RESEARCH PAPER

Extremely thick cell walls and low mesophyll conductance: welcome to the world of ancient living!

Linda-Liisa Veromann-Jürgenson^{[1,](#page-0-0)}[*](#page-0-1), Tiina Tosens¹, Lauri Laanisto¹ and Ülo Niinemets^{1,[2](#page-0-2)}

¹ Institute of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Estonian University of Life Sciences, Kreutzwaldi 1, Tartu 51014, Estonia ² Estonian Academy of Sciences, Kohtu 6, 10130 Tallinn, Estonia

* Corresponding author: [linda-liisa.veromann@emu.ee](mailto:linda-liisa.veromann@emu.ee?subject=)

Received 20 November 2016; Editorial decision 25 January 2017; Accepted 25 January 2017

Editor: Susanne von Caemmerer, Australian National University

Abstract

Mesophyll conductance is thought to be an important photosynthetic limitation in gymnosperms, but they currently constitute the most understudied plant group in regard to the extent to which photosynthesis and intrinsic water use efficiency are limited by mesophyll conductance. A comprehensive analysis of leaf gas exchange, photosynthetic limitations, mesophyll conductance (calculated by three methods previously used for across-species comparisons), and the underlying ultra-anatomical, morphological and chemical traits in 11 gymnosperm species varying in evolutionary history was performed to gain insight into the evolution of structural and physiological controls on photosynthesis at the lower return end of the leaf economics spectrum. Two primitive herbaceous species were included in order to provide greater evolutionary context. Low mesophyll conductance was the main limiting factor of photosynthesis in the majority of species. The strongest sources of limitation were extremely thick mesophyll cell walls, high chloroplast thickness and variation in chloroplast shape and size, and the low exposed surface area of chloroplasts per unit leaf area. In gymnosperms, the negative relationship between net assimilation per mass and leaf mass per area reflected an increased mesophyll cell wall thickness, whereas the easy-to-measure integrative trait of leaf mass per area failed to predict the underlying ultrastructural traits limiting mesophyll conductance.

Key words: Conifer, g_m , gymnosperm, LES, LMA, nitrogen, photosynthesis.

Introduction

The 36-fold variation of net photosynthetic rate across C3 species [\(Wright](#page-14-0) *et al.*, 2004) cannot be explained only by stomatal restrictions and biochemical potentials, because the $CO₂$ diffusion efficiency from substomatal cavities to chloroplasts (mesophyll diffusion conductance; g_m) plays an important role in shaping photosynthetic capacities and leaf resourceuse efficiency across Earth's ecosystems [\(Warren](#page-14-1) *et al.*, 2003; [Niinemets](#page-13-0) *et al.*, 2009*b*, [2011](#page-13-1); [Terashima](#page-14-2) *et al.*, 2011; [Tosens](#page-14-3) *et al.*[, 2012](#page-14-3)*b*, [2016](#page-14-4)). The general consensus is that ignoring g_m in carbon gain calculations prevents the correct simulation of photosynthesis due to biased maximum velocity of carboxylation especially in stressful field environments ([Manter](#page-13-2) [and Kerrigan, 2004;](#page-13-2) [Niinemets](#page-13-1) *et al.*, 2011). Still, g_m has been ignored in carbon gain calculations because of our lack of

© The Author 2017. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abbreviations: A_{area}, net assimilation rate per area; A_{mass}, net assimilation rate per dry mass; C_a, atmospheric CO₂ concentration; CA, carbonic anhydrase; C_a–C_i, CO₂ drawdown from atmosphere to intercellular airspace; C_i, intercellular CO₂ concentration; C_r-C_c, CO₂ drawdown from intercellular airspace to chloroplasts; D_{leaf} , leaf density; F_{ias}, fraction of intercellular airspace; LMA, leaf dry mass per area; *l_b*, *l_m* and *l_s*, photosynthetic limitation by biochemistry, mesophyll and stomatal conductance, respectively; *N*area, nitrogen content per area; *N*mass, nitrogen content per mass; *g*m, mesophyll diffusion conductance; *g*m/area and *g*m/mass, mesophyll conductance per area and per mass; *g*s, stomatal conductance; *S*c/*S* and *S*m/*S*, chloroplast and mesophyll area exposed to intercellular airspace; *T*chl, chloroplast thickness; *T*cwm, mesophyll cell wall thickness; *T*leaf, leaf thickness; *T*mes, mesophyll thickness; WUEi , intrinsic water use efficiency.

knowledge about its various causes and the main drivers of its variation across species ([Niinemets](#page-13-3) *et al.*, 2009*a*).

Mesophyll conductance has now been estimated for more than 100 species from all major plant groups. Available data show that considerable variation of g_m between plant groups exists and it is larger than that of stomatal conductance (*g*s) ([Flexas](#page-13-4) *et al.*, 2012; [Tosens](#page-14-4) *et al.*, 2016). *g*m depends on the length and characteristics of the $CO₂$ diffusion path from substomatal cavities to chloroplasts (Evans *et al.*[, 2009;](#page-12-0) [Hassiotou](#page-13-5) *et al.*, 2009; [Terashima](#page-14-2) *et al.*, 2011; [Tosens](#page-14-3) *et al.*, $2012b$ $2012b$). The main limitations to $CO₂$ diffusion are in the mesophyll liquid phase. Two traits, mesophyll cell wall thickness (T_{cwm}) and chloroplast surface area exposed to intercellular airspace (S_c/S) , have been highlighted as the strongest limiting factors of g_m , but these anatomical traits are highly variable among species [\(Evans](#page-12-0) *et al.*, 2009; [Terashima](#page-14-2) *et al.*, [2011](#page-14-2); [Tosens](#page-14-3) *et al.*, 2012*b*). In addition, recent studies suggest that chloroplast thickness is also an important barrier limiting diffusion of $CO₂$ $CO₂$ $CO₂$ to Rubisco [\(Tosens](#page-14-5) *et al.*, 2012*a*,*b*, [2016;](#page-14-4) [Peguero-Pina](#page-13-6) *et al.*, 2012; [Tomás](#page-14-6) *et al.*, 2013). The reduction of photosynthesis due to g_m can be about 25% for mesophytic species and up to 75% in evergreen species [\(Niinemets](#page-13-1) *et al.*, [2011](#page-13-1)). The latter have more robust leaves, e.g. thicker cell walls due to their adaptations to their specific environmental stressors ([Niinemets, 2016](#page-13-7)). Contrarily, ferns and allies have seemingly soft leaves and low leaf mass per area (LMA), but the extent of the photosynthetic limitation by g_m and net assimilation are in a similar range to that reported for sclerophyllous angiosperms due to their very thick mesophyll cell walls and very low *S*c/*S* ([Niinemets](#page-13-8) *et al.*, 2009*b*,*c*; [Tosens](#page-14-4) *et al.*[, 2016](#page-14-4)). That is, g_m and its underlying traits cannot be simply extended across plant groups, and additional factors such as evolutionary age can play an important role in its determination.

Across spermatophytes *g*m is lowest in gymnosperms ([Flexas](#page-13-4) *et al.*, 2012). Six-fold variation in *g*m has been shown among the 13 conifer species studied so far [\(Warren](#page-14-1) *et al.*, [2003](#page-14-1); [De Lucia](#page-12-1) *et al.*, 2003; [Manter and Kerrigan, 2004;](#page-13-2) [Black](#page-12-2) *et al.*, 2005; [Mullin](#page-13-9) *et al.*, 2009; [Peguero-Pina](#page-13-6) *et al.*, [2012](#page-13-6), [2016\)](#page-13-10). Collectively, the available data suggest that g_m is an important limiting factor of photosynthesis across and within conifer species. Despite its undoubted importance, only [Peguero-Pina](#page-13-6) *et al.* (2012, [2016\)](#page-13-10) have investigated g_m together with the underlying structural correlates. Therefore, integrative approaches are needed to characterize the nature of *g*m and its importance in controlling realized photosynthesis rates in gymnosperms.

The leaf economics spectrum (LES) is a dimension of ecological variation reflecting differences across species in the cost of the investment in a unit of leaf area and the return on that investment [\(Wright](#page-14-0) *et al.*, 2004). Despite the broad use of LES relationships in generalizing global leaf structure–function relationships, the morpho-physiological basis underlying given trade-offs are poorly understood, especially for species placed at the low-return end ([Shipley](#page-14-7) *et al.*, 2006; [Niinemets](#page-13-0) *et al.*, 2009*a*,*[b](#page-13-3)*). These species are characterized by high LMA and robust structure, and therefore the realized photosynthetic rates are modified in an important way by

leaf morphology [\(Flexas](#page-13-11) *et al.*, 2008; [Hassiotou](#page-13-5) *et al.*, 2009; [Niinemets](#page-13-1) *et al.*, 2011; [Tosens](#page-14-3) *et al.*, 2012*b*). For example, high LMA could be associated with high net assimilation rate (*A*area) if its main driver is great thickness of mesophyll and high S_c/S , or with low A_{area} if it is connected with its underlying anatomical variations such as thick mesophyll cell walls ([Hassiotou](#page-13-5) *et al.*, 2009; [Tomás](#page-14-6) *et al.*, 2013). However, the integrative trait of LMA may fail for species like Australian *Proteaceae*, which have mesophytic mesophyll tissue embedded in highly sclerophytic tissue, or in ferns and allies, which have low LMA but high T_{cwm} resulting in low photosynthesis [\(Niinemets](#page-13-8) *et al.*, 2009*c*; [Tosens](#page-14-3) *et al.*, 2012*b*, [2016](#page-14-4)). Understanding the underlying ultrastructural traits controlling the global LMA–photosynthesis–nitrogen relationships is inescapable for understanding photosynthetic patterns across Earth's ecosystems.

The reasons for lower photosynthesis rates and intrinsic water use efficiency (WUE_i) in gymnosperms are currently poorly known due to lack of studies separating mesophyll and stomatal diffusional and mesophyll biochemical photosynthetic limitations. Information about g_m with its underlying structural traits is especially limited. Here we set out to characterize the spectrum of photosynthetic strategies and related physiological and morphological traits in gymnosperms positioned at the lower return end of the leaf economics spectrum based on 11 gymnosperm and two evolutionarily older species, *Psilotum nudum* and *Selaginella uncinata*, in order to enhance the evolutionary context. Overall, the included species are evolutionarily old with a phylogenetic age extending from 306 million years for *Psilotaceae* to 75 million years for the genus *Podocarpus* (Pryer *et al.*[, 2004](#page-14-8); [Biffin](#page-12-3) *et al.*, 2012). *Metasequoia glyptostroboides*, *Cycas revoluta*, *Macrozamia riedlei* and *Araucaria heterophylla* can even be regarded as living fossils as their morphology and habitat have a high resemblance to fossils dated to the Mesozoic $(>110$ My ago) and even to the late Paleozoic (300 My ago) (e.g. [Norstog and Nicholls, 1997](#page-13-12); [Rydin](#page-14-9) *et al.*, 2004; [Zhang](#page-14-10) *et al.*[, 2015](#page-14-10)). The specific aims of the study were (i) to analyse the photosynthetic limitations to understand if diffusional limitations, especially g_m , are the most substantial constraints in evolutionarily old plants; (ii) to assess which structural traits are responsible for low $CO₂$ diffusion conductance in evolutionarily old species; and (iii) to understand the morpho-physiological basis underlying LES traits in evolutionarily old species.

Materials and methods

Plant material and growth conditions

Thirteen evolutionarily old species ([Fig. 1](#page-2-0) and Supplementary Table S1 at *JXB* online) with widely varying shape, size, longevity and structure of photosynthetic organs covering a broad range of evolutionary ages were included in the analysis. Out of the selected species, 11 (*Araucaria heterophylla*, *Cupressus sempervirens*, *Cycas revoluta*, *Ephedra minuta*, *Macrozamia riedlei*, *Metasequoia glyptostroboides*, *Picea abies*, *Pinus sylvestris*, *Podocarpus alpinus*, *Podocarpus nivalis* and *Taxus baccata*) were gymnosperms from three of the four gymnosperm divisions. Two primitive herbaceous plant species (a whisk

Fig. 1. (A, B) Photographs (A) and cross-sections (B) of *Psilotum nudum* (left) and *Pinus sylvestris* (right) to illustrate different leaf internal anatomies. (C,D) Low (*P. nudum*, left) and high (*P. sylvestris*, right) chloroplast area exposed to intercellular airspace (*S*c/*S*). Arrows in (C) indicate sparsely (left) and tightly packed chloroplasts (right). The *P. sylvestris* cross-section exhibits lobed cells (circled in C).

fern, *Psilotum nudum*, and a clubmoss, *Selaginella uncinata*) were also included.

Five-month-old saplings of *M. glyptostroboides*, *P. alpinus*, *P. nivalis*, *S. uncinata* and *T. baccata* were obtained in October 2014 from a local nursery and transferred to a controlled-conditions phytotron, where they grew for 2–4 months before the measurements were begun. Other species were grown in the phytotron from seeds or seedlings brought from their country of origin (see Supplementary Table S1). All measured leaves emerged and matured in the phytotron conditions. Relative humidity was maintained at 60% and air temperature at 25 °C/22 °C (day/night). Light was provided by high pressure sodium lamps (400 W, E40 tubular clear, Beijing Luxram Lighting Ltd, China) for a 13 h photoperiod. The photosynthetic photon flux density (*Q*) incident to the plants was maintained at 1000 µmol m^{-2} s⁻¹ reflecting the natural growth light conditions of the species (Supplementary Table S1). Plants were watered every second day with tap water and fertilized with soluble fertilizer once a week (Substral Miracle for evergreens, $N: P_2O_5:K_2O:MgO 23:9:12:2$ with microelements). In all cases, fully mature non-senescent foliage was used for the measurements.

Foliage gas exchange measurements and estimation of mesophyll conductance

Simultaneous gas exchange and fluorescence measurements were conducted with a GFS-3000 portable photosynthesis system (Walz GmbH, Germany). Foliage elements were arranged side by side in the leaf chamber to avoid any overlap and a digital photograph was taken for estimation of the exact projected foliage area enclosed in the chamber after its closure. In all measurements, vapor pressure deficit was kept at *ca* 1.5 kPa, and leaf temperature, measured with a thermocouple, at 25 ºC. Leaf net photosynthesis, the steady-state net photosynthesis rate under saturating Q of 1000 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (90% red and 10% blue light) and 400 μ mol CO₂ mol⁻¹ (atmospheric CO₂ concentration, C_a), was recorded after the stomata opened and leaf gas exchange rates reached maximum steady state, 30–60 min after the enclosure of foliage in the chamber. Net assimilation *vs*. ambient CO₂ response curves were measured at a Q of 1000 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ by varying C_a between 50 and 2000 µmol $CO₂$ mol⁻¹. After each steady-state net assimilation rate had been estimated, the steady-state fluorescence level (*F*) was recorded and a saturating pulse was given by the leaf chamber fluorimeter of the GFS-3000 system (PAM-fluorometer 3055-FL) to measure the maximum fluorescence yield (F_m') and estimate the effective photosystem II quantum yield (Φ_{PSII}) as $(F_m'$ ⁻*F*)/ F_m' [\(Genty](#page-13-13) *et al.*, 1989). The area of the leaf chamber was 8 cm^2 . Once the measurements for a $CO₂$ response curve were completed, the light was switched off and the mitochondrial respiration rate (R_n) was measured after a minimum of 30 min of dark adaptation. g_s and intercellular CO_2 concentration (*C*ⁱ) were calculated according to [von Caemmerer](#page-14-11) [and Farquhar \(1981\)](#page-14-11).

Mesophyll conductance was calculated according to [Harley](#page-13-14) *et al.* [\(1992\)](#page-13-14):

$$
g_{\rm m} = \frac{A_{\rm area}}{C_{\rm i} - \frac{\Gamma^* J_{\rm ETR} + 8(A_{\rm area} + R_{\rm d})}{J_{\rm ETR} - 4(A_{\rm area} + R_{\rm d})}}
$$
(1)

where A_{area} is the net assimilation rate, J_{ETR} is the rate of photosynthetic electron transport derived from chlorophyll fluorescence measurements, C_i is the CO_2 concentration in sub-stomatal cavities, R_d is the rate of non-photorespiratory respiration in the light and Γ^* is the hypothetical CO₂ compensation point without R_d . R_d was estimated as half of *R*_n ([Niinemets](#page-13-15) *et al.*, 2005). This common adaptation is supported by previous experimental observations (Villar *et al.*[, 1995;](#page-14-12) Piel *et al.*[, 2002\)](#page-13-16). Γ* was taken as 42.9 μmol mol–1 at 25 °C [\(Bernacchi](#page-12-4) *et al.*, 2001) as it has been shown before on vastly variable plant functional groups and g_m values that there is no significant difference between g_m calculated with Γ^\ast according to [Galmés](#page-13-17) *et al.* (2005) and [Bernacchi](#page-12-4) *et al.* (2001) [\(Tomás](#page-14-6) *et al.*, [2013](#page-14-6)). J_{ETR} was estimated from non-photorespiratory conditions (2% oxygen) from an $A - C_i$ curve, although the calculations of g_m were relatively insensitive to moderate variations in J_{ETR} (<0.5%; see [Niinemets](#page-13-15) *et al.*, 2005). Therefore, the main assumption of Harley's variable *J* method was not breached. The data were corrected for chamber leaks according to [Flexas](#page-13-18) *et al.* (2007*a*) and [Rodeghiero](#page-14-13) *et al.* [\(2007\)](#page-14-13) using empty chamber measurements. Leaf absorption was measured with an integrating sphere (leaf absorption coefficient varied between 0.84–0.90). Gaskets were changed regularly, and modelling paste and paraffin film were used with thick leaves to ensure no leakage. Mesophyll conductance was calculated from measurements of net assimilation rate over the C_i range of 150– 350 μ mol mol⁻¹, because the g_m values are stable over this range and its estimates are relatively insensitive to minimal Γ^* , R_d and *A* errors [\(Harley](#page-13-14) *et al.*, 1992; [Niinemets](#page-13-19) *et al.*, 2006). WUE_i was defined as *A*area/*g*s ([Flexas](#page-13-20) *et al.*, 2013). As some plants studied here have small leaves or needles growing on several sides of the branch, not all of these were perpendicular to the light source. However, this is also the case for when they are growing in natural conditions as the branches were positioned in the same direction to the light source as they had grown.

Additionally, the one-dimensional within-leaf gas diffusion model of [Niinemets and Reichstein \(2003\)](#page-13-21) was applied to provide an anatomically based estimate of g_m as in [Tosens](#page-14-3) *et al.* (2012*b*) and from *A*–*C*ⁱ curves as in [Ethier and Livingston \(2004\).](#page-12-5)

Quantitative limitation analyses of A*area and partial limitations of* g*^m*

The relative controls on A_{area} imposed by stomatal conductance (l_s) , mesophyll conductance (l_m) , and biochemical capacity (l_b) were calculated following the [Grassi and Magnani \(2005\)](#page-13-22) approach, as has been successfully used for a large number of highly variable species from lycophytes, horsetails and ferns to Australian sclerophylls in [Tomás](#page-14-6) *et al.* (2013) and [Tosens](#page-14-3) *et al.* (2012*b*, [2016\)](#page-14-4). These three values sum to 100% and characterize the extent to which any of the three limitations curbs photosynthesis at the given values of the other two. The contribution of different components of cellular resistance to total cellular resistance to $CO₂$ diffusion was esti-mated from the anatomical model following [Tosens](#page-14-4) *et al.* (2016). This share of limitation (l_i) by different liquid phase components was calculated as:

$$
l_{\rm i} = \frac{g_{\rm m}}{g_{\rm i} \cdot \frac{S_{\rm c}}{S}}\tag{2}
$$

where l_i is the limitation by the cell wall, the plasmalemma, cytosol, chloroplast envelope and stroma, and g_i refers to the diffusion conductance of each corresponding diffusion pathway. The limitation of each cellular component was scaled up with S_c/S .

Estimation of leaf dry mass per area and nitrogen content

Foliage used for gas exchange measurements was further used for anatomical, morphological and chemical measurements. Individual foliage elements (leaves, needles or scales) were removed from the twigs and scanned at 300 dpi. The foliage was thereafter oven-dried at 70 °C for 48 h, and its dry mass was determined. Leaf projected area was determined from scanned images with ImageJ 1.48v software (Wayne Rasband/NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA) or calculated from the measured length and widths of sides measured with digital precision calipers (Mitutoyo CD-15DC, Mitutoyo Ltd, Andover, UK) for needles and cladodes ([Niinemets](#page-13-23) *et al.*, 2007). From these measurements, LMA and density $(D_{\text{leaf}}=LMA/T_{\text{leaf}})$ were calculated [\(Niinemets, 1999;](#page-13-24) [Poorter](#page-13-25) *et al.*, 2009). Nitrogen content per dry mass (N_{mass}) was determined by the dry combustion method with a Vario MAX CNS elemental analyser (Elementar, Hanau, Germany), and leaf nitrogen content per area as N_{mass}/LMA .

Light and electron microscopy

A sub-sample of leaves used for gas exchange measurements was taken for leaf anatomical measurements. Light and electron microscopy sample preparation and analyses followed [Tosens](#page-14-3) *et al.* [\(2012](#page-14-3)*b*). Six samples were taken from three specimens of each species. Foliage cuts of approximately 6×4 mm (or width of the needle or cladode according to species) were taken from intercostal areas. In *C. sempervirens* scales and in *S. uncinata* whole leaves were stripped from the branches with tweezers. The plant material was infiltrated in a fixation buffer (3% glutaric aldehyde and 2% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 6.9) under vacuum in a syringe. The samples were post-fixed for 1 h in an osmium tetroxide solution (2%) and dehydrated in a series of increasingly stronger ethanol solutions and embedded in LR white resin (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA, USA) according to standard procedures ([Tosens](#page-14-3) *et al.*, 2012*b*). Subsequently, the samples were polymerized in an oven at 60 °C for 26 h. Semi-thin cross-sections of 1 µm for light microscopy, and ultra-thin crosssections of 70 nm were prepared by an ultramicrotome (Leica EM

UC7, Leica Vienna, Austria) for transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The semi-thin sections were stained with toluidine blue for light microscopy, and the sections for TEM were stained with lead citrate and then mounted on formvar–carbon covered copper meshes (Electron Microscopy Sciences). Stained semi-thin sections were viewed in bright field ensuring that all sections were ideally straight with a Nikon Eclipse E600 microscope with phase contrast at magnifications of \times 100, \times 200 and \times 400 and photographed with a Nikon 5 MP digital microscope camera DS-Fi1 (Nikon Corp., Kyoto, Japan). A Philips Tecnai 10 TEM (FEI, Eindhoven, Netherlands) was used to view the ultra-thin sections with the accelerating voltage of 80 kV and magnification between \times 1800 and ×14 000. Between five and seven fields of view per sample were measured.

From digital images the following parameters were measured: fraction of intercellular airspace (F_{ias}) , leaf thickness from adaxial to abaxial cuticle (T_{leaf}) , mesophyll thickness excluding vascular bundles (T_{mes}), cytoplasm thickness (T_{cyt}), chloroplast thickness (T_{ch}) , mesophyll cell wall thickness (T_{cwm}) , chloroplast and mesophyll cell wall area from which *S*c/*S* and mesophyll area exposed to intercellular airspace (*S*m/*S*) were calculated according to Evans *et al.* [\(1994\)](#page-12-6) ([Table 2\)](#page-10-0). These characteristics were measured for at least ten spongy and ten palisade parenchyma cells and tissue-volume weighted averages were calculated in the species with distinctive separation of mesophyll to spongy and palisade parenchyma. Thirty cells were analysed for every specimen. Light and electron micrographs were analysed with ImageJ 1.48v software.

Statistical analyses

For each species, all measurements were replicated at least with three individual plants. Linear and non-linear regression analyses, *t*-tests and ANCOVA were used to examine the relationships among the traits and test for trait differences among species using Statistica 10.0 (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA). The choice between linear and non-linear models depended on the shape of the relationships, degree of explained variance and normality of data and residuals, and models providing the greatest r^2 and lowest deviations from normality of residuals were used. Due to high variability of foliage shapes and structures, individual species were occasionally outliers in statistical relationships, especially in relationships exploring the effects of individual underlying traits on composite variables. For instance, some correlations were significant when the gymnosperms were considered, but not when the spore-bearing species were included. We denote these and analogous outliers separately in bivariate statistical relationships.

Phylogenetic analyses

Sequences of the plant barcode genes maturase K (*matK*; a gene that encodes an intron splicing protein) and *RbcL* (a gene that encodes the large subunit of Rubisco; both genes are in the chloroplastic DNA; [Hollingsworth](#page-13-26) *et al.*, 2009) were extracted from NCBI GenBank ([www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov,](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) last accessed July 2015). This information was not available for *Macrozamia riedlei*, so the closest available relative, *M. moorei*, was used. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted and phylogenetic trees were created by the neighbor-joining method using standard procedures in MEGA6 ([Tamura](#page-14-14) *et al.*, 2013). The maximum composite likelihood model was used for estimates of evolutionary divergence ([Tamura](#page-14-15) *et al.*, 2004), and the maximum likelihood method based on the Tamura–Nei model [\(Tamura and](#page-14-16) [Nei, 1993\)](#page-14-16) was used to create phylogenetic topology with the superior log-likelihood value. The evolutionary age of genera was based on previously published literature [\(Table 1](#page-4-0): smaller numbers indicate evolutionarily closer species). This information was correlated with the study parameters using *t*-tests and ANCOVA. The Akaike information criterion was used as a measure of the relative quality of the multiple linear models used here.

Table 1. *Phylogenetic ages of the genera, growth form, leaf habit and distances of studied taxa and estimates of evolutionary divergence* studied taxa and estimates of evolutionary divergence ð growth form, leaf habit and distances Table 1. Phylogenetic ages of the genera,

Species are in the order of their evolutionary age. Evolutionary divergence is defined as the number of base substitutions per site between sequences.

Species are in the order of their evolutionary age. Evolutionary divergence is defined as the number of base substitutions per site between sequences

Results

Variation in leaf anatomy and morphology in evolutionarily old species

In order to understand the mesophyll diffusional limitations to photosynthesis, a complete structural and ultrastructural analysis was performed of the photosynthetic organs of all the species. A large variability was observed in their macroscopic anatomy [\(Fig. 1A](#page-2-0)), but also structural and ultrastructural parameters [\(Figs 1B–D](#page-2-0) and [2\)](#page-5-0), e.g. a *P. sylvestris* cross-section exhibited lobed cells, increasing mesophyll surface area exposed to the intercellular airspace ([Fig. 1C](#page-2-0)). LMA varied about 7-fold between species, from 45 ± 12 g m⁻² in *S. uncinata* to 308 \pm 22 g m⁻² in *C. sempervirens*. Leaf density (D_{leaf}) and thickness varied 16- and 24-fold, respectively. T_{cwm} varied 5.5-fold (from 0.2 ± 0.05 µm in *S. uncinata* to 1.2 ± 0.37 µm in *P. nivalis*), *S*_c/*S* varied 3.5-fold (from 4.9 ± 0.47 m² m⁻² in *S. uncinata* to 17.1 \pm 0.62 m² m⁻² in *P. sylvestris*), and *S*_m/*S* varied 2.9-fold $(6.1 \pm 0.18 \text{ to } 17.5 \pm 0.72 \text{ m}^2 \text{ m}^{-2})$. The variation in LMA was attributed to variation in both of its components, but there was a stronger positive relationship with D_{leaf} (excluding *S. uncinata* due to its extremely thin leaves) than T_{leaf} ([Fig. 3A](#page-6-0), [B](#page-6-0)). However, there was no correlation between LMA and mesophyll cell wall thickness [\(Fig. 3C](#page-6-0)). There was a strong positive relationship between S_c/S and S_m/S (see Supplementary Fig. S1A), but neither of them was related to LMA ([Fig. 3D](#page-6-0) for S_c/S ; $r^2=0.077$, $P=0.36$ for the correlation with S_m/S). Similarly, S_m/S was not correlated to fraction of intercellular airspaces, F_{ias} (r^2 =0.007; P =0.78). S_c/S was unrelated to T_{mes} (Supplementary Fig. S1B), but S_{m}/S had a positive correlation (Supplementary Fig. S1C). However, this correlation was not significant when only gymnosperms were included $(r^2=0.068; P=0.37)$.

Interspecific variation in photosynthetic capacity and its relationships with diffusional and structural determinants

Area- and mass-based net assimilation (A_{mass}) varied about 5- and 8-fold, respectively (from 2.1 \pm 0.34 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ in *P. nudum* to 11.0 ± 0.78 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ in *P. sylvestris*, and from 21 \pm 3.9 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹ in *C. revoluta* to 165 \pm 9 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹ in *M. glyptostroboides*). Mesophyll conductance per unit leaf area ($g_{m/area}$) varied over 12-fold (from 10 \pm 2.1 mmol m^{-2} s⁻¹ in *Ephedra minuta* to 124 \pm 9 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹ in *P. sylvestris*) and mass-based *g*m (*g*m/mass) over 15-fold (from 0.08 ± 0.005 mmol g⁻¹ s⁻¹ in *P. nivalis* to 1.20 ± 0.06 mmol g⁻¹ s –1 in *M. glyptostroboides*).

 A_{area} depended strongly on g_s [\(Fig. 4A](#page-7-0)). Importantly, A_{area} scaled positively with $g_{\text{m/area}}$ ([Fig. 4B](#page-7-0)), but there was stronger positive correlation between A_{mass} and $g_{\text{m/mass}}$ ([Fig. 4C](#page-7-0)), which was significant even with the exclusion of *M. glyptostroboides* $(r^2=0.42; P=0.016)$. A similar strength negative curvilinear relationship was found between $C_a - C_i$ *vs g_s* ([Fig. 4D\)](#page-7-0) and the $CO₂$ drawdown from the intercellular airspace to chloroplasts (C_i-C_c) *vs* $g_{m/area}$ [\(Fig. 4E](#page-7-0)). However, a stronger relationship was found between $C_i - C_c$ *vs* $g_{m/mass}$ when *S. uncinata* and *M. glyptostroboides* were excluded ([Fig. 4F](#page-7-0)).

Fig. 2. Ultrathin transmission electron microscopy cross-sections showing species with atypical chloroplast shapes and sizes: (A) *Selaginella uncinata*, (B) *Cycas revoluta* and (C) *Macrozamia riedlei*.

In addition to large variations in LMA and its components, nitrogen content per dry mass (N_{mass}) varied 6-fold (from $0.45 \pm 0.02\%$ to $2.72 \pm 0.07\%$) and area-based nitrogen (N_{area}) varied 12-fold (0.6 \pm 0.01 to 6.1 \pm 0.09 g m⁻²). *A*mass scaled positively with *N*mass, but the only deciduous

Fig. 3. Leaf dry mass per area (LMA) in relation to (A) leaf density (D_{leaf}), (B) leaf thickness (T_{leaf}) , (C) mesophyll cell wall thickness (T_{cum}) , and (D) chloroplast surface area exposed to intercellular airspaces (S_c/S). Each data point corresponds to one species (*n*=3–5). The non-gymnosperms are marked as open circles, while the only deciduous conifer, *Metasequoia glyptostroboides*, is marked as a filled square. Excluded species are marked with the species' name. Error bars show average±SE of all presented species. Note that some standard errors are so small that they are not visible under the data points. Data were fitted by linear regression (all are significant at *P*<0.05).

conifer in this study, *M. glyptostroboides*, diverged from this relationship by having higher *A*mass ([Fig. 5A](#page-8-0)). There was no relationship between N_{area} and A_{area} (r^2 =0.20; *P*=0.12). Similarly, A_{mass} was negatively correlated with LMA, while there was no relationship between A_{area} and LMA ([Fig. 5B](#page-8-0), [C](#page-8-0)).

Analysis of the ultra-anatomical controls on g_m demonstrated that *g*m/area was positively correlated with *S*c/*S*. On the other hand, *g*m/mass and *g*m/area were negatively associated with T_{cwm} . C_i – C_c scaled positively with T_{cwm} across gymnosperms, but not across the whole sample ([Fig. 6](#page-8-1)). T_{cwm} did not correlate with density $(r^2=0.030; P=0.55)$.

In addition to gas exchange–fluorescence methodology, g_m was estimated by two alternative methods: it was modeled from mesophyll anatomical traits by using the anatomical model of [Niinemets and Reichstein \(2003\)](#page-13-21) and from *A*–*C*ⁱ curves [\(Ethier and Livingston, 2004](#page-12-5), Fig. 7). g_m calculated from anatomical measurements correlated better with the estimates obtained from gas exchange–fluorescence measurements than g_m obtained from $A-C_i$ curves (average discrepancy between *g*m from gas exchange–fluorescence was 27% with g_m from anatomy and 33% with g_m from Ethier [and Livingston \(2004\)](#page-12-5)). Based on the quantitative limitation analysis, the photosynthetic capacity was strongly limited by both g_s (range 15–70%) and $g_{m/area}$ (range 12–74%), while limited biochemical capacity (range 8–33%) restricted *A*area less than stomata and mesophyll [\(Fig. 8A\)](#page-10-1). With respect to the structural limitations of g_m , the estimated gas phase limitation inside the leaf was $\langle 1\%$ of the total limitations for all the species (data not shown). Among all the components of liquid phase limitations, cytoplasm and membrane limitations played a minor role, whereas the predominant limitation was exerted by cell walls and chloroplast stroma ([Fig. 8B](#page-10-1) and [Table 2](#page-10-0)).

The intrinsic water use efficiency (WUE_i) varied *ca* 3-fold across species. It was negatively correlated with the share of photosynthesis limited by $g_{m/area}$ [\(Fig. 9A\)](#page-11-0). WUE_i also scaled positively with the photosynthesis limitation by stomata [\(Fig. 9B](#page-11-0)). When considered together, the interspecific variation in WUE_i was driven by both l_s and l_m (see Supplementary Table S2).

The effect of divergence time on structure and physiology

In the given dataset, LMA and A_{area} were negatively correlated with the estimated evolutionary age of the genus (Supplementary Fig. S2 and Supplementary Table S3a; r^2 =0.45; *p*=0.012). However, $g_{\text{m/area}}$ itself was not related to plant evolutionary age (r^2 =0.055; p =0.44) and $g_{\text{m/area}}$ influenced A_{area} regardless of evolutionary age (Supplementary Table S3b). Nevertheless, the lowest mesophyll conductances were observed for the oldest genera (*Ephedra*: 10.3 mmol m–2 s –1; *Psilotum*: 12.5 mmol m–2 s–1; *Selaginella*: 20.5 mmol m–2 s⁻¹). However, the highest average $g_{m/area}$ was recorded for *Pinus* (124 \pm 9 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹), although this genus is older than four others (*Picea*, *Podocarpus*, *Taxus*, *Cupressus*) in this study. In addition, g_s correlated negatively with the age of the genus $(r^2=0.41; P=0.017)$, but there was no significant correlation of WUE_i with the evolutionary age of the genus (*r* 2 =0.083; *P=*0.34).

Fig. 4. (A, B) Area-based net assimilation (A_{area}) in relation to (A) stomatal conductance (g_s) and (B) mesophyll conductance per area ($g_{m/area}$). (C) Massbased photosynthesis (A_{mass}) in relation to mass-based mesophyll conductance ($g_{\text{m/mass}}$). (D) The draw-down from the atmosphere to the intercellular airspaces (*C*a–*C*ⁱ) in relation to *g*s. (E, F) The draw-down from intercellular airspaces to chloroplasts (*C*ⁱ –*C*c) in relation to (E) *g*m/area and (F) *g*m/mass. Data in (B) were fitted by non-linear regression of the form *y*=2.73ln(*x*)–2.81; data in (D) of the form *y*=–53ln(*x*)+389; data in (E) of the form *y*=–36ln(*x*)+252; and data in (F) of the form *y*=–30ln(*x*)+80. Data are presented as in [Fig. 3](#page-6-0).

Discussion

Structural and ultrastructural traits determining LES relationships in evolutionarily old species

LMA in our study depended on leaf density while its relationship with T_{leaf} was weaker (Fig. 3A, [B](#page-6-0)) as shown by [Tomás](#page-14-6) *et al.* [\(2013\)](#page-14-6) across a variety of genera. Contrarily, LMA was more strongly related to T_{leaf} than to D_{leaf} in Australian sclerophylls [\(Niinemets](#page-13-8) *et al.*, 2009*c*). The median value of LMA for evergreen gymnosperms is higher (230 g m^{-2}) than what was found here (214 g m⁻²), but the minimum and maximum values here fall into the gymnosperm range [\(Poorter](#page-13-25) *et al.*, 2009) placing them at the low end of LES characterized by overall robust foliage and low photosynthetic capacity ([Wright](#page-14-0) *et al.*, 2004).

Prior this study the highest T_{cwm} was recorded in ferns and allies: 0.81 µm [\(Tosens](#page-14-4) *et al.*, 2016). The species here had even thicker mesophyll cell walls: up to 1.22 µm in *P. nivalis.* Both the average across the sample and across the gymnosperms (0.68 µm) were also very high compared with what has been previously found for non-stressed fully expanded leaves: from approximately $0.1-0.2$ µm in annual to $0.4-0.5$ µm in sclerophyllous species ([Hanba](#page-13-31) *et al.*, 2001; [Terashima](#page-14-19) *et al.*, 2006; [Hassiotou](#page-13-5) *et al.*, 2009; [Tosens](#page-14-3) *et al.*, 2012*b*, [2016;](#page-14-4) [Tomás](#page-14-6) *et al.*[, 2013](#page-14-6)). On the other hand, values of S_c/S in our study were average in the majority of species as it varies from 1.6 to 32 m² m–2 worldwide [\(Terashima](#page-14-19) *et al.*, 2006; [Peguero-Pina](#page-13-6) *et al.*[, 2012](#page-13-6); [Tosens](#page-14-4) *et al.*, 2016) and the lowest values have been recorded in ferns (in the majority of species $S_c/S < 8$) ([Tosens](#page-14-4) *et al.*, 2016), but across evergreen gymnosperms *S*c/*S* had only been measured in *Abies alba* and *A. pinsapo* (17 and 32 m² m–2, respectively) [\(Peguero-Pina](#page-13-6) *et al.*, 2012). However, $CO₂$ diffusion to Rubisco is greatly enhanced if the cell walls

Fig. 5. Mass- and area-based net assimilation (respectively A_{mass} and *A*_{area}) in relation to (A) mass-based nitrogen content (N_{mas}) and (B, C) LMA. The data in (B) were fitted with a curvilinear regression of the form *y*=896*x*–0.63. Data presentation and fitting in (A, C) are as in [Fig. 3](#page-6-0).

are fully lined with chloroplasts, with $S_c/S_m=1$, a condition that in practice is rare [\(Terashima](#page-14-2) *et al.*, 2011). The ratio was remarkably high in the gymnosperms studied here, being over 0.96 for five species (see Supplementary Fig. S1A).

The LMA components thickness and density alter leaf photosynthetic capacity in opposite directions [\(Niinemets,](#page-13-24) [1999](#page-13-24)). Leaf thickness often reflects a greater number of chloroplasts, higher S_c/S and S_m/S for CO_2 diffusion, and greater concentration of photosynthetic machinery, while greater density is associated with lower net assimilation due to increased cell wall resistance [\(Hanba](#page-13-32) *et al.*, 1999; [Niinemets,](#page-13-24) [1999;](#page-13-24) [Terashima](#page-14-19) *et al.*, 2006). Contrarily, [Slaton and Smith](#page-14-20) [\(2002\)](#page-14-20) studied a wide range of genera, but did not find a correlation between S_m/S and T_{mes} . Similarly, no relationship was found between S_c/S or S_m/S and T_{mes} across Australian sclerophylls [\(Tosens](#page-14-3) *et al.*, 2012*b*). However, across the evolutionarily old species studied in this work, T_{mes} correlated positively

Fig. 6. (A) The influence of chloroplast surface area exposed to intercellular airspaces (*S_c*/*S*) on mesophyll conductance ($g_{\text{m/area}}$). (B–D) The influence of cell wall thickness (T_{cwm}) on (B) mesophyll conductance per mass ($g_{\text{m/mass}}$), (C) mesophyll conductance per area ($g_{\text{m/area}}$), and (D) the draw-down from intercellular airspaces to chloroplasts (*C*ⁱ –*C*c). The data in (B) were fitted with a non-linear regression of the form *y*=–0.37ln(*x*)+0.09 and data in (C) of the form *y*=–26ln(*x*)+25. Data presentation and fitting are as in [Fig. 3](#page-6-0).

with S_m/S , but regardless of the positive trend was not correlated with S_c/S (Supplementary Fig. S1B, C). However, the species with high S_m/S also had higher S_c/S (Supplementary Fig. S1A). This somewhat counterintuitive outcome results from the variability of S_c/S due to the spore-bearing plants in

the sample as their S_c/S_m ratio was lower than average. These relationships were not significant, when these two species were excluded from the analyses. S_m/S and S_c/S depended on mesophyll architecture rather than T_{mes} or F_{ias} due to variability in density, size and shape of mesophyll cells (e.g. lobes; [Fig. 1C\)](#page-2-0) at a given thickness as was previously suggested by [Tosens](#page-14-5) *et al.* (2012*a*). Likewise, this resulted in LMA not correlating with S_c/S ([Fig. 3D](#page-6-0)).

Additionally, T_{cwm} was not related to LMA (Fig. 3C) or density as is often assumed (e.g. [Syvertsen](#page-14-21) *et al.*, 1995) showing that T_{cwm} may not vary with total leaf tissue density or other leaf tissue's cell wall thicknesses [\(Tosens](#page-14-3) *et al.*, 2012*b*). Collectively, these results suggest that widely varying combinations of leaf anatomical traits occur at given values of LMA, and detailed anatomical studies are needed to relate g_m to mesophyll structure.

Structural modifications of photosynthesis and mesophyll diffusion conductance

*A*area and *g*s were correlated and comparable to those found in spore-bearing plants and gymnosperms ([James](#page-13-33) *et al.*, 1994; [Equiza](#page-12-8) *et al.*, 2006; [Franks, 2006](#page-13-34); [La Porta](#page-13-35) *et al.*, 2006; [Pardo](#page-13-36) *et al.*[, 2009](#page-13-36); [Zhang](#page-14-10) *et al.*, 2015; [Tosens](#page-14-4) *et al.*, 2016). [Flexas](#page-13-4) *et al.* [\(2012\)](#page-13-4) set an average g_m slightly above 100 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹ for conifers, and studies have shown a wide variation from as low as 18 up to 110 mmol m^{-2} s⁻¹ in evergreen gymnosperms [\(Warren](#page-14-1) *et al.*, 2003; [De Lucia](#page-12-1) *et al.*, 2003; [Manter](#page-13-2) [and Kerrigan, 2004;](#page-13-2) [Black](#page-12-2) *et al.*, 2005; [Mullin](#page-13-9) *et al.*, 2009; [Peguero-Pina](#page-13-6) *et al.*, 2012, [2016](#page-13-10)). Accordingly, g_m varied from 10 to 124 mmol $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ in this study. The values of $C_i - C_c$ here fell in the higher part of the range found for angiosperms and were higher than previously found for conifers ([Niinemets](#page-13-15) *et al.*[, 2005](#page-13-15), [2009](#page-13-0)*b*; [Warren, 2008;](#page-14-22) [Hassiotou](#page-13-5) *et al.*, 2009; [Tosens](#page-14-3) *et al.*, 2012*b*; [Peguero-Pina](#page-13-6) *et al.*, 2012). Importantly, $g_{\rm m}$ influenced both $C_{\rm i}$ – $C_{\rm c}$ and photosynthesis significantly with stronger mass-based relations [\(Fig. 4\)](#page-7-0).

Nitrogen content was similar to that previously found in conifers and positively related to *A*mass, as was also shown by Reich *et al.* [\(1995\).](#page-14-23) *M. glyptostroboides* diverged in the analysis, which can be explained by its deciduous nature ([Wright](#page-14-24) *et al.*[, 2002\)](#page-14-24). A negative relationship between LMA and *A*mass has been shown previously for evergreens while area-based photosynthesis seems not to correlate with LMA, which is contrary to deciduous species, where higher LMA has been shown to correlate with higher *A*area ([Reich](#page-14-23) *et al.*, 1995; [Wright](#page-14-0) *et al.*[, 2004](#page-14-0)). Accordingly, the same was found here [\(Fig. 5](#page-8-0)). Altogether, this illustrates strong investment in supportive structure ([Niinemets](#page-13-8) *et al.*, 2009*c*; [Hassiotou](#page-13-5) *et al.*, 2009).

Similarly to recent studies, the most important anatomical correlations with g_m were S_c/S and T_{cwm} [\(Terashima](#page-14-2) *et al.*, [2011](#page-14-2); [Tosens](#page-14-3) *et al.*, 2012*b*, [2016](#page-14-4); [Peguero-Pina](#page-13-6) *et al.*, 2012; [Tomás](#page-14-6) *et al.*, 2013) ([Fig. 6](#page-8-1)). The significance of each depends on foliage structure, e.g. in sclerophylls higher T_{cwm} overshadows the influence of *S*c/*S* on *g*m ([Tomás](#page-14-6) *et al.*, 2013). The $g_{\text{m/mass}}-T_{\text{cwm}}$ correlation was stronger than the area-based one ([Fig. 6B,](#page-8-1) [C\)](#page-8-1) as *g*m/mass indicates the investment in cell walls and *g*m/area in the mesophyll area [\(Niinemets](#page-13-0) *et al.*, 2009*b*).

The importance of structure as a limiting factor of photosynthesis

In order to overcome the various uncertainties related to g_m estimations, it was additionally modelled from anatomy and estimated from $A - C_i$ curves [\(Ethier and Livingston, 2004](#page-12-5)). All three methods show similarly wide variation in g_m across evolutionarily old species [\(Fig. 7\)](#page-9-0). However, g_m from anatomy tended to underestimate, whereas the $A - C_i$ curve method overestimated, *g*m for some species. When both methods were considered, g_m from the variable *J* method was more strongly correlated with g_m obtained from anatomy than from $A-C_i$ curves. Likewise, [Tomás](#page-14-6) *et al.* (2013) found this across species exhibiting a wide variation of leaf morphologies. Yet, the model tends to over- or underestimate at the lower or higher end of *g*m ([Tosens](#page-14-3) *et al.*, 2012*a*,*b*; [Peguero-Pina](#page-13-6) *et al.*, 2012; [Tomás](#page-14-6) *et al.*, 2013; Fini *et al.*[, 2016\)](#page-12-9). In this sample, g_m from anatomy mostly underestimated for *C. revoluta*, *C. sempervirens* and *P. abies* ([Fig. 7\)](#page-9-0), while the model estimations where in strong agreement with *g*m from gas exchange for species with thick mesophyll cell walls and low g_m . This is different from [Tosens](#page-14-4) *et al.* (2016), where the model overestimated

Fig. 7. Comparison of $g_{m/area}$ calculated based on [Harley](#page-13-14) *et al.* (1992) with (A) $g_{\text{m/area}}$ calculated from anatomical measurements according to [Niinemets and Reichstein \(2003\)](#page-13-21) and (B) $g_{m/area}$ calculated based on [Ethier and Livingston \(2004\).](#page-12-5) Dashed lines represent 1:1 correlation. Data presentation and fitting are as in [Fig. 3](#page-6-0), but all species are included in the analyses. *C. revoluta*, *C. sempervirens* and *P. abies* were underestimated by the anatomical model.

Fig. 8. (A) The percentage of assimilation limited by stomatal conductance (l_s), mesophyll conductance (l_m) and biochemistry (l_b). (B) The percentage of mesophyll conductance per area limited by liquid phase components: cell wall, chloroplast, cytoplasm and membrane. Error bars denominate standard errors (*n*=3–5). The species are in the order of evolutionary age.

Table 2. *Leaf anatomical parameters*

Data are ±SE; *n*=3–5. *F*_{ias}, average fraction of intercellular airspace; *T*_{cwm}, mesophyll cell wall thickness; *T*_{cyt}, cytoplasm thickness; *T*_{chl}, chloroplast thickness.

for evolutionarily old *Ophioglossum* and *Lycopodium* species exhibiting very high T_{cwm} (0.7–0.81 μ m). The discrepancy between measured and modelled g_m may arise from various uncertainties associated with both estimates. As for combined gas exchange–fluorescence estimation, g_m is not the only component to affect *C*_c: the amount of respiratory and

Fig. 9. Correlations of intrinsic water use efficiency (WUE_i) with (A) the share of photosynthesis limited by mesophyll conductance (/m) and (B) share of photosynthesis limited by stomatal conductance (*l* s). Data presentation and fitting are as in [Fig. 3](#page-6-0).

photorespiratory $CO₂$ should be considered as an independent source of $CO₂$ [\(Tholen](#page-14-25) *et al.*, 2012). Concerning the predictive power of the anatomical model for species exhibiting a wide variation in T_{cwm} , heterogeneous chloroplast thickness and morphology [\(Figs 1](#page-2-0) and [2](#page-5-0)), the strongest uncertainties are related to the unknown variation of mesophyll cell wall porosity and the actual determinants of stroma resistance including the role of carbonic anhydrase (CA). [Nobel \(1991\)](#page-13-37) proposed an upper value of cell wall porosity of 0.3 and that it varies linearly with T_{cwm} . Indeed, 0.3 was used to calculate g_m for *Populus tremula* with low T_{cwm} and it gave good results ([Tosens](#page-14-5) *et al.*, 2012*a*). In a multispecies context the relationship between *g*m from anatomy and gas exchange was improved when porosity was modelled varying between 0.04 and 0.095 and being negatively correlated with T_{cwm} ([Tosens](#page-14-3) *et al.*[, 2012](#page-14-3)*b*; [Peguero-Pina](#page-13-6) *et al.*, 2012; [Tomás](#page-14-6) *et al.*, 2013). Some species here and in [Tosens](#page-14-4) *et al.* (2016) exhibited very high T_{cwm} compared with prior research, and therefore lower porosity values were used for species where T_{cwm} >0.5 μ m. However, as was emphasized by [Tosens](#page-14-3) *et al.* (2012*b*), the linear decline of porosity with T_{cwm} is hypothetical and the discrepancy between modelled and measured g_m could be resolved by some other trait.

Quantitative limitation analysis further confirmed that the mesophyll gas phase limitation to $CO₂$ diffusion is negligible as it accounted for less than 1% of the total limitation. This is even less than previously observed in a wide range of sclerophyllous angiosperm genera or in spore-bearing plants ([Tosens](#page-14-3) *et al.*, 2012*a*,*[b](#page-14-5)*; [Tomás](#page-14-6) *et al.*, 2013). Using limitation analysis to further separate the contribution of the components of the liquid phase revealed that the limitation of T_{cwm} and T_{chl} had the greatest span, ranging from 6 to 72% and from 22 to 84%, respectively ([Fig. 8B\)](#page-10-1). This is in agreement with the negative correlation between $g_{\text{m/mass}}$ and T_{cwm} (Fig. $6B$). CO₂ faces the longest diffusion distance in the liquid phase after entering the chloroplasts ([Evans](#page-12-0) *et al.*, 2009). In this set of species, T_{chl} varied between 1.97 and 5.36 μ m ([Fig. 2\)](#page-5-0), which is thicker than previously reported explaining the high limitation by T_{ch} . It is debated whether the CO_2 diffusion efficiency in the chloroplast stroma is mainly controlled by CA activity in the chloroplast ([Flexas](#page-13-4) *et al.* 2012) and if it varies across species (at normal cytosolic pH), being

higher in evergreen sclerophylls in order to compensate for the low CO₂ diffusion efficiency through thick mesophyll cell walls ([Gillon and Yakir, 2000](#page-13-38)), or whether CA is always sufficient and CO_2 interconversion is not a limiting factor of g_m . Equally, this analysis adds to the evidence that $CO₂$ diffusion is importantly controlled by the physical diffusion distance within the chloroplasts ([Tosens](#page-14-3) *et al.*, 2012*a*,*[b](#page-14-5)*; [Peguero-Pina](#page-13-6) *et al.*[, 2012](#page-13-6); [Tomás](#page-14-6) *et al.*, 2013).

Overall, the good fit between g_m calculated from anatomy and gas exchange confirms that g_m is explained to a large extent by inherent variations in mesophyll anatomy, while mesophyll cell walls and chloroplasts are the most important structural determinants of liquid phase conductance in species exhibiting high T_{cwm} , T_{chl} and heterogeneous chloroplast morphology. However, the limitation amplitude was large implicating versatility in its importance among different species [\(Fig. 8A](#page-10-1)). The smallest limitation on *A*area on average was biochemistry, which is similar to previous interspecies studies by [Tomás](#page-14-6) *et al.* (2013) and [Tosens](#page-14-4) *et al.* (2016). As the mesophyll and stomatal conductances were low, especially compared with maximal values measured so far ([Wright](#page-14-0) *et al.*, [2004](#page-14-0); [Flexas](#page-13-4) *et al.*, 2012), it is expected that biochemistry plays a smaller role in limitations, because the photosynthetic enzymes are not saturated with $CO₂$ due to constraints on the previous parts of the pathway [\(Terashima](#page-14-2) *et al.*, 2011; [Niinemets](#page-13-1) *et al.*, 2011). The limitations by stomata and mesophyll were similarly significant in the control of WUE_i showing again that leaf mesophyll structure plays an important role in realized WUE_i [\(Fig. 9](#page-11-0)).

The effect of divergence time on structure and physiology

The rate of photosynthesis depended on the age of the genera. This, however, was mostly due to the inclusion of spore-bearing plants reflecting the evolutionary increase in photosynthetic capacity from early plants to angiosperms ([Brodribb](#page-12-10) *et al.*[, 2009](#page-12-10); [Carriquí](#page-12-11) *et al.*, 2015; [McAdam and Brodribb,](#page-13-39) [2015](#page-13-39)). However, when broad taxonomic groups are considered, species' evolutionary adaptation to light and water conditions can actually drive photosynthetic capacity more strongly than their evolutionary age [\(Tosens](#page-14-4) *et al.*, 2016). In

this regard, it is important that A_{area} depended on $g_{m/area}$ positively regardless of evolutionary age, showing that this correlation remained significant including the effect of divergence time in the model (see Supplementary Table S3a, b).

Analogously to A_{area} , it has been suggested that there is an increase in $g_{\text{m/area}}$ through the evolution and diversification of embryophytes [\(Carriquí](#page-12-11) *et al.*, 2015). While indeed gymnosperms studied here had a very low average *g*m/area, much lower than angiosperms with comparably tough foliage structure (e.g. [Flexas](#page-13-4) *et al.*, 2012), *g*m/area did not significantly depend on evolutionary age. As with within-group variability in ferns ([Tosens](#page-14-4) *et al.*, 2016) and angiosperms ([Flexas](#page-13-40) *et al.*, [2007](#page-13-40)*b*), this likely reflects individual species adaptation to specific habitat conditions.

Notwithstanding the lack of within-group evolutionary signal, low average $g_{\text{m/area}}$ and A_{area} and the corresponding thick mesophyll cell walls for species with widely contrasting ecological strategies do support the preservation of old traits through evolution, suggesting apparent constraints on evolution. Different $CO₂/O₂$ selection pressures at the time of divergence for ferns and angiosperms have been hypothesized to be the reason for lower average *A*area values for the former [\(Carriquí](#page-12-11) *et al.*, 2015) as ferns evolved in several-fold higher $CO₂$ and slightly lower $O₂$ concentrations than angiosperms [\(Brodribb](#page-12-10) *et al.*, 2009; [Carriquí](#page-12-11) *et al.*, 2015). In fact, in the high- $CO₂$ atmosphere where several of the thick-cell-walled species evolved (about 65–200 My ago), diffusional limitations exercised a lower control on the rate of photosynthesis. However, while this ancient trait has been preserved through evolution, *g*m has started to exercise an increasingly stronger control on foliage assimilation rates. Yet, this might be inevitable given the inefficient dynamic stomatal control under water-limited conditions potentially leading to excess plant water loss until hydraulic constraints force stomatal closure [\(Brodribb](#page-12-12) *et al.*, 2005; [Carnicer](#page-12-13) *et al.*, 2013).

Conclusions

This study demonstrates important relationships between mesophyll structure and physiology in evolutionarily old plants. A large variability was found in ultrastructural traits determining LES relationships. Although LMA depended on leaf density and thickness, they were not correlated with T_{cwm} or *S*c/*S* illustrating that LMA cannot be used as a universal explanation for photosynthetic structural constraints.

Mesophyll anatomy exerted major control on net assimilation rates. The three methods used in previous studies for interspecies comparisons were used to confirm g_m values from gas exchange–fluorescence measurements and their anatomical nature. Although high variation was uncovered between species in g_m , it was on average very low. This resulted mainly from the exceptionally thick cell walls, large chloroplasts, and low *S_c*/*S*. The role of stroma deserves further attention.

These characteristics in evolutionarily older taxa support the preservation of ancient traits in evolution, although the role of functional adaptation and evolutionary constraint in leaf anatomy continues to be debated.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at *JXB* online.

- Fig. S1. S_c/S , S_m/S and T_{mes} correlations.
- Fig. S2. LMA and evolutionary age correlation.
- Table S1. Species' habitat and origin.
- Table S2. Dependence of WUE_i on limitations.
- Table S3. A_{area} and evolutionary age correlation.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Estonian Ministry of Science and Education (grants $I\overline{UT}$ -8-3, PUT1409 and and PUT1473) and the European Commission through the European Regional Fund (the Center of Excellence EcolChange).

References

Bernacchi CJ, Singsaas EL, Pimentel C, Portis AR Jr, Long SP. 2001. Improved temperature response functions for models of Rubiscolimited photosynthesis. Plant, Cell and Environment 24, 253–259.

Biffin E, Brodribb TJ, Hill RS, Thomas P, Lowe AJ. 2012. Leaf evolution in Southern Hemisphere conifers tracks the angiosperm ecological radiation. Proceedings of the Royal Society B. Biological Sciences 279, 341–348.

Black K, Davis P, McGrath J, Doherty P, Osborne B. 2005. Interactive effects of irradiance and water availability on the photosynthetic performance of *Picea sitchensis* seedlings: implications for seedling establishment under different management practices. Annals of Forest Science 62, 413–422.

Brodribb TJ, Holbrook NM, Zwieniecki MA, Palma B. 2005. Leaf hydraulic capacity in ferns, conifers and angiosperms: impacts on photosynthetic maxima. The New Phytologist 165, 839–846.

Brodribb TJ, McAdam SA, Jordan GJ, Feild TS. 2009. Evolution of stomatal responsiveness to $CO₂$ and optimization of water-use efficiency among land plants. The New Phytologist 183, 839–847.

Carnicer J, Barbeta A, Sperlich D, Coll M, Peñuelas J. 2013. Contrasting trait syndromes in angiosperms and conifers are associated with different responses of tree growth to temperature on a large scale. Frontiers in Plant Science 4, 409.

Carriquí M, Cabrera HM, Conesa MÀ, *et al*. 2015. Diffusional limitations explain the lower photosynthetic capacity of ferns as compared with angiosperms in a common garden study. Plant, Cell and Environment 38, 448–460.

Cheng Y, Nicolson RG, Tripp K, Chaw SM. 2000. Phylogeny of Taxaceae and Cephalotaxaceae genera inferred from chloroplast *matK* gene and nuclear rDNA ITS region. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 14, 353–365.

De Lucia EH, Whitehead D, Clearwater MJ. 2003. The relative limitation of photosynthesis by mesophyll conductance in co-occurring species in a temperate rainforest dominated by the conifer *Dacrydium cupressinum*. Functional Plant Biology 30, 1197–1204.

Equiza MA, Day ME, Jagels R. 2006. Physiological responses of three deciduous conifers (*Metasequoia glyptostroboides*, *Taxodium distichum* and *Larix laricina*) to continuous light: adaptive implications for the early Tertiary polar summer. Tree Physiology 26, 353–364.

Ethier GJ, Livingston NJ. 2004. On the need to incorporate sensitivity to CO₂ transfer conductance into the Farquhar–von Caemmerer–Berry leaf photosynthesis model. Plant, Cell and Environment 27, 137–153.

Evans JR, Kaldenhoff R, Genty B, Terashima I. 2009. Resistances along the $CO₂$ diffusion pathway inside leaves. Journal of Experimental Botany 60, 2235–2248.

Evans JR, Setchell BA, von Caemmerer S, Hudson GS. 1994. The relationship between CO2 transfer conductance and leaf anatomy in transgenic tobacco with a reduced content of Rubisco. Plant Environmental Biology 21, 475–495.

Fini A, Loreto F, Tattini M, Giordano C, Ferrini F, Brunetti C, Centritto M. 2016. Mesophyll conductance plays a central role in leaf functioning of

Oleaceae species exposed to contrasting sunlight irradiance. Physiologia Plantarum 157, 54–68.

Flexas J, Barbour MM, Brendel O, *et al*. 2012. Mesophyll diffusion conductance to CO₂: an unappreciated central player in photosynthesis. Plant Science 193–194, 70–84.

Flexas J, Díaz-Espejo A, Berry JA, Cifre J, Galmés J, Kaldenhoff R, Medrano H, Ribas-Carbó M. 2007*a*. Analysis of leakage in IRGA's leaf chambers of open gas exchange systems: quantification and its effects in photosynthesis parameterization. Journal of Experimental Botany 58, 1533–1543.

Flexas J, Diaz-Espejo A, Galmés J, Kaldenhoff R, Medrano H, Ribas-Carbo M. 2007*b*. Rapid variations of mesophyll conductance in response to changes in $CO₂$ concentration around leaves. Plant, Cell and Environment 30, 1284–1298.

Flexas J, Niinemets U, Gallé A, *et al*. 2013. Diffusional conductances to $CO₂$ as a target for increasing photosynthesis and photosynthetic wateruse efficiency. Photosynthesis Research 117, 45–59.

Flexas J, Ribas-Carbó M, Diaz-Espejo A, Galmés J, Medrano H. 2008. Mesophyll conductance to $CO₂$: current knowledge and future prospects. Plant, Cell and Environment 31, 602–621.

Franks PJ. 2006. Higher rates of leaf gas exchange are associated with higher leaf hydrodynamic pressure gradients. Plant, Cell and Environment 29, 584–592.

Galmés J, Flexas J, Keys AJ, Cifre J, Mitchell RAC, Madgwick PJ, Haslam RP, Medrano H, Parry MAJ. 2005. Rubisco specificity factor tends to be larger in plant species from drier habitats and in species with persistent leaves. Plant, Cell and Environment 28, 571–579.

Genty B, Briantais J-M, Baker NR. 1989. The relationship between the quantum yield of photosynthetic electron transport and quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 990, 87–92.

Gillon JS, Yakir D. 2000. Internal conductance to $CO₂$ diffusion and C^{18} OO discrimination in C_3 leaves. Plant Physiology 123, 201–214.

Grassi G, Magnani F. 2005. Stomatal, mesophyll conductance and biochemical limitations to photosynthesis as affected by drought and leaf ontogeny in ash and oak trees. Plant, Cell and Environment 28, 834–849.

Hanba YT, Miyazawa SI, Kogami H, Terashima I. 2001. Effects of leaf age on internal $CO₂$ transfer conductance and photosynthesis in tree species having different types of shoot phenology. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology 28, 1075-1084.

Hanba YT, Miyazawa S-I, Terashima I. 1999. The influence of leaf thickness on the $CO₂$ transfer conductance and leaf stable carbon isotope ratio for some evergreen tree species in Japanese warm-temperate forests. Functional Ecology 13, 632–639.

Harley PC, Loreto F, Di Marco G, Sharkey TD. 1992. Theoretical considerations when estimating the mesophyll conductance to $CO₂$ flux by analysis of the response of photosynthesis to $CO₂$. Plant Physiology 98, 1429–1436.

Hassiotou F, Ludwig M, Renton M, Veneklaas EJ, Evans JR. 2009. Influence of leaf dry mass per area, $CO₂$, and irradiance on mesophyll conductance in sclerophylls. Journal of Experimental Botany 60, 2303–2314.

Hemsley AR, Poole I. 2004. The evolution of plant physiology. London: Academic Press.

Hollingsworth PM, Forrest LL, Spouge JL, *et al*. 2009. A DNA barcode for land plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 106, 12794–12797.

James JC, Grace J, Hoad SP. 1994. Growth and photosynthesis of *Pinus sylvestris* at its altitudinal limit in Scotland. Journal of Ecology 82, 297–306.

Knapp M, Mudaliar R, Havell D, Wagstaff SJ, Lockhart PJ. 2007. The drowning of New Zealand and the problem of *Agathis*. Systematic Biology 56, 862–870.

Lang D, Weiche B, Timmerhaus G, Richardt S, Riaño-Pachón DM, Corrêa LG, Reski R, Mueller-Roeber B, Rensing SA. 2010. Genomewide phylogenetic comparative analysis of plant transcriptional regulation: a timeline of loss, gain, expansion, and correlation with complexity. Genome Biology and Evolution 2, 488–503.

La Porta N, Bertamini M, Nedunchezhian N, Muthuchelian K. 2006. Photosynthetic changes that occur during aging of cypress (*Cupressus sempervirens* L.) needles. Photosynthetica 44, 555–560.

McAdam SA, Brodribb TJ. 2015. The evolution of mechanisms driving the stomatal response to vapor pressure deficit. Plant Physiology 167, 833–843.

Magallón SA, Sanderson MJ. 2005. Angiosperm divergence times: the effect of genes, codon positions, and time constraints. Evolution 59, 1653–1670.

Manter DK, Kerrigan J. 2004. A/C_i curve analysis across a range of woody plant species: influence of regression analysis parameters and mesophyll conductance. Journal of Experimental Botany 55, 2581–2588.

Mullin LP, Sillett SC, Koch GW, Tu KP, Antoine ME. 2009. Physiological consequences of height-related morphological variation in *Sequoia sempervirens* foliage. Tree Physiology 29, 999–1010.

Niinemets $\ddot{\mathbf{U}}$. 1999. Research review. Components of leaf dry mass per area—thickness and density —alter leaf photosynthetic capacity in reverse directions in woody plants. The New Phytologist 144, 35–47.

Niinemets Ü. 2016. Does the touch of cold make evergreen leaves tougher? Tree Physiology 36, 267-272.

Niinemets Ü, Cescatti A, Rodehiero M, Tosens T. 2005. Leaf internal diffusion conductance limits photosynthesis more strongly in older leaves of Mediterranean evergreen broad-leaved species. Plant, Cell and Environment 28, 1552–1566.

Niinemets Ü, Cescatti A, Rodeghiero M, Tosens T. 2006. Complex adjustments of photosynthetic potentials and internal diffusion conductance to current and previous light availabilities and leaf age in Mediterranean evergreen species *Quercus ilex*. Plant, Cell and Environment 29, 1159–1178.

Niinemets Ü, Díaz-Espejo A, Flexas J, Galmés J, Warren CR. 2009*a*. Importance of mesophyll diffusion conductance in estimation of plant photosynthesis in the field. Journal of Experimental Botany 60, 2271–2282.

Niinemets Ü, Díaz-Espejo A, Flexas J, Galmés J, Warren CR. 2009*b*. Role of mesophyll diffusion conductance in constraining potential photosynthetic productivity in the field. Journal of Experimental Botany 60, 2249–2270.

Niinemets U, Flexas J, Peñuelas J. 2011. Evergreens favored by higher responsiveness to increased $CO₂$. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 26, 136–142.

Niinemets Ü, Lukjanova A, Turnbull MH, Sparrow AD. 2007. Plasticity in mesophyll volume fraction modulates light-acclimation in needle photosynthesis in two pines. Tree Physiology 27, 1137–1151.

Niinemets $\ddot{\mathbf{U}}$, Reichstein M. 2003. Controls on the emission of plant volatiles through stomata: Differential sensitivity of emission rates to stomatal closure explained. Journal of Geophysical Research 108, 4208.

Niinemets Ü, Wright IJ, Evans JR. 2009*c*. Leaf mesophyll diffusion conductance in 35 Australian sclerophylls covering a broad range of foliage structural and physiological variation. Journal of Experimental Botany 60, 2433–2449.

Nobel PS. 1991. Physicochemical and environmental plant physiology. San Diego, CA, USA: Academic Press.

Norstog K, Nicholls T. 1997. The biology of the cycads. Ithaca, NY, USA: Cornell University Press.

Pardo A, Aranjuelo I, Biel C, Savé R, Azcón-Bieto J, Nogués S. 2009. Effects of long-term exposure to elevated $CO₂$ conditions in slow-growing plants using a ¹²C-enriched CO₂labelling technique. Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry 23, 282–290.

Peguero-Pina JJ, Flexas J, Galmés J, Niinemets U, Sancho-Knapik D, Barredo G, Villarroya D, Gil-Pelegrín E. 2012. Leaf anatomical properties in relation to differences in mesophyll conductance to CO₂ and photosynthesis in two related Mediterranean *Abies* species. Plant, Cell and Environment 35, 2121–2129.

Peguero-Pina JJ, Sancho-Knapik D, Flexas J, Galmés J, Niinemets **Ü, Gil-Pelegrín E.** 2016. Light acclimation of photosynthesis in two closely related firs (*Abies pinsapo* Boiss. and *Abies alba* Mill.): the role of leaf anatomy and mesophyll conductance to $CO₂$. Tree Physiology 36, 300-310.

Piel C, Frak E, Le Roux X, Genty B. 2002. Effect of local irradiance on CO₂ transfer conductance of mesophyll in walnut. Journal of Experimental Botany 53, 2423–2430.

Poorter H, Niinemets U, Poorter L, Wright IJ, Villar R. 2009. Causes and consequences of variation in leaf mass per area (LMA): a metaanalysis. The New Phytologist 182, 565–588.

Pryer KM, Schuettpelz E, Wolf PG, Schneider H, Smith AR, Cranfill R. 2004. Phylogeny and evolution of ferns (monilophytes) with a focus on the early leptosporangiate divergences. American Journal of Botany 91, 1582–1598.

Reich P, Kloeppel B, Ellsworth D, Walters M. 1995. Different photosynthesis-nitrogen relations in deciduous hardwood and evergreen coniferous tree species. Oecologia 104, 24–30.

Rodeghiero M, Niinemets U, Cescatti A. 2007. Major diffusion leaks of clamp-on leaf cuvettes still unaccounted: how erroneous are the estimates of Farquhar *et al*. model parameters? Plant, Cell and Environment 30, 1006–1022.

Rydin C, Pedersen KR, Friis EM. 2004. On the evolutionary history of *Ephedra*: Cretaceous fossils and extant molecules. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 101, 16571–16576.

Shipley B, Lechowicz MJ, Wright I, Reich PB. 2006. Fundamental trade-offs generating the worldwide leaf economics spectrum. Ecology 87, 535–541.

Slaton MR, Smith WK. 2002. Mesophyll architecture and cell exposure to intercellular air space in alpine, desert, and forest species. International Journal of Plant Sciences 163, 937–948.

Stewart WN. 1993. Paleobotany and the evolution of plants. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Syvertsen JP, Lloyd J, McConchie C, Kriedemann PE, Farquhar GD. 1995. On the relationship between leaf anatomy and $CO₂$ diffusion through the mesophyll of hypostomatous leaves. Plant, Cell and Environment 18, 149–157.

Tamura K, Nei M. 1993. Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. Molecular Biology and Evolution 10, 512–526.

Tamura K, Nei M, Kumar S. 2004. Prospects for inferring very large phylogenies by using the neighbor-joining method. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 101, 11030–11035.

Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S. 2013. MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30, 2725-2729.

Terashima I, Hanba YT, Tazoe Y, Vyas P, Yano S. 2006. Irradiance and phenotype: comparative eco-development of sun and shade leaves in relation to photosynthetic CO₂ diffusion. Journal of Experimental Botany 57, 343–354.

Terashima I, Hanba YT, Tholen D, Niinemets Ü. 2011. Leaf functional anatomy in relation to photosynthesis. Plant Physiology 155, 108–116.

Tholen D, Ethier G, Genty B, Pepin S, Zhu XG. 2012. Variable mesophyll conductance revisited: theoretical background and experimental implications. Plant, Cell and Environment 35, 2087–2103.

Tomás M, Flexas J, Copolovici L, *et al*. 2013. Importance of leaf anatomy in determining mesophyll diffusion conductance to CO₂ across species: quantitative limitations and scaling up by models. Journal of Experimental Botany 64, 2269–2281.

Tosens T, Niinemets U, Vislap V, Eichelmann H, Castro Díez P. 2012*a*. Developmental changes in mesophyll diffusion conductance and photosynthetic capacity under different light and water availabilities in *Populus tremula*: how structure constrains function. Plant, Cell and Environment 35, 839–856.

Tosens T, Niinemets Ü, Westoby M, Wright IJ. 2012*b*. Anatomical basis of variation in mesophyll resistance in eastern Australian sclerophylls: news of a long and winding path. Journal of Experimental Botany 63, 5105–5119.

Tosens T, Nishida K, Gago J, *et al*. 2016. The photosynthetic capacity in 35 ferns and fern allies: mesophyll $CO₂$ diffusion as a key trait. The New Phytologist 209, 1576–1590.

Villar R, Held AA, Merino J. 1995. Dark leaf respiration in light and darkness of an evergreen and a deciduous plant species. Plant Physiology 107, 421–427.

von Caemmerer S, Farquhar GD. 1981. Some relationships between the biochemistry of photosynthesis and the gas exchange of leaves. Planta 153, 376–387.

Wang XQ, Tank DC, Sang T. 2000. Phylogeny and divergence times in Pinaceae: evidence from three genomes. Molecular Biology and Evolution 17, 773–781.

Warren CR. 2008. Stand aside stomata, another actor deserves centre stage: the forgotten role of the internal conductance to CO₂ transfer. Journal of Experimental Botany 59, 1475–1487.

Warren CR, Ethier GJ, Livingston NJ, Grant NJ, Turpin DH, Harrison DL, Black TA. 2003. Transfer conductance in second growth Douglasfir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) Franco) canopies. Plant, Cell and Environment 26, 1215–1227.

Wright IJ, Reich PB, Westoby M, *et al*. 2004. The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. Nature 428, 821–827.

Wright IJ, Westoby M, Reich PB. 2002. Convergence towards higher leaf mass per area in dry and nutrient-poor habitats has different consequences for leaf life span. Journal of Ecology 90, 534–543.

Zhang YJ, Cao KF, Sack L, Li N, Wei XM, Goldstein G. 2015. Extending the generality of leaf economic design principles in the cycads, an ancient lineage. The New Phytologist 206, 817–829.