



Published in final edited form as:

Neurosci Lett. 2017 May 10; 649: 133–138. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2016.11.014.

Circuit Dysregulation and Circuit-Based Treatments in Posttraumatic Stress Disorder

Jony Sheynin and Israel Liberzon

Veterans Affairs Ann Arbor Healthcare System, and Department of Psychiatry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

Abstract

Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a psychiatric disorder that develops in some individuals in the aftermath of exposure to traumatic events, such as actual or threatened death, serious injury or sexual assault. It has been hypothesized that dysregulations in a number of specific neurocircuits, characterized by heightened responsivity of amygdala, dACC and insula, diminished responsivity of mPFC, impaired hippocampal function and deficits in cortical regions, underlie the development and expression of key PTSD symptoms. Here, we concisely describe three functional neural circuits implicated in PTSD pathophysiology and briefly review selected treatment strategies in the context of these neural circuits. We start with the commonly implicated neurocircuit model, namely, the fear learning and threat detection circuits, and then discuss the context processing circuitry, which plays an important role among others, in fear regulation. We then discuss the emotion regulation circuitry, which can further contribute to PTSD pathophysiology, and conclude with a discussion of the therapeutic approaches that might be targeting dysregulation in these circuits in PTSD patients. Specifically, we discuss how exposure-based treatments might be targeting fear learning circuits, and the pharmacological and brain-stimulation interventions aimed to augment these therapies. Finally, we discuss other pharmacological and cognitive therapeutic approaches that can augment or restore the function of the context processing and emotional regulation circuits.

Introduction

Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) develops in subset of individuals who have been exposed to traumatic events, such as actual or threatened death, serious injury or sexual assault. Such exposure could entail a direct experience of the traumatic event, in-person witnessing of such event, or learning about a traumatic event that occurred to a close individual [1]. Symptom clusters include intrusive reexperiencing symptoms (memories of trauma, nightmares, flashbacks); avoidance of trauma-related thoughts, memories, contexts or cues; negative mood and cognition; and hyperarousal/hypervigilance [1]. In recent years, multiple studies have examined brain regions involved in PTSD symptomatology in an effort to better understand the underlying mechanisms and to develop more effective treatments [2,

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

3]. In this mini-review, we focus on three key neural circuits that have been implicated in the pathophysiology and symptom development in this disorder, namely, fear learning/threat detection, context processing and emotion regulation circuits. We consider evidence of dysregulation in these circuits in PTSD, and conclude with a discussion of therapeutic approaches that might be targeting these neurocircuits.

1. Fear learning/threat detection circuitry

The most commonly implicated neurocircuit model in PTSD involves the fear-associated learning circuitry [3–7]. In both humans and rodents, fear learning can be examined within the framework of classical (Pavlovian) fear conditioning, where an aversive stimulus (unconditioned stimulus; e.g., electric shock) is paired with a neutral stimulus (conditioned stimulus; e.g., a tone), resulting in the neutral stimulus eliciting a conditioned fear response (increased freezing, fear-potentiated startle, or skin conductance response). The fear-associated learning circuitry also governs fear extinction, a process by which the previously conditioned fear response is extinguished over time, and the cue that was perceived as dangerous, is now perceived as safe. Developing exaggerated fear response to non-threatening cues, or failing to extinguish fear response to cues that no longer signify danger, could contribute to exaggerated fear and recurrence of traumatic memories in PTSD.

The neurocircuitry underlying fear learning contains a number of regions [2, 3, 8, 9], with amygdala as the key structure that receives sensory input from the thalamus and orchestrates response to threatening signals by sending outputs to the hypothalamus, basal ganglia and brainstem. The medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) is another key region that regulates appropriate fear/safety learning by exerting top-down control on the amygdala [10], with dorsal regions like dorsal anterior cingulate (dACC) being critical to expression of fear and ventromedial region (vmPFC), specifically, playing a key role in later recall of fear extinction [11]. Two key fear learning regions – amygdala and dACC, together with insula/operculum, also constitute important nodes in a more general salience/threat detection system [12]. This system identifies salient cues in the environment [13], and abnormalities within this system can also contribute to PTSD pathophysiology, independent of fear learning [3, 14]. Considering space limitations, the overlap in the key brain regions like amygdala and dACC, and limited empirical data linking the salience system to PTSD, we do not consider it separately here, rather consider them jointly as fear learning/threat detection circuits.

Functional imaging findings in PTSD, in general, support the notion that amygdala hyperactivation in response to threatening stimuli may account for the exaggerated fear response and persistence of traumatic memories [5, 15–17], while hyperactivation of dACC and insular cortex might contribute to the exaggerated threat detection and fear learning [3, 18]. Furthermore, PTSD has been associated with hyporesponsiveness of the rostral anterior cingulate cortex (rACC) and adjacent vmPFC, which could contribute, to lessened inhibitory input and subsequent hyperactivation of the amygdala [3, 5, 15, 17, 18]. The hypoactivation in mPFC and hyperactivation of the amygdala [19, 20] could, in turn, underlie the impaired extinction recall [3, 16, 21, 22] in PTSD. While this is indeed a plausible hypothesis, it still leaves important questions open, such as: how does this explain emergence of PTSD

symptoms in the absence of trauma cues, or what specific neurobiological process and in what region is the key to PTSD pathophysiology: is it the inhibition in the amygdala, specific deficit in vmPFC, functional connectivity between the two, etc.

Dysregulated fear circuitry might also lead to fear responses to benign stimuli that resemble trauma cues. While the mechanism of fear generalization in general is adaptive and helps to respond to set of stimuli that might have the same consequence (e.g., big wild cats are dangerous, whether it is a lion or a leopard), excessive generalization can lead to pathologic anxiety. Generalization is commonly tested with generalization gradients, where healthy subjects demonstrate a weakening of the fear response to “safer” stimuli [23], while anxiety patients show a less steep degradation, i.e. more fear response to the same stimuli [24–26]. Preliminary results suggest that overgeneralization pattern is also present in PTSD [3, 27–30], and compromised fear neurocircuitry might underlie such overgeneralization [30–32]. Specifically, a decreased connectivity between the basolateral amygdala (BLA) and the mPFC, might play a role as low synchrony between these regions was associated with fear generalization [33]. Indeed, decreased amygdala-vmPFC connectivity has been interpreted as evidence for impaired safety learning in PTSD [29].

2. Context processing circuitry

In the past decade, our laboratory [34], as well as others [35–38], have reported abnormalities in context processing function in PTSD [3]. Context is a set of internal (cognitive and hormonal) and external (environmental and social) factors present during a specific event and affect how the event is perceived and remembered [39, 40]. It is essential for deriving situationally informed meaning from the stimuli around us, thus maintaining cognitive and behavioral flexibility. The hippocampus has been the focus of neurobiological studies on contextual processing [41], as well as its connection with mPFC [40]. Of direct relevance to PTSD, hippocampus-mPFC based contextual processing enables contextual regulation of fear associative learning and retrieval discussed above [40]. Specifically, contextual processing is required to support adaptive fear extinction and renewal, and human research has confirmed the role of the hippocampus-mPFC-amygdala circuitry in both contextual conditioning [42–44] and regulation [45, 46] of fear.

Dysfunction in the contextual processing circuit may lead to inflexible, rigid and inappropriate behavioral responses, contributing to development of various PTSD symptoms [3, 39, 40]. For example, the re-experiencing of the traumatic event and the tendency to avoid reminders of that event may reflect impaired contextual processing, which causes extinguished fear to inappropriately renew in a safe context. A few studies have specifically addressed contextual processing in PTSD, demonstrating that PTSD patients were impaired on contextual processing dependent function – extinction recall, a deficit associated with lesser hippocampal and mPFC activity and greater dACC activity [16]. PTSD patients also showed dACC hyperactivity and vmPFC hypoactivity specifically during contextual processing period [35]. Our laboratory replicated extinction recall findings in vmPFC in PTSD, and further extended it by showing impairment in another context dependent function – fear renewal [34]. Diminished fear renewal was also associated with hypoactive vmPFC and hippocampus, and demonstrated that the deficit in PTSD was not limited to extinction

recall only, but encompassed other context-dependent functions. Recent papers have replicated the impaired contextual learning in PTSD [37], and highlighted the key role of the hippocampus in the etiology of this disorder [38]. Of note, reduced contextual processing was also shown during a non-fear based task, further supporting general contextual processing deficit in PTSD [47].

3. Emotion regulation circuitry

In addition to changes in fear associated learning and context processing circuitry, abnormalities in one's ability to control and modulate emotions can also contribute to PTSD pathophysiology [3]. Emotional regulation is a critical component of an adaptive behavior and healthy well-being, and the most well-studied strategy of such regulation in the cognitive domain is reappraisal, where one reinterprets the emotional significance of an event [48, 49]. Other cognitive regulation strategies exist, but clearly require further research, including re-allocation of attention away from emotional stimuli, suppression of expression of an emotion, distraction [50], and distancing, i.e. taking a third-person perspective [51]. Reappraisal of negative stimuli has been associated with increased activation of dorsolateral, ventrolateral and dorsomedial prefrontal cortex (PFC), and decreased activation of medial orbitofrontal cortex and the amygdala [52] – findings that were confirmed by a recent meta-analysis [53]. Accordingly, a model had been proposed whereby regions of the PFC maintain cognitive control by selecting stimulus interpretation, whereas decreased amygdala activation reflects the top-down modulation of the emotional salience of the stimuli [53–55]. It is important to highlight that “emotion regulation” is not a single unified circuitry but rather a set of circuits that share common and also unique regions, depending on the specific function that is performed. For example, redirecting attention might involve dorsal parietal (unique) and dorsolateral PFC (common) regions, while reappraisal might involve dorsolateral PFC (common) and dorsomedial PFC (unique) regions [52].

Diminished ability to properly regulate emotions might be contributing to symptomatology of PTSD, as well as other psychiatric disorders [56]. For example, it has been reported that PTSD symptoms are associated with greater use of suppression and less use of reappraisal to down-regulate emotions [57]. In a study that examined volitional regulation of emotional response to negative pictures in PTSD, all trauma- exposed subjects demonstrated diminished down-regulation of emotional response [58]. However, in a more recent study of veterans with combat-related PTSD, we reported lower dorsolateral PFC activation specifically in PTSD patients during down-regulation of negative affect, as compared to trauma-exposed controls [59]. Similarly, deficits in other executive function (e.g., attention, inhibitory function) that are often seen in PTSD also relate to dysfunction within dorsal prefrontal networks and are exacerbated within emotional or trauma-related context [60]. The notion that prefrontal deficits may contribute to the development or maintenance of PTSD is also consistent with the more general idea of imbalanced interaction between the dorsal and ventral cortical systems that can contribute to psychiatric disorders [61].

4. Circuit-based treatments

The identification of the neural circuits that might be dysregulated in PTSD lays the groundwork for treatment development that aims to target these circuits. The comprehensive review of all treatment options for PTSD is beyond the scope of this manuscript, thus in the following paragraphs we focus on selected treatments and the neural circuits they might be targeting. The existing evidence-based first line treatments for PTSD include exposure therapy, as well as two selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs): sertraline and paroxetine [62]. Both sertraline and paroxetine had been FDA approved for PTSD treatment [63], however, they were developed initially as “me too” products, and while some hypothetical links to specific circuits can be proposed (see below), there are no sufficient empirical data to link their efficacy to a specific neural circuitry. Similarly, the development of exposure therapy treatments was not neurocircuitry-based originally, but its effectiveness can be more readily traced to its effects within specific neural circuits. Insofar fear extinction is an active component of exposure-based therapies, these therapies are likely active in the fear circuitry, employing mechanisms of fear inhibition that might enhance “fear off” circuits within amygdala, or may be accompanied by enhanced inhibitory control of the PFC over the amygdala [6]. Similarly, exposure treatment might be also decreasing overgeneralized fear by reducing fear reactivity to stimuli resembling features of the traumatic encounter [28, 30].

Among strategies targeting fear circuits, pharmacological interventions with compounds like d-cycloserine, a partial NMDA agonist, in combination with exposure therapy, have been shown to facilitate fear extinction in phobic patients [64]. The rationale behind the use of d-cycloserine and other glutamatergic modulators in conjunction with exposure therapy is that enhancing glutamatergic signal in the PFC could theoretically facilitate extinction, however, further work is required to demonstrate their efficacy in PTSD [65, 66]. Interestingly, it was recently suggested that the cannabinoid system might serve as a novel promising target for facilitating extinction learning and extinction recall – an idea that warrants further development and clinical testing [67]. Another avenue targeting fear-learning circuits, taken by some investigators is to try and prevent fear learning or consolidation of fear memory in the first place by the administration of agents, such as beta-blocker propranolol [68] or hydrocortisone [69] in the immediate aftermath of trauma. While initial reports had been promising, some subsequent studies failed to replicate positive effects [e.g. 70], suggesting that further research is required. Finally, transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) was also suggested to be potentially an effective and well-tolerated treatment [71], although larger studies with well controlled comparison groups are clearly required.

Targeting the context processing circuitry and specifically, mPFC and hippocampal functions, is another potential therapeutic avenue in PTSD. Glutamatergic modulators like ketamine (NMDA antagonist that increases glutamate release) might affect prefrontal function more generally, improving contextual processing. While the efficacy of ketamine for treatment of depression has been reported [72], its efficacy in PTSD treatment is currently being examined. More specific to hippocampus, behavioral exercise or other manipulations aimed at increasing BDNF-TrkB signaling or hippocampal neurogenesis might improve pattern separation and hippocampal function and ultimately, improve

contextual processing and help to modulate fear responses in PTSD [30]. Interestingly, if adult neurogenesis in the dentate gyrus enhances contextual processing in hippocampus by improving one's ability to separate harm from safety [73, 74], this might explain at least partially the therapeutic efficacy of antidepressants shown to enhance hippocampal neurogenesis [75], in treatment of PTSD symptoms. Conducting extinction training across different contexts [e.g., 76], is another potential strategy to enhance contextual processing and hippocampo-prefrontal function, with some data suggesting that sleep quality might be an important variable in this process [77]. Finally, recent reports on the efficacy of mindfulness-based therapies suggest that focusing on immediate physiological and spatial context might be beneficial in “exercising” context awareness [78].

Lastly, different psychotherapies (from prolonged exposure, through cognitive therapy and to mindfulness-based therapies) contain, to a varying degree, an “emotional regulation”, “reframing” or “taking perspective” components, which recruit emotion regulation neurocircuitry. For example, in *cognitive therapy*, conscious, volitional effort is used to reappraise situation [79]. Similarly, *attentional control* that re-directs attention (in mindfulness-based therapies), also engages executive function/emotional regulation constructs [61]. Mindfulness therapies that focus on somatic cues (e.g., breathing and heart rate) on the other hand, might be engaging contextual circuitry that integrates somatic cues into internal context representation.

Interestingly, it is possible that some of the pharmacological approaches also modify emotion regulation neurocircuitry. Ketamine enhancement of glutamate signal in PFC could affect prefrontal executive function, and MacNamara et al. have recently reported the neural correlates of pharmacological treatment with SSRIs [80]. They showed that treatment with the SSRI, paroxetine, increased activation of dorsolateral PFC and supplementary motor area during a reappraisal task. It was also shown that patients with less activation of prefrontal regions implicated in emotion regulation would benefit more from this first-line treatment for PTSD [80]. While these findings are preliminary and require further confirmation, they suggest that at least part of the treatment mechanism of SSRIs is mediated by changes in the emotion regulation circuitry. Finally, it was suggested that in addition to targeting explicit (volitional) emotion regulation, treatments should also address implicit (automatic) forms of regulation [81]. Such implicit processes are believed to be engaged automatically without explicit volitional effort, they do not require instructions, effort or awareness, and were proposed to be critical for patients' well-being in everyday life [81].

Conclusions

The last two decades of affective neuroscience research have yielded important information concerning the structure and function of neural circuits in PTSD. Findings often demonstrate heightened responsivity of amygdala, dACC and insula, diminished responsivity of mPFC, as well as impaired hippocampal function and possibly deficits in cortical regions responsible for executive function and emotional regulation. Functionally, these findings can be segregated to fear circuitry, context-processing circuitry and executive function/emotion regulation circuitry (Table 1). This is not to say that aberrations in other networks might not exist (reward circuitry [82], for example); however, to date, these data are limited and it has

not been shown that these abnormalities can account for key aspects of PTSD. Thus, more research is needed to support their role in the development of PTSD.

Many other key questions await further study. For instance, it is unknown whether the described changes are preexisting risk factors or they are markers of the disorder itself; longitudinal studies will be required to address this question [83]. Similarly, the heterogeneity of PTSD symptomatology raises the question whether different pathophysiological processes are contributing to “subgroups” within PTSD, or whether PTSD with and without comorbid disorders have different underlying pathophysiology [2].

Understanding the neural mechanisms of PTSD, have been already instrumental in understanding key mechanism involved in therapeutic efficacy, and will be essential for the development of new therapeutic avenues. The use of d-cycloserine or endocannabinoids to enhance extinction training, or the use of ketamine or novel psychotherapeutic approaches to enhance prefrontal function, or the development of strategies to improve adult neurogenesis and contextual processing in hippocampus – all were assisted by improved understanding of specific neural circuits involvement in PTSD. Future studies can take advantage of novel circuit-based approaches (e.g., genetic and viral tools, optogenetics and advanced in-vivo imaging techniques) to characterize cellular and molecular mechanisms and to address the role of both local microcircuits and long-range projection pathways within defined neurocircuits that are dysregulated in PTSD [84]. Future studies could also test how environmental factors such as childhood background and trauma load interact with the discussed neurocircuits, to examine questions of vulnerability and resilience to trauma exposure [6].

Lastly, in the future, targeted treatments will aim to alleviate PTSD symptoms through the modulation of specific dysregulated circuit activity, for instance, by enhancing extinction learning or improving contextualization of fear memories. Better understanding of the specific circuits and their contribution to PTSD pathophysiology – for example, what specific processes within hippocampus (pattern separation vs. pattern completion vs. both), and what subfields of hippocampus are involved, will better define targets for behavioral and pharmacological interventions. Further, it will promote personalized treatment approaches, allow preventive strategies development, and ultimately improve treatment matching and response rate by incorporating this information into pre- and post-treatment assessments.

Acknowledgments

The writing of this manuscript was supported by grants from the Department of Defense (W81XWH-13-1-0377) and the National Institute of Mental Health (R24 MH075999) to IL.

References

1. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: DSM-5. APA, American Psychiatric Association; Washington, DC: 2013.
2. Duval ER, Javanbakht A, Liberzon I. Neural circuits in anxiety and stress disorders: a focused review. *Ther Clin Risk Manag*. 2015; 11:115–126. [PubMed: 25670901]
3. Liberzon I, Abelson JL. Context Processing and the Neurobiology of Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. *Neuron*. 2016; 92:14–30. [PubMed: 27710783]

4. Shvil E, Rusch HL, Sullivan GM, Neria Y. Neural, psychophysiological, and behavioral markers of fear processing in PTSD: a review of the literature. *Curr Psychiatry Rep.* 2013; 15:358. [PubMed: 23619614]
5. Rauch SL, Shin LM, Phelps EA. Neurocircuitry models of posttraumatic stress disorder and extinction: human neuroimaging research—past, present, and future. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2006; 60:376–382. [PubMed: 16919525]
6. Jovanovic T, Ressler KJ. How the neurocircuitry and genetics of fear inhibition may inform our understanding of PTSD. *The American journal of psychiatry.* 2010; 167:648–662. [PubMed: 20231322]
7. Shin LM, Handwerker K. Is posttraumatic stress disorder a stress-induced fear circuitry disorder? *Journal of traumatic stress.* 2009; 22:409–415. [PubMed: 19743481]
8. Shin LM, Liberzon I. The neurocircuitry of fear, stress, and anxiety disorders. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2010; 35:169–191. [PubMed: 19625997]
9. Greco JA, Liberzon I. Neuroimaging of Fear-Associated Learning. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2016; 41:320–334. [PubMed: 26294108]
10. Giustino TF, Maren S. The Role of the Medial Prefrontal Cortex in the Conditioning and Extinction of Fear. *Frontiers in behavioral neuroscience.* 2015; 9:298. [PubMed: 26617500]
11. Quirk GJ, Russo GK, Barron JL, Lebron K. The role of ventromedial prefrontal cortex in the recovery of extinguished fear. *J Neurosci.* 2000; 20:6225–6231. [PubMed: 10934272]
12. Seeley WW, Menon V, Schatzberg AF, Keller J, Glover GH, Kenna H, Reiss AL, Greicius MD. Dissociable intrinsic connectivity networks for salience processing and executive control. *J Neurosci.* 2007; 27:2349–2356. [PubMed: 17329432]
13. Phelps EA, LeDoux JE. Contributions of the amygdala to emotion processing: from animal models to human behavior. *Neuron.* 2005; 48:175–187. [PubMed: 16242399]
14. Sripada RK, King AP, Welsh RC, Garfinkel SN, Wang X, Sripada CS, Liberzon I. Neural dysregulation in posttraumatic stress disorder: evidence for disrupted equilibrium between salience and default mode brain networks. *Psychosom Med.* 2012; 74:904–911. [PubMed: 23115342]
15. Bremner JD, Vermetten E, Schmahl C, Vaccarino V, Vythilingam M, Afzal N, Grillon C, Charney DS. Positron emission tomographic imaging of neural correlates of a fear acquisition and extinction paradigm in women with childhood sexual-abuse-related post-traumatic stress disorder. *Psychol Med.* 2005; 35:791–806. [PubMed: 15997600]
16. Milad MR, Pitman RK, Ellis CB, Gold AL, Shin LM, Lasko NB, Zeidan MA, Handwerker K, Orr SP, Rauch SL. Neurobiological basis of failure to recall extinction memory in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2009; 66:1075–1082. [PubMed: 19748076]
17. Shin LM, Rauch SL, Pitman RK. Amygdala, medial prefrontal cortex, and hippocampal function in PTSD. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2006; 1071:67–79. [PubMed: 16891563]
18. Hughes KC, Shin LM. Functional neuroimaging studies of post-traumatic stress disorder. *Expert Rev Neurother.* 2011; 11:275–285. [PubMed: 21306214]
19. Patel R, Spreng RN, Shin LM, Girard TA. Neurocircuitry models of posttraumatic stress disorder and beyond: a meta-analysis of functional neuroimaging studies. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2012; 36:2130–2142. [PubMed: 22766141]
20. Hayes JP, Hayes SM, Mikedis AM. Quantitative meta-analysis of neural activity in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biology of mood & anxiety disorders.* 2012; 2:9. [PubMed: 22738125]
21. Pitman RK, Rasmusson AM, Koenen KC, Shin LM, Orr SP, Gilbertson MW, Milad MR, Liberzon I. Biological studies of post-traumatic stress disorder. *Nature reviews Neuroscience.* 2012; 13:769–787. [PubMed: 23047775]
22. Milad MR, Orr SP, Lasko NB, Chang Y, Rauch SL, Pitman RK. Presence and acquired origin of reduced recall for fear extinction in PTSD: results of a twin study. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2008; 42:515–520. [PubMed: 18313695]
23. Lissek S, Biggs AL, Rabin SJ, Cornwell BR, Alvarez RP, Pine DS, Grillon C. Generalization of conditioned fear-potentiated startle in humans: experimental validation and clinical relevance. *Behaviour research and therapy.* 2008; 46:678–687. [PubMed: 18394587]

24. Lissek S, Rabin S, Heller RE, Lukenbaugh D, Geraci M, Pine DS, Grillon C. Overgeneralization of conditioned fear as a pathogenic marker of panic disorder. *The American journal of psychiatry*. 2010; 167:47–55. [PubMed: 19917595]
25. Lissek S, Kaczurkin AN, Rabin S, Geraci M, Pine DS, Grillon C. Generalized anxiety disorder is associated with overgeneralization of classically conditioned fear. *Biological psychiatry*. 2014; 75:909–915. [PubMed: 24001473]
26. Kaczurkin AN, Lissek S. Generalization of Conditioned Fear and Obsessive-Compulsive Traits. *Journal of psychology & psychotherapy*. 2013; 7:3. [PubMed: 24567864]
27. Kaczurkin, AN., Burton, PC., Chazin, SM., Lissek, SM. Generalization of conditioned fear in individuals with PTSD. In: Kaczurkin, A., Lissek (chairs), S., editors. *Psychophysiological and Neurobiological Indices of Internalizing Psychopathology; Symposium Presented at the Annual Conference of the Society for Psychophysiological Research; Florence, Italy*. 2013.
28. Lissek S, van Meurs B. Learning models of PTSD: Theoretical accounts and psychobiological evidence. *International journal of psychophysiology: official journal of the International Organization of Psychophysiology*. 2015; 98:594–605. [PubMed: 25462219]
29. Morey RA, Dunsmoor JE, Haswell CC, Brown VM, Vora A, Weiner J, Stjepanovic D, Wagner HR 3rd, LaBar KS. Fear learning circuitry is biased toward generalization of fear associations in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Translational psychiatry*. 2015; 5:e700. [PubMed: 26670285]
30. Lopresto D, Schipper P, Homberg JR. Neural circuits and mechanisms involved in fear generalization: Implications for the pathophysiology and treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2016; 60:31–42. [PubMed: 26519776]
31. Likhtik E, Paz R. Amygdala-prefrontal interactions in (mal)adaptive learning. *Trends in neurosciences*. 2015; 38:158–166. [PubMed: 25583269]
32. Stevens JS, Jovanovic T, Fani N, Ely TD, Glover EM, Bradley B, Ressler KJ. Disrupted amygdala-prefrontal functional connectivity in civilian women with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Journal of psychiatric research*. 2013; 47:1469–1478. [PubMed: 23827769]
33. Likhtik E, Stujenske JM, Topiwala MA, Harris AZ, Gordon JA. Prefrontal entrainment of amygdala activity signals safety in learned fear and innate anxiety. *Nature neuroscience*. 2014; 17:106–113. [PubMed: 24241397]
34. Garfinkel SN, Abelson JL, King AP, Sripada RK, Wang X, Gaines LM, Liberzon I. Impaired contextual modulation of memories in PTSD: an fMRI and psychophysiological study of extinction retention and fear renewal. *The Journal of neuroscience: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience*. 2014; 34:13435–13443. [PubMed: 25274821]
35. Rougemont-Bucking A, Linnman C, Zeffiro TA, Zeidan MA, Lebron-Milad K, Rodriguez-Romaguera J, Rauch SL, Pitman RK, Milad MR. Altered processing of contextual information during fear extinction in PTSD: an fMRI study. *CNS neuroscience & therapeutics*. 2011; 17:227–236. [PubMed: 20406268]
36. Flor H, Nees F. Learning, memory and brain plasticity in posttraumatic stress disorder: context matters. *Restor Neurol Neurosci*. 2014; 32:95–102. [PubMed: 23945193]
37. Steiger F, Nees F, Wicking M, Lang S, Flor H. Behavioral and central correlates of contextual fear learning and contextual modulation of cued fear in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Int J Psychophysiol*. 2015; 98:584–593. [PubMed: 26149734]
38. Acheson DT, Gresack JE, Risbrough VB. Hippocampal dysfunction effects on context memory: possible etiology for posttraumatic stress disorder. *Neuropharmacology*. 2012; 62:674–685. [PubMed: 21596050]
39. Liberzon I, Sripada CS. The functional neuroanatomy of PTSD: a critical review. *Prog Brain Res*. 2008; 167:151–169. [PubMed: 18037013]
40. Maren S, Phan KL, Liberzon I. The contextual brain: implications for fear conditioning, extinction and psychopathology. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2013; 14:417–428. [PubMed: 23635870]
41. Holland PC, Bouton ME. Hippocampus and context in classical conditioning. *Current opinion in neurobiology*. 1999; 9:195–202. [PubMed: 10322181]
42. Lang S, Kroll A, Lipinski SJ, Wessa M, Ridder S, Christmann C, Schad LR, Flor H. Context conditioning and extinction in humans: differential contribution of the hippocampus, amygdala and prefrontal cortex. *The European journal of neuroscience*. 2009; 29:823–832. [PubMed: 19200075]

43. Alvarez RP, Biggs A, Chen G, Pine DS, Grillon C. Contextual fear conditioning in humans: cortical-hippocampal and amygdala contributions. *The Journal of neuroscience: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience*. 2008; 28:6211–6219. [PubMed: 18550763]
44. Marschner A, Kalisch R, Vervliet B, Vansteenwegen D, Buchel C. Dissociable roles for the hippocampus and the amygdala in human cued versus context fear conditioning. *The Journal of neuroscience: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience*. 2008; 28:9030–9036. [PubMed: 18768697]
45. Kalisch R, Korenfeld E, Stephan KE, Weiskopf N, Seymour B, Dolan RJ. Context-dependent human extinction memory is mediated by a ventromedial prefrontal and hippocampal network. *The Journal of neuroscience: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience*. 2006; 26:9503–9511. [PubMed: 16971534]
46. Milad MR, Wright CI, Orr SP, Pitman RK, Quirk GJ, Rauch SL. Recall of fear extinction in humans activates the ventromedial prefrontal cortex and hippocampus in concert. *Biological psychiatry*. 2007; 62:446–454. [PubMed: 17217927]
47. van Rooij SJ, Rademaker AR, Kennis M, Vink M, Kahn RS, Geuze E. Impaired right inferior frontal gyrus response to contextual cues in male veterans with PTSD during response inhibition. *J Psychiatry Neurosci*. 2014; 39:330–338. [PubMed: 24886789]
48. Hartley CA, Phelps EA. Changing fear: the neurocircuitry of emotion regulation. *Neuropsychopharmacology: official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2010; 35:136–146. [PubMed: 19710632]
49. Ochsner KN, Gross JJ. Cognitive Emotion Regulation: Insights from Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience. *Current directions in psychological science*. 2008; 17:153–158. [PubMed: 25425765]
50. Gross JJ. The emerging field of emotion regulation: An integrative review. *Review of General Psychology*. 1998; 2:271–299.
51. Wisco BE, Marx BP, Sloan DM, Gorman KR, Kulish AL, Pineles SL. Self-distancing from trauma memories reduces physiological but not subjective emotional reactivity among Veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Clin Psychol Sci*. 2015; 3:956–963. [PubMed: 26640744]
52. Ochsner KN, Bunge SA, Gross JJ, Gabrieli JD. Rethinking feelings: an fMRI study of the cognitive regulation of emotion. *J Cogn Neurosci*. 2002; 14:1215–1229. [PubMed: 12495527]
53. Buhle JT, Silvers JA, Wager TD, Lopez R, Onyemekwu C, Kober H, Weber J, Ochsner KN. Cognitive reappraisal of emotion: a meta-analysis of human neuroimaging studies. *Cerebral cortex*. 2014; 24:2981–2990. [PubMed: 23765157]
54. Ochsner KN, Silvers JA, Buhle JT. Functional imaging studies of emotion regulation: a synthetic review and evolving model of the cognitive control of emotion. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 2012; 1251:E1–24. [PubMed: 23025352]
55. Wager TD, Davidson ML, Hughes BL, Lindquist MA, Ochsner KN. Prefrontal-subcortical pathways mediating successful emotion regulation. *Neuron*. 2008; 59:1037–1050. [PubMed: 18817740]
56. Amstadter A. Emotion regulation and anxiety disorders. *J Anxiety Disord*. 2008; 22:211–221. [PubMed: 17349775]
57. Shepherd L, Wild J. Emotion regulation, physiological arousal and PTSD symptoms in trauma-exposed individuals. *J Behav Ther Exp Psychiatry*. 2014; 45:360–367. [PubMed: 24727342]
58. New AS, Fan J, Murrrough JW, Liu X, Liebman RE, Guise KG, Tang CY, Charney DS. A functional magnetic resonance imaging study of deliberate emotion regulation in resilience and posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2009; 66:656–664. [PubMed: 19589502]
59. Rabinak CA, MacNamara A, Kennedy AE, Angstadt M, Stein MB, Liberzon I, Phan KL. Focal and aberrant prefrontal engagement during emotion regulation in veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Depress Anxiety*. 2014; 31:851–861. [PubMed: 24677490]
60. Aupperle RL, Melrose AJ, Stein MB, Paulus MP. Executive function and PTSD: disengaging from trauma. *Neuropharmacology*. 2012; 62:686–694. [PubMed: 21349277]
61. Taylor SF, Liberzon I. Neural correlates of emotion regulation in psychopathology. *Trends Cogn Sci*. 2007; 11:413–418. [PubMed: 17928261]

62. IOM (Institute of Medicine). Treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder: An assessment of the evidence. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2008.
63. Tawa J, Murphy S. Psychopharmacological treatment for military posttraumatic stress disorder: an integrative review. *J Am Assoc Nurse Pract.* 2013; 25:419–423. [PubMed: 24170638]
64. Ressler KJ, Rothbaum BO, Tannenbaum L, Anderson P, Graap K, Zimand E, Hodges L, Davis M. Cognitive enhancers as adjuncts to psychotherapy: use of D-cycloserine in phobic individuals to facilitate extinction of fear. *Archives of general psychiatry.* 2004; 61:1136–1144. [PubMed: 15520361]
65. Briscione MA, Jovanovic T, Norrholm SD. Conditioned fear associated phenotypes as robust, translational indices of trauma-, stressor-, and anxiety-related behaviors. *Front Psychiatry.* 2014; 5:88. [PubMed: 25101010]
66. Wood NE, Rosasco ML, Suris AM, Spring JD, Marin MF, Lasko NB, Goetz JM, Fischer AM, Orr SP, Pitman RK. Pharmacological blockade of memory reconsolidation in posttraumatic stress disorder: three negative psychophysiological studies. *Psychiatry Res.* 2015; 225:31–39. [PubMed: 25441015]
67. Rabinak CA, Phan KL. Cannabinoid modulation of fear extinction brain circuits: a novel target to advance anxiety treatment. *Curr Pharm Des.* 2014; 20:2212–2217. [PubMed: 23829364]
68. Vaiva G, Ducrocq F, Jezequel K, Averland B, Lestavel P, Brunet A, Marmar CR. Immediate treatment with propranolol decreases posttraumatic stress disorder two months after trauma. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2003; 54:947–949. [PubMed: 14573324]
69. Zohar J, Yahalom H, Kozlovsky N, Cwikel-Hamzany S, Matar MA, Kaplan Z, Yehuda R, Cohen H. High dose hydrocortisone immediately after trauma may alter the trajectory of PTSD: interplay between clinical and animal studies. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2011; 21:796–809. [PubMed: 21741804]
70. Stein MB, Kerridge C, Dimsdale JE, Hoyt DB. Pharmacotherapy to prevent PTSD: Results from a randomized controlled proof-of-concept trial in physically injured patients. *Journal of traumatic stress.* 2007; 20:923–932. [PubMed: 18157888]
71. Karsen EF, Watts BV, Holtzheimer PE. Review of the effectiveness of transcranial magnetic stimulation for post-traumatic stress disorder. *Brain Stimul.* 2014; 7:151–157. [PubMed: 24486424]
72. Katalinic N, Lai R, Somogyi A, Mitchell PB, Glue P, Loo CK. Ketamine as a new treatment for depression: a review of its efficacy and adverse effects. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry.* 2013; 47:710–727. [PubMed: 23661785]
73. Kheirbek MA, Klemenhagen KC, Sahay A, Hen R. Neurogenesis and generalization: a new approach to stratify and treat anxiety disorders. *Nature neuroscience.* 2012; 15:1613–1620. [PubMed: 23187693]
74. Besnard A, Sahay A. Adult Hippocampal Neurogenesis, Fear Generalization, and Stress. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2016; 41:24–44. [PubMed: 26068726]
75. Santarelli L, Saxe M, Gross C, Surget A, Battaglia F, Dulawa S, Weisstaub N, Lee J, Duman R, Arancio O, Belzung C, Hen R. Requirement of hippocampal neurogenesis for the behavioral effects of antidepressants. *Science.* 2003; 301:805–809. [PubMed: 12907793]
76. Dunsmoor JE, Ahs F, Zielinski DJ, LaBar KS. Extinction in multiple virtual reality contexts diminishes fear reinstatement in humans. *Neurobiol Learn Mem.* 2014; 113:157–164. [PubMed: 24583374]
77. Pace-Schott EF, Milad MR, Orr SP, Rauch SL, Stickgold R, Pitman RK. Sleep promotes generalization of extinction of conditioned fear. *Sleep.* 2009; 32:19–26. [PubMed: 19189775]
78. Holzel BK, Lazar SW, Gard T, Schuman-Olivier Z, Vago DR, Ott U. How Does Mindfulness Meditation Work? Proposing Mechanisms of Action From a Conceptual and Neural Perspective. *Perspectives on psychological science: a journal of the Association for Psychological Science.* 2011; 6:537–559. [PubMed: 26168376]
79. Troy AS, Shallcross AJ, Davis TS, Mauss IB. History of Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy Is Associated with Increased Cognitive Reappraisal Ability. *Mindfulness.* 2013; 4:213–222. [PubMed: 26005504]

80. MacNamara A, Rabinak CA, Kennedy AE, Fitzgerald DA, Liberzon I, Stein MB, Phan KL. Emotion Regulatory Brain Function and SSRI Treatment in PTSD: Neural Correlates and Predictors of Change. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2016; 41:611–618. [PubMed: 26111649]
81. Gyurak A, Gross JJ, Etkin A. Explicit and implicit emotion regulation: a dual-process framework. *Cognition & emotion*. 2011; 25:400–412. [PubMed: 21432682]
82. Elman I, Lowen S, Frederick BB, Chi W, Becerra L, Pitman RK. Functional neuroimaging of reward circuitry responsivity to monetary gains and losses in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2009; 66:1083–1090. [PubMed: 19640506]
83. Admon R, Milad MR, Hendler T. A causal model of post-traumatic stress disorder: disentangling predisposed from acquired neural abnormalities. *Trends Cogn Sci*. 2013; 17:337–347. [PubMed: 23768722]
84. Tovote P, Fadok JP, Luthi A. Neuronal circuits for fear and anxiety. *Nature reviews Neuroscience*. 2015; 16:317–331. [PubMed: 25991441]

Summary of reviewed circuit dysregulation in PTSD

Table 1

	Amy	Insula	dACC	Hpc	vmPFC	dIPFC	vIPFC	Conn
Fear learning	↑ [5, 15–17]		↑ [18]		↓ [3, 5, 15, 17, 18]			
Threat detection	↑ [3, 17]	↑ [3, 18]	↑ [3, 35]					↑ [3, 14]
Emotional regulation	↑ [52] (sec)				↓ [3]	↓ [3, 59]	↓ [3]	
Context Processing	↑ [3, 34] (sec)	↑ [3, 34] (sec)	↑ [3, 16, 34, 35] (sec)	↓ [3, 16, 34, 40]	↓ [3, 16, 34, 40]			↓ [3, 14]

Note: Arrows indicate greater or less activation in PTSD compared to healthy controls. Amy = Amygdala; dACC = dorsal anterior cingulate; Hpc = Hippocampus; vmPFC, dIPFC, vIPFC = ventromedial, dorsolateral, ventrolateral prefrontal cortex; Conn = Connectivity; sec = secondary (accompanied by smaller arrows).