



Published in final edited form as:

*Occup Environ Med.* 2016 September ; 73(9): 637–638. doi:10.1136/oemed-2015-103532corr1.

## **Erratum: Prevalence of airflow obstruction among ever-employed US adults aged 18–79 years by longest held occupation group: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2007–2010**

---

Kurth L, Doney B, Halldin C. Short Report: Prevalence of airflow obstruction among ever-employed US adults aged 18–79 years by longest held occupation group: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2007–2010. *Occup Environ Med* 2016;73:482–6.

The title that reads: “Prevalence of airflow obstruction among ever-employed US adults aged 18–79 years by longest held occupation group: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2007–2010” should read “Prevalence of airflow obstruction among ever-employed US adults aged 18–79 years by longest held occupation group: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2007–2008”.

All references on page 482 to ‘the 2007–2010 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)’ and/or ‘2007–2010 NHANES data’ should read ‘the 2007–2008 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)’ and ‘2007–2008 NHANES data’.

The sentences on page 482 that read “The US population, 18–79 years, was studied using NHANES data from the combined cross-sectional 2007–2008 and 2009–2010 survey cycles. These were the most current NHANES cycles available with longest held occupation and spirometry data” should read “The US population, 18–79 years, was studied using NHANES data from the cross-sectional 2007–2008 survey cycle”.

The sentences on page 483 that read “In the 2007–2010 NHANES, 11 891 persons aged 18–79 years who provided interview data were eligible for the spirometry component of the physical examination. Of those, 1,867 were excluded from spirometry for safety reasons, health reasons, or other reasons, and 501 had poor quality spirometry data” should read “In the 2007–2008 NHANES, 5789 persons aged 18–79 years who provided interview data were eligible for the spirometry component of the physical examination. Of those, 1030 were excluded from spirometry for safety reasons, health reasons, or other reasons, and 246 had poor quality spirometry data”.

The sentence on page 483 that reads “During 2007–2010, 4,172 NHANES participants had valid spirometry, height, and longest held occupation data, and were included in the study” should read “During 2007–2008, 4,172 NHANES participants had valid spirometry, height, and longest held occupation data, and were included in the study”.

The sentence on page 483 that reads “We analyzed NHANES data from 2007 to 2010 and estimated that the prevalence of spirometry-defined airflow obstruction among ever-

employed US adults aged 18–79 years was 13.7%” should read “We analyzed NHANES data from 2007 to 2008 and estimated that the prevalence of spirometry-defined airflow obstruction among ever-employed US adults aged 18–79 years was 13.7%”.

The following sentence on page 484 should be deleted: “Even by combining data from the NHANES 2007–2008 and 2009–2010 survey cycles to improve the reliability of prevalence estimates, the prevalence estimates for some occupation groups were unreliable. The inclusion of NHANES occupation data from the 2011–2012 survey cycle, once it is released, may help us compute reliable prevalence estimates for additional occupation groups”.

The sentence on page 484 that reads “The prevalence of spirometry-defined airflow obstruction among ever-employed US adults from 2007 to 2010 varied by demographic characteristics and occupational factors, and was generally...” should read “The prevalence of spirometry-defined airflow obstruction among ever-employed US adults from 2007 to 2008 varied by demographic characteristics and occupational factors, and was generally...”.

The title of Table 1 on page 483 that reads “Age-specific and age-standardised prevalence of airflow obstruction among ever-employed US adults aged 18–79 years by smoking status for selected demographic characteristics and occupational factors—NHANES 2007–2010” should read “Age-specific and age-standardised prevalence of airflow obstruction among ever-employed US adults aged 18–79 years by smoking status for selected demographic characteristics and occupational factors—NHANES 2007–2008”.