

References

- 1 Jones R, Sheehan B, Phillips P, Juszczak E, Adams J, Baldwin A, et al. DOMINO-AD protocol: donepezil and memantine in moderate to severe Alzheimer's disease: a multicentre RCT. *Trials* 2009; **10**: 57.
- 2 Rothera IC, Jones R, Harwood R, Avery AJ, Waite J. Survival in a cohort of social services placements in nursing and residential homes: factors associated with life expectancy and mortality. *Public Health* 2002; **116**: 160–5.
- 3 Bradshaw LE, Goldberg SE, Lewis SA, Whittamore K, Gladman JR, Jones RG, et al. Six-month outcomes following an emergency hospital

admission for older adults with co-morbid mental health problems indicate complexity of care needs. *Age Ageing* 2013; **42**: 582–8.

doi: 10.1192/pb.bp.116.055632



© 2017 The Authors. This is an open-access article published by the Royal College of Psychiatrists and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Reviews

Mental Health in the Digital Age: Grave Dangers, Great Promise

Edited by Elias Aboujaoude and Vladan Starcevic
Oxford University Press, 2015, £29.58, pb, 302 pp.
ISBN: 9780199380183

Technology has always been a double-edged sword: there are associated risks and benefits. As a practising psychiatrist I increasingly rely on technology at work, using next-generation electronic medical records and at times recommending appropriate smartphone-based applications as additional therapy for my patients.

In contrast to numerous other titles about technology and its impact on healthcare – which have emerged as a result of the massive technical advances in the past decade – *Mental Health in the Digital Age* does not focus only on the benefits of the use of technology in mental healthcare. It offers a timely balanced perspective by also providing an in-depth analysis of the risks.

The risks highlighted in the book are not limited to addictive behaviours such as internet or gaming addiction, but also include cyberbullying and the increased risk of suicide due to pro-suicide websites and suicide pacts. Cyberbullying is perhaps one of the most common problems linked with the use of technology to date and it is not unusual for me and my team to see children and adolescents who refuse to go to school as a result of cyberbullying. Unlike conventional forms of bullying, cyberbullying implies the use of social networks and internet-based messaging services to harass an individual. This work examines not only the prevalence of the problem, but also the various prevention strategies available, such as having a specific academic curriculum to deal with the issue.

The authors review the existing literature comprehensively – referring also to current evidence – and look at the potential of technology across several areas of mental healthcare, including the provision of psychotherapy and the integration of patients' health records. They also discuss how recent advances – such as virtual reality – could in principle be a powerful tool in exposure therapy. As a team with an interest in e-health, my colleagues and I have been developing smartphone applications for various mental health disorders. The introduction of virtual reality technology means that we could perhaps tap on games and various other sensors and

headset devices to create an interactive environment not just for psychotherapy but for other forms of interventions too.

This is a good guide for novices in e-health but equally a useful tool for the more experienced in this area. It would be helpful if a future edition included more detailed coverage of smartphone applications and their inherent risks and benefits – a topic of concern not only for clinicians, but patients at large.

Melvyn W. B. Zhang, Associate Consultant Psychiatrist and Adjunct Research Scientist, Institute of Mental Health, Biomedical Institute for Global Health Research & Technology and National University of Singapore; Singapore; email: ciezwm@nus.edu.sg

doi: 10.1192/pb.bp.116.054650



© 2017 The Author. This is an open-access article published by the Royal College of Psychiatrists and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

MCQs in Psychiatry for Medical Students

By John Lally and John Tully.
RCPsych Publications, 2016, £18.00 (£16.20 for College members), pb, 224 pp.
ISBN: 9781909726482

Love them or loathe them, most medical student written examinations now take the form of multiple choice questions (MCQs). Some medical educators dislike this assessment style, suggesting it encourages students to learn isolated facts in a superficial way. Yet, undeniably, MCQs provide an objective, time-efficient manner of evaluation.

MCQs in Psychiatry for Medical Students is a valuable resource for medical students undertaking their psychiatry rotations. It includes MCQs and extended matching items grouped into chapters concerned either with a type of disorder – for example, psychotic disorders and alcohol and substance misuse disorders – or another important aspect of psychiatry, such as physical health, pharmacological treatments, psychology and psychotherapy.

Each MCQ is accompanied by a paragraph or two explaining the correct answer. More information is provided than is strictly necessary to understand the answer, but this is illuminating rather than turgid. The 400-plus contemporary references encourage the reader to consider issues in more depth than the superficial learning style many associate with MCQs, making the scope of this book potentially greater than is obvious from its title. In contrast, the three extended matching item questions in each chapter are not followed by explanations, making them far less informative.

Writing good MCQ distractor items is a challenge, and in a few places – especially questions on risk factors and protective factors – it is possible to guess the answer by eliminating answers simply based on whether they describe something positive or negative.

This is a must-have title for all medical students; it will pique the interest of many students and may even assist in recruiting future psychiatrists to the profession.

Suzanne Dash, graduate-entry medical student, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; email: suzanne.dash@medschool.ox.ac.uk

doi: 10.1192/pb.bp.116.054189



© 2017 The Author. This is an open-access article published by the Royal College of Psychiatrists and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.