

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *J Clin Periodontol.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 February 01.

Published in final edited form as:

J Clin Periodontol. 2017 February ; 44(2): 142–149. doi:10.1111/jcpe.12662.

Cross-sectional associations of impaired glucose metabolism measures with bleeding on probing and periodontitis

Cynthia M. Pérez, PhD¹, Francisco Muñoz, MPH², Oelisoa M. Andriankaja, PhD², Christine S. Ritchie, MD, MSPH, FACP, FAAHPM³, Sasha Martínez, PhD², José Vergara, BS², José Vivaldi, DDM, MPH⁴, Lydia López, DDM, MPH⁴, Maribel Campos, MD, MS, MBA², and Kaumudi J. Joshipura, BDS, ScD^{2,5}

¹Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Graduate School of Public Health, Medical Sciences Campus, University of Puerto Rico

²Center for Clinical Research and Health Promotion, School of Dental Medicine, Medical Sciences Campus, University of Puerto Rico

³San Francisco Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Center for Research on Aging at the Jewish Home of San Francisco, San Francisco, California

⁴School of Dental Medicine, Medical Sciences Campus, University of Puerto Rico

⁵Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Harvard University, Massachusetts

Abstract

Aim—This study assessed the associations of prediabetes and insulin resistance with bleeding on probing (BOP) and periodontitis among adults.

Materials and methods—We included 1,191 Hispanic adults aged 40-65 years, free of diabetes, enrolled in the San Juan Overweight Adults Longitudinal Study. Pre-diabetes was defined as impaired fasting glucose (IFG), impaired glucose tolerance (IGT), or impaired glycated hemoglobin. Impaired one-hour plasma glucose (1hPG) was defined as levels>155 mg/dL. Insulin resistance was defined using the study population-specific 75th percentile (HOMA-IR 3.13). High BOP was defined as percentage of teeth with bleeding 30%. Periodontitis was defined according to the CDC/AAP definition.

Results—After multivariable adjustment for age, gender, education, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, obesity, HDL-C, and plaque index, prediabetes with and without 1hPG, IFG, impaired 1hPG, IGT, and HOMA-IR were significantly associated with high BOP; prediabetes, IFG, and impaired 1hPG were significantly associated with severe periodontitis. Most of these associations remained significant when the analyses were restricted to non-smokers.

Conclusions—This study suggests associations between prediabetes and insulin resistance with BOP and periodontitis. Given the high prevalence of impaired glucose metabolism and

Corresponding address: Cynthia M. Pérez, PhD. Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Graduate School of Public Health, Medical Sciences Campus, University of Puerto Rico, PO Box 365067, San Juan, Puerto Rico 00936-5067. cynthia.perez1@upr.edu. **Conflict of interest**: The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest in this study.

periodontitis, the assessment of the temporal sequence of these associations are of utmost importance.

Keywords

Periodontitis; bleeding on probing; impaired fasting glucose; impaired glucose tolerance; impaired glycated hemoglobin; prediabetes; insulin resistance

Introduction

Systematic reviews of epidemiologic studies suggest a bidirectional association between type 2 diabetes and periodontitis (Borgnakke et al., 2013; Taylor et al., 2013). Very few prospective cohort studies have shown positive associations of baseline periodontitis with incident type 2 diabetes (Miyawaki et al., 2016; Chiu et al., 2015; Demmer et al., 2008). However, two recent reviews of clinical trials assessing the effect of periodontitis treatment on HbA1c levels among subjects with type 2 diabetes showed very modest reductions (Li et al., 2015; Simpson et al., 2015). Conversely, type 2 diabetes has also been associated longitudinally with an elevated risk of periodontitis (Chiu et al., 2015; Jimenez et al., 2012) and tooth loss (Jimenez et al., 2012). Relative to diabetes-free participants, both uncontrolled type 1 and type 2 diabetes have been associated with clinical attachment loss progression and tooth loss, whereas only uncontrolled type 2 diabetes was associated with pocket depth changes (Demmer et al., 2012).

Few cross-sectional studies (Arora et al., 2014; Choi et al., 2011; Hong et al., 2016; Islam et al., 2015; Song et al., 2016) and only three longitudinal studies (Chiu et al., 2015; Demmer et al., 2010; Saito et al., 2004) have found significant associations between prediabetes states and periodontitis. However, other cross-sectional studies have found no association between prediabetes states and periodontitis (Arora et al., 2014; Demmer et al., 2015; Kowall et al., 2015; Noack et al., 2000; Saito et al., 2005). Thus, there is insufficient evidence regarding the associations of pre-clinical stages of type 2 diabetes with periodontitis.

Furthermore, little work has been done in examining the associations of HbA1c and insulin resistance. Demmer et al. (2010) found that periodontitis was associated with five-year glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) progression among diabetes-free participants. A pilot case-control study found that mean HbA1c was significantly higher in periodontitis cases than in healthy controls (Wolff et al., 2009). The insulin resistance-periodontitis association has been investigated in six cross-sectional studies (Song et al., 2016; Demmer et al., 2012; Islam et al., 2015; Benguigui et al., 2010; Lim et al., 2014; Timonen et al., 2011) and one longitudinal study (Timonen et al., 2013) and have produced mixed results. The one-hour post-load plasma glucose (1hPG) concentration during the oral glucose tolerance test has been shown to correlate strongly with predictors of diabetes and abnormal glucose homeostasis (Abdul-Ghani et al., 2008; Joshipura et al., 2011). To the best of our knowledge, the association of 1hPG with periodontitis has not been examined thus far.

Studies examining the associations of prediabetes states and insulin resistance with bleeding on probing (BOP), an indicator of periodontal inflammation, have also yielded mixed results. Two of these studies have been cross-sectional (Andriankaja & Joshipura, 2014;

Noack et al., 2000), one used a case-control study design (Javed et al., 2012), and one performed a cross-sectional analysis within a longitudinal study (Cherry-Peppers & Ship, 1993), all of which have been limited by small sample sizes.

The present study assessed the cross-sectional associations between several glucose metabolism measures (fasting plasma glucose (FPG), 1hPG, two-hour post-load glucose (2hPG) concentration, HbA1c, and insulin resistance) with BOP and periodontitis among diabetes-free individuals of Hispanic origin enrolled in the San Juan Overweight Adults Longitudinal Study (SOALS). We hypothesized that impaired glucose metabolism measures would be associated with higher odds of BOP and periodontitis.

Materials and Methods

Study sample

The SOALS was initiated in 2011 to evaluate the bi-directional, longitudinal association between periodontitis and glucose abnormalities over a three-year period. Detailed descriptions of the study have been published elsewhere (Andriankaja et al., 2015, Rivera et al., 2016). Briefly, we recruited individuals aged 40-65 years who were overweight or obese (body mass index (BMI) 25 kg/m²) and free of clinically diagnosed diabetes from the San Juan municipality area. People were excluded if they had less than four natural teeth, a history of conditions that increase the risk of systemic complications during a periodontal exam, or inability to complete study procedures. Participants were also excluded if they met any of the American Diabetes Association criteria for diabetes (2011).

Of 2,626 adults screened to determine eligibility, 695 were excluded based on the criteria described above. Once the screening process concluded, 1,931 adults were deemed eligible, 1,610 agreed to be scheduled for the baseline visit, and 1,451 adults attended the visit. During the baseline visit, additional eligibility criteria were further assessed and an additional 245 participants were excluded. Of the remaining 1,206 participants, 15 were excluded due to missing data on key variables for analyses; thus, the final sample size comprised 1,191 diabetes-free participants. The University of Puerto Rico Institutional Review Board approved the study, and all participants gave written consent prior to completing the study procedures. For the current study, a completed STROBE checklist is provided as a supplementary file (see Additional File 1).

Assessment of glucose measures

All participants were asked to fast for 10 hours prior to the appointment and through the two-hour blood drawing. FPG and insulin levels were determined at baseline and 30, 60, and 120 minutes after administration of a 75-g glucose load, using an enzymatic colorimetric assay. Plasma insulin concentrations were analyzed using an immunochemiluminometric assay, and HOMA-IR was calculated as [FPG (mg/dL) × fasting insulin (μ g/dL)]/405]. HbA1c was measured with an assay based on a latex immunoagglutination inhibition method (DCA 2000+ Analyzer, Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Tarrytown, NY, USA). Participants were classified as having IFG (FPG 100-125 mg/dl), IGT (2hPG 140-199 mg/dl), impaired glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c 5.7%-6.4%), or normal glucose tolerance (FPG

<100 mg/dl, 2hPG <140 mg/dl, and HbA1c <5.7%) following the American Diabetes Association criteria (2011). Pre-diabetes was defined as having at least one of these diagnostic criteria. A modified definition of prediabetes based on the American Diabetes Association glucose thresholds for prediabetes and/or 1hPG concentration >155 mg/dl (Abdul-Ghani et al., 2008) was also assessed. Since there is no consensus on a cut point to define HOMA-IR, the study population-specific 75th percentile was used (HOMA-IR 3.13).

Ascertainment of periodontitis—Periodontitis was assessed by clinical measurements of probing pocket depth (PPD) and clinical attachment loss (CAL) at six sites (disto-buccal, mid-buccal, mesio-buccal, disto-lingual, mid-lingual, and mesio-lingual buccal) for all teeth excluding the third molars. All measurements were taken with a periodontal probe (Hu-Friedy, Chicago, IL, product number PCP2) and rounded off upwards to the nearest millimeter. Periodontitis was defined according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/American Academy of Periodontology (CDC/AAP) (Eke et al., 2015). Severe periodontitis was defined as having at least two interproximal sites with CAL 6 mm (not on the same tooth) and at least one interproximal site with PPD 5 mm. Moderate periodontitis was defined as having at least two interproximal sites with CAL 4 mm (not on the same tooth) or at least two interproximal sites with PPD 5 mm (not on the same tooth). Mild periodontitis was defined as having at least two interproximal sites with CAL 3 mm and at least two interproximal sites with PPD 4 mm (not on the same tooth) or one site with PPD 5 mm. Full mouth clinical exams were conducted by three examiners who had been previously calibrated by the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) reference examiner (Dr. Bruce Dye).

During the measurement of PPD, a periodontal probe was inserted to the base of the sulcus or pocket with a maximum force of 20 g. BOP was present if the probed site bled about 20 seconds after probing the lingual and buccal surfaces of each tooth. BOP was classified as high if 30% or more teeth showed bleeding on probing, and as low otherwise (Lang et al., 1986). The Silness and Loe Plaque Index, a measure of oral hygiene status, was determined by visual assessment of presence of bacterial plaque after passing a periodontal probe around the tooth surface of six pre-selected Ramfjörd teeth. Plaque index was coded as 0 if no plaque present, 1 if dental plaque was present after passing the periodontal probe around the tooth, 2 if plaque was visible along the gingival margin, and 3 if the tooth surface was covered with abundant plaque.

Ascertainment of covariates

Sociodemographic characteristics, health behaviors, and general health were collected by inperson interviews and physical and laboratory assessments. Covariates included age, gender, education (less than high school, high school or more), annual income (<\$20,000, \$20,000), smoking status (never, past, current), number of cigarettes per week, alcohol consumption (grams per week), and frequency and type of physical activity converted to metabolic equivalent of task (MET) in hours per week. Fruit and vegetable consumption was assessed as the number of servings per week. Waist circumference (WC) was measured at the umbilical level and recorded to the nearest 0.1 cm. High-density lipoprotein cholesterol

(HDL-C) was determined using a commercially available enzymatic assay (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN). High-sensitivity C reactive protein (hs-CRP) levels were considered high if they exceeded 3 mg/L (Ridker and Morrow, 2003). The number of dental visits in the past year (none, at least one) and tooth brushing and dental flossing frequency (at least twice a day, once a day or less) were recorded.

Statistical analysis

Differences in baseline characteristics of participants across BOP status were assessed using Student's t test or Mann Whitney's test for continuous variables and Pearson's chi square test for categorical variables. Similarly, these characteristics were compared across periodontitis status using ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous variables and Pearson's chi square test for categorical variables.

Binary logistic regression models were fit to assess the relationship of each glucose metabolism measure with BOP as outcome. Multinomial logistic regression was used to assess the associations of each glucose metabolism measure with the CDC/AAP definition of periodontitis as outcome, using adults with no or mild periodontitis as the reference group. Odds ratios (OR), their 95% confidence intervals (CI), and p values derived from the Wald chi-square test were reported.

Potential confounders were selected a priori based on their hypothesized associations with impaired glucose metabolism measures, BOP, and periodontitis status. The first multivariable model adjusted for age and the second model additionally adjusted for sex, smoking status, education, grams of alcohol consumption, WC, HDL-C, MET, and plaque index. We also assessed whether hs-CRP might mediate the associations of interest. In exploratory analyses, we stratified the logistic regression models by smoking status to evaluate whether associations of impaired glucose metabolism measures with BOP and periodontitis status differed across smoking status categories.

Several diagnostics were performed in all multivariable logistic models (Hosmer et al., 2013). The test of nonlinearity supported treating age, grams of alcohol, WC, MET, HDL-C, and plaque index as linear in the logit of all models (all p values>0.05). Multicollinearity diagnostic statistics showed that most variables (except annual income) had tolerance values greater than 0.1 and variance inflation factors less than 10. Finally, all the logistic regression models showed no evidence of lack of fit according to the Hosmer-Lemeshow statistic (all p values>0.05). Statistical analyses were performed using Stata (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas, USA) for Windows version 14.

Results

Of 1,191 participants, 450 were classified as having high BOP, 407 had no or mild periodontitis, 502 had moderate periodontitis, and 282 had severe periodontitis (Table 1). Participants with high BOP were more likely to be older, males, have a lower education and an annual income, have more abdominal obesity, have no dental check-ups within the past year and to floss their teeth less than once per day, have a higher plaque index, and have impaired glucose metabolism. This pattern was similar across periodontitis severity.

However, current smoking, alcohol intake, fewer teeth, and BOP were also higher among those with severe periodontitis.

After multivariable adjustment for age, gender, education, smoking status, physical activity, alcohol consumption, WC, HDL-C, and plaque index, both definitions of prediabetes (OR=1.51, 95% CI: 1.15-1.97; OR=1.43, 95% CI: 1.08-1.91, respectively), IFG (OR=1.50, 95% CI: 1.10-2.06), impaired 1hPG concentration (OR=1.39, 95% CI: 1.07-1.80), IGT (OR=1.54, 95% CI: 1.11-2.13), and HOMA-IR (OR=1.55, 95% CI: 1.14-2.12) were significantly associated with high BOP (Table 2).

Relative to participants with no/mild periodontitis, prediabetes with or without 1hPG (OR=1.67, 95% CI: 1.17-2.40; OR=1.84; 95% CI: 1.24-2.73; respectively), IFG (OR=1.62, 95% CI: 1.06-2.48), and impaired 1hPG concentration (OR=1.46, 95% CI: 1.03-2.07) were significantly associated with severe periodontitis after controlling for confounders (Table 3). There was a trend for IGT (OR=1.53, 95% CI: 0.99-2.36) and HOMA-IR (OR=1.51, 95% CI: 0.99-2.32) to increase the odds of severe periodontitis. In contrast, IFG (OR=1.45, 95% CI: 1.01-2.08) was the only glucose metabolism measure significantly associated with moderate periodontitis relative to participants with no/mild periodontitis. There was a trend for individuals with prediabetes to have greater odds of moderate periodontitis (OR=1.31, 95% CI: 0.98-1.74). There was no association between impaired HbA1c and BOP or periodontitis status, either age-adjusted or in multivariable-adjusted models. Additional adjustment for number of natural teeth and hs-CRP and replacement of WC for other obesity measures (BMI, WHR, and percent body fat modeled as continuous) did not meaningfully change the effect estimates in the models for BOP and periodontitis status (data not shown).

Table 4 shows the results of the models for BOP and periodontitis status stratified by smoking status. Among non-smokers, the associations of prediabetes, IFG, and IGT remained significantly associated with BOP, whereas a trend was observed for prediabetes with 1hPG, impaired 1hPG concentration, and HOMA-IR. Moreover, IFG and IGT were significantly associated with severe periodontitis among non-smokers, whereas a trend was observed for prediabetes with and without 1hPG and HOMA-IR.

Discussion

This study assessed the cross-sectional associations between several glucose metabolism measures (fasting plasma glucose (FPG), 1hPG, two-hour post-load glucose (2hPG) concentration, HbA1c, and insulin resistance) with BOP and periodontitis among diabetes-free individuals of Hispanic origin enrolled in the San Juan Overweight Adults Longitudinal Study (SOALS). Prediabetes with and without 1hPG, IFG, impaired 1hPG concentration, IGT, and HOMA-IR were significantly associated with high BOP after extensive multivariable adjustment for confounders. Moreover, prediabetes, IFG, and impaired 1hPG concentration were significantly associated with severe periodontitis. Most of these associations remained significant or borderline significant when the analyses were restricted to non-smokers. This is among the first studies to show significant associations relating impaired glucose metabolism with BOP and severe periodontitis.

The associations of prediabetes, IFG, and IGT with severe periodontitis were consistent with few cross-sectional studies that have assessed the associations similar to the way they were modeled in this study. For example, Hong et al. (2016) found that individuals with IFG (111-125 mg/dL) had an increased odds of periodontitis (OR=1.33, 95% CI: 1.01-1.75) compared with subjects with normal fasting glucose (<90 mg/dL). Similarly, Saito et al. (2005) found that IGT was significantly associated with quintiles of mean PPD among Japanese women. However, Kowall et al. (2015) found that prediabetes was neither associated with mean CAL and PPD in a large, population-based study. Other crosssectional studies that have modeled the associations in the opposite direction have found positive findings. For example, Choi et al. (2011) reported that participants in the top quintile category of CAL and PPD had significantly higher odds of IFG (OR=1.55, 95% CI: 1.16-2.07; OR=1.39, 95% CI: 1.00-1.92; respectively). Arora et al. (2014) found that severe periodontal infection was significantly associated with IGT (OR=1.93; 95% CI: 1.18-3.17) but not with IFG. However, Demmer et al. (2015) found that higher tertiles of specific periodontal microbiota, but not moderate or severe periodontitis, were significantly associated with prediabetes.

While we observed a trend for HOMA-IR to increase the odds of severe periodontitis, findings from other cross-sectional studies are mixed. Benguigui et al. (2010) found that only HOMA-IR was associated with severe periodontitis and greater number of sites with CAL 4 mm, CAL 5 mm, and PPD 4 mm; however, these associations disappeared among non-smokers. Lim et al. (2014) found that postmenopausal women who were in the highest quartile of HOMA-IR were more likely to have periodontitis; however, the association was not seen among men nor premenopausal women. Timonen et al. (2011) found that the association between HOMA-IR and periodontitis disappeared after controlling for BMI. A longitudinal study by Timonen et al. (2013) found that participants in the highest HOMA-IR tertile (RR=1.6, 95% CI: 1.0-2.6) and those in the highest HOMA- β tertile (RR=1.5, 95% CI: 0.9-2.4) had higher risk of PPD 4 mm during a four-year follow-up period. Two cross-sectional studies that modeled the associations in the opposite direction also found mixed results. While Demmer et al. (2012) found that quartiles of mean PPD were associated with HOMA-IR in the presence of elevated hs-CRP and white blood cell values, Islam et al. (2015) found that Korean adults with and without periodontitis had similar HOMA-IR.

One notable inconsistency with two prior studies is the null association of HbA1c with periodontitis. A longitudinal study by Demmer et al. (2010) found that elevated levels of periodontitis and progression of periodontitis predicted five-year HbA1c progression in diabetes-free participants. Wolff et al. (2009) found slightly higher HbA1c levels in periodontitis cases than in controls (5.66% and 5.51%, p=0.046). Our preliminary analysis suggests that the HbA1c test, relative to plasma glucose criteria (fasting glucose and 2hPG), has low specificity and sensitivity, especially for the pre-diabetes diagnosis. Further studies are needed to clarify the role of HbA1c levels on periodontitis among diabetes-free participants.

Novel findings on the associations of prediabetes, IFG, IGT, 1hPG, and HOMA-IR with high BOP using a large sample have rarely been reported and should be replicated. Few studies, with limited sample sizes, have investigated these associations and have yielded

conflicting results. One pilot study by our group found that prediabetes (based on IFG and/or IGT) was strongly associated with high BOP (Andriankaja et al., 2014). Javed et al. (2012) found that clinical periodontal inflammation was more severe in patients with prediabetes as compared with controls. In contrast, Cherry-Peppers & Ship (1993) did not find a difference in the percentage of sites with gingival bleeding between patients with impaired glucose tolerance and normal controls. Similarly, Noack et al. (2000) did not find a significant difference in the percentage of sites showing BOP in individuals with IGT compared to normoglycemic individuals. Further studies are needed to clarify the longitudinal associations between glucose metabolism and BOP as this measurement, along with assessment of other clinical periodontal parameters, could enhance knowledge about the risk of disease progression.

Several biological mechanisms of the bidirectional connection between impaired glucose metabolism and periodontitis have been proposed. Periodontal pathogens and an ensuing inflammatory response lead to collagen destruction resulting in periodontitis, characterized by a deepening of the pockets around the teeth and loss of attachment and alveolar bone. Periodontal pathogens activate cytokines which are associated with increased levels of inflammatory markers and endothelial dysfunction, and altered lipid metabolism, which in turn could lead to increased glucose abnormalities, insulin resistance, and increased risk of diabetes (Demmer et al., 2015, Genco et al., 2005, Taylor et al., 2013). While increasing evidence supports systemic inflammation as one mechanistic link explaining the effects of periodontitis on diabetes, the Diabetes and Periodontal Therapy Trial showed that nonsurgical periodontal treatment was not associated with six-month changes in serum biomarkers in patients with type 2 diabetes and chronic periodontitis (Geisinger et al., 2016). In contrast, a recent meta-analysis supports the hypothesis that periodontal therapy reduces systematic inflammation (hs-CRP and TNF-a) in people with type 2 diabetes (Artese et al., 2015). On the other hand, it is hypothesized that hyperglycemia induces oxidative stress through increased intracellular formation of advanced glycation end products and increased proinflammatory cytokine production by monocytes, which can contribute to periodontal tissue destruction (Taylor et al., 2013). Thus, cross-sectional study findings can reflect either or both directions regardless of what is modeled as the outcome and exposure. Longitudinal studies are needed to better understand the bidirectional relationship between periodontitis and insulin resistance and glucose abnormalities and to assess potential mediators of these relationships.

This study has several notable strengths, including collection of several measures of glucose metabolism and high-quality clinical data on periodontitis. All three dental examiners were trained according to NHANES criteria, showing excellent agreement with the reference examiner. Although residual confounding cannot be ruled out, it is unlikely to explain the observed effects given the minimal attenuation of the effect measures after extensive multivariable adjustment for potential confounders. One inherent limitation of this cross-sectional analysis is the inability to distinguish the temporal sequence of events. As with many etiologic studies, a non-probability sampling strategy was used to select and recruit the study group. While this would limit our ability to generalize the distribution of impaired glucose metabolism measures and periodontitis, the non-random sample would not affect the validity or generalizability of the associations to other overweight and obese populations, as

there is no reason to expect that the relationship between these two conditions and biological pathways would be different in our sample.

In summary, this cross-sectional analysis indicates the potential role of prediabetes and insulin resistance in high BOP and severe periodontitis. Given the high prevalence of impaired glucose metabolism and periodontitis, the replication of these findings and assessment of the temporal sequence of these associations in longitudinal studies could have substantial implications in the prevention of these chronic conditions.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to acknowledge the SOALS team (Dr. Frank Hu, Dr. Bruce Dye, Dr. Enrique Santiago, Lillian Colón, Helson Lasanta, Tania Ginebra, Hilda Torres, and Elaine Rodríguez) and the Puerto Rico Clinical and Translational Research Consortium laboratory personnel (Nilda González and Aracelis Arroyo) for their help during the study.

Kaumudi J. Joshipura, Cynthia M. Pérez, and Christine S. Ritchie contributed to conception and design of the study; Francisco Muñoz performed the statistical analyses with the advice of Cynthia M. Pérez, Kaumudi J. Joshipura, and Oelisoa M. Andriankaja; and Cynthia M. Pérez wrote the manuscript and had primary responsibility for the final content. All other authors made substantial contributions to data acquisition and interpretation and revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Sources of funding: This study was supported by Award R01DE020111 from the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research and partially supported by award U54MD007587 from the National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities of the National Institutes of Health.

References

- Abdul-Ghani MA, Abdul-Ghani T, Ali N, Defronzo RA. One-hour plasma glucose concentration and the metabolic syndrome identify subjects at high risk for future type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2008; 31:1650–1655. [PubMed: 18487478]
- American Diabetes Association. Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2011. Diabetes Care. 2011; 34(Supplement 1):S11–S61. [PubMed: 21193625]
- Andriankaja OM, Jiménez JJ, Muñoz-Torres FJ, Pérez CM, Vergara JL, Joshipura K. Lipid lowering agents (LLA) use and systemic and oral inflammation in overweight or obese adult Puerto Ricans: The SOALS Study. Journal of Clinical Periodontology. 2015; 42:1090–1096. [PubMed: 26407668]
- Andriankaja OM, Joshipura K. Potential association between prediabetic conditions and gingival and/or periodontal inflammation. Journal of Diabetes Investigation. 2014; 5:108–114. [PubMed: 24729853]
- Arora N, Papapanou PN, Rosenbaum M, Jacobs DR Jr. Desvarieux M, Demmer RT. Periodontal infection, impaired fasting glucose and impaired glucose tolerance: results from the Continuous National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2009-2010. Journal of Clinical Periodontology. 2014; 41:643–652. [PubMed: 24708451]
- Artese HP, Foz AM, Rabelo Mde S, Gomes GH, Orlandi M, Suvan J, D'Aiuto F, Romito GA. Periodontal therapy and systemic inflammation in type 2 diabetes mellitus: A meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2015; 10:e0128344. [PubMed: 26010492]
- Benguigui C, Bongard V, Ruidavets JB, Chamontin B, Sixou M, Ferrieres J, Amar J. Metabolic syndrome, insulin resistance, and periodontitis: a cross-sectional study in a middle-aged French population. Journal of Clinical Periodontology. 2010; 37:601–608. [PubMed: 20492076]

- Borgnakke WS, Ylostalo PV, Taylor GW, Genco RJ. Effect of periodontal disease on diabetes: Systematic review of epidemiologic observational evidence. Journal of Clinical Periodontology. 2013; 40(Supplement 14):S135–152. [PubMed: 23627324]
- Cherry-Peppers G, Ship JA. Oral health in patients with type II diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance. Diabetes Care. 1993; 16:638–641. [PubMed: 8462394]
- Chiu SY, Lai H, Yen AM, Fann JC, Chen LS, Chen HH. Temporal sequence of the bidirectional relationship between hyperglycemia and periodontal disease: A community-based study of 5,885 Taiwanese aged 35-44 years. Acta Diabetologica. 2015; 52:123–131. [PubMed: 24990094]
- Choi YH, McKeown RE, Mayer-Davis EJ, Liese AD, Song KB, Merchant AT. Association between periodontitis and impaired fasting glucose and diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2011; 34:381–386. [PubMed: 21216848]
- Demmer RT, Desvarieux M, Holtfreter B, Jacobs DR Jr. Wallaschofski H, Nauck M, Volzke H, Kocher T. Periodontal status and A1C change: Longitudinal results from the Study of Health in Pomerania (SHIP). Diabetes Care. 2010; 33:1037–1043. [PubMed: 20185742]
- Demmer RT, Jacobs DR Jr. Desvarieux M. Periodontal disease and incident type 2 diabetes: Results from the first National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey and its epidemiologic follow-up study. Diabetes Care. 2008; 31:1373–1379. [PubMed: 18390797]
- Demmer RT, Jacobs DR Jr. Singh R, Zuk A, Rosenbaum M, Papapanou PN, Desvarieux M. Periodontal bacteria and prediabetes prevalence in ORIGINS: The Oral Infections, Glucose Intolerance, and Insulin Resistance Study. Journal of Dental Research. 2015; 94(Supplement 9): 201S–211S. [PubMed: 26082387]
- Demmer RT, Squillaro A, Papapanou PN, Rosenbaum M, Friedewald WT, Jacobs DR Jr. Desvarieux M. Periodontal infection, systemic inflammation, and insulin resistance: results from the continuous National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 1999-2004. Diabetes Care. 2012; 35:2235–2242. [PubMed: 22837370]
- Eke PI, Dye BA, Wei L, Slade GD, Thornton-Evans GO, Borgnakke WS, Taylor GW, Page RC, Beck JD, Genco RJ. Update on prevalence of periodontitis in adults in the United States: NHANES 2009 to 2012. Journal of Periodontology. 2015; 86:611–622. [PubMed: 25688694]
- Geisinger ML, Michalowicz BS, Hou W, Schoenfeld E, Gelato M, Engebretson SP, Reddy MS, Hyman L. Systemic inflammatory biomarkers and their association with periodontal and diabetes-related factors in the Diabetes and Periodontal Therapy Trial: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of Periodontology. 2016; 87:900–13. [PubMed: 27108476]
- Genco RJ, Grossi SG, Ho A, Nishimura F, Murayama Y. A proposed model linking inflammation to obesity, diabetes, and periodontal infections. Journal of Periodontology. 2005; 76:2075–2084. [PubMed: 16277579]
- Hong M, Kim HY, Seok H, Yeo CD, Kim YS, Song JY, Lee YB, Lee DH, Lee JI, Lee TK, Ahn HS, Ko YH, Jeong SC, Chae HS, Sohn TS. Prevalence and risk factors of periodontitis among adults with or without diabetes mellitus. Korean Journal of Internal Medicine. 2016; 31:910–919. [PubMed: 27604799]
- Hosmer, DW., Lemeshow, S., Sturdivant, RX. Applied logistic regression. 3rd edition. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2013.
- Islam SK, Seo M, Lee YS, Moon SS. Association of periodontitis with insulin resistance, beta-cell function, and impaired fasting glucose before onset of diabetes. Endocrine Journal. 2015; 62:981– 989. [PubMed: 26329671]
- Javed F, Al-Askar M, Al-Rasheed A, Babay N, Galindo-Moreno P, Al-Hezaimi K. Comparison of selfperceived oral health, periodontal inflammatory conditions and socioeconomic status in individuals with and without prediabetes. American Journal of the Medical Sciences. 2012; 344:100–104. [PubMed: 22157389]
- Jimenez M, Hu FB, Marino M, Li Y, Joshipura KJ. Type 2 diabetes mellitus and 20 year incidence of periodontitis and tooth loss. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice. 2012; 98:494–500. [PubMed: 23040240]
- Joshipura KJ, Andriankaja MO, Hu FB, Ritchie CS. Relative utility of 1-h Oral Glucose Tolerance Test as a measure of abnormal glucose homeostasis. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice. 2011; 93:268–275. [PubMed: 21775009]

- Kowall B, Holtfreter B, Volzke H, Schipf S, Mundt T, Rathmann W, Kocher T. Pre-diabetes and wellcontrolled diabetes are not associated with periodontal disease: The SHIP Trend Study. Journal of Clinical Periodontology. 2015; 42:422–430. [PubMed: 25808753]
- Lang NP, Joss A, Orsanic T, Gusberti FA, Siegrist BE. Bleeding on probing: A predictor for the progression of periodontal disease? Journal of Clinical Periodontology. 1986; 13:590–596. [PubMed: 3489010]
- Li Q, Hao S, Fang J, Xie J, Kong XH, Yang JX. Effect of non-surgical periodontal treatment on glycemic control of patients with diabetes: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Trials. 2015; 16:291. [PubMed: 26137892]
- Lim SG, Han K, Kim HA, Pyo SW, Cho YS, Kim KS, Yim HW, Lee WC, Park YG, Park YM. Association between insulin resistance and periodontitis in Korean adults. Journal of Clinical Periodontology. 2014; 41:121–130. [PubMed: 24303984]
- Miyawaki A, Toyokawa S, Inoue K, Miyoshi Y, Kobayashi Y. Self-reported periodontitis and incident type 2 diabetes among male workers from a 5-year follow-up to MY Health Up Study. PLoS One. 2016; 11:e0153464. [PubMed: 27115749]
- Noack B, Jachmann I, Roscher S, Sieber L, Kopprasch S, Luck C, Hanefeld M, Hoffmann T. Metabolic diseases and their possible link to risk indicators of periodontitis. Journal of Periodontology. 2000; 71:898–903. [PubMed: 10914792]
- Ridker PM, Morrow DA. C-reactive protein, inflammation, and coronary risk. Cardiology Clinics. 2003; 21:315–325. [PubMed: 14621448]
- Rivera R, Andriankaja OM, Pérez CM, Joshipura KJ. Relationship between periodontal disease and asthma among overweight/obese adults. Journal of Clinical Periodontology. 2016; 43:566–571. [PubMed: 27028763]
- Saito T, Shimazaki Y, Kiyohara Y, Kato I, Kubo M, Iida M, Koga T. The severity of periodontal disease is associated with the development of glucose intolerance in non-diabetics: The Hisayama Study. Journal of Dental Research. 2004; 83:485–490. [PubMed: 15153457]
- Saito T, Shimazaki Y, Kiyohara Y, Kato I, Kubo M, Iida M, Yamashita Y. Relationship between obesity, glucose tolerance, and periodontal disease in Japanese women: The Hisayama Study. Journal of Periodontology Research. 2005; 40:346–353.
- Simpson TC, Weldon JC, Worthington HV, Needleman I, Wild SH, Moles DR, Stevenson B, Furness S, Iheozor-Ejiofor Z. Treatment of periodontal disease for glycaemic control in people with diabetes mellitus. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2015; 11:CD004714.
- Song IS, Han K, Park YM, Ji S, Jun SH, Ryu JJ, Park JB. Severe periodontitis is associated with insulin resistance in non-abdominal obese adults. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism. 2016; 101:4251–4259. [PubMed: 27598510]
- Taylor JJ, Preshaw PM, Lalla E. A review of the evidence for pathogenic mechanisms that may link periodontitis and diabetes. Journal of Periodontology. 2013; 84(Supplement 4):S113–S134. [PubMed: 23631573]
- Timonen P, Suominen-Taipale L, Jula A, Niskanen M, Knuuttila M, Ylöstalo P. Insulin sensitivity and periodontal infection in a non-diabetic, non-smoking adult population. Journal of Clinical Periodontology. 2011; 38:17–24.
- Timonen P, Saxlin T, Knuuttila M, Suominen AL, Jula A, Tervonen T, Ylostalo P. Role of insulin sensitivity and beta cell function in the development of periodontal disease in adults without diabetes. Journal of Clinical Periodontology. 2013; 40:1079–1086. [PubMed: 24192072]
- Wolff RE, Wolff LF, Michalowicz BS. A pilot study of glycosylated hemoglobin levels in periodontitis cases and healthy controls. Journal of Periodontology. 2009; 80:1057–1061. [PubMed: 19563284]

Clinical relevance

Scientific rationale for study: Current studies suggest a bidirectional relationship between periodontitis and diabetes. However, there is a paucity of data examining the relationship of insulin resistance and pre-clinical stages of diabetes with BOP and periodontitis.

Principal findings: Prediabetes, IFG, impaired 1hPG concentration, IGT, and HOMA-IR were associated with high BOP; prediabetes, IFG, and impaired 1hPG were significantly associated with severe periodontitis. Most of these associations remained significant when the analyses were restricted to non-smokers.

Practical implications: These findings, if further confirmed in longitudinal studies, could have substantial implications in the prevention of these chronic conditions.

Baseline characteristics (Mean ± SD or %) of SOALS participants across BOP and periodontitis status (n=1,191)

Table 1

Age (n=4) Mode (n=4) Mode (n=4) Mode (n=4) Mode (n=4) Mode (n=4) Serve (n=3) Age (y) -93.463 51.0 ± 53 51.0 ± 53 61.04 92.467 51.0 ± 53 40.3 Male gender 25.2 30.4 0.05 18.9 26.3 40.3 Loss than high schole eluciation 8.9 16.7 60.01 $92.46.7$ $50.1.0$ 17.4 Annual income blow \$20,000 50.3 61.4 $c0.001$ 44.4 59.1 67.7 Stroking status 0.38 61.4 $c0.01$ 41.4 59.1 67.7 Nome of equation index 17.3 0.49 16.3 16.7 $50.5.52.1$ 90.7 90.7 Number of equation index $0.42.4$ 0.11 15.7 $12.4.1$ $50.5.52.1$ 90.7 90.7 Number of equation index $0.42.4$ 0.41 $12.5.7$ $12.5.5.6$ $12.6.5.5.5.5.5.5$ $10.5.5.6.18$ 90.7 Number of equatindex (grantwall)			BOP			, Periodontitis status	* status	
49.3 ± 6.5 51.0 ± 6.9 40.2 ± 6.7 51.0 ± 6.9 52.5 51.0 \pm 6.9 52.5 51.0 \pm 6.9 52.5 51.0 \pm 6.9 52.5 51.0 \pm 6.9 52.5		<30% (n=741)	30% (n=450)	P value	None/Mild (n=407)	Moderate (n=502)	Severe (n=282)	P value for trend
nder 2.2 $3.0.4$ 0.05 18.9 $2.5.3$ n ligh school education 8.9 $1.6.7$ 0.001 9.6 10.6 income below \$20,000 50.8 $6.4.4$ 0.001 9.6 10.6 g status $6.2.8$ $6.3.6$ 7.11 $6.3.4$ 9.1 g status $6.2.8$ $6.3.6$ 7.11 $6.3.4$ 9.1 g status $6.2.8$ $6.3.6$ 17.3 17.1 $6.3.4$ g status $6.2.8$ $6.3.6$ 0.01 $5.4.4$ 9.1 17.8 17.3 17.3 17.3 18.7 19.7 10.6 $17.461.7$ $6.5.3.43.6$ 0.1 16.9 19.7 10.6 $17.461.7$ $6.5.3.43.6$ 0.10 $16.5.42.6$ 19.7 10.6 $11.66.7$ $0.12.6$ $0.12.6$ $0.12.6$ $11.6.6$ 10.6 $11.6.7$ $11.6.7$ $11.6.5.7.7$ $11.6.5.7.7$ $11.6.6.7.$	Age (y)	49.3±6.5	51.0 ± 6.9	<0.001	49.2±6.7	51.0 ± 6.9	51.0±6.5	<0.001
n high school education 8.9 16.7 <0.01 9.6 10.6 g status 2.3 6.4 <0.01	Male gender	25.2	30.4	0.05	18.9	26.3	40.8	<0.001
income below \$20,000 50.8 $6.4.4$ <0.001 44.4 59.1 g stans $2.2.8$ 63.6 -1.1 $6.3.4$ -1.1 $6.3.4$ g stans 17.8 15.3 15.3 15.7 16.9 17.8 15.3 15.3 15.7 16.9 10.7 17.8 17.8 15.3 $2.1.1$ $6.3.4$ 10.7 17.8 17.8 $2.1.1$ $6.5.5.54.8$ 0.81 $5.5.65.21$ $00.5.61.8$ 10.94 $-1.1.1$ $6.5.5.54.8$ 0.81 $2.5.66.1$ $0.95.66.14.8$ 10.00101 make $-4.4.8$ $-2.4.9$ $0.4.7$ $2.4.5.0$ 10.00101 make $-2.2.5.9$ $2.6.5.7$ 0.19 $1.6.5.4.2.8$ $2.5.6.0$ 10.00101 make $-4.1.8$ $-2.2.5.9$ $2.6.5.7$ 0.19 $1.6.5.4.2.8$ $2.5.4.6.0$ 10.00101 make $-2.2.5.9$ $2.0.3.3.9.5.7$ 0.19 $1.6.5.4.2.8$ $2.5.4.6.0$ 10.00101 make $-2.1.1.1.07$ $8.3.2.4.6.1$ $0.07.2.4.6.0$ $0.07.2.4.6.0$ $0.07.2.4.6.0$ 10.00101 make $-2.2.5.4.7$ 0.19 $1.6.5.3$ $2.1.9.4.1.2$ $0.5.6.5.7$ 0.001 10.00101 make $-2.1.1.1.07$ $0.3.2.4.6.1$ $0.05.1.4.8.1.8.1.2.9.10.06.1.4.8.1.8.1.2.9.1.9.1.2.9.1.1.9.1.1.2.9.1.1.2.9.1.1.9.1.1.2.9.1.$	Less than high school education	8.9	16.7	<0.001	9.6	10.6	17.4	<0.001
g atoms 0.49 71.1 63.4 g atoms 62.8 63.6 71.1 63.4 17.8 15.3 15.7 16.9 17.8 15.3 15.3 16.7 17.8 15.3 15.3 16.7 17.8 15.3 081 $55.5.5.1$ $60.5.61.8$ 94 $67.4.61.7$ $655.5.4.8$ 081 $55.6.5.2.1$ $60.5.61.8$ 10001 innate $67.4.61.7$ $65.5.5.4.8$ 081 $55.6.5.2.1$ $60.5.61.8$ $actotol innate 4.4.8 2.2.2.5.9 2.6.5.7 0.93 2.2.4.0 actotol innate 4.4.8 2.2.5.9 2.0.3.2.9.2 2.2.4.0 7.2.4.0 actotol innate 4.7.8 0.7.2.4.0 7.2.4.0 7.2.4.0 artotol innate 5.7.6 0.7.2.4.0 7.2.4.0 7.2.4.0 artotol innate 5.7.6 0.7.2.4.0 7.2.4.0 7.2.4.0 artotol innate 5.7.6 $	Annual income below \$20,000	50.8	64.4	<0.001	44.4	59.1	66.7	<0.001
62.8 63.6 71.1 63.4 17.8 15.3 15.7 16.9 17.8 15.3 15.7 16.9 17.4 21.1 13.3 19.7 of cignretes smoked/week 67.4 ± 61.7 655.5 ± 54.8 0.81 55.6 ± 52.1 60.5 ± 61.8 alcolol intake 44.8 42.4 0.43 41.5 $4.2.8$ alcolol intake 44.8 42.4 0.43 41.5 42.8 alcolol intake 44.8 42.4 0.43 41.5 42.8 alcolol intake 44.7 53.4 ± 61 33.3 ± 6.1 33.3 ± 6.5 $al vistis in ke (zrams/day)$ $21.9\pm 33.2\pm 61$ 0.72 ± 4.0 72 ± 4.0 $al vistis in ke (zrams/day)$ 23.3 ± 6.6 0.07 33.2 ± 6.1 33.3 ± 6.5 $al vistis in ke (zrams/day)$ 23.3 ± 6.1 0.03 $0.51.44.3$ $0.55.44.3$ $al vistis in ke (zrams/day)$ 23.3 ± 6.1 $0.55.44.3$ $0.52.44.3$ $0.52.44.3$ $al vistis in ke (zrams/day)$	Smoking status			0.49				<0.001
178 15.3 15.7 16.9 0 d iguretres smoked/week 7.4 ± 61.7 65.5 ± 44.8 0.81 55.6 ± 52.1 $005.61.8$ alcohol inuke 67.4 ± 61.7 65.5 ± 54.8 0.81 55.6 ± 52.1 $605.561.8$ alcohol inuke 44.8 2.2 ± 5.9 2.6 ± 5.7 0.19 1.6 ± 5.4 2.2 ± 6.0 alcohol inuke 44.8 2.2 ± 5.9 2.6 ± 5.7 0.19 1.6 ± 5.4 2.5 ± 6.0 alcohol inuke 21.9 ± 38.0 20.3 ± 3.9 0.77 20.4 ± 55.3 21.9 ± 41.2 alvoit keth 7.3 ± 4.0 5.33 ± 6.6 0.07 33.2 ± 6.1 23.3 ± 6.5 $0,7$ 31.1 ± 6.1 107.8 ± 14.7 0.07 33.2 ± 6.1 33.3 ± 6.5 $0,7$ 31.4 ± 1 107.8 ± 14.7 0.03 $10.7\pm 2.2\pm 4.0$ 33.3 ± 6.5 $0,7$ 31.12 105.5 ± 14.4 0.75 ± 4.0 33.2 ± 6.1 33.3 ± 6.5 $0,7$ 107.8 ± 14.7 0.55 ± 4.7 0.03 105.6 ± 14.8 105.6 ± 14.8 </td <td>Never</td> <td>62.8</td> <td>63.6</td> <td></td> <td>71.1</td> <td>63.4</td> <td>51.1</td> <td></td>	Never	62.8	63.6		71.1	63.4	51.1	
194 21.1 13.3 19.7 of cignetics smoked/week 67.4 ± 61.7 65.5 ± 4.8 0.81 $55.6\pm 5.2.1$ 60.5 ± 61.8 alcohol intake 44.8 42.4 0.43 41.5 42.8 alcohol intake 44.8 $22.\pm 5.9$ 2.6 ± 5.7 0.19 1.6 ± 5.4 2.5 ± 6.0 activity (METS/week) 2.3 ± 4.0 $69.34.0$ 0.05 7.2 ± 4.0 7.2 ± 4.0 (m^2) 2.3 ± 4.0 6.9 ± 4.0 0.07 33.2 ± 6.1 33.3 ± 6.5 (m^2) 2.3 ± 4.0 0.97 3.32 ± 6.1 0.5 ± 4.0 (m^2) 3.3 ± 6.5 0.07 3.32 ± 6.1 33.3 ± 6.5 (m^2) 3.3 ± 6.6 0.07 3.32 ± 6.1 33.3 ± 6.5 (m^2) 3.3 ± 6.5 0.07 3.32 ± 6.1 33.3 ± 6.5 (m^2) 3.3 ± 6.5 0.07 3.3 ± 6.5 4.60 (m^2) 3.55 4.76 5.53 4.80 (m^2) 3.66 6.53 <	Former	17.8	15.3		15.7	16.9	18.4	
r of cigareties stroked/week 674 ± 61.7 655 ± 54.8 0.81 55.6 ± 52.1 605 ± 61.8 t al colool intake 44.8 42.4 0.43 41.5 42.8 al activity (METS/week) 2.2 ± 5.9 2.6 ± 5.7 0.19 1.6 ± 5.4 2.5 ± 6.0 al activity (METS/week) 2.19 ± 38.0 2.03 ± 39.5 0.47 2.04 ± 35.3 21.9 ± 41.2 al activity (METS/week) 7.3 ± 4.0 5.9 ± 4.0 0.07 3.32 ± 6.1 3.33 ± 6.5 al activity (METS/week) 7.3 ± 4.0 0.05 7.2 ± 4.0 7.2 ± 4.0 t vegtable consumption (servings/week) 7.3 ± 4.0 0.07 3.32 ± 6.1 3.33 ± 6.5 m) $10.5.3\pm 1.4.1$ $10.78\pm 1.4.7$ 0.03 105.1 ± 13.9 $106.6\pm 1.4.8$ w) 49.5 47.6 0.07 3.2 ± 6.1 3.3 ± 6.5 m) $10.53\pm 1.4.1$ $10.78\pm 1.4.7$ 0.03 105.1 ± 13.9 $106.6\pm 1.4.8$ w) 4.7 5.32 ± 6.1 0.72 ± 0.0 3.3 ± 6.5 3.3 ± 6.5 m) $10.53\pm 1.4.1$ $10.78\pm 1.4.7$ 0.03 105.1 ± 13.9 $106.6\pm 1.4.8$ m) 4.7 5.5 4.7 $5.5.3$ 4.0 m) 4.7 5.5 5.4 7.1 10.2 m) 50.5 5.4 7.1 10.2 5.6 m) 10.5 5.3 0.601 $5.4.3$ 5.76 m) 5.5 5.4 7.1 10.2 5.76 m) 5.6 5.3 5.6 5.3 $5.$	Current	19.4	21.1		13.3	19.7	30.5	
t alcohol intake 4.8 4.2 4.6 $4.1.5$ $4.5.4$ 2.2 1 intake (grams/day) 2.2 ± 5.9 2.6 ± 5.7 0.19 1.6 ± 5.4 2.2 ± 6.0 al activity (METS/week) 2.2 ± 5.9 2.6 ± 5.7 0.19 1.6 ± 5.4 2.5 ± 6.0 al activity (METS/week) 2.19 ± 3.8 0.33 ± 9.5 0.47 2.0 ± 4.53 2.19 ± 41.2 nd vegetable consumption (servings/week) 7.3 ± 4.0 3.31 ± 6.1 3.33 ± 6.5 3.33 ± 6.5 m) 0.55 3.31 ± 6.1 0.07 3.32 ± 6.1 3.33 ± 6.5 m) 105.5 ± 1.41 107.8 ± 14.7 0.003 105.1 ± 13.9 106.6 ± 14.8 m) 105.5 ± 1.41 107.8 ± 14.7 0.003 105.1 ± 13.9 106.6 ± 14.8 m) 49.5 4.76 5.33 ± 6.1 3.33 ± 6.5 $3.46.1$ 3.33 ± 6.5 m) 49.5 4.76 5.33 ± 6.6 3.33 ± 6.6 3.33 ± 6.6 3.33 ± 6.6 m) 49.5 4.76 5.53 4.76 5.53 4.80 m) 50.5 4.76 5.53 4.76 5.33 4.00 motivitie in past 12 months 3.41 4.60 6.001 3.17 3.96 motivities in past 12 months 3.53 6.53 5.3 4.76 5.33 flossing once or less per day 8.5 $9.32.6$ 7.1 10.2 flossing less than once per day 5.53 6.001 $6.14.0.5$ 5.33 flossing less than once per day	Number of cigarettes smoked/week	67.4±61.7	65.5±54.8	0.81	55.6 ± 52.1	60.5 ± 61.8	80.7±57.6	0.019
l intake (grams/day) 2.2 ± 5.9 2.6 ± 5.7 0.19 1.6 ± 5.4 2.5 ± 6.0 al activity (METS/week) 2.19 ± 38.0 2.03 ± 39.5 0.47 2.04 ± 35.3 21.9 ± 41.2 al vegetable consumption (servings/week) 7.3 ± 4.0 6.9 ± 4.0 0.05 7.2 ± 4.0 7.2 ± 4.0 kgm ³ 33.1 ± 6.1 33.8 ± 6.6 0.07 33.2 ± 6.1 33.3 ± 6.5 m) 105.3 ± 14.1 107.8 ± 14.7 0.03 105.1 ± 13.9 106.6 ± 14.8 m) 49.5 47.6 $5.2.4$ $5.2.4$ $5.2.4$ $5.2.4$ m) 50.5 52.4 $5.5.3$ 48.0 $5.2.0$ b bushing once or less per day 8.5 9.3 $0.62.0$ 7.1 10.2 bushing once or less per day 8.5 9.3 $6.5.3$ 6.001 31.7 39.6 bushing once or less per day 8.5 9.3 0.62 7.1 10.2 flock 10.6 $1.14.07$ $6.5.3$ 6.001 $0.64.4$ $0.92.06$ flock 10.6 $1.14.02$ 10.6 11.7 38.3 <	Current alcohol intake	44.8	42.4	0.43	41.5	42.8	49.3	0.11
al activity (METS/week) 219 ± 380 203 ± 39.5 0.47 20.4 ± 35.3 219 ± 41.2 ind vegetable consumption (serving/week) 7.3 ± 4.0 6.9 ± 4.0 0.05 7.2 ± 4.0 7	Alcohol intake (grams/day)	2.2 ± 5.9	2.6±5.7	0.19	1.6 ± 5.4	2.5 ± 6.0	$3.0{\pm}6.0$	0.006
nd vegetable consumption (servings/week) 7.3 ± 4.0 6.9 ± 4.0 0.05 7.2 ± 4.0 7.2 ± 4.0 kg/n ²) 33.1 ± 6.1 33.8 ± 6.6 0.07 33.2 ± 6.1 33.3 ± 6.5 m) 105.3 ± 14.1 107.3 ± 14.7 0.003 105.1 ± 13.9 106.6 ± 14.8 m) 105.3 ± 14.1 107.3 ± 14.7 0.03 105.1 ± 13.9 106.6 ± 14.8 m) 49.5 47.6 53.2 ± 6.1 33.3 ± 6.5 ar of teeth 49.5 47.6 55.3 48.0 ar of teeth 50.5 52.4 4.7 52.0 at visits in past 12 months 34.1 46.0 <0.001 31.7 39.6 brushing once or less per day 8.5 9.3 0.62 7.1 10.2 flossing less than once per day 8.5 0.3 0.62 7.1 10.2 $0.66.0$ 0.7 ± 0.6 0.71 0.6 ± 0.4 0.9 ± 0.6 0.7 ± 0.6 0.7 ± 0.6 0.71 $0.54.3$ 57.6 $0.66.0$ 0.7 ± 0.6 0.71 54.3 57.6 $0.66.0$ 0.7 ± 0.6 0.601 0.6 ± 0.4 0.9 ± 0.6 $0.66.0$ 0.7 ± 0.6 0.71 $0.54.3$ 57.6 $0.66.0$ 0.7 ± 0.6 0.701 0.6 ± 0.4 0.9 ± 0.6 0.7 ± 0.6 0.701 0.6 ± 0.4 0.9 ± 0.6 0.9 ± 0.6 0.7 ± 0.6 0.001 0.177 58.6 56.9 0.601 0.001 0.001 0.1777 ± 0.6 55.4 ± 0.0 0.601 0.001 0.1001 $0.1777\pm0.$	Physical activity (METS/week)	$21.9{\pm}38.0$	20.3 ± 39.5	0.47	20.4 ± 35.3	21.9 ± 41.2	21.6 ± 38.3	0.83
ggm^2) 33.1 ± 6.1 3.3 ± 6.6 0.07 3.3 ± 6.1 3.3 ± 6.5 m) 105.3 ± 14.1 107.8 ± 14.7 0.003 105.1 ± 13.9 106.6 ± 14.8 er of teeth 0.51 0.51 0.51 3.3 ± 6.5 er of teeth 49.5 47.6 5.3 48.0 50.5 $5.2.4$ 47.6 55.3 48.0 50.5 $5.2.4$ $4.7.7$ 52.0 tal visits in past 12 months 34.1 46.0 <0.001 31.7 33.6 5.3 $5.2.4$ 7.1 10.2 brushing once or less per day 8.5 9.3 0.62 7.1 10.2 flossing less than once per day 53.6 65.3 <0.001 31.7 39.6 0.7 ± 0.6 1.1 ± 0.7 <0.001 0.6 ± 0.4 0.9 ± 0.6 0.7 ± 0.6 1.1 ± 0.7 <0.001 0.6 ± 0.4 0.9 ± 0.6 0.7 ± 0.6 0.7 ± 0.6 0.17 58.6 56.9 0.6 0.7 -1 -1 -1 10.7 0.6 0.01 91.3 ± 8.6 93.2 ± 9.1 0.6 0.001 91.3 ± 8.6 56.9 0.6 0.001	Fruit and vegetable consumption (servings/week)	7.3 ± 4.0	6.9 ± 4.0	0.05	7.2 ± 4.0	7.2 ± 4.0	$7.0{\pm}4.0$	0.82
m) 105.3 \pm 14.1 107.8 \pm 14.7 0.03 105.1 \pm 13.9 106.6 \pm 14.8 er of teeth 0.51 0.51 1.2.1 0.51 1.05.1 er of teeth 0.51 0.51 55.3 48.0 52.0 hal visits in past 12 months 34.1 46.0 <0.001	$BMI (kg/m^2)$	33.1 ± 6.1	33.8 ± 6.6	0.07	33.2 ± 6.1	33.3 ± 6.5	33.5±6.3	0.83
er of teeth 0.51 ar of teeth 49.5 47.6 55.3 48.0 8.5 50.5 52.4 55.3 48.0 50.5 52.4 44.7 52.0 50.5 52.4 44.7 52.0 50.5 34.1 46.0 <0.001 31.7 39.6 $brushing once or less per day8.59.30.627.110.2flossing less than once per day53.665.3<0.00154.357.6flossing less than once per day53.665.3<0.00154.357.6flossing less than once per day53.665.3<0.00154.357.6flossing less than once per day53.60.7\pm0.61.1\pm0.7<0.0010.6\pm0.40.9\pm0.630\% 17.738.330\% 30\% 30\% 30\% 30\% 30\% 30\% 30\% -$	WC (cm)	105.3 ± 14.1	107.8 ± 14.7	0.003	105.1 ± 13.9	106.6 ± 14.8	107.4 ± 14.2	0.09
49.547.655.348.0 50.5 52.4 44.7 52.0 50.5 52.4 44.7 52.0 burshing once or less per day 34.1 46.0 <0.001 31.7 39.6 burshing once or less per day 8.5 9.3 0.62 7.1 10.2 flossing less than once per day 53.6 65.3 <0.001 54.3 57.6 30% 57.6 65.3 <0.001 54.3 57.6 30% $ 0.7\pm0.6$ 1.1 ± 0.7 <0.001 0.6 ± 0.4 0.9 ± 0.6 30% $ 17.7$ 38.3 53.0 (mg/L) 56.4 61.9 0.17 58.6 55.9 $modL)$ 92.0 ± 9.0 93.8 ± 8.9 0.001 91.3 ± 8.6 93.2 ± 9.1 concentration (mg/L) 150.6 ± 40.2 18.3 ± 3.1 0.006 147.7 ± 40.6 15.64 ± 40.9 concentration (mg/L) 113.5 ± 2.8 118.3 ± 3.01 0.006 114.0 ± 2.7 115.0 ± 2.89	Number of teeth			0.51				0.001
50.552.444.752.0its in past 12 months 34.1 46.0 <0.001 31.7 39.6 ng once or less per day 8.5 9.3 0.62 7.1 10.2 ng less than once per day 53.6 65.3 <0.001 54.3 57.6 ng less than once per day 53.6 65.3 <0.001 54.3 57.6 ng less than once per day 53.6 65.3 <0.001 54.3 57.6 ng less than once per day 53.6 65.3 <0.001 0.6 ± 0.4 0.9 ± 0.6 0.7 ± 0.6 1.1 ± 0.7 <0.001 0.6 ± 0.4 0.9 ± 0.6 1.2 $ 17.7$ 38.3 (mg/L) 56.4 61.9 0.17 58.6 56.9 0.001 91.3 ± 8.6 93.2 ± 9.1 116.0 ± 28.7 115.0 ± 28.9 tration (mg/dL) 115.5 ± 28.8 118.3 ± 30.1 0.006 114.0 ± 28.7 115.0 ± 28.9	25-32	49.5	47.6		55.3	48.0	40.8	
its in past 12 months 34.1 46.0 <0.001 31.7 39.6 ng once or less per day 8.5 9.3 0.62 7.1 10.2 ag less than once per day 53.6 65.3 <0.001 54.3 57.6 0.7 ± 0.6 1.1 ± 0.7 <0.001 0.6 ± 0.4 0.9 ± 0.6 - $ 17.7$ $38.3(mg/L) 56.4 61.9 0.17 58.6 56.9(mg/L) 150.6\pm0.0 93.8\pm8.9 0.001 91.3\pm8.6 93.2\pm9.1tration (mg/dL) 150.6\pm40.2 158.9\pm41.7 <0.001 147.7\pm40.6 156.4\pm40.9tration (mg/dL) 113.5\pm28.8 118.3\pm30.1 0.06 114.0\pm28.7 115.0\pm28.9$	4-24	50.5	52.4		44.7	52.0	59.2	
ag once or less per day 8.5 9.3 0.62 7.1 10.2 ng less than once per day 53.6 65.3 <0.001 54.3 57.6 0.7 ± 0.6 1.1 ± 0.7 <0.001 0.6 ± 0.4 0.9 ± 0.6 $1.7+0.6$ 1.1 ± 0.7 <0.001 0.6 ± 0.4 0.9 ± 0.6 $1.7-1$ 56.4 61.9 0.17 58.6 56.9 0.001 92.0 ± 0.0 93.8 ± 8.9 0.001 91.3 ± 8.6 93.2 ± 9.1 tration (mg/L) 150.6 ± 40.2 158.9 ± 41.7 <0.001 147.7 ± 40.6 156.4 ± 40.9 tration (mg/L) 113.5 ± 28.8 118.3 ± 30.1 0.006 114.0 ± 28.7 115.0 ± 28.9	No dental visits in past 12 months	34.1	46.0	<0.001	31.7	39.6	46.8	<0.001
ng less than once per day53.665.3<0.00154.357.6 0.7 ± 0.6 1.1 ± 0.7 <0.001	Tooth brushing once or less per day	8.5	9.3	0.62	7.1	10.2	8.9	0.28
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Dental flossing less than once per day	53.6	65.3	<0.001	54.3	57.6	64.2	0.034
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	Plaque index	$0.7{\pm}0.6$	1.1 ± 0.7	<0.001	$0.6 {\pm} 0.4$	0.9 ± 0.6	1.2 ± 0.7	<0.001
	BOP 30%	I		ı	17.7	38.3	66.0	<0.001
92.0±9.0 93.8±8.9 0.001 91.3±8.6 93.2±9.1 tration (mg/dL) 150.6±40.2 158.9±41.7 <0.001	hs-CRP>3.0 (mg/L)	56.4	61.9	0.17	58.6	56.9	61.2	0.63
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	FPG (mg/dL)	92.0 ± 9.0	93.8 ± 8.9	0.001	91.3 ± 8.6	93.2 ± 9.1	93.8 ± 9.1	<0.001
113.5±28.8 118.3±30.1 0.006 114.0±28.7 115.0±28.9	1hPG concentration (mg/dL)	150.6 ± 40.2	158.9 ± 41.7	<0.001	147.7 ± 40.6	156.4 ± 40.9	157.7 ± 40.6	0.001
	2hPG concentration (mg/dL)	113.5 ± 28.8	118.3 ± 30.1	0.006	114.0 ± 28.7	115.0 ± 28.9	117.7 ± 31.1	0.25

uscript	
Author Manuscript	
Mar	

Author Manuscript

Pérez et al.

<30%	6 (n=741)	30% (n=450)	P value	<30% (n=741) 30% (n=450) P value None/Mild (n=407) Moderate (n=502) Severe (n=282) P value for trend	Moderate (n=502)	Severe (n=282)	P value for trend
Pre-diabetes [≁]	55.1	61.1	0.041	51.6	59.0	62.8	0.00
Modified definition of prediabetes ${}^{\!$	66.7	71.6	0.08	63.4	68.9	75.2	0.005
Fasting insulin (mIU/L) 10.3	10.3 ± 6.5	11.6±7.8	0.003	10.6 ± 6.9	$10.8 {\pm} 7.0$	11.2 ± 7.2	0.52
HbA1c (%) 5.7	5.7±0.3	$5.7{\pm}0.3$	0.76	5.7 ± 0.3	5.7 ± 0.3	5.7 ± 0.3	0.33
HOMA-IR 2.4	2.4±1.6	$2.7{\pm}1.9$	0.001	$2.4{\pm}1.7$	$2.5{\pm}1.7$	2.6 ± 1.8	0.24
HDL-C (mg/dL) 48.0	48.0±12.8	49.2±13.6	0.15	49.0 ± 12.7	48.3 ± 13.6	48.1 ± 13.1	0.64

 $\dot{\tau}$ Pre-diabetes was defined as having at least one ADA diagnostic criterion; the modified definition used ADA glucose thresholds for prediabetes plus 1hPG concentration >155 mg/dl.

Author Manuscript

Multivariable odds ratios for BOP status by glucose metabolism measures (n=1,191)

	aO	02% CI	*
			P value [*]
Prediabetes			
Model 1	1.44	1.13-1.84	0.004
Model 2^{\neq}	1.51	1.15-1.97	0.003
Prediabetes + impaired 1hPG concentration			
Model 1	1.42	1.09-1.84	0.009
Model 2	1.43	1.08-1.91	0.013
IFG			
Model 1	1.55	1.16-2.07	0.003
Model 2	1.50	1.10-2.06	0.010
Impaired 1hPG concentration			
Model 1	1.44	1.13-1.83	0.003
Model 2	1.39	1.07-1.80	0.013
IGT			
Model 1	1.58	1.17-2.14	0.003
Model 2	1.54	1.11-2.13	0.010
Impaired HbA1c			
Model 1	1.01	0.79-1.28	0.95
Model 2	1.06	0.81-1.38	0.66
HOMA-IR			
Model 1	1.51	1.16-1.98	0.002
Model 2	1.55	1.14-2.12	0.006

J Clin Periodontol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 February 01.

 \star^{f} Model 2 additionally adjusted for gender, education, smoking status, physical activity (METs), alcohol consumption (grams/week), WC, HDL-C, and plaque index.

 t^{\star}_{P} value derived from the Wald chi-square statistic.

Multivariable odds ratios for periodontitis status by glucose metabolism measures (n=1,191)

		IM	Moderate periodontitis	odontitis	ñ	Severe periodontitis	ontitis
	No or mild periodontitis ${}^{\sharp}$	OR	95% CI	P value [§]	OR	95% CI	P value [§]
Prediabetes							
* Model 1	1.0	1.24	0.95-1.62	0.12	1.46	1.07-2.00	0.018
Model 2^{\dagger}	1.0	1.31	0.98-1.74	0.07	1.67	1.17-2.40	0.005
Prediabetes + impaired 1hPG concentration							
Model 1	1.0	1.17	0.88-1.55	0.28	1.61	1.14-2.27	0.006
Model 2	1.0	1.22	0.90-1.65	0.19	1.84	1.24-2.73	0.002
IFG							
Model 1	1.0	1.52	1.08-2.14	0.017	1.87	1.28-2.74	0.001
Model 2	1.0	1.45	1.01-2.08	0.042	1.62	1.06-2.48	0.027
Impaired 1hPG concentration							
Model 1	1.0	1.14	0.87-1.48	0.35	1.54	1.13-2.10	0.006
Model 2	1.0	1.10	0.83-1.46	0.50	1.46	1.03-2.07	0.035
IGT							
Model 1	1.0	1.04	0.73-1.49	0.81	1.43	0.97-2.10	0.07
Model 2	1.0	1.06	0.73-1.53	0.75	1.53	0.99-2.36	0.06
Impaired HbA1c							
Model 1	1.0	0.95	0.72-1.24	0.70	1.04	0.76-1.42	0.81
Model 2	1.0	0.99	0.74-1.32	0.95	1.23	0.85-1.76	0.27
HOMA-IR							
Model 1	1.0	1.27	0.93-1.74	0.13	1.58	1.11-2.25	0.010
Model 2	1.0	1.20	0.84-1.71	0.31	1.51	0.99-2.32	0.06

J Clin Periodontol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 February 01.

f Model 2 additionally adjusted for gender, education, smoking status, physical activity (METs), alcohol consumption (grams/week), WC, HDL-C, and plaque index. $\sharp_{
m Reference}$ category

 $\overset{\delta}{\mathcal{S}}$ P value derived from the Wald chi-square statistic.

Table 4

Multivariable odds ratios * for BOP and periodontitis status by glucose metabolism measures among never (n=751) and ever (n=440) smokers.

Pérez et al.

		BOP	Moderate	Moderate periodontitis'	Severe	Severe periodontitis ⁷
	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Prediabetes						
Never-smokers	1.55	$1.10-2.20^{\ddagger}$	1.19	0.84-1.69	1.53	0.95-2.45 [§]
Ever smokers	1.47	0.95-2.27 [§]	1.53	0.92-2.52	1.91	$1.08-3.39^{\ddagger}$
Prediabetes + impaired 1hPG concentration						
Never-smokers	1.39	$0.96-2.01^{\$}$	1.19	0.82-1.73	1.63	0.97-2.74 [§]
Ever smokers	1.56	0.98-2.49 [§]	1.26	0.75-2.11	2.16	$1.16-4.00^{\ddagger}$
IFG						
Never-smokers	1.52	$1.01-2.30^{\ddagger}$	1.43	0.92-2.23	1.85	$1.06-3.23^{\ddagger}$
Ever smokers	1.58	0.96-2.58 [§]	1.58	0.84-2.96	1.53	0.77-3.05
Impaired 1hPG concentration						
Never-smokers	1.33	0.95-1.85 [§]	1.07	0.76-1.52	1.23	0.78-1.95
Ever smokers	1.53	$1.00-2.36^{\$}$	1.12	0.67-1.86	1.92	$1.08-3.39^{\ddagger}$
IGT						
Never-smokers	1.60	1.07 -2.38 ‡	1.04	0.67-1.61	1.86	$1.09-3.17^{\ddagger}$
Ever smokers	1.38	0.78-2.45	1.02	0.51-2.06	1.03	0.47-2.23
Impaired HbA1c						
Never-smokers	1.05	0.74-1.47	0.95	0.67-1.36	1.13	0.70-1.82
Ever smokers	1.16	0.75-1.78	1.09	0.66-1.80	1.63	0.92-2.91
HOMA-IR						
Never-smokers	1.49	0.99 -2.24 [§]	1.13	0.73-1.74	1.74	0.99-3.07 [§]
Ever smokers	1.69	$1.04-2.77^{\ddagger}$	1.32	0.72-2.42	1.42	0.73-2.78

J Clin Periodontol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 February 01.

 $\dot{\tau}_{\rm Relative to none/mild periodontitis.}$

