# PROTOCOL OPEN

# The use of electronic alerts in primary care computer systems to identify the over-prescription of short-acting beta<sub>2</sub>-agonists in people with asthma: a protocol for a systematic review

Shauna McKibben<sup>1</sup>, Andy Bush<sup>2</sup>, Mike Thomas<sup>3</sup> and Chris Griffiths<sup>1</sup>

npj Primary Care Respiratory Medicine (2017)27:30; doi:10.1038/s41533-017-0033-y

## **BACKGROUND**

Asthma is a heterogeneous disease, usually characterised by (a) chronic airway inflammation with variable symptoms of wheeze. shortness of breath, chest tightness and/or cough, and (b) variable expiratory airflow limitation. Despite increasing evidence-based guidelines for asthma gaps between recommended care and current practice remain.<sup>2, 3</sup> Frequent and increasing use of short acting beta<sub>2</sub>-agonists (SABA) or reliever therapy is a marker for poor asthma control and increased risk of asthma attacks,<sup>4</sup> with control defined as the degree to which the manifestations of asthma are minimised by treatment.<sup>5</sup> Asthma control can be assessed by reviewing both current symptoms and risk factors (modifiable or non-modifiable) of future asthma attacks. 1, 6 Poor asthma control and risk of asthma attacks can be determined in part by SABA use,<sup>7–12</sup> with high or increasing SABA use a potentially modifiable risk factor for asthma attacks<sup>9, 11, 13, 14</sup> and asthma related death.<sup>7, 8, 15</sup> Poor asthma control is commonly due to suboptimal asthma management and can result not only in loss of school and workdays at a high cost for countries 16-18 but also in unnecessary morbidity and even mortality.<sup>3, 19</sup> The National Review of Asthma Deaths identified that 39% of people who died from asthma had been prescribed more than 12 SABA inhalers in the year before death and 4% had been prescribed more than 50 SABA inhalers in the year before death. 15 Recent figures show that asthma deaths are at the highest level for a decade with a 17% increase in the number of asthma related deaths from 2014 to 2015 in England and Wales.<sup>20</sup>

Computer decision support systems (CDSSs) are increasingly being used to improve the prevention and management of chronic conditions such as asthma.<sup>21, 22</sup> CDSSs include electronic alerts and reminders that use patient-specific information and clinical data to help healthcare providers make decisions that enhance patient care.<sup>21, 23</sup> Whilst CDSSs have the potential to improve prescribing efficiency for healthcare professionals<sup>24–27</sup> overall effectiveness in clinical practice is unclear.<sup>28</sup> Recommendations have called for the electronic surveillance of prescription refill frequency in primary care to alert clinicians to patients being prescribed excessive quantities of SABA<sup>15</sup>; however it is unclear to what extent alerts have been used in the management of SABA prescribing and what impact, if any, they have on patient outcomes.

## **AIMS**

We aim to identify and critically appraise studies that have used electronic alerts to identify people with asthma being prescribed excessive SABA in primary care.

Specific objectives are as follows:

- Evaluate the effectiveness of electronic alerts within CDSSs to identify people with asthma being prescribed excessive SABA in primary care.
- Determine the features of electronic surveillance systems that have the potential to improve process outcomes for healthcare providers and clinical outcomes for people with asthma.

#### **DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

CDSS interventions can potentially increase adherence to evidence-based medical knowledge, reduce unnecessary variation in clinical practice and improve clinical decision-making proparticularly in the prevention and management of chronic conditions.<sup>21</sup> Studies addressing the use of CDSSs in the care of people with asthma have shown varying results. One study reported that CDSSs had little effect on clinical and process outcomes for asthma due to low clinician use<sup>22</sup> whilst another reported that CDSSs can improve chronic disease processes and outcomes particularly in the support of asthma selfmanagement.<sup>21</sup> Alerts represent an important category of decision support to clinicians, often having a substantial effect on prescribing behaviour.<sup>31</sup> However few studies have assessed the impact of computerised alerts on clinical or health service management outcomes.<sup>31</sup> Current recommendations include the use of alerts within general practice computer software to identify patients with asthma being prescribed excessive quantities of SABA. 15, 32 A thorough synthesis of the evidence is required to: (i) determine the extent to which electronic alerts to identify people with asthma being prescribed excessive SABA in primary care can improve asthma management and patient outcomes; (ii) clarify the design and implementation of CDSSs alerts to improve asthma prescribing decisions for clinicians.

# **METHODS**

Study eligibility criteria

Types of studies. We will include all types of randomised controlled trials in which patients have been treated by clinical teams informed by an

<sup>1</sup>Asthma UK Centre for Applied Research, Queen Mary University London, London, UK; <sup>2</sup>Asthma UK Centre for Applied Research, Imperial College London, London, UK and <sup>3</sup>Asthma UK Centre for Applied Research, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK Correspondence: Shauna McKibben (s.m.mckibben@qmul.ac.uk)

Received: 13 November 2016 Revised: 24 March 2017 Accepted: 28 March 2017

Published online: 26 April 2017



electronic SABA prescribing alert compared with usual care. As a surrogate measure of prescribing, studies using dispensing data will be included. We will exclude non-randomised trial designs (quasi-experimental, observational studies); study protocols; paper-based tools (e.g., flow charts and non-electronic clinical pathway tools); CDSS alerts used for conditions that are not asthma, e.g., COPD or other respiratory conditions; CDSS alerts used in secondary or tertiary care.

Types of participants. We will include studies involving healthcare professionals and non-clinical staff in primary care who provide care to adults and/or children with a physician coded asthma diagnosis.

Types of intervention. We will include studies which used CDSS based alerts initiated by the excessive prescribing of SABA for people with asthma. Definitions of excessive prescribing will be analysed on an individual study basis.

Types of outcome measures. The primary outcome will be study-defined SABA over-prescription. Secondary outcomes will be SABA prescribing, inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) prescribing alone or with a long-acting beta<sub>2</sub>-agonist, the ratio of ICS-SABA prescribed, asthma reviews, study-defined asthma exacerbations, study-defined asthma exacerbations requiring oral steroids, unscheduled consultations for asthma (including general practice visits, emergency department visits and hospitalisations for asthma) and study-defined asthma control assessment.

#### Search strategy

We will search the international electronic databases: MEDLINE (Ovid), EMBASE (Ovid), CINAHL (Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature), SCOPUS (Elsevier) and Cochrane Library (Wiley). Additional studies will be retrieved by searching the references of eligible papers. Unpublished and in-progress studies will be identified by searching online trial registries; ISRCTN registry and ClinicalTrials.gov. All databases will be searched from 1990 to July 2016. No language restrictions will be imposed; translations will be sought where possible. Supplementary Appendix 1 presents details of our search strategy, which was developed for MEDLINE and will be adapted in searching other databases.

Screening of retrieved literature. The titles and abstracts of all papers retrieved from the databases will be checked independently by two reviewers against the criteria of the study. The full texts of papers that are potentially eligible will be retrieved and further assessed for inclusion independently by two reviewers. Discrepancies in the screening processes between the two reviewers will be resolved by consensus, and disagreements will be arbitrated by a third reviewer.

# Data extraction

A customised data collection form will be used by two reviewers, independently, to extract relevant study data from full-text papers selected for inclusion. The form will be piloted and refined before being applied to full-text reports. Included papers will be discussed by the two reviewers after data extraction, and disagreements will be arbitrated by a third reviewer. Where necessary, clarification and additional data will be sought from study authors. Key findings from each included study will be summarised and tabulated.

# Quality assessment

We will assess the risk of bias in each trial using the seven-criteria approach described in section eight of the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions.<sup>33</sup> Overall, each study will be rated as follows: A: low risk of bias—no bias found; B: moderate risk of bias—one criterion for risk of bias; C: high risk of bias—more than one criterion for risk of bias.

# Data synthesis

Narrative synthesis of heterogeneous process outcomes (prescribing and asthma reviews) and clinical outcomes (exacerbations, unscheduled consultations and asthma control) will be conducted. Data will be presented in tabular form. Where possible, meta-analysis will be performed on process and clinical variables of interest, specifically: study-defined SABA over-prescription, study-defined asthma exacerbations and study-defined asthma control.

Heterogeneity will be assessed using the I-squared statistic. Where possible, subgroup analyses will be performed on age categories as defined by BTS/SIGN Guidelines; less than 5 years, aged 5–12 years and greater than 12 years of age.<sup>4</sup>

#### Registration and reporting

This study is registered with PROSPERO, the University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination International prospective register of systematic reviews (CRD42016035633).

We will report according to the PRISMA guidelines for reporting systematic reviews.<sup>34</sup>

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors wish to thank the Asthma UK Centre for Applied Research for funding this work. AB is an National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Senior Investigator and additionally was supported by the NIHR Respiratory Disease Biomedical Research Unit at the Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust and Imperial College London. MT was supported by the NIHR Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care (CLAHRC) Wessex, NIHR School of Primary Care Research and NIHR Southampton Biomedical Research Centre. CG was supported by the NIHR CLAHRC North Thames at Bart's Health NHS Trust. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

This work forms part of an Asthma UK Centre for Applied Centre PhD Studentship being undertaken by S.M. It was drafted by S.M. and revised after several rounds of critical comments from A.B., M.T. and C.G.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

CG is an Assistant Editor of npj Primary Care Respiratory Medicine and MT is an Associate Editor of npj Primary Care Respiratory Medicine but were involved in neither the editorial review of, nor any decision to publish or not publish this article.

## **REFERENCES**

- Global Initiative for Asthma. Global strategy for asthma management and prevention. Available at <a href="http://www.ginasthma.org">http://www.ginasthma.org</a>. Accessed 6 June 2016 (2016).
- Klomp, H. et al. Examining asthma quality of care using a population-based approach. Can. Med. Assoc. J. 178, 1013–1021, doi:10.1503/cmaj.070426 (2008).
- Vermeire, P. A., Rabe, K. F., Soriano, J. B. & Maier, W. C. Asthma control and differences in management practices across seven European countries. *Respir. Med.* 96, 142–149 (2002).
- British Thoracic Society and Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network. British guideline on the management of asthma. Available at https://www.brit-thoracic.org. uk/standards-of-care/guidelines/btssign-british-guideline-on-the-management-ofasthma/. Accessed 30 September 2016 (2016).
- Bousquet, J. et al. Uniform definition of asthma severity, control, and exacerbations: document presented for the World Health Organization Consultation on Severe Asthma. J. Allergy. Clin. Immunol. 126, 926–938 (2010).
- Reddel, H. K. et al. A summary of the new GINA strategy: a roadmap to asthma control. Eur. Respir. J. 10.1183/13993003.00853-2015 (2015).
- Suissa, S., Blais, L. & Ernst, P. Patterns of increasing beta-agonist use and the risk of fatal or near-fatal asthma. Eur. Respir. J. 7, 1602–1609 (1994).
- Spitzer, W. O. et al. The use of beta-agonists and the risk of death and near death from asthma. N. Engl. J. Med. 326, 501–506, doi:10.1056/nejm199202203260801 (1992)
- Schatz, M. et al. Asthma quality-of-care markers using administrative data. Chest. 128, 1968–1973, doi:10.1378/chest.128.4.1968 (2005).
- Donahue, J. G. et al. Inhaled steroids and the risk of hospitalization for asthma. JAMA. 277, 887–891 (1997).
- Schatz, M. et al. Validation of a beta-agonist long-term asthma control scale derived from computerized pharmacy data. J. Allergy. Clin. Immunol. 117, 995–1000, doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2006.01.053 (2006).
- Diette, G. B. et al. Treatment patterns among adult patients with asthma: factors associated with overuse of inhaled beta-agonists and underuse of inhaled corticosteroids. Arch. Intern. Med. 159, 2697–2704 (1999).

**n**pj

- Paris, J. et al. Relationship between recent short-acting beta-agonist use and subsequent asthma exacerbations. *Ann. Allergy. Asthma. Immunol.* 101, 482–487. doi:10.1016/s1081-1206(10)60286-4 (2008).
- 14. Patel, M. et al. Metrics of salbutamol use as predictors of future adverse outcomes in asthma. *Clin. Exp. Allergy.* **43**, 1144–1151, doi:10.1111/cea.12166 (2013).
- Royal College of Physicians. Why Asthma Still Kills: the National Review of Asthma Deaths (NRAD) Confidential Enquiry report. Available at https://www.rcplondon. ac.uk/projects/national-review-asthma-deaths. Accessed on 30 November 2015 (2014).
- Rabe, K. F. et al. Worldwide severity and control of asthma in children and adults: the global asthma insights and reality surveys. J. Allergy. Clin. Immunol. 114, 40–47, doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2004.04.042 (2004).
- Haughney, J., Barnes, G., Partridge, M. & Cleland, J. The living & breathing study: a study of patients' views of asthma and its treatment. *Prim. Care. Respir. J.* 13, 28–35, doi:10.1016/j.pcrj.2003.11.007 (2004).
- Stallberg, B. et al. Asthma control in primary care in Sweden: a comparison between 2001 and 2005. *Prim. Care. Respir. J.* 18, 279–286, doi:10.4104/ pcrj.2009.00024 (2009).
- Leuppi, J. D. et al. Asthma control in Switzerland: a general practitioner based survey. Curr. Med. Res. Opin. 22, 2159–2166, doi:10.1185/030079906x148454 (2006).
- Office for National Statistics (ONS). Death registrations in England and Wales summary tables: 2015. Available at <a href="http://www.ons.gov.uk/releases/deathregistration-sinenglandandwalessummarytables2015">http://www.ons.gov.uk/releases/deathregistration-sinenglandandwalessummarytables2015</a>. Accessed 28 September 2016 (2016).
- Fathima, M., Peiris, D., Naik-Panvelkar, P., Saini, B. & Armour, C. L. Effectiveness of computerized clinical decision support systems for asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in primary care: a systematic review. *BMC. Pulm. Med.* 14, 189, doi:10.1186/1471-2466-14-189 (2014).
- Matui, P., Wyatt, J. C., Pinnock, H., Sheikh, A. & McLean, S. Computer decision support systems for asthma: a systematic review. NPJ Prim. Care. Respir. Med. 24, 14005, doi:10.1038/npjpcrm.2014.5 (2014).
- 23. Osheroff J. A., Pifer E. A. & Teich J. M. Improving Outcomes with Clinical Decision Support: An Implementer's Guide. 136 (2005).
- Pearson, S. A. et al. Do computerised clinical decision support systems for prescribing change practice? A systematic review of the literature (1990-2007). BMC. Health. Serv. Res. 9, 154. doi:10.1186/1472-6963-9-154 (2009).
- 25. Avery, T. Avoidable prescribing errors: incidence and the causes. *Prescriber.* **21**, 52–55, doi:10.1002/psb.597 (2010).
- Kaushal, R., Shojania, K. G. & Bates, D. W. Effects of computerized physician order entry and clinical decision support systems on medication safety: a systematic review. Arch. Intern. Med. 163, 1409–1416, doi:10.1001/archinte.163.12.1409 (2003).

- Schade, C. P., Sullivan, F. M., de Lusignan, S. & Madeley, J. e-Prescribing, efficiency, quality: lessons from the computerization of UK family practice. *J. Am. Med. Inform. Assoc.* 13, 470–475, doi:10.1197/jamia.M2041 (2006).
- Black A. D. et al. The impact of eHealth on the quality and safety of health care: a systematic overview. PLoS. Med. 8, 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000387 (2011).
- Kawamoto, K., Houlihan, C. A., Balas, E. A. & Lobach, D. F. Improving clinical practice using clinical decision support systems: a systematic review of trials to identify features critical to success. *Br. Med. J.* 330, 765, doi:10.1136/bmj.38398.500764.8F (2005)
- Berner E. S. Clinical decision support systems: state of the art. (Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality: Publication No. 09-0069-EF (2009).
- Schedlbauer, A. et al. What evidence supports the use of computerized alerts and prompts to improve clinicians' prescribing behavior? *J. Am. Med. Inform. Assoc.* 16, 531–538, doi:10.1197/jamia.M2910 (2009).
- Asthma UK. Connected asthma: how technology will transform care. Available at https://www.asthma.org.uk/connectedasthma. Accessed 11 November 2016. (2016).
- Higgins, J. & Green, S. (eds) Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration. Available at http://www.cochrane-handbook.org. Accessed 10 June 2016 (2011).
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J. & Altman, D. G. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 151, 264–269 (2009). w264.



**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing,

adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <a href="https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a>.

© The Author(s) 2017

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on the npj Primary Care Respiratory Medicine website (doi:10.1038/s41533-017-0033-y).