



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 May 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2017 May ; 26(5): 769–778. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-16-0748.

Tobacco use and cancer risk in the Agricultural Health Study

Gabriella Andreotti¹, Neal D. Freedman¹, Debra T. Silverman¹, Catherine C. Lerro¹, Stella Koutros¹, Patricia Hartge¹, Michael C. Alavanja¹, Dale P. Sandler², and Laura Beane Freeman¹

¹Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services, Bethesda, MD

²Epidemiology Branch, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina

Abstract

Background—Cigarettes are well known to cause cancer, but less is known about the risks of other tobacco products and use of more than one product.

Methods—We examined cancer incidence in relation to exclusive use of six tobacco products (cigarettes, other combustibles (pipe, cigar, cigarillo), and smokeless tobacco (chewing tobacco, snuff)) in the Agricultural Health Study. We also examined the added cancer risks associated with use of cigarettes and other tobacco products.

Results—In our study population of 84,015, ever use of smokeless tobacco was higher than the general United States population, while cigarette use was lower and other combustible product use was about the same. The strongest associations for exclusive ever use were for lung cancer (cigarettes hazard ratio (HR)=15.48, 95% confidence interval (CI): 11.95, 20.06; other combustible tobacco HR=3.44, 95% CI: 1.53, 7.71; smokeless tobacco HR=2.21, 95% CI: 1.11, 4.42). Compared to exclusive cigarette smokers, cigarette smokers who additionally ever-used another combustible product had higher risks of smoking related cancers (HR=1.16, 95% CI: 1.04, 1.30), especially among those who smoked cigarettes for more than 15 years.

Conclusion and Impact—Cigarette smokers who additionally ever used smokeless tobacco had cancer risks similar to exclusive cigarette smokers. Users of cigarettes and other combustible tobacco may have higher risks of certain cancers than exclusive cigarette users.

Keywords

tobacco; cancer; Agricultural Health Study

Correspondence to: Dr. Gabriella Andreotti, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, 9609 Medical Center Dr., Room 6E622, Rockville, MD 20892 (andreotg@mail.nih.gov).

Novelty and Impact: In the Agricultural Health Study the prevalence of smokeless tobacco use is higher than the general U.S. population, but the prevalence of cigarette use is lower. Therefore, this is one of the few studies with sufficient statistical power to evaluate cancer incidence in relation to exclusive and dual use of multiple types of tobacco products.

Conflict of Interest: The authors of this paper have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

INTRODUCTION

Cigarettes are the most common type of tobacco used in the United States (U.S.), followed by non-cigarette combustible products (e.g., pipe, cigar, cigarillo), and smokeless tobacco (e.g., chewing, snuff, snus) (1,2). However, there is some variation in tobacco use by geographical region (1–3). For example, use of smokeless tobacco among U.S. adults is more than twice as common in rural and agricultural populations compared to urban populations (3). Furthermore, use of more than one tobacco product, either at the same time or sequentially, has been estimated to constitute a considerable proportion of tobacco users (4). For example, based on a 2008 nationally representative survey, approximately 40% of smokeless tobacco users reported also smoking cigarettes (4).

Tobacco is one of the leading causes of cancer, accounting for approximately 16% of all cancer diagnoses and 30% of all cancer deaths in the U.S. (5–7). In 2009, the International Agency for Research on Cancer reassessed the carcinogenicity of combustible and smokeless tobacco. They found sufficient evidence linking cigarettes and non-cigarette combustible products with oropharyngeal, stomach, colorectal, liver, pancreas, nasal cavity, lung, cervix, ovary, bladder, kidney, ureter, and myeloid leukemia (8). They also reported sufficient evidence linking smokeless tobacco with cancer of the oral cavity, esophagus, and pancreas, but did not have sufficient evidence for lung cancer (8). Despite this determination, less is known about the cancer risks associated with individual non-cigarette combustible and smokeless tobacco products, as well the risks associated with more than one type of tobacco product.

In this study we examine the risks of cancer associated with exclusive use of cigarettes, pipes, cigars, cigarillos, chewing tobacco, and snuff, as well as the use of cigarettes and at least one additional tobacco product. This analysis is conducted within the Agricultural Health Study (AHS), a prospective cohort of participants recruited in Iowa and North Carolina (9). Previous studies in the AHS cohort have reported lower risks of lung cancer compared to the general U.S. population, which has been attributed partially to the lower prevalence of cigarette smoking compared to the general U.S. population (10,11). This is the first study to evaluate the use of cigarettes, other combustible tobacco and smokeless tobacco and cancer risk in the AHS cohort.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The AHS is a prospective cohort study of 89,655 participants, including licensed private pesticide applicators and their spouses recruited in Iowa and North Carolina, as well as commercial pesticide applicators recruited in Iowa. Participants were enrolled between 1993 and 1997; 82% of applicators seeking pesticide licensing and an estimated 75% of spouses of private applicators chose to participate in the study. A more detailed description of the study and the population has been previously published (9).

Cancer cases were identified using population-based state cancer registries. Incident cancer cases diagnosed between enrollment and 2010 in North Carolina and 2011 in Iowa were included. Tobacco use, as well as demographic, lifestyle, and occupational data were

ascertained by self-completed questionnaire. Participants were considered to be former smokers if they reported using at least 100 cigarettes during their lifetime but were not smoking at enrollment. Participants were considered current smokers if they reported using at least 100 cigarettes during their lifetime and were smoking at enrollment. Former and current smokers reported cigarette smoking duration and number of cigarettes smoked per day, which we used to calculate pack-years. For tobacco products other than cigarettes, participants were asked if they used pipes, cigars, cigarillos, chewing tobacco or snuff on a regular basis for six months or longer. Information on status (former, current), frequency, and duration of use was not collected for non-cigarette tobacco products.

Statistical Analysis

Our analysis included 84,015 AHS participants who were cancer-free at enrollment and had complete information on cigarette smoking use. We excluded 3,730 participants with missing information on cigarette smoking and 1,911 with prevalent cancers at enrollment. We calculated the prevalence of ever using any tobacco, which was based on use of cigarettes, pipes, cigars, cigarillos, chewing tobacco, or snuff. We also calculated the prevalence of ever using each of these products, using only one of these products (exclusive product use), as well as using cigarettes and at least one additional product (dual product use). In this paper we refer to dual tobacco users as person who ever smoked cigarettes (former or current) and ever users of another type of tobacco product.

Potential confounding factors for each cancer site evaluated were identified based on a review of the literature. We compared tobacco and non-tobacco users by gender, age at enrollment (<30, 30–39, 40–49, 50–59, 60–69, 70+), state of residence (IA, NC), race (white, black, other), education (less than high school, high school or more, other), BMI (<18.5, 18.5–24.9, 25–29.9, 30+ kg/m²), alcohol consumption in the year prior to enrollment (never, ever), usual number of alcoholic drinks in the year prior to enrollment (none, 3 per month, 1–4 per week, 5 per week), and fruit and vegetable intake in the year prior to enrollment (<1, 1–2, 3 servings per day). We also examined the distribution of these characteristics among exclusive and dual product users, and between participants with and without cancer. We computed average cigarettes per day, years smoked, and cigarette pack-years adjusted for age, gender, race, state of residence, education and alcohol frequency for exclusive and dual product users.

We examined associations of exclusive and dual product use with total cancer and tobacco-related cancer incidence. Tobacco-related cancers included bladder, colon, cervix, esophagus, kidney, larynx, lip, liver, lung, myeloid leukemia, nasal and sinus, oral cavity, pancreas, pharynx, rectum, stomach, tongue, ureter, and uterus (5,6,8). Due to the small number of exposed cases for some cancer sites, we evaluated the following sites in groups: gastrointestinal (colon, esophagus, liver, pancreas, rectum, stomach), urinary (bladder, kidney, ureter), and head and neck (larynx, lip, nasal and sinus, oral cavity, pharynx, tongue). Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for cancer incidence were calculated using Cox proportional-hazard regression models with person-years participating in the study as the time-dependent variable. Person-years were censored at the earliest of the following: cancer diagnosis, death, movement out of state, or end of follow-up

(December 31, 2010 or 2011 in NC or IA, respectively). Models were adjusted for age, gender, race, state of residence, education, alcohol frequency, cigarettes per days, and years smoked cigarettes. Since this is an agricultural cohort we evaluated the potential impact of pesticide use on outcomes by further adjusting for individual pesticides that have been previously found to be associated with cancer in the AHS. Because of differences in usage patterns, we also examined cancer risk stratified by gender, state of residence, and cigarette smoking status, duration and frequency. HRs based on fewer than four exposed cases were not reported. SAS version 9.1 (Cary, NC) and the AHS data release P1REL201209 were used to conduct all analyses.

RESULTS

Of the 84,015 study participants, 38,810 (46.2%) reported ever using at least one of the six tobacco products we evaluated. Fifty-six percent of the 53,071 male participants, and 29% of the 30,944 female participants ever used tobacco. Fifty-eight percent of the 28,266 North Carolina residents, and 40% of the 55,749 Iowa residents ever used tobacco. In both men and women, there was a higher prevalence of tobacco use among participants who lived in North Carolina, had less than a high school education, ever drank alcohol, or had a lower intake of fruit and vegetables (Table 1).

The most commonly used tobacco product among men who used any tobacco was cigarettes (84.9%), followed by chewing tobacco (27.3%), cigars (14.2%), cigarillos (11.4%), pipes (9.2%), and snuff (8.1%) (Supplemental Table 1). The patterns were slightly different among women who used any tobacco, with nearly all using cigarettes (98.6%), followed by cigarillos (3.1%), chewing tobacco (1.6%), snuff (1.5%), cigars (1.0%), and pipes (0.3%). Fifty-six percent of tobacco users were exclusive users of cigarettes (men: 45.0%, women: 93.4%). Sixty percent of exclusive cigarette smokers were former smokers. Nine and a half percent of tobacco users were exclusive users of smokeless tobacco (men: 12.0%, women: 1.2%), and 2% were exclusive users of other combustible products (2.5%, women: 0.1%). Twenty-five percent of tobacco users were users of cigarettes and at least one other non-cigarette product in their lifetime (men: 30.5%, women: 4.7%). Sixty-six percent of dual users were former cigarette smokers. Use of cigarettes and combustible products (16.3%, men: 20%, women: 3.8%) was higher than use of cigarettes and smokeless tobacco (11.5%, men: 14.6%, women: 1.2%). The highest dually used products were cigarettes and chewing tobacco among men (10.9%), and cigarettes and cigarillos among women (2.9%).

Adjusted mean cigarettes per day, years smoked cigarettes and cigarette pack-years among exclusive cigarette and dual tobacco users are shown in Supplemental Table 2. Among exclusive cigarette smokers, mean cigarettes per day did not differ considerably between current (14.6 cigarettes per day) and former (14.1 cigarettes per day), but current smokers (21 years) smoked for a longer duration than former smokers (12.5 years). Among the dual tobacco users, current cigarette smokers smoked fewer cigarettes per day but for a longer duration (11.9 cigarettes per day, 19.8 years) than former cigarettes smokers (13.3 cigarettes per day, 12.8 years). There was some variation by type of tobacco product. For example, among the dual cigarette-pipe smokers, former cigarette smokers smoked on average 21.7 cigarettes per day for 16 years, while current cigarette smokers smoked 5.5 cigarettes per

day for 23.7 years. Among the dual cigarette-cigar smokers, former cigarettes smokers smoked on average 8.4 cigarettes per day for 9.6 years, while current smokers smoked 11.4 cigarettes per day for 24 years. Comparing exclusive cigarette smokers to dual users of any tobacco, there was minimal difference in cigarette frequency and duration.

Exclusive Product Use and Cancer Risk

During the follow-up period (median of 8 years), 9,134 incident cancer cases were diagnosed. Of these, 3,401 cases occurred in smoking-related sites: 1,368 gastrointestinal, 789 lung, 645 urinary and 236 head and neck. Exclusive ever-use of cigarettes was associated with increased risks of all cancer sites examined compared to never-use of tobacco (Table 2). For example, ever cigarette smokers compared to never-tobacco users had an increased risk of total (HR 1.51, 95% CI 1.39,1.63) and smoking-related cancers (HR 2.89, 95% CI 2.60,3.25), with the highest relative risk observed for lung cancer (HR 15.48, 95% CI 11.95,20.06), followed by head and neck (HR 2.47, 95% CI 1.55,3.95), urinary (HR 2.30, 95% CI 1.75,3.02), and gastrointestinal cancers (HR 1.64, 95% CI 1.33,2.03). About 70% of the head and neck cancers were oral cavity cancers (HR 1.60, 95% CI 0.85,2.85). Of the urinary cancers, 63% were bladder (HR 3.75, 95% CI 2.64,5.33) and 36% were kidney cancers (HR 1.09, 95% CI 0.67,1.79). Of the gastrointestinal cancers, 44% were cancers of the colon (HR 1.15, 95% CI 0.82,1.61), 18% rectum (HR 1.42, 95% CI 0.89,2.27), 14% pancreas (HR 2.73, 95% CI 1.62,4.57), 11% stomach (2.93, 95% CI 1.43,5.97), 9% esophagus (HR 4.78, 95% CI 2.36,9.69). For every cancer site or group evaluated, the risks were higher for current than former cigarette smokers. For example, current smokers had a 23-fold risk (95% CI 17.34,30.59) of lung cancer, while former smokers had a 9.3-fold risk (95% CI 6.56,13.18). This may in part be due to the longer duration of cigarette smoking among current (21.0 years) than former smokers (12.5 years). We also found that that cancer risks were generally higher among those who ever smoked for more than 15 years than those who ever smoked less than 15 years after adjusting for cigarette smoking status. However, the associations were not considerably different when stratified by the mean cigarette smoking frequency (< 15, >15 cigarettes per day).

Exclusive ever-use of other combustible tobacco products (cigars, cigarillos, or pipes) was significantly associated with total (HR 1.32, 95% CI 1.10,1.59) and smoking-related cancers (HR 1.68, 95% CI 1.21,2.32), including lung cancer (HR 3.44, 95% CI 1.53,7.71) compared to never-use of tobacco (Table 3). For the combustible tobacco products, we observed an increased risk for exclusive ever-cigar use with total (HR 1.51, 95% CI 1.20,1.90) and smoking-related cancers (HR 1.87, 95% CI 1.24,2.82), including urinary cancer (HR 2.50, 95% CI 1.27,4.93). Of the nine urinary cancer cases, 5 were bladder (HR 3.01, 95% CI 1.20,7.55) and 4 were kidney cancer (HR 2.12, 95% CI 0.77,5.83). Exclusive ever-use of pipes was associated with a higher, although not statistically significant, risk of smoking-related cancer (HR 1.67, 95% CI 0.92,3.04).

Exclusive ever-use of smokeless tobacco (chewing tobacco or snuff) was significantly associated with smoking-related cancers (HR 1.27, 95% CI 1.00,1.62), including lung (HR 2.21, 95% CI 1.11,4.42) and gastrointestinal (HR 1.38, 95% CI 1.00,1.92) compared to never-use of tobacco (Table 4). Of the 41 smokeless tobacco users with gastrointestinal

cancers, 19 were cancers of the colon (HR 1.33, 95% CI 0.82,2.16), 10 rectum (HR 1.37, 95% CI 0.70,2.71), 4 pancreas (HR 1.18, 95% CI 0.41,3.36), 4 liver, 3 stomach and 1 esophagus. Of the 9 head and neck cancers, 8 were oral cavity (HR 1.54, 95% 0.68,3.46). By smokeless tobacco product, exclusive ever-use of chewing tobacco was associated with smoking-related cancer, including lung (HR 2.20, 95% CI 0.98,4.98) and head and neck cancers (HR 2.08, 95% CI 0.97,4.47). Exclusive ever-use of snuff was associated with gastrointestinal cancer (HR 2.09, 95% CI 1.20,3.64). Exclusive smokeless tobacco use was not associated with urinary cancers.

Dual-Product Use and Cancer Risk

Compared to exclusive cigarette smokers, ever cigarette smokers who additionally used another combustible tobacco in their lifetime had higher risks of smoking related cancers (HR 1.16, 95% CI 1.04,1.30), including lung cancer (HR 1.32, 95% CI 1.09,1.60) (Table 5). Risks did not appear to differ for former or current cigarette smokers. Stratified by cigarette smoking duration, there were significant increases in risk among those who ever smoked cigarettes for more than 15 years: total cancers HR 1.11, 95% CI 1.00,1.22, smoking related cancers HR 1.19, 95% CI 1.04,1.36, lung cancer HR 1.29, 95% CI 1.05,1.58) and gastrointestinal cancers HR 1.27, 95% CI 1.01,1.61 (Table 6). Of the gastrointestinal cancers, 42% were colon cancers (HR 1.39, 95% CI 1.00,2.01) and 16% were pancreatic cancer (HR 1.80, 95% CI 0.97,3.32). Among the combustible products, dual cigarette-cigarillo users had the highest and most consistent risks, which were stronger risk among those that smoked cigarettes for more than 15 years. In contrast, dual cigarette-pipe users had a higher risk of cancer among former cigarette smokers and participants that smoked cigarettes for less than 15 years. There were no discernable patterns for dual cigarette-combustible tobacco use when stratified by cigarettes per day. Dual cigarette-smokeless tobacco users generally had cancer risks similar to exclusive cigarette smokers regardless of cigarette smoking status, cigarette smoking duration or frequency.

DISCUSSION

In this large U.S.-based agricultural cohort, exclusive users of cigarettes, other combustible tobacco and smokeless tobacco had higher risks of lung and other cancer compared to non-tobacco users. Participants who ever smoked cigarettes and at least one other combustible tobacco product in their lifetime had higher total and smoking-related cancer risks than exclusive cigarette smokers, with the strongest additional risk among those who smoked cigarettes for more than 15 years.

Our findings for exclusive cigarette smoking are consistent with the extensive published literature identifying cigarette smoking as one of the primary causes of cancer (8, 12). The higher risks among current exclusive cigarette smokers seem to be linked to the longer average smoking duration among current (21 years) versus former (12.5 years) smokers, rather than to differences in the number of cigarettes smoked per day. This is consistent with previous epidemiological and laboratory studies reporting cigarette smoking duration to be a stronger predictor of cancer risk than smoking frequency (13–16).

Exclusive use of other combustible tobacco products was most strongly associated with lung cancer. This is in line with results from a multicenter case-control study in Europe that reported 8 to 9 fold risks of lung cancer for exclusive use of pipes and cigars/cigarillos, respectively (17). It was also reported that lung cancer risk is higher among cigar smokers who report inhaling the smoke than not inhaling, and higher among cigar smokers who previously smoked cigarettes than among those who only smoked cigars (18). Our finding for an association between exclusive cigar use and urinary cancers (bladder, kidney) are consistent with a pooled study among European men that found a significant 2-fold risk in bladder cancer among exclusive cigar smokers (19), and the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) that found a non-significant 1.5-fold bladder risk (20). The EPIC study also reported a non-significant 1.2-fold risk for cigar smoking and kidney cancer (20). Studies specifically examining exclusive use of non-cigarette combustible products are limited.

The strongest association for exclusive use of smokeless tobacco was also for lung cancer. This finding is biologically plausible given that tobacco specific nitrosamines (TSNA) are found in smokeless tobacco at high concentrations (21–23). In a study of 182 U.S. male smokeless tobacco users, Hecht et. al. showed that urinary levels of the nitrosamine 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-(butanol) (NNAL) were higher in smokeless tobacco users than in cigarette smokers (23). Laboratory studies have shown that treatment of rats with TSNA by injection or administration in the drinking water can cause lung cancer. Particularly, (methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-(butanone) (NNK), which is found in smokeless tobacco, and its major metabolite, NNAL, are lung carcinogens in rats (24–26). Epidemiologic data on smokeless tobacco and lung cancer are somewhat inconsistent. For example, a previous study conducted using The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), found a significant association between exclusive smokeless tobacco use and lung cancer among women, but not men (27). In the American Cancer Society cohorts, smokeless tobacco was linked to lung cancer mortality in the Cancer Prevention Study (CPS)-II, but not CPS-I (28). Two studies of snus (moist form of snuff) use in Europe, reported null associations between smokeless tobacco and lung cancer after accounting for cigarette smoking (29,30), as did a case-control study of moderate or heavy chewing tobacco or snuff (31). Reasons for the inconsistent findings for smokeless tobacco are unclear, but may be linked to variations in the prevalence of smokeless tobacco use in the population, frequency and duration of use, as well as use of other tobacco products and unmeasured confounding. In their last review, IARC reported insufficient evidence for an association between smokeless tobacco and lung cancer, but did report sufficient evidence linking smokeless tobacco with cancers of the pancreas, oral cavity, and esophagus (8,32).

In this study, we also found increased risks of gastrointestinal (colon, rectum, pancreas) and head and neck (oral cavity) cancers with exclusive smokeless tobacco use. Several studies have reported associations between smokeless tobacco and pancreatic cancer (33–37), and laboratory studies that have shown associations TSNA and pancreatic tumors in rats (24–26). To our knowledge, only one previous study has reported a link between high snus use and left-sided colon cancer in a Swedish male population (38). Some of the strongest reported associations for smokeless tobacco have been with oral and pharyngeal cancers. For example, Boffetta et. al. reported a significant relative risk of 1.8 pooled from 11 studies

(36), and Lee et. al. reported a significant 1.4 relative risk pooled from 40 studies (37). Consistent with these epidemiologic finding, laboratory studies have suggested that TSNAs, in particular *N*-nitrosornicotine (NNN), are responsible for cytogenic damage in oral epithelial cells (22, 39, 40).

For dual tobacco product use, our results indicate that ever users of cigarettes and other combustible tobacco products had higher risks of total and certain smoking-related cancers compared to exclusive cigarette smokers. In contrast, ever users of cigarettes and smokeless tobacco had risks similar to exclusive cigarette use. This is consistent with a previous review paper that concluded there was no additional cancer risk for dual cigarette-smokeless tobacco use compared to exclusive cigarette use, although the epidemiologic data to evaluate this was limited (41). Reasons for the different cancer risks between the dual cigarettes-combustible and cigarette-smokeless tobacco is unknown, but may be related to observed differences in cigarette smoking patterns. Also, current/former status, duration and frequency of use of the non-cigarette products may also play a role, but since we did not have these data for non-cigarette products, we could not determine if dual use was concurrent or sequential. Also, factors related to nicotine addiction (e.g. time-to-first cigarette), tobacco cessation (e.g. duration since cessation, number of times tried to quit), or changing the type of tobacco product could also be linked to differences in cancer risks.

In this U.S. agricultural population, the prevalence of cigarette smoking at enrollment (1993–1997) was somewhat lower (40.7% ever, 14.6% current) than the general U.S. population (47% ever, 25% current) (42). AHS smokers also smoked somewhat less (~14 cigarettes per day) than the average U.S. smoker in mid-1990's (~18 cigarettes per day) (43). Use of non-cigarette combustible products in the AHS was about the same as the U.S. population in the mid-1990s (~8% of males) (18). In contrast, the use of smokeless tobacco was higher in the AHS (11.8% ever use of chewing tobacco/ snuff) than the general U.S. population (3–6% ever use of chewing tobacco/snuff/dip) (44). Higher use of smokeless tobacco in rural/agricultural populations has been noted, particularly among men (3). Since the participants in this occupational cohort are predominantly male and white, and tobacco use is almost 50% higher among men than women, we could not examine associations among women or non-whites with adequate statistical power.

This is one of the first studies to evaluate cancer incidence in relation to exclusive and dual use of multiple types of tobacco products. Smokeless tobacco use is often understudied compared to other tobacco products due to its lower prevalence of use; however, in this U.S. agricultural study population the prevalence of smokeless tobacco use was higher than the general U.S. population, thus we had a sufficient number of exposed cases to evaluate its exclusive use and dual use with cigarettes. However, our analysis of non-cigarette tobacco products was limited to ever versus never for both exclusive and dual use. Therefore, we could not analyze their duration or frequency of use, nor could we determine if they were used concurrently with cigarettes.

We found that exclusive use of smokeless tobacco as well as cigarettes and other combustible tobacco was most strongly associated with lung cancer. In addition, we found that dual users of cigarettes and other combustible products had higher cancer risks than

exclusive cigarette users, while dual users of cigarettes and smokeless tobacco generally had similar risks to exclusive cigarette users. Future studies designed to evaluate the frequency, duration and other characteristics of smokeless tobacco and non-cigarette combustible tobacco use are needed to better evaluate their associations with cancer risk.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Financial Support: This research was supported by the Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health, National Cancer Institute.

REFERENCES

1. Agaku IT, King BA, Husten CG, et al. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Tobacco product use among adults--United States, 2012--2013. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2014; 63:542--547. [PubMed: 24964880]
2. National Cancer Institute and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Smokeless Tobacco and Public Health: A Global Perspective*. Bethesda, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and National Institutes of Health, National Cancer Institute; 2014. NIH Publication No. 14-7983
3. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. *Results from the 2010 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Summary of National Findings*. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration; 2011. NSDUH Series H-41, HHS Publication No. (SMA) 11-4658
4. McClave AK, Whitney N, Thorne SL, et al. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Adult tobacco survey-- 19 States, 2003--2007. *MMWR Surveill Summ*. 2010; 59:1--75.
5. American Cancer Society. *Cancer Facts & Figures 2014*. Atlanta: American Cancer Society; 2014.
6. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *The Health Consequences of Smoking: 50 Years of Progress. A Report of the Surgeon General*. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health; 2014.
7. Jacobs EJ, Newton CC, Carter BD, et al. What proportion of cancer deaths in the contemporary United States is attributable to cigarette smoking? *Ann Epidemiol*. 2015; 25:179--182. [PubMed: 25487970]
8. Secretan B, Straif K, Baan R, et al. WHO International Agency for Research on Cancer Monograph Working Group. A review of human carcinogens--Part E: tobacco, areca nut, alcohol, coal smoke, and salted fish. *Lancet Oncol*. 2009; 10:1033--1034. [PubMed: 19891056]
9. Alavanja MC, Sandler DP, McMaster SB, et al. The Agricultural Health Study. *Environ Health Perspect*. 1996; 104:362--369. [PubMed: 8732939]
10. Alavanja MC, Dosemeci M, Samanic C, et al. Pesticides and lung cancer risk in the agricultural health study cohort. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2004; 160:876--885. [PubMed: 15496540]
11. Koutros S, Alavanja MC, Lubin JH, et al. An update of cancer incidence in the Agricultural Health Study. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2010; 52:1098--1105. [PubMed: 21063187]
12. Spitz, MR., Wu, X., Wilkinson, A., et al. Cancer of the Lung. In: Schottenfeld, D., Fraumeni, JF., Jr, editors. *Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention*. 3rd. Oxford University Press; 2006. p. 638-658.
13. Vineis P, Kogevinas M, Simonato L, et al. Levelling-off of the risk of lung and bladder cancer in heavy smokers: an analysis based on multicentric case-control studies and a metabolic interpretation. *Mutat Res*. 2000; 463:103--110. [PubMed: 10928863]

14. Flanders WD, Lally CA, Zhu BP, et al. Lung cancer mortality in relation to age, duration of smoking, and daily cigarette consumption: results from Cancer Prevention Study II. *Cancer Res.* 2003; 63:6556–6562. [PubMed: 14559851]
15. Lubin JH, Caporaso N. Cigarette smoking and lung cancer: modeling total exposure and intensity. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2006; 15:517–523. [PubMed: 16537710]
16. Yuan JM, Butler LM, Stepanov I, et al. Urinary tobacco smoke-constituent biomarkers for assessing risk of lung cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2014; 74:401–411. [PubMed: 24408916]
17. Boffetta P, Nyberg F, Agudo A, et al. Risk of lung cancer from exposure to environmental tobacco smoke from cigars, cigarillos and pipes. *Int J Cancer.* 1999; 83:805–806. [PubMed: 10597199]
18. Gerlach, KK., Cummings, KM., Hyland, A., et al. Trends in Cigar Consumption and Smoking Prevalence. In: Shopland, DR, Burns, DM, Hoffmann, D., et al., editors. *Cigars: Health Effects and Trends. Smoking and Tobacco Control Monograph no. 9.* 1998. p. 21-54.
19. Pitard A, Brennan P, Clavel J, et al. Cigar, pipe, and cigarette smoking and bladder cancer risk in European men. *Cancer Causes Control.* 2001; 12:551–556. [PubMed: 11519763]
20. McCormack VA, Agudo A, Dahm CC, et al. Cigar and pipe smoking and cancer risk in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). *Int J Cancer.* 2010; 127:2402–2411. [PubMed: 20162568]
21. Rostron BL, Chang CM, van Bommel DM, et al. Nicotine and Toxicant Exposure among U.S. Smokeless Tobacco Users: Results from 1999 to 2012 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey Data. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2015; 24:1829–1837. [PubMed: 26582044]
22. Hecht SS. Biochemistry, biology, and carcinogenicity of tobacco-specific N-nitrosamines. *Chem Res Toxicol.* 1998; 11:559–603. [PubMed: 9625726]
23. Hecht SS, Carmella SG, Murphy SE, et al. Similar exposure to a tobacco-specific carcinogen in smokeless tobacco users and cigarette smokers. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2007; 16:1567–1572. [PubMed: 17684130]
24. Rivenson A, Hoffmann D, Prokopczyk B, et al. Induction of lung and exocrine pancreas tumors in F344 rats by tobacco-specific and Areca-derived N-nitrosamines. *Cancer Res.* 1988; 48:6912–6917. [PubMed: 3180100]
25. Hecht SS, Hoffmann D. Tobacco-specific nitrosamines, an important group of carcinogens in tobacco and tobacco smoke. *Carcinogenesis.* 1988; 9:875–884. [PubMed: 3286030]
26. Balbo S, Johnson CS, Kovi RC, et al. Carcinogenicity and DNA adduct formation of 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone and enantiomers of its metabolite 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanol in F-344 rats. *Carcinogenesis.* 2014; 35:2798–2806. [PubMed: 25269804]
27. Accortt NA, Waterbor JW, Beall C, et al. Cancer incidence among a cohort of smokeless tobacco users (United States). *Cancer Causes Control.* 2005; 16:1107–1115. [PubMed: 16184477]
28. Henley SJ, Thun MJ, Connell C, et al. Two large prospective studies of mortality among men who use snuff or chewing tobacco (United States). *Cancer Causes Control.* 2005; 16:347–358. [PubMed: 15953977]
29. Boffetta P, Aagnes B, Weiderpass E, et al. Smokeless tobacco use and risk of cancer of the pancreas and other organs. *Int J Cancer.* 2005; 114:992–995. [PubMed: 15645430]
30. Luo J, Ye W, Zendeherdel K, et al. Oral use of Swedish moist snuff (snus) and risk for cancer of the mouth, lung, and pancreas in male construction workers: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet.* 2007; 369:2015–2020. [PubMed: 17498797]
31. Williams RR, Horn JW. Association of cancer sites with tobacco and alcohol consumption and socioeconomic status of patients: interview study from the Third National Cancer Survey. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1977; 58:525–547. [PubMed: 557114]
32. IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Smokeless tobacco and some tobacco-specific N-nitrosamines. *IARC Monogr Eval Carcinog Risks Hum.* 2007; 89:1–592. [PubMed: 18335640]
33. Zheng W, McLaughlin JK, Gridley G, et al. A cohort study of smoking, alcohol consumption, and dietary factors for pancreatic cancer (United States). *Cancer Causes Control.* 1993; 4:477–482. [PubMed: 8218880]

34. Alguacil J, Silverman DT. Smokeless and other noncigarette tobacco use and pancreatic cancer: a case-control study based on direct interviews. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2004; 13:55–58. [PubMed: 14744733]
35. Colilla SA. An epidemiologic review of smokeless tobacco health effects and harm reduction potential. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol.* 2010; 56:197–211. [PubMed: 19796662]
36. Boffetta P, Hecht S, Gray N, et al. Smokeless tobacco and cancer. *Lancet Oncol.* 2008; 9:667–675. [PubMed: 18598931]
37. Lee PN, Hamling J. Systematic review of the relation between smokeless tobacco and cancer in Europe and North America. *BMC Med.* 2009; 7:36. [PubMed: 19638245]
38. Nordenvall C, Nilsson PJ, Ye W, et al. Smoking, snus use and risk of right- and left-sided colon, rectal and anal cancer: a 37-year follow-up study. *Int J Cancer.* 2011; 128:157–165. [PubMed: 20209500]
39. Motgi AA, Chavan MS, Diwan NN, et al. Assessment of cytogenetic damage in the form of micronuclei in oral epithelial cells in patients using smokeless and smoked form of tobacco and non-tobacco users and its relevance for oral cancer. *J Cancer Res Ther.* 2014; 10:165–170. [PubMed: 24762505]
40. Balbo S, James-Yi S, Johnson CS, et al. (S)-N'-Nitrosonornicotine, a constituent of smokeless tobacco, is a powerful oral cavity carcinogen in rats. *Carcinogenesis.* 2013; 34:2178–2183. [PubMed: 23671129]
41. Frost-Pineda K, Appleton S, Fisher M, et al. Does dual use jeopardize the potential role of smokeless tobacco in harm reduction? *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2010; 12(11):1055–1067. [PubMed: 20847148]
42. National Center for Health Statistics. National Health Interview Survey. 2014. http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhis/quest_data_related_1997_forward.htm. 2015
43. Burns DM, Major JM, Shanks TG. Changes in Number of Cigarettes Smoked per Day: Cross-Sectional and Birth Cohort Analyses Using NHIS. Those Who Continue to Smoke. 2003 Smoking and Tobacco Control Monograph No. 15. NIH Pub. No. 03-5370.
44. Nelson DE, Mowery P, Tomar S, et al. Trends in Smokeless Tobacco Use Among Adults and Adolescents in the United States. *Am J Public Health.* 2006; 96:897–905. [PubMed: 16571699]

Table 1

Selected characteristics by gender

Characteristics	Male						Female					
	Never used tobacco			Ever used tobacco			Never used tobacco			Ever used tobacco		
	N	%	%	N	%	%	N	%	%	N	%	%
Total (n=84,015)	23,158	100.0	100.0	29,913	100.0	100.0	22,047	100.0	100.0	8,897	100.0	100.0
Age at enrollment												
<30	2,777	12.0	8.8	2,642	8.8	8.8	1,497	6.8	6.8	475	5.3	5.3
30-39	6,670	28.8	21.3	6,373	21.3	21.3	5,543	25.1	25.1	2,345	26.4	26.4
40-49	6,346	27.4	27.1	8,104	27.1	27.1	6,190	28.1	28.1	2,696	30.3	30.3
50-59	3,969	17.1	22.8	6,824	22.8	22.8	5,027	22.8	22.8	2,208	24.8	24.8
60-69	2,488	10.7	15.4	4,612	15.4	15.4	3,032	13.8	13.8	960	10.8	10.8
70+	908	3.9	4.5	1,358	4.5	4.5	758	3.4	3.4	213	2.4	2.4
State of Residence												
Iowa	17,639	76.2	57.8	17,300	57.8	57.8	15,573	70.6	70.6	5,237	58.9	58.9
North Carolina	5,519	23.8	42.2	12,613	42.2	42.2	6,474	29.4	29.4	3,660	41.1	41.1
Race												
White	22,636	97.7	96.6	28,895	96.6	96.6	21,662	98.3	98.3	8,656	97.3	97.3
Black	355	1.5	2.3	691	2.3	2.3	242	1.1	1.1	117	1.3	1.3
Other	78	0.3	0.7	211	0.7	0.7	99	0.4	0.4	95	1.1	1.1
missing	89	0.4	0.4	116	0.4	0.4	44	0.2	0.2	29	0.3	0.3
Education												
Less than high school	11,954	51.6	59.6	17,818	59.6	59.6	8,804	39.9	39.9	3,805	42.8	42.8
High school or more	10,744	46.4	37.8	11,296	37.8	37.8	11,097	50.3	50.3	4,084	45.9	45.9
Other	63	0.3	0.2	71	0.2	0.2	1,889	8.6	8.6	842	9.5	9.5
missing	397	1.7	2.4	728	2.4	2.4	257	1.2	1.2	166	1.9	1.9
BMI kg/m²												
<18.5	51	0.2	0.3	83	0.3	0.3	308	1.4	1.4	145	1.6	1.6
18.5-24.9	4,721	20.4	17.3	5,164	17.3	17.3	9,198	41.7	41.7	3,715	41.8	41.8
25-29.9	8,755	37.8	36.2	10,826	36.2	36.2	6,327	28.7	28.7	2,388	26.8	26.8

Characteristics	Male						Female									
	Never used tobacco			Ever used tobacco [/]			Never used tobacco			Ever used tobacco [/]						
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%				
30+	3,804	16.4	5,237	17.5	3,691	16.7	1,417	15.9	5,827	25.2	8,603	28.8	2,523	11.4	1,232	13.8
missing																
Alcohol drinking year prior enrollment																
Never	7,895	34.1	8,384	28.0	10,738	48.7	3,062	34.4	14,471	62.5	20,402	68.2	11,110	50.4	5,735	64.5
Ever	14,471	62.5	20,402	68.2	11,110	48.7	3,062	34.4	792	3.4	1,127	3.8	199	0.9	100	1.1
missing	792	3.4	1,127	3.8	199	0.9	100	1.1								
Usual number of alcohol drinks year prior enrollment																
Never	7,895	34.1	8,384	28.0	10,738	48.7	3,062	34.4	7,737	33.4	8,740	29.2	9,141	41.5	3,927	44.1
3 per month	7,737	33.4	8,740	29.2	9,141	41.5	3,927	44.1	5,947	25.7	9,064	30.3	1,827	8.3	1,513	17.0
1–4 per week	5,947	25.7	9,064	30.3	1,827	8.3	1,513	17.0	787	3.4	2,598	8.7	142	0.6	295	3.3
5 per week	787	3.4	2,598	8.7	142	0.6	295	3.3	792	3.4	1,127	3.8	199	0.9	100	1.1
missing	792	3.4	1,127	3.8	199	0.9	100	1.1								
Fruit intake year prior enrollment (servings per day)																
<1	15,184	65.6	21,745	72.7	7,106	32.2	3,634	40.8	6,438	27.8	6,369	21.3	8,035	36.4	2,617	29.4
1–2	6,438	27.8	6,369	21.3	8,035	36.4	2,617	29.4	519	2.2	416	1.4	1,435	6.5	402	4.5
3	519	2.2	416	1.4	1,435	6.5	402	4.5	1,017	4.4	1,383	4.6	5,471	24.8	2,244	25.2
missing	1,017	4.4	1,383	4.6	5,471	24.8	2,244	25.2								
Vegetable intake year prior enrollment (servings per day)																
<1	10,973	47.4	14,963	50.0	4,899	22.2	2,249	25.3	9,616	41.5	11,198	37.4	9,582	43.5	3,603	40.5
1–2	9,616	41.5	11,198	37.4	9,582	43.5	3,603	40.5	1,263	5.5	1,826	6.1	2,047	9.3	764	8.6
3	1,263	5.5	1,826	6.1	2,047	9.3	764	8.6	1,306	5.6	1,926	6.4	5,519	25.0	2,281	25.6
missing	1,306	5.6	1,926	6.4	5,519	25.0	2,281	25.6								

[/]Ever used one or more of: cigarette, pipe, cigar, cigarillo, chewing tobacco, or snuff

Table 2

Cancer risk for exclusive use of cigarettes compared to non-tobacco users

Cancer site	Cigarette smoking status											
	Ever smokers				Former smokers				Current smokers			
	Cases	HR ¹	95% CI ¹	p ¹	Cases	HR ¹	95% CI ¹	p ¹	Cases	HR ¹	95% CI ¹	p ¹
Never used tobacco ²	-	1.00	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	1.00	-	-
Total Cancers	2,746	1.51	1.39, 1.63	<0.0001	1,773	1.32	1.18, 1.47	<0.0001	973	1.71	1.54, 1.89	<0.0001
Smoking Cancers ³	1,233	2.89	2.58, 3.25	<0.0001	681	2.28	1.94, 2.70	<0.0001	542	3.54	3.08, 4.08	<0.0001
Lung	401	15.48	11.95, 20.06	<0.0001	139	9.30	6.56, 13.18	<0.0001	262	23.03	17.34, 30.59	<0.0001
Gastrointestinal ⁴	428	1.64	1.33, 2.03	<0.0001	296	1.66	1.26, 2.18	0.0003	132	1.61	1.21, 2.14	0.001
Urinary ⁵	223	2.30	1.74, 3.02	<0.0001	148	2.28	1.62, 3.22	<0.0001	75	2.24	1.55, 3.24	<0.0001
Head Neck ⁶	88	2.47	1.55, 3.95	0.0002	43	1.18	0.50, 2.76	0.71	45	3.63	2.16, 6.12	<0.0001

Cancer site	Cigarette smoking duration							
	15 years			>15 years				
	Cases	HR ^{1/a}	95% CI ^{1/a}	p ^{1/a}	Cases	HR ^{1/a}	95% CI ^{1/a}	p ^{1/a}
Never used tobacco ²	-	1.00	-	-	-	1.00	-	-
Total Cancers	1063	1.33	0.78, 2.28	0.30	1,584	1.62	1.48, 1.78	<0.0001
Smoking Cancers ³	355	2.23	0.89, 5.59	0.09	820	3.44	3.01, 3.93	<0.0001
Lung	49	21.56	2.80, 66.29	0.003	338	22.57	17.16, 29.69	<0.0001
Gastrointestinal ⁴	170	1.27	1.06, 1.52	0.01	242	1.57	1.21, 2.03	0.001
Urinary ⁵	67	3.38	0.70, 16.21	0.13	147	2.33	1.67, 3.26	<0.0001
Head Neck ⁶	27	1.26	0.77, 2.04	0.36	54	3.38	2.04, 5.62	<0.0001

Cancer site	Cigarettes smoked per day							
	15 per day			> 15 per day				
	Cases	HR ^{1/b}	95% CI ^{1/b}	p ^{1/b}	Cases	HR ^{1/b}	95% CI ^{1/b}	p ^{1/b}
Never used tobacco ²	-	1.00	-	-	-	1.00	-	-
Total Cancers	1063	1.33	0.78, 2.28	0.30	1,584	1.62	1.48, 1.78	<0.0001
Smoking Cancers ³	355	2.23	0.89, 5.59	0.09	820	3.44	3.01, 3.93	<0.0001
Lung	49	21.56	2.80, 66.29	0.003	338	22.57	17.16, 29.69	<0.0001
Gastrointestinal ⁴	170	1.27	1.06, 1.52	0.01	242	1.57	1.21, 2.03	0.001
Urinary ⁵	67	3.38	0.70, 16.21	0.13	147	2.33	1.67, 3.26	<0.0001
Head Neck ⁶	27	1.26	0.77, 2.04	0.36	54	3.38	2.04, 5.62	<0.0001

Cancer site	Cigarette smoking status											
	Ever smokers				Former smokers				Current smokers			
	Cases	HR ¹	95% CI ¹	P ¹	Cases	HR ¹	95% CI ¹	P ¹	Cases	HR ¹	95% CI ¹	P ¹
Never used tobacco ²	-	1.00	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Cancers	1818	1.58	1.37, 1.82	<0.0001	841	1.71	1.52, 1.92	<0.0001				
Smoking Cancers ³	744	3.61	2.99, 4.36	<0.0001	437	3.67	3.11, 4.32	<0.0001				
Lung	208	22.49	16.10, 31.40	<0.0001	182	29.25	21.25, 40.26	<0.0001				
Gastrointestinal ⁴	288	1.49	1.00, 2.22	0.05	128	1.79	1.30, 2.46	0.0004				
Urinary ⁵	129	2.74	1.74, 4.31	<0.0001	83	2.12	1.35, 3.34	0.001				
Head Neck ⁶	58	3.66	1.63, 8.18	0.002	77	3.38	1.86, 6.15	<0.0001				

¹ Adjusted for age, gender, race, state of residence, education, alcohol frequency, cigarettes per day, years smoked cigarettes

^{1a} Adjusted for age, gender, race, state of residence, education, alcohol frequency, cigarettes per day

^{1b} Adjusted for age, gender, race, state of residence, education, alcohol frequency, years smoked cigarettes

² Reference group: n=41,026 controls

³ Bladder, colon, cervix, esophagus, kidney, larynx, lip, liver, lung, myeloid leukemia, nasal and sinus, oral cavity, pancreas, pharynx, rectum, stomach, tongue, ureter, uterine

⁴ Colon, esophagus, liver, pancreas, rectum, stomach

⁵ Bladder, kidney, ureter

⁶ Larynx, lip, nasal and sinus, oral cavity, pharynx, tongue

Cancer risk for exclusive use of non-cigarette combustible tobacco products compared to non-tobacco users

Table 3

Cancer site	Non-cigarette combustible ¹				Cigar				Cigarillo				Pipe			
	Cases	HR ²	95% CI ²	P ²	Cases	HR ²	95% CI ²	P ²	Cases	HR ²	95% CI ²	P ²	Cases	HR ²	95% CI ²	P ²
Never used tobacco ³	-	1.00	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	1.00	-	-
Total Cancers	121	1.32	1.10, 1.59	0.003	76	1.51	1.20, 1.90	0.001	5	1.44	0.60, 3.48	0.41	28	1.13	0.78, 1.64	0.53
Smoking Cancers ⁴	40	1.68	1.21, 2.32	0.002	24	1.87	1.24, 2.82	0.003	3	-	-	-	11	1.67	0.92, 3.04	0.09
Lung	7	3.44	1.53, 7.71	0.003	3	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Gastrointestinal ⁵	18	1.51	0.94, 2.45	0.09	10	1.58	0.84, 2.98	0.15	2	-	-	-	5	1.52	0.63, 3.69	0.36
Urinary ⁶	11	1.66	0.89, 3.08	0.11	9	2.50	1.27, 4.93	0.01	0	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Head Neck ⁷	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	0	-	-	-

¹ Ever use of cigars, cigarillos and/or pipes

² Adjusted for age, gender, race, state of residence, education, alcohol frequency

³ Reference group: n=41,026 controls

⁴ Bladder, colon, cervix, esophagus, kidney, larynx, lip, liver, lung, myeloid leukemia, nasal and sinus, oral cavity, pancreas, pharynx, rectum, stomach, tongue, ureter, uterine

⁵ Colon, esophagus, liver, pancreas, rectum, stomach

⁶ Bladder, kidney, ureter

⁷ Larynx, lip, nasal and sinus, oral cavity, pharynx, tongue

Table 4

Cancer risk for exclusive use of smokeless tobacco compared to non-tobacco users

Cancer site	Smokeless tobacco ¹				Chewing tobacco				Snuff			
	Cases	HR ²	95% CI ²	P ²	Cases	HR ²	95% CI ²	P ²	Cases	HR ²	95% CI ²	P ²
Never used tobacco ³	-	1.00	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	1.00	-	-
Total Cancers	228	0.97	0.85, 1.12	0.71	175	1.02	0.87, 1.20	0.78	44	0.92	0.68, 1.25	0.58
Smoking Cancers ⁴	79	1.27	1.00, 1.62	0.05	58	1.29	0.98, 1.71	0.07	18	1.34	0.83, 2.17	0.24
Lung	10	2.21	1.11, 4.42	0.02	7	2.20	0.98, 4.97	0.06	3	-	-	-
Gastrointestinal ⁵	41	1.38	1.00, 1.92	0.05	27	1.25	0.83, 1.86	0.28	13	2.09	1.20, 3.64	0.01
Urinary ⁶	15	0.87	0.49, 1.54	0.63	12	0.97	0.52, 1.81	0.93	1	-	-	-
Head Neck ⁷	9	1.54	0.72, 3.3	0.26	9	2.08	0.97, 4.47	0.06	0	-	-	-

¹ Ever use of chewing tobacco and/or snuff

² Adjusted for age, gender, race, state of residence, education, alcohol frequency

³ Reference group: n=41,026 controls

⁴ Bladder, colon, cervix, esophagus, kidney, larynx, lip, liver, lung, myeloid leukemia, nasal and sinus, oral cavity, pancreas, pharynx, rectum, stomach, tongue, ureter, uterine

⁵ Colon, esophagus, liver, pancreas, rectum, stomach

⁶ Bladder, kidney, ureter

⁷ Larynx, lip, nasal and sinus, oral cavity, pharynx, tongue

Table 5

Cancer risk for dual tobacco use¹ compared to exclusive cigarette use

Cancer site	Cigarette Smoking Status											
	Ever				Former				Current			
	Cases	HR ²	95% CI ²	P ²	Cases	HR ²	95% CI ²	P ²	Cases	HR ²	95% CI ²	P ²
Exclusive Cigarette												
Smokers ³	-	1.00	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	1.00	-	-
Cigarette-Other Combustible Tobacco⁴												
Total Cancers	1,090	1.08	1.00, 1.17	0.06	758	1.08	0.98, 1.19	0.11	332	1.09	0.95, 1.24	0.26
Smoking Cancers ⁶	533	1.16	1.04, 1.30	0.01	325	1.15	0.99, 1.33	0.07	208	1.18	1.00, 1.42	0.06
Lung	195	1.32	1.09, 1.60	0.01	104	1.68	1.25, 2.25	0.001	91	1.09	0.84, 1.42	0.51
Gastrointestinal ⁷	185	1.12	0.92, 1.36	0.25	121	0.98	0.77, 1.24	0.86	64	1.53	1.10, 2.14	0.01
Urinary ⁸	98	1.07	0.82, 1.39	0.63	69	1.03	0.75, 1.40	0.92	29	1.09	0.69, 1.74	0.71
Head Neck ⁹	39	1.27	0.84, 1.92	0.26	20	1.39	0.75, 2.57	0.29	19	1.20	0.68, 2.10	0.54
Cigarette-Cigar												
Total Cancers	293	1.03	0.90, 1.17	0.71	204	0.98	0.83, 1.16	0.85	89	1.14	0.90, 1.43	0.29
Smoking Cancers ⁶	143	1.11	0.92, 1.34	0.29	85	0.99	0.77, 1.28	0.96	58	1.30	0.98, 1.74	0.08
Lung	49	1.26	0.91, 1.74	0.17	26	1.44	0.89, 2.33	0.14	23	1.13	0.73, 1.77	0.59
Gastrointestinal ⁷	62	1.27	0.95, 1.70	0.11	42	1.06	0.74, 1.52	0.74	20	2.03	1.23, 3.38	0.01
Urinary ⁸	23	0.85	0.53, 1.38	0.51	11	0.55	0.28, 1.09	0.09	12	1.48	0.73, 2.96	0.26
Head Neck ⁹	7	0.70	0.30, 1.64	0.41	4	0.74	0.22, 2.47	0.62	3	-	-	-
Cigarette-Cigarillo												
Total Cancers	422	1.15	1.03, 1.28	0.01	230	1.20	1.03, 1.39	0.02	192	1.09	0.93, 1.29	0.29
Smoking Cancers ⁶	226	1.28	1.09, 1.49	0.002	110	1.41	1.13, 1.76	0.002	116	1.17	0.94, 1.45	0.16
Lung	86	1.29	1.00, 1.66	0.05	35	1.84	1.21, 2.79	0.004	51	1.08	0.78, 1.50	0.63
Gastrointestinal ⁷	80	1.39	1.07, 1.80	0.01	44	1.36	0.97, 1.91	0.07	36	1.47	0.98, 2.23	0.06
Urinary ⁸	31	1.02	0.68, 1.52	0.93	18	1.06	0.63, 1.80	0.82	13	0.94	0.51, 1.72	0.84
Head Neck ⁹	18	1.48	0.87, 2.51	0.15	5	1.53	0.58, 4.00	0.40	13	1.50	0.78, 2.81	0.22

Cancer site	Cigarette Smoking Status													
	Ever					Former					Current			
	Cases	HR ²	95% CI ²	P ²	Cases	HR ²	95% CI ²	P ²	Cases	HR ²	95% CI ²	P ²		
Cigarette-Pipe														
Total Cancers	196	1.11	0.95, 1.30	0.19	170	1.15	0.97, 1.36	0.11	26	0.94	0.62, 1.41	0.75		
Smoking Cancers ⁶	88	1.14	0.90, 1.44	0.29	75	1.23	0.95, 1.60	0.12	13	0.75	0.41, 1.37	0.34		
Lung	33	1.56	1.05, 2.33	0.03	26	2.21	1.38, 3.53	0.001	7	0.70	0.29, 1.72	0.44		
Gastrointestinal ⁷	18	0.59	0.35, 0.97	0.04	16	0.59	0.34, 1.01	0.05	2	-	-	-		
Urinary ⁸	27	1.52	0.98, 2.34	0.06	26	1.61	1.02, 2.53	0.04	1	-	-	-		
Head Neck ⁹	8	1.75	0.82, 3.73	0.15	6	1.81	0.73, 4.49	0.20	2	-	-	-		
Cigarette-Smokeless Tobacco⁵														
Total Cancers	493	0.97	0.87, 1.08	0.59	417	1.01	0.89, 1.13	0.92	76	0.82	0.64, 1.05	0.11		
Smoking Cancers ⁶	209	0.94	0.80, 1.11	0.48	166	0.99	0.82, 1.20	0.92	43	0.82	0.59, 1.14	0.23		
Lung	54	0.80	0.57, 1.11	0.17	40	1.00	0.66, 1.50	0.88	14	0.50	0.27, 0.92	0.03		
Gastrointestinal ⁷	90	1.04	0.80, 1.34	0.79	75	0.99	0.75, 1.32	0.90	15	1.19	0.70, 2.09	0.54		
Urinary ⁸	46	1.09	0.78, 1.55	0.63	38	1.17	0.79, 1.75	0.67	8	0.96	0.45, 2.05	0.92		
Head Neck ⁹	15	0.88	0.47, 1.62	0.67	9	0.73	0.31, 1.73	0.48	6	1.08	0.44, 2.61	0.87		
Cigarette-Chewing Tobacco														
Total Cancers	381	0.98	0.87, 1.11	0.77	323	1.03	0.90, 1.18	0.68	58	0.79	0.59, 1.04	0.10		
Smoking Cancers ⁶	169	0.96	0.80, 1.15	0.65	136	1.04	0.84, 1.29	0.73	33	0.76	0.52, 1.12	0.19		
Lung	45	0.81	0.56, 1.16	0.24	35	1.11	0.71, 1.72	0.65	10	0.41	0.19, 0.87	0.02		
Gastrointestinal ⁷	73	1.08	0.82, 1.44	0.58	61	1.05	0.76, 1.45	0.76	12	1.18	0.63, 2.19	0.60		
Urinary ⁸	36	1.08	0.72, 1.60	0.72	30	1.20	0.77, 1.89	0.43	6	0.89	0.38, 2.11	0.79		
Head Neck ⁹	13	0.98	0.50, 1.90	0.94	8	0.85	0.34, 2.13	0.72	5	1.17	0.45, 3.04	0.75		
Cigarette-Snuff														
Total Cancers	95	0.98	0.79, 1.22	0.88	79	0.97	0.76, 1.23	0.78	16	1.05	0.63, 1.76	0.85		
Smoking Cancers ⁶	35	0.96	0.68, 1.36	0.83	26	0.90	0.60, 1.35	0.60	9	1.21	0.62, 2.35	0.57		
Lung	8	0.86	0.41, 1.84	0.70	5	0.86	0.31, 2.36	0.77	3	-	-	-		
Gastrointestinal ⁷	14	0.91	0.53, 1.56	0.73	11	0.82	0.44, 1.50	0.51	3	-	-	-		

Cancer site	Cigarette Smoking Status													
	Ever						Former						Current	
	Cases	HR ²	95% CI ²	P ²	Cases	HR ²	95% CI ²	P ²	Cases	HR ²	95% CI ²	P ²		
Urinary ⁸	10	1.32	0.69, 2.53	0.40	8	1.23	0.59, 2.55	0.58	2	-	-	-		
Head Neck ⁹	1	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	1	-	-	-		

¹ Cigarette smokers who ever used another tobacco product

² Adjusted for age, gender, race, state of residence, education, alcohol frequency, cigarettes per day, years smoked cigarettes

³ Reference for ever smokers=19,009; former smokers=11,379; current smokers=7,603;

⁴ Cigarette smokers who ever used cigars, cigarillos and/or pipes

⁵ Cigarette smokers who ever used chewing tobacco and/or snuff

⁶ Bladder, colon, cervix, esophagus, kidney, larynx, lip, liver, lung, myeloid leukemia, nasal and sinus, oral cavity, pancreas, pharynx, rectum, stomach, tongue, ureter, uterine

⁷ Colon, esophagus, liver, pancreas, rectum, stomach

⁸ Bladder, kidney, ureter

⁹ Larynx, lip, nasal and sinus, oral cavity, pharynx, tongue

Table 6

Cancer risk for dual tobacco use¹ compared to exclusive cigarette use

	Cigarette Smoking Duration						Cigarette Smoking Frequency									
	15 years			>15 years			15 cigarettes per day			>15 cigarettes per day						
	Cases	HR ^{2a}	95% CI ^{2a}	P ^{2a}	Cases	HR ^{2a}	95% CI ^{2a}	P ^{2a}	Cases	HR ^{2b}	95% CI ^{2b}	P ^{2b}	Cases	HR ^{2b}	95% CI ^{2b}	P ^{2b}
Exclusive Cigarette Smokers³	-	1.00	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	1.00	-	-
Cigarette-Other Combustible Tobacco⁴																
Total Cancers	389	1.04	0.91, 1.18	0.57	678	1.11	1.00, 1.22	0.04	683	1.08	0.98, 1.19	0.12	368	1.08	0.95, 1.23	0.26
Smoking Cancers ⁶	139	1.10	0.88, 1.37	0.39	380	1.19	1.04, 1.36	0.01	307	1.14	0.99, 1.33	0.08	208	1.20	1.01, 1.43	0.04
Lung	26	1.57	0.92, 2.70	0.10	163	1.29	1.05, 1.58	0.02	102	1.43	1.10, 1.87	0.01	84	1.21	0.92, 1.60	0.17
Gastrointestinal ⁷	57	0.88	0.63, 1.23	0.44	122	1.27	1.01, 1.61	0.05	113	1.01	0.79, 1.29	0.91	68	1.31	0.96, 1.80	0.09
Urinary ⁸	37	1.27	0.82, 1.98	0.28	59	0.97	0.70, 1.35	0.85	62	1.14	0.82, 1.59	0.44	32	0.95	0.62, 1.48	0.83
Head Neck ⁹	12	1.05	0.48, 2.27	0.90	27	1.37	0.84, 2.24	0.21	21	1.15	0.67, 1.97	0.62	17	1.44	0.76, 2.75	0.26
Cigarette-Cigar																
Total Cancers	127	1.03	0.84, 1.26	0.78	156	1.03	0.86, 1.22	0.76	193	1.04	0.89, 1.23	0.61	86	0.99	0.79, 1.26	0.95
Smoking Cancers ⁶	48	1.12	0.80, 1.57	0.51	90	1.11	0.88, 1.4	0.37	89	1.13	0.89, 1.43	0.33	48	1.10	0.80, 1.50	0.56
Lung	9	1.91	0.86, 4.22	0.11	38	1.18	0.83, 1.68	0.37	27	1.42	0.92, 2.20	0.12	20	1.07	0.65, 1.74	0.80
Gastrointestinal ⁷	26	1.10	0.70, 1.73	0.69	34	1.39	0.95, 2.04	0.09	42	1.21	0.85, 1.73	0.30	19	1.43	0.86, 2.37	0.17
Urinary ⁸	8	0.82	0.37, 1.84	0.64	14	0.87	0.48, 1.58	0.65	15	0.87	0.48, 1.57	0.64	6	0.83	0.36, 1.94	0.67
Head Neck ⁹	4	1.02	0.30, 3.50	0.98	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Cigarette-Cigarillo																
Total Cancers	106	1.03	0.84, 1.28	0.76	309	1.20	1.05, 1.36	0.01	250	1.14	0.99, 1.31	0.08	163	1.17	0.98, 1.40	0.08
Smoking Cancers ⁶	44	1.22	0.87, 1.72	0.25	177	1.29	1.09, 1.53	0.004	122	1.23	1.00, 1.52	0.05	99	1.33	1.05, 1.67	0.02
Lung	7	1.19	0.49, 2.87	0.70	76	1.30	0.99, 1.60	0.06	44	1.37	0.96, 1.96	0.08	39	1.22	0.85, 1.76	0.28
Gastrointestinal ⁷	20	1.08	0.64, 1.80	0.78	58	1.52	1.24, 2.06	0.01	45	1.25	0.89, 1.75	0.21	33	1.59	1.06, 2.37	0.02
Urinary ⁸	9	1.30	0.63, 2.67	0.48	22	0.94	0.58, 1.51	0.79	19	1.10	0.66, 1.82	0.73	12	0.91	0.48, 1.75	0.78
Head Neck ⁹	3	-	-	-	15	1.64	0.91, 2.96	0.10	8	1.17	0.54, 2.52	0.69	10	1.77	0.84, 3.73	0.14

	Cigarette Smoking Duration										Cigarette Smoking Frequency					
	15 years					>15 years					15 cigarettes per day			>15 cigarettes per day		
	Cases	HR ^{2a}	95% CI ^{2a}	P ^{2a}	Cases	HR ^{2a}	95% CI ^{2a}	P ^{2a}	Cases	HR ^{2b}	95% CI ^{2b}	P ^{2b}	Cases	HR ^{2b}	95% CI ^{2b}	P ^{2b}
Cigarette-Pipe																
Total Cancers	86	1.24	0.98, 1.57	0.08	104	1.03	0.84, 1.27	0.78	129	1.14	0.94, 1.38	0.17	58	1.05	0.80, 1.39	0.71
Smoking Cancers ⁶	26	1.18	0.78, 1.78	0.44	58	1.10	0.83, 1.47	0.52	54	1.17	0.87, 1.57	0.29	29	1.07	0.72, 1.59	0.72
Lung	6	2.30	0.93, 5.75	0.07	26	1.43	0.91, 2.24	0.12	15	1.44	0.82, 2.54	0.21	15	1.74	0.99, 3.06	0.06
Gastrointestinal ⁷	6	0.55	0.25, 1.28	0.17	10	0.60	0.31, 1.14	0.12	12	0.58	0.31, 1.07	0.08	5	0.60	0.24, 1.48	0.27
Urinary ⁸	11	1.95	0.99, 3.82	0.05	15	1.29	0.73, 2.28	0.39	18	1.71	1.01, 2.91	0.05	8	1.16	0.54, 2.48	0.70
Head Neck ⁹	2	-	-	-	6	1.86	0.77, 4.52	0.17	8	2.62	1.19, 5.78	0.02	0	-	-	-
Cigarette-Smokeless Tobacco⁵																
Total Cancers	233	1.00	0.86, 1.17	0.92	244	0.95	0.82, 1.10	0.47	326	0.94	0.82, 1.07	0.36	152	1.05	0.87, 1.27	0.58
Smoking Cancers ⁶	89	1.13	0.85, 1.47	0.37	113	0.85	0.69, 1.06	0.13	125	0.87	0.70, 1.08	0.21	77	1.08	0.83, 1.41	0.56
Lung	8	0.64	0.24, 1.67	0.36	44	0.82	0.58, 1.16	0.29	26	0.68	0.42, 1.09	0.11	26	0.97	0.61, 1.53	0.88
Gastrointestinal ⁷	52	1.36	0.95, 1.30	0.09	35	0.80	0.55, 1.17	0.21	60	1.00	0.73, 1.36	0.99	26	1.14	0.72, 1.78	0.58
Urinary ⁸	17	1.03	0.57, 1.87	0.91	28	1.10	0.71, 1.70	0.65	27	0.93	0.59, 1.47	0.76	18	1.33	0.76, 2.31	0.32
Head Neck ⁹	9	1.18	0.50, 2.79	0.71	5	0.66	0.25, 1.65	0.35	10	0.81	0.37, 1.78	0.60	5	1.00	0.37, 2.71	1.00
Cigarette-Chewing Tobacco																
Total Cancers	176	1.05	0.87, 1.25	0.63	193	0.94	0.79, 1.10	0.42	251	0.95	0.82, 1.10	0.49	118	1.08	0.87, 1.32	0.49
Smoking Cancers ⁶	68	1.16	0.86, 1.57	0.33	94	0.86	0.68, 1.09	0.22	102	0.90	0.71, 1.14	0.40	61	1.08	0.80, 1.45	0.63
Lung	7	0.73	0.25, 2.12	0.56	36	0.81	0.55, 1.20	0.30	22	0.68	0.41, 1.15	0.15	22	0.99	0.60, 1.64	0.96
Gastrointestinal ⁷	39	1.38	0.92, 2.06	0.12	31	0.87	0.58, 1.31	0.50	48	1.04	0.74, 1.47	0.82	21	1.20	0.73, 1.97	0.48
Urinary ⁸	12	0.96	0.47, 1.93	0.90	23	1.12	0.70, 1.81	0.64	23	1.03	0.63, 1.69	0.91	12	1.09	0.56, 2.11	0.81
Head Neck ⁹	8	1.43	0.57, 3.57	0.44	4	0.66	0.23, 1.88	0.43	8	0.79	0.33, 1.94	0.61	5	1.31	0.48, 3.58	0.59
Cigarette-Snuff																
Total Cancers	48	0.97	0.72, 1.30	0.81	43	0.92	0.57, 1.50	0.75	65	0.96	0.74, 1.25	0.77	27	1.04	0.70, 1.54	0.85
Smoking Cancers ⁶	17	1.01	0.62, 1.67	0.96	18	1.03	0.45, 2.32	0.95	19	0.80	0.50, 1.27	0.34	15	1.31	0.77, 2.21	0.32
Lung	1	-	-	-	7	0.57	0.21, 1.54	0.26	4	0.89	0.32, 2.43	0.82	3	-	-	-

	Cigarette Smoking Duration						Cigarette Smoking Frequency									
	15 years			>15 years			15 cigarettes per day			>15 cigarettes per day						
	Cases	HR ^{2a}	95% CI ^{2a}	P ^{2a}	Cases	HR ^{2a}	95% CI ^{2a}	P ^{2a}	Cases	HR ^{2b}	95% CI ^{2b}	P ^{2b}	Cases	HR ^{2b}	95% CI ^{2b}	P ^{2b}
Gastrointestinal ⁷	10	1.20	0.63, 2.30	0.58	4	1.21	0.49, 2.99	0.69	9	0.78	0.40, 1.53	0.46	5	1.28	0.51, 3.17	0.60
Urinary ⁸	5	1.39	0.54, 3.56	0.49	5	0.75	0.10, 5.53	0.77	4	0.76	0.28, 2.08	0.59	6	2.51	1.06, 5.94	0.04
Head Neck ⁹	0	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	0	-	-	-

¹ Cigarette smokers who ever used another tobacco product

^{2a} Adjusted for age, gender, race, state of residence, education, alcohol frequency, cigarettes per day

^{2b} Adjusted for age, gender, race, state of residence, education, alcohol frequency, years smoked cigarettes

³ Reference for 15 years=10,545; >15 years=7,970; 15 cigarettes per day=13,748; >15 cigarettes per day=4,823

⁴ Cigarette smokers who ever used cigars, cigarillos and/or pipes

⁵ Cigarette smokers who ever used chewing tobacco and/or snuff

⁶ Bladder, colon, cervix, esophagus, kidney, larynx, lip, liver, lung, myeloid leukemia, nasal and sinus, oral cavity, pancreas, pharynx, rectum, stomach, tongue, ureter, uterine

⁷ Colon, esophagus, liver, pancreas, rectum, stomach

⁸ Bladder, kidney, ureter

⁹ Larynx, lip, nasal and sinus, oral cavity, pharynx, tongue