Action of Nalidixic Acid on Chloroplast Replication in Euglena gracilis¹

Received for publication July 22, 1974 and in revised form October 21, 1974

HARVARD LYMAN, ANN S. JUPP, AND IGNACIO LARRINUA Department of Cellular and Comparative Biology, University of the State of New York, Stony Brook, New York 11794

ABSTRACT

The role of light in nalidixic acid bleaching of Euglena gracilis var. bacillaris was investigated. The kinetics of loss of the chloroplast-associated DNA and the sensitivity of chloroplast replication to ultraviolet light was followed during treatment with nalidixic acid. By using the mutant P4ZUL, and 3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-, 1-dimethylurea, it was demonstrated that the requirement for light was a functioning photosynthetic electron transport system. Ultracentifugal analysis showed ^a substantial decrease in chloroplast-associated DNA after 6 hours of treatment with nalidixic acid. Ultraviolet target analysis revealed that the number of chloroplast genomes per cell had been reduced. The possible role of light and implications of the reduction in chloroplast genomes for chloroplast replication are discussed.

Chloroplast replication in Euglena gracilis is specifically inhibited by Nal² (5, 6, 9, 13), a potent inhibitor of DNA synthesis (2, 7, 8). We have shown previously that Nal concentrations effective for the production of permanently bleached cells have no effect on cell division rate or viability although cell division is required for the Nal effect. Dark-grown cells, under resting conditions in the light, exhibit normal Chl synthesis in the presence of the drug (9). However, Neumann and Parthier (13) reported that dark-grown cells growing in Nal for 12 hr and then exposed to light show severe inhibition of Chl synthesis, RuDP carboxylase activity, and chloroplastspecific leucyl-tRNA synthetase as well as structural changes in the chloroplast. Previously, we (10) had found that the effect of Nal is most pronounced when the cells are growing in the light. We present data showing that the requirement for light is a functioning photosynthetic electron transport capacity. Further, we demonstrate the kinetics of loss of chloroplast DNA in the presence of the inhibitor and ^a concomitant increase in UV sensitivity of plastid replication.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Growth Conditions. Euglena gracilis Klebs var. bacillaris Pringsheim, wild type, and mutant strains were maintained

and grown for experiments on a defined organic medium at pH 3.6 (18). Incubation was carried out in gyrotary water bath shakers (New Brunswick) at 26 C \pm 0.5 C. The cultures were illuminated with a bank of four 40-w cool white Westingthouse fluorescent bulbs supplemented by cool beam tungsten 150 and 75-w bulbs (General Electric) to give an intensity of 3.6 \times 10^5 ergs/cm² sec.

Green colony-forming ability was assessed on plates using the above medium (18).

Preparation of Nalidixic Acid. A fresh stock solution of Nal³ (5 mg/ml) was prepared for each experiment as follows: 100 mg of Nal powder were dissolved in 0.8 ml of ¹ N NaOH and distilled H_2O added to 100 ml. The solution was sterilized by Millipore fitration. The final concentration of Nal for all experiments was 50 μ g/ml of culture.

Preparation of DCMU. For the stock solution DCMU was dissolved in 95% ethanol. The final concentration for all experiments was 10^{-5} M.

DNA Isolation. A total of 3 to 4×10^8 log phase cells was harvested by centrifugation at 3,000 rpm in a Sorvall refrigerated centrifuge at 4 C. The resultant pellet was washed once with distilled H₂O followed by a second wash with saline-EDTA. Those cells not extracted immediately were fast frozen in Dry Ice and acetone then stored at -5 C.

DNA was isolated using ^a modification of the Marmur method (12). The pellet was suspended in a 5-ml cold saline-EDTA (0.15 M NaCl, 0.1 M Na₂EDTA, pH 8). SLS (25%, freshly prepared) was added to a final concentration of 0.2 mg/ml of suspension and lysis was determined by microscopic examination. If lysis was incomplete, the tube was warmed with the hands and/or ^a small additional amount of SLS added. The material was then incubated with ¹ mg/ml pronase (stock solution 10 mg/ml, predigested) at 37 C. The lysate was placed in an ice bath, and ⁵ M sodium perchlorate was added to ^a final concentration of 1 M. One volume of chloroform-isoamyl alcohol $(24:1, v/v)$ was added, and the suspension was placed in an ice bath for 30 min. During this time the emulsion was agitated frequently with a gentle reciprocal motion. Following this deproteinization, the material was centrifuged at 5,000g for ⁵ min (Sorvall HB-4 rotor). The supernatant fraction containing the nucleic acids was removed with the wide end of a 10-ml pipette into ^a chilled beaker. Two volumes of ice cold 95% ethanol were layered on the supernatant and the DNA was precipitated by gentle swirling. After winding the DNA onto a glass rod and repeated washings in cold ethanol, the fibers were dissolved in cold SSC (0.015 M NaCl, 0.0015 M $Na₃$ citrate, pH 7.4). Ribonuclease A (final concentration 50

^{&#}x27; Supported by National Science Foundation Grant BO 38262 to H. L.

² Abbreviations: Nal: nalidixic acid; P₄ZUL: a mutant designation (18); SLS: sodium lauryl sulfate; SSC: saline sodium citrate.

³ Nalidixic acid was the generous gift of the Sterling-Winthrop Research Institute, Sterling Drug. Inc.

 μ g/ml) and ribonuclease T1 (final concentration 50 units/ml) were added, and the solution was then incubated for 30 min at 37 C. The beaker was then placed immediately into an ice bath. The DNA was reprecipitated by drop-wise addition of ice-cold isopropanol while gently swirling the beaker. The precipitate was washed repeatedly with isopropanol, air dried, and stored as fibers at -5 C.

Cesium Chloride Analysis. The DNA fibers were dissolved in SSC and an absorbance measured at 260 nm (20 $A_{200} = 1$ mg DNA/ml). A solution containing 0.6 g of CsCl, 20 μ g of DNA, and 2 μ g of marker DNA (Micrococcus luteus, Miles Laboratories, stock solution 40 μ g/ml, stored at -20 C) in 0.47 ml of SSC was prepared for each determination. A similar solution minus DNA was used as ^a blank. The refractive index of each sample was adjusted to 1.398 (26 C) representing a density of 1.6850. The samples were placed in a double sector cell with ^a Kel-F centerpiece and installed in either an An-D or An-F rotor then centrifuged in ^a Spinco model E ultracentrifuge for 20 hr at 44,000 rpm at 20 C.

Ultraviolet Target Analysis. UV target analysis of chloroplast replication was done as described previously (11).

RESULTS

We have shown previously that cell division is required for the Nal effect and that green colony-forming ability in dark or light grown cells is lost rapidly in the light but is unaffected in the dark. Even after extensive growth in the dark in the presence of Nal, only a small fraction of the population ever shows inhibition of green colony-forming ability (9). The role of light in enhancing the Nal effect was investigated using P_rZUL, a mutant of *Euglena*, which has a block in photosynthetic electron transport (14, 15) and DCMU, an inhibitor of photosynthetic electron transport. P₄ZUL, while capable of making chloroplasts in the light, is unable to photosynthesize. DCMU has no effect on chloroplast development (19). Figure ¹ indicates the effect of Nal on the mutant and of DCMU plus Nal on the wild type. It can be seen that green colony formation is not inhibited by Nal when photoelectron transport is blocked in the plastid. Whether photosynthetic electron transport is blocked by an inhibitor or by ^a mutation, the result is ^a loss of sensitivity to Nal. It appears, therefore, that the light enhancement of the Nal effect is related to ^a functioning photosynthetic electron transport system.

In addition, the kinetics of the loss of ability to form green colonies in these experiments seem greater than can be accounted for solely by ^a dilution process. Complete loss of ability to form green colonies is seen with 4 generations, ^a faster rate than would be obtained by diluting out 10 chloroplasts (17). Since it has been shown that bacteria inhibited by Nal show degradation of DNA (3), we investigated the kinetics of chloroplast DNA loss in Nal-treated Euglena. Figure ² shows that cells incubated for 6 hr in Nal show a considerable loss of chloroplast-associated DNA. In these experiments the mitochondrial DNA satellite peak is used as an internal standard. The loss of chloroplast-associated DNA occurs at ^a point in the bleaching curve where only about 20% of the population has bleached. This loss of chloroplast-associated DNA is too great to attribute to complete loss from only 20% of the population and no loss in the remainder.

UV target analysis of chloroplast replication was undertaken to determine whether the number of chloroplast genomes in the green survivors had been reduced. Ultraviolet analysis of chloroplast replication in Euglena has been used to estimate the number of chloroplast genomes per cell. In these analyses it is assumed that the UV target number (N) derived from experimental data or theoretical calculations represents the num-

FIG. 1. Wild-type (Z) and mutant $(P₄ZUL)$ cells were grown in the light in organic medium. Nal (50 μ g/ml) and 10 μ M DCMU were added as above.

FIG. 2. Cesium chloride analysis of wild-type (Z) in the presence and absence of Nal. Regions of density indicate the following: 1.731, marker DNA (M. luteus); 1.709, Euglena nuclear DNA; 1.691, Euglena mitochondrial DNA; 1.686, Euglena chloroplast DNA.

ber of plastid genomes (11). It has been calculated that there may be ³ to ⁶ or more copies of the chloroplast genome (11, 20, 21); therefore, there can be 30 to 60 plastid genomes per

FIG. 3. UV target analysis of chloroplast replication in wildtype (Z). N = ¹⁰ theoretical targets/cell (); N = ³⁰ theoretical targets/cell $(- - -)$; Z minus Nal (\triangle) ; Z plus Nal (\triangle) . Cells were grown for 6 hr either in the presence or absence of Nal in the light. A 6-ml aliquot was removed from each culture for the -analysis.

cell (11, 21). Figure 3 indicates that cells incubated for 6 hr in Nal show increased UV sensitivity. The target number for the Nal treated cells is about 10, indicating that the number of chloroplast genomes per cell has been considerably reduced.

DISCUSSION

The role of light in enhancing the Nal effect is difficult to interpret. Since Nal does cause bleaching to a limited extent in the dark, light can be seen either as facilitating uptake of the inhibitor into the plastid, as altering the structure of Nal, or as an initiator of chloroplast division. Bourguignon and Sternglanz (1) have shown that Nal is not modified to a new active compound by Escherichia coli. The synthesis of the chloroplast from the proplastid requires light for at least two photomorphogenetic systems (16). It has not been demonstrated that these systems mediate chloroplast division although Uzzo and Lyman (21) have shown that light does cause a doubling of plastid-associated DNA during plastid development. Experiments using P.ZUL and DCMU indicate that these photomorphogenetic systems are not involved in the light-enhanced Nal effect. The role of light in enhancing the Nal effect appears to be correlated with an active photosynthetic electron transport system. One might speculate that the pH gradient set up across chloroplast membranes during photosynthesis might facilitate Nal uptake.

The loss of chloroplast-associated DNA and the increased sensitivity to UV of chloroplast replication implies ^a degradation of some copies of the genomes of each chloroplast. Ap- -parently the chloroplast is capable of replication even though ^a substantial portion of DNA may be lost from each plastid.

This may be due either to ^a rapid replacement of DNA or to the ability of the plastid to replicate utilizing a reduced number of genomes. The latter has already been implied from UV target analysis (21). Both events probably contribute to the chloroplast replication evidenced by the green colony-forming ability of cells grown in Nal from 6 to 12 hr.

Judicious use of Nal, an excellent tool for studying the control of chloroplast replication, may allow us to isolate a class of Euglena having on the average 1 genome per chloroplast. If replacement of the lost DNA can be prevented, these cells may be used to determine the minimal number of genomes required for plastid replication which would be of great interest.

Acknowledgment-We would like to acknowledge the excellent technical assistance of Melvin Dorin.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. BOURGUIGNON; G. J., M. LEVITT, AND R. STERNGLANZ. 1973. Studies on the mechanism of action of nalidixic acid. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 4: 479-486.
- 2. BURGER, R. M. AND D. A. GLASER. 1973. Effect of nalidixic acid on DNA replication by toluene-treated Escherichia coli. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 70: 1955-1958.
- 3. COOK, T. M., W. H. DIETZ, AND W. A. GOSS. 1966. Mechanism of action of nalidixic acid on Escherichia coli IV. Effects on the stability of cellular constituents. J. Bacteriol. 91: 774-779.
- 4. EBERLE, H. AND W. MASKER. 1971. Effect of nalidixic acid on semiconservative replication and repair synthesis after ultraviolet irradiation in $Esch$ crichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 105: 908-912.
- 5. EBRINGER, L. 1970. The action of nalidixic acid on Euglena plastids. J. Gen. Mlicrobiol. 61: 141-144.
- 6. EBRINGER, L. 1972. Are plastids derived from prokaryotic micro-organisms? Action of antibiotics on chloroplasts of Euglena gracilis. J. Gen. Microbiol. 71: 35-52.
- 7. GAGE, L. P. AND D. J. FUJITA. 1969. Effect of nalidixic acid on deoxyribonucleic acid synthesis in bacteriophage SPO1-infected Bacillus subtilis. J. Bacteriol. 98: 96-103.
- 8. Goss, W. A., W. H. DEITZ. AND T. M. COOK. 1965. Mechanism of action of nalidixic acid on Escherichia coli. II. Inhibition of deoxyribonucleic acid synthesis. J. Bacteriol. 89: 1068-1074.
- 9. LYMAN, H. 1967. Specific inhibition of chloroplast replication in Euglena gracilis by nalidixic acid. J. Cell. Biol. 35: 726-730.
- 10. LYMAN, H. 1968. The role of lighlt in nalidixic acid-induced inhibition of chloroplast replication in E uglena. J. Cell. Biol. 39: 83a.
- 11. LYMAN, H., H. T. EPSTEIN, AND J. A. SCHIFF. 1961. Studies of chloroplast development in Euglena. I. Inactivation of green colony formation by UV light. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 50: 301-309.
- 12. MARMUR, J. 1961. A procedure for the isolation of deoxyribonucleic acid from micro-organisms. J. Mol. Biol. 3: 208-218.
- 13. NEUMANN, D. AND B. PARTHIER. 1973. Effects of nalidixic acid, chloramphenicol, cycloheximide, and anisomycin on structure and development of plastids and mitochondria in greening E uglena gracilis. Exp. Cell Res. 81: 255-268.
- 14. RUSSELL, G. K. AND H. LYMAN. 1968. Isolation of mutants of Euglena gracilis with impaired photosyntlhesis. Plant Physiol. 43: 1284-1290.
- 15. RUSSELL, G. K., H. LYMAN, AND R. L. HEATH. 1969. Absence of fluorescence quenching in a photosynthetic mutant of Euglena gracilis. Plant Physiol. 44: 929-931.
- 16. SCHIFF, J. A. 1973. The development, inheritance, and origin of the plastid in Euglena. In: M. Abercronmbie and J. Brachet, eds., Advances in Morphogenesis. Vol. 10. Academic Press. New York. pp. 265-312.
- 17. SCHIFF, J. A., H. LYMAN, AND H. T. EPSTEIN. 1961. Studies of chloroplast development in Euglena. II. Photoreversal of the U.V. inhibition of green colony formation. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 50: 310-318.
- 18. SCHIFF, J. A., H. LYMAN, AND G. K. RUSSELL. 1971. Isolation of mutants fronm Euglena gracilis. Alethods Enzymol. 13A: 143-162.
- 19. SCHIFF, J. A., NI. H. ZELDIN, AND J. RUBMAN. 1967. Chlorophyll formation and photosynthetic competence in Euglena During light-induced chloroplast development in the presence of 3, (3,4-dichlorophenyl) 1,1-dlmethyl urea (DCMU). Plant Physiol. 42: 1716-1725.
- 20. STUTZ, E. AND J. P. VANDREY. 1972. Euglena gracilis Chloroplast DNA: Some structural and functional aspects. In: G. Forti, M. Avron, and A. Melandri. eds., Proceedings of the Second International Congress on Photosynthesis Research, Vol. 3. Dr. W. Junk N.V. Publishers, The Hague. pp. 2601-2609.
- 21. Uzzo, A. AND H. LYMAN. 1972. The nature of the chloroplast genome of Euglenza gracilis. In: G. Forti, M. Avron, and A. Melandri eds., Proceedings of the Second International Congress on Photosynthesis Research. Vol. 3. Dr. W. Junk N.V. Publishers. The Hague. pp. 2585-2599.