Ionizing radiation regulates expression of the c-jun protooncogene

(transcription factors/AP-1/c-fos/jun-B/dose rate)

MATTHEW L. SHERMAN^{*†}, RAKESH DATTA^{*}, DENNIS E. HALLAHAN[‡], RALPH R. WEICHSELBAUM[‡], and Donald W. Kufe^{*}

*Laboratory of Clinical Pharmacology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, and Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115; and [‡]Michael Reese/University of Chicago Center for Radiation Therapy, Department of Radiation and Cellular Oncology, University of Chicago, Pritzker School of Medicine, Chicago, IL 60637

Communicated by Hewson Swift, May 10, 1990

There is little known about the regulation of ABSTRACT gene expression by ionizing radiation exposure. The present studies demonstrate transcriptional activation of a mammalian gene, the c-jun protooncogene, by x-rays. The c-jun gene encodes a component of the AP-1 protein complex and is important in early signaling events involved in various cellular functions. The increase in c-jun transcripts by ionizing radiation was time- and dose-dependent as determined by Northern blot analysis. Transcriptional run-on analysis demonstrated that ionizing radiation stimulates the rate of c-jun gene transcription. Furthermore, the half-life of c-jun RNA was prolonged in the absence of protein synthesis. These findings indicate that the increase in c-jun RNA observed after irradiation is regulated by transcriptional and posttranscriptional mechanisms. Moreover, the induction of c-jun by ionizing radiation was associated with an inverse dose rate effect in that decreasing the dose rate resulted in increased c-jun expression. The present results similarly demonstrate that ionizing radiation increases levels of c-fos transcripts as well as that of jun-B. another member of the jun family. Taken together, these results suggest a role for induction of early response genes in the pathophysiologic effects of ionizing radiation.

AP-1, the product of the protooncogene c-jun, recognizes and binds to specific DNA sequences and stimulates transcription of genes responsive to certain growth factors and phorbol esters (1, 2). The expression of *c*-jun is an early response event during activation of cellular proliferation. For example, expression of c-jun is regulated by serum, platelet-derived growth factor, fibroblast growth factor, epidermal growth factor, nerve growth factor, transforming growth factor β , interleukin 1, and tumor necrosis factor (3-11). The product of the c-jun protooncogene contains a highly conserved DNA binding domain shared by a family of mammalian transcription factors, including jun-B, jun-D, c-fos, fos-B, fra-1, as well as the yeast GCN4 protein. The conserved leucine zipper allows for dimerization between certain members of this family, whereas the basic motif is necessary for binding to the phorbol ester responsive element (12-16). Specific protein heterodimeric complexes contribute to the DNA binding affinity (17-21).

Ionizing radiation has been postulated to induce multiple biological effects by direct interaction with DNA or through the formation of free radical species leading to DNA damage (22). These effects include gene mutations, malignant transformation, and cell killing. Although ionizing radiation has been demonstrated to induce expression of certain DNA repair genes in some prokaryotic and lower eukaryotic cells, little is known about the effects of ionizing radiation on the regulation of mammalian gene expression (23). Several studies have described changes in the pattern of protein synthesis observed after irradiation of mammalian cells. For example, ionizing radiation treatment of human malignant melanoma cells is associated with induction of several unidentified proteins (24). Synthesis of cyclin and coregulated polypeptides is suppressed by ionizing radiation in rat REF52 cells but not in oncogene-transformed REF52 cell lines (25). Other studies have demonstrated that certain growth factors or cytokines may be involved in x-ray-induced DNA damage. In this regard, platelet-derived growth factor is released from endothelial cells after irradiation (26), whereas increased production of tumor necrosis factor is associated with ionizing radiation treatment in human sarcoma cells (27). Recent work has also shown that interleukin 1 RNA is increased after irradiation of Syrian hamster embryo cells (28).

Initiation of mRNA synthesis is a critical control point in the regulation of cellular processes and depends on binding of certain transcriptional regulatory factors to specific DNA sequences. However, there is little known about the regulation of transcriptional control by ionizing radiation exposure in eukaryotic cells. The effects of ionizing radiation on posttranscriptional regulation of mammalian gene expression are also unknown. In the present studies, we have examined the effects of ionizing radiation on the regulation of c-jun gene expression. The results demonstrate that ionizing radiation transcriptionally regulates c-jun expression. Furthermore, c-jun transcripts are degraded posttranscriptionally by a labile protein in irradiated cells. The results similarly demonstrate that c-fos and jun-B gene expression, other members of a family of transcription factors with related DNA binding specificity, is increased following exposure to ionizing radiation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Culture. Human HL-60 promyelocytic leukemia cells, U-937 monocytic leukemia cells (both from American Type Culture Collection), and AG-1522 diploid foreskin fibroblasts (National Institute on Aging Cell Repository, Camden, NJ) were grown as described (27, 29). Cells were irradiated using either a Philips RT 250 accelerator at 250 kV, 14 mA equipped with a 0.35-mm Cu filter or a Gammacell 1000 (Atomic Energy of Canada, Ottawa) with a ¹³⁷Cs source emitting at a fixed dose rate of 14.3 Gy/min as determined by dosimetry. Control cells were exposed to the same conditions but not irradiated.

Northern Blot Analysis. Total cellular RNA was isolated as described (29). RNA (20 μ g per lane) was separated in an agarose/formaldehyde gel, transferred to a nitrocellulose filter, and hybridized to the following ³²P-labeled DNA probes: (i) the 1.8-kilobase (kb) BamHI/EcoRI c-jun cDNA (30); (ii) the 0.91-kb Sca I/Nco I c-fos DNA consisting of exons 3 and 4 (31); (iii) the 1.8-kb EcoRI jun-B cDNA isolated from the p465.20 plasmid (32); and (iv) the 2.0-kb Pst I β -actin cDNA purified from pA1 (33). The autoradiograms were

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "*advertisement*" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

[†]To whom reprint requests should be addressed.

scanned using an LKB UltroScan XL laser densitometer and analyzed using the LKB GelScan XL software package. The intensity of *c-jun* hybridization was normalized against β actin expression.

Run-On Transcriptional Analysis. HL-60 cells were treated with ionizing radiation and nuclei were isolated after 3 h as described (29). Newly elongated ³²P-labeled RNA transcripts were hybridized to plasmid DNAs containing various cloned inserts after digestion with restriction endonucleases as follows: (*i*) the 2.0-kb *Pst* I fragment of the chicken β -actin pA1 plasmid (positive control); (*ii*) the 1.1-kb *Bam*HI insert of the human β -globin gene (negative control, ref. 34); and (*iii*) the 1.8-kb *Bam*HI/*Eco*RI fragment of the human *c-jun* cDNA from the pBluescript SK(+) plasmid. The digested DNA was run in a 1% agarose gel and transferred to nitrocellulose filters by the method of Southern. Hybridization was performed with 10⁷ cpm of ³²P-labeled RNA per ml of hybridization buffer for 72 h at 42°C. Autoradiography was performed for 3 days and the autoradiograms were scanned as already described.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Induction of c-jun RNA by Ionizing Radiation. To determine the effects of ionizing radiation on c-jun expression, we performed Northern blot analyses of HL-60 cellular RNA using a ³²P-labeled c-jun cDNA probe. The level of the 2.7-kb c-jun transcripts increased 9.6-fold 3 h after exposure to ionizing radiation (Fig. 1A). This increase was transient and the level of c-jun transcripts returned to that of control cells by 24 h (Fig. 1A). The induction of c-jun gene expression was also dependent on the dose of radiation. Although detectable increases in c-jun mRNA levels were detectable after 50 Gy of



FIG. 1. Effects of ionizing radiation on c-jun RNA levels in human HL-60 cells. (A) Northern blot analysis of total cellular RNA levels was performed in HL-60 cells after treatment with 20 Gy of ionizing radiation (XRT). Hybridization was performed using a ³²P-labeled c-jun or actin DNA probe. (B) HL-60 cells were treated with the indicated doses of ionizing radiation. RNA was isolated after 3 h and hybridizations were performed using ³²P-labeled c-jun or β -actin DNA probes. HL-60 represents RNA from untreated cells.



FIG. 2. Effects of ionizing radiation on c-jun RNA levels in U-937 cells and in human AG-1522 diploid fibroblasts. (A) Northern blot analysis of RNA levels was performed in U-937 cells after treatment with 20 Gy of ionizing radiation (XRT). Hybridization was performed using a ³²P-labeled c-jun or actin DNA probe. (B) AG-1522 cells were treated with 20 Gy of ionizing radiation. RNA was isolated at the indicated times and hybridizations were performed using a ³²P-labeled c-jun probe. Hybridization to the ³²P-labeled 7S rRNA probe (27) demonstrated slight overloading of the first lane corresponding to RNA from untreated AG-1522 cells.

ionizing radiation (Fig. 1B). In contrast, ionizing radiation had no effect on levels of actin transcripts. Similar kinetics of c-*jun* induction were observed in irradiated human U-937 monocytic leukemia cells (Fig. 2A) and in normal human AG-1522 diploid fibroblasts (Fig. 2B). Treatment of AG-1522 cells with ionizing radiation was also associated with the appearance of a minor 3.2-kb c-*jun* transcript (Fig. 2B).

Transcriptional Activation of c**-***jun* **by Ionizing Radiation.** Run-on transcriptional assays in isolated nuclei were performed to determine the mechanisms responsible for the regulation of c**-***jun* gene expression by ionizing radiation. The actin gene (positive control) was constitutively transcribed in untreated HL-60 cells, whereas there was no detectable level of β -globin gene transcription (negative control) (Fig. 3). In contrast, a low level of c*-jun* transcription was detectable in untreated HL-60 cells (Fig. 3). Exposure to ionizing radiation increased c*-jun* gene transcription by 7.2-fold (Fig. 3). Furthermore, there was no detectable effect on actin gene transcription in irradiated cells. These findings indicated that ionizing radiation induces c*-jun* expression, at least in part, by a transcriptional mechanism.

Posttranscriptional Regulation of c-*jun*. Increased levels of *c-jun* RNA in cells exposed to serum, phorbol esters, or



FIG. 3. Effects of ionizing radiation on rates on c-jun gene transcription. HL-60 cells were treated with 20 Gy of ionizing radiation (XRT) and nuclei were isolated after 3 h. Newly elongated 32 P-labeled RNA transcripts were hybridized to plasmid DNAs containing 2 μ g of β -actin, β -globin, and c-jun cDNA. In the schematic diagram, the solid lines represent the relative positions of the cDNA inserts, and the dashed lines indicate the positions of the plasmid vectors.

Medical Sciences: Sherman et al.



FIG. 4. Effects of cycloheximide on c-jun mRNA levels in ionizing radiation-treated HL-60 cells. HL-60 cells were treated with 20 Gy of ionizing radiation (XRT) and/or 5 μ g of cycloheximide (CHX) per ml. Total cellular RNA (20 μ g per lane) was isolated after 1, 3, and 6 h and analyzed by hybridization to the ³²P-labeled c-jun or actin probe.

certain growth factors also result from enhanced stabilization of the c-jun transcript. In order to study posttranscriptional regulation of c-jun RNA, HL-60 cells were treated with ionizing radiation in the absence and presence of cycloheximide. Cycloheximide alone had a slight but detectable effect on the accumulation of c-jun RNA. However, the combination of cycloheximide and ionizing radiation increased levels of c-jun by 3.6-fold as compared to treatment with radiation alone (Fig. 4), suggesting that the effects of this combination were least additive in these cells. These results also indicated that de novo protein synthesis is not required for the induction of c-jun by ionizing radiation. Furthermore, cycloheximide had no effect on c-jun transcription at 3 h as monitored by nuclear run-on assays (data not shown). These results suggested that cycloheximide increases c-iun expression by a posttranscriptional mechanism in irradiated cells.

To further study the posttranscriptional regulation of ionizing radiation-induced c-jun RNA levels, HL-60 cells were irradiated to induce c-jun expression after 3 h and then exposed to 5 μ g of actinomycin D per ml for various times to inhibit further transcription. The half-life of c-jun RNA as determined by densitometric scanning was ≈58 min. In contrast, inhibition of protein synthesis with cycloheximide in the absence of transcription increased the half-life of c-jun RNA in irradiated HL-60 cells to 94 min. Taken together, these findings suggested that the increase in c-jun RNA observed during radiation treatment is also mediated at least in part by posttranscriptional mechanisms involving the synthesis of a labile protein that affects the turnover of c-jun RNA. This posttranscriptional regulation of c-jun mRNA levels may be related to the presence of (A+U)-rich sequences in the 3' untranslated region of its mRNA (30). These sequences mediate selective processing and degradation of mRNAs for other protooncogenes as well as cytokines (35). The regulation of c-jun by ionizing radiation is thus in certain respects similar to that observed for serum and growth factors.

Induction of c-fos and jun-B by Ionizing Radiation. The protein product of c-jun contains a DNA binding region that is shared by members of a family of transcription factors (36, 37). Specific protein complexes enhance DNA binding affinity (15, 18). For example, Jun and Fos proteins form heterodimeric complexes with a higher DNA binding affinity than Jun protein homodimers (13). Further studies were thus performed to determine the effects of ionizing radiation on c-fos gene expression. Although c-fos RNA was present at low levels in untreated HL-60 cells, treatment with ionizing radiation was associated with a dose-dependent increase in c-fos transcripts (Fig. 5A). This increase in c-fos expression was maximal at 3 h after irradiation and associated with down-regulation of c-fos RNA levels by 24 h (Fig. 5A and data not shown). Similar effects were also observed with other



FIG. 5. Effects of ionizing radiation on c-fos and jun-B mRNA levels in HL-60 cells. (A) HL-60 cells were treated with varying doses of ionizing radiation (XRT) or 32 nM 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol 13-acetate (TPA; positive control) for 3 h. Total cellular RNA (20 μ g) was hybridized to the ³²P-labeled c-fos probe. (B) HL-60 cells were treated with 20 Gy of ionizing radiation. Total cellular RNA (20 μ g per lane) was isolated at the indicated times and analyzed by hybridization to the ³²P-labeled jun-B probe.

members of the *jun* family. In this regard, ionizing radiation treatment was associated with increases in *jun-B* mRNA levels that were maximal at 3 h (Fig. 5B).

Dose Rate Effect on c-jun Expression. The effects of ionizing radiation on DNA damage and cell killing in many models are proportional to the dose rate. For example, increasing the dose rate in mouse jejunal crypt cells irradiated with γ -rays from a ¹³⁷Cs source is associated with increased cell killing (38). Consequently, we examined the effects of the dose rate on c-jun expression. Decreasing the dose rate from 14.3 Gy/min to 0.67 Gy/min was associated with increases in the induction of c-jun transcripts (Fig. 6). A similar inverse dose rate effect of irradiation on c-jun expression was observed for total doses of 10 or 20 Gy (Fig. 6). Experiments comparing cell survival and transformation in C3H/10T¹/₂ cells demonstrate a decrease in cell killing and transformation when low dose rate exposures to ⁶⁰Co radiation were compared to high dose rate exposures (39). Induction of relatively error-free DNA repair processes by low dose rate, low linear energy transfer radiation, has been suggested as an explanation for these effects (39, 40). Perhaps the c-iun gene product initiates a cascade of DNA repair genes that enhances cell survival and decreases transformation. However, the mechanism and the significance of increased transcription of c-jun at the lower dose rate is as yet unknown.

Certain other genes may also play a role in the cellular response to stress or DNA-damaging agents. For example, metallothionein I and II, collagenase, and plasminogen acti-



FIG. 6. Effects of dose rate on the induction of c-*jun* expression by ionizing radiation. HL-60 cells were treated with 10 or 20 Gy of ionizing radiation at the indicated dose rates. After 3 h, total cellular RNA (20 μ g) was isolated and hybridized to the ³²P-labeled c-*jun* probe.

vator are induced after UV irradiation (41-45). B2 polymerase III transcripts are increased following treatment by heat shock (46, 47). Furthermore, although the level of DNA polymerase β mRNA is increased after treatment with DNAdamaging agents, this transcript is unchanged following irradiation. suggesting that specific DNA-damaging agents differentially regulate gene expression (48). Protooncogene cfos RNA levels are elevated following treatment by UV, heat shock, or chemical carcinogens (49, 50). In this regard, the relative rates of fos transcription during heat shock were unchanged, suggesting that this stress increased c-fos RNA through posttranscriptional mechanisms (50). Taken together, these data suggest that the induction of the jun family of genes by ionizing radiation may be part of a generalized cellular response to DNA damage.

The molecular and cellular mechanisms responsible for DNA damage-induced growth arrest are poorly understood (23). Our observation that the c-jun early response gene as well as other members of this multigene family are induced by ionizing radiation suggests that activation of this gene may be involved in signal transduction mechanisms that initiate pleiotropic cellular responses to x-ray exposure such as DNA repair, transformation, and inhibition of cell cycle progression. The target gene(s) activated by Jun/AP-1 following exposure to ionizing radiation are unknown. However, tumor necrosis factor is released from cells following irradiation (22). Preliminary studies indicate that the increase in tumor necrosis factor following ionizing radiation treatment is associated with transcriptional activation (M.L.S., unpublished data). The 5' promoter region of the tumor necrosis factor gene contains sequences with homology to a Jun/AP-1 site (51). However, it is not clear whether this site binds AP-1 and if it is involved in the regulation of tumor necrosis factor gene expression (51). Recent studies have demonstrated UV-responsive elements in the human immunodeficiency virus type 1, collagenase, c-fos, and metallothionein genes (52). Experiments are now needed to identify the potential role of cis elements involved in the induction of gene expression by ionizing radiation.

We thank Drs. Robert Tjian and Daniel Nathans for generously providing the c-jun and jun-B cDNA, respectively. This work was supported in part by Public Health Service Grants CA29431 and CA41068, by a Clinical Investigator Award (K08 CA01092) awarded by the National Cancer Institute to M.L.S., and by a Burroughs Wellcome Clinical Pharmacology Scholar Award to D.W.K.

- 1. Bohmann, D., Bos, T. J., Admon, A., Nishimura, T., Vogt, P. K. & Tjian, R. (1987) Science 238, 1386-1392.
- Angel, P., Allegretto, E. A., Okino, S., Hattori, K., Boyle, 2. W. J., Hunter, T. & Karin, M. (1988) Nature (London) 332, 166-171.
- 3. Ryder, K. & Nathans, D. (1988) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85, 8464-8467
- 4. Ryseck, R. P., Hirai, S. I., Yaniv, M. & Bravo, R. (1988) Nature (London) 334, 535-537.
- 5. Lamph, W. W., Wamsley, P., Sassone-Corsi, P. & Verma, I. M. (1988) Nature (London) 334, 629-631.
- Quantin, B. & Breathnach, R. (1988) Nature (London) 334, 6. 538-539.
- 7. Wu, B.-y., Fodor, E. J. B., Edwards, R. H. & Rutter, W. J. (1989) J. Biol. Chem. 264, 9000-9003.
- 8. Pertovaara, L., Sistonen, L., Bos, T. J., Vogt, P. K., Keski-Oja, J. & Alitalo, K. (1989) Mol. Cell. Biol. 9, 1255-1262.
- Muegge, K., Williams, T. M., Kant, J., Karin, M., Chiu, R., 9 Schmidt, A., Siebenlist, U., Young, H. A. & Durum, S. K. (1989) Science 246, 249-251.
- Brenner, D. A., O'Hara, M., Angel, P., Chojikier, M. & Karin, 10. M. (1989) Nature (London) 337, 661-663.
- Dixit, V. M., Marks, R. M., Sarma, V. & Prochownik, E. V. 11. (1989) J. Biol. Chem. 264, 16905-16909.
- 12. Kouzarides, T. & Ziff, E. (1988) Nature (London) 336, 646-651.

- Kouzarides, T. & Ziff, E. (1988) Nature (London) 340, 568-571. 13.
- 14. Sassone-Corsi, P., Ransone, L. J., Lamph, W. W. & Verma, I. M. (1988) Nature (London) 336, 692-695.
- 15. Gentz, R., Rauscher, F. J., III, Abate, C. & Curran, T. (1989) Science 243, 1695-1699.
- 16. Struhl, K. (1988) Nature (London) 332, 649-650.
- Halazonetis, T. D., Georgopoulos, K., Greenberg, M. E. & 17. Leder, P. (1988) Cell 55, 917-924.
- 18. Turner, R. & Tjian, R. (1989) Science 243, 1689-1694.
- 19. Nakabeppu, Y., Ryder, K. & Nathans, D. (1988) Cell 55, 907-915
- 20. Zerial, M., Toschi, L., Ryseck, R.-P., Schuermann, M., Muller, R. & Bravo, R. (1989) EMBO J. 8, 805-813.
- 21. Neuberg, M., Adamkiewicz, J., Hunter, J. B. & Muller, R. (1989) Nature (London) 341, 243-245.
- 22. Hall, E. J. (1988) in Radiobiology for the Radiologist, ed. Hall,
- E. J. (Lippincott, Philadelphia), pp. 17-38. Borek, C. (1985) Pharmacol. Ther. 27, 99-142. 23.
- 24.
- Boothman, D. A., Bouvard, I. & Hughes, E. N. (1989) Cancer Res. 49, 2871-2878.
- 25 Lambert, M. & Borek, C. (1988) J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 80. 1492-1497.
- 26. Witte, L., Fuks, Z., Haimovitz-Friedman, A., Vlodavsky, I., Goodman, D. S. & Eldor, A. (1989) Cancer Res. 49, 5066-5072.
- 27. Hallahan, D. E., Spriggs, D. R., Beckett, M. A., Kufe, D. W. & Weichselbaum, R. R. (1989) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86, 10104-10107
- Woloschak, G. E., Chang-Liu, C.-M., Jones, P. S. & Jones, 28. C. A. (1990) Cancer Res. 50, 339-344.
- 29. Sherman, M. L., Stone, R. M., Datta, R., Bernstein, S. H. & Kufe, D. W. (1990) J. Biol. Chem. 265, 3320-3323.
- 30 Hattori, K., Angel, P., LeBeau, M. M. & Karin, M. (1988) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85, 9148-9152.
- van Straaten, F., Muller, R., Curran, T., van Beveren, C. & 31. Verma, I. M. (1983) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80, 3183-3187.
- Ryder, K., Lau, L. F. & Nathans, D. (1988) Proc. Natl. Acad. 32. Sci. USA 85, 1487-1491.
- Cleveland, D. W., Lopata, M. A., MacDonald, R. J., Cowan, 33. N. J., Rutter, W. J. & Kirschner, M. W. (1980) Cell 20, 95-105.
- 34 Wilson, J. T., Wilson, L. B., deRiel, J. K., Villa-Komaroff, L., Efstratiadis, A., Forget, B. G. & Weissman, S. M. (1978) Nucleic Acids Res. 5, 563-580.
- 35 Shaw, G. & Kamen, R. (1986) Cell 46, 659-667.
- 36. Mitchell, P. J. & Tjian, R. (1989) Science 245, 371-378.
- Johnson, P. F. & McKnight, S. L. (1989) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 37. 58, 799-839.
- 38. Fu, K. & Phillips, T. L. (1975) Radiology 114, 709-716.
- 39. Han, A., Hill, C. K. & Elkind, M. M. (1980) Cancer Res. 40, 3328-3332.
- 40. Han, A., Hill, C. K. & Elkind, M. M. (1980) Int. J. Radiat. Biol. Relat. Stud. Phys. Chem. Med. 37, 585-589.
- Angel, P., Poting, A., Mallick, U., Rahmsdorf, H. J., Schorpp, 41. M. & Herrlich, P. (1986) Mol. Cell. Biol. 6, 1760-1766.
- Fornace, A. J., Jr., Alamo, I., Jr., & Hollander, M. C. (1988) 42. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85, 8800-8804.
- Fornace, A. J., Jr., Schalch, H. & Alamo, I., Jr. (1988) Mol. 43. Cell. Biol. 8, 4716-4720.
- Angel, P., Baumann, I., Stein, B., Delius, H., Rahmsdorf, H. J. 44. & Herrlich, P. (1987) Mol. Cell. Biol. 7, 2256-2266.
- 45. Mishkin, R. & Ben-Ishai, R. (1981) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78, 6236-6240.
- 46. Fornace, A. J., Jr., & Mitchell, J. B. (1986) Nucleic Acids Res. 14, 5793-5811.
- 47. Fornace, A. J., Jr., Alamo, I., Jr., Hollander, M. C. & Lamoreaux, E. (1989) Exp. Cell Res. 182, 61-74.
- Fornace, A. J., Jr., Zmudzka, B., Hollander, M. C. & Wilson, 48. M. C. (1989) Mol. Cell. Biol. 9, 851-853.
- 49. Andrews, G. K., Harding, M. A., Calvert, J. P. & Adamson, E. D. (1987) Mol. Cell. Biol. 7, 3452-3458.
- 50. Hollander, C. M. & Fornace, A. J., Jr. (1989) Cancer Res. 49, 1687-1693.
- 51. Economou, J. S., Rhoades, K., Essner, R., McBride, W. H., Gasson, J. C. & Morton, D. L. (1989) J. Exp. Med. 170, 321-326.
- 52. Stein, B., Rahmsdorf, H. J., Steffen, A., Litfin, M. & Herrlich, P. (1989) Mol. Cell. Biol. 9, 5169-5181.