



Journal of Psychopharmacology
2016, Vol. 30(12) 1165–1180
© The Author(s) 2016



Reprints and permissions:
sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav
DOI: 10.1177/0269881116675512
jop.sagepub.com



Rapid and sustained symptom reduction following psilocybin treatment for anxiety and depression in patients with life-threatening cancer: a randomized controlled trial

Stephen Ross^{1,2,3,4,5,6}, Anthony Bossis^{1,2,4}, Jeffrey Guss^{1,2,4}, Gabrielle Agin-Liebes¹⁰, Tara Malone¹, Barry Cohen⁷, Sarah E Mennenga¹, Alexander Belser⁸, Krystallia Kalliontzi², James Babb⁹, Zhe Su³, Patricia Corby² and Brian L Schmidt²

Abstract

Background: Clinically significant anxiety and depression are common in patients with cancer, and are associated with poor psychiatric and medical outcomes. Historical and recent research suggests a role for psilocybin to treat cancer-related anxiety and depression.

Methods: In this double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover trial, 29 patients with cancer-related anxiety and depression were randomly assigned and received treatment with single-dose psilocybin (0.3 mg/kg) or niacin, both in conjunction with psychotherapy. The primary outcomes were anxiety and depression assessed between groups prior to the crossover at 7 weeks.

Results: Prior to the crossover, psilocybin produced immediate, substantial, and sustained improvements in anxiety and depression and led to decreases in cancer-related demoralization and hopelessness, improved spiritual wellbeing, and increased quality of life. At the 6.5-month follow-up, psilocybin was associated with enduring anxiolytic and anti-depressant effects (approximately 60–80% of participants continued with clinically significant reductions in depression or anxiety), sustained benefits in existential distress and quality of life, as well as improved attitudes towards death. The psilocybin-induced mystical experience mediated the therapeutic effect of psilocybin on anxiety and depression.

Conclusions: In conjunction with psychotherapy, single moderate-dose psilocybin produced rapid, robust and enduring anxiolytic and anti-depressant effects in patients with cancer-related psychological distress.

Trial Registration: ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00957359

Keywords

Psilocybin, psychedelic, cancer, depression, anxiety, mystical experience

Introduction

Enduring clinically significant anxiety and/or depressive symptoms are common in patients with cancer, present in 30–40% of patients in hospital settings (Mitchell et al., 2011). These symptoms are associated with a variety of poor outcomes, including medication non-adherence, increased health care utilization, adverse medical outcomes, decreased quality of life, decreased social function, increased disability, hopelessness, increased pain, increased desire for hastened death, increased rates of suicide, and decreased survival rates (Arrieta et al., 2013; Brown et al., 2003; Jaiswal et al., 2014).

Although pharmacotherapeutic and psychosocial interventions are commonly used to treat anxiety and depression in cancer patients, their efficacy is mixed and limited (Grassi et al., 2014; NCCN, 2014). There are no US Food and Drug Administration approved pharmacotherapies for cancer-related psychological distress, the onset of clinical improvement with anti-depressants is delayed, relapse rates are high, and significant side effects compromise treatment adherence (Freedman, 2010; Li et al., 2012).

¹Department of Psychiatry, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA

²New York University College of Dentistry, Bluestone Center for Clinical Research, New York, NY, USA

³Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA

⁴Department of Psychiatry, Bellevue Hospital Center, New York, USA

⁵NYU Langone Medical Center, New York, NY, USA

⁶New York University-Health and Hospitals Corporation (NYU-HHC) Clinical and Translational Science Institute, New York, NY, USA

⁷Department of Psychology, New York University, New York, NY, USA

⁸Department of Applied Psychology, New York University Steinhardt School of Culture, Education, and Human Development, New York, NY, USA

⁹Department of Radiology, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA

¹⁰Palo Alto University, Palo Alto, CA, USA

Corresponding author:

Stephen Ross, NYU School of Medicine/Bellevue Hospital, 462 First Avenue, NBV 20E7, New York, NY 10016, USA.
Email: stephen.ross@nyumc.org

With a growing body of evidence linking higher levels of existential/spiritual wellbeing (in cancer patients) with improved quality of life and decreased depression/hopelessness/suicidality (Breitbart et al., 2000; McClain et al., 2003; Nelson et al., 2002), the need to develop effective therapeutic approaches to mitigate this domain of distress has become increasingly recognized within the disciplines of palliative care and psycho-oncology (emphasized within the last two decades by the Institute of Medicine, the World Health Organization, the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, the Joint Commission, the National Consensus Project, and the National Quality Forum) and improvement in these domains is now accepted as an integral component in the care of cancer patients (Puchalski, 2012). A number of manualized existentially oriented psychotherapies have been developed to address these existential/spiritual issues, with some empirical support from clinical trials (Lemay and Wilson, 2008), and several of these approaches were integrated into the therapy platform developed for this study. There are currently no pharmacotherapies or evidence-based combined pharmacological-psychosocial interventions to treat this particular type of distress and unmet clinical need in cancer patients (Breitbart et al., 2010).

Psilocybin, a tryptamine serotonergic psychedelic, exerts its consciousness altering effects via 5HT_{2A} agonism (Vollenweider and Kometer, 2010). It has a well-established physiological and psychological safety profile in human laboratory and clinical trial research (Johnson et al., 2008), is not known to be addictive and may have anti-addictive properties (Bogenschutz and Johnson, 2016; Krebs and Johansen, 2012; Ross, 2012). It can produce highly salient spiritual/mystical states of consciousness associated with enduring (months to years) positive changes in cognition, affect, behavior, and spirituality (Doblin, 1991; Griffiths et al., 2006, 2008, 2011; Pahnke, 1963). From the early 1960s to the early 1970s, clinical research utilizing the serotonergic psychedelics, primarily lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD), to treat terminal cancer-related psychological and existential distress was conducted at major academic medical centers in the United States with a total of several hundred participants. These studies occurred largely in the context of open-label trials and showed improvements in the following symptom domains: anxiety, depression, fear of dying, quality of life, and pain (Grob et al., 2013; Grob et al., 1973; Kast, 1966; Kast and Collins, 1964; Pahnke et al., 1969).

Research into the use of hallucinogen treatment models for psycho-spiritual distress in advanced or terminal cancer ceased in the mid 1970s with the passage of the Controlled Substance Act of 1970, which placed all of the serotonergic psychedelics into schedule I of the US Drug Enforcement Administration's classification of regulated psychoactive substances.

Building upon hallucinogen research with cancer patients from over four decades ago, two recently published randomized controlled trials (RCTs) with serotonergic psychedelics to treat cancer-related psychological distress, one using psilocybin in patients with advanced-stage cancer conducted at Harbor-UCLA (Grob et al., 2011) and the other using LSD in patients with a variety of life-threatening illnesses including but not limited to cancer diagnoses (Gasser et al., 2014), suggested acute and sustained treatment benefits. The University of California Los Angeles RCT in patients with advanced-stage cancer included a cohort of 12 participants and reported on the medical

and psychiatric safety of administering low-dose psilocybin (0.2 mg/kg) in conjunction with psychotherapy, and revealed trends towards reduced depression and anxiety in the psilocybin group compared to the control condition (Grob et al., 2011).

In the present RCT, the primary hypothesis was that psilocybin, in conjunction with targeted psychotherapy, would significantly decrease anxiety and depression symptoms (compared to an active control, niacin, and the same dose of psychotherapy as the experimental group) in patients with life-threatening cancer diagnoses.

Methods

Study design and interventions

This randomized, blinded, controlled, crossover, study was designed to investigate the efficacy of a single psilocybin dosing session (0.3 mg/kg) versus one dosing session of an active control (niacin 250 mg), administered in conjunction with psychotherapy, to treat clinically significant anxiety or depression in patients with life-threatening cancer (see Supplementary Methods for information on inclusion/exclusion criteria, blinding procedures, medication sessions and psychotherapy procedures). The trial employed a two-session, double-blind, crossover (7 weeks after administration of dose 1) design to compare groups. Participants were randomly assigned to two oral dosing session sequences: psilocybin (0.3 mg/kg) first then niacin (250 mg) second, or niacin (250 mg) first then psilocybin (0.3 mg/kg) second (Figures 1 and 2). Randomization did not stratify for any demographic (i.e. gender, race, spiritual/religious affiliation) or clinical characteristics (i.e. stage of cancer, prior hallucinogen use). Drug administration dose 1 (psilocybin or control) occurred 2–4 weeks (mean 18 days) after baseline assessments and the crossover occurred 7 weeks (mean 52 days) after dose 1, at which point drug administration dose 2 occurred. Data assessments occurred at baseline (2–4 weeks prior to dose 1), 1 day prior to dose 1, day of dose 1 (7 hours post-dose), 1 day after dose 1, 2 weeks after dose 1, 6 weeks after dose 1, 7 weeks after dose 1 (1 day prior to dose 2), day of dose 2 (7 hours post-dose), 1 day after dose 2, 6 weeks after dose 2, and 26 weeks after dose 2 (Figure 2). The total duration of study participation was approximately 9 months (mean 253 days). The primary outcome variables were anxiety and depression assessed prior to the crossover. Secondary outcome measures (assessed before and after the crossover) included assessments of existential distress, quality of life, and spirituality, as well as measures assessing immediate and sustained effects of psilocybin administration on subjective (e.g. mystical) experience, cognition, affect, spirituality, and behavior.

Study sample and setting

Of 108 participants pre-screened, 42 gave informed consent and of these 29 patients were randomly assigned and received treatment with single-dose psilocybin or single-dose niacin control (Table 1 and Figure 1). The study was approved and monitored by the institutional review board of the New York University (NYU) School of Medicine. The majority of participants were recruited from a clinical cancer center at an academic medical facility (NYU Langone's Perlmutter Cancer Center). Data were collected from 18 February 2009 to 22




Figure 1. CONSORT diagram.

October 2014 and the analysis was conducted from 3 November 2014 to 11 December 2015.

Nearly two-thirds of participants (62%) had advanced cancers (stages III or IV). The types of cancer included: breast or reproductive (59%); gastrointestinal (17%); hematologic (14%); other (10%). In accordance with the study's inclusion criteria, all participants carried an anxiety-related diagnosis per the severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) (Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM)-IV) with the majority meeting criteria for an adjustment disorder (26, 90%) and the rest for generalized anxiety disorder (three, 10%). Nearly two-thirds (59%) had previously been treated with anti-depressant or anxiolytic medication, but none were on any psychotropics at the time of study enrollment, per the inclusion/exclusion criteria.

Assessments

Safety assessments. Adverse events (AEs) attributed to study medications (psilocybin, niacin) were monitored throughout the trial, including during and after medication administration sessions.

Cardiovascular measures were assessed during medication sessions. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure (BP) and heart rate (HR) were measured at the following time points during the medication dosing sessions: baseline, 30, 60, 90, 120, 180, 240, 300, 360 minutes post-dose administration.

Primary Outcome Measures. Clinical primary outcome measures (anxiety, depression) were assessed at baseline, 1 day prior




Figure 2. Interventions and assessments schedule.

Temporal relationships between drug administration, psychosocial interventions, and assessments.

Prep PT: preparatory psychotherapy; 1-day pre-D1: 1 day prior to dose 1; Dose 1: dosing session 1; 1-day post-D1: 1 day after dose 1; Post-integrative PT: post-integrative psychotherapy; 2-wks post-D1: 2 weeks after dose 1; 6-wks post-D1: 6 weeks after dose 1; Safety prep for D2: safety preparation for dosing dose 2; 1-day pre-D2: 1 day prior to dose 2; Dose 2: dosing session 2; 1-day post-D2: 1 day after dose 2; 6-wks post-D2: 6 weeks after dose 2; 26-wks post-D2: 2 weeks after dose 2.

to dose 1, 1 day after dose 1, 2-weeks after dose 1, 6 weeks after dose 1, 7 weeks after dose 1 (corresponding to 1 day prior to dose 2), 1 day after dose 2, 6 weeks after dose 2, and 26 weeks after dose 2: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) (Zigmond and Snaith, 1983), self-rated subscales of anxiety (HADS anxiety or HAD A), depression (HADS depression or HAD D) and total (HADS total or HAD T) combined score in patients with physical health problems (e.g. cancer); Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) (Beck et al., 1988) self-report depression measure; Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) (Spielberger, 1983) self-report measure of state (STAI state or STAI S) and trait (STAI trait or STAI T) anxiety.

Secondary outcome measures. Cancer-related existential distress (demoralization, hopelessness, attitudes and affect associated with disease progression and death) was assessed at baseline, 2 weeks post-dose 1, and 26 weeks post-dose 2: Demoralization (DEM) scale (Kissane et al., 2004), self-report measure of the cancer-related demoralization syndrome (e.g. despair, helplessness, existential distress such as loss of hope/meaning/purpose in life, a sense of ‘giving up’, desire for hastened death); Hopelessness Assessment and Illness (HAI)

scale (Rosenfeld et al., 2011) self-report measure of hopelessness in advanced cancer; Death Anxiety Scale (DAS) (Templer, 1970) a self-report questionnaire assessing the level of death anxiety; Death Transcendence Scale (DTS) (VandeCreek, 1999) a self-report measure of positive attitudes and adaptations to the finitude of life.

Quality of life was assessed at baseline, 2 weeks post-dose 1 and 26 weeks post-dose 2: World Health Organization Quality of Life scale, brief version (WHO-Bref) (WHO, 1994), self-report measure of quality of life in four domains (physical, psychological, social relationships, environment).

Spirituality was assessed at baseline, 2 weeks post-dose 1 and 26 weeks post-dose 2: Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy-Spiritual Well-Being (FACIT-SWB) (Brady et al., 1999) a self-report measure of spiritual wellbeing generating three scales: meaning/peace, faith, total spiritual wellbeing score. The meaning/peace scale assesses one’s sense of inner peace, meaning, and purpose in life and corresponds to the more existential components of religious or spiritual practice. The faith scale measures strength and comfort derived from one’s faith and emphasizes the more ritualized components of religious/spiritual practice.

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of study participants.^a

Characteristic	Categories	Psilocybin first		Niacin first		Total	
		n=14		n=15		n=29	
Sex	Female	7	50%	11	73%	18	62%
	Male	7	50%	4	27%	11	38%
Age; mean (SD)	Range 22–75	52 (15.03)		60.27 (9.45)		56.28 (12.93)	
Race	White/Caucasian	13	93%	13	87%	26	90%
	Black/African American	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	Hispanic/Latino	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	Asian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	American Indian/Native American	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
	Other	1	7%	2	13%	3	10%
	Religious/ spiritual beliefs	Atheist/agnostic	4	29%	10	67%	14
Jewish		4	29%	1	7%	5	17%
Catholic		2	14%	0	0%	2	7%
Other Christian		3	21%	1	7%	4	14%
Other faith/tradition		1	7%	3	20%	4	14%
Site of cancer	Breast	4	29%	5	33%	9	31%
	Reproductive	3	21%	5	33%	8	28%
	Digestive cancers	3	21%	2	13%	5	17%
	Lymphoma/leukemia	2	14%	2	13%	4	14%
	Other types	2	14%	1	7%	3	10%
Stage of cancer	Stage IV	3	21%	7	47%	10	34%
	Stage III	4	29%	4	27%	8	28%
	Stage II	1	7%	4	27%	5	17%
	Stage I	5	36%	0	0%	5	17%
	Other	1	7%	0	0%	1	3%
SCID (DSM-IV) diagnosis ^b	Adjustment disorder w/anxiety and depressed mood, chronic	2	14%	6	40%	8	28%
	Adjustment disorder w/anxiety, chronic	10	71%	8	53%	18	62%
	Generalized anxiety disorder	2	14%	1	7%	3	10%
Hallucinogen use	No	7	50%	6	40%	13	45%
	Yes	7	50%	9	60%	16	55%
Employment status	Full-time employed	6	43%	5	33%	12	41%
	Part-time employed	2	14%	2	13%	4	14%
	Full-time student	1	7%	0	0%	1	3%
	Unemployed	2	14%	1	7%	2	7%
	Self-employed	1	7%	1	7%	2	7%
	Retired	0	0%	6	40%	6	21%
	Long-term disability	2	14%	0	0%	2	7%
Educational attainment	Grade 7–12 w/o graduating high school	1	7%	0	0%	1	3%
	Graduated HS or equivalent	0	0%	1	7%	1	3%
	Part college	1	7%	3	20%	4	14%
	Graduated 4-year college	5	36%	4	27%	9	31%
	Completed grad/professional school	7	50%	7	47%	14	48%
Marital status	Never married	5	36%	3	20%	8	28%
	Widowed	0	0%	2	13%	2	7%
	Cohabitation	2	14%	0	0%	2	7%
	Divorced	1	7%	3	20%	4	14%
	Married	6	43%	7	47%	13	45%
Living arrangements	Live with spouse/partner/family	11	79%	9	60%	20	69%
	Live alone	2	14%	6	40%	8	28%
	Other; lived with roommates	1	7%	0	0%	1	3%

^aThe two dose-sequence groups did not significantly differ on any demographic or clinical characteristic measures.

^bPsychiatric classification was based on the structured clinical interview for the DSM-IV (SCID-IV).

Nearly two-thirds (59%) of participants had previously been treated with anti-depressant or anxiolytic medication, but none were on any psychotropics before study enrollment per inclusion/exclusion criteria.




Figure 3. Primary outcome variables: cancer-related anxiety and depression (pre-crossover).

Means (\pm SE) for primary outcome measures are shown in the two treatment groups at the following time points: baseline (psilocybin first $n=14$, niacin first $n=15$), 1 day pre-dose 1 (psilocybin first $n=14$, niacin first $n=15$), 1 day post-dose 1 (psilocybin first $n=14$, niacin first $n=15$), 2 weeks post-dose 1 (psilocybin first $n=14$, niacin first $n=14$), 6 weeks post-dose 1 (psilocybin first $n=14$, niacin first $n=14$), 7 weeks post-dose 1 (psilocybin first $n=12$, niacin first $n=14$). Asterisks indicate significance level of between-group t -tests. Effect sizes, represented as Cohen's d , are shown above time points at which the treatment groups differ. Closed points represent significant within-group differences relative to scores at baseline.

Subjective drug effects/mystical experience was assessed at 7 hours after drug administration sessions and retrospectively at 26 weeks post-dose 2: the Mystical Experience Questionnaire (MEQ 30) (Barrett et al., 2015) is a self-report questionnaire that evaluates discrete mystical experiences induced by serotonergic psychedelics and is sensitive to detecting psilocybin-induced mystical experiences (MacLean et al., 2012). In addition to an MEQ total score, the questionnaire generates four empirically derived factors: mystical; positive mood; transcendence of time and space; and ineffability. A retrospective version of the MEQ 30 (MEQ retrospective scale) was administered at 26 weeks post-dose 2. See Supplementary Methods section for more information on the MEQ 30 and for other measures of subjective drug effects/mystical experience measured 7 hours after drug administration sessions.

Persisting effects of psilocybin were assessed at 2 weeks post-dose 1 and 26 weeks post-dose 2: the Persisting Effects Questionnaire (PEQ), a self-report measure of changes in attitudes, moods, behaviors and spiritual experiences, sensitive to the longitudinal effects of psilocybin administration (Griffiths et al., 2006, 2008, 2011). All participants (including in both the psilocybin first and niacin first groups) were asked at 26 weeks after dose 2 to reflect on the meaningfulness, spiritual

significance and changes in wellbeing relative to what they guessed was their psilocybin dosing experience (see Supplementary Methods secondary outcome measures).

See Supplementary Methods for other secondary outcome measures.

Statistical analysis

Whenever multiple time points were included in the analysis for continuous measures, repeated measures regressions, from the mixed effect repeated measurement (MMRM) model, were performed in SAS PROC MIXED using an AR(1) covariance structure and fixed effects of group and time. Comparison t -tests from the MMRM analyses are reported for the primary and the continuous secondary outcome measures (see below).

For the primary outcome measures (anxiety, depression) in the two dosing sequences, planned between-group comparisons were made at the following time points: prior to the crossover at baseline, 1 day pre-dose 1, 1 day post-dose 1, 2 weeks post-dose 1, 6 weeks post-dose 1, 7 weeks post-dose 1 (corresponding to 1 day pre-dose 2) (Figure 3) and after the crossover at 1 day post-dose 2, 6 weeks post-dose 2, and 26 weeks post-dose 2 (Figure 4). Between-group effect sizes were calculated using Cohen's d .




Figure 4. Primary outcome variables: cancer-related anxiety and depression (post-crossover). Means (\pm SE) for primary outcome measures are shown in the two treatment groups at the following time points: baseline (psilocybin first $n=14$, niacin first $n=15$), 1-day pre dose-1 (psilocybin first $n=14$, niacin first $n=15$), 1 day post-dose 1 (psilocybin first $n=14$, niacin first $n=15$), 6 weeks post-dose 1 (psilocybin first $n=14$, niacin first $n=14$), 7 weeks post-dose 1 (1 day pre-dose 2) (psilocybin first $n=12$, niacin first $n=14$), 1 day post-dose 2, 6 weeks post-dose 2 (psilocybin first $n=12$, niacin first $n=11$), 26 weeks post-dose 2 (psilocybin first $n=11$, niacin first $n=12$). Asterisks indicate significance level of between-group t -tests. Closed points represent significant within-group differences relative to scores at baseline.

Planned within-group comparison t -tests were conducted for each of the dosing sequences comparing the baseline to each of the following time points: 1 day pre-dose 1, 1 day post-dose 1, 2 weeks post-dose 1, 6 weeks post-dose 1, 7 weeks post-dose 1 (1 day pre-dose 2), 1 day post-dose 2, 6 weeks post-dose 2, 26 weeks post-dose 2 (Figures 3 and 4). Within-group effect sizes for the dosing sequences were calculated at each time point, compared to baseline, using Cohen’s d (Supplementary Table 1). To assess whether the magnitude of psilocybin-induced change in anxiety and depression differed across treatment groups, we compared change scores on the six primary outcome measures across each participant’s active (psilocybin) treatment session (from 1 day prior to psilocybin treatment to 1 day after psilocybin treatment) with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA).

For primary outcome measures (HAD D, BDI, HAD A, HAD T) that have empirical support in defining anti-depressant or anxiolytic response, clinically significant responses rates were defined as a 50% or greater reduction in the measure at a particular assessment point relative to baseline. Anti-depressant symptom remission (HAD D, BDI) was defined as 50% or greater reduction in depressive symptoms plus HADS D ≤ 7 (Hung et al., 2012) or BDI ≤ 12 (Reeves et al., 2012; Riedel et al., 2010), respectively. Planned chi-square analyses were performed to

compare the percentage of participants, in the psilocybin first versus the niacin first groups, who met criteria for anxiolytic or anti-depressant response, or anti-depressant remission (BDI, HAD D) at the following time points: 1 day post-dose 1, 7 weeks post-dose 1, and 26 weeks post-dose 2 (Figure 5).

For cardiovascular measures assessed during the medication sessions, repeated measures regressions, from the mixed effect repeat measurement (MMRM) model, were conducted in SAS PROC MIXED using an AR(1) covariance structure and fixed effects of time, drug (psilocybin vs. niacin) and group (niacin first vs. psilocybin first) collapsed across treatment order at time points: baseline, 30, 60, 90, 120, 180, 240, 300, 360 post-dosing (Supplementary Figure 1).

For the secondary outcome measures (cancer-related existential distress, quality of life, spirituality, persisting effects of psilocybin), planned between-group comparisons were conducted generating the following comparisons: 1. niacin first group 2 weeks post-dose 1 versus psilocybin first group 2 weeks post-dose 1; 2. niacin first group 2 weeks post-dose 1 versus niacin first group 26 weeks post-dose 2; 3. niacin first group 2 weeks post-dose 1 versus psilocybin first group 26 weeks post-dose 2; 4. psilocybin first group 2 weeks post-dose 1 versus psilocybin first group 26 weeks post-dose 2 (Figure 6 (bottom), Supplementary Table 2).




Figure 5. Percentage of participants with anti-depressant or anxiolytic response rates and anti-depressant symptom remission. Percentages of participants in each treatment group who met criteria for anti-depressant or anxiolytic response or anti-depressant symptom remission (BDI, HAD D) at 1 day post-dose 1 (psilocybin first $n=14$, niacin first $n=15$), 7 weeks post-dose 1 (psilocybin first $n=12$, niacin first $n=14$) and at 26 weeks post-dose 2 (psilocybin first $n=11$, niacin first $n=12$). Asterisks indicate significance level of between-group comparisons at each time point.

Ratings of persisting effects attributed to the medication sessions were expressed as proportions for four items (see Supplemental Methods): positive behavioral change; meaningfulness, spiritual significance, and increases in personal wellbeing. Planned chi-square analyses were performed: niacin first group at 2 weeks post-dose 1 and psilocybin first at 2 weeks post-dose 1, niacin first at 2 weeks post-dose 1 and psilocybin first at 26 weeks post-dose 2. McNemar tests were used to compare these proportions between the psilocybin first group at 2 weeks post-dose 1 and the psilocybin first group at 26 weeks post-dose 2 and between the niacin first group at 2 weeks post-dose 1 and the niacin first group at 26 weeks post-dose 2 (Figure 6 (top)).

Subjective drug effects/mystical experiences were compared between groups using an independent sample t -test run in SAS at three time points: 7 hours post-medication administration in sessions 1 and 2; and at 26 weeks post-dose 2 (Figure 7 (top)). Anxiety and depression change scores for the primary outcome measures (Δ HADS T, Δ HADS A, Δ HADS D, Δ BDI, Δ STAI S, Δ STAI T) were calculated from baseline to 6 weeks post-dose 1 with either psilocybin or niacin. Spearman rank correlation coefficients were calculated between the change scores and participant ratings on the MEQ total at 7 hours post-dose 1 to assess the relationship between subjective mystical experience and change

in clinical outcomes. Significant relationships were further examined using partial correlations to control for end of session participant-rated 'intensity' (item 98 from the HRS). In order to examine the mystical experience (using MEQ 30 scores) as a mediator of psilocybin versus niacin treatment on anxiety/depression outcomes, a bootstrap analysis was performed using the PROCESS macro (Hayes, 2013, Figure 7 (bottom)). The bootstrapping method is a non-parametric approach that does not assume a normal distribution of the mediated effect, is appropriate with small sample sizes, and was used to estimate 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the mediation effect (Hayes, 2013). See Supplemental Methods.

See Supplementary Methods for additional statistical analysis.

Results

Demographics

As reported in Table 1, of the 29 participants who completed dose 1, the majority were Caucasian (90%) and women (62%). The average age was 56.3 (range 22–75) years. Approximately half of the participants reported some organized religious faith versus




Figure 6. Secondary outcome measures: existential distress, quality of life, spirituality, persisting effects attributed to psilocybin administration. (Top) Percentage of participants that reported ‘among the top 5’ or ‘the single most’ personally meaningful and spiritually significant experiences, ‘moderate’, ‘strong’ or ‘extreme’ positive behavioral change, and ‘increased moderately’ or ‘increased very much’ wellbeing or life satisfaction on the Persisting Effects Questionnaire (PEQ). Asterisks indicate significance level of comparison to the niacin first group at 2 weeks post-dose 1. There were no significant differences between the psilocybin first group at 2 weeks post-dose 1 versus the psilocybin first group at 26 weeks post-dose 2. (Bottom) Secondary measures of cancer-related existential distress (DEM, HAI, DAS, DTS), quality of life (WHO-Bref) and spirituality (FACIT). Measures are shown at 2 weeks post-dose 1 (psilocybin first $n=14$, niacin first $n=14$) and at 26 weeks post-dose 2 (psilocybin first $n=11$, niacin first $n=12$); asterisks indicate significance level of comparison to the niacin first group at 2 weeks post-dose 1. There were no significant differences between the psilocybin first group at 2 weeks post-dose 1 versus the psilocybin first group at 26 weeks post-dose 2.

atheist/agnostic (52% vs. 48%) and slightly less than half reported no prior history of hallucinogen use (45%). Ninety per cent of participants met DSM-IV criteria for cancer-related adjustment disorder with anxious ± depressed features. The two dose-sequence groups did not significantly differ on demographic or clinical characteristic measures. No dichotomous factors (i.e. gender, prior hallucinogen use vs. none, spiritual faith/religion vs. none, early vs. late cancer stage) significantly interacted with the primary outcome measures in between-group comparisons.

Safety assessments

Adverse events. There were no serious AEs, either medical or psychiatric, in the trial that were attributed to either psilocybin or niacin. Regarding psychiatric AEs, no pharmacological interventions (e.g. benzodiazepines, anti-psychotics) were needed during dosing sessions, no participants abused or became addicted to psilocybin, there were no cases of prolonged psychosis

or hallucinogen persisting perceptual disorder (HPPD), and no participants required psychiatric hospitalization. In terms of AEs attributable to psilocybin, the most common medical AEs were non-clinically significant elevations in BP and HR (76%), headaches/migraines (28%), and nausea (14%); the most common psychiatric AEs were transient anxiety (17%) and transient psychotic-like symptoms (7%: one case of transient paranoid ideation and one case of transient thought disorder). The medical AEs (non-clinically significant elevations in BP and HR, headaches, nausea), and psychiatric AEs (transient anxiety, transient near-psychotic symptoms) attributable to psilocybin are all known AEs of psilocybin, were transient, tolerable, and consistent with prior trials of psilocybin administration in normal volunteers (Griffiths et al., 2006, 2008, 2011), and patients with terminal cancer (Grob et al., 2011).

Cardiovascular effects during dosing sessions. Compared to the control, psilocybin produced statistically significant




Figure 7. Subjective effects of psilocybin and relationship of mystical experience to clinical outcomes.

(Top) Subjective effects as measured by the Mystical Experience Questionnaire (MEQ 30) in each treatment group at 7 hours post-session 1 (psilocybin first $n=14$, niacin first $n=15$), 7 hours post-session 2 (psilocybin first $n=12$, niacin first $n=14$), and 26 weeks post-dose 2 (psilocybin first $n=11$, niacin first $n=12$). Asterisks indicate significance level of between-group differences. (Bottom) Mediation model in which total scores on the MEQ transmit a portion of the effects of psilocybin versus niacin treatment on change in anxiety and depression is shown.

differences in the following cardiovascular measures and time points: systolic BP: 60, 90, 120, 180, 240, 300 minutes; diastolic BP 60, 90, 120, 180 minutes; pulse: 90, 120 minutes (see Supplementary Figure 1). Cardiovascular effects with psilocybin generally peaked at 180 minutes post-dosing and decreased towards pre-drug levels over the remainder of the session. Regarding the psilocybin first group, peak mean systolic and diastolic BPs were 142/83 (both recorded at 180 minutes post-dosing), while peak mean HR for this group was 71 at 300 minutes post-dosing (see Supplementary Figure 1). There were no serious adverse cardiac events, consistent with psilocybin's absence of cardiac toxicity when administered in controlled laboratory settings (Studerus et al., 2011). The medical safety, time course, and magnitude of effects on these cardiovascular measures were consistent with those observed in previous studies of psilocybin in healthy volunteers (Griffiths et al., 2006, 2011) and patients with advanced cancer (Grob et al., 2011).

Primary outcomes

For each of the six primary outcome measures (HADS T, HADS A, HADS D, BDI, STAI S, STAI T), there were significant differences between the experimental and control groups (prior to the crossover at 7 weeks post-dose 1) with the psilocybin group (compared to the active control) demonstrating immediate,

substantial, and sustained (up to 7 weeks post-dosing) clinical benefits in terms of reduction of anxiety and depression symptoms (Figure 3). The magnitude of differences between the psilocybin and control groups (Cohen's d effect sizes) was large across the primary outcome measures, assessed at 1 day/2 weeks/6 weeks/7 weeks post-dose 1 (Figure 3).

Treatment groups did not differ in magnitude of change (e.g. 1 day before compared to 1 day after) across their respective psilocybin treatment sessions for any of the primary outcome measures (BDI: $F_{(1,26)}=1.88$, $P=0.18$; HADS A: $F_{(1,26)}=2.59$, $P=0.12$; HADS D: $F_{(1,26)}=0.90$, $P=0.35$; HADS T: $F_{(1,26)}=2.63$, $P=0.12$; STAI S: $F_{(1,26)}=1.10$, $P=0.30$; STAI T: $F_{(1,26)}=0.58$, $P=0.45$).

For all primary outcome measures, the psilocybin first group demonstrated significant within-group reductions (compared to baseline at each post-baseline assessment point) in anxiety and depression immediately after receiving psilocybin (Figures 3 and 4). These reductions remained significant at each time point, including the final point at 26 weeks post-dose 2 (approximately 8 months), post-psilocybin dosing. Prior to the crossover, the niacin first group demonstrated either no significant within-group reductions or a transient reduction that became non-significant prior to dose 2. For the majority (five/six) of the measures, the niacin first group demonstrated significant within-group

reductions in anxiety and depression immediately after receiving the psilocybin dose (dosing session 2), and these statistically significant improvements persisted until the end of the study (approximately 6.5 months post-psilocybin dosing, 26 weeks post-dose 2, for this group).

Psilocybin produced immediate and enduring anxiolytic and anti-depressant response rates, as well as significant anti-depressant remission rates (measured by the HADS D and BDI) (Figure 5). For example, 7 weeks after dose 1, 83% of participants in the psilocybin first group (vs. 14% in the niacin first group) met criteria for anti-depressant response (with the BDI) and 58% (in the psilocybin first group) for anxiolytic response using the HAD A, compared to 14% in the niacin first group. At the 6.5-month follow-up (after both groups received psilocybin), anti-depressant or anxiolytic response rates were approximately 60–80% (Figure 5).

Secondary outcomes

Figure 6 (bottom) shows the comparisons between dose-sequence groups on the following secondary outcome measures: cancer-related existential distress (demoralization, hopelessness, attitudes and affect associated with disease progression and death), quality of life, and spirituality. In the short-term (2 weeks post-dose 1), psilocybin (compared to control) produced decreases in cancer-related demoralization and hopelessness, while improving spiritual wellbeing and quality of life (physical, psychological, environmental domains). These effects were sustained at the final 6.5 month follow-up. Regarding anxiety and attitudes towards death, the data were mixed. In the short-term (2 weeks post-dose 1), psilocybin was not significantly associated with decreased death anxiety or increased death transcendence. However, at the 26-week post-dose 2 final follow-up assessment, while death anxiety (as measured by the DAS) continued to demonstrate no significant reductions, there was a significant improvement in attitudes and adaptations towards death (as measured by the DTS) in the psilocybin first group compared to the niacin first group (assessed at 2 weeks post-dose 1).

Supplementary Table 2 shows participant ratings of persisting effects attributed to the session experiences. As shown, prior to the crossover, psilocybin produced significantly greater ratings (compared to the niacin first group assessed at 2 weeks post-dose 1) of positive persisting effects on: attitudes about life and self, mood changes, social effects (e.g. increased altruism), behavior, and spirituality. After the crossover, these effects were sustained at the final 6.5-month follow-up. When all participants were asked (26 weeks post-session 2) to reflect on what they thought was their psilocybin session, 52% and 70% rated the psilocybin experience as the singular or top 5 most spiritually significant, or the singular or top 5 most personally meaningful experience of their entire lives, respectively; while 87% reported increased life satisfaction or wellbeing attributed to the experience (Figure 6 (top)).

Mystical experience subjective effects and relationship of mystical experience to clinical outcomes

Compared to the control, psilocybin produced mystical-type experiences, consistent with prior trials of psilocybin administration in normal volunteers (Griffiths et al., 2006, 2008, 2011) and

patients with terminal cancer (Grob et al., 2011) (Figure 7 (top)). Total mystical experience scores (MEQ 30) at the end of dose 1 (e.g. 7 hours post-drug administration) correlated with change scores (baseline to 6 weeks after dose 1) on four out of six primary outcome measures: HADS T (Spearman $r=0.39$; $P=0.04$); HADS A (Spearman $r=0.36$; $P=0.07$); HADS D (Spearman $r=0.30$; $P=0.11$); BDI ($r=0.49$; $P=0.01$); STAI S ($r=0.42$; $P=0.03$); STAI T ($r=0.39$; $P=0.04$).

Partial correlations to control for participant-rated intensity of drug effect (item 98 from the HRS) continued to demonstrate significant effects of total mystical experience scores (MEQ total) on the change scores (baseline to 6 weeks after dose 1) of the primary outcome measures in five of six measures assessed: HADS T (Spearman $r=0.49$; $P=0.009$); HADS A (Spearman $r=0.46$; $P=0.01$); HADS D (Spearman $r=0.35$; $P=0.07$); BDI ($r=0.48$; $P=0.01$); STAI S ($r=0.42$; $P=0.03$); STAI T ($r=0.40$; $P=0.04$).

MEQ total scores mediated (indirect effects) a significant portion of the effect of psilocybin versus niacin treatment on four out of six primary outcome measures with point estimates (ab) and bias corrected 95% CIs as follows: (HADS T (ab=0.46, SE=0.24, 95% CI 0.01–0.97), HADS D (ab=0.43, SE=0.32, 95% CI 0.01–1.23), BDI (ab=0.79, SE=0.26, 95% CI 0.23–1.29), and STAI S (ab=0.65, SE=0.25, 95% CI 0.13–1.16)] (Figure 7 (bottom)). Thus, the amount by which Δ HADS T, Δ HADS D, Δ BDI, and Δ STAI S can be expected to increase through MEQ total as a result of psilocybin versus niacin treatment is 0.46, 0.43, 0.79 and 0.65, respectively.

For other analyses of secondary outcome measures, see Supplementary Results.

Discussion

Primary outcomes

Single moderate-dose psilocybin, in conjunction with psychotherapy, produced rapid, robust, and sustained clinical benefits in terms of reduction of anxiety and depression in patients with life-threatening cancer. This pharmacological finding is novel in psychiatry in terms of a single dose of a medication leading to immediate anti-depressant and anxiolytic effects with enduring (e.g. weeks to months) clinical benefits. Even though it is not possible to attribute causality of the experimental drug (in terms of sustained clinical benefit) after the crossover, the post-crossover data analyses of the two dosing sequences suggest that the clinical benefits, in terms of reduction of cancer-related anxiety and depression, of single-dose psilocybin (in conjunction with psychotherapy) may be sustained for longer than 7 weeks post-dosing, and that they may endure for as long as 8 months post-psilocybin dosing. The acute and sustained anti-depressant effects of psilocybin in this trial are consistent with a recently published open-label study of oral psilocybin treatment in patients with treatment-resistant depression (TRD) in which psilocybin (25 mg) was associated with 1 week and 3 months post-psilocybin anti-depressant effects (Carhart-Harris et al., 2016).

The within-group analyses for the primary outcome measures demonstrate that immediately after receiving psilocybin there is a marked reduction in anxiety and depression scores for both the psilocybin first and niacin first groups. Also, the magnitude of psilocybin-induced change across each participant's active

psilocybin treatment session did not differ across treatment group for any of the primary outcome measures. Together, this suggests that the pharmacological/psilocybin intervention produced rapid anti-depressant and anxiolytic clinical benefits. Both groups demonstrated significant clinical improvements in anxiety/depression from baseline relative to the final assessment. It is unclear from the data whether the sustained benefits in clinical outcomes were due to psilocybin alone or some interactive effect of psilocybin plus the targeted psychotherapy. Future research would be necessary to separate out the various therapeutic contributions of psilocybin versus psychotherapy.

Psilocybin was associated with substantial anti-depressant response rates (as high as approximately 80% at 6.5 months follow-up). There have been several meta-analyses of placebo controlled trials exploring the efficacy of anti-depressants in the treatment of cancer-related depression and they have generally failed to show a clear effect of anti-depressant treatment over placebo (Iovieno et al., 2011; Laoutidis and Mathiak, 2013; Ostuzzi et al., 2015). In a meta-analyses of anti-depressants for major depressive disorder in patients with comorbid medical disorders (including cancer), anti-depressants were more effective than placebo in some medical conditions (e.g. HIV/AIDS, post-stroke) but not in cancer patients, where the anti-depressants performed about as well as the approximately 40% placebo response rate (Iovieno et al., 2011).

Secondary outcomes

Psilocybin decreased cancer-related demoralization (e.g. loss of meaning/hope/purpose, desire for hastened death) and hopelessness, while improving spiritual wellbeing, general life satisfaction, and quality of life. While a minority of patients with advanced or terminal cancer experience clinically relevant existential/spiritual distress, when it occurs its effects are highly consequential (e.g. decreased quality of life, increased depressive and anxiety symptoms, increased desire for hastened death, increased suicidal ideation and behaviors) (Puchalski, 2012) and improving spiritual wellbeing (e.g. through a pharmacological-psychosocial intervention) could serve as a buffer against these negative clinical outcomes.

Although affect/anxiety towards death did not improve in the short-term or longer-term follow-up period, psilocybin was associated with improved attitudes and adaptations to death at the 6.5-month follow-up. More research into this important therapeutic area is warranted.

Psilocybin experiences were reported as highly meaningful and spiritual, and associated with positive cognitive, affective, spiritual, and behavioral effects lasting weeks to months. This finding is consistent with prior research administering psilocybin to normal volunteers (Doblin, 1991; Griffiths et al., 2006, 2008, 2011; Pahnke, 1963).

Safety/adverse events

There were no serious AEs, either medical or psychiatric, in the trial that were attributed to psilocybin. Since the early 1990s, approximately 2000 doses of psilocybin (ranging from low to high doses) have been safely administered to humans in the United States and Europe, in carefully controlled scientific

settings, with no reports of any medical or psychiatric serious AEs, including no reported cases of prolonged psychosis or HPPD (Studerus et al., 2011). This finding is consistent with a US population (2001–2004 data from the National Survey on Drug Use and Health) based study that found no associations between lifetime use of any of the serotonergic psychedelics (including psilocybin) and increased rates of mental illness (Krebs and Johansen, 2013). It is important to monitor closely for the emergence of transient difficult psychological states (e.g. anxiety, paranoia) in these trials and to manage them. Difficult experiences are not necessarily pathological and can be understood as part of the therapeutic process (e.g. working through cancer-related psychological or existential distress through challenging encounters or emotionally charged confrontations with cancer-related fearful imagery or symbolism) (Carbonaro et al., 2016).

Limitations/generalizability

This trial was limited by a relatively small sample size, a non-nationally representative cancer patient population (e.g. 62% women, 90% Caucasian), which decreases generalizability, a crossover design that limited the interpretation of clinical benefits after the crossover, and the use of a control with limited blinding.

Potential anxiolytic and anti-depressant mechanisms of psilocybin

Neurobiological mechanisms. There is evidence from animal research that serotonergic psychedelics exert anxiolytic-like effects (Nichols, 2015). Several trials using animal models of anxiety demonstrated acute anxiolytic effects of the serotonergic psychedelic 2,5-Dimethoxy-4-iodoamphetamine (DOI), a non-selective 5-HT_{2A/2C} agonist (Nic Dhonnchadha et al., 2003; Ripoll et al., 2005, 2006). In two rodent studies, one with 5HT_{2A} knockout mice (Weisstaub et al., 2006) and the other in rats with anti-sense-mediated 5HT_{2A} downregulation (Cohen, 2005), the rodents displayed decreased anxiety-like behavior and in the trial with the 5HT_{2A} knockout mice (Weisstaub et al., 2006), restoration of 5HT_{2A} receptors in the pre-frontal cortex (PFC) re-established anxiety-like behaviors. Furthermore, in humans, fronto-limbic 5HT_{2A} density has been correlated with anxiety symptoms (Frokjaer et al., 2008). Together, these data suggest that 5HT_{2A} downregulation may explain some of the rapid and sustained anxiolytic effects of psilocybin (Vollenweider and Kometer, 2010).

There is growing evidence that the serotonergic psychedelics produce rapid and sustained anti-depressant effects (Nichols, 2015). In two recently published open-label trials, one using a single dose of ayahuasca (Osorio et al., 2015) and the other using two doses of oral psilocybin (Carhart-Harris et al., 2016), acute and enduring anti-depressant effects were reported. In addition to these two open-label trials, there are several lines of evidence supporting using 5HT_{2A} agonists to treat depression. In considering changes at the 5HT_{2A} receptor as a potential mechanism of action: cortical 5HT_{2A} receptor expression is increased in postmortem samples of patients with depression who display suicidality (Mendelson, 2000; Pandey et al., 2002; Shelton et al., 2009); depressed patients with elevated pessimism

display increased PFC 5HT_{2A} receptor binding compared to control participants (Bhagwagar et al., 2006; Meyer, 2012; Meyer et al., 2003); and sustained treatment with various anti-depressants (e.g. selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, tricyclic anti-depressants) have been associated with a reduction of 5HT_{2A} receptor density (Gomez-Gil et al., 2004; Yamauchi et al., 2006).

The glutamate system may explain some of the anti-depressant effects of psilocybin. In rodents, serotonergic psychedelics enhance cortical glutamatergic transmission, especially in the medial PFC, and increase activation of cortical α -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) receptors (Aghajanian and Marek, 1997). In a trial in which rats received DOI, there was a significant increase in expression of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) mRNA in neocortical areas (Vaidya et al., 1997). Increased AMPA activation and BDNF expression as biomarkers of anti-depressant effects are supported by: cortical AMPA activation is known to stimulate the expression of cortical BDNF (associated with neuronal growth, differentiation and synaptogenesis) (Hsu et al., 2015); decreased cortical BDNF is associated with major depression in humans (Duman, 2004); and cortical BDNF normalizes with anti-depressant treatment (Sen et al., 2008; Shimizu et al., 2003). Similarly, ketamine (the only other known acute and short-term sustained anti-depressant) is theorized to exert its anti-depressant effects via cortical AMPA activation (Zanos et al., 2016) and BDNF expression (Lepack et al., 2014). However, the anti-depressant effects of single-dose ketamine in patients with TRD typically last no more than several days up to 1–2 weeks, not several weeks to months (DeWilde et al., 2015).

Neuroimaging research with psilocybin is beginning to suggest potential anti-depressant mechanisms of action at the level of brain structure activity and network connectivity. Task-free functional magnetic resonance imaging research in normal volunteers under the influence of psilocybin has demonstrated decreased activity in the medial PFC and decreased connectivity within the default mode network (DMN) (Carhart-Harris et al., 2012, 2014). The former is significant because depressive symptoms have been associated with increased activity in the medial PFC (Drevets et al., 2008; Farb et al., 2011) and normalization of medial PFC activity has been demonstrated with anti-depressant treatment (Deakin et al., 2008; Holtzheimer and Mayberg, 2011; Kennedy et al., 2007); and the latter because patients with major depression (compared to controls) have demonstrated increased DMN connectivity (Berman et al., 2011; Grecius et al., 2007).

Psycho-spiritual mechanisms. Moderate-dose psilocybin occasioned mystical-type experiences in the cohort of cancer patients studied, and the intensity of the subjective mystical experience significantly mediated (e.g. suggestive of causality) clinical benefit (e.g. reduction in anxiety and depression symptoms) in the medium term (e.g. 6 weeks post-dose 1). This result matches with descriptive historical data from open-label LSD-assisted psychotherapy trials for psycho-spiritual distress associated with terminal cancer, in which the mystical experience was reported as being an integral part of the therapeutic effect (Grof and Halifax, 1977). It is further corroborated by recent open-label trials using psilocybin-assisted psychotherapy to treat tobacco addiction (Garcia-Romeu et al., 2014; Johnson et al., 2014) and alcoholism (Bogenschutz et al., 2015) showing significant correlations between the mystical experience and improved clinical outcomes.

This finding suggests a potential psycho-spiritual mechanism of action: the mystical state of consciousness. The mystical experience is likely to be one of several mediators that transmit the effect of psilocybin to changes in anxiety and/or depression. Further enquiry into how particular dimensions of the mystical experience relate to reductions in anxiety and/or depression in this population and others, and what factors best predict or promote mystical experiences, is warranted.

Conclusions

In conclusion, single moderate-dose psilocybin (in conjunction with psychotherapy) was safely administered to a cohort of patients with cancer-related psychological distress (e.g. anxiety, depression). It produced rapid and sustained anxiolytic and anti-depressant effects (for at least 7 weeks but potentially as long as 8 months), decreased cancer-related existential distress, increased spiritual wellbeing and quality of life, and was associated with improved attitudes towards death. The psilocybin-induced mystical experience mediated the anxiolytic and anti-depressant effects of psilocybin. Psilocybin, administered in conjunction with appropriate psychotherapy, could become a novel pharmacological-psychosocial treatment modality for cancer-related psychological and existential distress. Further empirical research is needed definitively to establish its safety and efficacy.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Organix Inc. for synthesizing the psilocybin and Leonard Liebes for overseeing the compounding process for the trial. They wish to thank the Bluestone Center for Clinical Research (BCCR) at the NYU College of Dentistry (COD), along with Brian Schmidt (director of BCCR), Patricia Corby and Charles Bertolami (dean of the NYU College of Dentistry) for the gracious use of research space at BCCR to conduct the study and for scientific collaboration. The authors also thank John Rotrosen (NYU School of Medicine) for serving as chair of the Data Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB) and for review of the manuscript, as well as Michael Bogenschutz (NYU School of Medicine), Charles Grob (UCLA School of Medicine), Charles Raison (University of Wisconsin School of Medicine), George Greer (Heffter Research Institute), and David Nichols (Heffter Research Institute) for reviews of the manuscript. They thank Mary Lynn Nierodzik (NYU School of Medicine) for providing expertise in determining eligibility criteria from an oncological perspective. The study was conducted in compliance with United States laws. Stephen Ross was previously on the board of directors of the Heffter Research Institute. The authors also thank all of the study therapists: Drew Bianchi, Anthony Bossis, Todd Bresnick, Seema Desai, Julie Feuer, Bonnie Glass, Jeffrey Guss, Stephanie Hope, Krystallia Kalliontzi, Katy Maddox, Casey Paleos, Stephen Ross, Lisa Sevanick, Michelle Shaw, and Erin Zerbo. Special thanks to Magda Salvesen and the estate of Jon Schueler for the loan of original artwork for the study session room. Stephen Ross affirms that he had full access to all of the data in the study and he takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Jim Babb and Barry Cohen (both members of the statistical team) were responsible for analyzing the data. Eva Petkova (associate professor, New York University School of Medicine, Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, New York, NY) and Zhe Su assisted with creating the graphics for many of the figures. Note that none of the above-mentioned individuals (except for the study therapists) were compensated financially for their contributions.

Author comment on supplementary materials

The authors affirm that the research materials relating to this paper can be accessed.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This research was supported by grants from the Heffter Research Institute, the RiverStyx Foundation, and the New York University-Health and Hospitals Corporation (NYU-HHC) Clinical and Translational Science Institute (CTSI) (NYU CTSA grant UL1 TR000038 from the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, National Institutes of Health). Funding for the trial was also provided by Carey and Claudia Turnbull, William Linton, Robert Barnhart, Arthur Altschul, Kelly Fitzsimmons, George Goldsmith, and Ekaterina Malievskaja.

References

- Aghajanian GK and Marek GJ (1997) Serotonin induces excitatory postsynaptic potential in apical dendrites of neocortical pyramidal cells. *Neuropharmacology* 36: 589–599.
- Arrieta O, Angulo LP, Nunez-Valencia C, et al. (2013) Association of depression and anxiety on quality of life, treatment adherence, and prognosis in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol* 20(6): 1941–1948.
- Barrett FS, Johnson MW and Griffiths RR (2015) Validation of the revised Mystical Experience Questionnaire in experimental sessions with psilocybin. *J Psychopharmacol (Oxford, England)* 29(11): 1182–1190.
- Beck AT, Steer RA and Garbin MG (1988) Psychometric properties of the Beck Depression Inventory: twenty-five years of evaluation. *Clin Psych Rev* 8: 77–100.
- Berman MG, Peltier S, Nee DE, et al. (2011) Depression, rumination and the default network. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci* 6: 548–555.
- Bhagwagar Z, Hinz R, Taylor M, et al., (2006) Increased 5-HT_{2A} receptor binding in euthymic, medication-free patients recovered from depression: a positron emission study with [(11)C]MDL 100,907. *Am J Psychiatry* 163: 1580–1587.
- Bogenschutz MP and Johnson MW (2016) Classic hallucinogens in the treatment of addictions. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 64: 250–258.
- Bogenschutz MP, Forcehimes AA, Pommy JA, et al. (2015) Psilocybin-assisted treatment for alcohol dependence: a proof-of-concept study. *J Psychopharmacol* 29(3): 289–299.
- Brady M, Peterman AH, Fitchett G, et al. (1999) A case for including spirituality in quality of life measurement in oncology. *Psychooncology* 8(5): 417–428.
- Breitbart W, Rosenfeld B, Gibson C, et al. (2010) Meaning-centered group psychotherapy for patients with advanced cancer: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *Psychooncology* 19(1): 21–28.
- Breitbart W, Rosenfeld B, Pessin H, et al. (2000) Depression, hopelessness, and desire for hastened death in terminally ill patients with cancer. *JAMA* 284(22): 2907–2911.
- Brown KW, Levy AR, Rosberger Z, et al. (2003) Psychological distress and cancer survival: a follow-up 10 years after diagnosis. *Psychosom Med* 65: 636–643.
- Carbonaro TM, Bradstreet PM, Barrett FS, et al. (2016) Survey study of challenging experiences after ingesting psilocybin mushrooms: acute and enduring positive and negative consequences. *J Psychopharmacol* 30: 1268–1278.
- Carhart-Harris RL, Bolstridge M, Rucker J, et al. (2016) Psilocybin with psychological support for treatment-resistant depression: an open-label feasibility study. *Lancet Psychiatry* 3: 619–627.
- Carhart-Harris RL, Erritzoe D, Williams T, et al. (2012) Neural correlates of the psychedelic state as determined by fMRI studies with psilocybin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 109(6): 2138–2143.
- Carhart-Harris RL, Leech R, Hellyer PJ, et al. (2014) The entropic brain: a theory of conscious states informed by neuroimaging research with psychedelic drugs. *Front Hum Neurosci* 8: 20.
- Cohen H (2005) Anxiolytic effect and memory improvement in rats by antisense oligodeoxynucleotide to 5 hydroxytryptamine-2A precursor protein. *Depress Anxiety* 22: 84–93.
- Deakin JF, Lees J, McKie S, et al. (2008) Glutamate and the neural basis of the subjective effects of ketamine: a pharmacological resonance imaging study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 65: 154–164.
- DeWilde KE, Levitch CF, Murrough JW, et al. (2015) The promise of ketamine for treatment-resistant depression: current evidence and future directions. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1345: 47–58.
- Doblin R (1991) Pahnke's 'Good Friday Experiment': a long-term follow-up and methodological critique. *J Transpersonal Psychol* 23(1): 1–28.
- Drevets WC, Price JL and Furey ML (2008) Brain structural and functional abnormalities in mood disorders: implications for neurocircuitry models of depression. *Brain Struct Funct* 213: 93–118.
- Duman RS (2004) Role of neurotrophic factors in the etiology and treatment of mood disorders. *Neuromolecular Med* 5(1): 11–25.
- Farb NA, Anderson AK, Block RT, et al. (2011) Mood-linked responses in medial prefrontal cortex predict relapse in patients with recurrent unipolar depression. *Biol Psychiatry* 70: 366–372.
- Freedman R (2010) Abrupt withdrawal of antidepressant treatment. *Am J Psychiatry* 167(8): 886–888.
- Frojkjaer VG, Mortensen EL, Nielsen FA, et al. (2008) Frontolimbic serotonin 2A receptor binding in healthy subjects is associated with personality risk factors for affective disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* 63(6): 569–576.
- Garcia-Romeu A, Griffiths RR and Johnson MW (2014) Psilocybin-occasioned mystical experiences in the treatment of tobacco addiction. *Curr Drug Abuse Rev* 7(3): 157–164.
- Gasser P, Holstein D, Michel Y, et al. (2014) Safety and efficacy of lysergic acid diethylamide-assisted psychotherapy for anxiety associated with life-threatening diseases. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 202(7): 513–520.
- Gomez-Gil E, Gasto C, Carretero M, et al. (2004) Decrease of the platelet 5-HT_{2A} receptor function by long-term imipramine treatment in endogenous depression. *Hum Psychopharmacol* 19(4): 251–258.
- Grassi L, Caruso R, Hammelef K, et al. (2014) Efficacy and safety of pharmacotherapy in cancer-related psychiatric disorders across the trajectory of cancer care: a review. *Int Rev Psychiatry* 26(1): 44–62.
- Grecius MD, Krasnow B, Reiss AL, et al. (2007) Resting-state functional connectivity in major depression: abnormally increased contributions from the subgenual cingulate cortex and thalamus. *Biol Psychiatry* 62: 429–437.
- Griffiths RR, Johnson MW, Richards WA, et al. (2011) Psilocybin occasioned mystical-type experiences: immediate and persisting dose-related effects. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 218(4): 649–665.
- Griffiths RR, Richards WA, Johnson MW, et al. (2008) Mystical-type experiences occasioned by psilocybin mediate the attribution of personal meaning and spiritual significance 14 months later. *J Psychopharmacol* 22(6): 621–632.
- Griffiths RR, Richards WA, McCann U, et al. (2006) Psilocybin can occasion mystical-type experiences having substantial and sustained personal meaning and spiritual significance. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 187(3): 268–283; discussion 284–292.
- Grob CS, Bossis AP and Griffiths RR (2013) Use of the classical hallucinogen psilocybin for treatment of existential distress associated with cancer. In: Carr BI and Steel J (eds) *Psychological Aspects of Cancer*. New York: Springer.

- Grob CS, Danforth AL, Chopra GS, et al. (2011) Pilot study of psilocybin treatment for anxiety in patients with advanced-stage cancer. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 68(1): 71–78.
- Grof S and Halifax J (1977) *The Human Encounter with Death*. New York: E.P. Dutton.
- Grof S, Goodman LE, Richards WA, et al. (1973) LSD-assisted psychotherapy in patients with terminal cancer. *Int Pharmacopsychiatry* 8(3): 129–144.
- Hayes AF (2013) *Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis: A Regression-Based Approach*. New York: Guilford.
- Holtzheimer PE and Mayberg HS (2011) Stuck in a rut: rethinking depression and its treatment. *Trend Neurosci* 34: 1–9.
- Hsu WL, Chung HW, Wu CY, et al. (2015) Glutamate stimulates local protein synthesis in the axons of rat cortical neurons by activating α -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) receptors and metabotropic glutamate receptors. *J Biol Chem* 290(34): 20748–20760.
- Hung CI, Liu CY, Wang SJ, et al. (2012) The cut-off points of the Depression and Somatic Symptoms Scale and the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale in detecting non-full remission and a current major depressive episode. *Int J Psychiatry Clin Pract* 16(1): 33–40.
- Iovieno N, Tedschini E, Ameral VE, et al. (2011) Antidepressants for major depressive disorder in patients with co-morbid axis-III disorder: a meta-analysis of patient characteristics and placebo response rates in randomized controlled trials. *Int Clin Psychopharmacol* 26: 69–74.
- Jaiswal R, Alici Y and Breitbart W (2014) A comprehensive review of palliative care in patients with cancer. *Int Rev Psychiatry* 26(1): 87–101.
- Johnson MW, Garcia-Romeo A, Cosimano MP, et al. (2014) Pilot study of the 5-HT_{2A} agonist psilocybin in the treatment of tobacco addiction. *J Psychopharmacol* 28(11): 983–992.
- Johnson MW, Richards W and Griffiths R (2008) Human hallucinogen research: guidelines for safety. *J Psychopharmacol* 22(6): 603–620.
- Kast E (1966) LSD and the dying patient. *Chic Med Sch Q* 26: 80–87.
- Kast EC and Collins VJ (1964) Study of lysergic acid diethylamide as an analgesic agent. *Anesth Analg* 43: 285–291.
- Kennedy SH, Konarski JZ, Segal ZV, et al. (2007) Differences in brain glucose metabolism between responders to CBT and venlafaxine in a 16-week randomized controlled trial. *Am J Psychiatry* 164: 778–788.
- Kissane DW, Wein S, Love A, et al. (2004) The demoralization scale: a report of its development and preliminary validation. *J Palliat Care* 20(4): 269–276.
- Krebs TS and Johansen PO (2012) Lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD) for alcoholism: meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Psychopharmacol* 26(7): 994–1002.
- Krebs TS and Johansen PO (2013) Psychedelics and mental health: a population study. *PLoS One* 19; 8(8): e63972.
- Laoutidis ZG and Mathiak K (2013) Antidepressants in the treatment of depression/depressive symptoms in cancer patients. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Psychiatry* 13: 140.
- Lemay K and Wilson KG (2008) Treatment of existential distress in life threatening illness: a review of manualized interventions. *Clin Psychol Rev* 28(3): 472–493.
- Lepack AE, Fuchikami M, Dwyer JM, et al. (2014) BDNF release is required for behavioral actions of ketamine. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* 18: 1–6.
- Li X, Frye MA and Shelton RC (2012) Review of pharmacological treatment in mood disorders and future directions for drug development. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 37(1): 77–101.
- McClain CS, Rosenfeld B and Breitbart W (2003) Effect of spiritual well-being on end-of-life despair in terminally-ill cancer patients. *Lancet* 361(9369): 1603–1607.
- MacLean KA, Leoutsakos JM, Johnson MW, et al. (2012) Factor analysis of the mystical experience questionnaire: a study of experiences occasioned by the hallucinogen psilocybin. *J Sci Study Relig* 51(4): 721–737.
- Mendelson SD (2000) The current status of the platelet 5-HT_{2A} receptor in depression. *J Affect Disord* 57: 13–24.
- Meyer JH (2012) Neuroimaging markers of cellular function in major depression disorder: implications for therapeutics, personalized medicine, and prevention. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 91: 201–214.
- Meyer JH, McMain S, Kennedy SH, et al. (2003) Dysfunctional attitudes and 5-HT₂ receptors during depression and self-harm. *Am J Psychiatry* 160: 90–99.
- Mitchell AJ, Chan M, Bhatt H, et al. (2011) Prevalence of depression, anxiety, and adjustment disorder in oncological, haematological, and palliative-care settings: a meta-analysis of 94 interview-based studies. *Lancet Oncol* 12: 160–174.
- NCCN (National Comprehensive Cancer Network) (2014) *Clinical practice guidelines in oncology: distress management* (version 1.2014). Available at: www.NCCN.org.
- Nelson CJ, Rosenfeld B, Breitbart W, et al. (2002) Spirituality, religion, and depression in the terminally ill. *Psychosomatics* 43(3): 213–220.
- Nic Dhonnchadha BA, Hascoet M, Jolliet P, et al. (2003) Evidence for a 5-HT_{2A} receptor mode of action in the anxiolytic-like properties of DOI in mice. *Behav Brain Res* 147: 175–184.
- Nichols DE (2015) Psychedelics. *Pharmacol Rev* 68: 264–355.
- Osorio FL, Sanches RF, Macedo LR, et al. (2015) Antidepressant effects of single dose of ayahuasca in patients with recurrent depression: a preliminary report. *Rev Bras Psiquiatr* 37(1): 13–20.
- Ostuzzi G, Matcham F, Dauchy S, et al. (2015) Antidepressants for the treatment of depression in people with cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 6: CD011006.
- Pahnke WN (1963) *Drugs and mysticism: an analysis of the relationship between psychedelic drugs and the mystical consciousness*, PhD dissertation. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University.
- Pahnke WN, Kurland AA, Goodman LE, et al. (1969) LSD-assisted psychotherapy with terminal cancer patients. *Curr Psychiatr Ther* 9: 144–152.
- Pandey GN, Dwivedi Y, Rizavi HS, et al. (2002) Higher expression of serotonin 5-HT_{2A} receptors in the postmortem brains of teenage suicide victims. *Am J Psychiatry* 159: 419–429.
- Puchalski CM (2012) Spirituality in the cancer trajectory. *Ann Oncol* 23 (Suppl 3): 49–55.
- Reeves GM, Rohan KJ, Langenberg P, et al. (2012) Calibration of response and remission cut-points on the Beck Depression Inventory- Second Edition for monitoring seasonal affective disorder treatment outcomes. *J Affect Disord* 138(1–2): 123–127.
- Riedel M, Moller HJ, Obermeier M, et al. (2010) Response and remission criteria in major depression—a validation of current practice. *J Psychiatr Res* 44(15): 1063–1068.
- Ripoll N, Hascoet M and Bourin M (2006) Implication of 5-HT_{2A} subtype receptors in DOI activity in the four plates test–retest paradigm in mice. *Behav Brain Res* 166: 131–139.
- Ripoll N, Nic Dhonnchadha BA, Sebille V, et al. (2005) The four-plates test–retest paradigm to discriminate anxiolytic effects. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 180: 73–83.
- Rosenfeld B, Pessin H and Lewis C (2011) Assessing hopelessness in terminally ill cancer patients: development of the Hopelessness Assessment in Illness Questionnaire. *Psychol Assess* 23(2): 325–336.
- Ross S (2012) Serotonergic hallucinogens and emerging targets for addiction pharmacotherapies. *Psychiatric Clin North Am* 35(2): 357–374.
- Sen S, Duman R and Sanacora G (2008) Serum brain-derived neurotrophic factor, depression and antidepressant medications: meta-analyses and implications. *Biol Psychiatry* 64(6): 527–532.
- Shelton RC, Sanders-Bush E, Manier DH, et al. (2009) Elevated 5-HT_{2A} receptors in postmortem prefrontal cortex in major depression is associated with reduced activity of protein kinase A. *Neuroscience* 158: 1406–1415.

- Shimizu E, Hashimoto K, Okamura N, et al. (2003) Alterations of serum levels of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) in depressed patients with or without antidepressants. *Biol Psychiatry* 54(1): 70–75.
- Spielberger CD (1983) *Manual for the state-trait anxiety inventory (Self-Evaluation Questionnaire)*. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press, Inc.
- Studerus E, Komater M, Hasler F, et al. (2011) Acute, subacute and long-term subjective effects of psilocybin in healthy humans: a pooled analysis of experimental studies. *J Psychopharmacol* 25: 1434–1452.
- Templer DI (1970) The construction and validation of a death anxiety scale. *J Gen Psychol* 82: 165–177.
- Vaidya VA, Marek GJ, Aghajanian GK, et al. (1997) 5-HT_{2A} receptor-mediated regulation of brain-derived neurotrophic factor mRNA in the hippocampus and the neocortex. *J Neurosci* 17(8): 2785–2795.
- VandeCreek L (1999) The death transcendence scale. In: Hill PC and Hood RW (eds) *Measures of religiosity*. Birmingham, AL: Religious Education Press, pp. 442–445.
- Vollenweider FX and Komater M (2010) The neurobiology of psychedelic drugs: implications for the treatment of mood disorders. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 11(9): 642–651.
- Weisstaub NV, Zhou M, Lira A, et al. (2006) Cortical 5-HT_{2A} receptor signaling modulates anxiety-like behaviors in mice. *Science* 313(5786): 536–540.
- WHO (World Health Organization) (1994) Development of the WHOQOL: rationale and current status. *Int J Ment Health* 23(3): 24–56.
- Yamauchi M, Miyara T, Matsushima T, et al. (2006) Desensitization of 5-HT_{2A} receptor function by chronic administration of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. *Brain Res* 1067(1): 164–169.
- Zanos P, Moaddel R, Morris PJ, et al. (2016) NMDAR inhibition-independent antidepressant actions of ketamine metabolites. *Nature* 533(7604): 481–486.
- Zigmond AS and Snaith RP (1983) The hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Acta Psychiatrica Scand* 67(6): 361–370.