

Metformin and breast cancer stage at diagnosis: a population-based study

I.C. Lega MD MSc,*† K. Fung MSc,*‡ P.C. Austin PhD,§ and L.L. Lipscombe MD MSc*†‡§

ABSTRACT

Purpose The objective of the present study was to use a large, population-based cohort to examine the association between metformin and breast cancer stage at diagnosis while accounting for mammography differences.

Methods We used data from Ontario administrative health databases to identify women 68 years of age or older with diabetes and invasive breast cancer diagnosed from 1 January 2007 to 31 December 2012. Adjusted logistic regression models were used to compare breast cancer stage at diagnosis (stages I and II vs. III and IV) between the women exposed and not exposed to metformin. We also examined the association between metformin use and estrogen receptor status, tumour size, and lymph node status in the subset of women for whom those data were available.

Results We identified 3125 women with diabetes and breast cancer; 1519 (48.6%) had been exposed to metformin before their cancer diagnosis. Median age at breast cancer diagnosis was 76 years (interquartile range: 72–82 years), and mean duration of diabetes was 8.8 ± 5.9 years. In multivariable analyses, metformin exposure was not associated with an earlier stage of breast cancer (odds ratio: 0.98; 95% confidence interval: 0.81 to 1.19). In secondary analyses, metformin exposure was not associated with estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer, tumours larger than 2 cm, or positive lymph nodes.

Conclusions This population-based study did not show an association between metformin use and breast cancer stage or tumour characteristics at diagnosis. Our study considered older women with long-standing diabetes, and therefore further studies in younger patients could be warranted.

Key Words Breast cancer stage, population-based studies

Curr Oncol. 2017 Apr;24(2):e85-e91

www.current-oncology.com

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes is associated with an increased risk of breast cancer and a 40% higher risk of mortality after a cancer diagnosis¹. Insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia might predispose women with type 2 diabetes to more aggressive tumours, contributing to their higher breast cancer mortality². Indeed, we previously showed that women with diabetes are more likely to present with later-stage breast cancer, even after adjusting for prior screening mammography³.

Interventions that reduce circulating insulin might influence cancer growth and stage at diagnosis in women with diabetes who develop breast cancer. Metformin, an insulin-sensitizing medication used to treat diabetes, has been associated with reduced tumour growth^{4–7} and improved pathologic complete response in breast cancer patients receiving neoadjuvant chemotherapy⁸. Furthermore,

observational studies have shown a lower risk of breast^{9,10} and other cancers^{11,12} and lower breast cancer mortality¹³ in women with diabetes treated with metformin.

It remains unknown whether treatment with metformin has an effect on tumour growth and subtype before breast cancer is diagnosed clinically. To date, no studies have examined the association between metformin and breast cancer stage. Because metformin is a common therapy for type 2 diabetes, it is important to determine whether it modifies not only the risk of breast cancer but also the stage at which the disease presents in women with diabetes.

A small study reported that, compared with non-users of metformin, users presented with less locally invasive breast cancer¹⁴. Similarly, a study from the U.S. Women's Health Initiative found a reduction in invasive breast cancer among metformin users¹⁵. Whether metformin affects molecular breast cancer subtype is controversial.

One study reported a reduction in hormone-sensitive tumours with metformin exposure¹⁵; others reported either an increase^{16,17} or no difference in hormone-positive tumours¹⁴. Those prior studies have been limited by small sample sizes, incomplete drug data, and inconsistent comparator groups and statistical methods. Furthermore, all but one accounted for screening patterns, an important predictor of stage¹⁸. Screening mammography rates are also influenced by comorbidities such as diabetes, which could modify the relationship between diabetes treatment and cancer stage¹⁹.

The objective of the present study was to use a large, population-based cohort to examine the association between metformin use and breast cancer stage at diagnosis while accounting for mammography differences. We also explored the association between metformin use and tumour size, lymph node status, and hormone receptor status.

METHODS

This retrospective population-based cohort study used data from administrative health care databases in Ontario, which include records for all individuals eligible for coverage under the province's universal health insurance plan. The various datasets were linked using unique encoded identifiers and were analyzed at the Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences.

Data Sources and Population

The study cohort was identified from among the population of Ontario women with diabetes, 68 years of age or older, who were diagnosed with invasive breast cancer from 1 January 2007 to 31 December 2012. The cohort was restricted to older women so as to capture at least 3 years of prescription drug records before the cancer diagnosis. Those records are available through the Ontario Drug Benefit plan for all individuals 65 years of age and older.

We used the Ontario Cancer Registry to identify women diagnosed with invasive breast cancer. The Ontario Cancer Registry contains data for all Ontario residents who have been diagnosed with or died of cancer since 1964²⁰. Individuals with any prior diagnosis of cancer (except non-melanoma skin cancer) in the Ontario Cancer Registry were excluded. We included only breast cancers diagnosed after 2007, because that was the year in which stage data became available for most breast cancers in the relevant databases.

We then used the validated Ontario Diabetes Database to identify women with diabetes. The Ontario Diabetes Database has been validated against primary care records and has high sensitivity and specificity for identifying individuals with diabetes²¹. To be included in the study cohort, a woman had to have been diagnosed with diabetes at any time before her breast cancer diagnosis. We excluded patients diagnosed with diabetes before age 30, because those women might have been affected by type 1 diabetes.

The Discharge Abstract Database maintained by the Canadian Institute for Health Information and the Ontario Health Insurance Plan database provided information about physician service claims. We used the Ontario Breast Screening Program database to look for mammography

history. The National Ambulatory Care Reporting System was used to identify patients on dialysis and those with chronic renal failure. We obtained information on demographics and deaths from the Registered Persons Database. Records from these administrative health care databases were linked anonymously using encrypted health card numbers.

Outcomes

Our primary outcome was breast cancer stage at time of diagnosis based on Cancer Care Ontario's cancer stage data. Patients were classified as having stage I, II, III, or IV breast cancer. Collaborative stage was determined if available; otherwise, we used stage data supplied by regional cancer centres. Our secondary outcomes were estrogen receptor status (positive, negative, unknown), tumour size (<2 cm or ≥2 cm), and lymph node status (positive, negative, unknown).

Primary Exposure

Metformin use was the main exposure of interest. For a woman to be categorized as a metformin user, she had to fill at least 2 consecutive scrips for metformin at any point in the 3-year period preceding her breast cancer diagnosis. Two scrips were considered to be consecutive if the second scrip was filled within an interval no longer than the duration of the previous prescription, plus a grace period of up to 50%.

For the primary analysis, metformin use was categorized as a binary exposure, where the comparator group consisted of non-users of metformin. Non-users of metformin included women prescribed either another oral glucose-lowering agent (sulfonylurea, thiazolidinedione, glucagon-like peptide 1, other) or insulin, or women receiving no pharmacotherapy. We also calculated each metformin user's cumulative exposure to metformin from age 65 by summing the number of days during which they were prescribed metformin (even if the days were non-consecutive) before their breast cancer diagnosis date. We categorized exposure into less than 1 year, 1–3 years, and more than 3 years of cumulative metformin exposure.

Other Covariates

Income status was based on neighborhood income quintile, derived from census data linked to postal codes in the Registered Persons Database. Rural compared with urban status was determined by linking postal codes to census data. Specific comorbidities were determined at baseline (derived from the Discharge Abstract Database and the National Ambulatory Care Reporting System). An overall comorbidity score was estimated using the Johns Hopkins Adjusted Clinical Group case mix. The Adjusted Clinical Group weighted case-mix score has been shown to predict mortality in ambulatory settings for patients with diabetes in Ontario²².

We also recorded health care variables. Number of visits to the primary care physician in the 2 years preceding diagnosis was obtained from Ontario Health Insurance Plan records. Receipt of mammography within 3 years before, but no less than 60 days before, the breast cancer diagnosis was determined using Ontario Health Insurance

Plan billing claims and the Ontario Breast Screening Program database. We limited the period to no less than 60 days before the breast cancer diagnosis to capture mammography that would most likely have been performed for screening rather than for diagnostic purposes.

Statistical Analyses

Baseline variables are described using summary statistics. Univariate and adjusted logistic regression models were used to compare breast cancer stage at diagnosis for women exposed and not exposed to metformin. The analyses compared the likelihood of presenting with stage I or II or with stage III or IV disease. Covariates that were statistically significant in the univariate models or those known to be clinically relevant were included in the multivariable model. The multivariable model was adjusted for age at breast cancer diagnosis, neighbourhood income quintile, urban residence (yes or no), number of outpatient visits in the 2 years preceding the breast cancer diagnosis, the Johns Hopkins Aggregated Diagnosis Groups weighted score, diabetes duration (years), use of other oral hypoglycemic agents (yes or no), use of insulin (yes or no), and mammography within 3 years of the breast cancer diagnosis.

Secondary Analyses

For secondary outcomes, we examined the association between metformin use and estrogen receptor status (positive vs. negative or unknown), tumour size (<2 cm vs. ≥2 cm), and positive lymph node status in the subset of women diagnosed with breast cancer during 2010–2012 for whom those data were available.

To examine the effect of various patterns of metformin use on the outcomes of interest, we categorized metformin use into current and past use. “Current use” was accepted if the two consecutive scrips overlapped with the 180 days before the breast cancer diagnosis. “Past use” was accepted if 2 or more consecutive scrips for metformin were filled more than 180 days before the breast cancer diagnosis. To isolate metformin’s effect from that of insulin or other glucose-lowering agents, we performed these additional subgroup analyses: insulin users excluded; metformin monotherapy users compared with non-users of metformin; and metformin monotherapy users compared with women taking no glucose-lowering agents.

We also conducted two sets of pre-specified subgroup analyses. First, we stratified the cohort by diabetes duration (<2 years, 2–5 years, >5 years). Second, to account for total drug exposure, we stratified the cohort by age of diabetes diagnosis (<65 years, ≥65 years), thus capturing complete drug exposure for individuals more than 65 years of age at time of diabetes diagnosis.

This study was approved by the institutional review board at Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Ontario.

RESULTS

The study population consisted of 3125 women with diabetes and breast cancer, among whom 1519 (48.6%) were exposed to metformin before their breast cancer diagnosis.

Median age at breast cancer diagnosis was 76 years (interquartile range: 72–82 years), and mean duration of diabetes before breast cancer diagnosis was 8.8 ± 5.9 years.

Table 1 presents baseline variables by exposure to metformin. Users of metformin were slightly younger at breast cancer diagnosis and had a longer duration of diabetes. Non-users had a higher prevalence of chronic renal failure, but the two groups had similar proportions of other comorbidities. The mean duration of metformin use was 2.3 ± 0.9 years. Among users of metformin, 636 (41.9%) were exposed to sulfonylureas, 189 (12.4%) to thiazolidinediones, 216 (14.2%) to insulin, 53 (3.5%) to DPP-4 inhibitors, and 25 (1.7%) to acarbose during the 3 years before their cancer diagnosis.

In multivariable analyses, metformin exposure before breast cancer, compared with no exposure, was not associated with an earlier stage of breast cancer at diagnosis [odds ratio (OR): 0.98; 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.81 to 1.19]. Similarly, no association between cumulative metformin dose and stage at diagnosis was observed (Table II).

Secondary Outcomes

For the subset of women with available data about receptor status, tumour size, and lymph node status, we compared the likelihood of those outcomes in women using and not using metformin. Comparing users of metformin with non-users, we observed no differences in the likelihood of presenting with estrogen receptor–positive breast cancer (OR: 0.97; 95% CI: 0.73 to 1.29), with a tumour 2 cm or larger (OR: 1.15; 95% CI: 0.92 to 1.44), or with positive lymph nodes (OR: 0.95; 95% CI: 0.75 to 1.19; Table III).

When women with metformin exposure were classified into current and past users, no difference in stage at presentation was observed for either group compared with the non-users of metformin (current-use OR: 1.06; 95% CI: 0.86 to 1.31; past-use OR: 0.82; 95% CI: 0.62 to 1.07). Similarly, when women with metformin exposure were further categorized into metformin monotherapy users, stage at presentation was not different for those women compared with non-users of metformin or with women not on any pharmacotherapy (Table IV).

In the subgroup analyses, we observed no associations of metformin use with breast cancer stage by category of diabetes duration or for women more than 65 years of age at the time of breast cancer diagnosis [a group for whom all metformin exposure could be accounted for (results not shown)].

DISCUSSION

Our large population-based study failed to show an effect of metformin treatment on the stage or type of tumours in older women with diabetes who present with breast cancer. After adjustment for prior mammography screening, age, health care visits, comorbidity, and income, no association between metformin exposure and breast cancer stage, hormone receptor status, tumour size, or lymph node status at diagnosis was evident. Those findings suggest that metformin, when used to treat diabetes, does not influence breast tumour characteristics in the preclinical period for older women diagnosed with breast cancer.

TABLE I Baseline characteristics of women with diabetes exposed and not exposed to metformin before a breast cancer diagnosis

Characteristic	Metformin exposure ^a		
	Overall	Yes	No
Patients (n)	3125	1519	1606
Age at cancer diagnosis (years)			
Median	76	75	77
Interquartile range	72–82	71–81	72–83
Mean duration (years)			
Of diabetes	8.8±5.9	10.0±5.6	7.5±5.9
Of metformin use	2.3±0.9	2.3±0.9	—
Metformin duration group [n (%)]			
<1 Year		190 (12.5)	—
1–3 Years		1027 (67.6)	—
>3 Years		302 (19.9)	—
Exposure to other glucose-lowering medications [n (%)]	1185 (37.9)	803 (52.9)	382 (23.8)
Sulfonylurea	830 (26.6)	636 (41.9)	194 (12.1)
Thiazolidinedione	228 (7.3)	189 (12.4)	39 (2.4)
DDP4 inhibitors	59 (1.9)	53 (3.5)	6 (0.4)
Insulin	407 (13.0)	216 (14.2)	191 (11.9)
Comorbidities [n (%)]			
Stroke	58 (1.9)	33 (2.2)	25 (1.6)
Myocardial infarction	149 (4.8)	69 (4.5)	80 (5.0)
Congestive heart failure	197 (6.3)	98 (6.5)	99 (6.2)
Chronic renal failure	252 (8.1)	102 (6.7)	150 (9.3)
Diabetic complications	370 (11.8)	175 (11.5)	195 (12.1)
Mean ADG score	19.4±13.1	19.4±13.2	19.5±13.0
Screening mammography [n (%)]	1495 (47.8)	726 (47.8)	769 (47.9)
Neighbourhood income quintile [n (%)]			
1	716 (22.9)	375 (24.7)	341 (21.2)
2	704 (22.5)	364 (24.0)	340 (21.2)
3	579 (18.5)	264 (17.4)	315 (19.6)
4	591 (18.9)	282 (18.6)	309 (19.2)
5	524 (16.8)	229 (15.1)	295 (18.4)

^a Defined as filling at least 2 consecutive scrips for metformin at any point in the 3-year period preceding breast cancer diagnosis. DDP4 = dipeptidyl peptidase-4; ADG = Johns Hopkins Aggregated Diagnosis Groups.

TABLE II Unadjusted and adjusted logistic regression models estimating the risk of advanced stage of breast cancer (I, II vs. III, IV) in women with and without metformin exposure

Variable	Unadjusted analysis		Adjusted analysis ^a	
	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Metformin use	0.94	0.79 to 1.12	0.98	0.81 to 1.19
Cumulative metformin duration				
<1 Year	1.06	0.72 to 1.54	1.13	0.76 to 1.67
1 to 3 Years	0.88	0.73 to 1.06	0.92	0.75 to 1.13
>3 Years	1.13	0.83 to 1.55	1.15	0.82 to 1.61

^a Model adjusted for age at breast cancer diagnosis, socioeconomic status, urban residence (yes or no), number of outpatient visits in the 2 years preceding the breast cancer diagnosis, aggregated diagnosis group weighted score, diabetes duration (years), use of other oral hypoglycemic agents (yes or no), use of insulin (yes or no), mammogram within the 3 years preceding the breast cancer diagnosis. OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval.

TABLE III Adjusted logistic regression model^a estimating the effect of metformin use on estrogen receptor status, tumour size, and lymph node status

Variable	Adjusted analysis, metformin users	
	OR	95% CI
Estrogen receptor positivity	0.97	0.73 to 1.29
Tumour size greater than 2 cm	1.15	0.92 to 1.44
Lymph node positivity	0.95	0.75 to 1.19

^a Model adjusted for age at breast cancer diagnosis, socioeconomic status, urban residence (yes or no), number of outpatient visits in the 2 years preceding the breast cancer diagnosis, aggregated diagnosis group weighted score, diabetes duration (years), use of other oral hypoglycemic agents (yes or no), use of insulin (yes or no), mammogram within the 3 years preceding the breast cancer diagnosis. OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval.

TABLE IV Adjusted logistic regression models estimating the effect of metformin on breast cancer stage (I, II vs. III, IV), subgroup analyses by metformin exposure

Variable	Adjusted analysis, metformin users	
	OR	95% CI
Any metformin use		
Current ^a	1.06	0.86 to 1.31
Past ^a	0.82	0.62 to 1.07
Excluding insulin users ^b	0.96	0.78 to 1.18
Metformin monotherapy		
Versus no metformin ^a	1.00	0.78 to 1.27
Versus other oral agents ^c	1.05	0.70 to 1.57

^a Adjusted for age at breast cancer diagnosis, socioeconomic status, urban residence (yes or no), number of outpatient visits in the 2 years preceding the breast cancer diagnosis, aggregated diagnosis group weighted score, diabetes duration (years), use of other oral hypoglycemic agents (yes or no), use of insulin (yes or no), mammogram within the 3 years preceding the breast cancer diagnosis.

^b Adjusted for age at breast cancer diagnosis, socioeconomic status, urban residence (yes or no), number of outpatient visits in the 2 years preceding the breast cancer diagnosis, aggregated diagnosis group weighted score, diabetes duration (years), use of other oral hypoglycemic agents (yes or no), mammogram within the 3 years preceding the breast cancer diagnosis.

^c Adjusted for age at breast cancer diagnosis, socioeconomic status, urban residence (yes or no), number of outpatient visits in the 2 years preceding the breast cancer diagnosis, aggregated diagnosis group weighted score, diabetes duration (years), mammogram within the 3 years preceding the breast cancer diagnosis.

To our knowledge, the present study is the first to examine the association between metformin and breast cancer stage in women with diabetes. Furthermore, it is the largest study to date to examine the relationship between metformin and tumour characteristics and subtypes. Earlier studies were small, and most did not account for diabetes duration, comorbidities, or prior screening mammography. Furthermore, outcomes and methods varied from study to study.

In an analysis of 253 women, metformin users had a lower proportion of locally invasive disease; however, no difference was reported in tumour size, hormone receptor status, or lymph node positivity¹⁴. A smaller study of 90

women with diabetes and breast cancer reported an increased frequency of progesterone receptor–positive breast tumours among metformin users¹⁶. Finally, a study from the U.S. Women's Health Initiative found that metformin users were less likely to present with invasive breast cancer; however, the authors did not explore the relationship between metformin and breast cancer stage¹⁵.

There is evidence that metformin has antitumour properties. In preclinical studies, metformin was found to inhibit tumour spread and to reduce tumour growth^{23,24}. In short-term window-of-opportunity studies, metformin was shown to affect tumour growth both through indirect, insulin-dependent pathways^{6,7,25} and by activating AMPK pathways to directly affect cancer cells^{26,27}. However, in larger epidemiologic studies, those actions have not translated into an improvement in tumour stage or cancer burden for either breast cancer or other cancers. A study examining the effect of metformin exposure on colorectal cancer at presentation found that there was no difference in the risk of disseminated disease between users and non-users of metformin²⁸. That result might be due to the fact that, in epidemiologic studies, "metformin users" often includes patients on insulin, the presence of which could be negating any effect of metformin. However, our study failed to support that possibility: results were similar when insulin users were excluded. Furthermore, there is evidence that metformin is associated with a greater reduction in tumour growth in individuals who attain the largest reduction in insulin level²⁹. Thus, metformin's effect might be lost when not accounting for varying levels of insulin resistance.

In previous work, we showed that metformin use after a breast cancer diagnosis is not associated with decreased all-cause mortality¹³. Although metformin use was associated with lower breast cancer–specific mortality, our results did not reach statistical significance. In the present study, we explored whether metformin might affect tumour growth during the preclinical stages of breast cancer. Although our results are null, our study population was limited to older women diagnosed with breast cancer after the age of 68. Metformin might have a different effect in women who are younger at time of their cancer and diabetes diagnoses, and thus further studies could be warranted in those populations.

There are many strengths to the present study. It is the first study to use a large population-based cohort to determine the effect of metformin on breast cancer stage. We had access to validated databases for defining breast cancer and diabetes patients, and comprehensive stage data on a large proportion of the cohort. We also had universal drug data and were able to identify a minimum of 3 years of drug exposure in the study population. Moreover, we were able to account for mammography use. There are, however, limitations to the study. Clinical data—that is, body mass index, HbA1c, family history, and so on—were not available, and thus we could not adjust for those variables. We had to exclude 775 breast cancer patients because of missing stage data, which might have affected the generalizability of our results. Finally, we were limited to drug exposure that occurred after age 65, and thus the results might not be generalizable to younger patients.

CONCLUSIONS

This population-based study did not show an association between metformin exposure and breast cancer stage or tumour characteristics at diagnosis. The null association remained even when accounting for long-term metformin use, as well as for differing durations of diabetes. Those findings fail to support a role for metformin in breast tumour growth in the preclinical stage. Our study considered older women with long-standing diabetes, and therefore further studies in younger patients could be warranted.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was conducted with the support of the Ontario Institute for Cancer Research and Cancer Care Ontario (cco) through funding provided by the Government of Ontario. The study was supported by the Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences (ICES), which is funded by an annual grant from the Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care (MOHLTC). The opinions, results, and conclusions reported here are those of the authors and are independent from the funding sources. No endorsement by ICES or the Ontario MOHLTC is intended or should be inferred.

Parts of this material are based on data and information compiled and provided by the Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI). However, the analyses, conclusions, opinions, and statements expressed herein are those of the authors and not necessarily those of CIHI.

Parts of this material are based on data and information provided by cco. The opinions, results, views, and conclusions reported in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of cco. No endorsement by cco is intended or should be inferred.

We thank IMS Brogan Inc. for use of their Drug Information Database. An overall comorbidity score was estimated using the Johns Hopkins Adjusted Clinical Group case mix (software version 10.0.1).

ICL was supported by a fellowship from the Canadian Breast Cancer Research Foundation. LLL was supported by a Canadian Diabetes Association and Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) Clinician Scientist Award and is currently supported by a CIHR New Investigator Award. PCA is supported in part by a Career Investigator Award from the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada (Ontario Office).

The authors thank Hadas Fischer, ICES epidemiologist, for help with the analysis and manuscript preparation.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURES

We have read and understood *Current Oncology's* policy on disclosing conflicts of interest, and we declare that we have none.

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

*Women's College Research Institute, Women's College Hospital; †Department of Medicine, University of Toronto; ‡Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences; and §Institute of Health, Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON.

REFERENCES

- Barone BB, Yeh HC, Snyder CF, *et al.* Long-term all-cause mortality in cancer patients with preexisting diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2008;300:2754–64.
- Goodwin PJ, Ennis M, Pritchard KI, *et al.* Fasting insulin and outcome in early-stage breast cancer: results of a prospective cohort study. *J Clin Oncol* 2002;20:42–51.
- Lipscombe LL, Fischer HD, Austin PC, *et al.* The association between diabetes and breast cancer stage at diagnosis: a population-based study. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2015;150:613–20.
- Dowling RJ, Zakikhani M, Fantus IG, Pollak M, Sonenberg N. Metformin inhibits mammalian target of rapamycin-dependent translation initiation in breast cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 2007;67:10804–12.
- Zakikhani M, Dowling R, Fantus IG, Sonenberg N, Pollak M. Metformin is an AMP kinase-dependent growth inhibitor for breast cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 2006;66:10269–73.
- Bonanni B, Puntoni M, Cazzaniga M, *et al.* Dual effect of metformin on breast cancer proliferation in a randomized presurgical trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2012;30:2593–600.
- Niraula S, Dowling RJ, Ennis M, *et al.* Metformin in early breast cancer: a prospective window of opportunity neoadjuvant study. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2012;135:821–30.
- Jiralerspong S, Palla SL, Giordano SH, *et al.* Metformin and pathologic complete responses to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in diabetic patients with breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:3297–302.
- Col NF, Ochs L, Springmann V, Aragaki AK, Chlebowski RT. Metformin and breast cancer risk: a meta-analysis and critical literature review. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2012;135:639–46.
- Yang T, Yang Y, Liu S. Association between metformin therapy and breast cancer incidence and mortality: evidence from a meta-analysis. *J Breast Cancer* 2015;18:264–70.
- Decensi A, Puntoni M, Goodwin P, *et al.* Metformin and cancer risk in diabetic patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila)* 2010;3:1451–61.
- Noto H, Goto A, Tsujimoto T, Noda M. Cancer risk in diabetic patients treated with metformin: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e33411.
- Lega IC, Austin PC, Gruneir A, Goodwin PJ, Rochon PA, Lipscombe LL. Association between metformin therapy and mortality after breast cancer: a population-based study. *Diabetes Care* 2013;36:3018–26.
- Besic N, Satej N, Ratoska I, *et al.* Long-term use of metformin and the molecular subtype in invasive breast carcinoma patients—a retrospective study of clinical and tumor characteristics. *BMC Cancer* 2014;14:298.
- Chlebowski RT, McTiernan A, Wactawski-Wende J, *et al.* Diabetes, metformin, and breast cancer in postmenopausal women. *J Clin Oncol* 2012;30:2844–52.
- Berstein LM, Boyarkina MP, Tsyrlina EV, Turkevich EA, Semiglazov VF. More favorable progesterone receptor phenotype of breast cancer in diabetics treated with metformin. *Med Oncol* 2011;28:1260–3.
- Aksoy S, Sendur MA, Altundag K. Demographic and clinicopathological characteristics in patients with invasive breast cancer receiving metformin. *Med Oncol* 2013;30:590.
- Taplin SH, Ichikawa L, Yood MU, *et al.* Reason for late-stage breast cancer: absence of screening or detection, or breakdown in follow-up? *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2004;96:1518–27.
- Lipscombe LL, Hux JE, Booth GL. Reduced screening mammography among women with diabetes. *Arch Intern Med* 2005;165:2090–5.
- Brenner DR, Tammemagi MC, Bull SB, Pinnaduwa D, Andrulis IL. Using cancer registry data: agreement in cause-of-death data between the Ontario Cancer Registry and a longitudinal study of breast cancer patients. *Chronic Dis Can* 2009;30:16–19.
- Hux JE, Ivis F, Flintoft V, Bica A. Diabetes in Ontario: determination of prevalence and incidence using a validated administrative data algorithm. *Diabetes Care* 2002;25:512–16.
- Austin PC, Shah BR, Newman A, Anderson GM. Using the Johns Hopkins' Aggregated Diagnosis Groups (ADGs) to predict 1-year mortality in population-based cohorts of

- patients with diabetes in Ontario, Canada. *Diabet Med* 2012;29:1134–41.
23. Alimova IN, Liu B, Fan Z, *et al.* Metformin inhibits breast cancer cell growth, colony formation and induces cell cycle arrest *in vitro*. *Cell Cycle* 2009;8:909–15.
 24. Zhuang Y, Miskimins WK. Cell cycle arrest in metformin treated breast cancer cells involves activation of AMPK, down-regulation of cyclin D1, and requires p27Kip1 or p21Cip1. *J Mol Signal* 2008;3:18.
 25. Dowling RJ, Niraula S, Chang MC, *et al.* Changes in insulin receptor signaling underlie neoadjuvant metformin administration in breast cancer: a prospective window of opportunity neoadjuvant study. *Breast Cancer Res* 2015;17:32.
 26. Hadad S, Iwamoto T, Jordan L, *et al.* Evidence for biological effects of metformin in operable breast cancer: a pre-operative, window-of-opportunity, randomized trial. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2011;128:783–94.
 27. Schuler KM, Rambally BS, DiFurio MJ, *et al.* Antiproliferative and metabolic effects of metformin in a preoperative window clinical trial for endometrial cancer. *Cancer Med* 2015;4:161–73.
 28. Spillane S, Bennett K, Sharp L, Barron TI. A cohort study of metformin exposure and survival in patients with stage I–III colorectal cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2013;22:1364–73.
 29. DeCensi A, Puntoni M, Gandini S, *et al.* Differential effects of metformin on breast cancer proliferation according to markers of insulin resistance and tumor subtype in a randomized presurgical trial. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2014;148:81–90.