SCIENTIFIC REPERTS

Received: 28 October 2016 accepted: 09 March 2017 Published: 06 April 2017

Transition metal ions regulated OPENoxygen evolution reaction performance of Ni-based hydroxides hierarchical nanoarrays

Tingting Zhou¹, Zhen Cao², Pan Zhang², Houyi Ma¹, Zhen Gao², Heng Wang², Yue Lu², Jia He² **& YunfengZhao²**

Nickel-based hydroxide hierarchical nanoarrays (Ni*y***M(OH)x HNAs M=Fe or Zn) are doped with non-noble transition metals to create nanostructures and regulate their activities for the oxygen evolution reaction. Catalytic performance in these materials depends on their chemical composition and the presence of nanostructures. These novel hierarchical nanostructures contain small secondary nanosheets that are grown on the primary nanowire arrays, providing a higher surface area and more efficient mass transport for electrochemical reactions. The activities of the Ni***y***M(OH)x HNAs for the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) followed the order of Ni2.2Fe(OH)***x***>Ni(OH)2>Ni2.1Zn(OH)***x***, and these trends are supported by density functional theory (DFT) calculations. The Fe-doped nickel hydroxide hierarchical nanoarrays (Ni2.2Fe(OH)***x* **HNAs), which had an appropriate elemental composition and hierarchical nanostructures, achieve the lowest onset overpotential of 234mV and the smallest Tafel slope of 64.3mV dec[−]1. The specific activity, which is normalized to the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area of the catalyst, of the Ni2.2Fe(OH)***x* **HNAs is 1.15mAcm[−]² BET at an overpotential of 350mV.** This is ~4-times higher than that of Ni(OH)₂. These values are also superior to those of a commercial IrO_x **electrocatalyst.**

Because of growing demands for energy and environmental concerns, advanced technologies are being sought for the production of inexpensive, sustainable, and carbon-neutral fuels¹⁻⁵. Water splitting is promising for the production of fuel from renewable but intermittent energy sources, such as wind and solar⁶. The anodic water-splitting reaction, the oxygen evolution reaction (OER), is a significant source of efficiency losses, because it involves multistep proton-coupled electron transfer and sluggish kinetics^{[7](#page-7-2)}. Currently, noble metal-based compounds, such as IrO_x and RuO₂, are the most active OER catalysts, but their scarcity and high cost limit their use in practical applications⁸⁻¹¹. Therefore, alternative electrode configurations are needed with extraordinary activities and superior long-term stabilities for the ${\rm OER^{12-14}}.$

Low-cost transition metal catalysts, especially Ni^{[15](#page-7-5),[16](#page-7-6)}, have been studied extensively, exhibit good catalytic activities, and are stable against corrosion during the OER in alkaline media. The development of improved Ni-based OER catalysts can be accelerated by an improved understanding of the intrinsic catalytic activity of materials and their dependence on catalyst composition and structure. The OER activity of Ni-based catalysts can be significantly enhanced by doping with other earth-abundant elements such as Co, Fe, Mn, and Zn^{17-22} . Therefore, a systematic study of the OER activities achieved when different dopants are added to Ni-based hydroxides would provide valuable insight into the synthesis of improved OER catalysts^{[23](#page-7-8)}. Recently, experimental studies suggest that the OER activities of oxyhydroxide thin films follow the order of Ni(Fe)O*x*H*y*> Co(Fe) $O_xH_y > FeO_xH_y$ -Au $O_x > FeO_xH_y > CoO_xH_y > NiO_xH_y > MnO_xH_y^2$ ⁴. Experimental studies have shown that the overpotentials required to achieve 10 mA cm⁻² for heterogeneous electrocatalysts follow the order of NiFeO*x*<CoFeO*x*<NiCoO*x* CoO*x*<NiLaO*x*<NiCuO*x*<CoO*x*/CoPi<NiO*x*<NiCeO*^x* [25.](#page-7-10) However, the performance of OER catalysts can be limited by the low conductivities²⁴ and surface areas²⁵ of ordinary nanostructures,

¹School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China. ²Tianjin Key Laboratory of Advanced Functional Porous Materials, Institute for New Energy Materials and Low-Carbon Technologies, Tianjin University of Technology, Tianjin 300384, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.H. (email: [hejia@tjut.edu.cn\)](mailto:hejia@tjut.edu.cn) or Y.Z. (email: [yfzhao@tjut.edu.cn\)](mailto:yfzhao@tjut.edu.cn)

Figure 1. Depiction of the growth of Zn- and Fe-doped Ni*y***M(OH)***x* **HNAs from sacrificial Cu2O nanoarray templates.**

particularly those containing nanoparticles. Previous studies have shown that the OER activity of an ultra-thin γ -CoOOH nanosheet is 20-times higher than that of bulk CoOOH and 2.4-times higher than that of an IrO₂ elec-trocatalyst^{[26](#page-7-11)}. Well-aligned nanowire arrays have been used as highly effective electrodes, because of their intrinsic advantages[27–29.](#page-7-12) Moreover, ordinary electrodes have relatively poor stabilities because of contact between the substrate and electrocatalysts during the electrocatalytic of OER, especially at large current densities. Therefore, hierarchically architectures can be constructed on conductive metal substrates to form high-performance nanocatalysts electrode[30](#page-7-13),[31](#page-7-14). However, no systematic studies have been performed that combine theoretical and experimental characterizations of the relationship between the doping of transition metals and the OER activities of Ni-based hydroxide nanoarrays.

In this work, the nanostructured morphology and OER activity of Ni-based hydroxide hierarchical nanoarrays (Ni_yM(OH)_x HNAs, M = Fe or Zn) were modified using two non-noble transition metals (Fe and Zn) as dopants. A systematic experimental and theoretical study of the effect of transition-metal doping on the nanostructure and OER activity of nickel-based catalysts is presented in this work. The intrinsic OER activity trends of the Ni_yM(OH)_x HNAs followed Ni_{2.2}Fe(OH)_x>Ni(OH)₂>Ni_{2.1}Zn(OH)_x. Theoretical and experimental results were in good agreement. The trends were explained in terms of the surface areas and compositions of active sites, providing potential insights for the future design of more efficient water-splitting catalysts.

Results and Discussion

 $Ni_yM(OH)_x$ HNAs, where M = Fe and Zn, were fabricated by dipping Cu foam substrates coated with one-dimensional (1D) Cu2O nanowire arrays into an aqueous solution containing metal chloride salts and sodium hyposulfite using a solution-phase cation exchange method at room temperature. During the cation exchange process, the Cu₂O nanowires were etched by S₂O₃^{2−}, releasing OH[−]. During this process Ni_yM(OH)_x HNAs precipitated, these new $\text{Ni}_v\text{M(OH)}_x$ HNAs structures inherited the geometry of the Cu₂O template. Secondary Ni*y*M(OH)*x* HNAs nanostructures also formed depending on the solubility of the products and the pH of the reaction system. As illustrated in [Fig. 1](#page-1-0), the secondary nanostructures of the $Ni_yM(OH)_x HNAs$ were regulated during this process. Low magnification SEM images of the Ni*y*M(OH)*x* HNAs revealed that the surface of the Cu foam substrate was completely covered with vertically aligned nanoarrays ([Fig. 2](#page-2-0)). The inset to [Fig. 2a](#page-2-0) showed the morphology of the Ni(OH)₂ HNAs, which inherited the shape of the 1D Cu₂O nanowire arrays (Fig. S1) along the axial direction. After doping $Ni(OH)_{2}$ with transition metals, the surfaces of the nanowires became rougher, and their morphologies markedly changed into hierarchical structures with secondary nanosheets grown on the primary nanowire arrays (see insets to [Fig. 2b,c\)](#page-2-0). The degree of surface roughness on the Ni*y*M(OH)*x* HNAs followed the order of $Ni(OH)_2 < Ni_{2.1}Zn(OH)_x < Ni_{2.2}Fe(OH)_x$, indicating a marked increasement in surface area

Figure 2. Low- and high-magnification (inset) SEM images of the (**a**) Ni(OH)₂, (**b**) Ni_{2.2}Fe(OH)_x, and (**c**) $\overline{\text{Ni}_{2.1}}\text{Zn(OH)}$ _x HNAs.

Figure 3. (**a**,**b**) TEM images, (**c**) high-magnification TEM image, and (**d**) HRTEM and FFT (inset) images of the $\mathrm{Ni}_{2.2}\mathrm{Fe(OH)}$ _x HNAs.

when the appropriate elements were used as dopants. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the $Ni_vM(OH)_x$ HNAs further revealed the presence of secondary nanosheets ([Figs 3](#page-2-1) and S2). The Ni_{2.2}Fe(OH)_x HNAs had the most irregularly shaped nanosheet coating. As shown in Fig. S3, the Ni(OH)₂, Ni_{2.1}Zn(OH)_x, and Ni₂, Fe(OH)_x HNAs had diameters of 30.5 nm, 51 nm, and 103.4 nm, respectively. Cross-sectional SEM images of the Ni*y*M(OH)*x* HNAs (Fig. S4) revealed that the three Ni*y*M(OH)*x* HNAs had similar lengths of 2 μm. [Figure 3c,d](#page-2-1) show high-magnification and high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images of the Ni2.2Fe(OH)*x* HNAs. Ultra-thin (~2.1 nm) character was clearly observed on one edge curled nanosheet of the Ni2.2Fe(OH)*x* HNAs, indicating more exposure of low coordinated surface atoms and thus abundant catalytically active sites. HRTEM images indicated that the Ni(OH)₂ HNAs were predominantly crystalline, while the Ni_{2.2}Fe(OH)_x and Ni_{2.1}Zn(OH)_x HNAs were amorphous (Figs S2b, [3d](#page-2-1) and S2e). Fast Fourier transform (FFT) images were in agreement with the HRTEM images. SEM and TEM results indicated that the proposed method effectively regulated the growth of nanostructures on the $Ni(OH)_{2}$ HNAs using Fe and Zn as dopants. When doping with the transition metals Fe and Zn, the surfaces of the HNAs become rougher and more highly amorphous. Figure S5 shows X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns for the Cu₂O nanowire arrays and the Co_yFe_{1-y}(OH)_x HNAs. The diffraction patterns for the Cu₂O nanowire arrays indicated the presence of Cu₂O phases (PDF#65-3288) and Cu (PDF# 65-9743). The diffraction patterns for the Ni(OH)₂, Ni_{2.1}Zn(OH)_x, and Ni_{2.2}Fe(OH)_x HNAs did not contain any characteristic peaks for Ni, Fe, or Zn compounds. Only Cu and a small amount of Cu₂O were present (Fig. S5), revealing that the three samples had amorphous structures. It should be noted that the amorphous nature of the $Ni(OH)_{2}$ HNAs

Figure 4. High-resolution XPS spectra of the (a) Ni 2p and (b) O 1s regions for the Ni(OH)₂, Ni_{2.1}Zn(OH)_x, and Ni_2 , Fe(OH)_x HNAs (from bottom to top); (c) Zn 2p spectra of the Ni_2 , Zn(OH)_x HNAs, (d) Fe 2p spectra of the Ni₂₂Fe(OH)_x HNAs.

observed by XRD did not conflict with the crystal structures obtained from HRTEM, because the faint crystal lattice and weak FFT pattern of the $Ni(OH)₂ HNAs$ indicated a low crystallinity^{[32,](#page-7-15)[33](#page-7-16)}.

The Ni/M atomic ratios of the Ni*y*M(OH)*x* HNAs were determined with inductively coupled plasma (ICP) emission spectrometry. The ratios in the HNAs were similar to the reactant ratios (Table S1), indicating that the Ni/M ratios in the hydroxides were similar to those in the precursors. The surface compositions and valence states of the as-prepared Ni*y*M(OH)*x* HNAs were investigated by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and the results are shown in [Fig. 4.](#page-3-0) Nickel, Fe, Zn, and O species were observed. Peak fitting analysis of the Ni 2p for $Ni(OH)_2$ revealed one Ni²⁺ state for Ni at binding energies of 855.5 eV and 873.5 eV. Peak fitting analysis for Ni 2p in the Ni_{2.2}Fe(OH)_x and Ni_{2.1}Zn(OH)_x HNAs indicated the presence of Ni²⁺ (855.4 eV and 873.1 eV) and Ni³⁺ $(857.3 \text{ eV} \text{ and } 875.5 \text{ eV})^{34}$. Compared to the un-doped Ni(OH)₂ HNAs, the Ni 2p peaks of the M-doped samples were shifted to more positive energies $(Ni_{2.1}Zn(OH)_x \leq Ni_{2.2}Fe(OH)_x)$, suggesting that the oxidation of Ni^{2+} was favored when Fe and Zn were added. This effect was strongest with Fe³⁵. Additional evidence for the presence of Ni2⁺ was observed in the two intense shakeup satellite peaks (861.8 eV and 880.0 eV[\)16](#page-7-6). The Zn 2p XPS spectrum for the Ni_{2.1}Zn(OH)_x HNAs contained 2p_{3/2} and 2p_{1/2} doublets, which are characteristic of Zn²⁺ (1022.7 eV and 1045.7 eV)^{[34](#page-7-17)}. Fe 2p_{3/2} and Fe 2p_{1/2} spin-orbital splitting for the $\rm Ni_{2.2}Fe(OH)_x$ HNAs was deconvolved into four peaks, indicating the coexistence of Fe²⁺ (711.5 eV and 723.7 eV) and Fe³⁺ (716.0 eV and 726.3 eV) in the Ni₂, Fe(OH)_x HNAs^{36,37}. The O 1s spectrum of the Ni(OH)₂ HNAs was fit to a peak at a binding energy of 530.9 eV, which was assigned to the oxygen in hydroxide. The O 1s spectra of the Ni₂,Fe(OH)_x and Ni₂,Zn(OH)_x HNAs were fit with two peaks at binding energies of 530.1 eV and 531 eV, revealing the presence of lattice and hydroxide oxygens, respectively[16](#page-7-6). These results confirm that strong electron interactions occurred between Ni and both Fe and Zn in the Ni*y*M(OH)*x* HNAs.

The effect of doping the Ni*y*M(OH)*x* HNAs on their electrochemical behaviors were investigated using cyclic voltammetry (CV) in 1 M KOH. As shown in [Fig. 5a](#page-4-0), the CV curves of all three electrodes exhibited coupled redox peaks. The redox couple $(1.45 \text{ V}/1.27 \text{ V}$ *vs*. RHE) of the Ni (OH) ₂ HNAs corresponded to the transformation between Ni(OH)₂ and NiOOH¹⁶. The Ni_{2.1}Zn(OH)_x and Ni_{2.2}Fe(OH)_x HNAs' exhibited redox peaks at 1.43V/1.34V *vs*. RHE and 1.44V/1.35V *vs*. RHE, respectively. These peaks corresponded to the transformation between Ni(OH)_2 and NiOOH, and the positive shifts in redox potential were caused by adding the dopants (Fe or Zn) to the electrodes^{19[,38](#page-7-22)}.

The effect of doping the Ni_yM(OH)_x HNAs on their OER catalytic activities were tested with linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) in 1 M KOH [\(Fig. 5b](#page-4-0)). The Cu₂O nanoarrays exhibited a negligible catalytic activity, while the OER current of the $\text{Ni}_{2.2}$ Fe(OH)_x HNAs was much higher than those of the other electrodes. The $\text{Ni}_{2.2}$ Fe(OH)_x HNAs had a low OER onset overpotential (η) of 234 mV, which was more negative than the η of the Ni(OH)₂ HNAs (254 mV) and commercial IrO_x electrocatalyst (248 mV). The high catalytic activity of the Ni_{2.2}Fe(OH)_x HNAs was also indicated by its ability to support a given current density (*j*) at a lower η than the other electrodes. At *j*= 100mAcm[−]² , the as-prepared Ni2.2Fe(OH)*x* HNAs required an η of 298mV, which was 125mV and 177mV less than the η values of the Ni(OH)₂ HNAs (423 mV) and IrO_x (375 mV), respectively. Therefore, intrinsic activities were compared at $\eta = 350$ mV. The intrinsic activity of the $Ni_{2.2}Fe(OH)_x$ HNAs was 16- and 5-times higher than those of the Ni(OH)₂ HNAs and IrO_y, respectively, revealing strong interactions between Ni and Fe during

Figure 5. (a) CV curves of the Ni_{*yM*}(OH)_{*x*} HNAs. (b) LSV curves of the Ni_{*yM*}(OH)_{*x*} HNAs, Cu₂O nanoarray, and IrOx. (**c**) BET surface areas (**d**) LSV curves normalized to the BET surface areas of the Ni*y*M(OH)*x* HNAs. (e) Tafel plots for the $Ni_yM(OH)_x$ HNAs and IrO_x. (f) Chronoamperometric measurements for the $Ni_yM(OH)_x$ HNAs.

OER catalysis. Meanwhile, the Ni₂, Zn(OH)_x HNAs exhibits a more positive onset η of 276 mV, and required a high η of 410mV to achieve a current density of 100mAcm[−]² . The intrinsic activity of the Ni2.1Zn(OH)*x* HNAs $(25.8 \text{ mA cm}^{-2})$ was similar to that of the Ni $(OH)_2$ HNAs. These results suggest that unfavorable interactions occurred between Ni and Zn. The excellent OER activities of the catalysts were attributed to their increased surface areas and specific activities (active sites per unit area).

The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area measurements were performed to confirm the mesoporous nature of the Ni_yM(OH)_x HNAs. Nitrogen adsorption-desorption curves revealed a Type IV isotherm (Fig. S8). Additionally, a H3-type hysteresis loop was observed, providing further evidence of nanosheet aggregation³⁹. As shown in [Fig. 5c](#page-4-0), the Ni $(OH)_2$ HNAs (73.2 cm² g⁻¹) had a smaller BET surface area than the Ni_{2.1}Zn(OH)_x (105.6 cm² g⁻¹) and Ni_{2.2}Fe(OH)_x (155.6 cm² g⁻¹) HNAs. These results confirmed observations of increased surface areas in the SEM [\(Fig. 2](#page-2-0)) and TEM ([Figs 3](#page-2-1) and S2) images. Specific activity (current per BET area) is a measure of the density of active sites on the surface of a catalyst. [Figure 5d](#page-4-0) shows LSV curves after normalizing the measured currents to the catalysts' BET surface areas. The specific activity of the Ni_{2.2}Fe(OH)_x HNAs was 1.15 mA cm⁻²_{BET} at η = 350 mV, which was 4- and 8-times higher than those of the Ni(OH)₂ HNAs (0.22 mA $\rm cm^{-2}$ _{BET}) and Ni_{2.1}Zn(OH)_x HNAs (0.13 mA cm⁻²_{BET}), respectively. These results indicated that adding Fe indeed resulted in more active sites, while doping with Zn decreased the number of active sites.

Table 1. OER catalytic performances of the Ni_{*y*}M(OH)_{*x*} HNAs and IrO_{*x*} in 1 M KOH.

Kinetic analyses were performed using LSV to generate Tafel plots and electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS). As shown in [Fig. 5e,](#page-4-0) the resulting Tafel slope of the Ni2.2Fe(OH)*x* HNAs was 64.3 mV dec[−]¹ , which was much lower than that of the Ni(OH)₂ HNAs (123.4 mV dec^{−1}), Ni_{2.1}Zn(OH)_x HNAs (107.2 mV dec^{−1}), and IrO_x (113.3 mV dec[−]¹). Tafel slopes were used to probe the OER mechanisms of the catalysts. Efficient electron and mass transport result in lower Tafel slopes. The EIS was performed in oxygen-saturated 1.0M KOH (Fig. S6). ZSimpWin 3.5 (Zolartron Analytical) was used to fit the resistance values, as shown in Table S2. As shown in the inset to Fig. S6, all of the Ni*y*M(OH)*x* HNAs electrodes were fitted using the same equivalent circuit, which contained three components: solution resistance (R_s) , charge-transfer resistance $(R_{\rm ct})$, and constant-phase resistance (R_{cp}). The Ni_{2.2}Fe(OH)_x HNAs had an R_{ct} of 1.7 Ω , which was much lower than that of the Ni_{2.1}Zn(OH)_x (21.4) Ω) and Ni(OH)₂ (23.8 Ω) HNAs. These results indicated that OER kinetics were enhanced for the Ni₂₂Fe(OH)_x HNAs electrode. These EIS measurements were consistent with the findings from LSV.

Turnover frequency (TOF) is an intrinsic property of a catalyst and an important indicator of catalyst performance. The TOF of the $\text{Ni}_{2.2}\text{Fe(OH)}$ _x HNAs was much higher than that of $\text{Ni}_{2.1}\text{Zn(OH)}$ _x and Ni(OH)₂ HNAs (Fig. S7). Moreover, at $\eta = 350$ mV, the TOF of the Ni_{2.2}Fe(OH)_x HNAs was at least 15-, 21-, and 3-times as those of the Ni(OH)₂ HNAs (0.011 s⁻¹), the Ni_{2.1}Zn(OH)_x HNAs (0.008 s⁻¹), and IrO_x (0.05 s⁻¹), respectively. These TOF values further verified the superior catalytic performance of the Ni₂, Fe(OH)_x HNAs for the OER. This improved performance resulted from strong interactions between Ni and Fe and the presence of more exposed catalytically active sites.

Long-term stability is also important for catalysts that are to be used for practical applications. As shown in [Fig. 5f](#page-4-0), all of the Ni*y*M(OH)*x* HNAs possessed excellent stabilities with nearly no decrease in *j* after over 20 h of operation in O_2 -saturated 1 M KOH. This remarkable operational stability was ascribed to the efficiency of the current collector, the material's excellent intrinsic stability, the robustness of the electrode and a low coverage of gas bubbles on the Ni_yM(OH)_x HNAs⁴⁰. The higher *j* values achieved by the Ni_{2.2}Fe(OH)_x HNAs was attributed to the presence of hierarchical nanostructures and strong interactions between Ni and Fe. The superior long-term stability of the Ni2.2Fe(OH)*x* HNAs suggests its potential use as a new electrode in oxygen-evolution devices. The electrochemical properties of Ni*y*M(OH)*x* and IrO*x* are summarized in [Table 1,](#page-5-0) and especially the overall performance of Ni_{2.2}Fe(OH)_x surpasses most reported typical Co-based electrocatalysts for water oxidation under alkaline solution (Table S3).

To better understand the catalytic activities that resulted from doping with Fe and Zn, the binding energies of oxygen on the Ni*y*M(OH)*x* catalysts were investigated using DFT calculations. The binding energy of oxygen serves as a reliable measure of the activity of a catalyst toward the OER. Smaller values of binding energy of oxy $gen(E_o)$ at a reaction site correspond to higher activities for the OER^{41[,42](#page-7-26)}. Hydroxide clusters were constructed based on a model of Ni₂M(OH)₆. The oxygen binding energies of the hydroxide clusters increased in the order of $\text{Ni}_2\text{Zn(OH)}_6>\text{Ni}_3\text{(OH)}_6>\text{Ni}_2\text{Fe(OH)}_6$ [\(Fig. 6b](#page-6-0)). The reactivities of the amorphous hydroxides followed the order of $\text{Ni}_2\text{Fe(OH)}_6>\text{Ni}_3\text{(OH)}_6>\text{Ni}_2\text{Zn(OH)}_6$, which was in good agreement with the experimental results.

Conclusion

Nanostructures were generated to regulate the OER activities of the Ni_yM(OH)_x HNAs using various non-noble transition metals as dopants. According to both experimental and DFT-based theoretical analyses, Fe and Zn had opposite effects on the catalyst's activity. Fe was an effective dopant, while Zn decreased the OER activity of the catalyst. Hierarchical nanostructures allowed efficient charge transfer and a sufficient surface area for active sites. A 3D porous Cu foam not only provided a large surface area and stable anchoring sites for nanoarrays but also acted as an efficient electron collector. Because of the hierarchical nanostructures, the appropriate elemental composition of the catalyst, and the presence of a multifunctional 3D conductive substrate, the $Ni₂$, $Fe(OH)_x$ HNAs exhibited an enhanced OER activity. The Ni2.2Fe(OH)*x* HNAs had a low onset η of 234mV and a small Tafel slope of 64.3 mV dec[−]¹ . They also exhibited an excellent long-term stability for over 20 h in an alkaline electrolyte. The $\text{Ni}_{2.2}$ Fe(OH)_x HNAs also had a superior activity compared to that of a commercial IrO_x catalyst, and these Ni_{2.2}Fe(OH)_x HNAs were prepared using an extremely simple method. Their activities were comparable to those of other NiFe hydroxides obtained through more labor-intensive procedures. This study provides significant new guidelines for and a broader understanding of the use of dopants to improve catalytic activity.

Methods

Materials synthesis. Nickel(II) dichloride (NiCl₂·6H₂O, AR), iron (II) dichloride (FeCl₂·4H₂O, AR), zinc dichloride (ZnCl2, AR), potassium hydroxide (KOH, AR), sodium hyposulfite (Na2S2O3∙5H2O, AR), oxalic acid $(H_2C_2O_4, AR)$, and ethanol (CH₃CH₂OH, AR) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. All chemicals were used as received without further purification. Mill-Q water (resistivity > 18 M Ω ·cm) was used throughout.

Figure 6. (a) Optimal hydroxide models and adsorption models. Atoms in the clusters: blue = Ni; green = Zn; gray = Fe; red = O; white = H. (**b**) E_0 on the different hydroxide clusters.

Cu foam (100 pores per inch, 98% porosity, and ~1.5 mm thick) was cut into squares (2.0 cm \times 2.0 cm), and cleaned in Mill-Q water and ethanol before use. The Cu foam was then anodized in $0.4 M H_2C_2O_4$ for 20 min at 36 V, using a graphite plate cathode. Electro-oxidation was performed with a potentiostat (CHI760D, CH Instruments) in a three-electrode configuration consisting of an anodized Cu foam working electrode, a Pt gauze counter electrode, and an Ag/AgCl reference electrode⁴³. Cyclic voltammetry was performed in the potential range from −0.3 V to 0.1 V at a scan rate of 1 mV s⁻¹ in 1 M KOH for the *in situ* growth of Cu₂O nanowire arrays on the Cu foam^{43,44}.

 $Ni_vM(OH)_v$ HNAs were fabricated using the Cu₂O nanowire arrays as sacrificial templates³³. Briefly, known amounts of NiCl₂·6 H₂O and MCl₂·nH₂O (M = Fe or Zn) were dissolved in a mixture containing 17.5 mL Mill-Q water and 17.5 mL ethanol. A 2:1 molar ratio of NiCl₂·6H₂O and MCl₂·nH₂O was used to prepare the Ni_yM(OH)_x HNAs. The total moles of NiCl₂·6H₂O and MCl₂·nH₂O used was 8 × 10^{−5}. A Cu foam decorated with Cu₂O nanowire arrays was immersed in this suspension, which was then stirred at room temperature. Na₂S₂O₃ (1 M, 10 mL) was added dropwise to this mixture under magnetic stirring for 1 h. The substrate was then removed and washed repeatedly in ethanol and Mill-Q water before being dried at 60 °C in a vacuum oven for 4 h.

Structural characterization. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was performed with a ZEISS MERLIN scanning electron microscope. Microstructural investigations were performed with a JEOL JEM-2100 and Tecnai G2 Spirit TWIN. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded using a Rigaku Ultima IV. The valence states of elements were measured with X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, PHI 5000 VersaProbe). All of the spectra were normalized to the C 1 s binding energy at 284.8 eV. Ni/M atomic ratios were measured with a VISTA-MPX ICP-OES. BET measurements were performed on a Quadrasorb SI analyzer at 77K.

Electrochemical Measurements. Electrochemical measurements were performed in O₂-saturated 1 M KOH with an electrochemical analyzer (CHI760D, CH Instruments), using a three-electrode configuration with an Hg/HgO (1M KOH) reference electrode that contained a double salt bridge and a platinum mesh counter electrode. The Ni*y*Fe*1-y*(OH)*x* HNAs (0.5 cm× 0.5 cm) on Cu foams were used as working electrodes. All polarization measurements were performed at a scan rate of 5 mVs⁻¹. Potentials are reported in terms of the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE), using: *E* (RHE)=*E* (Hg/HgO)+0.098V+0.0591V×pH. All CV measurements were compensated for *iR* drop by 75%. Stability was measured using the controlled potential electrolysis method. The EIS was performed with a Princeton PMC 1000 electrochemical workstation in the frequency range of 10^{-2} Hz−104Hz at an amplitude of 5mV. All electrochemical tests were performed at 25 °C.

Turnover frequency (TOF) was calculated as: $TOF = (j \times a)/(4 \times n \times F)$, where *j* is the current density at a given potential, *a* is the surface area of the electrode (0.25 cm² for the Cu foam electrode), 4 is the number of electrons transferred in the OER, *n* is the number of moles of all metal ions available for the OER (including Ni and M), and *F* is Faraday's constant (96485 C mol⁻¹).

DFT Calculations. Since the $\text{Ni}_v\text{M(OH)}_x$ HNAs were predominantly amorphous (the Ni(OH)₂ HNAs had a hexagonal Ni(OH)₂ phase and the Ni₂M(OH)_x HNAs had an amorphous phase), cluster rather than slab model was chosen for the DFT simulation. Hydroxide clusters were first built based on the model of $\rm{Ni_2M(OH)_6^{45}}$ $\rm{Ni_2M(OH)_6^{45}}$ $\rm{Ni_2M(OH)_6^{45}}$, as shown in [Fig. 6a](#page-6-0). All reported DFT calculations with the Hubbard U ($DFT + U$) calculations were performed at the Perdew-Burke-Ernzenhof/Generalized Gradient Approximation (PBE/GGA)^{[46](#page-8-3)} level using the spin-dependent formulation of the hybrid Gaussian and the plane waves method. The calculations were implemented with the open-source CP2K/QUICKSTEP[47,](#page-8-4)[48](#page-8-5) code. For a better description of the Ni and Fe 3d electrons, the Hubbard effective terms $U_{eff}(Ni)$ = 5.96 eV and $U_{eff}(Fe)$ = 5.3 eV were added to the PBE functional^{49[,50](#page-8-7)}. Electrons in the outer most shells of the atoms were treated as being in their valence states. The Kohn-Sham orbitals of the valence electrons were expanded in molecularly optimized Gaussian basis sets of double-ζ plus polarization quality (MOLOPT-SR-DZVP)[51](#page-8-8). Ionic cores were represented by norm-conserving Goedecker-Teter-Hutter[52–54](#page-8-9) pseudopotentials. The auxiliary plane wave basis set was truncated with a 500Ry kinetic energy cut off.

References

- 1. Zhang, J., Zhao, Z., Xia, Z. & Dai, L. A metal-free bifunctional electrocatalyst for oxygen reduction and oxygen evolution reactions. *Nat. Nanotechnol*. **10,** 444–452 (2015).
- 2. Kim, G., Oh, M. & Park, Y. Solar-rechargeable battery based on photoelectrochemical water oxidation: Solar water battery. *Sci. Rep*. **6,** 33400 (2016).
- 3. Wang, W., Zhao, Y. & Ding, Y. 2D ultrathin core-shell Pd@Ptmonolayer nanosheets: defect-mediated thin film growth and enhanced oxygen reduction performance. *Nanoscale* **7,** 11934–11939 (2015).
- 4. Lewis, N. S. & Nocera, D. G. Powering the planet: chemical challenges in solar energy utilization. *Proc. Nat.l Acad. Sci. USA* **103,** 15729–15735 (2006).
- 5. Kraytsberg, A. & Ein-Eli, Y. Higher, stronger, better a review of 5 volt cathode materials for advanced lithium-ion batteries. *Adv. Energy Mater*. **2,** 922–939 (2012).
- 6. Meyer, T. J. The art of splitting water. *Nature* **451,** 778–779 (2008).
- 7. Ahn, H. S. & Bard, A. J. Surface Interrogation Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy of $Ni_{(1-x)}Fe(x)OOH$ ($0 < x < 0.27$) Oxygen Evolving Catalyst: Kinetics of the "fast" Iron Sites. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **138,** 313–318 (2016).
- 8. Lettenmeier, P. et al. Nanosized IrO_x-Ir catalyst with relevant activity for anodes of proton exchange membrane electrolysis produced by a cost-effective procedure. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **55,** 742–746 (2016).
- 9. Paoli, E. A. et al. Oxygen evolution on well-characterized mass-selected Ru and RuO₂ nanoparticles. *Chem. Sci.* 6, 190-196 (2015).
- 10. Reier, T., Oezaslan, M. & Strasser, P. Electrocatalytic oxygen evolution reaction (OER) on Ru, Ir, and Pt catalysts: a comparative study of nanoparticles and bulk materials. *ACS Catal*. **2,** 1765–1772 (2012).
- 11. Cherevko, S. *et al.* Dissolution of noble metals during oxygen evolution in acidic media. *ChemCatChem* **6,** 2219–2223 (2014). 12. Reier, T. *et al.* Molecular insight in structure and activity of highly efficient, low-Ir Ir-Ni oxide catalysts for electrochemical water

splitting (OER). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **137,** 13031–13040 (2015).

- 13. Smith, R. D., Prevot, M. S., Fagan, R. D., Trudel, S. & Berlinguette, C. P. Water oxidation catalysis: electrocatalytic response to metal stoichiometry in amorphous metal oxide films containing iron, cobalt, and nickel. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135,** 11580–11586 (2013).
- 14. Lu, X. & Zhao, C. Electrodeposition of hierarchically structured three-dimensional nickel-iron electrodes for efficient oxygen evolution at high current densities. *Nat. Commun.* **6,** 6616 (2015).
- 15. Shen, M. *et al.* Atomic scale analysis of the enhanced electro- and photo-catalytic activity in high-index faceted porous NiO nanowires. *Sci. Rep*. **5,** 8557 (2015).
- 16. Sun, T. *et al.* Ordered mesoporous nickel sphere arrays for highly efficient electrocatalytic water oxidation. *ACS Catal*. **6,** 1446–1450 (2016).
- 17. Vineesh, T. V. *et al.* Controllably alloyed, low density, free-standing Ni-Co and Ni-graphene sponges for electrocatalytic water splitting. *Sci. Rep*. **6,** 31202 (2016).
- 18. Menezes, P. W. *et al.* Using nickel manganese oxide catalysts for efficient water oxidation. *Chem. Commun.* **51,** 5005–5008 (2015).
- 19. Wang, S., Nai, J., Yang, S. & Guo, L. Synthesis of amorphous Ni−Zn double hydroxide nanocages with excellent electrocatalytic activity toward oxygen evolution reaction. *ChemNanoMat* **1,** 324–330 (2015).
- 20. Klaus, S., Cai, Y., Louie, M. W., Trotochaud, L. & Bell, A. T. Effects of Fe electrolyte impurities on Ni(OH)₂/NiOOH structure and oxygen evolution activity. *J. Phy. Chem. C* **119,** 7243–7254 (2015).
- 21. Li, Y.-F. & Selloni, A. Mechanism and activity of water oxidation on selected surfaces of pure and Fe-doped NiOx. *ACS Catal*. **4,** 1148–1153 (2014).
- 22. Liang, H. *et al.* Hydrothermal continuous flow synthesis and exfoliation of NiCo layered double hydroxide nanosheets for enhanced oxygen evolution catalysis. *Nano Lett.* **15,** 1421–1427 (2015).
- 23. Jang, D. M. *et al.* Transition-metal doping of oxide nanocrystals for enhanced catalytic oxygen evolution. *J. Phy. Chem. C* **119,** 1921–1927 (2015).
- 24. Burke, M. S. *et al.* Revised oxygen-evolution-reaction activity trends for first-row transition metal (oxy)hydroxides in alkaline media. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **6,** 3737–3742 (2015).
- 25. McCrory, C. C., Jung, S., Peters, J. C. & Jaramillo, T. F. Benchmarking heterogeneous electrocatalysts for the oxygen evolution reaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135,** 16977–16987 (2013).
- 26. Huang, J. *et al.* CoOOH nanosheets with high mass activity for water oxidation. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **54,** 8722–8727 (2015).
- 27. Tang, C., Cheng, N., Pu, Z., Xing, W. & Sun, X. NiSe nanowire film supported on nickel foam: an efficient and stable 3D bifunctional electrode for full water splitting. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **54,** 9351–9355 (2015).
- 28. Ma, T., Dai, S., Jaroniec, M. & Qiao, S. Metal-organic framework derived hybrid Co₃O₄-carbon porous nanowire arrays as reversible oxygen evolution electrodes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **136,** 13925–13931 (2014).
- 29. Wang, H.-Y., Xiao, F.-X., Yu, L., Liu, B. & Lou, X. W. Hierarchical α-MnO₂ nanowires@Ni_{1-x}Mn_xO_y nanoflakes core-shell nanostructures for supercapacitors. *Small* **10,** 3181–3186 (2014).
- 30. Feng, J. X. *et al.* FeOOH/Co/FeOOH hybrid nanotube arrays as high-performance electrocatalysts for the oxygen evolution reaction. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **55,** 3694–3698 (2016).
- 31. Chen, P. *et al.* Metallic Co4N porous nanowire arrays activated by surface oxidation as electrocatalysts for the oxygen evolution reaction. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **54,** 14710–14714 (2015).
- 32. Li, S. *et al.* Co-Ni-based nanotubes/nanosheets as efficient water splitting electrocatalysts. *Adv. Energy Mater*. **6,** 1501661 (2016).
- 33. Nai, J., Tian, Y., Guan, X. & Guo, L. Pearson's principle inspired generalized strategy for the fabrication of metal hydroxide and oxide nanocages. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135,** 16082–16091 (2013).
- 34. He, K. *et al. In situ* decomposition of metal-organic frameworks into ultrathin nanosheets for the oxygen evolution reaction. *Nano Res*. **9,** 1856–1865 (2016).
- 35. Ma, R., Liang, J., Takada, K. & Sasaki, T. Topochemical synthesis of Co−Fe layered double hydroxides at varied Fe/Co ratios unique intercalation of triiodide and its profound effect. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **133,** 613–620 (2011).
- 36. McIntyre, N. S. & Zetaruk, D. G. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopic studies of iron oxides. *Anal. Chem.* **49,** 1521–1529 (1977).
- 37. Long, X. *et al.* Metallic iron-nickel sulfide ultrathin nanosheets as a highly active electrocatalyst for hydrogen evolution reaction in acidic media. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **137,** 11900–11903 (2015).
- 38. Enman, L. J., Burke, M. S., Batchellor, A. S. & Boettcher, S. W. Effects of intentionally incorporated metal cations on the oxygen evolution electrocatalytic activity of nickel (oxy)hydroxide in alkaline media. *ACS Catal*. **6,** 2416–2423 (2016).
- 39. Sing, K. S. W. & Williams, R. T. Physisorption Hysteresis Loops and the Characterization of Nanoporous Materials. *Adsorpt. Sci. Techno*. **22,** 773–782 (2004).
- 40. Lu, Z. *et al.* Superaerophobic electrodes for direct hydrazine fuel cells. *Adv. Mater.* **27,** 2361–2366 (2015).
- 41. Diaz-Morales, O., Ledezma-Yanez, I., Koper, M. T. M. & Calle-Vallejo, F. Guidelines for the rational design of Ni-based double hydroxide electrocatalysts for the oxygen evolution reaction. *ACS Catal*. **5,** 5380–5387 (2015).
- 42. Zhang, B. *et al.* Homogeneously dispersed multimetal oxygen-evolving catalysts. *Science* **352,** 333–337 (2016).
- 43. Dong, C. *et al.* 3D binder-free Cu2O@Cu nanoneedle arrays for high-performance asymmetric supercapacitors. *J. Mater. Chem. A*. **2,** 18229–18235 (2014).
- 44. Burke, M. S., Kast, M. G., Trotochaud, L. & Smith, A. M. & Boettcher, S. W. Cobalt-iron (oxy)hydroxide oxygen evolution electrocatalysts: the role of structure and composition on activity, stability, and mechanism. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **137,** 3638–3648 (2015).
- 45. Sato, H. *et al.* Templating effects on the mineralization of layered inorganic compounds: (1) density functional calculations of the formation of single-layered magnesium hydroxide as a brucite model. *Langmuir* **19,** 7120–7126 (2003).
- 46. Perdew, J. P., Burke, K. & Ernzerhof, M. Generalized gradient approximation made simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77** (1996).
- 47. VandeVondele, J. *et al.* Quickstep: fast and accurate density functional calculations using a mixed Gaussian and plane waves approach. *Comput. Phys. Commu*. **167,** 103–128 (2005).
- 48. Hutter, J., Iannuzzi, M., Schiffmann, F. & VandeVondele, J. CP2K: atomistic simulations of condensed matter systems. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci*. **4,** 15–25 (2014).
- 49. Jain, A. *et al.* Formation enthalpies by mixing GGA and GGA+U calculations. *Phys. Rev. B* **84** (2011).
- 50. Wang, L., Maxisch, T. & Ceder, G. Oxidation energies of transition metal oxides within the GGA+ U framework. *Phys. Rev. B* **73** (2006)
- 51. VandeVondele, J. H. & H., J. Gaussian basis sets for accurate calculations on molecular systems in gas and condensed phases. *J. Chem. Phys.* **127,** 114105**–**114109. (2007).
- 52. Goedecker, S., Teter, M. & Hutter, J. Separable Dual Space Gaussian Pseudo-potentials Gaussian Pseudopotentials. *Phys. Rev. B* **54** 1703–1710 (1996).
- 53. Hartwigsen, C., Goedecker, S. & Hutter, J. Relativistic separable dual-space Gaussian pseudopotentials from H to Rn. *Phys. Rev. B* **58,** 3641–3662 (1998).
- 54. Krack, M. Pseudopotentials for H to Kr optimized for gradient-corrected exchange-correlation functionals. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **114,** 145–152 (2005).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21402136, 21603161), Natural Science Foundation of Tianjin City (16JCYBJC17000), and the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (20133201120004). Y.Z. acknowledges support from the "Talent Program" of Tianjin University of Technology and "Youth Thousand Talents Program" of Tianjin City. The authors acknowledge useful discussions with Prof. Yi Ding.

Author Contributions

Y.Z., Z.C., and T.Z. designed and conceived the experiments. T.Z. performed experiments and organized the data. T.Z., J.H., and Y.Z. wrote the manuscript. H.M., G.Z., H.W., and Y.L. were involved in discussion. J.H. and P.Z. performed DFT calculations. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/srep>

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Zhou, T. *et al.* Transition metal ions regulated oxygen evolution reaction performance of Ni-based hydroxides hierarchical nanoarrays. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 46154; doi: 10.1038/srep46154 (2017).

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images \odot $\mathsf I$ or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

© The Author(s) 2017