Increased energy intake following sleep restriction in men and women: A one-size fits all conclusion?

Jessica McNeil[1] and **Marie-Pierre St-Onge**[2]

[1]Department of Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention Research, CancerControl Alberta, Alberta Health Services, Calgary, Alberta, Canada

[2]Department of Medicine, College of Physicians and Surgeons, New York Obesity Nutrition Research Center and Institute of Human Nutrition, Columbia University, New York, New York, USA

Abstract

Objective—We assessed the degree of inter-individual responses in energy intake (EI) to an imposed sleep restriction versus habitual sleep duration protocol. We also investigated participant (age, sex, ethnicity and BMI) and study (study site, protocol order) characteristics as potential contributors to the variance in EI responses to sleep restriction between individuals.

Methods—Data from two randomized crossover trials were combined. All participants (n = 43; age: 31±7 years, BMI: 23±2 kg/m^2) were free of medical/sleep conditions, non-smokers, reported not performing shift work, and having an average sleep duration of 7–9h/night. *Ad libitum*, 24h EI was objectively-assessed following sleep restriction (3.5–4h in bed/night) and habitual sleep (7–9h in bed/night) conditions.

Results—Large inter-individual variations in EI change (EI) between restricted and habitual sleep conditions were noted (−813 to 1437 kcal/day). Only phase order was associated with EI (β = −568 kcal/day, 95% CI for β = −921 to −215 kcal; *P* = 0.002); participants randomized to the habitual sleep condition first had greater increases in EI when sleep was restricted (*P* = 0.01).

Conclusions—Large inter-individual variations in EI following sleep restriction were noted, suggesting that not all individuals were negatively impacted by the effects of sleep restriction.

Keywords

sleep restriction; energy intake; inter-individual variability

Send correspondence and reprint requests to: Jessica McNeil, Ph.D., Department of Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention Research, CancerControl Alberta, Alberta Health Services, Holy Cross Center, Room 514, Box ACB, 2210 2nd Street SW, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, T2S 3C3, Phone: 1-403-698-8163, Fax: 1-403-264-2654, jessica.mcneil2@ahs.ca.

Introduction

Imposing sleep restriction up to five days can lead to short-term weight gain (1, 2, 3, 4). More specifically, these studies report mean increases in EI of 200–500 kcal/day following imposed sleep restriction compared to habitual sleep duration (1, 2, 3, 4), suggesting that increased EI may largely account for the weight gain observed following sleep restriction (5). In addition to these main effects, differences in EI responses to sleep loss according to certain participant characteristics, e.g. sex (4, 6, 7) and ethnicity (6), have been previously noted.

Although the abovementioned studies have consistently reported average increases in EI following imposed sleep restriction compared to habitual sleep duration, they also present large standard deviations for EI. Therefore, the range in EI responses to the same sleep restriction protocol may greatly vary between individuals.

The primary aim of this paper was to assess inter-individual responses in EI to an imposed partial sleep restriction protocol. Furthermore, we investigated participants' age, sex, ethnicity, BMI, protocol order and study site as potential contributors to this degree of variance in EI responses to imposed sleep restriction between individuals.

Methods

Data from two randomized crossover sleep restriction interventions conducted at the University of Ottawa (Ottawa, Canada) (8) and St-Luke's-Roosevelt Hospital/Columbia University (New York, USA) (7) were combined for this secondary analysis. Study protocols were approved by their Institution's Ethics Committees (The University of Ottawa Ethics Committee; The Institutional Review Boards of St-Luke's-Roosevelt Hospital Center and Columbia University), and participants provided informed consent. All participants were 18–45 years of age, free of neurological, metabolic and sleeping disorders, non-smokers and non-shift workers. Participants also reported sleeping on average 7–9 h/night, as verified with two weeks of accelerometry and sleep diary data in both studies.

The study conducted at St-Luke's-Roosevelt Hospital/Columbia University included two sessions of five nights each: sleep restriction (4h in bed/night) and habitual sleep duration (9h in bed/night). At least four weeks separated each session. EI was standardized over the first 4 days of each session and *ad libitum*, 24h EI was assessed on day 5. Participants were able to self-select foods inside the research facility or purchase foods outside of the facility with a monetary allowance. All consumed food items were weighed and recorded by study staff. The study conducted at the University of Ottawa included three sessions of one night each: sleep restriction with advanced wake-time (3.5–4h in bed/night, remained awake during the second part of the night), sleep restriction with delayed bedtime (3.5–4h in bed/ night, remained awake during the first part of the night) and habitual sleep duration (7–9h in bed/night). Advancing wake-time leads to selective reductions in rapid eye movement sleep (9); therefore, data from the sleep restriction with delayed bedtime condition was included as the "sleep restriction condition" in the present analysis. There was also no statistically significant difference in EI change (EI) when comparing both sleep restriction conditions

in this study (results not shown). At least seven days separated each session. Participants self-selected foods from a validated menu, which were served in *ad libitum* quantities. Study staff weighed and recorded all consumed food items. Despite differences in study protocol/intervention lengths between study sites, no statistically significant differences in EI between studies were noted (Ottawa: 157±443 versus New York: 282±630 kcal/day; *P* = 0.48).

EI for each participant was calculated by subtracting EI during the habitual sleep duration condition to EI during the sleep restriction condition. A multivariable, stepwise linear regression analysis was used to examine the strength of the associations between the participants' age, sex (man or woman), ethnicity (white or other), BMI, protocol order (sleep restriction or habitual sleep duration first) and study site (New York or Ottawa) with EI. A sample size of 43 participants with a pre-determined power of 0.80 and two-tailed alpha of 0.05 is estimated to provide a large effect size (Cohen's $f^2 = 0.41$) to detect significant associations with this regression model. Stratified analysis with an independent t-test were conducted if significant associations were noted with this regression model. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 19.0; SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Statistical significance was set at *P*<0.05.

Results

Details on mean differences in EI between sleep duration conditions for the studies presented herein are presented elsewhere (7, 8). Table 1 presents baseline characteristics for all participants, and according to phase order and study site.

The range in EI was large (−813 to 1437 kcal/day; Figure 1). In all participants, 41.9% had >300 kcal/day increase in EI during sleep restriction versus habitual sleep duration conditions, 39.5% had 300 kcal/day difference in EI between conditions, and 18.6% had >300 kcal/day decrease in EI following sleep restriction versus habitual sleep duration.

Figure 2 presents inter-individual variations in EI according to sex, ethnicity, phase order and study site. Only phase order was significantly associated with EI (β = −568 kcal/day, 95% CI for β = −921 to −215 kcal; *P* = 0.002) in the multivariable regression model. Post-hoc analysis revealed that participants randomized to the habitual sleep duration condition first had greater increases in EI when sleep was restricted versus habitual sleep duration (506±494 versus 54±537 kcal/day; *P* = 0.01).

Discussion

Our findings indicated large inter-individual variations in EI in response to sleep restriction, suggesting that EI following similar degrees of imposed sleep restriction was highly variable between participants. Large inter-individual variations in weight loss following diet and/or exercise interventions have also been reported (10, 11, 12). Even though the trials presented herein used objective and precise methods to assess EI, accuracy and validity issues often arise as a result of large day-to-day variability in EI (11).

Spaeth *et al.* (13) also reported inter-individual differences in EI (−501 to 1178 kcal/day), and large differences in body weight change (−2.3 to 6.5 kg), between participants who took part in two identical sleep restriction conditions. There is evidence to suggest that trait-like differences between individuals may impact the degree of sensitivity to adverse cognitive effects of sleep loss (14, 15, 16, 17). Van Dongen *et al.* (14) were amongst the first to investigate inter-individual differences in sleepiness ratings and responses to psychomotor and cognitive tasks following total sleep deprivation, demonstrating large inter-, but not intra-, individual responses to sleep loss. Killgore *et al.* (15) later demonstrated that an extraversive personality trait was associated with greater declines in alertness and psychomotor vigilance following total sleep deprivation. Furthermore, individuals with lower cortical activity when rested (17) and/or greater ventrolateral prefrontal cortex activation following sleep loss (16) have been classified as being "resistant" to the effects of sleep loss.

No baseline participant characteristics (age, sex, ethnicity and BMI) included in our regression model were significantly associated with EI between sleep conditions. Spaeth *et al.* (13) reported greater consistency in EI and body weight changes to consecutive sleep restriction interventions in men versus women, but no differences in body weight change to the sleep restriction intervention between normal-weight and overweight individuals. Only phase order was significantly associated with EI in the present study, with participants randomized to the habitual sleep condition first having greater increases in EI when sleep was restricted versus habitual sleep duration. A similar order effect was previously reported by Markwald *et al.* (4), noting a reduction in EI and weight loss when participants transitioned from the sleep restriction to adequate sleep condition. Although a mere hypothesis, it is possible that participants were more cautious during the first sleep condition as a result of not knowing the randomization order and/or less familiarity with the laboratory settings and measurement procedures, compared to the second session. Conversely, the novelty associated with *ad libitum* access to food may lead to greater EI in some participants during the first session, independently of the study condition. Studies are needed to explore this hypothesis within the contexts of EI research.

Strengths of this paper include the combination of data from two different sleep restriction trials to increase the number of participants and better illustrate inter-individual variability in EI responses to imposed sleep restriction. Additionally, these studies included objective measurements of sleep and EI under strict laboratory conditions. Limitations include the measurement of EI over a single 24h period and the recruitment of healthy, young individuals with good sleep quality only, which limits generalizability of study findings to other populations (e.g. individuals with sleep disorders). Although no statistical difference in EI was noted between study sites, additive effects of sleep restriction on EI may have occurred in one study imposing five nights of sleep restriction, but not the other which only imposed one night of sleep restriction, for each condition.

In conclusion, we demonstrated large inter-individual variations in EI responses to imposed sleep restriction, suggesting that not all individuals may be negatively impacted by the effects of sleep restriction. Future studies are needed to identify contributing behavioral (e.g. physical activity participation) and physiological (e.g. resting metabolic rate, (an)orexigenic

hormonal variations) factors to the inter-individual responses in EI to imposed sleep restriction in order to better characterize those individuals who are "resistant" to the effects of partial sleep restriction on EI.

Acknowledgments

References

1. Calvin AD, Carter RE, Adachi T, Macedo PG, Albuquerque FN, van der Walt C, et al. Effects of experimental sleep restriction on caloric intake and activity energy expenditure. Chest. 2013; 144:79–86. [PubMed: 23392199]

2. Bosy-Westphal A, Hinrichs S, Jauch-Chara K, Hitze B, Later W, Wilms B, et al. Influence of partial sleep deprivation on energy balance and insulin sensitivity in healthy women. Obesity facts. 2008; 1:266–273. [PubMed: 20054188]

3. Spaeth AM, Dinges DF, Goel N. Effects of Experimental Sleep Restriction on Weight Gain, Caloric Intake, and Meal Timing in Healthy Adults. Sleep. 2013; 36:981–990. [PubMed: 23814334]

4. Markwald RR, Melanson EL, Smith MR, Higgins J, Perreault L, Eckel RH, et al. Impact of insufficient sleep on total daily energy expenditure, food intake, and weight gain. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2013; 110:5695–5700. [PubMed: 23479616]

5. St-Onge MP, Bormes A, Salazar I. The role of sleep duration on energy balance: an update. Curr Nutr Rep. 2016; 5:278.

6. Spaeth AM, Dinges DF, Goel N. Sex and race differences in caloric intake during sleep restriction in healthy adults. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 2014; 100:559–566. [PubMed: 24965304]

7. St-Onge MP, Roberts AL, Chen J, Kelleman M, O'Keeffe M, RoyChoudhury A, et al. Short sleep duration increases energy intakes but does not change energy expenditure in normal-weight individuals. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 2011; 94:410–416. [PubMed: 21715510]

8. McNeil J, Doucet E, Brunet JF, Hintze LJ, Chaumont I, Langlois E, et al. The effects of sleep restriction and altered sleep timing on energy intake and energy expenditure. Physiology & behavior. 2016; 164:157–163. [PubMed: 27260515]

9. Harrison Y, Horne JA. The impact of sleep deprivation on decision making: a review. Journal of experimental psychology Applied. 2000; 6:236–249. [PubMed: 11014055]

10. Donnelly JE, Honas JJ, Smith BK, Mayo MS, Gibson CA, Sullivan DK, et al. Aerobic exercise alone results in clinically significant weight loss for men and women: midwest exercise trial 2. Obesity (Silver Spring, Md). 2013; 21:E219–228.

11. Melanson EL, Keadle SK, Donnelly JE, Braun B, King NA. Resistance to exercise-induced weight loss: compensatory behavioral adaptations. Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 2013; 45:1600–1609. [PubMed: 23470300]

12. King NA, Hopkins M, Caudwell P, Stubbs RJ, Blundell JE. Individual variability following 12 weeks of supervised exercise: identification and characterization of compensation for exercise-induced weight loss. International journal of obesity (2005). 2008; 32:177–184. [PubMed: 17848941]

13. Spaeth AM, Dinges DF, Goel N. Phenotypic vulnerability of energy balance responses to sleep loss in healthy adults. Scientific reports. 2015; 5:14920. [PubMed: 26446681]

14. Van Dongen HP, Baynard MD, Maislin G, Dinges DF. Systematic interindividual differences in neurobehavioral impairment from sleep loss: evidence of trait-like differential vulnerability. Sleep. 2004; 27:423–433. [PubMed: 15164894]

15. Killgore WD, Richards JM, Killgore DB, Kamimori GH, Balkin TJ. The trait of Introversion-Extraversion predicts vulnerability to sleep deprivation. Journal of sleep research. 2007; 16:354–363. [PubMed: 18036080]

16. Chuah YM, Venkatraman V, Dinges DF, Chee MW. The neural basis of interindividual variability in inhibitory efficiency after sleep deprivation. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2006; 26:7156–7162. [PubMed: 16822972]

17. Caldwell JA, Mu Q, Smith JK, Mishory A, Caldwell JL, Peters G, et al. Are individual differences in fatigue vulnerability related to baseline differences in cortical activation? Behavioral neuroscience. 2005; 119:694–707. [PubMed: 15998190]

<div style="border:1px solid #000; background:#d6e8f5; padding:1em;">

Study Importance Questions

- Consistent increases in energy intake have been reported following imposed sleep restriction compared to habitual sleep duration.

- This study reports large inter-individual variations in energy intake responses to sleep restriction compared to habitual sleep duration.

- Baseline participant characteristics were not statistically associated with inter-individual variations in energy intake changes between sleep conditions.

</div>

Figure 1.
Distribution of energy intake responses (ΔEI) to sleep restriction. ΔEI for each participant
was calculated by subtracting EI during the habitual sleep duration condition to EI during
the sleep restriction condition.

Figure 2.

Distribution of energy intake responses (ΔEI) according to A) sex (man or woman), B) ethnicity (white or other), C) phase order (habitual sleep or sleep restriction conditions first) and D) study site (Ottawa or New York). *P = 0.01

Note: ΔEI for each participant was calculated by subtracting EI during the habitual sleep duration condition to EI during the sleep restriction condition.

Table 1

Baseline characteristics for all participants, and according to phase order and study site

	All participants	Study site		Phase order	
	n = 43	**Ottawa (n = 17)**	**New York (n = 26)**	**Habitual sleep first (n = 17)**	**Sleep restriction first (n = 26)**
Age (years); mean ± SD	31±7	23±4	35±5	33±7	29±7
BMI (kg/m²); mean ± SD	23±2	23±3	24±1	24±2	23±2
Sex; n (%)					
Men	24 (55.8%)	11 (64.7%)	13 (50%)	8 (47.1%)	16 (61.5%)
Women	19 (44.2%)	6 (35.3%)	13 (50%)	9 (52.9%)	10 (38.5)
Ethnicity; n (%)					
White	27 (62.8%)	15 (88.2%)	12 (46.2%)	11 (64.7%)	16 (61.5%)
Other	16 (37.2%)	2 (11.8%)	14 (53.8%)	6 (35.3%)	10 (38.5%)

Note: BMI, body mass index; SD, standard deviation