.
- Tanaka J, Choi J, Bai J, Yan Y, Klenchin VA, Rayment I, Marriott G. Proc Nat Acad Sci. 2003; 100:13851–13856. [PubMed: 14612571]
- Klenchin VA, Allingham J, King R, Tanaka J, Marriott G, Rayment I. Nat Struct Bio. 2003; 10:1058–1063. [PubMed: 14578936]
- 6. Allingham JS, Tanaka J, Marriott G, Rayment I. Org Lett. 2004; 6:597–599. [PubMed: 14961632]
- 7. Attempts at collecting <sup>13</sup>C data on a 600 MHz NMR with cryoprobe and 850 MHz with TCI probe were unsuccessful.
- 8. Carmeli S, Moore RE, Patterson GML. J Nat Prod. 1990; 53:1533-1542. [PubMed: 2128517]
- 9. Ishibashi M, Moore RE, Patterson GML, Xu C, Clardy J. J Org Chem. 1986; 51:5300–5306.
- Kitamura M, Schupp PJ, Nakano Y, Uemura D. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009; 50:6606–6609. [PubMed: 20119494]
- Jansen R, Steinmetz H, Sasse F, Schubert WD, Hagelüken G, Albrecht SC, Müller R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008; 49:5796–5799.
- Pattenden G, Ashweek NJ, Baker-Glenn CAG, Walker GM, Yee JGK. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2007; 46:4356–4363.
- Dalisay DS, Rogers EW, Edison AS, Molinski TF. J Nat Prod. 2009; 72:732–738. [PubMed: 19254038]
- 14. Matsunaga S, Liu P, Celatka CA, Panek JS, Fusetani N. J Am Chem Soc. 1999; 121:5605-5606.
- 15. Pawlik JR, Kernan MR, Molinski TF, Harper MK, Faulkner DJ. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol. 1988; 119:99–109.
- 16. Pettit GR, Herald CL, Doubek DL, Herald DL. J Am Chem Soc. 1982; 104:6846-6848.
- Davidson SK, Allen SW, Lim GE, Anderson CM, Haygood MG. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2001; 67:4531–4537. [PubMed: 11571152]
- 18. Sharp KH, Davidson SK, Haygood MG. ISME J. 2007; 1:693-702. [PubMed: 18059493]

#### Table 1

<sup>1</sup>H NMR Chemical Shifts of Ulapualides C-E (3–5) in CDCl<sub>3</sub>

	Ulapualide C (3)	Ulapualide D (4)	Ulapualide E (5)
position	$\overline{\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathrm{H}} \left( J \text{ in } \mathrm{Hz} \right)^{a} [\mathrm{minor}]^{b}}$	$\overline{\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(J \text{ in Hz}\right)^{a} [\text{minor}]^{b}}$	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})^a [\text{minor}]^b$
2	2.45, m	2.49, m	2.46, m
3	4.23, septet (4.1)	4.17, m	4.23, septet (4.1)
4	1.65, m 1.55, m	1.72, m	1.72, m 1.60, m
5	1.95, m 1.79, m	1.25, m	1.96, m 1.76, m
6	2.55, m	1.76, m 1.41, m	2.57, m
7		4.07, br t (8.4)	
8	3.10, m 2.51, dd (4.9, 16.3)	1.76, m 1.71, m	3.01, m 2.51, dd (15.5, 3.7)
9	3.41, m	2.98, m	3.42, m
9-Me	1.31, d (7.0)	1.32, d (7.0)	1.32, d (6.9)
11	7.41, d (1.0)	7.40, d (1.3)	7.40, s
14	8.06, s	8.08, s	8.06, s
17	8.06, s	8.06, s	8.06, s
19	6.38, d (16.0)	6.31, d (15.7)	6.39, d (16.5)
20	7.05, dt (16.0, 7.4)	7.09, ddd (15.3, 8.9, 6.0)	7.01, m
21	2.66, ddt (14.4, 4.6, 1.8) 2.44, m	2.70, m 2.36, dd (14.3, 8.2)	2.65, br d (14.5) 2.42, m
22	3.41, m	3.66, t (6.1)	3.40, m
22-OMe	3.37, s	3.38, s	3.37, s
23	1.85, m	1.61, t (7.1)	1.81, m
23-Me	0.91, d (7.0)	0.88, d (6.9)	0.87, d (6.9)
24	5.24, t (8.2)	5.26, t (9.6)	5.23, m
25	1.54, m	1.69, m 1.41, m	1.49, m
26	2.95, br d (9.4)	2.98, m	2.93, dt (8.8, 3.3)
26-OMe	3.30, s	3.31, s	3.28, s
27	1.71, m	1.70, m	1.72, m
27-Me	0.832, d (6.7) [0.828, d]	0.792, d (6.7) [0.788, d]	0.83, d (6.9) [0.82, d]
28	1.65, m 0.90, m	1.70, m 1.25, m	0.94, m
29	1.25, br s	2.44, m	1.57, m
30	3.44, m		5.10, t (7.2)
31	1.54, m	2.77, dd (8.8, 7.3)	1.81, m
31-Me	0.85, d (7.0) [0.84, d]	1.06, d (7.1) [1.05, d]	0.954, d (6.9) [0.947, d]
32	4.80, td (9.8, 2.9)	5.12, dd (8.9, 3.9) [5.13 dd]	4.75 dd (10.4, 2.4)
32-OAc	2.16, s [2.15, s]	2.00, s [1.99, s]	2.11, s [2.10, s]
33	2.56, m	2.48, m	2.53, m

	Ulapualide C (3)	Ulapualide D (4)	Ulapualide E (5)
position	$\overline{\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(J \text{ in Hz})^a [\mathrm{minor}]^b}$	$δ_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})^a [\text{minor}]^b$	$δ_{\rm H} (J \text{ in Hz})^a [\text{minor}]^b$
33-Me	1.05, d (6.8) [1.04, d]	1.04, d (7.1) [1.03, d]	1.00, d (5.9) [0.99, d]
34	5.00, dd (14.1, 9.4)	4.98, td (13.6, 9.4)	4.96, dt (14., 9.6)
35	6.50, d (14.3)	6.49, d (14.0)	6.50, d (14.9)
35-NMe	3.02, s [3.06, s]	3.02, s [3.07, s]	3.02, s [3.06, s]
35-NCHO	8.29, s [8.07, s]	8.28, s [8.07, s]	8.29, s [8.07, s]
37			3.81, t (4.8)
37-OMe			3.45, s
38			3.86, br s

<sup>a</sup>500 MHz.

<sup>b</sup>Proton signals in brackets are for the minor rotamer.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 2

	1		5		3		4		2	
position	$\delta_{\mathrm{C}}^{a,b}$	type	$\delta_{\mathrm{C}}^{a,b}$	type	$\delta_{\mathrm{C}}^{a,b}$	type	δ <sub>C</sub> a,c	type	δ <sub>C</sub> a,c	type
1	172.5	J	172.5	C	172.5	C	172.6	C	172.4	c
2	43.0	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	43.0	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	43.0	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	43.4	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	42.8	$\mathrm{CH}_2$
3	68.1	СН	68.1	CH	68.0	CH	69.0	CH	68.3	CH
4	37.1	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	37.1	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	37.1	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	37.5	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	37.0	$\mathrm{CH}_2$
5	20.8	$CH_2$	20.7	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	20.8	$CH_2$	29.8	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	20.5	$\mathrm{CH}_2$
9	43.8	$CH_2$	43.7	$CH_2$	43.8	$CH_2$	36.8	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	43.7	$CH_2$
7	210.5	C	210.4	U	210.5	U	71.2	CH	210.0	C
8	47.8	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	47.7	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	47.7	$\operatorname{CH}_2$	45.4	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	47.8	$\mathrm{CH}_2$
6	27.4	СН	27.3	CH	27.3	CH	31.1	CH	27.4	CH
9-Me	19.6	$CH_3$	19.6	$CH_3$	19.7	$CH_3$	19.3	$CH_3$	19.4	$CH_3$
10	146.3	U	146.3	U	146.3	U	146.8	U	146.1	U
11	133.5	СН	133.5	CH	133.5	CH	133.8	CH	133.2	CH
12	154.1	C	154.1	C	154.1	C	154.4	C	153.8	C
13	130.1	C	130.0	C	129.8	C	$ND^{q}$		$ND^q$	
14	137.3	СН	137.3	СН	137.3	CH	137.0	CH	pGN	
15	156.1	C	156.0	U	156.1	U	156.2	U	155.8	C
16	131.5	C	131.4	U	131.5	U	$ND^{q}$		$ND^q$	
17	137.7	СН	137.7	СН	137.7	CH	137.3	CH	$ND^q$	
18	162.7	C	162.7	U	162.7	U	162.9	U	162.4	C
19	116.9	СН	116.8	CH	116.8	CH	115.9	CH	116.9	CH
20	139.9	СН	140.0	CH	140.1	CH	141.4	CH	139.7	CH
21	33.8	$CH_2$	33.9	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	34.0	$CH_2$	35.0	$CH_2$	33.4	$\mathrm{CH}_2$
22	79.9	СН	79.9	CH	79.9	CH	78.3	CH	79.6	CH
22-OMe	57.7	$CH_3$	57.7	$CH_3$	57.8	$CH_3$	58.1	$CH_3$	57.6	$CH_3$
23	40.3	CH	40.3	CH	40.4	CH	41.0	CH	40.1	CH

1	1	1	
		e.	
		ΞI	

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Parrish et al.

	1		7		3		4		2	
position	$\delta_{\mathrm{C}}^{a,b}$	type	$\delta_{\mathrm{C}}^{a,b}$	type	$\delta_{\mathrm{C}}^{a,b}$	type	δ <sub>C</sub> <sup>a,c</sup>	type	δ <sub>C</sub> <sup>a,c</sup>	type
23-Me	9.0	$CH_3$	8.9	$CH_3$	9.0	$CH_3$	9.0	$CH_3$	9.0	CH <sub>3</sub>
24	72.8	CH	72.9	CH	72.9	CH	72.6	CH	72.6	СН
25	31.9	$CH_2$	31.8	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	32.1	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	33.6	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	31.6	$\mathrm{CH}_2$
26	81.7	CH	81.6	CH	81.1	CH	81.6	CH	81.4	СН
26-OMe	58.0	$CH_3$	57.9	$CH_3$	58.1	$CH_3$	58.0	$CH_3$	57.8	$CH_3$
27	34.4	CH	34.7	CH	35.5	CH	34.5	CH	34.4	СН
27-Me	15.4	$CH_3$	15.5	$CH_3$	15.4	$CH_3$	15.7	$CH_3$	15.6	$CH_3$
28	25.0	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	27.0	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	28.4	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	25.2	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	26.6	$\operatorname{CH}_2$
29	39.8	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	30.4	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	29.7	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	39.8	$\mathrm{CH}_2$	30.3	$\mathrm{CH}_2$
30	211.6	C	73.03	СН	70.0	СН	211.6	C	72.7	СН
31	48.6	СН	37.5	СН	39.7	СН	48.6	СН	37.2	СН
31-Me	13.3	$CH_3$	9.7	$CH_3$	8.5	$CH_3$	13.6	$CH_3$	9.1	$CH_3$
32	77.2	CH	79.8	CH	79.3	CH	77.2	CH	76.4	СН
32-OAc	170.0	C	170.6	C	172.5	C	170.0	C	171.1	C
	20.8	$CH_3$	20.9	$CH_3$	20.9	$CH_3$	20.9	$CH_3$	20.8	$CH_3$
33	36.8	CH	36.9	CH	36.5	CH	36.9	CH	36.7	СН
33-Me	18.8	$CH_3$	19.3	$CH_3$	19.4	$CH_3$	19.0	$CH_3$	19.4	$CH_3$
34	110.4	СН	110.2	СН	110.0	СН	110.5	СН	109.5	СН
35	129.4	CH	129.4	СН	129.4	СН	129.4	CH	129.4	CH
35-NMe	27.5	$CH_3$	27.5	$CH_3$	27.5	$CH_3$	27.5	$CH_3$	27.5	$CH_3$
35-NCHO	162.1	CH	162.1	CH	162.1	CH	162.1	CH	161.9	СН
36			170.2	C					170.3	C
37			80.6	СН					81.4	СН
37-OMe			58.6	$CH_3$					58.2	$CH_3$
38			72.98	$\mathrm{CH}_2$					62.9	$\mathrm{CH}_2$
38-OMe			59.3	$CH_3$						
<sup>a</sup> Carbon spect	tra record	led at 12	5 MHz.							
b Resonances	for minor	rotame	rs can be	found i	n the supj	oorting i	nformati	on.		

Author Manuscript Au

 $^{\rm C}$  Carbon resonances extrapolated from HSQC and HMBC experiments.

 $d_{
m Not}$  detected.

Author Manuscript

Parrish et al.

Page 11

Author Manuscript

	76	8-0	DU	-145	MDA-MDA-	<b>VIB-231</b>	A5	549
	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 1	Trial 2
Ulapualide A (1)	0.28	0.26	0.26	0.25	0.25	0.23	0.28	0.29
Ulapualide B (2)	0.26	0.66	0.28	0.34	0.29	0.29	0.33	0.26
Ulapualide C (3)	1.1	1.4	0.76	0.85	0.58	0.76	0.68	0.60