

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Genetic effects influencing risk for major depressive disorder in China and Europe

TB Bigdeli¹, S Ripke^{2,3,4}, RE Peterson¹, M Trzaskowski^{5,6}, S-A Bacanu¹, A Abdellaoui⁷, TFM Andlauer^{8,9}, ATF Beekman¹⁰, K Berger¹¹, DHR Blackwood¹², DI Boomsma⁷, G Breen^{13,14}, HN Buttenschön¹⁵, EM Byrne⁶, S Cichon^{16,17,18,19}, T-K Clarke¹², B Couvy-Duchesne^{6,20,21}, N Craddock²², EJC de Geus^{7,23}, F Degenhardt^{19,24}, EC Dunn^{25,26,27}, AC Edwards¹, AH Fanous²⁸, AJ Forstner^{19,24}, J Frank²⁹, M Gill³⁰, SD Gordon²⁰, HJ Grabe³¹, SP Hamilton³², O Hardiman³³, C Hayward³⁴, AC Heath³⁵, AK Henders⁶, S Herms^{19,24,36}, IB Hickie³⁷, P Hoffmann^{19,24,38}, G Homuth³⁹, J-J Hottenga⁷, M Ising⁴⁰, R Jansen¹⁰, S Kloiber⁴⁰, JA Knowles⁴¹, M Lang²⁹, QS Li⁴², S Lucae⁴⁰, DJ MacIntyre¹², PAF Madden⁴³, NG Martin^{20,44}, PJ McGrath⁴⁵, P McGuffin¹⁴, AM McIntosh^{12,46}, SE Medland²⁰, D Mehta⁶, CM Middeldorp⁷, Y Milaneschi¹⁰, GW Montgomery⁴⁷, O Mors⁴⁸, B Müller-Myhsok^{8,9,49}, M Nauck⁵⁰, DR Nyholt⁵¹, MM Nöthen^{19,24}, MJ Owen⁵², BWJH Penninx¹⁰, ML Pergadia⁵³, RH Perlis^{54,55}, WJ Peyrot¹⁰, DJ Porteous⁵⁶, JB Potash⁵⁷, JP Rice⁵⁸, M Rietschel²⁹, BP Riley^{1,59}, M Rivera^{60,61}, R Schoevers⁶², TG Schulze^{29,63,64,65,66}, J Shi⁶⁷, SI Shyn⁶⁸, JH Smit¹⁰, JW Smoller^{25,26,27}, F Streit²⁹, J Strohmaier²⁹, A Teumer⁶⁹, J Treutlein²⁹, S Van der Auwera³¹, G van Grootheest¹⁰, AM van Hemert⁷⁰, H Völzke⁶⁹, BT Webb¹, MM Weissman^{71,72}, J Wellmann¹¹, G Willemsen⁷, SH Witt²⁹, DF Levinson⁷³, CM Lewis^{14,74}, NR Wray^{5,6}, J Flint^{75,76}, PF Sullivan^{77,78,79} and KS Kendler^{1,59} on behalf of the CONVERGE consortium and Major Depressive Disorder Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium

¹Department of Psychiatry, Virginia Institute for Psychiatric and Behavioral Genetics, Virginia Commonwealth University School of Medicine, Richmond, VA, USA; ²Department of Psychiatry, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin Campus Benjamin Franklin, Berlin, Germany; ³Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA; ⁴Analytic and Translational Genetics Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA; ⁵Institute for Molecular Bioscience, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia; ⁶Queensland Brain Institute, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia; ⁷Department of Biological Psychology, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; ⁸Department of Translational Research in Psychiatry, Max Planck Institute of Psychiatry, Munich, Germany; ⁹Munich Cluster for Systems Neurology (SyNergy), Munich, Germany; ¹⁰Department of Psychiatry, VU University Medical Center and GGZ inGeest, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; ¹¹Institute of Epidemiology and Social Medicine, University of Muenster, Münster, Germany; ¹²Division of Psychiatry, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK; ¹³King's College London, NIHR BRC for Mental Health, London, UK; ¹⁴King's College London, MRC Social Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, London, UK; ¹⁵Department of Clinical Medicine, Translational Neuropsychiatry Unit, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark; ¹⁶Department of Biomedicine, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland; ¹⁷Division of Medical Genetics, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland; ¹⁸Institute of Neuroscience and Medicine (INM-1), Research Center Juelich, Jülich, Germany; ¹⁹Institute of Human Genetics, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany; ²⁰Department of Genetics and Computational Biology, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, QLD, Australia; ²¹Centre for Advanced Imaging, University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia; ²²Department of Psychological Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK; ²³EMGO+ Institute, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; ²⁴Department of Genomics, Life and Brain Center, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany; ²⁵Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research, Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA; ²⁶Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA; ²⁷Psychiatric and Neurodevelopmental Genetics Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA; ²⁸Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, State University of New York Downstate Medical Center, Brooklyn, NY, USA; ²⁹Department of Genetic Epidemiology in Psychiatry, Central Institute of Mental Health, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany; ³⁰Department of Psychiatry, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland; ³¹Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Medicine Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany; ³²Department of Psychiatry, Kaiser-Permanente Northern California, San Francisco, CA, USA; ³³Institute of Neuroscience, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland; ³⁴Medical Research Council Human Genetics Unit, Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK; ³⁵Department of Psychiatry, Washington University in Saint Louis School of Medicine, St Louis, MO, USA; ³⁶Division of Medical Genetics, Department of Biomedicine, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland; ³⁷Brain and Mind Research Institute, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia; ³⁸Human Genomics Research Group, Department of Biomedicine, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland; ³⁹Department of Functional Genomics, Interfaculty Institute for Genetics and Functional Genomics, University Medicine and Ernst Moritz Arndt University Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany; ⁴⁰Max Planck Institute of Psychiatry, Munich, Germany; ⁴¹Department of Psychiatry and The Behavioral Sciences, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA; ⁴²Neuroscience Therapeutic Area, Janssen Research and Development, LLC, Titusville, NJ, USA; ⁴³Department of Psychiatry, Washington University in Saint Louis School of Medicine, St Louis, MO, USA; ⁴⁴School of Psychology, University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia; ⁴⁵Department of Psychiatry, New York State Psychiatric Institute, Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons, New York, NY, USA; ⁴⁶Centre for Cognitive Ageing and Cognitive Epidemiology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK; ⁴⁷Institute for Molecular Biology, University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia; ⁴⁸Psychosis Research Unit, Aarhus University Hospital, Risskov, Denmark; ⁴⁹Department of Molecular and Clinical Pharmacology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK; ⁵⁰Institute of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine, University Medicine Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany; ⁵¹Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, QLD, Australia; ⁵²MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics, Cardiff University School of Medicine, Cardiff, UK; ⁵³Charles E. Schmidt College of Medicine, Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, FL, USA; ⁵⁴Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA; ⁵⁵Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA; ⁵⁶Medical Genetics Section, CGEM, IGMM, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK; ⁵⁷Department of Psychiatry, University of Iowa, Iowa, IA, USA; ⁵⁸Department of Psychiatry, Washington University in Saint Louis, St Louis, MO, USA; ⁵⁹Department of Human and Molecular Genetics, Virginia Commonwealth University School of Medicine, Richmond, VA, USA; ⁶⁰Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology II, Institute of Neurosciences, Center for Biomedical Research, University of Granada, Granada, Spain; ⁶¹King's College London, MRC Social Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, London, UK; ⁶²Department of Psychiatry, University of Groningen, University of Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands; ⁶³Institute of Psychiatric Phenomics and Genomics, Medical Center of the University of Munich, Campus Innenstadt, Munich, Germany; ⁶⁴Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Medical Center Göttingen, Göttingen, The Netherlands; ⁶⁵Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA; ⁶⁶Human Genetics Branch, NIMH Division of Intramural Research Programs, Bethesda, MD, USA; ⁶⁷Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD, USA; ⁶⁸Division of Psychiatry, Group Health, Seattle, WA, USA; ⁶⁹Institute for Community Medicine, University Medicine Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany; ⁷⁰Department of Psychiatry, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands; ⁷¹Division of Epidemiology, New York State Psychiatric Institute, New York, NY, USA; ⁷²Department of Psychiatry, Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons, New York, NY, USA; ⁷³Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA; ⁷⁴King's College London, Department of Medical and Molecular Genetics, London, UK; ⁷⁵Merton College, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; ⁷⁶Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; ⁷⁷Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Solna, Sweden; ⁷⁸Department of Genetics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA and ⁷⁹Department of Psychiatry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA. Correspondence: Dr TB Bigdeli, Department of Psychiatry, Virginia Commonwealth University School of Medicine, Virginia Institute for Psychiatric and Behavioral Genetics, 800 E. Leigh Street, Biotech One, Suite 101, Richmond, VA 23219-1534, USA.

E-mail: tim.bigdeli@gmail.com

Received 19 November 2016; accepted 27 November 2016

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is a common, complex psychiatric disorder and a leading cause of disability worldwide. Despite twin studies indicating its modest heritability (~30–40%), extensive heterogeneity and a complex genetic architecture have complicated efforts to detect associated genetic risk variants. We combined single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) summary statistics from the CONVERGE and PGC studies of MDD, representing 10 502 Chinese (5282 cases and 5220 controls) and 18 663 European (9447 cases and 9215 controls) subjects. We determined the fraction of SNPs displaying consistent directions of effect, assessed the significance of polygenic risk scores and estimated the genetic correlation of MDD across ancestries. Subsequent trans-ancestry meta-analyses combined SNP-level evidence of association. Sign tests and polygenic score profiling weakly support an overlap of SNP effects between East Asian and European populations. We estimated the trans-ancestry genetic correlation of lifetime MDD as 0.33; female-only and recurrent MDD yielded estimates of 0.40 and 0.41, respectively. Common variants downstream of *GPHN* achieved genome-wide significance by Bayesian trans-ancestry meta-analysis (rs9323497; \log_{10} Bayes Factor = 8.08) but failed to replicate in an independent European sample ($P = 0.911$). Gene-set enrichment analyses indicate enrichment of genes involved in neuronal development and axonal trafficking. We successfully demonstrate a partially shared polygenic basis of MDD in East Asian and European populations. Taken together, these findings support a complex etiology for MDD and possible population differences in predisposing genetic factors, with important implications for future genetic studies.

Translational Psychiatry (2017) **7**, e1074; doi:10.1038/tp.2016.292; published online 28 March 2017

INTRODUCTION

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is the most common psychiatric illness and a leading cause of disability worldwide.^{1,2} MDD is modestly heritable (30–40%), may be genetically complex and likely heterogeneous, complicating efforts to identify replicable risk loci.^{3,4} The successful detection and interpretation of genetic associations require both increased sample sizes⁵ and empirically driven efforts to reduce phenotypic heterogeneity.⁶

Underpinning the success of genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of numerous traits has been the emergence of large research consortia.⁷ In addition to facilitating larger sample sizes, many consortia are increasingly ancestrally diverse, enabling identification of novel associations^{8–10} and independent replication of reported findings,^{11,12} as well as improving fine mapping of implicated loci.^{13,14} Consistent associations at replicated loci have been reported for psychiatric disorders¹⁵ and non-psychiatric traits,^{8,11,16–18} and shared liabilities are often borne out by genome-wide polygenic analyses.^{19–21}

Whether genetic factors predisposing to MDD are shared across ancestries is not well established, and two replicated genome-wide significant associations for MDD in China had markedly lower allele frequencies in Europeans and thus did not replicate.^{22–24} Allelic heterogeneity and population-specific genetic effects have been reported for several complex traits;^{18,25,26} however, the extent of differences across ancestries remains relatively unexplored.

We sought to clarify the extent to which liability to MDD is shared between European and East Asian populations via collaboration between the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC)²² and CONVERGE⁶ studies of MDD. We asked whether observed directions of allelic effects are consistent across populations, assessed the significance of cross-ancestry polygenic scores and estimated the trans-ancestry genetic correlation of MDD. We attempted to disentangle population differences from those arising from ascertainment or phenotypic definition through analyses of recurrent MDD and in female subjects. These meta-analyses represent the largest trans-ancestry genetic study of MDD to date.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ascertainment and genotyping

Sample ascertainment, SNP genotyping and quality-control procedures for PGC and CONVERGE have been described previously.^{6,22} Individual sites and sample sizes are presented in Table 1.

CONVERGE (China, Oxford and Virginia Commonwealth University Experimental Research on Genetic Epidemiology): Briefly, all subjects were Han Chinese women and had two or more episodes of MDD meeting DSM-IV criteria. After applying quality controls modeled after the PGC study, 10 502 samples (5282 cases and 5220 controls) and 6 242 619 SNPs were retained for analysis.

PGC MDD: Samples included here comprise Stage 1 of the PGC MDD study.²² Briefly, all subjects were of European ancestry, all cases were assessed using validated methods and met DSM-IV criteria for lifetime MDD, and the majority of controls were screened to exclude lifetime MDD. Available data on number of depressive episodes were used to identify recurrent cases (two or more episodes). Nine studies from the US, Europe and Australia were genotyped using SNP arrays. Imputation was performed with IMPUTE2 (ref. 27) using the 1000 Genomes Project data (v3; GRCh37/hg19),²⁸ resulting in a total of 13 381 627 autosomal and X chromosome SNPs.

Polygenic risk score profiling and binomial sign tests

Each data set was filtered on the basis of statistical imputation information (INFO) greater than 0.8 and minor allele frequency greater than 0.01 in both CONVERGE and PGC overall; linkage disequilibrium (LD)-based 'clumping' was used to obtain an approximately independent set of SNPs ($r^2 < 0.1$) while preferentially retaining the most significant SNP within 500-kb windows. We computed weighted polygenic scores (that is, log odds ratio of the associated allele), based on varying P -value thresholds in the 'training set' results (that is, CONVERGE or PGC); P -value thresholds ranged between 10^{-5} and 0.5. We evaluated the significance of case-control differences using logistic regression and covarying ancestry-based principal components and a study indicator variable. The predictive value of these scores is reported in terms of Nagelkerke's pseudo- R^2 (fmsb package in R).²⁹

Using the same sets of SNPs and the same P -value thresholds, we applied a binomial sign test to determine whether the number of SNPs demonstrating consistent directions of allelic effects between CONVERGE and PGC was greater than expected by chance (that is, a one-sided test of whether this fraction is greater than 0.5).

Trans-ancestry genetic correlation

The recently developed *popcorn* software³⁰ allows for estimation of the trans-ancestry genetic effect correlation (ρ_g) using GWAS summary statistics. Cross-ancestry reference scores, representing SNP-wise estimates of the similarity of LD (with neighboring SNPs) between populations, were calculated for East Asian ($N = 286$) and European ($N = 379$) subjects from the 1000 Genomes Project (v3).²⁸ For computational efficiency and consistency with previously reported estimates of genetic correlation, these calculations were based on ~1.2 M common SNPs present in HapMap3 (ref. 31) following study-wise exclusion of SNPs with INFO < 0.9 or minor allele frequency < 0.01%.

Table 1. Sample sizes by participating study site in discovery and replication phases

Phase	Study	Ancestry	No. of controls	No. of cases	No. of recurrent	
Discovery	Bonn Mannheim	EUR	1072 (48.3)	588 (64.1)	408 (66.7)	
	GenRED1	EUR	1097 (42.7)	1020 (70.8)	1020 (70.8)	
	GSK MPIP	EUR	859 (67.6)	861 (67.4)	831 (67.3)	
	MPIP MARS 650	EUR	539 (54.5)	373 (55.2)	128 (59.4)	
	NTR/NESDA	EUR	1727 (62.0)	1685 (69.2)	834 (71.2)	
	QIMR I317	EUR	960 (55.2)	1017 (61.0)	524 (65.6)	
	QIMR I610	EUR	748 (61.9)	433 (72.3)	250 (72.4)	
	RADIANT	EUR	1549 (54.2)	1903 (70.5)	1441 (70.8)	
	RADIANT—Germany	EUR	217 (53.9)	327 (65.7)	254 (70.5)	
	STAR*D	EUR	447 (43.8)	1240 (58.6)	912 (59.1)	
	CONVERGE ^a	EAS	5220	5282	5282	
	Replication ^b	Edinburgh	EUR	285 (48.8)	372 (59.4)	—
DepGenesNetwork		EUR	470 (62.6)	471 (77.5)	471 (77.5)	
GenRED2		EUR	474 (48.9)	830 (82.8)	830 (82.8)	
Harvard i2b2		EUR	1067 (50.1)	806 (66.9)	806 (66.9)	
Janssen		EUR	1380 (60.5)	466 (68.2)	466 (68.2)	
QIMR COEX		EUR	526 (57.6)	565 (71.5)	—	
RADIANT—Irish cases		EUR	340 (52.4)	109 (82.6)	109 (82.6)	
RADIANT—US cases		EUR	378 (51.9)	223 (78.5)	223 (78.5)	
RADIANT—Denmark cases		EUR	516 (40.7)	133 (69.9)	133 (69.9)	
SHIP-LEGEND		EUR	1087 (44.0)	366 (67.8)	—	
SHIP-TREND-0		EUR	484 (44.6)	163 (71.8)	—	
Totals		Discovery		14 435 (71.3)	14 729 (78.4)	11 884 (82.2)
		Replication		7007 (51.6)	4504 (72.3)	2572 (75.8)

Abbreviations: EAS, East Asian; EUR, European. Numbers of female subjects are displayed parenthetically. ^aAll cases and controls were female. ^bSee note in Materials and methods section.

We attempted to address possible heterogeneity by examining estimates of genetic correlation within- and across-ancestries, and for varying phenotypic definitions. Briefly, we divided the PGC and CONVERGE studies into approximate halves, performing association analysis in each subsample as described above, and subsequently estimating the genetic correlations between these nonoverlapping halves. Within the PGC, we randomly selected 5 of 10 studies (S_{EUR1}), with the remaining five studies taken as a comparison sample (S_{EUR2}). We selected $N=30$ of a possible 126 paired comparisons for which the sample sizes of each subset were equivalent (~1:1). We followed an analogous procedure in CONVERGE, selecting 12 of 24 sequencing batches (S_{ASN1}), with the remaining 12 batches taken as a comparison sample (S_{ASN2}). Within-ancestry comparisons were between nonoverlapping subsets (for example, S_{EUR1} versus S_{EUR2}) and utilized reference scores based on a single population, calculated as described above. Cross-ancestry estimates were based on comparisons of the full set of CONVERGE results to each of $N=60$ subsets from the within-PGC analysis. We compared cross-ancestry estimates for lifetime MDD, recurrent MDD and females-only by paired Student's t -tests.

SNP-based meta-analyses

Within each study, we tested for association between SNPs and affection status by logistic regression with PLINK,³² using allelic dosages and including ancestry principal components as covariates (plus a site indicator in PGC analyses). Backward-stepwise regression was used to select principal components demonstrating association ($P < 0.159$) with each diagnosis. We excluded SNPs with minor allele frequency < 0.01 or INFO < 0.5 in either CONVERGE or PGC (overall), or missing in greater than equal to five of nine PGC samples. We analyzed the X chromosome as previously described.²²

We performed Bayesian meta-analyses of PGC and CONVERGE studies using MANTRA.³³ By leveraging population differences in local LD structure, MANTRA has greater power to detect genetic effects demonstrating allelic heterogeneity than traditional approaches assuming random effects. When effects are consistent across studies, MANTRA is effectively a Bayesian implementation of fixed-effects meta-analysis. Interstudy genetic distances were calculated from the mean allele frequency differences. We adopted a threshold of \log_{10} Bayes factor ($\log_{10}BF$) > 7 for declaring genome-wide significance.

Gene-set enrichment analyses

We applied DEPICT³⁴ to identify significantly enriched gene sets and pathways in specific tissues and cell types. Briefly, genes in the vicinity of associated SNPs are tested for enrichment for 'reconstituted' gene sets, comprising curated sets expanded to include co-regulated loci. Tissue and cell-type enrichment analysis is conducted by testing whether genes were highly expressed in any of 209 MeSH annotations based on microarray data for the Affymetrix U133 Plus 2.0 Array platform (Santa Clara, CA, USA).³⁵

Because DEPICT adjusts for potential sources of confounding and multiple testing using precomputed GWAS of randomly distributed phenotypes, we elected to use as input P -values from inverse variance weighted (that is, fixed effects) meta-analysis of PGC and CONVERGE. Recalling that MANTRA is effectively a Bayesian implementation of fixed-effects meta-analysis when allele frequencies are similar between populations, we considered this to be an appropriate strategy, if not somewhat conservative.

Replication analyses

A total of 4504 cases and 7007 controls from 10 independent, European-ancestry cohorts were available for replication (Table 1). These studies represent recent additions to the PGC that were not included in the previously published analysis.²² A brief description of each study site is given in the Supplementary Material. At the time of writing, neither comparable East Asian GWAS data sets nor subject-level data on the number of depressive episodes were readily available. For analyses of recurrent illness, we included those replication studies that specifically ascertained recurrent cases.

For each phenotype definition, we identified independent (pairwise $r^2 < 0.1$ within 500-kb windows based on European 1000 Genomes Project samples), significant autosomal SNPs ($\log_{10}BF > 5$) from the trans-ancestry meta-analyses (10, 7 and 7 for MDD, female-only and recurrent MDD, respectively). We tested these SNPs for association using logistic regression and including ancestry principal components as covariates. We performed inverse-variance weighted meta-analyses of the replication samples using METAL. We also performed binomial sign tests comparing the directions of allelic effects across discovery and replication stages.

RESULTS

Polygenic risk score profiling and binomial sign tests

We employed polygenic risk score profiling to determine whether findings from CONVERGE or the PGC are, in aggregate, significantly associated with the MDD status in the other study. Scores based on PGC results were nominally associated with MDD in CONVERGE (Figure 1; Supplementary Tables S1–S3), accounting for ~0.1% of risk (Nagelkerke's pseudo- $R^2 = 7.46 \times 10^{-4}$; $P = 0.02$). Scores based on results for female-only yielded similar results (Nagelkerke's pseudo- $R^2 = 7.60 \times 10^{-4}$; $P = 0.0141$), whereas scores for recurrent MDD were most strongly associated overall (Nagelkerke's pseudo- $R^2 = 0.00201$; $P = 6.56 \times 10^{-5}$). Scores from CONVERGE were nominally associated with MDD status in the PGC data (Nagelkerke's pseudo- $R^2 = 6.08 \times 10^{-4}$; $P = 6.66 \times 10^{-3}$); these scores yielded similar results when considering female-only (Nagelkerke's pseudo- $R^2 = 0.00111$; $P = 4.15 \times 10^{-3}$), and recurrent MDD (Nagelkerke's pseudo- $R^2 = 9.13 \times 10^{-4}$; $P = 2.02 \times 10^{-3}$). However, only the results based on PGC-trained polygenic scores for recurrent MDD remained significant after correction for multiple testing (Supplementary Table S2).

We evaluated whether the observed fraction of results displaying the same direction of allelic effects across studies was significantly greater than expected by chance (that is, 50%) using binomial sign tests. Supplementary Table S4 gives the number of LD-independent SNPs considered, fraction of these SNPs displaying the same direction of effect in the other study and a one-sided binomial test P -value. For lifetime MDD, we observed the largest excess of same-direction effects in PGC for SNPs significant at $P < 0.2$ in CONVERGE (50.7%; binomial $P = 1 \times 10^{-3}$); this finding remains significant after multiple-testing adjustment (Supplementary Table S4). For the reverse comparison, the largest excess of same-direction effects was observed for SNPs significant at $P < 0.2$ in PGC (50.5%; binomial $P = 0.016$).

Overall, the greatest excess of same-direction effects in CONVERGE was observed for SNPs significant at $P < 0.1$ in the

PGC recurrent MDD analysis (51.1%; binomial $P = 3.05 \times 10^{-5}$); the fraction of same-direction effects in the PGC was largest in the female-only analysis, for SNPs significant at $P < 0.1$ in CONVERGE (50.9%; binomial $P = 1.11 \times 10^{-3}$). Although statistically significant after correcting for multiple tests (Supplementary Table S4), the observed excess of same-direction effects represents only a very small deviation from expectation under the null hypothesis.

Trans-ancestry genetic correlation

Table 2 displays the results of the trans-ancestry genetic correlation between East Asian and European populations. For lifetime MDD ($\rho_g = 0.332$, 95% confidence interval (CI): (0.270, 0.394)), this was both significantly greater than zero ($P_{\rho_g > 0} = 7.23 \times 10^{-26}$) and significantly less than one ($P_{\rho_g < 1} = 1.40 \times 10^{-99}$), indicating a partially shared polygenic basis of MDD risk between East Asians and Europeans. These findings remain significant after correction for multiple tests.

By comparison, recurrent MDD and females-only yielded slightly higher estimates of genetic correlation (Table 2). We compared

Table 2. Trans-ancestry genetic correlations between East Asian and European MDD subtypes

Trait ^a	ρ_g^b	$P_{\rho_g > 0}$	$P_{\rho_g < 1}$
Lifetime MDD	0.332 (0.270, 0.394)	7.23×10^{-26}	1.40×10^{-99}
Female-only MDD	0.402 (0.326, 0.477)	2.04×10^{-25}	2.59×10^{-54}
Recurrent MDD	0.410 (0.343, 0.477)	5.40×10^{-33}	2.23×10^{-66}

Abbreviation: MDD, major depressive disorder. ^aEuropean prevalences of lifetime, females-only and recurrent MDD were assumed to be 0.15, 0.20 and 0.105, respectively; prevalence of recurrent MDD among Chinese women was assumed to be 0.08. ^bEstimates of ρ_g are displayed with corresponding 95% confidence intervals.




Figure 1. Trans-ancestry association of polygenic risk scores with major depressive disorder. For scores based on results from PGC or CONVERGE, the variance in risk explained in the other study is shown on the y axis in terms of Nagelkerke's pseudo- R^2 ; scores based on various P -value inclusion thresholds are displayed as shaded bars. CONVERGE, China, Oxford and Virginia Commonwealth University Experimental Research on Genetic Epidemiology; MDD, major depressive disorder; PGC, Psychiatric Genomics Consortium.

these estimates by assuming an approximately normal distribution for ρ_g and obtaining a Z-score for the difference in values; these differences were found to be nominally significant for both recurrent MDD ($P_{\text{one-sided}} = 0.023$) and females-only ($P_{\text{one-sided}} = 0.044$). We followed up these results by calculating genetic effect correlation estimates based on comparisons of CONVERGE to $N = 60$ random subsets of the PGC data (Supplementary Figure S1). Compared with lifetime MDD ($\rho_g = 0.309$; 95% CI: (0.290, 0.327)), estimates of ρ_g were significantly higher for females-only ($\rho_g = 0.372$, 95% CI: (0.344, 0.401); $t(59) = 7.41$, $P_{\text{one-sided}} = 2.69 \times 10^{-10}$) and recurrent MDD ($\rho_g = 0.375$, 95% CI: (0.362, 0.389); $t(59) = 15.29$, $P_{\text{one-sided}} = 1.74 \times 10^{-22}$).

To aid our interpretation of the cross-ancestry results, we derived analogous within-ancestry estimates for East Asians ($\rho_g = 0.926$, 95% CI: (0.967, 0.967)) and Europeans ($\rho_g = 0.807$, 95% CI: (0.856, 0.856); Supplementary Figure S2). Notably, within-ancestry analysis of East Asians yielded significantly greater estimates of ρ_g ($t(56.45) = 3.70$, $P_{\text{two-sided}} = 0.0005$). However, as CONVERGE represents a single study, actual population differences are confounded here with those arising from ascertainment or heterogeneity in assessment methods and instruments among participating PGC studies.

SNP-based meta-analyses

We observed the strongest overall evidence of association experiment-wide between SNPs upstream of *gephyrin* (*GPHN*) at 14q23.3 (rs9323497; $\log_{10}\text{BF} = 8.08$) and lifetime MDD (Supplementary Figures S3 and S4). Associated SNPs show marked differences in allele frequencies between East Asian and European populations and opposing directions of allelic effect in CONVERGE and PGC (Supplementary Figure 4). This locus encodes a neuronal assembly protein that anchors glycine and GABAA receptors to the postsynaptic density in inhibitory neurons.³⁶ Intriguingly, the *gephyrin* region exhibits an unusual 'yin-yang' haplotype structure reflecting strong positive selection related to recent, rapid human evolution,³⁷ and has previously yielded suggestive evidence of association with depressive symptoms in the general population.³⁸

A total of 10 independent associated SNPs ($\log_{10}\text{BF} > 5$) were prioritized for replication (Supplementary Table S5; Supplementary Figure S4); of these, three were in or near *GPHN*, two represent previously reported associations in CONVERGE that did not replicate in PGC⁶ and one was the strongest reported association in the original PGC study.²² No single SNP in either the females-only or recurrent MDD analyses attained genome-wide significance (Supplementary Figure S3). From each of these analyses, seven independent associated SNPs were taken forward to the replication stage (Supplementary Tables S6 and S7).

We attempted to replicate these single-SNP associations in a collection of independent replication samples (4504 MDD cases and 7007 controls). For lifetime MDD, no single SNP yielded nominally significant evidence of association ($P < 0.05$) in fixed-effects meta-analysis of these replication samples (Supplementary Table S5). Replication analyses also failed to generate replication support for SNP associations identified for females-only or recurrent MDD (Supplementary Tables S6 and S7). Regional association and forest plots for these SNPs are provided in the Supplementary Figures S4–S6.

For selected SNPs from the trans-ancestry meta-analyses, we assessed the significance of the observed fraction of SNPs showing the same direction of effect across discovery and replication phases; these fractions were 0.30 ($P = 0.9453$), 0.286 ($P = 0.9375$) and 0.571 ($P = 0.5$) for lifetime, females-only and recurrent MDD, respectively.

Gene-set enrichment analyses

We used DEPICT to investigate whether particular pathways or gene sets were enriched for associations with any of the phenotypic definitions considered. For SNPs significant at $P < 10^{-5}$ in meta-analyses of lifetime, females-only and recurrent MDD (29, 24 and 27 independent loci, respectively), no single pathway or gene set was significantly enriched, or contained more significant genes than expected by chance, after correction for multiple testing ($q \geq 0.20$).

When we considered a more inclusive threshold ($P < 10^{-4}$), there were 167, 161 and 161 independent loci for lifetime, females-only and recurrent MDD, respectively. Following correction for multiple testing, only central nervous system neuron differentiation (GO:0021953) and axon cargo transport pathways (GO:0008088) were found to be significantly enriched ($q < 0.05$) in the analysis of lifetime MDD. An additional 11 gene sets were suggestively enriched ($q < 0.20$) and included several ontology terms related to neurodevelopmental processes (Supplementary Table S8). Finally, no tissue or cell types were enriched for associations with any definition of MDD ($q \geq 0.20$), irrespective of the significance threshold applied.

DISCUSSION

We have conducted a large, trans-ancestry meta-analysis representing, to our knowledge, the first systematic effort to analyze European and Han Chinese studies of MDD. As expected, we identified a shared, common polygenic basis of MDD between these populations, as exemplified by an excess of same-direction allelic effects, significant polygenic risk score profiling results and modest estimates of genetic correlation.

We initially considered the simple hypothesis that disease-relevant SNP effects would have similar sizes and directions of effect across European and Han Chinese studies,³⁹ without explicit consideration of population differences arising from genetic drift or divergent genetic architectures. Scores constructed from either PGC or CONVERGE results were significantly associated with lifetime MDD in the other study, albeit explaining a diminutive fraction of risk. However, it is commonly observed that polygenic prediction is generally poorer when 'training' and 'testing' data sets do not originate from a single ancestral population, likely attributable to differences in allele frequencies and patterns of LD.^{20,21}

Next, we applied the recently developed *popcorn* method³⁰ to obtain estimates of the genetic effect correlation between these populations. Briefly, the genetic correlation is the correlation coefficient of per-allele SNP effect sizes across populations. We found that the genetic correlation of lifetime MDD was significantly different from both zero and one, suggesting that there is substantial but incomplete overlap in common SNP effects predisposing to MDD in Europe and China. Of particular interest, comparisons based on females-only or recurrent MDD, which better recapitulated the ascertainment strategy in CONVERGE, yielded significantly higher estimates of genetic correlation despite an attendant reduction in sample size.

Given the extensive heterogeneity of MDD, and an expected and demonstrable loss of power arising from between-study differences in ancestry and ascertainment, our limited success in identifying novel, replicable evidence of genome-wide significant association is perhaps unsurprising. It is well understood that a trait's heritability—and by extension, a shared polygenic liability—is a less important determinant of successful identification of relevant associations than its underlying genetic architecture. Considering the relatively low genetic correlations reported here, we might expect an attenuation of statistical power to detect individual variants, that is, as compared with a similarly sized studies of the same ancestry. A concomitant, statistically

significant enrichment of biologically relevant gene sets is taken as an additional support for this interpretation.

Limitations

First, the absence of replicable associations with MDD in ancestrally diverse populations precluded more pointed comparisons of specific genetic effects.

Our attempts to reduce the heterogeneity of MDD, namely by focusing on two particular subtypes of illness, should be regarded as preliminary. Furthermore, questions pertaining to both screening and ascertainment of controls were not addressed in the current study, and could have reduced our power to detect relevant variation. We expect that with larger sample sizes, future studies will be sufficiently powered to address these issues.

Finally, by having conducted multiple separate analyses for females-only and recurrent MDD, we increased the multiple-testing burden. As these do not represent completely independent analyses, we have not corrected exhaustively for the total number of tests performed.

CONCLUSIONS

We have demonstrated a common polygenic basis of MDD that is partially shared between European and Han Chinese populations. Importantly, our findings appear to reinforce the idea that subtyping of MDD may yield additional insight into its etiology.⁴⁰ Striking an advantageous balance between phenotypically more homogeneous definitions of illness and sample size represents an ongoing and nuanced challenge for genetic studies of MDD.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

PFS is a scientific advisor to Pfizer. HJG reports receiving funding from the following: German Research Foundation; Federal Ministry of Education and Research Germany; speakers honoraria from, Eli Lilly and Servier. BM-M consulted for Affectix Pharmaceuticals. RP has received consulting fees from Proteus Biomedical, Concordant Rater Systems, Genomind and RID Ventures. The remaining author declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The CONVERGE study was funded by the Wellcome Trust (WT090532/Z/09/Z, WT083573/Z/07/Z, WT089269/Z/09/Z) and by NIH grant MH100549. All authors are part of the CONVERGE consortium (China, Oxford and VCU Experimental Research on Genetic Epidemiology) and gratefully acknowledge the support of all partners in hospitals across China. The PGC is supported by NIH grant U01 MH094421. Statistical analyses were carried out on the Genetic Cluster Computer (<http://www.geneticcluster.org>), which is financially supported by the Netherlands Scientific Organization (NWO 480-05-003 P1: Posthuma) along with a supplement from the Dutch Brain Foundation and the VU University Amsterdam. We thank TH Pers for support for the DEPICT software. Generation Scotland (GS:SFHS) was supported by a Wellcome Trust Strategic Award 'Stratifying Resilience and Depression Longitudinally' (STRaDL; Reference 104036/Z/14/Z), and received core support from the Chief Scientist Office (CSO) of the Scottish Government Health Directorates (grant number CZD/16/6) and the Scottish Funding Council (HR03006). AMM is supported by a Scottish Funding Council Senior Clinical Fellowship and by the Dame Theresa and Mortimer Sackler Foundation. The Bonn/Manheim (BoMa) study was supported by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) through the Integrated Genome Research Network (IG) MoodS (Systematic Investigation of the Molecular Causes of Major Mood Disorders and Schizophrenia; grant 01GS08144 to Markus M Nöthen and Sven Cichon, grant 01GS08147 to Marcella Rietschel), under the auspices of the National Genome Research Network plus (NGFNplus), and through the Integrated Network IntegraMent (Integrated Understanding of Causes and Mechanisms in Mental Disorders, grant BMBF01ZX1314G), under the auspices of the e:Med Programme. The GenRED GWAS project was supported by NIMH R01 Grants MH061686 (DF Levinson), MH059542 (WH Coryell), MH075131 (WB Lawson), MH059552 (JB Potash), MH059541 (WA Scheftner) and MH060912 (MM Weissman). We acknowledge the contributions of Dr George S Zubenko and Dr Wendy N Zubenko, Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, to the GenRED I project. The NIMH Cell Repository at Rutgers University and the NIMH Center for Collaborative Genetic Studies on Mental Disorders

made essential contributions to this project. Genotyping was carried out by the Broad Institute Center for Genotyping and Analysis with support from Grant U54 RR020278 (which partially subsidized the genotyping of the GenRED cases). Collection and quality-control analyses of the control data set were supported by grants from NIMH and the National Alliance for Research on Schizophrenia and Depression. We are grateful to Knowledge Networks (Menlo Park, CA, USA) for assistance in collecting the control data set. We express our profound appreciation to the families who participated in this project, and to the many clinicians who facilitated the referral of participants to the study. The RADIANT studies were funded by the following: a joint grant from the UK Medical Research Council and GlaxoSmithKline (G0701420); the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Specialist Biomedical Research Centre for Mental Health at the South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London; and the UK Medical Research Council (G0000647). The GENDEP study was funded by a European Commission Framework 6 grant, EC Contract Ref.: LSHB-CT-2003-503428. Max Planck Institute of Psychiatry MARS study was supported by the BMBF Program Molecular Diagnostics: Validation of Biomarkers for Diagnosis and Outcome in Major Depression (01ES0811). Genotyping was supported by the Bavarian Ministry of Commerce, and the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) in the framework of the National Genome Research Network (NGFN) and NGFN-Plus, FKZ 01GS0481 and 01GS08145). The Netherlands Study of Depression and Anxiety (NESDA) and the Netherlands Twin Register (NTR) contributed to GAIN-MDD and to MDD2000. This study was funded by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (MagW/ ZonMW Grants 904-61-090, 985-10-002, 904-61-193, 480-04-004, 400-05-717, 912-100-20; Spinozapremie 56-464-14192; Geestkracht program Grant 10-000-1002); the Center for Medical Systems Biology (NWO Genomics), Biobanking and Biomolecular Resources Research Infrastructure, VU University's EMGO Institute for Health and Care Research and Neuroscience Campus Amsterdam, NBIC/BioAssist/ RK (2008.024); the European Science Foundation (EU/QLRT-2001-01254); the European Community's Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007-2013); ENGAGE (HEALTH-F4-2007-201413); and the European Science Council (ERC, 230374). Genotyping was funded in part by the Genetic Association Information Network (GAIN) of the Foundation for the US National Institutes of Health, and analysis was supported by grants from GAIN and the NIMH (MH081802). Funding for the QIMR samples was provided by the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (241944, 339462, 389927, 389875, 389891, 389892, 389938, 442915, 442981, 496675, 496739, 552485, 552498, 613602, 613608, 613674 and 619667), the Australian Research Council (FT0991360 and FT0991022), the FP-5 GenomEUtwin Project (QLG2-CT-2002-01254) and the US National Institutes of Health (AA07535, AA10248, AA13320, AA13321, AA13326, AA14041, MH66206, DA12854 and DA019951) and the Center for Inherited Disease Research (Baltimore, MD, USA). We thank the twins and their families registered at the Australian Twin Registry for their participation in the many studies that have contributed to this research. Genotyping of STAR*D was supported by an NIMH Grant MH072802 (SP Hamilton). STAR*D was funded by the National Institute of Mental Health (contract N01MH90003) to the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas (AJ Rush, principal investigator). SHIP is part of the Community Medicine Research net of the University of Greifswald, Germany, which is funded by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (grants no. 01ZZ9603, 01ZZ0103 and 01ZZ0403), the Ministry of Cultural Affairs as well as the Social Ministry of the Federal State of Mecklenburg-West Pomerania and the network 'Greifswald Approach to Individualized Medicine (GANI_MED)' funded by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (grant 03IS2061A). Genome-wide data of SHIP have been supported by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (grant no. 03ZIK012) and a joint grant from Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany, and the Federal State of Mecklenburg-West Pomerania. The University of Greifswald is a member of the Caché Campus program of the InterSystems GmbH. SHIP LEGEND was funded by the German Research Foundation (grant GR1912/5-1). The Harvard i2b2 project was supported by Award # U54LM008748 from the National Library of Medicine (to ISK) and R01MH086026 and R01MH085542 from the National Institute of Mental Health (to RHP and JWS, respectively).

REFERENCES

- 1 Ustun TB, Ayuso-Mateos JL, Chatterji S, Mathers C, Murray CJ. Global burden of depressive disorders in the year 2000. *Br J Psychiatry* 2004; **184**: 38q6–392.
- 2 Alonso J, Angermeyer MC, Bernert S, Bruffaerts R, Brugha TS, Bryson H et al. Disability and quality of life impact of mental disorders in Europe: results from the European Study of the Epidemiology of Mental Disorders (ESEMeD) project. *Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl* 2004; 38–46.
- 3 Sullivan PF, Neale MC, Kendler KS. Genetic epidemiology of major depression: review and meta-analysis. *Am J Psychiatry* 2000; **157**: 1552–1562.
- 4 Flint J, Kendler KS. The genetics of major depression. *Neuron* 2014; **81**: 484–503.
- 5 Wray NR, Pergadia ML, Blackwood DH, Penninx BW, Gordon SD, Nyholt DR et al. Genome-wide association study of major depressive disorder: new results, meta-analysis, and lessons learned. *Mol Psychiatry* 2012; **17**: 36–48.
- 6 CONVERGE consortium. Sparse whole-genome sequencing identifies two loci for major depressive disorder. *Nature* 2015; **523**: 588–591.

- 7 Sullivan P. Psychiatric genetics, investigators. Don't give up on GWAS. *Mol Psychiatry* 2012; **17**: 2–3.
- 8 Wang H, Burnett T, Kono S, Haiman CA, Iwasaki M, Wilkens LR et al. Trans-ethnic genome-wide association study of colorectal cancer identifies a new susceptibility locus in VTI1A. *Nat Commun* 2014; **5**: 4613.
- 9 Early_Genetics_Lifecourse_Epidemiology_(EAGLE)_Eczema_Consortium, Australian Asthma Genetics, Consortium, Australian Asthma Genetics Consortium, Aagc. Multi-ancestry genome-wide association study of 21,000 cases and 95,000 controls identifies new risk loci for atopic dermatitis. *Nat Genet* 2015; **47**: 1449–1456.
- 10 Coffee_and_Caffeine_Genetics_Consortium, Cornelis MC, Byrne EM, Esko T, Nalls MA, Ganna A et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis identifies six novel loci associated with habitual coffee consumption. *Mol Psychiatry* 2015; **20**: 647–656.
- 11 Keller MF, Reiner AP, Okada Y, van Rooij FJ, Johnson AD, Chen MH et al. Trans-ethnic meta-analysis of white blood cell phenotypes. *Hum Mol Genet* 2014; **23**: 6944–6960.
- 12 Ng MC, Shriner D, Chen BH, Li J, Chen WM, Guo X et al. Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies in African Americans provides insights into the genetic architecture of type 2 diabetes. *PLoS Genet* 2014; **10**: e1004517.
- 13 Replication DIG, Meta-analysis, Consortium, Asian Genetic Epidemiology Network Type 2 Diabetes, Consortium, South Asian Type 2 Diabetes, Consortium, Mexican American Type 2 Diabetes, Consortium, Type 2 Diabetes Genetic Exploration by Next-generation sequencing in multi-Ethnic Samples, Consortium et al. Genome-wide trans-ancestry meta-analysis provides insight into the genetic architecture of type 2 diabetes susceptibility. *Nat Genet* 2014; **46**: 234–244.
- 14 Liu CT, Buchkovich ML, Winkler TW, Heid IM, African Ancestry Anthropometry Genetics, Consortium, Consortium, GIANT Consortium. Multi-ethnic fine-mapping of 14 central adiposity loci. *Hum Mol Genet* 2014; **23**: 4738–4744.
- 15 Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics, Consortium. Biological insights from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. *Nature* 2014; **511**: 421–427.
- 16 McKenzie CA, Abecasis GR, Keavney B, Forrester T, Ratcliffe PJ, Julier C et al. Trans-ethnic fine mapping of a quantitative trait locus for circulating angiotensin I-converting enzyme (ACE). *Hum Mol Genet* 2001; **10**: 1077–1084.
- 17 Nishida N, Sawai H, Kashiwase K, Minami M, Sugiyama M, Seto WK et al. New susceptibility and resistance HLA-DP alleles to HBV-related diseases identified by a trans-ethnic association study in Asia. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**: e86449.
- 18 Wu Y, Waite LL, Jackson AU, Sheu WH, Buyske S, Absher D et al. Trans-ethnic fine-mapping of lipid loci identifies population-specific signals and allelic heterogeneity that increases the trait variance explained. *PLoS Genet* 2013; **9**: e1003379.
- 19 de Candia TR, Lee SH, Yang J, Browning BL, Gejman PV, Levinson DF et al. Additive genetic variation in schizophrenia risk is shared by populations of African and European descent. *Am J Hum Genet* 2013; **93**: 463–470.
- 20 Ikeda M, Aleksic B, Kinoshita Y, Okochi T, Kawashima K, Kushima I et al. Genome-wide association study of schizophrenia in a Japanese population. *Biol Psychiatry* 2011; **69**: 472–478.
- 21 International Schizophrenia, Consortium, Purcell SM, Wray NR, Stone JL, Visscher PM, O'Donovan MC et al. Common polygenic variation contributes to risk of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *Nature* 2009; **460**: 748–752.
- 22 Major Depressive Disorder Working Group of the Psychiatric, Gwas Consortium, Ripke S, Wray NR, Lewis CM, Hamilton SP, Weissman MM et al. A mega-analysis of genome-wide association studies for major depressive disorder. *Mol Psychiatry* 2013; **18**: 497–511.
- 23 Consortium, Converge. Sparse whole-genome sequencing identifies two loci for major depressive disorder. *Nature* 2015; **523**: 588–591.
- 24 Hyde CL, Nagle MW, Tian C, Chen X, Paciga SA, Wendland JR et al. Identification of 15 genetic loci associated with risk of major depression in individuals of European descent. *Nat Genet* 2016; **48**: 1031–1036.
- 25 Yin X, Low HQ, Wang L, Li Y, Ellinghaus E, Han J et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis identifies multiple novel associations and ethnic heterogeneity of psoriasis susceptibility. *Nat Commun* 2015; **6**: 6916.
- 26 Seyerle AA, Young AM, Jeff JM, Melton PE, Jorgensen NW, Lin Y et al. Evidence of heterogeneity by race/ethnicity in genetic determinants of QT interval. *Epidemiology* 2014; **25**: 790–798.
- 27 Howie BN, Donnelly P, Marchini J. A flexible and accurate genotype imputation method for the next generation of genome-wide association studies. *PLoS Genet* 2009; **5**: e1000529.
- 28 Genomes Project, Consortium, Abecasis GR, Auton A, Brooks LD, DePristo MA, Durbin RM et al. An integrated map of genetic variation from 1,092 human genomes. *Nature* 2012; **491**: 56–65.
- 29 Nakazawa M. *Practices of Medical and Health Data Analysis using R*. Pearson Education: Japan, 2007.
- 30 Brown BC, Asian Genetic Epidemiology Network Type 2 Diabetes, Consortium, Ye CJ, Price AL, Zaitlen N. Transethnic genetic-correlation estimates from summary statistics. *Am J Hum Genet* 2016; **99**: 76–88.
- 31 International HapMap Consortium. A haplotype map of the human genome. *Nature* 2005; **437**: 1299–1320.
- 32 Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MA, Bender D et al. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am J Hum Genet* 2007; **81**: 559–575.
- 33 Morris AP. Transethnic meta-analysis of genomewide association studies. *Genet Epidemiol* 2011; **35**: 809–822.
- 34 Pers TH, Karjalainen JM, Chan Y, Westra HJ, Wood AR, Yang J et al. Biological interpretation of genome-wide association studies using predicted gene functions. *Nat Commun* 2015; **6**: 5890.
- 35 Fehrmann RS, Karjalainen JM, Krajewska M, Westra HJ, Maloney D, Simeonov A et al. Gene expression analysis identifies global gene dosage sensitivity in cancer. *Nat Genet* 2015; **47**: 115–125.
- 36 Tyagarajan SK, Fritschy JM. Gephyrin: a master regulator of neuronal function? *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2014; **15**: 141–156.
- 37 Climer S, Templeton AR, Zhang W. Human gephyrin is encompassed within giant functional noncoding yin-yang sequences. *Nat Commun* 2015; **6**: 6534.
- 38 Hek K, Demirkan A, Lahti J, Terracciano A, Teumer A, Cornelis MC et al. A genome-wide association study of depressive symptoms. *Biol Psychiatry* 2013; **73**: 667–678.
- 39 Ioannidis J, Ntzani EE, Trikalinos TA. 'Racial' differences in genetic effects for complex diseases. *Nat Genet* 2004; **36**: 1312–1318.
- 40 Power RA, Tansley KE, Buttenschön HN, Cohen-Woods S, Bigdeli T, Hall LS et al. Genome-wide association for major depression through age at onset stratification: major depressive disorder Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium. *Biol Psychiatry* 2016; **S0006-3223**: 32386–1.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

© The Author(s) 2017

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on the Translational Psychiatry website (<http://www.nature.com/tp>)