A Single Domain in Human DNA Polymerase L Mediates Interaction with PCNA: Implications for Translesion DNA Synthesis

Lajos Haracska,^{1,2} Narottam Acharya,² Ildiko Unk,^{1,2} Robert E. Johnson,² Jerard Hurwitz,³ Louise Prakash,² and Satya Prakash^{2*}

*Institute of Genetics, Biological Research Center, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Szeged, Hungary*¹ *; Sealy Center for Molecular Science, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, Texas*² *; and Department of Molecular Biology and Virology, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York*³

Received 12 October 2004/Returned for modification 28 October 2004/Accepted 31 October 2004

DNA polymerases (Pols) of the Y family rescue stalled replication forks by promoting replication through DNA lesions. Humans have four Y family Pols, η, ι, κ, and Rev1, of which Pols η, ι, and κ have been shown to physically interact with proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) and be functionally stimulated by it. However, in sharp contrast to the large increase in processivity that PCNA binding imparts to the replicative Pol, Pol, the processivity of Y family Pols is not enhanced upon PCNA binding. Instead, PCNA binding improves the efficiency of nucleotide incorporation via a reduction in the apparent *Km* **for the nucleotide. Here** we show that Pol₋ interacts with PCNA via only one of its conserved PCNA binding motifs, regardless of **whether PCNA is bound to DNA or not. The mode of PCNA binding by Pol**- **is quite unlike that in Pol, where multisite interactions with PCNA provide for a very tight binding of the replicating Pol with PCNA. We discuss the implications of these observations for the accuracy of DNA synthesis during translesion synthesis and for the process of Pol exchange at the lesion site.**

Proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA), a highly conserved, ring-shaped homotrimeric eukaryotic protein, forms a sliding clamp at the template-primer junction. PCNA is loaded onto the primer-template junction in an ATP-dependent manner by a multiprotein clamp loader, replication factor C (RFC). After the loading of PCNA, RFC stays on the DNA via interaction with replication protein A (RPA) bound to singlestranded DNA (1, 18, 37). The binding of the replicative DNA polymerase (Pol), Pol₀, to PCNA endows it with a very high processivity (25, 30), and that presumably is the essential function of PCNA in DNA replication.

In *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, Pol_o is comprised of three subunits of 125, 55, and 40 kDa, encoded by the *POL3*, *POL31*, and *POL32* genes, respectively (6). While the Pol3 catalytic subunit and the Pol31 subunit are highly conserved among eukaryotes, the Pol32 subunit shows a high degree of divergence. The *S*. *cerevisiae* Pol3, Pol31, and Pol32 subunits are the respective homologs of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* Pol₈ subunits Pol3, Cdc1, and Cdc27. Whereas the Pol3 and Pol31 subunits and their counterparts are essential in both *S*. *cerevisiae* and *S*. *pombe*, the third subunit, Cdc27, is essential for completion of the S phase in *S*. *pombe* (22, 27), but its counterpart in *S*. *cerevisiae*, Pol32, is not. *pol32* cells, however, grow poorly and exhibit DNA replication defects (6).

A series of genetic and biochemical observations with *S*. *cerevisiae* Pol₈ have indicated that at least two separate domains on Polo interact with at least two separate domains on PCNA, and furthermore, it has been suggested that, during replication, Pol δ binds to at least two PCNA monomers (15). Overall, the various studies with Pol_o from *S. cerevisiae*, *S. pombe*, and humans have strongly indicated that several distinct domains on Pol₈ interact with different regions of PCNA, and these multiple interactions provide the high degree of processivity that PCNA binding imparts to Pol₀. Briefly, we review this evidence below.

A consensus PCNA binding motif, QXX(L/I)XXFF, is present at the extreme C terminus of Pol32 in *S*. *cerevisiae* and also in its *S*. *pombe* and human counterparts Cdc27 and p66, respectively, and mutational inactivation of this domain in PCNA from *S*. *cerevisiae* and *S*. *pombe* affects the processivity of Pol (2, 15). In addition, biochemical studies with two mutant PCNAs from *S*. *cerevisiae*, pcna-79 and pcna-90, have shown that they both affect the processivity of Pol δ (5, 15). In the pcna-79 mutant (I126A/L128A), the hydrophobic pocket in the interdomain connector loop (IDCL) of PCNA is impaired (5), and this mutant PCNA fails to interact with proteins via their consensus QXX(L/I)XXFF PCNA binding motif (8, 15, 32). The pcna-90 (P252A/K253A) mutant has mutational changes in the carboxy-terminal tail of PCNA (5). Since the carboxyterminal tail of PCNA does not interact with the consensus PCNA binding motif present in Pol32, the adverse effects of mutations in this PCNA region on Polo processivity (15) must derive from interactions of PCNA with Pol₀ at a site different from the IDCL interacting domain of Pol32. In keeping with this idea, at least two PCNA binding sites have been identified in the p125 catalytic subunit of human Polô: one of these is contained in the N2 region toward the amino terminus (38), and the other is in the succeeding N4 region (36). The latter sequence is characterized by the presence of a highly conserved KA motif. The association of Polo with PCNA thus would be considerably strengthened by these multisite interactions.

The Y family DNA Pols, such as Poln, Polt, and Polk, promote replication through distorting DNA lesions, but they

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Sealy Center for Molecular Science, University of Texas Medical Branch, 6.104 Medical Research Building, 11th and Mechanic Streets, Galveston, TX 77555- 1061. Phone: (409) 747-8602. Fax: (409) 747-8608. E-mail: s.prakash @utmb.edu.

replicate DNA with a low fidelity and low processivity (24). Although all these Pols interact with PCNA, physically as well as functionally, binding to PCNA does not improve their processivity (9–11, 13). For example, PCNA, when loaded onto DNA by RFC in the presence of RPA, stimulates the DNA synthetic activity of both yeast and human Poln approximately 10- to 15-fold, but the processivity in the presence of these protein factors remains the same as in their absence, at about three or four nucleotides per DNA binding event (9, 11). Instead, the increase in the efficiency of nucleotide incorporation is achieved primarily by a reduction in the apparent K_m for the nucleotide (9, 11). PCNA, in the presence of RFC and RPA, also greatly stimulates the DNA synthetic activity of Pol and Polk, and this again is achieved by a decrease in the apparent K_m for the nucleotide, whereas the processivity remains unaffected (10, 13).

Since PCNA binding does not improve the processivity of Y family DNA Pols, these Pols must differ in their mode of PCNA binding from Pol₈. As multisite interactions would provide for the strong association of Pol₀ with PCNA and the ensuing large increase in Polo processivity, we have examined the possibility that the lack of PCNA stimulation of the processivity of Y family Pols derives from their binding to PCNA rather weakly. The presence of multiple putative PCNA binding motifs in Poll prompted us to determine whether this Pol made multisite contacts with PCNA or whether only one of the sites was involved. Here we show that Pole interacts with PCNA via only one of these sites and discuss the implications of this observation for translesion DNA synthesis (TLS) by Polt as well as other Y family Pols.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Proteins. Human PCNA, RFC, and RPA were purified as described previously (3, 7, 20). Six-His-tagged human PCNA used for the interaction studies was overexpressed in *Escherichia coli* and purified as described previously (19). Wildtype and mutant human Pole proteins in fusion with glutathione *S*-transferase (GST) were expressed in the yeast strain BJ5464 and bound to a glutathione-Sepharose 4B column as described previously (17). To purify Pole, the GST-Polecontaining beads were incubated overnight at 4°C with PreScission protease, which cleaves the GST-Polt fusion protein at 7 amino acids amino-terminal from the first methionine of Pole, in buffer E containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.01% NP-40, and 10% glycerol. The purified Poli was concentrated with a Microcon 30 (Amicon) concentrator, aliquoted, and frozen at -70° C.

Pull-down assay of Polt and PCNA complexes. To constitute complexes, Polt (2 μ g) was mixed with His₆-hPCNA (4 μ g) in buffer E in 30- μ l samples and incubated for 30 min at 4°C followed by 10 min at 25°C. To 20 μ l of these samples, 10 µl of nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA; Qiagen) beads was added to bind $\mathrm{His}_6\text{-PCNA}$ and $\mathrm{His}_6\text{-PCNA-Pol}$ complex, and the samples were further incubated with constant rocking for 30 min at 4°C followed by the elution of bound proteins with 500 mM imidazole-containing buffer E. The samples containing the protein mixture before the addition of affinity beads, the flowthrough plus washing fractions, and the eluted proteins were precipitated with 5% trichloroacetic acid and separated on a sodium dodecyl sulfate–12% polyacrylamide gel followed by Coomassie blue R-250 staining.

Gel filtration analysis of Polu-PCNA interaction. Gel filtration of Polu, PCNA, and their complexes was performed at 4°C with a Superdex 200 PC 3.2/30 column (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, N.J.) equilibrated with buffer I, containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.01% NP-40, and 10% glycerol. To constitute complexes, Pol- (4 μ g), His₆-PCNA (5 μ g), or the mixture of these proteins was incubated in 25 l of buffer I for 60 min at 4°C followed by incubation for 10 min at 25°C. The protein mixture was then gel filtered at a 20 - μ l/min flow rate at 4°C. Fractions were collected and analyzed on 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gels stained with Coomassie blue R-250.

DNA Pol assays. DNA substrate was generated by annealing a 75-nucleotide oligomer template, 5 -biotin-AGCAAGTCACCAATGTCTAAGAGTTCGTA TTATGCCTACACTGGAGTACCGGAGCATCGTCGTGACTGGGAAAA C-biotin-3', which contained one biotin molecule attached at each end, to the 5' 32P-labeled oligonucleotide primer N4577, 5'-GTTTTCCCAGTCACGACGAT GCTCCGGTA-3'. To bind streptavidin to biotin present at the ends of the linear DNA substrates, these primer-templates (2.5 pmol) were preincubated with streptavidin (5 μ g) in 25 μ l of DNA Pol buffer which contained no MgCl₂, for 10 min at 30°C, before their addition to the DNA Pol reaction mixtures. The standard DNA Pol reaction mixture (10μ) contained 40 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5); 8 mM MgCl₂; 150 mM NaCl; 1 mM dithiothreitol; 10% glycerol; 100 μ g of bovine serum albumin/ml; 500 μ M ATP; and 100 μ M (each) dGTP, dATP, dTTP, and dCTP. As indicated in the figure legends, mutant and wild-type Pol proteins (1 nM) were mixed with PCNA (50 ng), RFC (5 ng), and RPA (50 ng) and the linear primer-template DNA (10 nM). Assay mixtures were assembled on ice and incubated at 37°C for 10 min, and assays were stopped by the addition of loading buffer (40 μ l) containing 20 mM EDTA, 95% formamide, 0.3% bromphenol blue, and 0.3% cyanol blue. The reaction products were resolved on 10% polyacrylamide gels containing 8 M urea. Visualization of the results was done using a Molecular Dynamics Storm PhosphoImager and ImageQuant software.

Two-hybrid analyses. The HF7c yeast strain was transformed with the Pole and PCNA fusion constructs by the lithium acetate method. Transformants harboring both the GAL4 DNA binding domain (BD) and the GAL4 activation domain (AD) fusion constructs were grown on synthetic complete medium, lacking leucine and tryptophan. β-Galactosidase activity was examined to determine the interaction between Polt and PCNA, as described in the Clontech yeast protocols handbook (PT3024-1, chapter VI). -Galactosidase activities were quantitated using O-nitrophenyl-_{B-D-galactopyranoside as substrate. Experiments were per-} formed at least three times in triplicate samples.

RESULTS

Putative PCNA binding motifs in Polu. A consensus PCNA binding motif QXX(I, L, or M)XXF(F or Y), also referred to as the PCNA interaction protein box (PIP box), is found in many proteins involved in DNA metabolic processes, such as DNA replication and repair, DNA methylation, and chromatin assembly (4, 21, 28). Structural and mutational studies have indicated the involvement of the conserved hydrophobic residues within this motif in interaction with PCNA (23, 26, 33). Polt has two putative PIP boxes, KKGLIDYY and SRG VLSFF, which are located toward the C terminus after the five conserved Pol domains and the polymerase-associated domain (PAD), and they encompass residues 420 to 427 (PIP1 box) and 540 to 547 (PIP2 box), respectively, of the 715-amino-acid protein (Fig. 1A).

Recently, another conserved PCNA binding sequence characterized by a KA motif has been identified in the catalytic subunit of human Polo (36), and a KA motif is present also in Polt between residues 412 and 424 (Fig. 1B). The presence of three potential PCNA binding motifs in Pole raised the possibility that Pole binds to PCNA at more than one site.

Generation of mutations in the putative PCNA binding motifs of Polu. To test if the three PCNA binding motifs of Polu have roles in the interaction with PCNA, we generated several Polt mutations (Fig. 2A). In the Polt $(1-420)$ deletion mutant, both the PIP1 and PIP2 motifs are deleted, and only the KA motif is retained. In the $Pol(1-451)$ deletion mutant, the PIP2 motif is deleted, but the KA and PIP1 motifs are retained. In the $Pol(1-451)$ deletion mutant, we also changed the conserved lysine 414 residue of the KA motif to alanine, resulting in the $Pol(1-451)K414A$ mutant, and changed the two tyrosines at positions 426 and 427 in the PIP1 motif to alanine, resulting in Polt(1–451)YY426,427,AA. AdditionalA

PCNA interaction protein box (PIP-box)

 $\mathbf B$

PCNA interaction KA-box

Hs Polδ			K E K A T Q C Q L E A D V					
Hs Pola			I C R A I O R F L L A Y K					
Hs RFC 38			LRKALL MCEACR V					
Hs RFC 140			S L K A I V A E S L N N T					
Hs XPD			Y G R A V I M F G V P Y V					
Hs XPC			ARKARLAEPOLRE					
Hs MSH6			FT KA YQRM VL DA V					
			\star \star					
	He Poll 412 N L K A L N T A K K G L T 424							

FIG. 1. Putative PCNA binding motifs of human DNA Polu. (A) Amino acids 418 to 430 and 538 to 550 of human Pole were aligned with the PIP motif identified in various PCNA binding proteins and shown to bind the IDCL of PCNA. The highly conserved residues are indicated in boldface. Hs, *Homo sapiens*; Sc, *S*. *cerevisiae*. (B) Residues 412 to 424 of human Polt were aligned with the PCNA binding KA box of Pol₆, which is present also in many other PCNA binding proteins.

ly, we mutated the YY residues of PIP1 and the FF residues of PIP2 in full-length Polt, generating PoltYY426,427,AA, PoltFF546,547,AA, and PoltYY426,427,AA,FF546,547,AA.

PIP1 motif of Pol- **mediates physical interaction with PCNA.** To examine the physical interactions of mutant Pole proteins with PCNA, the Poli proteins were incubated with $His₆-PCNA$ and a pull-down assay was carried out using the Ni-NTA affinity beads (Fig. 2B). Because Pole alone cannot bind to Ni-NTA, Polt could be pulled down only if it interacted with PCNA.

When $His₆$ -PCNA is bound to the Ni-NTA beads, a large proportion of the wild-type Pole is retained on the beads via PCNA (Fig. 2B, lanes 1 to 3). The $Pol(1-420)$ mutant protein, however, is impaired in interaction with PCNA, indicating that the KA motif alone is not able to mediate the binding of Pol to PCNA (Fig. 2B, lanes 4 to 6). The Pol $(1-451)$ mutant protein, on the other hand, interacts with PCNA as well as the wild-type Polt, which suggests a role for the PIP1 motif in the

binding of Polt to PCNA. To provide evidence that the conserved PIP1 motif is, in fact, involved in PCNA binding, we tested the ability of the $Pol(1-451)YY426,427,AA$ mutant protein to bind PCNA. As shown in Fig. 2B, lanes 13 to 15, this mutational alteration of the PIP1 motif in Pol(1–451) inactivated the interaction with PCNA. The $Pol(1-451)$ K414A mutation, however, did not affect PCNA binding (Fig. 2B, lanes 10 to 12). These results indicate that the PIP1 PCNA binding domain in Polt is sufficient to mediate the physical interaction of Polt with PCNA.

To examine further whether the PIP1 domain of Pole alone is able to mediate a stable interaction with PCNA, we analyzed the complexes of mutant Pole proteins and PCNA by gel filtration. Polu(1–451) or Polu(1–451)YY426,427,AA proteins were mixed with PCNA in almost a 1:1 molar ratio, and after incubation, their interaction was examined by gel filtration, a nonequilibrium technique wherein only rather stable protein complexes survive. While PCNA alone eluted mainly in fractions 6 and 7 and $Pol(1-451)$ alone eluted around fractions 9 and 10 (data not shown; also Fig. 2C, panel II), when PCNA was preincubated with Polu(1–451), both of them together eluted earlier around fractions 5 and 6 (Fig. 2C, panel I). This shift in the elution position of both proteins indicates the formation of a complex between $Pol(1-451)$ and PCNA. By contrast, Poli(1–451)YY426,427,AA and PCNA did not elute together after preincubation (Fig. 2C, panel II). These observations support the requirement of the PIP1 motif in Pol₄(1– 451) in PCNA binding.

PIP1 motif of Pol- **mediates the functional interaction with PCNA.** Many PCNA binding proteins interact with PCNA via at least two different domains; one of these is more important when PCNA is in solution, and the other becomes essential when PCNA is loaded onto the DNA (8, 15, 32). To determine if the binding of Polt to PCNA on DNA requires the PIP1 motif or some other motif, we compared the effects of PCNA on the DNA synthetic activity of the wild-type and mutant Pol proteins. First, we loaded PCNA by RFC onto an RPA-coated singly primed 75-nucleotide-long template DNA containing biotin-streptavidin at both ends, which prevented the PCNA from sliding off. DNA synthesis was then initiated by adding the wild-type or mutant Poli protein. The DNA synthetic activity of wild-type Polt is greatly enhanced upon the addition of PCNA, RFC, and RPA (Fig. 3, compare lanes 1 and 2), and PCNA also stimulated the synthetic activity of $Pol(1-451)$ (Fig. 3, compare lanes 5 and 6) and $Pol(1-451)K414A$ (Fig. 3, compare lanes 7 and 8) mutant enzymes, indicating that the KA motif has no role in the functional interaction of Pole with PCNA. By contrast, Pol₄(1–420) (Fig. 3, compare lanes 3 and 4) and Polt(1–451)YY426,427,AA (Fig. 3, compare lanes 9 and 10) mutant proteins lost their ability to be stimulated by PCNA. The PIP1 motif of Pole thus is sufficient to mediate the physical and functional interactions of Polt with PCNA.

PIP2 motif of Pol- **has no role in physical or functional interactions with PCNA.** Our observations with $Pol(1-451)$ protein indicating that the PIP1 motif of Pole is required for the physical and functional interactions of Pole with PCNA do not exclude the possibility that the PIP2 motif also influences the PCNA binding of Polu. For this reason, we examined the interactions of full-length Pole proteins carrying mutations in the PIP1 or PIP2 motifs with PCNA. From pull-down analysis

FIG. 2. Identification of a PCNA binding motif in Pole (A) Mutations made in the putative PCNA binding motifs of human Pole. In the schematic representation of Polt, the boxes indicate the five conserved motifs characteristic of Y family DNA Pols. The location and sequences of the three putative PCNA binding motifs, KA, PIP1, and PIP2, present in Pol- are indicated. Arrows indicate the amino acid residues of PCNA binding motifs which were changed to alanine in the various mutant Poli proteins. In the Poli(1–420) deletion mutant protein, the two PIP motifs have been deleted, which leaves only the KA motif, while the Poli(1–451) deletion mutant protein contains the KA box as well as the PIP1 motif. In the Pol $(1-451)$ deletion mutant, the K residue at 414 and the Y residues at 426 and 427 were changed to alanine, resulting in Pol $(1-451)$ K414A and Polt(1–451)YY426,427,AA mutant proteins, respectively. (B) The PIP1 motif mediates complex formation of Polt with PCNA. As indicated on the top, wild-type and mutant Pol μ proteins (2 μ g each) were mixed with His $_6$ -PCNA (4 μ g). After incubation, samples were bound to Ni-NTA beads followed by washing and elution of the bound proteins with imidazole-containing buffer. Aliquots of each sample before addition to the beads (L), the flowthrough plus wash (F), and the eluted proteins (E) were analyzed on a sodium dodecyl sulfate–12% polyacrylamide gel stained with Coomassie blue. The positions of Pol ι and His $_6$ -PCNA are shown on the left. (C) Pol ι (1–451) mutant protein is able to form a stable complex with PCNA. The mixture of Pol $(1-451)$ (4 μ g) and His₆-PCNA (5 μ g) (I) and the mixture of Pol $(1-451)$ YY426,427,AA (4 μ g) and His₆-PCNA (5 μ g) (II) were gel filtered after incubation in a 30-µl volume of reaction mixture for 60 min at 4°C followed by 10 min at 25°C. The elution positions of Pol $(1-451)$ in complex with PCNA, PCNA homotrimer, and the free Pol $(1-451)$ are indicated on top. His₆-PCNA and Poli mutant proteins are identified on the right.

FIG. 3. The PIP1 motif of Pole is sufficient to mediate the stimulatory effect of PCNA on the DNA synthetic activity of Pol. The reaction mixtures contained wild-type or mutant Pole proteins (1 nM each), along with singly primed 75-nucleotide-long DNA substrate (10 nM) in which the 29-nucleotide primer was ^{32}P labeled at its 5' end, and the template contained biotin-streptavidin complex at both ends to prevent the PCNA sliding off the DNA and all four deoxynucleotides (100 μ M each), in the presence or absence of PCNA (50 ng), RFC (5 ng), and RPA (50 ng). After incubation for 10 min at 37° C, samples were quenched and run on a 10% polyacrylamide gel containing 8 M urea followed by PhosphorImager analysis.

with $His₆$ -PCNA on Ni-NTA beads, we found that, whereas mutational inactivation of the PIP2 motif of Pole has no effect on the physical interaction of Polt with PCNA (Fig. 4A, lanes 4 to 6), mutational inactivation of the PIP1 motif in full-length Polt impairs the interaction of Polt with PCNA (Fig. 4A, lanes 7 to 9).

Next, we examined the effect of addition of PCNA, RFC, and RPA on the DNA synthetic activity of full-length Pol proteins carrying mutations in the PIP1 or PIP2 motif (Fig. 4B). While the addition of PCNA, RFC, or RPA alone did not enhance the DNA synthetic activity of the wild-type or mutant Polt proteins (Fig. 4B, compare lanes 1, 9 and 17 to lanes 6 to 8, 14 to 16, and 22 to 24, respectively), robust stimulation of DNA synthesis was observed with the $Pol(1-715)FF546,547,AA$ protein upon the addition of PCNA, RFC, and RPA or only PCNA and RFC (Fig. 4B, compare lanes 9, 11, and 12), and the degree of stimulation was the same as for the wild-type Polt(1–715) protein (Fig. 4B, lanes 1, 3, and 4). DNA synthesis by the Pol(1–715)YY426,427,AA mutant, however, was not stimulated upon the addition of PCNA, RFC, and RPA (Fig. 4B, compare lanes 17, 19, and 20). Thus, the presence of the C-terminal PCNA binding motif, PIP2, in the complete Pol protein with the YY426,427,AA mutation does not enable Pol to bind PCNA or be stimulated by it, and conversely, the mutational inactivation of the PIP2 domain has no adverse effect on the physical or functional interactions of Pole with PCNA. From these results, we conclude that the PIP2 domain has no role in the binding of Pole with PCNA, whereas the PIP1 domain is essential for the physical as well as functional interactions of Polt with PCNA.

Interaction of Polt with PCNA—two-hybrid analysis. We used the yeast two-hybrid system to examine the interaction of Polt with PCNA in vivo. In one of the plasmids, the GAL4 DNA BD was fused with either the complete wild-type *RAD30B* Pol- encoding gene or the mutant $rad30B$ A^{546} - A^{547} and $rad30B$ A^{426} - A^{427} - A^{546} - A^{547} genes, and in the other plasmid, the GAL4 AD was fused with human PCNA. The HF7c yeast reporter strain harboring the GAL4-AD plasmid was transformed with one of the GAL4-BD plasmids. Interaction of the wild-type and mutant Pole proteins with PCNA in these transformants was analyzed by a β -galactosidase liquid assay, and the results are summarized in Table 1. Compared to the low level of β -galactosidase activity measured with GAL4-BD and the GAL4-AD-PCNA plasmids, the wild-type GAL4-BD Polt protein showed strong interaction with PCNA bound to GAL4-AD, resulting in 38-fold-higher β -galactosidase activity. The A⁵⁴⁶-A⁵⁴⁷ point mutations in the PIP2 motif of Pole did not affect the interaction of Polt and PCNA. The additional inactivation of the PIP1 motif, however, as in *Pol* $A^{426} - A^{427} - A^{546} - A^{547}$, completely abolished the interaction of Pole with PCNA, yielding -galactosidase activity similar to that in the control. These results provide further evidence that the interaction of Pol with PCNA occurs via the PIP1 motif of Polu.

DISCUSSION

Polt contains three potential PCNA binding motifs, the KA motif, and the PIP1 and PIP2 motifs; however, only one of these, PIP1, mediates the physical and functional interactions of Polt with PCNA. We consider it very unlikely that Polt could also interact with PCNA via some other domain, because the PIP1 domain follows right after the PAD. The region of Pol- N-terminal to PAD contains the highly conserved motifs I to V, characteristic of Y family Pols, and these are all essential for DNA Pol activity together with the PAD. The PIP1 domain of Polt, KKGLIDYY, resembles the conserved PCNA binding motif that has been identified in a number of proteins and shown to interact with the IDCL region of PCNA.

The observation that the PIP1 domain of Pole is both necessary and sufficient for the interaction of Pole with PCNA in the absence of DNA as well as when PCNA is bound to the DNA substrate at the template-primer junction makes a clear distinction between the mode of PCNA binding by Pole, a TLS Pol, and Pol₆, a replicative Pol. Thus, in contrast to Pol₆, where distinct domains in different subunits mediate its interactions with PCNA (2, 15, 36, 38), contributing to the tight binding and the concomitant increase in processivity of Pol₆, Polt binds PCNA at only one site—the IDCL region. In contrast, and as indicated from biochemical analysis of PCNA mutants of *S. cerevisiae*, Pol_o binds DNA-bound PCNA in at least two regions, the IDCL region and the C-terminal region (15) .

The mode of PCNA binding by Polt differs also from that in Fen1, a $5' \rightarrow 3'$ nuclease that functions in the removal of RNA

FIG. 4. Only the PIP1 PCNA binding domain of Pole mediates interactions with PCNA. (A) Pull-down analysis of complex formation between Polı proteins and PCNA. Wild-type or mutant Polı (2 µg each) was incubated with His₆-PCNA (4 µg) and pulled down on Ni-NTA beads. Aliquots of each sample before addition to the beads (L), the flowthrough plus wash (F) and the eluted proteins (E) were analyzed on a polyacrylamide gel. (B) Wild-type or mutant Pole (1 nM each) was incubated with the DNA substrate (10 nM) in the presence of each of four deoxynucleoside triphosphates under standard reaction conditions. As indicated, the reactions were carried out in the presence or absence of PCNA (50 ng), RFC (5 ng), and RPA (50 ng).

primers during Okazaki fragment maturation, and Apn2, a nuclease which functions in base excision repair (8, 32). Whereas in the absence of DNA both these nucleases bind PCNA in the IDCL region, when PCNA is bound to the DNA substrate, they additionally require its C-terminal region for enforcing productive binding. Such an inference is supported from the effects that mutations in the IDCL and C-terminal regions of PCNA have upon the Fen1 and Apn2 binding of PCNA (8, 32): while in the absence of DNA mutations in the IDCL region impair Fen1 and Apn2 binding to PCNA and mutations in the C-terminal region have little effect, in the presence of DNA

mutations in both the IDCL and C-terminal regions affect their binding to PCNA, but mutations in the C-terminal region have a more profound effect than do mutations in the IDCL region.

The ability of Y family Pols to replicate through DNA lesions implies that they are not as sensitive to geometric distortions of DNA as are the replicative Pols, which are unable to replicate through DNA lesions. As a consequence, the Y family DNA Pols synthesize DNA with a low fidelity, the fidelity of Polt being particularly poor (24). In contrast to almost all other DNA Pols, Pole incorporates nucleotides opposite the four template bases with very different efficiencies (k_{cat}/K_m) and

TABLE 1. Interaction of Polt with PCNA in the yeast two-hybrid system

AD fusion	DNA BD fusion	Mean β -galactosidase activity \pm SD	Fold activation
GAL4 AD-PCNA GAL4 AD-PCNA GAL4 AD-PCNA GAL4 AD-PCNA	GAL4 BD GAL4 BD-Pol (WT^a) GAL4 BD-Pol $(A^{546}A^{547})$ GAL4 BD-Pol $(A^{426}A^{427}A^{546}A^{547})$	0.03 ± 0.002 1.14 ± 0.05 1.27 ± 0.03 0.029 ± 0.003	38 42.3 0.97

^a WT, wild type.

FIG. 5. Model for the binding of PCNA by Pol∂ versus Pole and for Pol exchange at the lesion site. (A) Binding of Pol∂ and Pole on or off DNA. In the absence of DNA, both Pols could bind to PCNA via contacts with the IDCL region. On DNA, Pol₆, via its multiple subunits, interacts with PCNA at multiple sites, whereas Pol∟ contacts only the IDCL region of PCNA. Although Polδ could also be bound to different PCNA monomers, Polô binding to only one monomer is shown. K164 in PCNA is the site of ubiquitin (Ub) attachment by the Rad6-Rad18 enzyme complex. (B) Pol exchange at the lesion site. Rad6-Rad18-dependent PCNA ubiquitylation destabilizes the interactions of Polo with PCNA, resulting in the displacement of Pol δ from the primer end and allowing for the access of Pol ι to the IDCL region of PCNA. Pol δ presumably still remains bound to PCNA through multisite contacts (data not shown) and would regain access to the primer junction soon after the completion of lesion bypass and the concomitant exit of Pole from the replication ensemble.

fidelities, and opposite template T, it even misincorporates a G approximately 10-fold better than an A (10, 16, 31, 34, 39). Also, Polt synthesizes DNA with a very low processivity, regardless of whether it is bound to PCNA. Under conditions of DNA synthesis, where Poli was allowed to bind the DNA substrate only once, even with PCNA present Pole incorporated only one nucleotide before dissociation from the DNA (10). Since Poli functions primarily at the nucleotide incorporation step of lesion bypass, wherein it incorporates nucleotides opposite from highly distorting lesions, with the subsequent extension step being performed by another TLS Pol (16, 35), Polt's role in TLS would then mostly be limited to the incorporation of a single nucleotide. Therefore, the very low processivity of PCNA-bound Pole is in accord with its role in lesion bypass; moreover, this attribute would contribute to keeping the incidence of inadvertent nucleotide misincorporations at undamaged sites low.

Our previous studies indicating that the binding of yeast and

human Poln to DNA-bound PCNA or to PCNA in the absence of DNA is also mediated by a consensus IDCL binding motif present at the C terminus of these proteins (9, 11) support the idea that all Y family Pols resemble one another in their manner of PCNA binding. Although the mutational studies for the identification of PCNA binding domains in Poln were not as exhaustive as those that we have done for Pole, the fact that mutations in the IDCL binding motif in both yeast and human Pol η inactivated their interactions with PCNA in both the absence and presence of DNA implies that this PCNA binding region of Pol η makes a paramount contribution to interactions with PCNA in both situations. In summary, then, we suggest that Y family Pols differ strikingly from Pol₈ and from other PCNA binding proteins, such as Fen1 and Apn2, as they limit their interaction with DNA-bound PCNA only to the IDCL region, and that contributes to their low processivity even with PCNA.

The ability of Polt and other Y family Pols to contact PCNA

at only the IDCL site could have important consequences for Pol exchange at the lesion site. By virtue of its ability to contact PCNA at many sites, Polô would remain stably bound to PCNA when replicating undamaged DNA (Fig. 5A). However, upon encountering a DNA lesion, Polo would stall, and that presumably activates the Rad6-Rad18-dependent ubiquitylation of PCNA (14) , resulting in the displacement of Pol δ from the template-primer junction (Fig. 5B). Importantly, however, because of its multisite binding to PCNA, we expect Polô to still remain bound to PCNA. Furthermore, the ubiquitylation of PCNA at the lysine 164 residue could be important for exposing the IDCL region to allow access of Polt and other Y family Pols to PCNA (12, 14, 29) (Fig. 5B). However, because Polt and other Y family Pols would be loosely anchored to PCNA at only one site, they would dissociate from DNA soon after their role in lesion bypass has been accomplished, whereupon Pol δ would regain access to the template-primer junction.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences grant ES012411, the Wellcome Trust International Senior Research Fellowship, the Hungarian Science Foundation grant T043354, and the EMBO Restart Fellowship.

REFERENCES

- 1. **Bambara, R. A., R. S. Murante, and L. A. Henricksen.** 1997. Enzymes and reactions at the eukaryotic DNA replication fork. J. Biol. Chem. **272:**4647– 4650.
- 2. **Bermudez, W. P., S. A. MacNeill, I. Tappin, and J. Hurwitz.** 2002. The influence of the Cdc27 subunit on the properties of the *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* DNA polymerase δ. J. Biol. Chem. 277:36853-36862.
- 3. **Cai, J., E. Gibbs, F. Uhlmann, B. Phillips, N. Yano, M. O'Donnell, and J. Hurwitz.** 1997. A complex consisting of human replication factor C, p40, p37, and p36 subunits is a DNA-dependent ATPase and an intermediate in the assembly of the holoenzyme. J. Biol. Chem. **272:**18974–18981.
- 4. **Chen, U., S. Chen, P. Saha, and A. Dutta.** 1996. p21/Cip1/Waf1 disrupts the recruitment of human Fen1 by proliferating-cell nuclear antigen into the DNA replication complex. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **93:**11597–11602.
- 5. **Eissenberg, J. C., R. Ayyagari, X. V. Gomes, and P. M. J. Burgers.** 1997. Mutations in yeast proliferating cell nuclear antigen define distinct sites for interaction with DNA polymerase and DNA polymerase ε. Mol. Cell. Biol. **17:**6367–6378.
- 6. **Gerik, K. J., X. Li, A. Pautz, and P. M. J. Burgers.** 1998. Characterization of the two small subunits of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* DNA polymerase δ. J. Biol. Chem. **273:**19747–19755.
- 7. **Gibbs, E., Z. Kelman, J. M. Gulbis, M. O'Donnell, J. Kuriyan, P. M. Burgers, and J. Hurwitz.** 1997. The influence of the proliferating cell nuclear antigen-interacting domain of p21 (CIP1) on DNA synthesis catalyzed by the human and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* polymerase delta holoenzymes. J. Biol. Chem. **272:**2373–2381.
- 8. **Gomes, X. V., and P. M. J. Burgers.** 2000. Two modes of FEN1 binding to PCNA regulated by DNA. EMBO J. **19:**3811–3821.
- 9. **Haracska, L., R. E. Johnson, I. Unk, B. Phillips, J. Hurwitz, L. Prakash, and S. Prakash.** 2001. Physical and functional interactions of human DNA polymerase with PCNA. Mol. Cell. Biol. **21:**7199–7206.
- 10. **Haracska, L., R. E. Johnson, I. Unk, B. B. Phillips, J. Hurwitz, L. Prakash,** and S. Prakash. 2001. Targeting of human DNA polymerase ι to the replication machinery via interaction with PCNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **98:** 14256–14261.
- 11. **Haracska, L., C. M. Kondratick, I. Unk, S. Prakash, and L. Prakash.** 2001. Interaction with PCNA is essential for yeast DNA polymerase η function. Mol. Cell **8:**407–415.
- 12. **Haracska, L., C. A. Torres-Ramos, R. E. Johnson, S. Prakash, and L. Prakash.** 2004. Opposing effects of ubiquitin conjugation and SUMO modification of PCNA on replicational bypass of DNA lesions in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. **24:**4267–4274.
- 13. **Haracska, L., I. Unk, R. E. Johnson, B. B. Phillips, J. Hurwitz, L. Prakash, and S. Prakash.** 2002. Stimulation of DNA synthesis activity of human DNA polymerase by PCNA. Mol. Cell. Biol. **22:**784–791.
- 14. **Hoege, C., B. Pfander, G.-L. Moldovan, G. Pyrowolakis, and S. Jentsch.** 2002. *RAD6-*dependent DNA repair is linked to modification of PCNA by ubiquitin and SUMO. Nature **419:**135–141.
- 15. **Johansson, E., P. Garg, and P. M. J. Burgers.** 2004. The Pol32 subunit of DNA polymerase δ contains separable domains for processive replicatin and proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) binding. J. Biol. Chem. **279:**1907– 1915.
- 16. **Johnson, R. E., M. T. Washington, L. Haracska, S. Prakash, and L. Prakash.** 2000. Eukaryotic polymerases ι and ζ act sequentially to bypass DNA lesions. Nature **406:**1015–1019.
- 17. **Johnson, R. E., M. T. Washington, S. Prakash, and L. Prakash.** 2000. Fidelity of human DNA polymerase η. J. Biol. Chem. 275:7447-7450.
- 18. **Kelman, Z., and J. Hurwitz.** 1998. Protein-PCNA interactions: a DNAscanning mechanism? Trends Biol. Sci. **23:**236–238.
- 19. **Kelman, Z., N. Yao, and M. O'Donnell.** 1995. *Escherichia coli* expression vectors containing a protein kinase recognition motif, His6-tag and hemagglutinin epitope. Gene **166:**177–178.
- 20. **Lee, S. H., T. Eki, and J. Hurwitz.** 1989. Synthesis of DNA containing the simian virus 40 origin of replication by the combined action of DNA polymerases alpha and delta. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **86:**7361–7365.
- 21. **Li, X., J. Li, J. Harrington, M. R. Lieber, and P. M. Burgers.** 1995. Lagging strand DNA synthesis at the eukaryotic replication fork involves binding and stimulation of FEN-1 by PCNA. J. Biol. Chem. **270:**22109–22112.
- 22. **MacNeill, S. A., S. Moreno, N. Reynolds, P. Nurse, and P. A. Fantes.** 1996. The fission yeast Cdc1 protein, a homologue of the small subunit of DNA polymerase δ , binds to Pol3 and Cdc27. EMBO J. 15:4613-4628.
- 23. **Nakanishi, M., R. S. Robetorye, O. M. Pereira-Smith, and J. R. Smith.** 1995. The C-terminal region of $p21^{\text{SD11/WAFI/CIP1}}$ is involved in proliferating cell nuclear antigen binding but does not appear to be required for growth inhibition. J. Biol. Chem. **270:**17060–17063.
- 24. **Prakash, S., and L. Prakash.** 2002. Translesion DNA synthesis in eukaryotes: a one- or two-polymerase affair. Genes Dev. **16:**1872–1883.
- 25. **Prelich, G., M. Kostura, D. R. Marshak, M. B. Matthews, and B. Stillman.** 1987. The cell-cycle regulated proliferating cell nuclear antigen is required for SV40 DNA replication *in vitro*. Nature **326:**471–475.
- 26. **Reynolds, N., E. Warbrick, P. A. Fantes, and S. A. MacNeill.** 2000. Essential interaction between the fission yeast DNA polymerase δ subunit Cdc27 and
Pcn1 (PCNA) mediated through a C-terminal p21^{Cip1}-like PCNA binding motif. EMBO J. **19:**1108–1118.
- 27. **Reynolds, N., A. Watt, P. A. Fantes, and S. A. MacNeill.** 1998. Cdm1, the smallest subunit of DNA polymerase δ in the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, is non-essential for growth and division. Curr. Genet. **34:** 250–258.
- 28. **Shibahara, K.-I., and B. Stillman.** 1999. Replication-dependent marking of DNA by PCNA facilitates CAF-1-coupled inheritance of chromatin. Cell **96:** 575–585.
- 29. **Stelter, P., and H. D. Ulrich.** 2003. Control of spontaneous and damageinduced mutagenesis by SUMO and ubiquitin conjugation. Nature **425:**188– 191.
- 30. **Tan, C. K., C. Castillo, A. G. So, and K. M. Downey.** 1986. An auxiliary protein for DNA polymerase δ from fetal calf thymus. J. Biol. Chem. 261: 12310–12316.
- 31. Tissier, A., J. P. McDonald, E. G. Frank, and R. Woodgate. 2000. Pole, a remarkably error-prone human DNA polymerase. Genes Dev. **14:**1642– 1650.
- 32. Unk, I., L. Haracska, X. V. Gomes, P. M. J. Burgers, L. Prakash, and S. Prakash. 2002. Stimulation of $3' \rightarrow 5'$ exonuclease and $3'$ -phosphodiesterase activities of yeast Apn2 by proliferating cell nuclear antigen. Mol. Cell. Biol. **22:**6480–6486.
- 33. **Warbrick, E., D. P. Lane, D. M. Glover, and L. S. Cox.** 1995. A small peptide inhibitor of DNA replication defines the site of interaction between the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p21WAF1 and proliferating cell nuclear antigen. Curr. Biol. **5:**275–282.
- 34. **Washington, M. T., R. E. Johnson, L. Prakash, and S. Prakash.** 2004. Human DNA polymerase u utilizes different nucleotide incorporation mechanisms dependent upon the template base. Mol. Cell. Biol. **24:**936–943.
- 35. **Washington, M. T., I. G. Minko, R. E. Johnson, W. T. Wolfle, T. M. Harris, R. S. Lloyd, S. Prakash, and L. Prakash.** 2004. Efficient and error-free replication past a minor groove DNA adduct by the sequential action of human DNA polymerases L and K. Mol. Cell. Biol. 24:5687-5693.
- 36. **Xu, H., P. Zhang, L. Liu, and M. Y. W. T. Lee.** 2001. A novel PCNA-binding motif identified by the panning of a random peptide display library. Biochemistry **40:**4512–4520.
- 37. **Yuzhakov, A., Z. Kelman, J. Hurwitz, and M. O'Donnell.** 1999. Multiple competition reactions for RPA order the assembly of the DNA polymerase holoenzyme. EMBO J. **18:**6189–6199.
- 38. **Zhang, P., J.-Y. Mo, A. Perez, A. Leon, L. Liu, N. Mazloum, H. Xu, and M. Y. W. T. Lee.** 1999. Direct interaction of proliferating cell nuclear antigen with the p125 catalytic subunit of mammalian DNA polymerase δ . J. Biol. Chem. **274:**26647–26653.
- 39. **Zhang, Y., F. Yuan, X. Wu, and Z. Wang.** 2000. Preferential incorporation of G opposite template T by the low-fidelity human DNA polymerase ι . Mol. Cell. Biol. **20:**7099–7108.