# A Single Domain in Human DNA Polymerase L Mediates Interaction with PCNA: Implications for Translesion DNA Synthesis

Lajos Haracska,<sup>1,2</sup> Narottam Acharya,<sup>2</sup> Ildiko Unk,<sup>1,2</sup> Robert E. Johnson,<sup>2</sup> Jerard Hurwitz,<sup>3</sup> Louise Prakash,<sup>2</sup> and Satya Prakash<sup>2</sup>\*

Institute of Genetics, Biological Research Center, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Szeged, Hungary<sup>1</sup>; Sealy Center for Molecular Science, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, Texas<sup>2</sup>; and Department of Molecular Biology and Virology, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York<sup>3</sup>

Received 12 October 2004/Returned for modification 28 October 2004/Accepted 31 October 2004

DNA polymerases (Pols) of the Y family rescue stalled replication forks by promoting replication through DNA lesions. Humans have four Y family Pols,  $\eta$ ,  $\iota$ ,  $\kappa$ , and Rev1, of which Pols  $\eta$ ,  $\iota$ , and  $\kappa$  have been shown to physically interact with proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) and be functionally stimulated by it. However, in sharp contrast to the large increase in processivity that PCNA binding imparts to the replicative Pol, Polô, the processivity of Y family Pols is not enhanced upon PCNA binding. Instead, PCNA binding improves the efficiency of nucleotide incorporation via a reduction in the apparent  $K_m$  for the nucleotide. Here we show that Polu interacts with PCNA via only one of its conserved PCNA binding motifs, regardless of whether PCNA is bound to DNA or not. The mode of PCNA binding by Polu is quite unlike that in Polô, where multisite interactions with PCNA provide for a very tight binding of the replicating Pol with PCNA. We discuss the implications of these observations for the accuracy of DNA synthesis during translesion synthesis and for the process of Pol exchange at the lesion site.

Proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA), a highly conserved, ring-shaped homotrimeric eukaryotic protein, forms a sliding clamp at the template-primer junction. PCNA is loaded onto the primer-template junction in an ATP-dependent manner by a multiprotein clamp loader, replication factor C (RFC). After the loading of PCNA, RFC stays on the DNA via interaction with replication protein A (RPA) bound to singlestranded DNA (1, 18, 37). The binding of the replicative DNA polymerase (Pol), Polô, to PCNA endows it with a very high processivity (25, 30), and that presumably is the essential function of PCNA in DNA replication.

In Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Pol $\delta$  is comprised of three subunits of 125, 55, and 40 kDa, encoded by the POL3, POL31, and POL32 genes, respectively (6). While the Pol3 catalytic subunit and the Pol31 subunit are highly conserved among eukaryotes, the Pol32 subunit shows a high degree of divergence. The S. cerevisiae Pol3, Pol31, and Pol32 subunits are the respective homologs of Schizosaccharomyces pombe Pol $\delta$  subunits Pol3, Cdc1, and Cdc27. Whereas the Pol3 and Pol31 subunits and their counterparts are essential in both S. cerevisiae and S. pombe, the third subunit, Cdc27, is essential for completion of the S phase in S. pombe (22, 27), but its counterpart in S. cerevisiae, Pol32, is not. pol32 $\Delta$  cells, however, grow poorly and exhibit DNA replication defects (6).

A series of genetic and biochemical observations with *S. cer*evisiae Pol $\delta$  have indicated that at least two separate domains on Pol $\delta$  interact with at least two separate domains on PCNA, and furthermore, it has been suggested that, during replication, Pol $\delta$  binds to at least two PCNA monomers (15). Overall, the

various studies with Pol $\delta$  from *S. cerevisiae*, *S. pombe*, and humans have strongly indicated that several distinct domains on Pol $\delta$  interact with different regions of PCNA, and these multiple interactions provide the high degree of processivity that PCNA binding imparts to Pol $\delta$ . Briefly, we review this evidence below.

A consensus PCNA binding motif, QXX(L/I)XXFF, is present at the extreme C terminus of Pol32 in S. cerevisiae and also in its S. pombe and human counterparts Cdc27 and p66, respectively, and mutational inactivation of this domain in PCNA from S. cerevisiae and S. pombe affects the processivity of Polô (2, 15). In addition, biochemical studies with two mutant PCNAs from S. cerevisiae, pcna-79 and pcna-90, have shown that they both affect the processivity of Polô (5, 15). In the pcna-79 mutant (I126A/L128A), the hydrophobic pocket in the interdomain connector loop (IDCL) of PCNA is impaired (5), and this mutant PCNA fails to interact with proteins via their consensus QXX(L/I)XXFF PCNA binding motif (8, 15, 32). The pcna-90 (P252A/K253A) mutant has mutational changes in the carboxy-terminal tail of PCNA (5). Since the carboxyterminal tail of PCNA does not interact with the consensus PCNA binding motif present in Pol32, the adverse effects of mutations in this PCNA region on Polo processivity (15) must derive from interactions of PCNA with Pol $\delta$  at a site different from the IDCL interacting domain of Pol32. In keeping with this idea, at least two PCNA binding sites have been identified in the p125 catalytic subunit of human Polô: one of these is contained in the N2 region toward the amino terminus (38), and the other is in the succeeding N4 region (36). The latter sequence is characterized by the presence of a highly conserved KA motif. The association of Polô with PCNA thus would be considerably strengthened by these multisite interactions.

The Y family DNA Pols, such as Pol $\eta$ , Pol $\iota$ , and Pol $\kappa$ , promote replication through distorting DNA lesions, but they

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Mailing address: Sealy Center for Molecular Science, University of Texas Medical Branch, 6.104 Medical Research Building, 11th and Mechanic Streets, Galveston, TX 77555-1061. Phone: (409) 747-8602. Fax: (409) 747-8608. E-mail: s.prakash @utmb.edu.

replicate DNA with a low fidelity and low processivity (24). Although all these Pols interact with PCNA, physically as well as functionally, binding to PCNA does not improve their processivity (9-11, 13). For example, PCNA, when loaded onto DNA by RFC in the presence of RPA, stimulates the DNA synthetic activity of both yeast and human Poly approximately 10- to 15-fold, but the processivity in the presence of these protein factors remains the same as in their absence, at about three or four nucleotides per DNA binding event (9, 11). Instead, the increase in the efficiency of nucleotide incorporation is achieved primarily by a reduction in the apparent  $K_m$  for the nucleotide (9, 11). PCNA, in the presence of RFC and RPA, also greatly stimulates the DNA synthetic activity of Polu and Polk, and this again is achieved by a decrease in the apparent  $K_m$  for the nucleotide, whereas the processivity remains unaffected (10, 13).

Since PCNA binding does not improve the processivity of Y family DNA Pols, these Pols must differ in their mode of PCNA binding from Polô. As multisite interactions would provide for the strong association of Polô with PCNA and the ensuing large increase in Polô processivity, we have examined the possibility that the lack of PCNA stimulation of the processivity of Y family Pols derives from their binding to PCNA rather weakly. The presence of multiple putative PCNA binding motifs in Pol<sub>1</sub> prompted us to determine whether this Pol made multisite contacts with PCNA or whether only one of the sites was involved. Here we show that Pol<sub>1</sub> interacts with PCNA via only one of these sites and discuss the implications of this observation for translesion DNA synthesis (TLS) by Pol<sub>1</sub> as well as other Y family Pols.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Proteins.** Human PCNA, RFC, and RPA were purified as described previously (3, 7, 20). Six-His-tagged human PCNA used for the interaction studies was overexpressed in *Escherichia coli* and purified as described previously (19). Wild-type and mutant human Polu proteins in fusion with glutathione *S*-transferase (GST) were expressed in the yeast strain BJ5464 and bound to a glutathione-Sepharose 4B column as described previously (17). To purify Polu, the GST-Polucontaining beads were incubated overnight at 4°C with PreScission protease, which cleaves the GST-Polu fusion protein at 7 amino acids amino-terminal from the first methionine of Polu, in buffer E containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.01% NP-40, and 10% glycerol. The purified Polu was concentrated with a Microcon 30 (Amicon) concentrator, aliquoted, and frozen at  $-70^{\circ}$ C.

**Pull-down assay of Polt and PCNA complexes.** To constitute complexes, Polt (2  $\mu$ g) was mixed with His<sub>6</sub>-hPCNA (4  $\mu$ g) in buffer E in 30- $\mu$ l samples and incubated for 30 min at 4°C followed by 10 min at 25°C. To 20  $\mu$ l of these samples, 10  $\mu$ l of nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA; Qiagen) beads was added to bind His<sub>6</sub>-PCNA and His<sub>6</sub>-PCNA-Polt complex, and the samples were further incubated with constant rocking for 30 min at 4°C followed by the elution of bound proteins with 500 mM imidazole-containing buffer E. The samples containing the protein mixture before the addition of affinity beads, the flowthrough plus washing fractions, and the eluted proteins were precipitated with 5% tri-chloroacetic acid and separated on a sodium dodecyl sulfate–12% polyacryl-amide gel followed by Coomassie blue R-250 staining.

Gel filtration analysis of Polt-PCNA interaction. Gel filtration of Polt, PCNA, and their complexes was performed at 4°C with a Superdex 200 PC 3.2/30 column (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, N.J.) equilibrated with buffer I, containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.01% NP-40, and 10% glycerol. To constitute complexes, Polt (4  $\mu$ g), His<sub>6</sub>-PCNA (5  $\mu$ g), or the mixture of these proteins was incubated in 25  $\mu$ l of buffer I for 60 min at 4°C followed by incubation for 10 min at 25°C. The protein mixture was then gel filtered at a 20- $\mu$ l/min flow rate at 4°C. Fractions were collected and analyzed on 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gels stained with Coomassie blue R-250.

DNA Pol assays. DNA substrate was generated by annealing a 75-nucleotide oligomer template, 5'-biotin-AGCAAGTCACCAATGTCTAAGAGTTCGTA TTATGCCTACACTGGAGTACCGGAGCATCGTCGTGACTGGGAAAA C-biotin-3', which contained one biotin molecule attached at each end, to the 5' <sup>32</sup>P-labeled oligonucleotide primer N4577, 5'-GTTTTCCCAGTCACGACGAT GCTCCGGTA-3'. To bind streptavidin to biotin present at the ends of the linear DNA substrates, these primer-templates (2.5 pmol) were preincubated with streptavidin (5 µg) in 25 µl of DNA Pol buffer which contained no MgCl<sub>2</sub>, for 10 min at 30°C, before their addition to the DNA Pol reaction mixtures. The standard DNA Pol reaction mixture (10 µl) contained 40 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5); 8 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>; 150 mM NaCl; 1 mM dithiothreitol; 10% glycerol; 100 µg of bovine serum albumin/ml; 500 µM ATP; and 100 µM (each) dGTP, dATP, dTTP, and dCTP. As indicated in the figure legends, mutant and wild-type Polu proteins (1 nM) were mixed with PCNA (50 ng), RFC (5 ng), and RPA (50 ng) and the linear primer-template DNA (10 nM). Assay mixtures were assembled on ice and incubated at 37°C for 10 min, and assays were stopped by the addition of loading buffer (40 µl) containing 20 mM EDTA, 95% formamide, 0.3% bromphenol blue, and 0.3% cyanol blue. The reaction products were resolved on 10% polyacrylamide gels containing 8 M urea. Visualization of the results was done using a Molecular Dynamics Storm PhosphoImager and ImageQuant software.

**Two-hybrid analyses.** The HF7c yeast strain was transformed with the Polı and PCNA fusion constructs by the lithium acetate method. Transformants harboring both the GAL4 DNA binding domain (BD) and the GAL4 activation domain (AD) fusion constructs were grown on synthetic complete medium, lacking leucine and tryptophan. β-Galactosidase activity was examined to determine the interaction between Polı and PCNA, as described in the Clontech yeast protocols handbook (PT3024-1, chapter VI). β-Galactosidase activities were quantitated using *O*-nitrophenyl-β-D-galactopyranoside as substrate. Experiments were performed at least three times in triplicate samples.

### RESULTS

**Putative PCNA binding motifs in Polt.** A consensus PCNA binding motif QXX(I, L, or M)XXF(F or Y), also referred to as the PCNA interaction protein box (PIP box), is found in many proteins involved in DNA metabolic processes, such as DNA replication and repair, DNA methylation, and chromatin assembly (4, 21, 28). Structural and mutational studies have indicated the involvement of the conserved hydrophobic residues within this motif in interaction with PCNA (23, 26, 33). Polt has two putative PIP boxes, KKGLIDYY and SRG VLSFF, which are located toward the C terminus after the five conserved Pol domains and the polymerase-associated domain (PAD), and they encompass residues 420 to 427 (PIP1 box) and 540 to 547 (PIP2 box), respectively, of the 715-amino-acid protein (Fig. 1A).

Recently, another conserved PCNA binding sequence characterized by a KA motif has been identified in the catalytic subunit of human Pol $\delta$  (36), and a KA motif is present also in Pol $\iota$  between residues 412 and 424 (Fig. 1B). The presence of three potential PCNA binding motifs in Pol $\iota$  raised the possibility that Pol $\iota$  binds to PCNA at more than one site.

Generation of mutations in the putative PCNA binding motifs of Pol<sub>L</sub>. To test if the three PCNA binding motifs of Pol<sub>L</sub> have roles in the interaction with PCNA, we generated several Pol<sub>L</sub> mutations (Fig. 2A). In the Pol<sub>L</sub>(1–420) deletion mutant, both the PIP1 and PIP2 motifs are deleted, and only the KA motif is retained. In the Pol<sub>L</sub>(1–451) deletion mutant, the PIP2 motif is deleted, but the KA and PIP1 motifs are retained. In the Pol<sub>L</sub>(1–451) deletion mutant, we also changed the conserved lysine 414 residue of the KA motif to alanine, resulting in the Pol<sub>L</sub>(1–451)K414A mutant, and changed the two tyrosines at positions 426 and 427 in the PIP1 motif to alanine, resulting in Pol<sub>L</sub>(1–451)YY426,427,AA. AdditionalΑ

#### PCNA interaction protein box (PIP-box)

Sc Hs Sc Hs Hs Sc	Pol32 p21 Polŋ Polŋ XPG Fen1 MSH6		K G T Q S M	K R M S T T K	00000000	GT – KLGS	T T N R S	LMLIILL	E T E L D D L	S D S S S D S	<b>4444</b>	FYFFFFF	K H K T R K S	R S P R L V K	K L K A T Q	
Con	sensus				Q	Х	Х	L I M	Х	Х	F	F Y				
Hs HS	Polı Polı	418 538	T H	A A	K S	K R	G G	L V	I L	D S	Y F	Y F	L S	M K	P K	430 550

В

PCNA interaction KA-box

Hs	Pol $\delta$		Κ	Ε	к	A	Т	Q	С	Q	$\mathbf{L}$	Ε	A	D	v	
Hs	Polt		I	С	R	А	I	Q	R	F	L	L	Α	Y	Κ	
Hs	RFC 3	8	L	R	к	A	L	$\mathbf{L}$	М	С	Ε	А	С	R	v	
Hs	RFC 1	40	S	L	ĸ	A	I	v	А	Е	S	L	Ν	Ν	Т	
Hs	XPD		Y	G	R	A	v	I	М	F	G	v	₽	Y	v	
Hs	XPC		А	R	к	А	R	L	А	Е	Ρ	Q	L	R	Ε	
Нs	MSH6		F	Т	к	A	Y	Q	R	М	v	L	D	А	v	
					*	*										
Hs	Polı	412	Ν	L	K	Α	L	Ν	Т	А	Κ	Κ	G	L	Ι	424

FIG. 1. Putative PCNA binding motifs of human DNA Polu. (A) Amino acids 418 to 430 and 538 to 550 of human Polu were aligned with the PIP motif identified in various PCNA binding proteins and shown to bind the IDCL of PCNA. The highly conserved residues are indicated in boldface. Hs, *Homo sapiens*; Sc, *S. cerevisiae*. (B) Residues 412 to 424 of human Polu were aligned with the PCNA binding KA box of Polô, which is present also in many other PCNA binding proteins.

ly, we mutated the YY residues of PIP1 and the FF residues of PIP2 in full-length Polt, generating PoltYY426,427,AA, PoltFF546,547,AA, and PoltYY426,427,AA,FF546,547,AA.

**PIP1 motif of Polt mediates physical interaction with PCNA.** To examine the physical interactions of mutant Polt proteins with PCNA, the Polt proteins were incubated with His<sub>6</sub>-PCNA and a pull-down assay was carried out using the Ni-NTA affinity beads (Fig. 2B). Because Polt alone cannot bind to Ni-NTA, Polt could be pulled down only if it interacted with PCNA.

When  $\text{His}_6$ -PCNA is bound to the Ni-NTA beads, a large proportion of the wild-type Pol<sub>1</sub> is retained on the beads via PCNA (Fig. 2B, lanes 1 to 3). The Pol<sub>1</sub>(1–420) mutant protein, however, is impaired in interaction with PCNA, indicating that the KA motif alone is not able to mediate the binding of Pol<sub>1</sub> to PCNA (Fig. 2B, lanes 4 to 6). The Pol<sub>1</sub>(1–451) mutant protein, on the other hand, interacts with PCNA as well as the wild-type Pol<sub>1</sub>, which suggests a role for the PIP1 motif in the binding of Polt to PCNA. To provide evidence that the conserved PIP1 motif is, in fact, involved in PCNA binding, we tested the ability of the Polt(1–451)YY426,427,AA mutant protein to bind PCNA. As shown in Fig. 2B, lanes 13 to 15, this mutational alteration of the PIP1 motif in Polt(1–451) inactivated the interaction with PCNA. The Polt(1–451) K414A mutation, however, did not affect PCNA binding (Fig. 2B, lanes 10 to 12). These results indicate that the PIP1 PCNA binding domain in Polt is sufficient to mediate the physical interaction of Polt with PCNA.

To examine further whether the PIP1 domain of Polu alone is able to mediate a stable interaction with PCNA, we analyzed the complexes of mutant Poli proteins and PCNA by gel filtration. Polu(1-451) or Polu(1-451)YY426,427,AA proteins were mixed with PCNA in almost a 1:1 molar ratio, and after incubation, their interaction was examined by gel filtration, a nonequilibrium technique wherein only rather stable protein complexes survive. While PCNA alone eluted mainly in fractions 6 and 7 and Polu(1-451) alone eluted around fractions 9 and 10 (data not shown; also Fig. 2C, panel II), when PCNA was preincubated with Pol<sub>1</sub>(1-451), both of them together eluted earlier around fractions 5 and 6 (Fig. 2C, panel I). This shift in the elution position of both proteins indicates the formation of a complex between Pol<sub>(1-451)</sub> and PCNA. By contrast, Polu(1-451)YY426,427,AA and PCNA did not elute together after preincubation (Fig. 2C, panel II). These observations support the requirement of the PIP1 motif in Polu(1-451) in PCNA binding.

PIP1 motif of Polu mediates the functional interaction with PCNA. Many PCNA binding proteins interact with PCNA via at least two different domains; one of these is more important when PCNA is in solution, and the other becomes essential when PCNA is loaded onto the DNA (8, 15, 32). To determine if the binding of Polt to PCNA on DNA requires the PIP1 motif or some other motif, we compared the effects of PCNA on the DNA synthetic activity of the wild-type and mutant Polu proteins. First, we loaded PCNA by RFC onto an RPA-coated singly primed 75-nucleotide-long template DNA containing biotin-streptavidin at both ends, which prevented the PCNA from sliding off. DNA synthesis was then initiated by adding the wild-type or mutant Poli protein. The DNA synthetic activity of wild-type Poli is greatly enhanced upon the addition of PCNA, RFC, and RPA (Fig. 3, compare lanes 1 and 2), and PCNA also stimulated the synthetic activity of Pol<sub>u</sub>(1-451) (Fig. 3, compare lanes 5 and 6) and Polu(1-451)K414A (Fig. 3, compare lanes 7 and 8) mutant enzymes, indicating that the KA motif has no role in the functional interaction of Poli with PCNA. By contrast, Pol<sub>(</sub>1–420) (Fig. 3, compare lanes 3 and 4) and Polu(1-451)YY426,427,AA (Fig. 3, compare lanes 9 and 10) mutant proteins lost their ability to be stimulated by PCNA. The PIP1 motif of Polt thus is sufficient to mediate the physical and functional interactions of Poli with PCNA.

**PIP2 motif of Polt has no role in physical or functional interactions with PCNA.** Our observations with Polt(1–451) protein indicating that the PIP1 motif of Polt is required for the physical and functional interactions of Polt with PCNA do not exclude the possibility that the PIP2 motif also influences the PCNA binding of Polt. For this reason, we examined the interactions of full-length Polt proteins carrying mutations in the PIP1 or PIP2 motifs with PCNA. From pull-down analysis



FIG. 2. Identification of a PCNA binding motif in Pol<sub>1</sub> (A) Mutations made in the putative PCNA binding motifs of human Pol<sub>2</sub>. In the schematic representation of Pol<sub>4</sub>, the boxes indicate the five conserved motifs characteristic of Y family DNA Pol<sub>5</sub>. The location and sequences of the three putative PCNA binding motifs, KA, PIP1, and PIP2, present in Pol<sub>4</sub> are indicated. Arrows indicate the amino acid residues of PCNA binding motifs which were changed to alanine in the various mutant Pol<sub>4</sub> proteins. In the Pol<sub>4</sub>(1–420) deletion mutant protein, the two PIP motifs have been deleted, which leaves only the KA motif, while the Pol<sub>4</sub>(1–451) deletion mutant protein contains the KA box as well as the PIP1 motifs and Pol<sub>4</sub>(1–451) deletion mutant, the K residue at 414 and the Y residues at 426 and 427 were changed to alanine, resulting in Pol<sub>4</sub>(1–451)K414A and Pol<sub>4</sub>(1–451)Y426,427,AA mutant proteins, respectively. (B) The PIP1 motif mediates complex formation of Pol<sub>4</sub> with PCNA. As indicated on the top, wild-type and mutant Pol<sub>4</sub> proteins (2 µg each) were mixed with His<sub>6</sub>-PCNA (4 µg). After incubation, samples were bound to Ni-NTA beads followed by washing and elution of the bound proteins with imidazole-containing buffer. Aliquots of each sample before addition to the beads (L), the flowthrough plus wash (F), and the eluted proteins (E) were analyzed on a sodium dodecyl sulfate–12% polyacrylamide gel stained with PCNA. The mixture of Pol<sub>4</sub>(1–451) (4 µg) and His<sub>6</sub>-PCNA (5 µg) (I) and the mixture of Pol<sub>4</sub>(1–451) Y426,427,AA (4 µg) and His<sub>6</sub>-PCNA (5 µg) (I) and the mixture for 60 min at 4°C followed by 10 min at 25°C. The elution positions of Pol<sub>4</sub>(I–451) in complex with PCNA, PCNA homotrimer, and the free Pol<sub>4</sub>(1–451) are indicated on top. His<sub>6</sub>-PCNA and Pol<sub>4</sub> mutant proteins are identified on the right.



FIG. 3. The PIP1 motif of Pol<sub>i</sub> is sufficient to mediate the stimulatory effect of PCNA on the DNA synthetic activity of Pol<sub>i</sub>. The reaction mixtures contained wild-type or mutant Pol<sub>i</sub> proteins (1 nM each), along with singly primed 75-nucleotide-long DNA substrate (10 nM) in which the 29-nucleotide primer was <sup>32</sup>P labeled at its 5' end, and the template contained biotin-streptavidin complex at both ends to prevent the PCNA sliding off the DNA and all four deoxynucleotides (100  $\mu$ M each), in the presence or absence of PCNA (50 ng), RFC (5 ng), and RPA (50 ng). After incubation for 10 min at 37°C, samples were quenched and run on a 10% polyacrylamide gel containing 8 M urea followed by PhosphorImager analysis.

with  $\text{His}_6$ -PCNA on Ni-NTA beads, we found that, whereas mutational inactivation of the PIP2 motif of Pol<sub>l</sub> has no effect on the physical interaction of Pol<sub>l</sub> with PCNA (Fig. 4A, lanes 4 to 6), mutational inactivation of the PIP1 motif in full-length Pol<sub>l</sub> impairs the interaction of Pol<sub>l</sub> with PCNA (Fig. 4A, lanes 7 to 9).

Next, we examined the effect of addition of PCNA, RFC, and RPA on the DNA synthetic activity of full-length Polu proteins carrying mutations in the PIP1 or PIP2 motif (Fig. 4B). While the addition of PCNA, RFC, or RPA alone did not enhance the DNA synthetic activity of the wild-type or mutant Poli proteins (Fig. 4B, compare lanes 1, 9 and 17 to lanes 6 to 8, 14 to 16, and 22 to 24, respectively), robust stimulation of DNA synthesis was observed with the Polu(1-715)FF546,547,AA protein upon the addition of PCNA, RFC, and RPA or only PCNA and RFC (Fig. 4B, compare lanes 9, 11, and 12), and the degree of stimulation was the same as for the wild-type Polu(1-715) protein (Fig. 4B, lanes 1, 3, and 4). DNA synthesis by the Poli(1-715)YY426,427,AA mutant, however, was not stimulated upon the addition of PCNA, RFC, and RPA (Fig. 4B, compare lanes 17, 19, and 20). Thus, the presence of the C-terminal PCNA binding motif, PIP2, in the complete Polu protein with the YY426,427,AA mutation does not enable Polu to bind PCNA or be stimulated by it, and conversely, the mutational inactivation of the PIP2 domain has no adverse effect on the physical or functional interactions of Polu with PCNA. From these results, we conclude that the PIP2 domain has no role in the binding of Polu with PCNA, whereas the PIP1 domain is essential for the physical as well as functional interactions of Polu with PCNA.

Interaction of Polu with PCNA-two-hybrid analysis. We used the yeast two-hybrid system to examine the interaction of Polt with PCNA in vivo. In one of the plasmids, the GAL4 DNA BD was fused with either the complete wild-type RAD30B Poliencoding gene or the mutant rad30B  $A^{546}$ - $A^{547}$  and rad30B  $A^{426}$ -A<sup>427</sup>-A<sup>546</sup>-A<sup>547</sup> genes, and in the other plasmid, the GAL4 AD was fused with human PCNA. The HF7c yeast reporter strain harboring the GAL4-AD plasmid was transformed with one of the GAL4-BD plasmids. Interaction of the wild-type and mutant Poli proteins with PCNA in these transformants was analyzed by a β-galactosidase liquid assay, and the results are summarized in Table 1. Compared to the low level of β-galactosidase activity measured with GAL4-BD and the GAL4-AD-PCNA plasmids, the wild-type GAL4-BD Polu protein showed strong interaction with PCNA bound to GAL4-AD, resulting in 38-fold-higher  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity. The A<sup>546</sup>-A<sup>547</sup> point mutations in the PIP2 motif of Polu did not affect the interaction of Polu and PCNA. The additional inactivation of the PIP1 motif, however, as in Polu A<sup>426</sup>-A<sup>427</sup>-A<sup>546</sup>-A<sup>547</sup>, completely abolished the interaction of Polu with PCNA, yielding  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity similar to that in the control. These results provide further evidence that the interaction of Polu with PCNA occurs via the PIP1 motif of Polu.

## DISCUSSION

Pole contains three potential PCNA binding motifs, the KA motif, and the PIP1 and PIP2 motifs; however, only one of these, PIP1, mediates the physical and functional interactions of Pole with PCNA. We consider it very unlikely that Pole could also interact with PCNA via some other domain, because the PIP1 domain follows right after the PAD. The region of Pole N-terminal to PAD contains the highly conserved motifs I to V, characteristic of Y family Pols, and these are all essential for DNA Pol activity together with the PAD. The PIP1 domain of Pole, KKGLIDYY, resembles the conserved PCNA binding motif that has been identified in a number of proteins and shown to interact with the IDCL region of PCNA.

The observation that the PIP1 domain of Pol<sub>4</sub> is both necessary and sufficient for the interaction of Pol<sub>4</sub> with PCNA in the absence of DNA as well as when PCNA is bound to the DNA substrate at the template-primer junction makes a clear distinction between the mode of PCNA binding by Pol<sub>4</sub>, a TLS Pol, and Pol<sub>8</sub>, a replicative Pol. Thus, in contrast to Pol<sub>8</sub>, where distinct domains in different subunits mediate its interactions with PCNA (2, 15, 36, 38), contributing to the tight binding and the concomitant increase in processivity of Pol<sub>8</sub>, Pol<sub>4</sub> binds PCNA at only one site—the IDCL region. In contrast, and as indicated from biochemical analysis of PCNA mutants of *S. cerevisiae*, Pol<sub>8</sub> binds DNA-bound PCNA in at least two regions, the IDCL region and the C-terminal region (15).

The mode of PCNA binding by Polu differs also from that in Fen1, a  $5' \rightarrow 3'$  nuclease that functions in the removal of RNA



FIG. 4. Only the PIP1 PCNA binding domain of Polt mediates interactions with PCNA. (A) Pull-down analysis of complex formation between Polt proteins and PCNA. Wild-type or mutant Polt (2  $\mu$ g each) was incubated with His<sub>6</sub>-PCNA (4  $\mu$ g) and pulled down on Ni-NTA beads. Aliquots of each sample before addition to the beads (L), the flowthrough plus wash (F) and the eluted proteins (E) were analyzed on a polyacrylamide gel. (B) Wild-type or mutant Polt (1 nM each) was incubated with the DNA substrate (10 nM) in the presence of each of four deoxynucleoside triphosphates under standard reaction conditions. As indicated, the reactions were carried out in the presence of PCNA (50 ng), RFC (5 ng), and RPA (50 ng).

primers during Okazaki fragment maturation, and Apn2, a nuclease which functions in base excision repair (8, 32). Whereas in the absence of DNA both these nucleases bind PCNA in the IDCL region, when PCNA is bound to the DNA substrate, they additionally require its C-terminal region for enforcing productive binding. Such an inference is supported from the effects that mutations in the IDCL and C-terminal regions of PCNA have upon the Fen1 and Apn2 binding of PCNA (8, 32): while in the absence of DNA mutations in the IDCL region impair Fen1 and Apn2 binding to PCNA and mutations in the C-terminal region have little effect, in the presence of DNA

mutations in both the IDCL and C-terminal regions affect their binding to PCNA, but mutations in the C-terminal region have a more profound effect than do mutations in the IDCL region.

The ability of Y family Pols to replicate through DNA lesions implies that they are not as sensitive to geometric distortions of DNA as are the replicative Pols, which are unable to replicate through DNA lesions. As a consequence, the Y family DNA Pols synthesize DNA with a low fidelity, the fidelity of Polt being particularly poor (24). In contrast to almost all other DNA Pols, Polt incorporates nucleotides opposite the four template bases with very different efficiencies ( $k_{cat}/K_m$ ) and

TABLE 1. Interaction of Polu with PCNA in the yeast two-hybrid system

AD fusion	DNA BD fusion	Mean $\beta$ -galactosidase activity $\pm$ SD	Fold activation	
GAL4 AD-PCNA	GAL4 BD	$0.03 \pm 0.002$	1	
GAL4 AD-PCNA	GAL4 BD-Polt (WT <sup><math>a</math></sup> )	$1.14 \pm 0.05$	38	
GAL4 AD-PCNA	GAL4 BD-Polt (A <sup>546</sup> A <sup>547</sup> )	$1.27\pm0.03$	42.3	
GAL4 AD-PCNA	GAL4 BD-Polt (A <sup>426</sup> A <sup>427</sup> A <sup>546</sup> A <sup>547</sup> )	$0.029 \pm 0.003$	0.97	

<sup>a</sup> WT, wild type.



FIG. 5. Model for the binding of PCNA by Polô versus Poli and for Pol exchange at the lesion site. (A) Binding of Polô and Poli on or off DNA. In the absence of DNA, both Pols could bind to PCNA via contacts with the IDCL region. On DNA, Polô, via its multiple subunits, interacts with PCNA at multiple sites, whereas Poli contacts only the IDCL region of PCNA. Although Polô could also be bound to different PCNA monomers, Polô binding to only one monomer is shown. K164 in PCNA is the site of ubiquitin (Ub) attachment by the Rad6-Rad18 enzyme complex. (B) Pol exchange at the lesion site. Rad6-Rad18-dependent PCNA ubiquitylation destabilizes the interactions of Polô with PCNA, resulting in the displacement of Polô from the primer end and allowing for the access of Poli to the IDCL region of PCNA. Polô presumably still remains bound to PCNA through multisite contacts (data not shown) and would regain access to the primer junction soon after the completion of lesion bypass and the concomitant exit of Poli from the replication ensemble.

fidelities, and opposite template T, it even misincorporates a G approximately 10-fold better than an A (10, 16, 31, 34, 39). Also, Poli synthesizes DNA with a very low processivity, regardless of whether it is bound to PCNA. Under conditions of DNA synthesis, where Poli was allowed to bind the DNA substrate only once, even with PCNA present Polu incorporated only one nucleotide before dissociation from the DNA (10). Since Polu functions primarily at the nucleotide incorporation step of lesion bypass, wherein it incorporates nucleotides opposite from highly distorting lesions, with the subsequent extension step being performed by another TLS Pol (16, 35), Poli's role in TLS would then mostly be limited to the incorporation of a single nucleotide. Therefore, the very low processivity of PCNA-bound Poli is in accord with its role in lesion bypass; moreover, this attribute would contribute to keeping the incidence of inadvertent nucleotide misincorporations at undamaged sites low.

Our previous studies indicating that the binding of yeast and

human Poln to DNA-bound PCNA or to PCNA in the absence of DNA is also mediated by a consensus IDCL binding motif present at the C terminus of these proteins (9, 11) support the idea that all Y family Pols resemble one another in their manner of PCNA binding. Although the mutational studies for the identification of PCNA binding domains in Poly were not as exhaustive as those that we have done for Poli, the fact that mutations in the IDCL binding motif in both yeast and human Poly inactivated their interactions with PCNA in both the absence and presence of DNA implies that this PCNA binding region of Poly makes a paramount contribution to interactions with PCNA in both situations. In summary, then, we suggest that Y family Pols differ strikingly from Polo and from other PCNA binding proteins, such as Fen1 and Apn2, as they limit their interaction with DNA-bound PCNA only to the IDCL region, and that contributes to their low processivity even with PCNA.

The ability of Polu and other Y family Pols to contact PCNA

at only the IDCL site could have important consequences for Pol exchange at the lesion site. By virtue of its ability to contact PCNA at many sites, Polô would remain stably bound to PCNA when replicating undamaged DNA (Fig. 5A). However, upon encountering a DNA lesion, Polô would stall, and that presumably activates the Rad6-Rad18-dependent ubiquitylation of PCNA (14), resulting in the displacement of Polδ from the template-primer junction (Fig. 5B). Importantly, however, because of its multisite binding to PCNA, we expect Polo to still remain bound to PCNA. Furthermore, the ubiquitylation of PCNA at the lysine 164 residue could be important for exposing the IDCL region to allow access of Poli and other Y family Pols to PCNA (12, 14, 29) (Fig. 5B). However, because Poli and other Y family Pols would be loosely anchored to PCNA at only one site, they would dissociate from DNA soon after their role in lesion bypass has been accomplished, whereupon Polo would regain access to the template-primer junction.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences grant ES012411, the Wellcome Trust International Senior Research Fellowship, the Hungarian Science Foundation grant T043354, and the EMBO Restart Fellowship.

#### REFERENCES

- Bambara, R. A., R. S. Murante, and L. A. Henricksen. 1997. Enzymes and reactions at the eukaryotic DNA replication fork. J. Biol. Chem. 272:4647– 4650.
- Bermudez, W. P., S. A. MacNeill, I. Tappin, and J. Hurwitz. 2002. The influence of the Cdc27 subunit on the properties of the *Schizosaccharomyces* pombe DNA polymerase δ. J. Biol. Chem. 277:36853–36862.
- Cai, J., E. Gibbs, F. Uhlmann, B. Phillips, N. Yano, M. O'Donnell, and J. Hurwitz. 1997. A complex consisting of human replication factor C, p40, p37, and p36 subunits is a DNA-dependent ATPase and an intermediate in the assembly of the holoenzyme. J. Biol. Chem. 272:18974–18981.
- Chen, U., S. Chen, P. Saha, and A. Dutta. 1996. p21/Cip1/Waf1 disrupts the recruitment of human Fen1 by proliferating-cell nuclear antigen into the DNA replication complex. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93:11597–11602.
- Eissenberg, J. C., R. Ayyagari, X. V. Gomes, and P. M. J. Burgers. 1997. Mutations in yeast proliferating cell nuclear antigen define distinct sites for interaction with DNA polymerase δ and DNA polymerase ε. Mol. Cell. Biol. 17:6367–6378.
- Gerik, K. J., X. Li, A. Pautz, and P. M. J. Burgers. 1998. Characterization of the two small subunits of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* DNA polymerase δ. J. Biol. Chem. 273:19747–19755.
- Gibbs, E., Z. Kelman, J. M. Gulbis, M. O'Donnell, J. Kuriyan, P. M. Burgers, and J. Hurwitz. 1997. The influence of the proliferating cell nuclear antigen-interacting domain of p21 (CIP1) on DNA synthesis catalyzed by the human and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* polymerase delta holoenzymes. J. Biol. Chem. 272:2373–2381.
- Gomes, X. V., and P. M. J. Burgers. 2000. Two modes of FEN1 binding to PCNA regulated by DNA. EMBO J. 19:3811–3821.
- Haracska, L., R. E. Johnson, I. Unk, B. Phillips, J. Hurwitz, L. Prakash, and S. Prakash. 2001. Physical and functional interactions of human DNA polymerase η with PCNA. Mol. Cell. Biol. 21:7199–7206.
- Haracska, L., R. E. Johnson, I. Unk, B. B. Phillips, J. Hurwitz, L. Prakash, and S. Prakash. 2001. Targeting of human DNA polymerase ι to the replication machinery via interaction with PCNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98: 14256–14261.
- 11. Haracska, L., C. M. Kondratick, I. Unk, S. Prakash, and L. Prakash. 2001. Interaction with PCNA is essential for yeast DNA polymerase  $\eta$  function. Mol. Cell 8:407–415.
- Haracska, L., C. A. Torres-Ramos, R. E. Johnson, S. Prakash, and L. Prakash. 2004. Opposing effects of ubiquitin conjugation and SUMO modification of PCNA on replicational bypass of DNA lesions in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 24:4267–4274.
- Haracska, L., I. Unk, R. E. Johnson, B. B. Phillips, J. Hurwitz, L. Prakash, and S. Prakash. 2002. Stimulation of DNA synthesis activity of human DNA polymerase κ by PCNA. Mol. Cell. Biol. 22:784–791.
- Hoege, C., B. Pfander, G.-L. Moldovan, G. Pyrowolakis, and S. Jentsch. 2002. *RAD6*-dependent DNA repair is linked to modification of PCNA by ubiquitin and SUMO. Nature 419:135–141.

- Johansson, E., P. Garg, and P. M. J. Burgers. 2004. The Pol32 subunit of DNA polymerase δ contains separable domains for processive replicatin and proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) binding. J. Biol. Chem. 279:1907– 1915.
- Johnson, R. E., M. T. Washington, L. Haracska, S. Prakash, and L. Prakash. 2000. Eukaryotic polymerases ι and ζ act sequentially to bypass DNA lesions. Nature 406:1015–1019.
- Johnson, R. E., M. T. Washington, S. Prakash, and L. Prakash. 2000. Fidelity of human DNA polymerase η. J. Biol. Chem. 275:7447–7450.
- Kelman, Z., and J. Hurwitz. 1998. Protein-PCNA interactions: a DNAscanning mechanism? Trends Biol. Sci. 23:236–238.
- Kelman, Z., N. Yao, and M. O'Donnell. 1995. Escherichia coli expression vectors containing a protein kinase recognition motif, His6-tag and hemagglutinin epitope. Gene 166:177–178.
- Lee, S. H., T. Eki, and J. Hurwitz. 1989. Synthesis of DNA containing the simian virus 40 origin of replication by the combined action of DNA polymerases alpha and delta. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86:7361–7365.
- Li, X., J. Li, J. Harrington, M. R. Lieber, and P. M. Burgers. 1995. Lagging strand DNA synthesis at the eukaryotic replication fork involves binding and stimulation of FEN-1 by PCNA. J. Biol. Chem. 270:22109–22112.
- MacNeill, S. A., S. Moreno, N. Reynolds, P. Nurse, and P. A. Fantes. 1996. The fission yeast Cdc1 protein, a homologue of the small subunit of DNA polymerase δ, binds to Pol3 and Cdc27. EMBO J. 15:4613–4628.
- Nakanishi, M., R. S. Robetorye, O. M. Pereira-Smith, and J. R. Smith. 1995. The C-terminal region of p21<sup>SD11/WAF1/CIP1</sup> is involved in proliferating cell nuclear antigen binding but does not appear to be required for growth inhibition. J. Biol. Chem. 270:17060–17063.
- Prakash, S., and L. Prakash. 2002. Translesion DNA synthesis in eukaryotes: a one- or two-polymerase affair. Genes Dev. 16:1872–1883.
- Prelich, G., M. Kostura, D. R. Marshak, M. B. Matthews, and B. Stillman. 1987. The cell-cycle regulated proliferating cell nuclear antigen is required for SV40 DNA replication *in vitro*. Nature 326:471–475.
- Reynolds, N., E. Warbrick, P. A. Fantes, and S. A. MacNeill. 2000. Essential interaction between the fission yeast DNA polymerase δ subunit Cdc27 and Pcn1 (PCNA) mediated through a C-terminal p21<sup>Cip1</sup>-like PCNA binding motif. EMBO J. 19:1108–1118.
- Reynolds, N., A. Watt, P. A. Fantes, and S. A. MacNeill. 1998. Cdm1, the smallest subunit of DNA polymerase δ in the fission yeast *Schizosaccharo*myces pombe, is non-essential for growth and division. Curr. Genet. 34: 250–258.
- Shibahara, K.-I., and B. Stillman. 1999. Replication-dependent marking of DNA by PCNA facilitates CAF-1-coupled inheritance of chromatin. Cell 96: 575–585.
- Stelter, P., and H. D. Ulrich. 2003. Control of spontaneous and damageinduced mutagenesis by SUMO and ubiquitin conjugation. Nature 425:188– 191.
- Tan, C. K., C. Castillo, A. G. So, and K. M. Downey. 1986. An auxiliary protein for DNA polymerase δ from fetal calf thymus. J. Biol. Chem. 261: 12310–12316.
- Tissier, A., J. P. McDonald, E. G. Frank, and R. Woodgate. 2000. Pol., a remarkably error-prone human DNA polymerase. Genes Dev. 14:1642– 1650.
- Unk, I., L. Haracska, X. V. Gomes, P. M. J. Burgers, L. Prakash, and S. Prakash. 2002. Stimulation of 3'-5' exonuclease and 3'-phosphodiesterase activities of yeast Apn2 by proliferating cell nuclear antigen. Mol. Cell. Biol. 22:6480-6486.
- 33. Warbrick, E., D. P. Lane, D. M. Glover, and L. S. Cox. 1995. A small peptide inhibitor of DNA replication defines the site of interaction between the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p21WAF1 and proliferating cell nuclear antigen. Curr. Biol. 5:275–282.
- Washington, M. T., R. E. Johnson, L. Prakash, and S. Prakash. 2004. Human DNA polymerase t utilizes different nucleotide incorporation mechanisms dependent upon the template base. Mol. Cell. Biol. 24:936–943.
- 35. Washington, M. T., I. G. Minko, R. E. Johnson, W. T. Wolfle, T. M. Harris, R. S. Lloyd, S. Prakash, and L. Prakash. 2004. Efficient and error-free replication past a minor groove DNA adduct by the sequential action of human DNA polymerases ι and κ. Mol. Cell. Biol. 24:5687–5693.
- Xu, H., P. Zhang, L. Liu, and M. Y. W. T. Lee. 2001. A novel PCNA-binding motif identified by the panning of a random peptide display library. Biochemistry 40:4512–4520.
- 37. Yuzhakov, A., Z. Kelman, J. Hurwitz, and M. O'Donnell. 1999. Multiple competition reactions for RPA order the assembly of the DNA polymerase  $\delta$  holoenzyme. EMBO J. 18:6189–6199.
- 38. Zhang, P., J.-Y. Mo, A. Perez, A. Leon, L. Liu, N. Mazloum, H. Xu, and M. Y. W. T. Lee. 1999. Direct interaction of proliferating cell nuclear antigen with the p125 catalytic subunit of mammalian DNA polymerase δ. J. Biol. Chem. 274:26647–26653.
- Zhang, Y., F. Yuan, X. Wu, and Z. Wang. 2000. Preferential incorporation of G opposite template T by the low-fidelity human DNA polymerase u. Mol. Cell. Biol. 20:7099–7108.