Rapid cloning of HLA-A,B cDNA by using the polymerase chain reaction: Frequency and nature of errors produced in amplification

(polymorphism/gene families/recombination/histocompatibility)

PETER D. ENNIS, JACQUELINE ZEMMOUR, RUSSELL D. SALTER, AND PETER PARHAM*

Department of Cell Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305

Communicated by D. Bernard Amos, January 2, 1990

ABSTRACT A method for cloning full-length HLA-A,B cDNA (1.1 kilobases) by using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is described. Six *HLA-A,B* alleles (*HLA-A2, -A25, -B7, -B37, -B51*, and -*B57*) were cloned, and their structures were determined. Multiple PCR clones for each allele were sequenced to obtain both an accurate consensus sequence and an "authentic" clone having that sequence. Sequences from 50 clones encoding five different alleles permit assessment of the frequency and nature of PCR-produced errors. These include recombinations, deletions, and insertions in addition to point substitutions. Authentic clones were obtained at a frequency of between 30% and 70%, and analysis of three or four clones generally should be sufficient for characterization of an allele.

HLA-A,B,C genes encode cell surface glycoproteins that, in association with β_2 -microglobulin and a peptide, form the ligand for the antigen receptor of $CD8^+$ T lymphocytes (1, 2). They are probably the most polymorphic of human genes, their diversity resulting from positive evolutionary selection and correlating with the capacity to respond to different antigens (3-5). Although structures for over 50 HLA-A,B,C alleles have been determined, many others remain uncharacterized (6). Current methods for analysis of HLA-A,B,C alleles are limited by the time and effort required to make and screen either genomic or cDNA libraries. The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) provides an approach that could eliminate these procedures and potentially speed the acquisition of allelic sequences (7). In this paper we describe the application of PCR to the cloning and sequence determination of class I HLA cDNA.[†]

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Lines. The following human Epstein-Barr virustransformed cell lines were used in this study: JY (HLA-A2, HLA-B7); BM92 (HLA-A25, HLA-B51); WIN (HLA-A1, HLA-B57); MOC (HLA-A1, HLA-A2, HLA-B13, HLA-B57); KASO (HLA-A1, HLA-B37); and MG (HLA-A1, HLA-A30, HLA-B13, HLA-B37). The boldface genes are those for which cDNAs were cloned. Cells were grown in RPMI 1640 medium containing 10% (vol/vol) fetal calf serum and supplemented with glutamine, penicillin, and streptomycin.

Preparation and Amplification of cDNA. One hundredmilliliter cultures of cells were used to prepare total cellular RNA (8). First-strand cDNA was synthesized by using oligo(dT) and avian myeloblastosis virus reverse transcriptase (9) and was trace-labeled with $[\alpha^{-32}P]dCTP$. Approximately 1% of total radioactivity was incorporated into a trichloroacetic acid-precipitable form. Half of the product was extracted and back extracted with 1:1 (vol/vol) phenol/ chloroform, twice extracted with ether, and precipitated with ethanol. The precipitate was redissolved in 50 μ l of water, and 3 μ l was used as the target for PCR amplification, which was performed by using GeneAmp kits and a DNA thermal cycler (Perkin-Elmer/Cetus). The reaction was in 100 μ l and used 50 pmol of each primer. Two protocols were used: amplifications using protocol 1 went for 30 cycles in which each cycle consisted of 60 sec at 94°C, 60 sec at 65°C, and 90 sec at 72°C. Experiments using protocol 2 used 20 cycles with 60 sec at 94°C, 1 sec at 65°C, and a variable time at 72°C, which started at 50 sec and increased incrementally by 1 sec in each cycle. In both protocols the amplification finished with 10 min at 72°C.

Subcloning and Sequencing. Half of the PCR product was extracted and back-extracted with phenol/chloroform, precipitated with ethanol, and digested with 40 units of *Hin*dIII for 1 hr at 37°C. After similar extraction and precipitation, the *Hin*dIII-cut product was digested with 40 units of *Sal* I for 1 hr at 37°C. Double-cut product was purified with glass beads and ligated to similarly cut M13mp18 and M13mp19 vectors, which were used to transform competent JM109 cells (10). Phage were picked, grown in liquid culture, and used to prepare single-stranded M13 DNA, which was then sequenced, and the sequences were analyzed as described (11).

RESULTS

Our goal was to isolate and sequence cDNA clones encoding complete class I HLA heavy chains. Total RNA from human B-cell lines was used to prepare single-stranded cDNA, and this provided the substrate for specific amplification of HLA-A,B,C sequences by the PCR. The oligonucleotides used to prime specific amplification derive from relatively conserved sequences in the 5' and 3' untranslated regions of HLA-A,B,Cgenes (Fig. 1). Restriction sites for Sal I and HindIII were incorporated into the 5' and 3' primers, respectively, to enable the amplification products to be subcloned into sequencing and expression vectors. A four-base spacer sequence was placed external to the restriction site, a preliminary experiment having shown that amplification products without a spacer could not be cut efficiently with either enzyme.

Amplification resulted in the expected product of ≈ 1.1 kilobases (kb), which was quite pure as assessed by electrophoresis (Fig. 2). This product, predicted to contain a mixture of *HLA-A,B,C* sequences, was directionally subcloned into M13mp18 and M13mp19 vectors and, after transformation of *Escherichia coli*, individual recombinant phage were picked

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "*advertisement*" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Abbreviation: PCR, polymerase chain reaction.

^{*}To whom reprint requests should be addressed.

[†]The sequences for *HLA-A25*, *-A2*, *-B7*, *-B57*, *-B51*, and *-B37* reported in this paper have been deposited in the GenBank data base (accession nos. M32321, M32322, M32317, M32318, M32319 and M32320, respectively).

A

	25	35	4 <u>S</u>	6S
	2N	3N	4N	
EXON 1	EXON 2	EXON 3	EXON 4	EXON 5 EXONS 6-8
в				
priming o	ligonucleotides		5'	3
HLA-5P2	5' untransla	ted region	gggc <u>gtcgac</u> ggact	CAGAATCTCCCCAGACGCCGAG
HLA-3P2	3' untransla	ted region	gccc <u>aagctt</u> tctca	GTCCCTCACAAGGCAGCTGTC
sequencin	g oligonucleoti	des		
2 S	exon 2 236-2	55	AGGGGCCGGAGTATT	GGGAC
2 N	exon 2 236-2	55	GTCCCAATACTCCGG	ССССТ
35	exon 3 429-4	50	CGGCAAGGATTACAT	CGCCCTG
3 N	exon 3 429-4	50	CAGGGCGATGTAATC	CTTGCCG
4 S	exon 4 703-7	24	GCGGAGATCACACTG	ACCTGGC
4 N	exon 4 703-7	24	GCCAGGTCAGTGTGA	TCTCCGC
6 S	exon 6 1023-	1043	AGGGAGCTACTCTCA	GGCTGC
6 N	exon 6 1023-	1042	TGCAGCCTGAGAGTA	GCTCCCT

and analyzed by limited sequencing with one or two oligonucleotide primers (Fig. 1). We now have analyzed well over 100 clones, and without exception they all contained class I HLA inserts. On the basis of locus-specific nucleotides (5), these clones can, by sequencing with oligonucleotides 3S and 4N, be assigned to an HLA locus. Although one or two clones from HLA-C and other class I genes have been tentatively identified, almost all of the clones obtained derive from HLA-A and HLA-B, and analysis was concentrated on them.

We anticipated that replication errors introduced during the PCR would necessitate sequencing of multiple clones to determine the true sequence of an allele and to identify a clone having that sequence. To assess the magnitude of this problem, we studied five alleles from three cell lines: HLA-A2 and HLA-B7 from JY, HLA-A25 and HLA-B51 from BM92, and HLA-B57 from WIN. For each allele the sequences of 10 clones-five from the sense strand and five from the antisense strand-were determined. In each case a clear consensus sequence was obtained (Fig. 3), and a significant number of the clones (four for HLA-A2, three for HLA-B7, six for HLA-A25, three for HLA-B51, and seven for HLA-B57) had sequences that were identical to the relevant consensus and most probably represent faithful copies of the gene (Table 1). These results clearly show the feasibility of this approach for the isolation and characterization of HLA-A.B alleles.

Between 3 and 7 clones in each set of 10 had one or more mutations with respect to the consensus sequence, and these are listed in Table 1. They are presumably the result of "errors" in the PCR amplification. Every mutation was unique to a single clone, indicating that errors are infrequent events, mostly occurring late in amplification as the target sequences accumulate. Clones having point substitutions, deletions, insertions, and recombinations were all found, giving a total of 37 mutations, including 28 point substitutions, in the 52,579 nucleotides sequenced. The frequency with which errors were found was 1 per 1421 nucleotides.

The amplification of HLA-A2 and HLA-B7 and other class I sequences from the JY cell line was performed first and used protocol 1 as described in *Materials and Methods*. Four of the clones studied proved to be recombinants between HLA-A2 and HLA-B7 (Table 1). These apparent recombinants presumably arise from premature termination of the polymerase in one cycle with hybridization of the unfinished product to a heterologous strand, followed by extension and completion in a subsequent cycle. Although the frequency of such recombination did not pervert interpretation of the data from JY, a homozygous cell line, its potential for confusing the analysis of heterozygous cells is considerable. Amplifi-

FIG. 1. (A) Schematic of a HLA class I cDNA PCR product showing PCR primers (large arrows), sequencing primers (small arrows), and exon boundaries (vertical lines). Arrowheads are at the 3' hydroxyl end of each oligonucleotide primer and point in the direction of polymerase extension. (B) Sequences of priming and sequencing oligonucleotides. Priming oligonucleotides were designed from comparison of the 5' and 3' untranslated regions of HLA-A2.1 (12), -A3 (13), -A24 (3), -B44 (14), -Bw58 (15), -Cw1, -Cw2 (16), and -Cw3 (17) with selection of conserved sequences. The Sal I site in HLA-5P2 and the HindIII site in HLA-3P2 are underlined. Sequencing oligonucleotides S are derived from the sense strand; and N, from the antisense strand.

cation protocol 2 was therefore designed with the goal of reducing these events. The major changes were to reduce the hybridization time from 60 sec to 1 sec, thus favoring the hybridization of short oligonucleotides over longer incomplete amplification products, and to decrease the number of amplification cycles. The experiments with the BM92 and WIN cell lines used protocol 2, and the absence of any recombinants in the clones analyzed suggests that these modifications were effective. The number of point mutations accumulated was also reduced, presumably due to the fewer cycles of amplification (Table 1).

These experiments show the need for analysis of multiple PCR clones but also indicate that the requisite number may generally be less than 10. An experiment was next designed to specifically isolate clones encoding HLA-B37 from two cell lines. The KASO cell line is homozygous for HLA-B37 and four HLA-B locus clones from that line gave an identical sequence that is distinct from other HLA-B alleles. The heterozygous MG cell line expresses both HLA-B13 and -B37. Knowledge of the previously sequenced HLA-B13 allele allowed us to assign, on the basis of preliminary sequence, three clones as potentially containing HLA-B37, and these were completely sequenced. The three clones gave an unambiguous consensus sequence that was identical to that of the HLA-B37 clones from the KASO cell line. One of the MG HLA-B37 clones had a sequence identical to that of the consensus, while the other two each had single and distinct point substitutions. Thus, in this experiment we were able to define the sequence of HLA-B37 and obtain an authentic clone on the basis of four clones from one amplification and three from the other. In addition, the coding regions of the HLA-B37 genes in the two cells were shown to be identical.

We find the nucleotide sequence encoding HLA-A2 of the JY cell line to be identical to that obtained from the LCL-721 cell line (12), which was used to interpret the crystallographic structure of the JY protein (1, 18), and also to be identical to that from the GM637 cell line (19). *HLA-B51* from the BM92



FIG. 2. Agarose gel of 1/10th of the amplification product produced with protocol 1 from the following cell lines: WIN (lane 2), BM92 (lane 3), and MOC (lanes 4 and 5). In lane 1 are markers derived from a *Hae* III digest of $\phi X174$ replicative form DNA (New England Biolabs).



FIG. 3. Consensus nucleotide sequences for the six HLA-A,B alleles cloned and sequenced by using PCR amplification. All consensus sequences derive from sequences of 10 individual clones except for HLA-B37, which was derived from 7.

cell line was also found to be identical in sequence to *HLA-B51* from two other cell lines (20). In contrast the PCR sequence of HLA-B7 from JY differs from the HLA-B7 cDNA sequence of Sood *et al.* (21) at 26 positions, although the predicted proteins differ by just one amino acid. Comparison of nucleotide sequences from more than 30 *HLA-B* alleles shows that the HLA-B7 cDNA has an unusually high number of silent substitutions, whereas the HLA-B7 PCR sequence does not. This suggests that many of the differences

between the PCR and the cDNA sequences are artefacts of the cDNA analysis (21). Our analysis of 10 PCR HLA-B7 cDNA sequences does not support the suggestion that JY expresses two distinguishable *HLA-B7* alleles (22).

HLA-A25, HLA-B37, and HLA-B57 are alleles that have not been sequenced previously. HLA-A10 is serologically split into HLA-A25 and HLA-A26 subtypes. The HLA-A25 sequence differs from the 8/16 clone of Cianetti *et al.* (19) that probably encodes HLA-A26 by a localized cluster of eight

Table 1. Sequences of clones

Cell	HLA	Clone	Sequenced	
line	gene	no.	nucleotides	Differences from consensus*
JY	A2	1	1098	$G-685 \rightarrow A$
		2	1098	None
		6	1098	None
		8	1098	None
		9	1098	None
		20	1098	A-361 → G; recombination with <i>B7</i> , 561–602; A-652 → G
		23	1098	$A-310 \rightarrow G; T-538 \rightarrow C; T-965 \rightarrow C$
		25	1098	Recombination with $B7$, 917–932; T-1050 \rightarrow C
		28	1098	$C-117 \rightarrow T$
		29	1098	$A-293 \rightarrow G$
JY	B 7	3	1089	None
		4	1089	None
		10	1089	$A-188 \rightarrow G$
		10	1089	$C-218 \rightarrow 1$
		12	1089	$C-111 \rightarrow 1$; recombination with A2 974 000
		21	1089	Recombination with A2, $653-734$; G-996 \rightarrow A
		22	1089	C-914 → T
		24	1088	Deletion, 278
		26	1089	None
		27	1089	A-785 → G
BM92	A25	201	1098	None
		202	1098	None
		204	1098	$C-358 \rightarrow T$
		207	490	None
		208	728	None
		115	1098	$G-456 \rightarrow A$
		116	1098	None
		11/	1098	None
		118	1098	$C - 426 \rightarrow 1$
DM02	D51	202	1098	$1-0/ \rightarrow C; A-900 \rightarrow G$
DM92	DJI	205	909 072	$G_{126} \rightarrow A \cdot deletion 806 1012$
		205	1080	$0.120 \rightarrow A$, deletion, $0.00000000000000000000000000000000000$
		200	1041	$T_{-1033} \rightarrow C$
		212	1089	$A-839 \rightarrow G$
		101	1089	None
		102	1089	$A-437 \rightarrow G; T-1073 \rightarrow C$
		103	1089+	Insertion of 104 bases, 895
		104	1017	Deletion, 74-145
		105	1089	Deletion, 1039
WIN	B 57	407	1089	None
		408	1089	None
		411	1089	None
		412	922	$G-190 \rightarrow A$
		415	1089	None
		303	1089	None
		304	1089	$G-1051 \rightarrow A$
		305	780	None T 084 × C
		<i>3</i> 0/	1089	$1-704 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
		510	830	NOR

*Gives position and nature of the mutation.

substitutions giving rise to seven amino acid substitutions in the long α -helix of the α_1 domain. Thus, these two alleles are probably related in evolution by a single segmental exchange. Such a simple relationship is not seen between HLA-B57 and its serologically related partner, HLA-Bw58. Although the HLA-B57 and -Bw58 alleles clearly share a common ancestor, they differ by 16 nucleotide substitutions that are scattered throughout the coding region and produce eight amino acid

differences. HLA-B37 shows relationships with HLA-B18 (residues 1-62) and HLA-Bw47 (residues 63-90) in the α_1 domain and is a composite of segments shared with at least five other HLA-B molecules in the α_2 domain (Fig. 4).

DISCUSSION

Application of molecular biology to the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) has provided the analytical resolution that the complexity of this system demands. In turn, analysis of MHC polymorphism provides a critical test for methods to clone and sequence genes. HLA-A,B,C alleles comprise a large family of highly related sequences in which variation is produced by relatively small differences in large numbers of nucleotides, and every difference is potentially important. For example, a single substitution in exon 4 of HLA-Aw68 results in the protein being unable to bind to the CD8 glycoprotein of T cells (26). We find that a variety of mutational events-recombinations, deletions, insertions, and point substitutions-occur with detectable frequency in amplification with the PCR and that analysis of multiple clones is essential to obtain reliable data. In particular, the identification of recombinations required considerable prior knowledge of the nature of polymorphism in class I HLA genes, and such artefacts pose potential complications in the analysis of less-well-characterized genes or DNA sequences for which the extent of polymorphism is not known. By analysis and comparison of multiple PCR clones, we have obtained unambiguous sequences for six HLA-A,B alleles and in each case have obtained one or more clones with the authentic consensus sequence. These clones have the potential to be used for expression studies and thereby for immunological analysis of the encoded proteins.

Advantages over traditional cloning approaches are (i) the considerable saving of time and effort in the procedures, leading to the isolation of clones; and (ii) the predictability or reliability of the approach, in that clones are always fulllength and no failures to clone targeted genes have so far occurred. The major disadvantage is the increased sequencing that results from the analysis of multiple clones. However, we judge this to be significantly less burdensome than the making and screening of libraries and the subsequent purification of clones. There has been variability in the numbers and nature of PCR errors in sets of clones from different alleles, making it difficult to gauge a minimum number of clones that must be analyzed to obtain either an accurate sequence or an authentic clone. However, numbers between three and six should generally suffice, and there has been no ambiguity in knowing when an allele is "done." A possible refinement to this approach is the use of locusspecific amplification primers, and this may be necessary for investigation of HLA-C and nonclassical class I genes (27).

We thank H. Erlich and R. Higuchi for their help with the PCR and Patricia Massard for preparation of the manuscript. This research was supported by Grant AI24258 from the U.S. Public Health Service. P.P. is a scholar of the Leukemia Society.

- Bjorkman, P. J., Saper, M. A., Samraoui, B., Bennett, W. S., Stromin-1. ger, J. L. & Wiley, D. C. (1987) Nature (London) 329, 512-518.
- 2. Townsend, A. & Bodmer, H. (1989) Annu. Rev. Immunol. 7, 601-624. N'Guyen, C., Sodoyer, R., Trucy, J., Strachan, T. & Jordan, B. R. (1985) 3.
- Immunogenetics 21, 479-489
- Hughes, A. L. & Nei, M. (1988) Nature (London) 335, 167-170.
- 5 Parham, P., Lawlor, D. A., Lomen, C. E. & Ennis, P. D. (1989) J. Immunol. 142, 3937-3950.
- Dupont, B., ed. (1989) The Immunobiology of HLA (Springer, New 6. York), Vol. 1.
- Saiki, R. K., Gelfand, D. H., Stoffel, S., Scharf, S. J., Higuchi, R., 7 Horn, G. T., Mullis, K. B. & Erlich, H. A. (1988) Science 239, 487-491. Chirgwin, J. M., Przybyla, A. E., MacDonald, R. J. & Rutter, W. J.
- 8. (1979) Biochemistry 18, 5294–5299.
- Okayama, H. & Berg, P. (1982) Mol. Cell. Biol. 2, 161-170. 9.

Leader	-24				
A 1	- λ (2			
A10					
A25	- A V(2			
A32	- λ L	2			
A2.1	-AV	<u>)</u>			
A2.3	- A V)			
B57	TVWV				
Bw58	TVWV				
B51	TWWV				
B18	TWV				
B37	T				
Bw47	T				
57	-IV				
Pm42	-TX				
2012	-1X				
Conconcine					
Consensus	PROPERTING STREET				
Alaba 1	1 20		40	60	**
AIPHA I	1 2v				-W-CD
110			VA		A NO D
325			¥	N DY	
A23					
A32			v		
A2.1				GKK	-HH-VD-G
A2.3			Q	GRK	-HH-VD-G
_B57	AM		A -	GRNM	-SAYNIALR
Bw58	AM		<u>T</u>	GRNM	SAYNIALR
B51	AM		Ţ	NF-3	INTYNIALR
B18		¥G	7	NS-7	MTYN
B37		\$	T	8-1	INTYDLR
Bw47	 \M	TL	TK	8-1	INTYDLR
B7		S	B	Y	- A N
Bw42		s	<u>E</u>	Y	- A NN
B8	D- AM	8	<u>B</u>	NF-1	NTNN
Consensus	GSHSMRYFYTSVSRPGRGEPR	FIAVGYVDDTOFVRFDS	DAASPRMEP	RAPWIEGEGPEYWDRETQIVKA	OSOTDRESLRTLRGYYNOSEA
<u>Alpha 2</u>	91 11	0	130	150	170
A1	I - IP	R-D		MKVHAR-V-	RDGT
A10	IP	Q-D		MW	RT
A25	<u>1</u>	Q-D		MW	RT
A32		Q-D			T
32.3		¥		H	1
857	TT-V	-WD-S		AI-ABW	
Bw58		-HD-S			1
DEI				-	
831					!
B18	w-1	-HD-S	g		
B31 B18 B37	IS	-HD-S	<u>S</u>	B	
B31 B18 B37 Bw47	IS	-HD-S		BD	
B31 B18 B37 Bw47 B7	IS 	-HD-S	S		
B31 B18 B37 Bw47 B7 Bw42		-HD-S			
B31 B18 B37 Bw47 B7 Bw42 B8		HD-S -N-F HD HD			
BS1 B18 B37 Bw47 B7 Bw42 B8 Consensus		HD-S -N-F HD HN HN YHQYAYDGKDYIALME			
BS1 B18 B37 Bw47 B7 Bw42 B8 Consensus		HD - S -N - F HD HD			
B31 B18 B37 Bw47 B7 Bw42 B8 Consensus Alpha 3	S	HID-3			LEDK-E
B31 B18 B37 Bw47 B7 Bw42 B8 Consensus <u>Alpha 3</u> A1		на - 5			
B18 B37 Bw47 B7 Bw42 B8 Consensus <u>Alpha 3</u> A1 A10		HB-S			
B31 B18 B37 Bw47 B7 Bw42 B8 Consensus A1 A10 A25 S25		HD-S 			
B18 B17 B37 B7 B7 B82 Consensus B8 Consensus A1 A1 A1 A1 A1 A25 A32 A32		На - 3			
B31 B18 B37 Bw47 Bw42 B8 Consensus <u>Alpha 3</u> A1 A10 A25 A32 A2.1		HD-S 			
B51 B18 B37 Bw47 B7 Bw42 B8 Consensus <u>Alpha 3</u> A1 A10 A25 A32 A2.1 A2.3		HED-S			
B51 B18 B37 B¥47 B7 B¥42 B8 Consensus <u>Alpha 3</u> A1 A10 A25 A32 A2.1 A2.3 B57 B57		HD-S 			
B51 B18 B37 Bv47 B7 Bv42 B8 Consensus B4 <u>A1pha 3</u> <u>A1</u> A1 A1 A25 A32 A2.1 A2.3 B57 Bw58 Bw58		HED-S 			
B51 B18 B37 Bv47 B7 Bv42 B8 Consensus <u>Alpha 3</u> A10 A25 A32 A2.1 A2.3 B57 Bv58 B51 Bv58		LIA S			
B51 B18 B37 Bv47 B7 Bv42 B8 Consensus A1 A1 A10 A25 A32 A2.1 A2.3 B57 Bv58 B51 B18		HD-S 		240 	
B51 B18 B37 Bw47 B7 Bw42 B8 Consensus Alpha 3 A1 A10 A25 A32 A2.1 A2.3 B57 Bw58 B51 Bu58 B51 Bu58 B51 B18 B37		HBD-S			
B51 B18 B37 Bw47 B7 B8 Consensus B8 <u>Alpha 3</u> A1 A10 A25 A32 A2.1 A2.3 B57 Bw58 B51 B18 B37 Bw47 Bw47		HD-S 			
B51 B18 B37 Bw47 B7 Bw42 B8 Consensus Alpha 3 A1 A10 A25 A32 A2.1 A2.3 Bv58 Bv58 Bs51 B18 B37 Bw58 B37 Bw7 Carrier		на			
B51 B18 B37 Bw47 B7 Bw42 B8 Consensus Alpha 3 A1 A10 A25 A32 A2.1 A2.3 B57 Bw58 B18 B37 Bw47 Bw42 Bw42		HD-S 			
B51 B18 B37 Bw47 B7 Bw42 B8 Consensus B4 Def 1 A1 A10 A25 A32 A2.1 A2.3 B57 Bw58 B51 B18 B37 Bw47 B7 Bw42 B47 B7 Bw42 B8		HD-S	- 5 		
B31 B18 B37 Bw47 Bv42 Bs Consensus Alpha 3 A1 A1 A10 A25 A32 A32 A32 B57 Bw58 B51 B18 B51 B18 B37 Bw47 B7 Bw42 B5 B7 Bw58 Consensus		HD - S N - F D			EEQRYTCHVQHECLPKPLTLRW
B51 B18 B37 Bv47 Bv42 B8 Consensus A1 A1 A10 A25 A31 A1 A10 A25 A32 A32 A32 A32 A32 B57 Bv58 B37 Bv58 B37 Bv47 B7 Bv42 B8 Consensus T		ED-S 			
B51 B18 B37 Bw47 B7 Bw42 B8 Consensus <u>Alpha 3</u> A1 A10 A25 A32 A2.3 B57 Bw58 B51 B18 B37 Bw47 B7 Bw47 B7 Bw42 B8 Consensus <u>T.M.</u>		HD-S			
B51 B18 B37 Bw47 B7 Bw42 B8 Consensus Alpha 3 A1 A10 A25 A32 A32 A32 A32 A32 A32 B57 Bw58 B551 B18 B37 Bw47 B7 Bw42 B847 Bw42 Consensus T.M. A1 A10		HD-S 			
B51 B18 B37 Bw47 B7 Bw42 B8 Consensus <u>Alpha 3</u> A1 A10 A25 A32 A2.1 A2.3 Bw58 B57 Bw58 B57 Bw58 B57 Bw58 B51 B18 B37 Bw58 B57 Bw47 B7 Bw47 B7 Bw47 B7 Bw47 B7 Bw47 B7 Bw47 B7 By47 B7 By47 B7 By47 B7 By47 B7 By47 B7 By47 B7 By47 B7 By47 B7 By47 B7 By47 B7 By47 B7 By47 B7 By47 B7 By77 By47 By77 By47 By77 By47 By77 By7		HD-S 			
B51 B18 B37 Bw47 B7 Bw42 B8 Consensus Alpha 3 A1 A10 A25 A32 A2.1 A2.3 B57 Bw58 B51 B857 Bw42 B87 Bw42 B87 Bw42 B87 Bw42 B7 Bw42 B8 Consensus T.M. A1 A10 A25 A32		HD-S 			
B51 B18 B37 Bv47 B7 Bv42 B8 Consensus A1 A10 A25 A31 A10 A25 A32 A2.1 A2.3 Bv57 Bv58 B51 B18 B37 Bv47 B7 Bv42 B8 Consensus T.M. A1 A10 A25 A32 Consensus A12 Bv47 Bv42 Bv42 Bv47 Bv42 Bv42 Bv42 Bv42 Bv42 Bv42 Bv42 Bv42		HD-S 			
B51 B18 B37 Bw47 B7 Bw42 B8 Consensus Alpha 3 A1 A1 A1 A25 A32 A2.1 A2.3 B57 Bw58 B51 B857 Bw42 B87 Bw47 Bw42 B87 Bw42 B8 Consensus T.M. A1 A1 A1 A25 A32 A2.1 A2.1 A2.1 A2 A2 A2 A32 A2 A32 A32 A32 A32 A32 A32		HD-S -N-Y-Y			
B51 B18 B37 Bv47 Bv42 B8 Consensus Alpha 3 A1 A10 A25 A32 A2.1 A2.3 Bv58 B51 Bv58 B51 Bv47 B7 Bv42 B8 Consensus T.M. A1 A10 A25 A32 A32 Bv47 Bv42 Bv47 Bv42 Bv47 Bv42 Bv47 Bv42 Bv47 Bv42 Bv47 Bv42 Bv47 Bv42 Bv47 Bv42 Bv47 Bv42 Bv47 Bv42 Bv47 Bv42 Bv47 Bv42 Bv47 Bv42 Bv47 Bv42 Bv47 Bv42 Bv47 Bv42 Bv47 Bv42 Bv42 Bv42 Bv42 Bv42 Bv42 Bv42 Bv42		HD-S -N-Y- -D- HD- HD- HN- HN- HN- -S -S- -S- -S- -S- -S- -S- -S- -S- -S			
B51 B18 B37 Bw47 Bv42 B8 Consensus Alpha 3 A1 A1 A1 A1 A25 A32 A2.1 A2.3 B57 Bw42 B51 B858 B51 B857 Bw42 B87 Bw42 B87 Bv42 B87 Bv42 B37 Bv42 B37 Bv42 B37 Bv42 B57 Bv42 B88 Consensus B57 Bv42 B88 Consensus B57 Bv42 B88 Consensus B57 Bv42 B88 Consensus B57 Bv42 B88 Consensus B57 Bv42 B57 Bv58 B57 Bv58 B57 Bv58 B57 Bv58 B57 Bv58 B57 Bv42 B57 Bv42 B57 Bv58 B57 Bv58 B57 Bv42 B57 Bv42 B57 Bv42 B57 Bv42 B57 Bv42 B57 Bv42 B57 Bv42 B57 Bv42 B57 Bv42 B57 Bv42 B57 Bv42 B57 Bv42 B57 Bv42 B57 Bv42 B57 Bv42 B57 Bv58 B57 B57 Bv58 B57 B57 Bv58 B57 B57 B57 B57 B57 B57 B57 B57 B57 B57		HD-S -N-Y-Y	EEDQTQDTEL Crt. 31 DR DR DR DR DR DR DR DR DR DR DR DR DR		
B51 B18 B37 Bv47 Bv42 B8 Consensus Alpha 3 A1 A10 A25 A32 A2.1 A2.3 B57 Bv58 B37 Bv58 B37 Bv47 B7 Bv42 B8 Consensus T.M. A1 A10 A25 A32 A32 B57 Bv42 B8 Consensus T.M. A1 A10 A25 Bv47 Bv42 B8 Consensus B57 Bv42 Bv42 Bv42 Bv42 Bv42 Bv42 Bv42 Bv42		HD-S -N-Y- -D- HD- HD- HD- HN- HN- HN- -S -S- -S- -S- -S- -S- -S- -S- -S- -S		С	
B31 B18 B37 Bw47 Bv42 Bs Consensus Alpha 3 A1 A1 A1 A10 A25 A32 A2.1 A2.3 B57 Bw58 B37 Bw47 Bv42 Bs7 Bw47 Bv42 Bs7 Bw48 Consensus T.M. A1 A1 A1 A1 A1 A25 Bs7 Bv42 Bs7 Bs7 Bv42 Bs7 Bs7 Bv42 Bs7 Bs7 Bv42 Bs7 Bs7 Bv42 Bs7 Bs7 Bv42 Bs7 Bs7 Bv42 Bs7 Bs7 Bs7 Bs7 Bs7 Bs7 Bs7 Bs7 Bs7 Bs7		HD-S -N-Y-Y		Z	
B51 B18 B37 Bw47 Bv42 B8 Consensus B57 Bw58 B51 Bs47 Bw47 B7 Bw47 Bv42 B8 Consensus <u>T.M.</u> A10 A25 A32 B57 Bw47 Bv42 Bs42 Consensus T.M. A10 A25 A32 Bs7 Bw48 Bs8 Consensus B57 Bw48 Bs8 Consensus B57 Bw48 Bs8 Consensus B57 Bw48 Bs8 Consensus B57 Bv48 Bs8 Consensus B57 Bv48 Bs8 B57 Bs7 Bs7 Bs7 Bs7 Bs7 Bs7 Bs7 Bs7 Bs7 Bs		HD-S -N-Y-Y		Z	
B51 B18 B37 Bw47 B7 Bw42 B8 Consensus Alpha 3 A1 A10 A25 A32 A2.1 A2.3 Bw58 B57 Bw58 B51 B18 B37 Bw47 B8 Consensus T.M. A1 A10 A25 B8 Consensus E8 Consensus B57 Bw42 B8 Consensus E8 Consensus B57 Bw42 B8 Consensus E8 Consensus B57 Bw42 B8 Consensus B57 Bw42 B8 Consensus B57 Bw58 B51 B18 B18 B18 B37 Bw48 B51 B18 B18 B37 Bw48 B51 B18 B18 B37 Bw48 B51 B18 B18 B37 Bw48 B51 B18 B18 B37 Bw58 B51 B18 B37 Bw58 B51 B18 B37 Bw58 B51 B18 B37 Bw58 B51 B18 B37 Bw58 B51 B37 Bw58 B51 B37 Bw58 B57 Bw58 B57 Bw58 B57 Bw58 B57 Bw58 B57 Bw58 B57 Bw58 B57 Bw58 B57 Bw58 B37 Bw58 B37 Bw58 B37 Bw58 B37 Bw58 B37 Bw58 B37 Bw58 B37 Ba8 B37 Ba8 B37 Ba8 B37 Ba8 B37 B37 Ba8 B37 B37 B87 B87 B87 B87 B87 B87 B87 B87 B87 B8		HD-S -N-Y- -D- HD- HD- HD- HD- HN- HN- 2 2 -S -S -S -S -S -S -S -S -S -S -S -S -S			
B51 B18 B37 Bw47 B7 Bw42 B8 Consensus Alpha 3 A1 A10 A25 A32 A32 A32 B57 Bw58 B51 B18 B37 Bw42 B8 Consensus T.M. A1 A10 A25 Bw47 Bw42 B8 Consensus T.M. A1 A10 A25 Bw47 Bw42 Ba7 Bw42 Ba7 Bw42 Ba7 Bw42 Ba7 Bw47 Bw42 Ba7 Bw47 Bw47 Bw47 Bw47 Ba7 Bw47 Bw47 Bw47 Ba7 Ba7 Ba7 Ba7 Ba7 Ba7 Ba7 Ba7 Ba7 Ba		HD-S -N-Y-Y			
B51 B18 B37 Bw47 Bv42 Bv42 Bs Alpha 3 Al A10 A25 A32 A2.1 A2.3 Bv57 Bw58 B51 Bu47 Bv42 Bs Consensus T.M. A1 A10 A25 A32 A2.1 Bv47 Bv42 Bs A2 A1 A1 A10 A25 A32 A2 A1 A1 A10 A25 Bv47 Bv42 Bv57 Bv58 B57 Bv78 Bv58 B57 Bv78 Bv78 Bv78 Bv78 Bv78 Bv78 Bv78 Bv7		HD-S -N-Y- -D- HD- HD- HN- HN- HN- 			
B51 B18 B37 Bw47 B7 Bw42 B8 Consensus Alpha 3 A1 A10 A25 A32 A2.1 A2.3 B57 Bw58 B37 Bw42 B8 B37 Bw42 B8 B37 Bw42 Consensus T.M. A1 A10 A25 Consensus T.M. A1 A10 A25 B37 Bw42 B8 Consensus T.M. A1 B37 Bw42 B8 B37 Bw42 B8 B37 By47 B57 By58 B51 B37 By47 B57 By58 B51 B37 By47 B37 By78 B37 B37 B37 B37 B37 B37 B37 B37 B37 B37		HD-S -N-Y-Y			
B51 B18 B37 Bv47 Bv42 B8 Consensus Alpha 3 A1 A10 A25 A32 A2.1 A2.3 B57 Bv58 B51 Bv58 B37 Bv47 B7 Bv42 B8 Consensus T.M. A1 A10 A25 A32 A32 Bs7 Bv47 B57 Bv58 B51 Bs7 Bv58 B51 Bs7 Bv58 B57 Bv78 Bv78 Bv78 Bv78 Bv78 Bv78 Bv78 Bv7		HD-S -N-Y-Y			
B51 B18 B37 Bw47 Bv42 Bs Consensus Alpha 3 A1 A1 A1 A1 A25 A32 B57 Bw42 B51 Bs7 Bw42 B51 Bs7 Bw42 B51 Bs7 Bw42 Consensus T.M. A1 A1 A1 A1 A1 A25 A32 Consensus T.M. A1 A1 A25 Bs7 Bw42 Bs7 Bw42 Bs7 Bw42 Bs7 Bw42 Bs7 Bw42 Bs7 Bw42 Bs7 Bw42 Bs7 Bw58 Bs7 Bs7 Bw58 Bs7 Bs7 Bs7 Bs7 Bs7 Bs7 Bs7 Bs7 Bs7 Bs7		HD-S -N-Y-Y	EEDQTQDTEL Cyt. 31 DR DR DR DR DR DR DR DR DR DR DR DR DR		

 Hanahan, D. (1985) in DNA Cloning, ed. Glover, D. M. (IRL, Oxford), Vol. 1, p. 109.

- 11. Ennis, P. D., Jackson, A. P. & Parham, P. (1988) J. Immunol. 141, 642-651.
- 12. Koller, B. H. & Orr, H. T. (1985) J. Immunol. 134, 2727-2733.
- Sodoyer, R., Damotte, M., Delovitch, T. L., Trucy, J., Jordan, B. R. & Strachan, T. (1984) *EMBO J.* 3, 879–885.
- Kottmann, A. H., Seemann, G. H. A., Guessow, H. D. & Roos, M. H. (1986) Immunogenetics 23, 396-400.
- Ways, J. P., Coppin, H. L. & Parham, P. (1985) J. Biol. Chem. 260, 11924–11933.
- Güssow, D., Rein, R. S., Meijer, I., de Hoog, W., Seemann, G. H. A., Hochstenbach, F. M. & Ploegh, H. L. (1987) *Immunogenetics* 25, 313– 322.
- Strachan, T., Sodoyer, R., Damotte, M. & Jordan, B. R. (1984) EMBO J. 3, 887–894.
- Bjorkman, P. J., Saper, M. A., Samraoui, B., Bennett, W. S., Strominger, J. L. & Wiley, D. C. (1987) *Nature (London)* 329, 506-512.
- 19. Cianetti, L., Testa, U., Scotto, L., La Valle, R., Simeone, A., Boccoli,

FIG. 4. Comparison of predicted protein sequences (in single-letter amino acid code) encoded by HLA-A,B alleles. The following sequences are from the cited references: HLA-A1, -A32, -B8, -B18, -Bw42 (5, 23); -A10 (19); -A2.1 (12); -A2.3 (24); -Bw58 (15); -B51 (20); and -Bw47 (25). The consensus sequence in α_1 , α_2 , and α_3 is identical to that given in Parham et al. (23) and is derived from 15 HLA-A, 20 HLA-B, and 4 HLA-C sequences. The consensus sequences for the leader, the cytoplasmic, and the transmembrane domains are derived from the sequences in the figure. Identities with the consensus sequence are given with a dash; asterisks indicate a termination codon.

G., Giannella, G., Peschle, C. & Boncinelli, E. (1989) Immunogenetics 29, 80-91.

- Hayashi, H., Ennis, P. D., Ariga, H., Salter, R. D., Parham, P., Kano, K. & Takiguchi, M. (1989) J. Immunol. 142, 306-311.
- Sood, A. K., Pan, J., Biro, P. A., Pereira, D., Srivastava, R., Reddy, V. B., Duceman, B. W. & Weissman, S. M. (1985) *Immunogenetics* 22, 101-121.
- van Seventer, G. A., Spits, H., Yssel, H., Melief, C. J. M. & Ivanyi, P. (1988) J. Immunol. 141, 417-422.
 Parham, P., Lomen, C. E., Lawlor, D. A., Ways, J. P., Holmes, N.,
- Parham, P., Lomen, C. E., Lawlor, D. A., Ways, J. P., Holmes, N., Coppin, H. L., Salter, R. D., Wan, A. M. & Ennis, P. D. (1988) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85, 4005-4009.
- Holmes, N., Ennis, P., Wan, A. M., Denney, D. W. & Parham, P. (1987) J. Immunol. 139, 936-941.
- Zemmour, J., Ennis, P. D., Parham, P. & Dupont, B. (1988) Immunogenetics 27, 281-287.
- Salter, R. D., Norment, A. M., Chen, B. P., Clayberger, C., Krensky, A. M., Littman, D. R. & Parham, P. (1989) Nature (London) 338, 345-347.
- Orr, H. T. (1989) in *Immunobiology of HLA*, ed. Dupont, B. (Springer, New York), Vol. 2, pp. 33-40.