PROKARYOTES

Draft Genome Sequence of Pseudomonas putida Strain GM4FR, an Endophytic Bacterium Isolated from Festuca rubra L.

Franziska Wemheuer,a,b Jacqueline Hollensteiner,b [Anja Poehlein,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2473-6202)b Sandra Granzow,^a Rolf Daniel,^b Stefan Vidal,^a Bernd Wemheuer^b

Agricultural Entomology, Department of Crop Sciences, Georg-August University Göttingen, Göttingen, Germanya ; Genomic and Applied Microbiology & Göttingen Genomics Laboratory, Institute of Microbiology and Genetics, Georg-August University Göttingen, Göttingen, Germanyb

ABSTRACT Pseudomonas putida GM4FR is an endophytic bacterium isolated from aerial plant tissues of Festuca rubra L. Functional annotation of the draft genome (7.1 Mb) revealed 6,272 predicted protein-encoding genes. The genome provides insights into the biocontrol and plant growth-promoting potential of P. putida GM4FR.

Beneficial plant-associated bacteria promote plant growth and health using a variety of mechanisms, including the production of phytohormones [\(1,](#page-1-0) [2\)](#page-1-1). These bacteria can enhance the resistance of their host plant against biotic and abiotic stressors [\(2\)](#page-1-1). Several members of the genus Pseudomonas are known as plant growth-promoting bacteria [\(2,](#page-1-1) [3\)](#page-1-2). These include P. putida strains, which have been shown to act as efficient biocontrol agents against phytopathogens and nematodes [\(3,](#page-1-2) [4\)](#page-1-3).

Here, we report the draft genome sequence of the endophyte P. putida GM4FR. This strain was isolated from surface-sterilized aerial tissues of healthy Festuca rubra L. plants. Samples were collected from the GrassMan experimental field [\(5\)](#page-1-4). Genomic DNA of P. putida GM4FR was extracted using the MasterPure complete DNA purification kit (Epicentre, Madison, WI, USA). Obtained DNA was used to generate Illumina paired-end sequencing libraries. Sequencing was performed by employing a MiSeq system and the MiSeq reagent kit version 3 (600 cycles) as recommended by the manufacturer (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Quality filtering using Trimmomatic version 0.32 [\(6\)](#page-1-5) resulted in 5,419,862 paired-end reads. De novo genome assembly was performed with the SPAdes genome assembler version 3.8.0 [\(7\)](#page-1-6). The assembly resulted in 79 contigs (>500 bp) and an average coverage of 144-fold. The assembly was validated and the read coverage determined with QualiMap version 2.1 [\(8\)](#page-1-7).

The draft genome of P. putida strain GM4FR consists of 7,064,252 bp with an overall $G + C$ content of 63.45%. Gene prediction and annotation were performed using Rapid Prokaryotic Genome Annotation (Prokka) [\(9\)](#page-1-8). The draft genome harbored 10 rRNA genes, 55 tRNA genes, 2,867 protein-encoding genes with functional prediction, and 3,405 genes coding for hypothetical proteins. For phylogenetic classification of P. putida GM4FR, multilocus-sequence typing was performed according to Gomila et al. [\(10\)](#page-1-9). The closest relative of the P. putida strain GM4FR is P. putida KT2440, which is a derivate of the soil isolate mt-2 [\(11\)](#page-1-10) and able to colonize the rhizosphere of several important crop plants [\(12\)](#page-1-11).

BlastKOALA [\(13\)](#page-1-12) analysis of the GM4FR genome revealed a gene encoding for a putative nematicidal protein (AidA) [\(14\)](#page-1-13). Additionally, putative genes encoding insecticidal proteins such as fitD/mcf (K19615) and tccC (K11021) were identified. These insecticidal toxins are known from plant-associated P. fluorescens and P. protegens providing protective effects for their host plants [\(15](#page-1-14)[–](#page-1-15)[17\)](#page-1-16). An antiSMASH 3.0.5 [\(18\)](#page-1-17) analysis predicted two bacteriocin gene clusters, an arylpolyene gene cluster, and a

Received 24 January 2017 **Accepted** 27 January 2017 **Published** 30 March 2017

Citation Wemheuer F, Hollensteiner J, Poehlein A, Granzow S, Daniel R, Vidal S, Wemheuer B. 2017. Draft genome sequence of Pseudomonas putida strain GM4FR, an endophytic bacterium isolated from Festuca rubra L. Genome Announc 5:e00086-17. [https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00086-17.](https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00086-17)

Copyright © 2017 Wemheuer et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [International license.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Address correspondence to Franziska Wemheuer, [fwemheu@gwdg.de.](mailto:fwemheu@gwdg.de)

nonribosomal polyketide synthetase (NRPS) cluster with no or low (<35%) similarity to known clusters. From the identified NRPS cluster, 9% of genes showed similarities to a pyoverdine gene cluster of P. protegens and P. aeruginosa [\(19\)](#page-1-18). Pyoverdines are important virulence factors such as fluorescent siderophores and required in pathogenesis [\(20\)](#page-1-19).

Accession number(s). This whole-genome shotgun project has been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession [MKZO00000000.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MKZO00000000) The version described here is version MKZO01000000.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Ministry of Science and Culture of Lower Saxony and the "Niedersächsisches Vorab" for funding as part of the Cluster of Excellence "Functional Biodiversity Research." The funder had no role in the study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

We thank Melanie Heinemann for technical support.

REFERENCES

- 1. Farrar K, Bryant D, Cope-Selby N. 2014. Understanding and engineering beneficial plant–microbe interactions: plant growth promotion in energy crops. Plant Biotechnol J 12:1193-1206. [https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12279) [.12279.](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12279)
- 2. Bhattacharyya PN, Jha DK. 2012. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): emergence in agriculture. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 28: 1327–1350. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-011-0979-9.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-011-0979-9)
- 3. Siddiqui ZA, Akhtar MS. 2008. Effects of fertilizers, AM fungus and plant growth promoting rhizobacterium on the growth of tomato and on the reproduction of root-knot nematode Meloidogyne incognita. J Plant Interact 3:263–271. [https://doi.org/10.1080/17429140802272717.](https://doi.org/10.1080/17429140802272717)
- 4. Akhtar MS, Siddiqui ZA. 2007. Biocontrol of a chickpea root-rot disease complex with Glomus intraradices, Pseudomonas putida and Paenibacillus polymyxa. Australas Plant Pathol 36:175–180. [https://doi.org/10.1071/](https://doi.org/10.1071/AP07006) [AP07006.](https://doi.org/10.1071/AP07006)
- 5. Wemheuer F, Wemheuer B, Kretzschmar D, Pfeiffer B, Herzog S, Daniel R, Vidal S. 2016. Impact of grassland management regimes on bacterial endophyte diversity differs with grass species. Lett Appl Microbiol 62: 323–329. [https://doi.org/10.1111/lam.12551.](https://doi.org/10.1111/lam.12551)
- 6. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114 –2120. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170) [.1093/bioinformatics/btu170.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170)
- 7. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol 19:455– 477. [https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021.](https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021)
- 8. García-Alcalde F, Okonechnikov K, Carbonell J, Cruz LM, Götz S, Tarazona S, Dopazo J, Meyer TF, Conesa A. 2012. Qualimap: evaluating nextgeneration sequencing alignment data. Bioinformatics 28:2678 –2679. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts503.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts503)
- 9. Seemann T. 2014. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics 30:2068 –2069. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153)
- 10. Gomila M, Peña A, Mulet M, Lalucat J, García-Valdés E. 2015. Phylogenomics and systematics in Pseudomonas. Front Microbiol 6:214. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00214) [doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00214.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00214)
- 11. Franklin FC, Bagdasarian M, Bagdasarian MM, Timmis KN. 1981. Molecular and functional analysis of the TOL plasmid pWWO from Pseudomonas putida and cloning of genes for the entire regulated aromatic ring meta cleavage pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 78:7458-7462. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.78.12.7458) [doi.org/10.1073/pnas.78.12.7458.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.78.12.7458)
- 12. Molina L, Ramos C, Duque E, Ronchel MC, García JM, Wyke L, Ramos JL. 2000. Survival of Pseudomonas putida KT2440 in soil and in the rhizosphere of plants under greenhouse and environmental conditions. Soil Biol Biochem 32:315–321. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(99)00156-X) [-0717\(99\)00156-X.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(99)00156-X)
- 13. Kanehisa M, Sato Y, Morishima K. 2016. BlastKOALA and GhostKOALA: KEGG tools for functional characterization of genome and metagenome sequences. J Mol Biol 428:726 –731. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2015.11.006) [.11.006.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2015.11.006)
- 14. Huber B, Feldmann F, Köthe M, Vandamme P, Wopperer J, Riedel K, Eberl L. 2004. Identification of a novel virulence factor in Burkholderia cenocepacia H111 required for efficient slow killing of Caenorhabditis elegans. Infect Immun 72:7220 –7230. [https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.72.12.7220](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.72.12.7220-7230.2004) [-7230.2004.](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.72.12.7220-7230.2004)
- 15. Péchy-Tarr M, Bruck DJ, Maurhofer M, Fischer E, Vogne C, Henkels MD, Donahue KM, Grunder J, Loper JE, Keel C. 2008. Molecular analysis of a novel gene cluster encoding an insect toxin in plant-associated strains of Pseudomonas fluorescens. Environ Microbiol 10:2368 –2386. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01662.x) [.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01662.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01662.x)
- 16. Rangel LI, Henkels MD, Shaffer BT, Walker FL, Davis EW, Stockwell VO, Bruck D, Taylor BJ, Loper JE. 2016. Characterization of toxin complex gene clusters and insect toxicity of bacteria representing four subgroups of Pseudomonas fluorescens. PLoS One 11:e0161120. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0161120) [.1371/journal.pone.0161120.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0161120)
- 17. Kupferschmied P, Péchy-Tarr M, Imperiali N, Maurhofer M, Keel C. 2014. Domain shuffling in a sensor protein contributed to the evolution of insect pathogenicity in plant-beneficial Pseudomonas protegens. PLoS Pathog 10:e1003964. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003964.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003964)
- 18. Weber T, Blin K, Duddela S, Krug D, Kim HU, Bruccoleri R, Lee SY, Fischbach MA, Müller R, Wohlleben W, Breitling R, Takano E, Medema MH. 2015. antiSMASH 3.0—a comprehensive resource for the genome mining of biosynthetic gene clusters. Nucleic Acids Res 43:W237–W243. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv437.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv437)
- 19. Stintzi A, Cornelis P, Hohnadel D, Meyer JM, Dean C, Poole K, Kourambas S, Krishnapillai V. 1996. Novel pyoverdine biosynthesis gene(s) of Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO. Microbiology 142:1181–1190. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1099/13500872-142-5-1181) [10.1099/13500872-142-5-1181.](https://doi.org/10.1099/13500872-142-5-1181)
- 20. Jimenez PN, Koch G, Thompson JA, Xavier KB, Cool RH, Quax WJ. 2012. The multiple signaling systems regulating virulence in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 76:46 – 65. [https://doi.org/10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.05007-11) [MMBR.05007-11.](https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.05007-11)