



Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2016 October 26; 138(42): 13858–13861. doi:10.1021/jacs.6b09499.

Enantioselective, Catalytic Fluorolactonization Reactions with a Nucleophilic Fluoride Source


Eric M. Woerly, Steven M. Banik, and Eric N. Jacobsen*

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, United States

Abstract

The enantioselective synthesis of 4-fluoroisochromanones via chiral aryl iodide-catalyzed fluorolactonization is reported. This methodology uses HF•pyridine as a nucleophilic fluoride source with a peracid stoichiometric oxidant, and provides access to lactones containing fluorine-bearing stereogenic centers in high enantio- and diastereoselectivity. The regioselectivity observed in these lactonization reactions is complementary to that obtained with established asymmetric electrophilic fluorination protocols.

Graphical abstract



The stereocontrolled construction of C–F bonds represents a frontier endeavor in synthetic chemistry, motivated in large part by the important ways that fluorine incorporation is known to modulate the physical and biological properties of organic molecules.¹ Electrophilic fluorine sources (“F⁺”) such as Selectfluor, *N*-fluoropyridinium salts, and *N*-fluorobenzenesulfonimide (NFSI) have been used extensively in the enantiocontrolled generation of fluorine-bearing stereogenic centers,² most often via the intermediacy of enolate equivalents to produce α -fluorocarbonyl compounds.^{2a,3} Fluorofunctionalization of alkenes using F⁺ sources is another powerful approach to the enantioselective synthesis of fluorine-containing chiral compounds that allows for the synthesis of highly functionalized products from simple olefin-containing starting materials.^{4,5} In reported efforts directed toward enantioselective fluorolactonization reactions, electrophilic fluorinating reagents

Corresponding Author: jacobsen@chemistry.harvard.edu.

Supporting Information. Experimental procedures and characterization data for new compounds are available free of charge via the internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

Funding Sources: No competing financial interests have been declared.


have been shown to afford γ -butyrolactone products with exocyclic fluoromethyl substituents in moderate-to-high enantioselectivity (Scheme 1, top).⁶ We hypothesized that a hypervalent iodine catalysis of a nucleophilic fluorination pathway (“F⁻”) could provide a complementary approach to regioisomeric fluorolactone products containing a C–F stereogenic center (Scheme 1, bottom), in a manner analogous to that observed in recently described enantioselective acetoxylactonization reactions.⁷ Herein, we report the development of an enantioselective, catalytic fluorolactonization reaction for the preparation of 4-fluoroisochromanones in high enantio- and diastereoselectivity.

We reported recently a protocol for the aryl iodide-catalyzed diastereoselective 1,2-difluorination of both terminal and internal alkenes^{8,9} using HF-pyridine (pyr•9HF) as a nucleophilic fluorine source and meta-chloroperbenzoic acid (*m*CPBA) as a stoichiometric oxidant. These conditions were first developed by Shibata and coworkers in the context of catalytic aminofluorination reactions.¹⁰ Alkenes bearing proximal weakly Lewis basic groups were shown to undergo net anti-difluorination, while substrates lacking such functionality afforded *syn*-difluoride products. We proposed that properly positioned weakly nucleophilic groups (e.g. the *o*-NO₂ group in Scheme 2) can displace the aryl iodide from intermediate **I** to form an unstable bridged intermediate **II**. Invertive displacement of the neighboring group by fluoride led to the *anti*-diastereomeric outcome. The propensity for such anchimeric assistance in these reactions suggested that styrenes containing other nucleophilic neighboring groups such as an *ortho*-carboxylic acid or ester might undergo fluorolactonization reactions.¹¹ As outlined in the proposed catalytic pathway in Scheme 2, nucleophilic displacement of the aryl iodide group in intermediate **I** with a carboxylate equivalent would lead to formation of 4-fluoroisochromanone products. This approach would produce a fluorine-bearing stereogenic center with a *syn* relationship between the two newly formed bonds,¹² a stereochemical outcome distinct from prototypical bromo- and iodolactonizations.¹³ Furthermore, as members of the polyketide-derived 4-oxyisochromanone class of natural products are known to possess interesting biological activity,¹⁴ we were motivated by the possibility that an efficient, stereocontrolled route to 4-fluoro analogs may be of interest for biological or pharmacological applications.

Methyl benzoate derivative **1a** was evaluated as a model substrate for the proposed fluorolactonization reaction. Various classes of chiral aryl iodides were examined as potential catalysts, including chiral resorcinol derivatives such as **2a–2d**,^{7,15} which have found application previously in a variety of enantioselective alkene oxidation reactions.¹⁶ In the presence of catalysts **2a–d**, *m*CPBA as oxidant, and pyr•9HF as the fluorine source and acid promoter, **1a** was observed to undergo cyclization to fluoroisochromanone **3** as a single observable diastereomer (Table 1).¹⁷ The *syn* relative configuration was determined via X-ray crystallographic analysis, consistent with the reaction pathway outlined in Scheme 2.¹⁸

Catalysts **2c** and **2d** were found to impart significantly higher enantioselectivities than the corresponding benzyl-substituted analogs **2a–b** (entries 1–4). This observation stands in contrast to results obtained in the migratory geminal difluorination of β -substituted styrenes reported recently by our group,¹⁹ where that trend was reversed and the polarizable benzylic groups in para-substituted analogs of **2a–b** were shown to play a critical role in enhancing ee. It is evident that subtle yet fundamentally different factors are responsible for

enantioinduction in these closely related reactions. Variation of the ester group of the catalyst had very little effect on the enantioselectivity of the fluorolactonization reaction, but measurably improved yields were obtained with benzyl ester catalysts **2a** and **2d** relative to their methyl ester counterparts. On the basis of these results, **2d** was selected as the optimal catalyst for further study.



Variation of the carboxylate equivalent (**1a–c**) or use of the free carboxylic acid **1d** resulted in formation of fluorolactonization product **3**, albeit with discernible changes in ee and yield (entries 4–7) and with methyl ester **1a** affording the best results.

The effect of arene substitution in the enantioselective fluorolactonization reaction catalyzed by **2d** is illustrated in Table 2. Alkyl, halide, or trifluoromethoxy substitution at the 6, 5, and 4-positions of **1** is generally well tolerated, with the fluorolactone products obtained in 80–96% ee (entries 1–3, 5–10). However, substrates bearing more electron-deficient trifluoromethyl or carbomethoxy groups underwent reaction in reduced yields and enantioselectivities (entries 12–15). In all cases, the fluorolactone product was obtained as a single diastereomer.²⁰

The new fluorolactonization reaction was also extended successfully to a variety of β -substituted styrene derivatives (Table 3). In general, substituents of varying size and functionality have little impact on reaction enantioselectivity. The Lewis basic ether and cyano substituents in **7f** and **7g** are observed not to alter the relative stereochemical outcome of the fluorolactonization reaction, despite the propensity toward anchimeric assistance pathways in these reactions as noted above. Catalyst control over stereoselectivity of the reaction was observed with **7h** and **7i**, with complementary diastereoselectivity obtained using **2d** or ent-**2d**.

As illustrated in Scheme 3, the regioselectivity observed in the fluorolactonization reactions with a nucleophilic fluoride source is opposite to that obtained with electrophilic reagents.²¹ Furthermore, as demonstrated with **1d**, electrophilic fluorolactonizations of disubstituted styrenes are observed to be poorly diastereoselective. The successful introduction of C–F stereocenters in a highly stereocontrolled manner is thus a significant feature of the new catalytic protocol.

In conclusion, the enantio- and diastereoselective, catalytic synthesis of 4-fluoroisochromanones can be accomplished with HF-pyridine as a nucleophilic fluoride source. Readily accessible chiral aryl iodides catalyze fluorolactonization with generation of C–F stereogenic centers from simple styrene precursors. Ongoing efforts are directed toward exploring the scope of fluorofunctionalization reactions induced by hypervalent iodine(III)

catalysis, as well as elucidating the basis of the subtle catalyst structural properties that control the enantioselectivity in these reactions.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.


Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the NIH (GM043214), by an NSF pre-doctoral fellowship to S.M.B., and by a Suzanne and Bob Wright postdoctoral fellowship to E.M.W. from the Damon Runyon Cancer Research Foundation (DRG-2180-14). We thank Dr. Shao-Liang Zheng for crystal structure determination and Jennifer Wang for mass spectrometry analysis.


References

1. (a) Gillis EP, Eastman KJ, Hill MD, Donnelly DJ, Meanwell NA. *J Med Chem.* 2015; 58:8315. [PubMed: 26200936] (b) Wang J, Sanchez-Rosello M, Acena JL, del Pozo C, Sorochinsky AE, Fustero S, Soloshonok VA, Liu H. *Chem Rev.* 2014; 114:2432. [PubMed: 24299176] (c) Purser S, Moore PR, Swallow S, Gouverneur V. *Chem Soc Rev.* 2008; 37:320. [PubMed: 18197348] (d) Hagmann WK. *J Med Chem.* 2008; 51:4359. [PubMed: 18570365]
2. For reviews on this topic, see: (a) Yang X, Tao W, Phipps RJ, Toste FD. *Chem Rev.* 2015; 115:826. [PubMed: 25337896] (b) Champagne PA, Desroches J, Hamel JD, Vandamme M, Paquin JF. *Chem Rev.* 2015; 115:9073. [PubMed: 25854146]
3. For seminal examples utilizing organocatalysts, see: (a) Shibata N, Suzuki E, Takeuchi Y. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2000; 122:10728. (b) Cahard D, Audouard C, Plaquevent JC, Roques N. *Org Lett.* 2000; 2:3699. [PubMed: 11073679] (c) Kim DY, Park EJ. *Org Lett.* 2002; 4:545. [PubMed: 11843587] (d) Enders D, Hüttl MR. *Synlett.* 2005; 6:991. (e) Marigo M, Fielenbach D, Braunton A, Kjærsgaard A, Jørgensen KA. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2005; 44:3703. (f) Steiner DD, Mase N, Barbas CF III. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2005; 44:3706. (g) Beeson TD, MacMillan DWC. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2005; 127:8826. [PubMed: 15954790]
4. For reviews on this topic, see: (a) Wolstenhulme JR, Gouverneur V. *Acc Chem Res.* 2014; 47:3560. [PubMed: 25379791] (b) Denmark SE, Kuester WE, Burk MT. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2012; 51:10938. (c) Cahard D, Xu X, Couve-Bonnaire S, Pannecoucke X. *Chem Soc Rev.* 2010; 39:558. [PubMed: 20111780]
5. For specific examples, see: (a) Greedy B, Paris JM, Vidal T, Gouverneur V. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2003; 42:3291. (b) Wilkinson SC, Lozano O, Schuler M, Pacheco M, Salmon R, Gouverneur V. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2009; 48:7083. (c) Rauniyar V, Lackner AD, Hamilton GL, Toste FD. *Science.* 2011; 334:1681. [PubMed: 22194571] (d) Wolstenhulme JR, Rosenqvist J, Lozano O, Ilupeju J, Wurz N, Engle KM, Pidgeon GW, Moore PR, Sandford G, Gouverneur V. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2013; 52:9796.
6. (a) Parmar D, Maji MS, Rueping M. *Chem Eur J.* 2014; 20:83. [PubMed: 24356866] (b) Egami H, Asada J, Sato K, Hashizume D, Kawato Y, Hamashima Y. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2015; 137:10132. [PubMed: 26251311]
7. (a) Fujita M, Yoshida Y, Miyata K, Wakisaka A, Sugimura T. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2010; 49:7068. (b) Shimogaki M, Fujita M, Sugimura T. *Eur J Org Chem.* 2013:7128.
8. Banik SM, Medley JW, Jacobsen EN. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2016; 138:5000. [PubMed: 27046019]
9. Gilmour and coworkers independently reported a similar protocol for the 1,2-difluorination of terminal alkenes: Molnár IG, Gilmour R. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2016; 138:5004. [PubMed: 26978593]
10. (a) Suzuki S, Kamo T, Fukushi K, Hiramatsu T, Tokunaga E, Dohi T, Kita Y, Shibata N. *Chem Sci.* 2014; 5:2754. For an additional report utilizing HF-pyridine and mCPBA in catalytic fluorination reactions, see: (b) Kitamura T, Muta K, Oyamada J. *J Org Chem.* 2015; 80:10431. [PubMed: 26450682]


11. For an example of an enantioselective fluorinative intramolecular cyclization reaction of alkenes with stoichiometric, chiral iodine(III) reagents, see: Kong W, Feige P, de Haro T, Nevado C. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2013; 52:2469.
12. Alternatively, cyclization prior to nucleophilic fluorination would also provide 4-fluoroisochromanone products with *syn*-configurations. Such a mechanism cannot be ruled out based on the data presented here. For reactions where cyclization is proposed to precede fluorination, see: Sawaguchi M, Hara S, Fukuhara T, Yoneda N. *J Fluorine Chem.* 2000; 104:277.
13. Chen J, Zhou L, Tan CK, Yeung YY. *J Org Chem.* 2012; 77:999. [PubMed: 22175914]
14. (a) Aldridge DC, Galt S, Giles D, Turner WB. *J Chem Soc C.* 1971:1623. (b) Zhang W, Krohn K, Draegar S, Schultz B. *J Nat Prod.* 2008; 71:1078. [PubMed: 18510362]
15. Uyanik M, Yasui T, Ishihara K. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2010; 49:2175.
16. For reviews on this topic, see: (a) Yoshimura A, Zhdankin VV. *Chem Rev.* 2016; 116:3328. [PubMed: 26861673] (b) Romero RM, Woste TH, Muniz K. *Chem Asian J.* 2014; 9:972. [PubMed: 24591421] (c) Parra A, Reboredo S. *Chem Eur J.* 2013; 19:17244. [PubMed: 24272963]
17. Reducing the number of equivalents of pyr•9HF resulted in lower yields of 3, albeit with consistent enantioselectivities (1.1 equiv. pyr•9HF: 93% ee and 28% yield; 5.6 equiv. pyr•9HF: 93% ee and 83% yield). Reactions conducted at -20 °C led to the generation of 3 in 86% ee and 71% yield (yields determined by GC analysis).
18. For examples of *syn* 1,2 additions to alkenes promoted by hypervalent iodine reagents, see references^{7, 8}, and Hara S, Nakahigashi J, Ishi-I K, Sawaguchi M, Sakai H, Fukuhara T, Yoneda N. *Synlett.* 1998:495.
19. Banik SM, Medley JW, Jacobsen EN. *Science.* 2016; 353:51. [PubMed: 27365443]
20. The remainder of the mass balance in these reactions can be attributed to unreacted starting material and to the formation of several uncharacterized, but readily separable, byproducts. For a discussion of background reactions in closely related catalytic oxylactonization reactions, see reference^{7b}
21. For an additional example of a racemic, regioselective fluorocyclization reaction with hypervalent iodine reagents, see: Geary GC, Hope EG, Stuart AM. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2015; 54:14911.



Scheme 1.
Enantioselective fluorolactonizations with electrophilic and nucleophilic fluorinating agents




Scheme 2.
Anchimeric assistance in 1,2-difluorination of styrenes with ArI -catalysts and an analogous fluorolactonization pathway

**Scheme 3.**

Comparison of the reactivity of electrophilic and nucleophilic fluorinating reagents

Table 1

Optimization of the fluorolactonization reaction



entry	substrate	R	catalyst	ee (%) ^a	yield (%) ^b
1	1a	Me	2a	87	72
2	1a	Me	2b	-87	50
3	1a	Me	2c	-94	68
4	1a	Me	2d	95	86 (68) ^c
5	1b	<i>i</i> Pr	2d	95	72
6	1c	Bn	2d	87	42
7	1d	H	2d	86	95 (70) ^c

Conditions: substrate (0.10 mmol), catalyst (10 mol%), mCPBA (0.12 mmol), pyr-9HF (2.5 mmol HF) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.25 mL) cooled to -50 °C, 24 h.


^aEnantioselectivities were determined by GC or HPLC analysis with commercial chiral columns.

^bYields were measured by GC and are based on an internal standard.

^cIsolated yield on a 1 mmol scale.

Table 2

Fluorolactonization of aryl-substituted substrates




entry	4	R	5	ee (%) ^a	yield (%) ^b
1	4a	6-Me	5a	96	53
2	4b	5-Me	5b	93	50
3	4c	4-Me	5c	94	64
4	4d	3-Me	5d	66	52
5	4e	5-OCF ₃	5e	89	55
6	4f	5-Br	5f	86	48
7	4g	5-Cl	5g	86	60
8	4h	6-F	5h	83	67
9	4i	5-F	5i	93	57
10	4j	4-F	5j	80	61
11	4k	3-F	5k	30	49
12	4l	5-CF ₃	5l	73	60
13	4m	4-CF ₃	5m	76	44
14	4n	5-CO ₂ Me	5n	70	35
15	4o	4-CO ₂ Me	5o	58	51

Conditions: substrate (1 mmol), catalyst (10 mol%), mCPBA (1.2 mmol), pyr*9HF (25 mmol HF) in CH₂Cl₂ (2.5 mL) cooled to -50 °C, 24 h.^aEnantioselectivities were determined by GC analysis with commercial chiral columns.^bIsolated yields are reported.

Table 3

Fluorolactonization of substituted alkene substrates



Conditions: substrate (1 mmol), catalyst (10 mol%), *m*CPBA (1.2 mmol), pyr•9HF (25 mmol HF) in CH₂Cl₂ (2.5 mL) cooled to -50 °C, 24 h. Enantioselectivities were determined by HPLC or GC analysis with commercial chiral columns. Isolated yields are reported.

^a Absolute configuration was determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis; all other products are assigned by analogy.