BIOCHEMISTRY

Fast iodide-SAD phasing for high-throughput membrane protein structure determination

Igor Melnikov,¹* Vitaly Polovinkin,^{2,3,4}* Kirill Kovalev,^{3,4} Ivan Gushchin,^{3,4} Mikhail Shevtsov,⁴ Vitaly Shevchenko,^{3,4,5} Alexey Mishin,⁴ Alexey Alekseev,^{3,4} Francisco Rodriguez-Valera,⁶ Valentin Borshchevskiy,⁴ Vadim Cherezov,^{4,7} Gordon A. Leonard,¹ Valentin Gordeliy,^{2,3,4†} Alexander Popov^{1†}

We describe a fast, easy, and potentially universal method for the de novo solution of the crystal structures of membrane proteins via iodide–single-wavelength anomalous diffraction (I-SAD). The potential universality of the method is based on a common feature of membrane proteins—the availability at the hydrophobic-hydrophilic interface of positively charged amino acid residues with which iodide strongly interacts. We demonstrate the solution using I-SAD of four crystal structures representing different classes of membrane proteins, including a human G protein– coupled receptor (GPCR), and we show that I-SAD can be applied using data collection strategies based on either standard or serial x-ray crystallography techniques.

INTRODUCTION

Membrane proteins (MPs) are the key functional components of cell membranes. They carry out the main functions of cells including ion and solute transport and energy and signal transduction. MPs represent roughly one-third of the proteins encoded in any genome, including the human one (1). However, although they are also extremely important drug targets [up to 60% of all existing drug targets are MPs (2)], they still remain poorly structurally characterized compared to soluble proteins, mainly because their production and crystallization are two major bottlenecks of structural biology. Structural investigations by x-ray crystallography thus remain a significant challenge. This challenge becomes even more demanding if the crystal structure cannot be solved by molecular replacement (MR) methods. In these cases, the most popular approaches are the use of heavy atom derivatization (3), the substitution of methionine with selenomethionine (4), and native sulfur singlewavelength anomalous diffraction (SAD) phasing (5, 6). However, these techniques can be expensive and hazardous and are often not very efficient (6-8). A reliable, fast, and easy method of preparing these derivatives would thus be a huge step forward in the production of MP crystal structures.

Several reports have shown halide-SAD to be a promising method for phasing the crystal structures of water-soluble proteins (9, 10), and more recently, iodide-SAD (I-SAD) has been used to solve the structure of an MP (7). The distribution of positively charged amino acids at, or close to, the membrane hydrophobic-hydrophilic interface is considered to be a major determinant of the transmembrane topology of integral MPs (11) and is one of their most universal features. In this context, the "positive-inside" rule suggests that regions of polytopic 2017 © The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial License 4.0 (CC BY-NC).

(multispanning) MPs facing the cytoplasm are enriched with arginine, lysine, and, to a lesser extent, histidine amino acid residues (11–13). In addition, there are also observations of an enrichment of tryptophan and tyrosine residues at the membrane surface interfaces (14). From an electrostatic point of view, the existence of uncompensated charge in close proximity to a medium with lower dielectric constant (for example, the membrane hydrophobic-hydrophilic interface) is unfavorable, and to compensate for this, the positively charged residues placed at the interface are likely to interact particularly strongly with bulk halide ions. Thus, we hypothesized that halide-SAD might be a universal phasing method in x-ray MP crystallography.

To test this hypothesis and to develop protocols for halide-SAD phasing, we selected four target representatives for different large classes of MPs varying in the sizes of their membrane and soluble components: the recently discovered and characterized light-driven sodium pump from the marine bacterium Krokinobacter eikastus (KR2) (15), the light-driven proton pump from marine actinobacterial clade rhodopsins (MACRs) (16), a fragment of histidine protein kinase NarQ from Escherichia coli (17), and a human adenosine A_{2A} G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) (18). We attempted to solve their crystal structures by preparing iodide or bromide derivatives via the cryo-soaking of native crystals in solutions of either NaI (I-SAD) or NaBr (Br-SAD). The results we report here suggest that I-SAD is an efficient, fast, nontoxic, and potentially universal technique for the de novo (that is, not MR-based) solution of MP crystal structures that will help to improve throughput in MP structure determination.

RESULTS

Iodide-single-wavelength anomalous diffraction

Diffraction data sets were collected from several different crystals of each of the target proteins after first soaking them in precipitant solution supplemented with 0.5 M NaI (Table 1). In all cases, diffraction data were collected using x-rays of $\lambda = 1.85$ Å, where f'' for iodine/iodide = 9.2 e⁻ (6.7 keV; all values of f'' quoted are taken from http://skuld.bmsc.washington.edu/scatter/). For each target, several individual data sets were merged to obtain a single high-redundancy data set for use in structure solution (Table 1). In all four cases, I-SAD

¹European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, 38043 Grenoble, France. ²Institut de Biologie Structurale Jean-Pierre Ebel, Université Grenoble Alpes–Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique et aux Energies Alternatives–CNRS, F-38000 Grenoble, France. ³Institute of Complex Systems (ICS), ICS-6, Structural Biochemistry, Research Centre Jülich, 52425 Jülich, Germany. ⁴Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, 141700 Dolgoprudny, Moscow Region, Russia. ⁵Institute of Crystallography, University of Aachen (RWTH), Aachen, Germany. ⁶Department of Vegetal Production and Microbiology, Universidad Miguel Hernández de Elche, San Juan de Alicante, Valencia, Spain. ⁷Departments of Chemistry, Biological Sciences, and Physics & Astronomy, Bridge Institute, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA.

^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work.

⁺Corresponding author. Email: valentin.gordeliy@ibs.fr (V.G.); apopov@esrf.fr (A.P.)

Table 1. I-SAD/I-SIRAS data collection statistics. Entries in parentheses represent values for the highest-resolution bin. All statistics and data quality indicators
are calculated, treating Friedel's pairs as separate reflections. Linear merging <i>R</i> value $R_{merge} = \frac{\sum_{k} \sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{kbi} - \langle I_{(k)} \rangle }{\sum_{k} \sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{kbi} - \langle I_{(k)} \rangle }$; redundancy-independent merging <i>R</i> value $R_{meas} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} I_{kbi} - \langle I_{(k)} \rangle $
$\frac{\sum_{h} \sqrt{\frac{N}{N-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{ I_{(h)} - \langle I_{(h)} \rangle }}{\sum_{h} \sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{(h)} }; CC_{1/2} \text{correlation coefficient between intensities from random half-data sets; SigAno = \left\langle \frac{ F_{+} - F_{-} }{\sigma(F_{+} - F_{-})} \right\rangle.$

Protein/ Crystal/	Unit cell dimensions	(Å, °)
-------------------	----------------------	--------

space group	o set	а	Ь	c	α	β	γ	Resolution range (Å)	Multiplicity	Completeness (%)	R _{merge} (%)	R _{meas} (%)	< // σ(/)>	CC _{1/2} (%)	SigAno	CC _{anom} Si (%)	igAno _{inner} C	C _{anom_inner} (%)
NarQ F222	Native	57.58	73.87	235.56	90	90	90	60–2.0 (2.1–2.0)	3.61 (3.50)	99.8 (99.7)	8.8 (79.7)	10.4 (94.2)	10.58 (1.58)	99.9 (62.3)	0.76 (0.73)	-3 (-4)	0.68	-4
	1	57.27	73.64	236.15	90	90	90	60–2.9 (3.1–2.9)	6.69 (5.99)	99.7 (99.1)	15.8 (77.1)	17.2 (84.6)	10.23 (2.45)	99.8 (87.5)	1.24 (0.88)	47 (26)	4.12	92
	2	57.40	73.79	237.02	90	90	90	60–3.3 (3.5–3.3)	6.73 (6.78)	99.7 (99.8)	20.8 (75.9)	22.6 (82.2)	7.33 (2.36)	99.6 (86.1)	1.15 (0.76)	41 (8)	3.17	90
	3	57.22	73.59	236.42	90	90	90	60–3.1 (3.3–3.1)	6.74 (6.53)	99.7 (99.7)	14.2 (62.0)	15.4 (67.5)	9.87 (3.20)	99.8 (88.8)	1.43 (1.08)	57 (32)	4.03	93
	4	56.92	73.20	236.25	90	90	90	60–2.9 (3.1–2.9)	6.69 (6.69)	99.7 (99.8)	11.3 (50.3)	12.3 (54.6)	12.37 (3.30)	99.8 (93.3)	1.57 (0.78)	64 (5)	5.82	96
	5	57.21	73.52	236.54	90	90	90	60–2.7 (2.9–2.7)	6.69 (6.59)	99.8 (99.8)	11.4 (73.3)	12.4 (79.6)	11.93 (2.47)	99.9 (85.3)	1.51 (0.82)	61 (16)	6.03	97
	6	57.12	73.34	236.74	90	90	90	60–2.9 (3.1–2.9)	6.72 (6.52)	99.4 (99.5)	11.3 (67.4)	12.3 (73.2)	12.20 (2.67)	99.9 (87.1)	1.53 (0.80)	60 (1)	5.85	96
	7	56.99	73.12	236.07	90	90	90	60–2.9 (3.1–2.9)	6.73 (6.68)	98.9 (98.4)	11.1 (71.4)	12.0 (77.4)	12.56 (2.51)	99.7 (82.5)	1.57 (0.80)	62 (8)	6.00	97
	8	57.24	73.66	236.43	90	90	90	60–2.7 (2.9–2.7)	6.73 (6.68)	99.8 (99.8)	12.6 (71.2)	13.6 (77.4)	11.03 (2.67)	99.9 (86.8)	1.41 (0.94)	54 (16)	5.15	96
	Mergeo	d 57.17	73.48	236.45	90	90	90	60–2.7 (2.9–2.7)	42.95 (13.26)	99.9 (99.8)	17.5 (76.0)	17.7 (79.1)	20.68 (3.12)	99.8 (91.7)	2.26 (0.85)	78 (17)	10.14	98
KR2 /222	Native	40.62	83.66	234.46	90	90	90	60–2.5 (2.65–2.5)	6.58 (6.45)	99.7 (99.6)	9.6 (85.9)	10.5 (93.7)	13.73 (2.10)	99.8 (81.2)	0.84 (0.81)	15 (19)	1.42	44
	1	40.50	83.66	234.13	90	90	90	60–2.8 (3.0–2.8)	6.85 (6.72)	99.7 (99.8)	13.5 (83.0)	14.6 (89.9)	11.87 (2.44)	99.8 (80.7)	1.22 (0.80)	41 (10)	6.76	97
	2	40.52	83.63	233.80	90	90	90	60–2.8 (3.0–2.8)	6.82 (6.71)	99.8 (99.7)	13.7 (77.0)	14.8 (83.5)	11.92 (2.60)	99.6 (87.2)	1.24 (0.79)	44 (7)	7.26	98
	3	40.51	83.75	234.10	90	90	90	60–2.5 (2.65–2.5)	6.73 (6.60)	99.9 (100)	11.3 (75.4)	12.3 (82.0)	11.95 (2.35)	99.9 (79.7)	1.22 (0.76)	42 (0)	7.64	98
	4	40.72	83.99	234.21	90	90	90	60–2.65 (2.8–2.65)	6.71 (6.40)	99.8 (99.7)	10.6 (64.0)	11.5 (69.7)	12.70 (2.66)	99.6 (89.0)	1.25 (0.77)	46 (5)	6.96	98
	Mergeo	d 40.56	83.76	234.06	90	90	90	60–2.5 (2.65–2.5)	22.06 (6.60)	100 (100)	13.7 (75.1)	14.0 (81.6)	19.43 (2.30)	99.9 (80.0)	1.64 (0.75)	60 (1)	13.23	99
MACR P1	Native	40.76	56.85	57.65	63.6	79.0	80.1	60–2.0 (2.2–2.0)	1.05 (1.07)	76.2 (73.1)	7.3 (26.7)	10.3 (37.9)	6.43 (1.81)	99.5 (86.2)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	1	40.77	56.89	57.28	63.8	78.6	80.2	2 60–2.2 (2.4–2.2)	1.86 (1.81)	70.1 (23.0)	5.1 (27.2)	7.2 (38.5)	10.40 (2.61)	99.5 (87.0)	1.16 (0.88)	43 (8)	3.61	91
	2	40.75	56.87	57.32	63.6	78.4	80.2	2 60–2.4 (2.6–2.4)	1.85 (1.79)	72.5 (20.0)	6.4 (44.9)	9.0 (63.4)	7.86 (1.55)	99.5 (72.4)	1.07 (0.87)	36 (22)	3.14	89
	3	40.79	56.77	57.29	63.6	78.5	80.2	2 60–2.0 (2.2–2.0)	1.88 (1.84)	81.9 (72.3)	5.1 (38.3)	7.2 (54.2)	8.64 (1.86)	99.7 (70.4)	1.07 (0.76)	35 (–5)	3.49	90
	Mergeo	d 40.77	56.84	57.30	63.7	78.5	80.2	2 60–2.0 (2.2–2.0)	3.68 (1.84)	89.4 (71.8)	7.9 (38.7)	9.0 (54.8)	11.03 (1.81)	99.7 (69.5)	1.22 (0.75)	46 (–5)	4.85	95

continued on next page

SCIENCE ADVANCES | RESEARCH ARTICLE

Protein/	Crystal	/ Unit	cell di	imens	ions	; (Å,	°)											
space group	data set	α b c α β γ		Resolution range (Å)	Multiplicity	Completeness (%)	R _{merge} (%)	R _{meas} (%)	R _{meas} <1/σ(1)> (%)		SigAno	CC _{anom} SigAno _{inner} CC _{anom} (%) (%)		anom_inner (%)				
A _{2A} AR- BRIL-	Native	39.621	79.541	39.71	90	90	90	60–2.4 (2.6–2.4)	2.94 (2.98)	99.6 (99.8)	9.4 (41.1)	11.5 (50.2)	9.60 (2.74)	99.5 (80.4)	0.77 (0.77)	-4 (-1)	0.74	-14
C222 ₁	1	39.631	79.661	39.57	90	90	90	60–3.4 (3.6–3.4)	6.93 (6.96)	100 (100)	24.8 (73.6)	26.8 (79.5)	7.33 (2.56)	99.1 (81.2)	0.96 (0.79)	17 (0)	1.94	71
	2	39.651	79.791	39.81	90	90	90	60–2.8 (3.0–2.8)	6.87 (6.87)	99.7 (99.0)	18.5 (78.1)	20.0 (84.5)	8.83 (2.29)	99.4 (76.0)	0.98 (0.76)	18 (–5)	2.57	82
	3	39.401	79.871	39.52	90	90	90	60–3.6 (3.8–3.6)	6.67 (6.54)	99.9 (99.8)	23.5 (72.1)	25.5 (78.5)	8.45 (3.21)	99.2 (82.8)	1.13 (1.08)	46 (41)	1.96	72
	4	39.571	79.761	39.99	90	90	90	60–3.8 (4.0–3.8)	6.83 (6.79)	99.9 (99.9)	30.7 (84.7)	33.3 (91.9)	6.67 (2.97)	98.6 (72.0)	0.96 (0.91)	19 (8)	1.59	63
	5	39.601	79.101	39.42	90	90	90	60–3.0 (3.2–3.0)	3.02 (2.98)	98.9 (99.0)	14.5 (65.7)	17.6 (80.1)	7.06 (1.67)	98.7 (56.6)	0.93 (0.75)	16 (–3)	2.06	74
	6	39.501	79.461	39.40	90	90	90	60–3.4 (3.6–3.4)	6.85 (6.52)	99.9 (100)	19.9 (69.1)	21.5 (75.2)	9.61 (3.20)	99.4 (80.6)	1.07 (0.89)	27 (5)	2.46	81
	7	39.631	79.651	39.96	90	90	90	60–3.2 (3.4–3.2)	6.27 (5.83)	99.9 (99.7)	21.1 (68.3)	23.1 (75.1)	8.86 (2.61)	98.9 (84.4)	1.02 (0.92)	24 (12)	2.06	76
	Merged	39.571	79.611	39.67	90	90	90	60–2.8 (3.0–2.8)	27.10 (6.88)	99.8 (99.1)	24.5 (77.6)	24.9 (83.9)	14.55 (2.25)	99.8 (76.1)	1.24 (0.73)	35 (-3)	4.83	94



Fig. 1. I-SAD phasing of MP crystal structures. Heavy atom substructure determination (SHELXD, left) and phase calculation and extension (SHELXE, right) for each of the four targets investigated. In all four cases, plots of CC_{all} versus CC_{weak} (1000 trials) from SHELXD show a bimodal distribution indicative of a correct iodide ion substructure solution, whereas the difference in contrast (SHELXE) between the two possible hands (red, original; blue, inverted) indicates successful structure solution.

phasing of the crystal structure was straightforward (Fig. 1 and Table 2), providing experimental phases that allowed the automated building of initial models, which could be further refined. By including native data sets, we could also test the efficiency of I-SIRAS (single isomorphous replacement with anomalous scattering) phasing of all four crystal structures. This approach (Fig. 2) provided even higher contrast solutions than I-SAD protocols, suggesting that introduction of iodide ions

into the crystals had not resulted in significant non-isomorphism. In addition to the above methods, in experiments based on the serial x-ray crystallography MeshAndCollect pipeline (19), we also collected a succession of partial data sets from a series of iodide-soaked crystals of KR2, each with a maximum dimension of ~10 to 15 μ m (Table 3 and Fig. 3). Here, structure solution was also successful using either I-SAD or I-SIRAS (Fig. 3), suggesting that large crystals are not necessary for the collection

Table 2. I-SAD structure solution and refinement statistics.

				Protein					
	NarQ	2	к	R2	МА	CR	A _{2A} AR-	BRIL- A C	
Structure solution and initial model bu	uilding								
Data	Eight anomalous + native	Data set #8 + native	Four ano na	malous + tive	Three ano nat	malous + ive	Seven and na	omalous + tive	
Method	I-SAD	I-SIRAS	I-SAD	I-SIRAS	I-SAD	I-SIRAS	I-SAD	I-SIRAS	
Initial resolution range (Å)	60–2.7	60–2.7	60–2.5	60–2.5	60–2.0	60–2.0	60–2.8	60–2.8	
Number of residues in the protein	237		2	88	22	20	447		
Substructure	14-1	10·I	16·I	17.1	26·I [†] 14·I	16·I	8.1	10-1	
Resolution cutoff for substructure search (Å)	3.0	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.5	2.9	3.7	3.9	
Resolution extension by native data (Å)	1.95	1.95	2.5	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.4	2.4	
CC _{all} /CC _{weak}	40/15.4	31.8/18.1	42.6/20.7	37.7/25.1	28.8/15.3	29.8/19.6	35.0/14.8	36.7/21.4	
Solvent content used in SHELXE (%)	47	47	58	59	50	50	49	49	
CC _{map} , highest-resolution shell (%)	74	77	67	84	87	81	73	74	
Number of Ala residues traced by SHELXE	178	187	212	208	190	272	155	250	
R _{work} /R _{free} after initial model building (%)	23.0/28.5*	24.8/32.9*	22.4/30.1*	22.6/29.3*	24.2/29.2 ^{†‡} 29.4/32.7 [‡]	29.7/46.2* 29.9/32.7 [‡]	30.7/32.9 [‡]	29.5/45.8 [*]	
Number of residues built in initial model building	215*	216*	235*	265*	343 ^{†‡} 300 [‡]	69* 319 [‡]	277 [‡]	168*	
Structure refinement									
Data set (see Table 1)	8			3	3	3	2		
R _{work} /R _{free} final (%)	19.0/26	5.2	19.0	/22.6	17.7/	/21.9	23.4/29.4		
Number in the ASU (asymmetric un	it)								
Protein residues	223		2	68	43	31	3	88	
Water molecules	60		4	19	7	8	6	64	
lodide ions	12		2	20	2	2	(6	
Averaged <i>B</i> -factors (Å ²)						•••••••	••••••		
Protein residues	43		4	10	3	8	3	8	
Water molecules	34		4	14	4	7	2	?7	
lodide ions	70		6	i6	7	1	5	50	
Ramachandran plot				•••••	•••••		••••••		
Preferred	216 (99.	1%)	259 (9	97.4%)	419 (9	98.4%)	373 (9	97.9%)	
Allowed	2 (0.99	%)	6 (2	2%)	7 (1.	.6%)	7 (1.8%)		
Outliers	0		1 (0	.4%)	C)	1 (0	.3%)	
*Initial model built by ARP-wARP.	†Phasing carried out u	using phenix.auto	sol (all others	used SHELX	C/D/E). ‡In	itial model b	uilt by phenix	.autobuild.	



Fig. 2. I-SIRAS phasing of MP crystal structures. Heavy atom substructure determination (SHELXD, left) and phase calculation and extension (SHELXE, right) for I-SIRAS phasing for each of the four targets investigated. As might be expected, I-SIRAS produces both clearer substructure solutions and better contrast in electron density maps phased using the two possible hands (see Fig. 1 for a comparison).

of high-quality I-SIRAS/I-SAD diffraction data for the solution of MP crystal structures.

The positions of the iodide binding sites for all four target proteins are shown in Fig. 4. Iodide binding sites (12, 20, 22, and 6), reproduced in most of the individual data sets for each target (fig. S1), are seen for NarQ, KR2, MACR, and $A_{2A}AR$ -BRIL- ΔC , respectively. As can be seen, the highest-occupancy (as estimated from anomalous difference Fourier maps) sites are clustered close to the predicted membrane interfaces. In the same way as was previously observed in the I-SAD crystal structure solution of soluble proteins (9, 10), the iodide ions interact with a wide variety of positively charged amino acid residues, ordered water molecules, and hydrophobic side chains (Fig. 4 and fig. S3).

To examine the potential universality of the I-SAD method in the de novo solution of MP crystal structures, we examined the availability at the membrane interface of five amino acid residues, namely, arginine, lysine, histidine, tryptophan, and tyrosine in 445 unique transmembrane protein structures deposited in the Protein Data Bank [PDB; www.rcsb.org (20); the database of unique MP structures: http://blanco.biomol.uci.edu/mpstruc/]. According to our analysis (Table 4), 96% of the structures contain aromatic amino acids close to the membrane interface, and 100% of the structures contain positively charged amino acids in this region. This, coupled with the recent report of the crystal structure of the outer membrane β -barrel assembly machinery complex, solved by I-SAD phasing (7), suggests that I-SAD could be a universal technique for the solution of MP crystal structures.

Bromide-single-wavelength anomalous diffraction

To test whether derivatization with bromide might be an alternative method to the I-SAD/I-SIRAS approach described above, we soaked crystals of NarQ and KR2 in precipitant solutions supplemented with 0.5 M NaBr and collected diffraction data sets as presented in Table 5. For both proteins, despite constructing merged data sets with multiplicities greater than 20, all attempts at Br-SAD/Br-SIRAS structure solution

were unsuccessful regardless of whether diffraction data were collected far from (NarQ, $\lambda = 0.873$ Å; E = 14.2 keV; f'' = 3.4 e⁻) or close to the peak of the Br K-absorption edge (KR2, $\lambda = 0.920$ Å; E = 13.476 keV; f'' = 3.8 e⁻).

DISCUSSION

The results outlined above suggest that I-SAD is a potentially universal method for the de novo solution of MP crystal structures. Further advantages of I-SAD are speed, nontoxicity, and simplicity because soaking can be easily done at the crystal-harvesting stage and does not require any additional equipment or safety precautions. In addition, iodide soaking does not, at least in the experiments described here, appreciably disturb crystal quality. Another-not insignificant-advantage lies in the anomalous scattering properties of iodide itself. Although anomalous signals in I-SAD experiments would be optimized by targeting the peak of the L₁ absorption edge of iodine ($f'' \sim 13.4 \,\mathrm{e}^-$; $\lambda = 2.4 \,\mathrm{\AA}$; E = 5.19 keV), routinely accessing the photon energy required is not always possible even on tuneable synchrotron macromolecular crystallography beamlines. However, at $\lambda = 1.85$ Å, a wavelength usually accessible on these beamlines, the anomalous scattering properties of iodide are still significant ($f'' = 9.2 \text{ e}^-$), and in many cases, particularly because our studies suggest the presence of several iodide binding sites in each of the different classes of MPs (Fig. 4), experiments at, or close to, this wavelength will be more than sufficient for structure solution. Therefore, we carried out our experiments at $\lambda = 1.85$ Å, using a multicrystal approach to produce the highly redundant data (Table 1), which is often required for SAD structure solution (21). Our experiments also show that I-SAD phasing of MP crystal structures using data collected in a serial fashion from many microcrystals mounted on a so-called fixed target is also possible. Considering that often it is hard to grow MP crystals of sufficient size for standard data collection, this latter conclusion is very important, suggesting that serial I-SAD data collection methods might also be successful for MP crystal

			I-SAD	I-SIRAS			I-SAD	I-SIRAS
Data collection		No. of crystals	136	39	Structure solution	Initial resolution range (Å)	60–2.8	60–2.9
		Method	SAD	SIRAS	and kennement	Number of residues in the protein	288	288
		Space group	1222	1222		Substructure	16·I	12.1
		а	40.6	41.0		Resolution cutoff for substructure search (Å)	3.9	3.4
	Unit cell	b	83.6	84.0		Resolution extension by native data (Å)	2.5	2.5
		с	233.5	234.3		CC _{all} /CC _{weak}	38.7/11.0	26.7/9.6
		No. of frames	13,600	3900		Solvent content (%)	63	60
		Oscillation range (°)	0.1	0.1		CC _{map} , highest-resolution shell (%)	47	67
		Resolution range (Å)	60–2.8 (3.0–2.8)	60–2.9 (3.1–2.9)		Number of Ala residues traced by SHELXE	122	165
		Measured reflections	469,213 (85,461)	124,613 (22,610)		R _{work} /R _{free} after initial model building (%)	29.6/35.7 [†]	24.2/31.6 29.9/35.4
		Multiplicity	24.79 (24.13)	7.19 (7.13)		Number of residues built in initial model building	207 ⁺	248* 196 [†]
		Completeness (%)	100 (100)	99.8 (100)				
		R _{merge} (%)	61.7 (220.7)	23.8 (154.6)				
		R _{meas} (%)	63.0 (225.4)	25.6 (166.6)				
		< <i>l/</i> σ(<i>l</i>)>	10.64 (2.27)	7.71 (1.69)				
		CC _{1/2} (%)	99.8 (70.1)	99.3 (56.2)				
		SigAno	1.21 (0.78)	1.03 (0.73)				
		CC _{anom} (%)	39 (0)					

Table 3. Data collection, structure solution, and initial model building statistics resulting from I-SAD/I-SIRAS phasing of the crystal structure of KR2 using diffraction data collected using serial methods.

structure solution using diffraction data collected at x-ray free-electron lasers (22) or at next-generation diffraction-limited storage ring synchrotron sources.

A notable result of the investigations described here is that Br-SAD does not appear to be a routinely viable method for the de novo solution of the crystal structures of MPs. This is intriguing, particularly because Br-SAD is a relatively well-used technique for the solution of the crystal structures of soluble proteins (9). Subsequent analysis of NarQ and KR2 Br-SAD anomalous difference Fourier maps (Fig. 5) revealed peaks indicating both that Br⁻ binds to these proteins and, moreover, that many of the Br⁻ binding sites observed coincide with the sites observed for binding of I⁻ in the corresponding I-SAD experiments. Thus, Br-SAD/Br-SIRAS phasing of MP crystals structures should be possible. However, a

SCIENCE ADVANCES | RESEARCH ARTICLE



Fig. 3. I-SAD/I-SIRAS phasing of the crystal structure of KR2 using diffraction data collected using serial methods. Left: Photograph of crystals, 10 to 15 μm in maximum dimensions, of KR2 in its monomeric blue form. Top right: Heavy atom substructure determination (SHELXD, left) and phase calculation and extension (SHELXE, right) for I-SAD phasing using data collected via serial methods. Bottom right: Heavy atom substructure determination (SHELXD, left) and phase calculation and extension (SHELXE, right) for I-SIRAS phasing using data collected via serial methods.



Fig. 4. Cartoon representations of the crystal structures of the four classes of MP solved using the I-SAD/I-SIRAS technique. (A) KR2. (B) MACR (noncrystallographic dimer). (C) $A_{2A}AR$ -BRIL- Δ C. (D) NarQ. On the left of each representation, peaks in I-SAD anomalous difference Fourier maps [purple chicken wire, highest-resolution I-SAD data sets for each target (Table 1), contoured at the 3.5 × r.m.s. (root mean square) level] are shown superposed on the C_{α} backbone of the protein. On the right of each panel, the blue and red lines represent outer and inner lipidic membrane surfaces, respectively, with the hydrophobic region of the lipidic membrane represented in yellow. Iodide ions are shown as orange spheres, divided into three sizes based on the height of anomalous difference map peaks. Two iodide binding sites per crystal structure are highlighted to illustrate their environment. In (D), it is clear that protein residues may change their side-chain conformation upon binding of iodide (conformation in native structures shown as shadows).

Table 4. The analysis of presence at the membrane hydrophobic-hydrophilic interface of arginine (R), lysine (K), histidine (H), tryptophan (W), and tyrosine (Y). As outlined in the main text, 445 unique transmembrane protein crystal structures deposited in the PDB were analyzed with, in each case, the membrane surfaces represented by two parallel planes calculated by Lomize *et al.* (42). Amino acid residues were considered to be present at the membrane interfaces if its C_{α} atom was not more than 7 Å from the membrane surfaces defined above.

	R/K/H	R/K	W/Y	R	к	н	w	Y
Number of entries where the amino acid residues are present at the membrane interface	445	442	428	429	414	353	393	422
	(100%)	(99.3%)	(96.2%)	(96.4%)	(93.0%)	(79.3%)	(88.3%)	(94.8%)

calculate $\frac{\sum_{h} \sqrt{\frac{N}{N-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N}}{\sum_{h} \sum_{i=1}^{N}}$	alculated treating Friedel's pairs as separate reflections. Linear merging <i>R</i> value $R_{merge} = \frac{\sum_{h} \sum_{i=1}^{M} l_{(h)} - \langle l_{(h)} \rangle }{\sum_{h} \sum_{i=1}^{M} l_{(h)} - \langle l_{(h)} \rangle }$; redundancy-independent merging <i>R</i> value $R_{meas} = \frac{h \sqrt{\frac{N}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{M} l_{(h)} - \langle l_{(h)} \rangle }}{\sum_{h} \sum_{i=1}^{M} l_{(h)} - \langle l_{(h)} \rangle }$; CC _{1/2} —correlation coefficient between intensities from random half-data sets; SigAno = $\left\langle \frac{ F_{+} - F_{-} }{\sigma(F_{+} - F_{-})} \right\rangle$.																	
Protein/	Crystal/		Unit	cell d	limensi	ons	(Å, °)											
space group	data set	λ (Å)	a	Ь	c	α	βγ	Resolution (Å)	Multiplicity	Completeness (%)	R _{merge} (%)	R _{meas} (%)	<i σ(i)=""></i>	CC _{1/2} (%)	SigAno	CC _{anom} (%)	SigAno _{inner}	CC _{anom_inner} (%)
NarQ F222	1	0.8729	57.87	74.79	237.85	5 90	90 90	60–2.8 (3.0–2.8)	2.09 (2.11)	83.2 (45.2)	14.5 (105.4)	18.2 (132.8)	5.53 (1.21)	99.4 (47.7)	0.82 (0.71)	6 (10)	1.12	37
	2	0.8729	57.70	74.34	237.70	90	90 90	60–2.8 (3.0–2.8)	4.03 (4.12)	98.2 (92.6)	18.1 (106.9)	20.8 (122.7)	6.99 (1.36)	99.5 (58.3)	0.83 (0.74)	8 (3)	1.56	55
	3	0.8729	58.21	73.57	237.42	2 90	90 90	60–2.8 (3.0–2.8)	4.81 (4.87)	99.2 (99.2)	21.3 (105.1)	24.0 (117.9)	5.85 (1.43)	99.5 (65.2)	0.82 (0.67)	13 (1)	1.27	45
	14	0.8729	57.53	73.91	237.23	90	90 90	60–2.6 (2.8–2.6)	4.31 (4.06)	93.2 (68.4)	15.7 (101.1)	17.9 (116.5)	7.38 (1.26)	99.5 (60.1)	0.86 (0.71)	11 (3)	1.50	61
	15	0.8729	57.52	74.44	237.76	5 90	90 90	60–2.8 (3.0–2.8)	2.58 (2.40)	93.5 (87.6)	14.0 (59.6)	17.5 (74.6)	5.83 (1.47)	98.9 (66.1)	0.85 (0.74)	18 (–2)	1.14	56
	16	0.8729	57.76	74.22	238.68	3 90	90 90	60–2.6 (2.8–2.6)	2.89 (2.98)	97.4 (98.4)	10.8 (73.5)	13.0 (88.8)	8.13 (1.55)	99.6 (59.7)	0.87 (0.75)	9 (2)	1.80	74
	24	0.8729	57.51	74.20	237.26	5 90	90 90	60–2.2 (2.4–2.2)	2.31 (2.22)	91.6 (76.8)	11.4 (125.6)	14.5 (160.1)	5.85 (0.62)	99.6 (69.2)	0.83 (0.65)	12 (4)	1.69	79
	Merged		57.73	74.21	237.70	90	90 90	60–2.8 (3.0–2.8)	22.3 (21.3)	94.2 (100)	19.7 (85.9)	20.1 (88.0)	17.3 (5.0)	99.9 (96.6)	0.98 (0.75)	20 (3)	2.77	90
KR2 /222	32	0.91968	40.67	83.73	234.46	5 90	90 90	60–2.8 (3.0–2.8)	6.68 (6.45)	89.4 (44.7)	24.0 (176.7)	26.1 (191.6)	7.44 (1.11)	99.6 (49.4)	0.97 (0.65)	43 (3)	1.75	67
	33	0.91968	40.78	83.62	234.38	3 90	90 90	60–3.0 (3.2–3.0)	6.82 (7.05)	100 (100)	26.3 (159.7)	28.6 (172.5)	7.43 (1.33)	99.6 (47.5)	0.92 (0.70)	21 (–8)	1.87	71
	37	0.91968	40.67	83.32	234.10	90	90 90	60–2.8 (3.0–2.8)	6.77 (6.64)	99.5 (99.2)	16.1 (118.5)	17.4 (128.7)	9.85 (1.61)	99.8 (78.1)	0.88 (0.72)	17 (7)	1.69	67
	38	0.91968	40.58	83.31	234.04	90	90 90	60–2.8 (3.0–2.8)	6.85 (7.05)	99.6 (99.4)	14.4 (103.8)	15.6 (112.3)	10.06 (1.73)	99.8 (84.9)	0.90 (0.76)	19 (7)	1.75	69
	39	0.91968	40.56	83.46	233.45	5 90	90 90	60–3.0 (3.2–3.0)	6.74 (6.54)	96.1 (79.3)	17.0 (121.3)	18.4 (131.7)	9.52 (1.35)	99.9 (74.9)	0.90 (0.73)	21 (14)	1.65	61
	Merged		40.65	83.49	234.09	90	90 90	60–2.8 (3.0–2.8)	31.56 (23.48)	100 (100)	23.9 (166.0)	24.3 (169.7)	16.72 (2.49)	99.9 (85.7)	1.03 (0.74)	23 (0)	2.63	80

major disadvantage of Br-SAD experiments is that the maximum f'' that can be induced around the Br K-absorption edge is ~4 e⁻. This compares to f'' ~9.2 e⁻ for I-SAD experiments carried out at $\lambda = 1.85$ Å. Anomalous signals in Br-SAD experiments will thus be significantly lower

than for long-wavelength I-SAD (see Fig. 6 for an illustration of this). Successful MP Br-SAD experiments will thus demand higher-accuracy data than I-SAD. This will generally require higher multiplicity measurements and/or the merging of more individual data sets,



Fig. 5. Comparison of peaks in anomalous difference Fourier maps in I-SAD and Br-SAD experiments. (A) Peaks in anomalous difference Fourier maps in Br-SAD (left) and I-SAD (middle) experiments for NarQ, with a superposition of the two maps shown on the right. (B) Peaks in anomalous difference Fourier maps in Br-SAD (left) and I-SAD (middle) experiments for KR2, with a superposition of the two maps shown on the right. Only the regions close to the proteins are shown, and the maps are contoured at the $3.5 \times r.m.s.$ level. For both NarQ and KR2, the anomalous difference Fourier maps shown are those calculated using anomalous differences in the individual I-SAD data sets of the highest resolution, as detailed in Table 1, and in the merged Br-SAD data sets, as detailed in Table 5.

and Br-SAD will thus be less conducive to high-throughput MP structure determination.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Protein expression and purification

Expression and purification of the sodium-pumping rhodopsin KR2 (UniProt ID N0DKS8) and the chimeric protein $A_{2A}AR$ -BRIL- ΔC of human A_{2A} adenosine receptor ($A_{2A}AR$) with a thermostabilized apocytochrome $b_{562}RIL$ (UniProt IDs P0ABE7 and P29274) in complex with ZM241385 were performed as described by Gushchin *et al.* (23) and Liu *et al.* (24), respectively.

Expression and purification of the nitrate/nitrite sensor kinase NarQ

The nucleotide sequence encoding residues 1 to 230 of the nitrate/nitrite sensor kinase NarQ (*17*) (UniProt ID P27896) was cloned from *E. coli* strain BL21 (DE3) and introduced into the pSCodon1.2 expression vector (StabyCodon T7, Eurogentec) via Nde I and Xho I restriction sites. Consequently, the construct harbored a C-terminal His₆ tag. NarQ was then expressed in *E. coli* strain SE1 (StabyCodon T7, Eurogentec). Cells were cultured in shaking baffled flasks in ZYP-5052 auto-inducing medium (*25*) containing ampicillin (100 mg/liter). After incubating for

Melnikov et al., Sci. Adv. 2017; 3:e1602952 12 May 2017

2 hours at 37°C, the temperature was decreased to 30°C, and incubation continued overnight. Harvested cells were disrupted in M-110P Lab Homogenizer (Microfluidics) at 25,000 psi in a phosphate-buffered saline buffer with addition of deoxyribonuclease (DNase) I (50 mg/liter; Sigma-Aldrich) and EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (cOmplete, Roche). The membrane fraction of cell lysate was isolated by ultracentrifugation at 90,000g for 1 hour at 4°C. The pellet was resuspended in a buffer containing 50 mM NaH₂PO₄/Na₂HPO₄ (pH 8.0), 0.3 M NaCl, and 2% *n*-dodecyl-β-D-maltoside (DDM) (Anatrace, Affymetrix) and stirred overnight for solubilization. The insoluble fraction was then removed by ultracentrifugation at 90,000g for 1 hour at 4°C. The supernatant was loaded on a gravity flow column containing Ni-NTA resin (Qiagen), and the protein was eluted in a buffer containing 20 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 0.3 M NaCl, 0.2 M imidazole, and 0.1% DDM. Imidazole was then removed by dialysis against 20 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 0.3 M NaCl, and 0.1% DDM for 3 hours. The eluate was subjected to sizeexclusion chromatography on a 125-ml Superdex 200 PG column (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) in a buffer containing 20 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 0.3 M NaCl, and 0.1% DDM. Protein-containing fractions were pooled and concentrated to 30 mg/ml for crystallization.

Expression and purification of proton-pumping rhodopsin MACR

The nucleotide sequence encoding Candidatus Actinomarina minuta opsin gene (MACR, UniProt ID S5DM51) (16) was cloned from metagenomic fosmid MedDCM-OCT-S44-C50 without any optimization and was introduced into the pSCodon1.2 vector via Xba I and Bam HI restriction sites. Consequently, the expressed construct harbored an additional C-terminal tag with a sequence PGGGSHHHHHH. E. coli strain SE1 cells were transformed with the pSC-MACR-His6 plasmid. The cells were grown at 37°C in shaking baffled flasks in ZYP-5052 auto-inducing medium containing ampicillin (100 mg/liter). After the glucose level in the growing bacterial culture dropped below 10 mg/liter, 10 µM all-trans-retinal (Sigma-Aldrich) was added, the temperature was reduced to 20°C, and incubation continued overnight. Collected cells were disrupted using the M-110P Lab Homogenizer at 25,000 psi in a buffer containing 20 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 5% glycerol, 0.5% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich), and DNase I (50 mg/liter). The membrane fraction of cell lysate was obtained by ultracentrifugation at 90,000g for 1 hour at 4°C. The pellets were resuspended in a buffer containing 50 mM NaH₂PO₄/Na₂HPO₄ (pH 8.0), 0.1 M NaCl, and 1% DDM. The mixture was left overnight for solubilization. The insoluble fraction was removed by ultracentrifugation at 90,000g for 1 hour at 4° C. The supernatant was loaded on a Ni-NTA column, and the His-tagged protein was eluted in a buffer containing 50 mM NaH₂PO₄/Na₂HPO₄ (pH 7.5), 0.1 M NaCl, 0.5 M imidazole, and 0.2% DDM. The eluate was subjected to size-exclusion chromatography on a 125-ml Superdex 200 PG column in a buffer containing 50 mM NaH2PO4/Na2HPO4 (pH 7.5), 0.1 M NaCl, and 0.01% DDM. Protein-containing colored fractions were collected and concentrated to 40 mg/ml for crystallization.

Protein crystallization and iodide soaking

All crystals were grown using the in meso approach used in some of our previous work (23, 24, 26, 27). NarQ, MACR, and KR2 in their respective crystallization buffers (see above) were added to the monoolein-formed lipidic phase (Nu-Chek Prep), whereas the solubilized A_{2A}AR-BRIL- Δ C/ZM241385 complex was mixed with monoolein containing 10% (w/w) cholesterol. The protein-LCP (lipid cubic phase) mixtures (40- to 50-nl aliquots in the case of A_{2A}AR-BRIL- Δ C and 100-nl aliquots in all other cases) were spotted on 96-well LCP glass sandwich plates

SCIENCE ADVANCES | RESEARCH ARTICLE



Fig. 6. Comparison of anomalous signal in I-SAD and Br-SAD diffraction data sets for NarQ (A) and KR2 (B). (A) Comparisons of SigAno (left) and CC_{anom} (right) in I-SAD (top panel) and Br-SAD (bottom) panel data sets measured from derivatized NarQ crystals. (B) Comparisons of SigAno (left) and CC_{anom} (right) in I-SAD (top panel) and Br-SAD (bottom) panel data sets measured from derivatized NarQ crystals. (B) Comparisons of SigAno (left) and CC_{anom} (right) in I-SAD (top panel) and Br-SAD (bottom) panel data sets measured from derivatized NarQ crystals. (B) Comparisons of SigAno (left) and CC_{anom} (right) in I-SAD (top panel) and Br-SAD (bottom) panel data sets measured from KR2 crystals. Individual and merged data sets for I-SAD experiments are as detailed in Table 1, whereas those for Br-SAD are as detailed in Table 5.

(Marienfeld) and covered with 800 nl of precipitant solution (see below) using the NT8-LCP crystallization robot (Formulatrix). Crystals were grown at 20°C and reached their final sizes within 2 to 8 weeks.

The best crystals of NarQ were obtained using 0.6 M KH₂PO₄/ Na_2HPO_4 (pH 4.6) (Qiagen) and 5 mM NaNO₃ as the precipitant solution and grew to 50 to 100 µm in size. For KR2, the best crystals were obtained using 2.0 M sodium malonate (pH 4.3) (Hampton Research) as a precipitant solution and grew to 70 to 100 µm in size. The best crystals of MACR were obtained using 2.6 M (NH₄)₂SO₄ and 0.1 M sodium acetate pH 5.2 (Qiagen) as the precipitant and were 100 to 150 µm in size. The best crystals of the A_{2A}AR-BRIL- $\Delta C/ZM241385$ complex were obtained using a precipitant solution comprising 32% polyethylene glycol 400, 25 mM NaSCN, and 0.1 M sodium acetate (pH 5.0) and grew to 40 to 60 µm in size. For serial crystallography SAD experiments, we obtained crystals of KR2 (10 to 15 µm in size) (Fig. 3) using 2.5 M sodium malonate (pH 4.3) (Hampton Research) as the precipitant.

Once crystals reached their final size, crystallization wells were opened as described elsewhere (28), and drops containing the protein-mesophase mixture were covered with 50 μ l of the respective precipitant solution. For native data collection (Table 1), harvested crystals were incubated for ~5 min in the respective precipitant solutions. In the case of NarQ, this was supplemented with 20% glycerol as a cryoprotectant. For I-SAD experiments, the incubation solution was supplemented with 0.5 M NaI. For Br-SAD experiments, the incubation solution was supplemented with 0.5 M NaBr. After incubation, crystals were loaded onto MicroMounts and MicroMeshes (MiTeGen), flash-cooled, and stored in liquid nitrogen.

X-ray crystallography

X-ray diffraction data were collected on the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF) beamlines ID23-1 (29) or ID23-2 (30) equipped with Dectris pixel array detectors. For I-SAD experiments, diffraction data from crystals soaked in NaI were collected at $\lambda = 1.85$ Å. The x-ray beam size at the sample position was varied from 10 to 50 µm in maximum dimension depending on the crystal size. Experimental parameters for optimal data collection were designed using the program BEST (31). Diffraction images were processed with XDS (32) and XSCALE (32), which was also used to merge and scale different data sets (see Table 1 for details). Structure factor amplitudes, anomalous differences (DANO), and FreeR labels were then generated using the CCP4 programs POINTLESS and AIMLESS (33).

The KR2 I-SAD serial data collection was carried out as described by Zander *et al.* (19) using x-rays of $\lambda = 1.85$ Å. Here, 171 partial data sets were successfully processed, and hierarchical cluster analysis (34) was then used to select 136 of these for merging/scaling to produce the final data set. Structure solution and refinement were then carried out as described below for data sets obtained in a "standard" fashion. For the serial crystallography I-SIRAS experiments, the native data set was collected in a standard way, and only 39 of the I-SAD partial data sets were merged to provide the derivative data set.

For Br-SAD experiments, diffraction data from crystals of NarQ soaked in 0.5 M NaBr were collected at $\lambda = 0.872$ Å, whereas for KR2 crystals soaked in 0.5 M NaBr, diffraction data were collected at $\lambda = 0.9198$ Å. Data processing, merging, and reduction were then carried out as described above for I-SAD experiments (see Table 5 for details). The native data sets were required for both I-SIRAS and Br-SIRAS structure solution protocols and were recorded at either $\lambda = 1.85$ Å (KR2 only) or $\lambda = 0.972$ Å, with data processing and reduction

carried out as described above for I-SAD experiments (see Table 1 for details).

Structure solution and refinement

I-SAD and I-SIRAS phasing protocols were carried out using the SHELXC/D/E pipeline as implemented in HKL2MAP (1000 trials for substructure determination) (35, 36). ARP-wARP (37) or phenix. autobuild (38) was then used for automated model building. Final structural models (Table 2) were obtained by alternating cycles of manual building in Coot (39) with refinement in REFMAC5 (40). Figures illustrating the final models obtained were prepared using PyMOL (41). Anomalous difference Fourier maps were calculated with fast Fourier transform (FFT) (CCP4) using DANO and α_{calc} + 90° as coefficients (α_{calc} = calculated phases from the final refined models) and were then used to verify the number, position, and occupancy of iodide ions in the individual and the merged I-SAD data sets (Tables 1 and 2 and fig. S1), with, for each target, only those common to at least half of the data sets included in the final models.

Br-SAD and Br-SIRAS de novo phasing protocols for NarQ and KR2 were also carried out using the SHELXC/D/E pipeline as implemented in HKL2MAP (10,000 trials for substructure determination). However, none of our attempts resulted in successful structure solution. This being the case, structure refinement based on the model obtained from native crystals was carried out using REFMAC5 and Coot. Anomalous difference Fourier maps were then calculated with FFT (CCP4) using DANO and $\alpha_{calc} + 90^{\circ}$ as coefficients ($\alpha_{calc} =$ calculated phases from the final refined models) and were used to verify the number, position, and occupancy of bromide ions in each of the individual and the merged Br-SAD data sets.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/3/5/e1602952/DC1

fig. S1. Comparison of peaks in anomalous difference Fourier maps calculated from individual data sets in I-SAD experiment.

fig. S2. The distribution of positively charged and aromatic residues in the crystal structures obtained in I-SAD experiment.

fig. S3. The bound iodide ions and their environment.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- E. Wallin, G. Von Heijne, Genome-wide analysis of integral membrane proteins from eubacterial, archaean, and eukaryotic organisms. *Protein Sci.* 7, 1029–1038 (1998).
- J. P. Overington, B. Al-Lazikani, A. L. Hopkins, How many drug targets are there? *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 5, 993–996 (2006).
- J. P. Morth, T. L. Sørensen, P. Nissen, Membrane's eleven: Heavy-atom derivatives of membrane-protein crystals. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 62, 877–882 (2006).
- S. Doublié, Preparation of selenomethionyl proteins for phase determination. *Methods Enzymol.* 276, 523–530 (1997).
- T. Weinert, V. Olieric, S. Waltersperger, E. Panepucci, L. Chen, H. Zhang, D. Zhou, J. Rose, A. Ebihara, S. Kuramitsu, D. Li, N. Howe, G. Schnapp, A. Pautsch, K. Bargsten, A. E. Prota, P. Surana, J. Kottur, D. T. Nair, F. Basilico, V. Cecatiello, S. Pasqualato, A. Boland, O. Weichenrieder, B.-C. Wang, M. O. Steinmetz, M. Caffrey, M. Wang, Fast native-SAD phasing for routine macromolecular structure determination. *Nat. Methods* **12**, 131–133 (2015).
- D. Li, V. E. Pye, M. Caffrey, Experimental phasing for structure determination using membrane-protein crystals grown by the lipid cubic phase method. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 71, 104–122 (2015).
- Y. Gu, H. Li, H. Dong, Y. Zeng, Z. Zhang, N. G. Paterson, P. J. Stansfeld, Z. Wang, Y. Zhang, W. Wang, C. Dong, Structural basis of outer membrane protein insertion by the BAM complex. *Nature* 531, 64–69 (2016).

- Y. Guo, R. C. Kalathur, Q. Liu, B. Kloss, R. Bruni, C. Ginter, E. Kloppmann, B. Rost, W. A. Hendrickson, Structure and activity of tryptophan-rich TSPO proteins. *Science* 347, 551–555 (2015).
- Z. Dauter, M. Dauter, K. R. Rajashankar, Novel approach to phasing proteins: Derivatization by short cryo-soaking with halides. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 56, 232–237 (2000).
- J. Abendroth, A. S. Gardberg, J. I. Robinson, J. S. Christensen, B. L. Staker, P. J. Myler, L. J. Stewart, T. E. Edwards, SAD phasing using iodide ions in a high-throughput structural genomics environment. J. Struct. Funct. Genomics 12, 83–95 (2011).
- G. von Heijne, Control of topology and mode of assembly of a polytopic membrane protein by positively charged residues. *Nature* 341, 456–458 (1989).
- G. V. Heijne, Membrane proteins: From sequence to structure. Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct. 23, 167–192 (1994).
- G. von Heijne, Membrane protein structure prediction: Hydrophobicity analysis and the positive-inside rule. J. Mol. Biol. 225, 487–494 (1992).
- W.-M. Yau, W. C. Wimley, K. Gawrisch, S. H. White, The preference of tryptophan for membrane interfaces. *Biochemistry* 37, 14713–14718 (1998).
- K. Inoue, H. Ono, R. Abe-Yoshizumi, S. Yoshizawa, H. Ito, K. Kogure, H. Kandori, A light-driven sodium ion pump in marine bacteria. *Nat. Commun.* 4, 1678 (2013).
- R. Ghai, C. M. Mizuno, A. Picazo, A. Camacho, F. Rodriguez-Valera, Metagenomics uncovers a new group of low GC and ultra-small marine Actinobacteria. *Sci. Rep.* 3, 2471 (2013).
- V. Stewart, Nitrate- and nitrite-responsive sensors NarX and NarQ of proteobacteria. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 31, 1–10 (2003).
- V.-P. Jaakola, M. T. Griffith, M. A. Hanson, V. Cherezov, E. Y. T. Chien, J. R. Lane, A. P. IJzerman, R. C. Stevens, The 2.6 angstrom crystal structure of a human A_{2A} adenosine receptor bound to an antagonist. *Science* **322**, 1211–1217 (2008).
- U. Zander, G. Bourenkov, A. N. Popov, D. de Sanctis, O. Svensson, A. A. McCarthy, E. Round, V. Gordeliy, C. Mueller-Dieckmann, G. A. Leonard, MeshAndCollect: An automated multi-crystal data-collection workflow for synchrotron macromolecular crystallography beamlines. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 71, 2328–2343 (2015).
- H. M. Berman, J. Westbrook, Z. Feng, G. Gilliland, T. N. Bhat, H. Weissig, I. N. Shindyalov, P. E. Bourne, The Protein Data Bank. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 28, 235–242 (2000).
- Z. Dauter, D. A. Adamiak, Anomalous signal of phosphorus used for phasing DNA oligomer: Importance of data redundancy. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 57, 990–995 (2001).
- A. Batyuk, L. Galli, A. Ishchenko, G. W. Han, C. Gati, P. A. Popov, M.-Y. Lee, B. Stauch, T. A. White, A. Barty, A. Aquila, M. S. Hunter, M. Liang, S. Boutet, M. Pu, Z.-j. Liu, G. Nelson, D. James, C. Li, Y. Zhao, J. C. H. Spence, W. Liu, P. Fromme, V. Katritch, U. Weierstall, R. C. Stevens, V. Cherezov, Native phasing of x-ray free-electron laser data for a G proteincoupled receptor. *Sci. Adv.* 2, e1600292 (2016).
- I. Gushchin, V. Shevchenko, V. Polovinkin, K. Kovalev, A. Alekseev, E. Round,
 V. Borshchevskiy, T. Balandin, A. Popov, T. Gensch, C. Fahlke, C. Bamann, D. Willbold,
 G. Büldt, E. Bamberg, V. Gordeliy, Crystal structure of a light-driven sodium pump.
 Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 22, 390–395 (2015).
- W. Liu, E. Chun, A. A. Thompson, P. Chubukov, F. Xu, V. Katritch, G. W. Han, C. B. Roth, L. H. Heitman, A. P. IJzerman, V. Cherezov, R. C. Stevens, Structural basis for allosteric regulation of GPCRs by sodium ions. *Science* 337, 232–236 (2012).
- 25. F. W. Studier, Protein production by auto-induction in high-density shaking cultures. *Protein Expr. Purif.* **41**, 207–234 (2005).
- V. I. Gordeliy, J. Labahn, R. Moukhametzianov, R. Efremov, J. Granzin, R. Schlesinger, G. Büldt, T. Savopol, A. J. Scheidig, J. P. Klare, M. Engelhard, Molecular basis of transmembrane signalling by sensory rhodopsin II-transducer complex. *Nature* **419**, 484–487 (2002).
- V. I. Gordeliy, R. Schlesinger, R. Efremov, G. Büldt, J. Heberle, Crystallization in lipidic cubic phases: A case study with bacteriorhodopsin. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 228, 305–316 (2003).
- D. Li, C. Boland, D. Aragao, K. Walsh, M. Caffrey, Harvesting and cryo-cooling crystals of membrane proteins grown in lipidic mesophases for structure determination by macromolecular crystallography. J. Vis. Exp. 2012, e4001 (2012).
- D. Nurizzo, T. Mairs, M. Guijarro, V. Rey, J. Meyer, P. Fajardo, J. Chavanne, J.-C. Biasci, S. McSweeney, E. Mitchell, The ID23-1 structural biology beamline at the ESRF. J. Synchrotron Radiat. 13, 227–238 (2006).
- D. Flot, T. Mairs, T. Giraud, M. Guijarro, M. Lesourd, V. Rey, D. van Brussel, C. Morawe, C. Borel, O. Hignette, J. Chavanne, D. Nurizzo, S. McSweeney and E. Mitchell, The

ID23-2 structural biology microfocus beamline at the ESRF. J. Synchrotron Radiat. 17, 107–118 (2010).

- G. P. Bourenkov, A. N. Popov, Optimization of data collection taking radiation damage into account. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66, 409–419 (2010).
- 32. W. Kabsch, XDS. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66, 125-132 (2010).
- P. Evans, Scaling and assessment of data quality. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 62, 72–82 (2006).
- R. Giordano, R. M. F. Leal, G. P. Bourenkov, S. McSweeney, A. N. Popov, The application of hierarchical cluster analysis to the selection of isomorphous crystals. *Acta Crystallogr.* D Biol. Crystallogr. 68, 649–658 (2012).
- T. Pape, T. R. Schneider, HKL2MAP: A graphical user interface for macromolecular phasing with SHELX programs. J. Appl. Cryst. 37, 843–844 (2004).
- G. M. Sheldrick, Experimental phasing with SHELXC/D/E: Combining chain tracing with density modification. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66, 479–485 (2010).
- G. Langer, S. X. Cohen, V. S. Lamzin, A. Perrakis, Automated macromolecular model building for X-ray crystallography using ARP/wARP version 7. *Nat. Protoc.* 3, 1171–1179 (2008).
- T. C. Terwilliger, R. W. Grosse-Kunstleve, P. V. Afonine, N. W. Moriarty, P. H. Zwart, L.-W. Hung, R. J. Read, P. D. Adams, Iterative model building, structure refinement and density modification with the PHENIX AutoBuild wizard. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 64, 61–69 (2008).
- P. Emsley, B. Lohkamp, W. G. Scott, K. Cowtan, Features and development of Coot. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66, 486–501 (2010).
- G. N. Murshudov, P. Skubák, A. A. Lebedev, N. S. Pannu, R. A. Steiner, R. A. Nicholls, M. D. Winn, F. Long, A. A. Vagin, REFMAC5 for the refinement of macromolecular crystal structures. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 67, 355–367 (2011).
- 41. The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.8 Schrödinger, LLC.
- M. A. Lomize, I. D. Pogozheva, H. Joo, H. I. Mosberg, A. L. Lomize, OPM database and PPM web server: Resources for positioning of proteins in membranes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, D370–D376 (2012).

Acknowledgments

Funding: The work on protein expression, purification and crystallization was supported by the program "Chaires d'excellence" edition 2008 of ANR France, CEA(IBS) - HGF(FZJ) STC 5.1 specific agreement, and used the platforms of the Grenoble Instruct Centre (ISBG; UMS 3518 CNRS-CEA-UJF-EMBL) with support from FRISBI (ANR-10-INSB-05-02) and GRAL (ANR-10-LABX-49-01) within the Grenoble Partnership for Structural Biology (PSB). The work on photoactive proteins was supported by Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (RFMEFI58716X0026). The work on GPCR expression, purification, and crystallization was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (project no. 16-14-10273). I.M. is the recipient of an ESRF PhD Studentship. Author contributions: A.P. and V.G. conceived the research. I.M., V.G., and A.P. prepared the manuscript with the contribution of V.P., G.A.L., and all other authors. K.K., I.G., A.A., and M.S. expressed and purified the proteins. V.S., A.M., and V.C. oversaw the expression and purification. V.P., I.M., and M.S. crystallized the proteins, V.C. supervised GPCR crystallization and data analysis, V.B. and A.M. oversaw the crystallization. I.M., V.P., V.B., M.S., and A.P. collected and analyzed the diffraction data. I.M. and A.P. solved the structures. I.G., A.A., K.K., M.S., and V.C. helped with structure analysis. F.R.-V. contributed to the work on MACR protein production. A.P., G.A.L., and V.G. oversaw the research. Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests. Data and materials availability: All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper and/or the Supplementary Materials. Additional data related to this paper may be requested from the authors. All diffraction data and refined models have been deposited in the PDB: 5JGP (NarQ), 5JRF (KR2), 5JSI (MACR), and 5JTB (A_{2A}AR-BRIL- Δ C).

Submitted 24 November 2016 Accepted 15 March 2017 Published 12 May 2017 10.1126/sciadv.1602952

Citation: I. Melnikov, V. Polovinkin, K. Kovalev, I. Gushchin, M. Shevtsov, V. Shevchenko, A. Mishin, A. Alekseev, F. Rodriguez-Valera, V. Borshchevskiy, V. Cherezov, G. A. Leonard, V. Gordeliy, A. Popov, Fast iodide-SAD phasing for high-throughput membrane protein structure determination. *Sci. Adv.* **3**, e1602952 (2017).