

Large-scale analysis of variation in the insulin-like growth factor family in humans reveals rare disease links and common polymorphisms

Received for publication, February 27, 2017, and in revised form, April 6, 2017 Published, Papers in Press, April 7, 2017, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M117.783639

D [Peter Rotwein](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9505-1817)

From the Department of Biomedical Sciences, Paul L. Foster School of Medicine, Texas Tech Health University Health Sciences Center, El Paso, Texas 79905

Edited by Xiao-Fan Wang

The insulin-like growth factors IGF1 and IGF2 are closely related proteins that are essential for normal growth and development in humans and other species and play critical roles in many physiological and pathophysiological processes. IGF actions are mediated by transmembrane receptors and modulated by IGF-binding proteins. The importance of IGF actions in human physiology is strengthened by the rarity of inactivating mutations in their genes and by the devastating impact caused by such mutations on normal development and somatic growth. Large-scale genome sequencing has the potential to provide new insights into human variation and disease susceptibility. Toward this end, the availability of DNA sequence data from 60,706 people through the Exome Aggregation Consortium has prompted the analyses presented here. Results reveal a broad range of potential missense and other alterations in the coding regions of every IGF family gene, but the vast majority of predicted changes were uncommon. The total number of different alleles detected per gene in the population varied over an ${\sim}15$ **fold range, from 57 for** *IGF1* **to 872 for** *IGF2R***, although when corrected for protein length the rate ranged from 0.22 to 0.59 changes/codon among the 11 genes evaluated. Previously characterized disease-causing mutations in** *IGF2***,** *IGF1R***,** *IGF2R***, or** *IGFALS* **all were found in the general population but with allele frequencies of <1:30,000. A few new highly prevalent amino acid polymorphisms were also identified. Collectively, these data provide a wealth of opportunities to understand the intricacies of IGF signaling and action in both physiological and pathological contexts.**

The insulin-like growth factors, $IGF1²$ and $IGF2$, are closely related, single-chain, secreted peptides that are essential for normal growth and development in mammals and other vertebrates, play critical roles in many physiological and pathophysiological processes in multiple species $(1-4)$, and promote proliferation, differentiation, and/or survival of a variety of cell and tissue types (5, 6). Along with insulin (INS), the two IGFs define a conserved gene family that is found in many eukaryotes (7–9). Both IGFs exert their biological effects through binding to the IGF1 receptor (IGF1R), a transmembrane ligand-activated tyrosine-protein kinase related to the insulin receptor (INSR) in amino acid sequence and structure (6, 10, 11). IGF2 also can signal after binding to a variant INSR lacking 12 amino acids in its juxtamembrane region (12) and binds with high affinity to the IGF2R, which primarily acts to limit access of IGF2 to signaling receptors through its sequestration, internalization, and degradation (13–15). Along with the cationdependent mannose 6-phosphate receptor (CDMPR), the IGF2R is additionally one of two receptors responsible for targeting lysosomal enzymes to the lysosome (13, 16).

In extracellular fluid and in the bloodstream, IGFs are normally bound to IGF-binding proteins (IGFBPs), which modulate IGF actions by regulating their half-life and access to cell surface signaling receptors (17–19). The six IGFBPs are secreted molecules of 201–289 amino acids in length that share \sim 36% sequence identity (18, 19). Each IGFBP is composed of cysteine-rich conserved $NH₂$ - and COOH-terminal domains along with a less conserved central linker segment (18, 20, 21). Many studies have indicated that IGFBPs additionally control biological processes that are potentially independent of their IGF binding properties (18, 22–25).

The other key player in IGF biology is the IGF acid labile subunit (IGFALS), a 578-amino acid protein primarily produced by the liver that forms a ternary complex in the circulation with IGFBP3 or IGFBP5 when the latter binds either IGF1 or IGF2 (26, 27). IGFALS is unrelated in amino acid sequence or structure to other IGF family components and consists of a series of leucine-rich segments of ${\sim}$ 22 residues each flanked by $NH₂$ - and COOH-terminal cysteine-rich domains (28). The ternary complex greatly extends IGF half-life in the circulation (26, 27).

Human diseases caused by mutations or changes in levels of IGF family members are uncommon (29, 30). Only a handful of individuals with homozygous or heterozygous *IGF1* gene defects have been reported (31–37), with homozygous individuals having very low IGF1 levels, severe intrauterine and post-

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Research Grant R01 DK042748-26 (to P. R.). The author declares that he has no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article. The content is solely the responsibility of the author and does not necessarily represent the official views of

the National Institutes of Health.
¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed: Dept. of Biomedical Sciences, Paul L. Foster School of Medicine, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, 5001 El Paso Dr., El Paso, TX 79905. Tel.: 915-215-4910; Fax:

^{915-783-5223;} E-mail: peter.rotwein@ttuhsc.edu.
² The abbreviations used are: IGF, insulin (INS)-like growth factor; IGFR, insulin-like growth factor receptor; INSR, insulin receptor; CDMPR, cation-dependent mannose 6-phosphate receptor; IGFBP, IGF-binding protein; IGFALS, IGF acid labile subunit; ExAC, Exome Aggregation Consortium; OMIM, Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man; SP, signal peptide.

Table 1 **Human population variation in IGF1, IGF2, and insulin**

^a Based on transcripts used in ExAC database.

b Several predicted variants do not correspond with the amino acid sequence of insulin and are not included in the compiled data.

Table 2

Human population variation in IGF and insulin receptors

^a Based on transcripts used in ExAC database.

Table 3

Human population variation in IGFBPs

Based on transcript used in ExAC database.

^b Three predicted major variants do not correspond with the amino acid sequence of IGFALS and are not included in the compiled data.

natal growth deficiency, and other developmental and intellectual abnormalities (31–33), reflecting the multifunctional nature of IGF1 actions (35). A single heterozygous nonsense mutation has been described in the human *IGF2* gene in four members of a family with severe pre- and post-natal growth retardation (38). Developmental disorders with altered expression of IGF2 include Silver-Russell syndrome, which is caused by abnormalities on chromosome 11p15 in the region of the parentally imprinted *IGF2* locus (39, 40) (the *IGF2* gene is normally expressed solely from the paternally derived chromosome (39, 40)). Individuals with Silver-Russell syndrome produce diminished amounts of IGF2, exhibit reduced fetal and post-natal somatic growth, and have a variety of dysmorphic features and bodily asymmetry (39, 40). Conversely, overexpression of IGF2 accompanies Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome, which is characterized primarily by asymmetric overgrowth (39, 40). A handful of mostly heterozygous amino acid substitution mutations also have been identified in the *IGF1R* in children who were small for gestational age and who failed to exhibit post-natal "catch-up" growth (41– 45). Frameshift and amino acid substitution mutations additionally have been detected in the *IGFALS* gene in a few children with moderate short stature but no other consistent physiological defects except a lack of IGFALS in the blood (46, 47). To date no disease-associated abnormalities have been described for any of the six human IGFBPs (Ref. 30; see OMIM). Amino acid substitutions have been identified in the IGF2R in a small number of presumably healthy adults (48), and others have been found in several individuals with liver cancer (49).

Population-based genome sequencing has the potential to provide new insights into human variation, disease susceptibility, and evolution (50–52). The recent release of DNA sequence data from $>60,500$ people via the efforts of the Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC) (53–57) has prompted dissection of this information to gain insights into the population genetics of the IGF system. Results reveal a broad range of potential missense and other alterations in the coding regions of every IGF family gene, with the vast majority of predicted changes being uncommon. Taken together, these data will provide new opportunities to understand the intricacies of IGF signaling and action in physiological and pathological contexts.

Results

Allelic variation in IGF family members in humans

ExAC contains DNA sequencing data from the exomes of 60,706 people derived from different population groups from around the world (53). One general conclusion from initial analysis of these 121,412 alleles is that there is substantial variation within the coding regions of genes (53). However, most predicted modifications were found to be uncommon, with more than half being detected in just a single allele and with $>$ 99% being observed in $<$ 1% of the entire study population (53). The vast majority of the variation found consisted of synonymous changes and amino acid substitutions (53).

Examination of IGF family members in ExAC revealed a potentially wide range of coding variation in their exons, with most of the changes consisting of missense mutations (83–99%

of modified alleles, depending on the gene; Tables 1– 3). Second most common were alterations in the reading frame, including inserted stop codons (1% to ${\sim}$ 10%, Tables 1–3). The total number of different allelic variants per gene varied over an \sim 15-fold range, from 57 for *IGF1* to 872 for *IGF2R*, with genes encoding smaller proteins generally having fewer changes by a factor of 4–16 than those with larger proteins (compare *IGF1*, *IGF2*, and *IGFBP1– 6* with *IGF1R*,*IGF2R*, and *IGFALS*; Tables 1–3). However, when corrected for protein length, the overall range of variation was very similar for 9 of 11 genes $(0.28 - 0.39$ nonsynonymous changes/codon), with the outliers being *IGFBP4* (0.22) and *IGFALS* (0.58). Moreover, when examined for their prevalence, $>97\%$ of the missense alleles were detected in \leq 0.1% of the study population, and 99.4% were found in \leq 1.0% (Table 4). These results indicate that variation in human IGF family proteins is low in the population and are consistent with the overall conclusions from ExAC as noted above (53).

Splicing changes at exon-intron and intron-exon junctions, reading frame alterations, and the addition of stop codons each can contribute to loss of protein expression and thus a lack of function. The number of alleles showing these changes was very low among IGF family genes and ranged from 1 to 24 different instances, with most alterations being detected rarely in the population (0.002– 0.2% allelic frequency), although IGFBP3, at 0.9%, and IGF2, at 2.0%, were exceptions (Table 5). Similarly, copy number variation, in which all or part of a gene is amplified in the genome, also was very low for IGF family members in the study population, as seen with most genes in ExAC (54), ranging from none for IGFALS to fewer than 7 instances for *IGF1*, *IGF2*, *IGF1R* and *IGFBP1– 6* to 26 for *IGF2R*.

Population variation in IGF1 and IGF2

IGF1, IGF2, and INS is composed of a family of evolutionarily related secreted proteins (7–9) that bind to similar receptors (6, 10, 12) but are different in the overall topography of their protein precursors. Unlike INS, the progenitors of IGF1 and IGF2 contain COOH-terminal extensions or E domains, which are cleaved from IGF1 or IGF2 by proprotein convertases subsequent to protein secretion (58, 59). It is unknown whether or not there are distinct biological functions of the single E region in the IGF2 precursor or the two E domains of IGF1 (Refs. 60 and 61; see Fig. 1).

Illustrated in Table 1 are comparisons of the extent of variation found within the coding segments of their three genes in the 60,706 individuals assessed in ExAC. The overall number of alterations that could change amino acids or modify production

of the protein was similar among IGF1, IGF2, and INS, although the total representation of these variant alleles in the population was far lower for INS (< 0.01%) than for IGF1 or IGF2 (0.6% and 2.5%, respectively). Amino acid substitutions in the IGF1 precursor were fairly evenly distributed among the signal peptides, 70-residue mature IGF1 and the COOH-terminal E peptides (Fig. 1*A*), although two alterations in mature IGF1, A67T and A70T, and one in the E_B domain, A187D, accounted for most of the variation (Fig. 1*A*). Of note, there were no individuals identified with either a R36Q or V44M substitution or with a deletion within *IGF1* exon 3 corresponding to mutations mapped in three children with severe short stature (31, 33, 35). Thus, if these alleles are present in the population, they have an ultra-low frequency.

Although population variation was 4-fold higher for the *IGF2* gene (2.5%) than for *IGF1* (0.6%, Table 1), most of this could be attributed to a single non-coding C to T change located $5'$ to the adjacent *INS* gene (see single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP): rs14948363). Unlike what was observed for IGF1, most of the coding variation in IGF2 was concentrated in the COOH-terminal E peptide, with a single amino acid substitution, R157H, accounting for 75% of all changes (Fig. 1*B*). The functional consequences of this non-conservative change are unknown, because, as noted above, the specific roles of the IGF2 E segment have not been elucidated (60, 61).

Variability in IGF receptors in the population

The IGF1R and INSR are related ligand-activated tyrosine kinases that share a similar length and three-dimensional structure (6, 12). Both receptors bind their eponymous ligands with high affinity and also bind IGF2 with moderately high affinity, although a splicing variant for the INSR lacking the 12 codons of exon 11 (termed INSR-A (12)) binds IGF2 with far higher affinity than INSR-B (12). Population variation appears to occur with comparable frequency for the two receptors (3.3% for IGF1R and 2.1% for INSR; Table 2). Thirteen of 18 of the mutations in the *IGF1R* gene that have been associated with human growth deficiency syndromes are amino acid substitutions (41, 42). Alterations at 5 of these 13 sites are present in the ExAC database (Table 6), with one change, R511Q, detected in -0.15% of the population (Fig. 2*A*). Five other predicted amino acid substitution variants in the *IGF1R* gene have allelic frequencies of 0.1– 0.7% (Fig. 2*A*). All six substitutions map to the extracellular part of the receptor protein (Fig. 2*A*) and collectively compose nearly 60% of the total population variation identified in the *IGF1R* in ExAC.

Figure 1. Population variation in human IGF1 and IGF2. A, schematic of human IGF1 protein precursors, including two alternative signal peptides, a 70-residue mature IGF1 and the two COOH-terminal extension (*E*) peptides. Signal peptides *1* and *2* consist of 48 and 32 amino acids (*AA*), respectively, and *E* regions A and B of 35 and 77 residues, respectively, with the 16 NH₂-terminal amino acids being identical. The overall population prevalence of variant alleles for each segment of pre-pro-IGF1 is listed *below the map*, and the location of the three most common variants is depicted in single-letter amino acid code. *B*, diagram of human IGF2 protein precursors, including alternative signal peptides, a 67-residue mature IGF2 and the COOH-terminal E peptide. The two signal peptides consist of 80 and 24 amino acids, respectively (the former has not been determined experimentally), and the E region is composed of 89 residues. The overall population prevalence of variant alleles for each segment of pre-pro-IGF2 is depicted *below the map*, and the location of the most common variant is shown. For *A* and *B*, the *scale bar* represents 20 amino acids.

Table 6

Disease-causing mutations in the IGF1R

^a The dash represents no alleles identified with that variant.

^b Listed incorrectly as E1050K in ExAC.

The IGF2R shares structural elements and functions with the CDMPR (13, 16). Both receptors contain units of \sim 145 amino acids (15 in IGF2R and 1 in CDMPR), and both proteins are responsible for delivery of lysosomal enzymes from their intracellular sites of synthesis to the lysosome (13, 16), although only the IGF2R appears capable of internalizing these enzymes from the extracellular space (16). IGF2R also binds IGF2 with high affinity, a function that is mediated by repeat number 11 (13, 16).

Both the *IGF2R* and *CDMPR* genes appear to be highly polymorphic in the human genome, although the number of variants per codon is only marginally higher than for *IGF1R* (Table 2). However, in the *IGF2R*, one predicted amino acid substitution variant, R1619G, located in repeat 11, which contains the IGF2 binding unit, is far more prevalent in the ExAC study population than the amino acid found in the reference *IGF2R* gene in the Ensembl Genome Browser (\sim 90% of alleles; Fig.

2*B*), expanding on a prior observation using a much smaller study population (48). The functional differences between these two presumptive polymorphisms are unknown but now may be tested. Two additional substitutions, L252V, in repeat number 2, found in $>13%$ of the population, and N2020S, in repeat 14, detected in nearly 10% (Fig. 2*B*), also were noted previously (48). Two other amino acid substitutions in IGF2R found in some individuals with liver cancer, G1449V and G1464E (49), are not present in the ExAC population. There is also variability within IGF2R repeats 3 and 9, the domains responsible for binding lysosomal enzymes, with changes detected in 0.3% and 0.7% of the population, respectively. A similar degree of polymorphism (0.3%) is found in the single repeat element in the CDMPR.

Population aspects of IGFBPs

The IGFBP family in mammals consists of six proteins that arose during speciation by duplication and diversification of a progenitor gene (18, 20, 21). All six IGFBPs are secreted proteins that range in length from 201 to 289 amino acids and share a similar 3-domain structure (20, 21) (Figs. 3 and 4). The extent of variability in the exons of the genes encoding IGFBPs is generally similar to that of the two IGFs and two IGFRs, ranging from 0.27 to 0.34 changes per codon, with the exception of IGFBP4 at 0.22 (Table 3). However, total allelic variation ranged from very low in the population (0.2% for IGFBP4, 0.6% for IGFBP6) to moderate (2.8%, 3.8%, and 5.0% for IGFBP5, IGFBP2, and IGFBP3, respectively) to high (IGFBP1 at 36.0%, Table 3). Of note, most of the predicted changes consist of one or a few amino acid substitutions per IGFBP. For IGFBP1, the bulk of the variability is the result of a single change of I253M in the COOH-terminal domain (35.7%, Fig. 3*A*). For IGFBP2 it is R41Q in the NH₂-terminal segment $(2.7\%$, Fig. 3*B*), and for IGFBP3 it is A32G in the NH_2 -terminal domain, H164P in the linker, and T284I in the COOH-terminal region (2.0%, 1.4%,

Figure 2. Population variation in human IGF1 and IGF2 receptors. A, diagram of the human IGF1 receptor precursor, including the 30-residue signal peptide (SP) and the α and β chains of the mature 1337-amino acid (AA) IGF1 receptor protein. The α chain consists of 706 amino acids, and the β chain, 627, with 4 residues lost upon proteolytic cleavage of the precursor protein. Major domains of the mature receptor are indicated (*L1* and *L2*, large domains 1 and 2; *CR*, cysteine-rich; *FNIII*, fibronectin type III elements 1– 4; *Protein Kinase*, tyrosine kinase region). The overall population prevalence of variant alleles for each part of the receptor precursor is listed *below the map* (variation in the tyrosine kinase region is indicated separately), and the location of the six most common variants is depicted in single-letter amino acid code. *B*, schematic of the human IGF2 receptor precursor, including the 40-residue SP and the 2451-amino acid mature protein. Different domains of the mature receptor are indicated (*R1–15*, repeating units; *F*, fibronectin type-II element). The overall population prevalence of variant alleles for each part of the molecule is pictured *below the map*, and the location of five most common variants is shown. The *scale bar* represents 100 amino acids in *A* and 200 in *B*.

Figure 3. Population variation in human IGFBPs 1–3. *A*, diagram of the human IGFBP1 precursor, including the 25-residue SP and mature 234-amino acid (*AA*) IGFBP1. The overall population prevalence of variant alleles for each part of the molecule is listed *below the map*, and the location of the two most common variants is depicted in single-letter amino acid code. *B*, diagram of the human IGFBP2 precursor, including the 36-residue SP and mature 289-amino acid IGFBP2. The overall population prevalence of variant alleles for each part of the molecule is listed *below the* map, and the location of the most common amino acid variant is depicted in single-letter code. *C*, diagram of the human IGFBP3 precursor, including the 27-residue SP and mature 264-amino acid IGFBP3. The overall population prevalence of variant alleles for each part of the molecule is listed *below the map*, and the location of the four most common individual variants is depicted in single-letter amino acid code. The *scale bar* represents 20 amino acids for *A*–C. Protein segments for all three IGFBPs include NH₂terminal, linker, and COOH-terminal domains.

and 1.0%, respectively; Fig. 3*C*). Similarly, for IGFBP5, it is R138W in the linker segment (2.6%, Fig. 4*B*).

IGFALS is an outlier

IGFALS, a 578-amino acid secreted liver protein, shares no structural or sequence similarity with IGFBPs, IGF receptors, or IGFs (27, 46). Its physiological role, in forming ternary complexes in the circulation with IGFBP3 or IGFBP5 and IGF1 or IGF2, is to prolong IGF half-life in the blood (27). From the perspective of the population genetics of IGF family members, IGFALS is an outlier, as its overall rate of variation was much higher than each of the other genes analyzed (0.58 non-syn-

Figure 4. Population variation in human IGFBPs 4 – 6. *A*, diagram of the human IGFBP4 precursor, including the 21-residue SP and mature 237-amino acid (*AA*) IGFBP4. The overall population prevalence of variant alleles for each part of the molecule is listed *below the* map, and the location of the most common variant is depicted in single-letter amino acid code. *B*, diagram of the human IGFBP5 precursor, including the 20-residue SP and mature 252-amino acid IGFBP5. The overall population prevalence of variant alleles for each part of the molecule is listed *below the map*, and the location of the most common amino acid variant is depicted in single-letter code. *C*, diagram of the human IGFBP6 precursor, including the 24-residue SP and mature 216-amino acid IGFBP6. The overall population prevalence of variant alleles for each part of the molecule is listed *below the map*, and the location of the two most common individual variants is depicted in single-letter amino acid code. The *scale bar*in *A*–*C* represents 20 amino acids. Protein segmentsfor all three IGFBPs include NH2-terminal, linker, and COOH-terminal domains.

onymous changes/codon; Table 3). However, as seen with IGFBPs, 2 amino acid substitutions are responsible for half of the allelic variability in the population for IGFALS: L135F (0.4%) and R586W (2.1%; Fig. 5).

There are a small number of individuals identified with deficiency of IGFALS who have been ascertained because of moderate growth failure (46, 47), and of these, 10 are single amino acid substitutions, 2 are small in-frame duplications, 4 are frame shifts, and 1 is a stop codon (Table 7). Alterations at 5 of 10 substitution sites, at 1 of 2 internal duplications, at 3 frameshift locations (although 1 is composed of an amino acid substitution), and at the single stop codon are present in the ExAC database at the ultra low frequency of 1–4 alleles in the population, whereas the others are absent (Table 7).

Discussion

Data from population-based genome sequencing of $>$ 60,500 people from ExAC (53) have been analyzed here to gain insights into the population genetics of the IGF system. Results identify a large number of possible missense alterations and other modifications in the coding regions of all 11 IGF family genes studied. The allelic frequency of the vast majority of these changes was very low, with most found in $\leq 0.1\%$ of the population (Tables 4 and 5). However, 3 predicted amino acid substitutions were highly prevalent, as they were identified in 13.3% and 89.6% of IGF2R alleles (Fig. 2*B*) and in 34.8% of IGFBP-1 alleles (Fig. 3*A*). These modifications along with others found in the coding regions of IGFBP2 (Fig. 3*B*), IGFBP3 (Fig. 3*C*), IGFBP5 (Fig. 4*B*), and IGFALS (Fig. 5) in several percent of alleles, suggest that fairly common protein sequence variants are present in the population and that they thus have the potential to alter physiologically important aspects of IGF actions.

Limited population variability in IGF1 and IGF2

Coding variation in *IGF1* and *IGF2* genes is uncommon but is $60-250\times$ more prevalent in the population that was detected in *INS* (Table 1), possibly reflecting both the essential role of INS in normal metabolic regulation and the important but less critical physiological functions of IGF1 and IGF2 in development and growth. Although population-based polymorphisms are $4\times$ more frequent in *IGF2* than in *IGF1* genes (Table 1), 80% of the difference (\sim 2% of all alleles) is caused by a single noncoding G to C alteration in DNA that maps near the adjacent *INS* gene.

Three presumptive amino acid substitutions in the IGF1 precursor (Fig. 1*A*) are responsible for more than half of the variation detected in the ExAC population (Table 1). Two of these substitutions, found within mature the 70-residue IGF1, are changes of alanine to threonine at residues 68 and 70 in the D domain of the molecule (Fig. 1*A*) (7, 8). Although it is possible that one or both of these modifications might alter the stability or another aspect of IGF1 protein synthesis or half-life, the conservative nature and the locations of these modifications suggests that they are unlikely to interfere significantly with the ability of IGF1 to bind to the IGF1R or to IGFBPs. This segment of the protein, composing the last four residues of the eightamino acid D domain, does not interact with other proteins or even with other parts of IGF1 and was not seen in three-dimensional structural determinations of IGF1 by protein crystallography (62). Substitutions and in-frame deletions occur

Figure 5. Population variation in humanIGFALS.Diagram of the human IGFALS precursor showing alternative 64 and 27 amino acid(*AA*) signal peptides(*SP*) (the former has not been determined experimentally) and the 578-amino acid mature protein. The cysteine-rich domains (*CR1* and *CR2*) and the leucine-rich region are indicated in mature IGFALS. The overall population prevalence of variant allelesfor each part of the molecule is listed *below the map*, and the location of the two most common variants is depicted in single-letter amino acid code. The *scale bar* represents 50 amino acids.

Table 7

^a The dash represents no alleles identified with that variant.

throughout the IGF1 precursor protein but with allelic frequencies that are so low (from 1:3000 to 1:120,000) that they are unlikely to have a significant population impact on human physiology.

IGF2 presents a picture broadly similar to IGF1 in terms of its population genetics. Most variants are uncommon, except for the non-coding change noted above, although in aggregate the *IGF2* gene has the highest frequency of potential loss of function alleles of all IGF family members (Table 5). The most prevalent amino acid substitution in the IGF2 progenitor is located in the COOH-terminal part of the E-domain (Fig. 1*B*), a section of the molecule with limited known biological function (8, 61). A single truncation mutation in the *IGF2* gene has been described in members of a family with severe growth deficiency and physical characteristics resembling Silver-Russell syndrome (38). Equally rare is an amino acid substitution at this same codon, which has been found on a single chromosome in the ExAC study population. The modification, A64P, is nonconservative, and it seems likely that it could significantly perturb IGF2 protein structure.

Rare and common polymorphisms in IGF receptors

Potential variations in amino acid sequence have been detected in nearly a third of the codons for both IGF receptors in the ExAC database, a frequency slightly higher than observed for INSR but similar to the CDMPR (Table 2). For the IGF1R, predicted alterations were found to be 3 times higher in the extracellular α chain than in the transmembrane and intracellular β chain, and 5 substitutions in the α chain accounted for -50% of all modified alleles in the population (Fig. 2*A*). One of these alterations, R437H, corresponds to a heterozygous

change found in the IGF1R in a centenarian (63). In limited studies, lymphocytes from this individual were shown to exhibit reduced IGF1-mediated receptor signaling compared with control cells from another centenarian, and it was postulated that diminished IGF1R function caused by this allele might relate to extended lifespan (63). Another missense allele identified by the same investigators, A67T, was only found on 2 of 121,412 chromosomes in the ExAC database and is thus unlikely to have a significant physiological impact in the population.

The kinase domain extends over $>$ 60% of the β chain of the IGF1R (Fig. 2*A*). Substitutions or other modifications are predicted in ExAC for \sim 40% of these \sim 400 amino acids but are generally uncommon, having a collective population allelic frequency of \sim 0.35% (Fig. 2A). Similarly, with one possible exception (R511Q, Table 6), mutations of the IGF1R at residues associated with growth deficiencies are rare, and most have not been detected in the ExAC study population (Table 6).

The IGF2R is a multifunctional protein involved in the clearance of IGF2 from the extracellular space and in the targeting of mannose 6-phosphate-containing lysosomal enzymes to the lysosome (16). Several predicted amino acid substitutions in the IGF2R appear frequently in the ExAC database, with one, R1619G, found in repeating unit 11, the IGF2-binding region (13, 16), detected in nearly 90% of alleles, indicating that it is \sim 9 \times more prevalent in the population than the genomic reference residue (Fig. 2*B*). The comparative effect of either amino acid on the rate or extent of removal of IGF2 from the extracellular space and thus on IGF2 actions is now worth evaluating.

Predicted amino acid changes are also fairly common in the two domains of IGF2R that are responsible for binding lysosomal enzymes, 0.3% for repeat 3 and 0.7% for repeat 9, as are alterations in the single repeat in the CDMPR (0.3%). As none of this information had been available until now, an opportunity exists to determine whether changes in the sequence and/or structure of either of these proteins might alter lysosomal enzyme targeting and possibly lysosomal activity and function.

Wide range of variation in IGFBPs and IGFALS

The frequency of allelic modifications among the six *IGFBP* genes in the ExAC study population spans a 180-fold range, from 0.2% for IGFBP4 to 36% for IGFBP1 (Table 3). Only a few amino acid substitutions per IGFBP are responsible for most of this population variability (Figs. 3 and 4). At present, the biological significance of these modifications is unknown, as there are no specific diseases connected to *IGFBP* gene alterations in humans (Ref. 30; see OMIM). However, because several biochemical parameters of each IGFBP, including protein half-life

and binding affinity for IGFs, can potentially influence IGF concentrations in the blood and extracellular fluid and thus modify IGF actions, one or more of these changes in individual IGFBPs could affect specific medical problems, including cancer susceptibility or others (22–25).

IGFALS is dissimilar to any other IGF family member. For example, its structure was shown recently to resemble Toll-like family receptors (28). Its primary function is as a carrier protein in blood for complexes of IGFBP3 and IGFBP5 and either IGF1 or IGF2 (26, 27). The total percentage of alternative alleles for the *IGFALS* gene in the population is similar to that of several IGFBPs, although the rate of variation is significantly higher (0.58 changes/codon; Table 3). One substitution, R586W, accounting for 40% of all variants, maps to the second cysteinerich region of IGFALS (Fig. 5) and resides near the COOH terminus of the recently proposed horseshoe-shaped structural model for the protein (28). Mutations in IGFALS are a rare cause of post-natal growth failure (46). Several of these aberrant alleles are present at low frequency in the ExAC population, but half of the amino acid substitutions are absent (Table 7). Most of these changes have been predicted to severely alter IGFALS structure, thus providing a rational basis to understand diseaselinked dysfunction (28).

Limitations and implications of population-based genome sequence data on understanding IGF actions in humans

As with any large-scale DNA sequencing endeavor, the ExAC database contains the raw material for novel biological insights as well as both errors and ambiguities. From the perspective of IGF family components, potential problems include the choice of some minor transcripts as the reference mRNA, although this problem can be resolved using databases such as Ensembl. Among the 11 IGF family genes, only IGF2 and IGFALS required explication, as in both cases the assigned mRNA encoded a signal peptide that was much longer than what was used by the major transcripts. More significantly, some of the proposed variants cannot be mapped to the respective gene or protein, as noted for IGFALS (Table 3), in which several identified highly polymorphic amino acid substitutions do not exist in the molecule. Other possible limitations of the data include the fact that even though many population groups are represented, $>60\%$ of study subjects are of European ancestry, and only \sim 8% are either from Africa or Latin America (53). Thus, the true rate of protein variation among humans may not yet be realized. In addition, as a minor point, there is an unknown error rate associated with nucleotide changes that appears only once in 121,412 alleles evaluated.

Despite these limitations, analysis of these data points to new opportunities to reevaluate normal human IGF physiology and pathology. From a physiological perspective, IGF system components play major roles in the complex interactions that define normal somatic growth in children, including the relationships between genetic and environmental factors (64). The strength, duration, and durability of these interactions and their range of outcomes now may be re-examined in light of the many versions of IGF1, IGF2, IGFBPs and receptors, and IGFALS present in the population. As IGF signaling pathways have also been postulated to be involved in aging (4, 65) and in

The extensive variability captured by ExAC should be traceable to our various recent and more distant ancestors, including extinct populations such as Neanderthals and Denisovans (66, 67). The genomes of modern humans contain traces of past contacts with these populations, and they have left us with DNA marks that clearly influence certain traits, such as hair color and skin pigmentation, and possibly certain disease predispositions (67). Although unlikely to occur, an ExAC-sized DNA database for Neanderthals, Denisovans, and other more distant human ancestors could lead to remarkable insights about human origins and how specific genetic influences shape human variation and biology. It is clear that new hypotheses inspired by these data can provide novel insights into the complex biology of IGF actions and those of other proteins in health and disease.

Experimental procedures

Data on variation in human IGF1, IGF2, IGF1, and IGF2 receptors, IGFBPs 1– 6, IGFALS, INS, INSR, and the CDMPR were derived from information in the ExAc genome browser, consisting of compiled results from exome sequencing of 60,706 individuals (68). Human transcripts and genes were accessed from the Ensembl Genome Browser using genome assembly, GRCh38. Sources of human protein sequences and domains were the National Center for Biotechnology Information Consensus CDS Protein Set (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) and the UniProt browser. Other databases consulted included Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM) and the Growth Genetics Consortium.

Author contributions—P. R. conceived of the study, collected and interpreted the results, and wrote the manuscript.

Acknowledgment— I thank my colleagues for comments on the manuscript.

References

- 1. Le Roith, D., Bondy, C., Yakar, S., Liu, J. L., and Butler, A. (2001) The somatomedin hypothesis: 2001. *Endocr. Rev.* **22,** 53–74
- 2. LeRoith, D. (2008) Clinical relevance of systemic and local IGF-I: lessons from animal models. *Pediatr. Endocrinol. Rev.* **5,** 739–743
- 3. Pollak, M. (2012) The insulin and insulin-like growth factor receptor family in neoplasia: an update. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **12,** 159–169
- 4. Gems, D., and Partridge, L. (2013) Genetics of longevity in model organisms: debates and paradigm shifts. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **75,** 621–644
- 5. Stewart, C. E., and Rotwein, P. (1996) Growth, differentiation, and survival: multiple physiological functions for insulin-like growth factors. *Physiol. Rev.* **76,** 1005
- 6. Nakae, J., Kido, Y., and Accili, D. (2001) Distinct and overlapping functions of insulin and IGF-I receptors. *Endocr. Rev.* **22,** 818–835
- 7. Rotwein, P. (1999) in *The IGF System* (Rosenfeld, R. G., and Roberts, C. T., Jr., eds) pp. 19–35, Humana Press, Totowa, NH
- 8. Wallis, M. (2009) New insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-precursor sequences from mammalian genomes: the molecular evolution of IGFs and associated peptides in primates. *Growth Horm. IGF Res.* **19,** 12–23
- 9. Schwartz, T. S., and Bronikowski, A. M. (2016) Evolution and function of the insulin and insulin-like signaling network in ectothermic reptiles: some answers and more questions. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* **56,** 171–184

- 10. Kavran, J. M., McCabe, J. M., Byrne, P. O., Connacher, M. K., Wang, Z., Ramek, A., Sarabipour, S., Shan, Y., Shaw, D. E., Hristova, K., Cole, P. A., and Leahy, D. J. (2014) How IGF-1 activates its receptor. *Elife* 10.7554/eLife.03772.
- 11. Baserga, R. (2013) The decline and fall of the IGF-I receptor. *J. Cell Physiol.* **228,** 675–679
- 12. Belfiore, A., and Malaguarnera, R. (2011) Insulin receptor and cancer. *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* **18,** R125–R1247
- 13. Ghosh, P., Dahms, N. M., and Kornfeld, S. (2003) Mannose 6-phosphate receptors: new twists in the tale. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **4,** 202–212
- 14. Brown, J., Jones, E. Y., and Forbes, B. E. (2009) Interactions of IGF-II with the IGF2R/cation-independent mannose-6-phosphate receptor mechanism and biological outcomes. *Vitam. Horm.* **80,** 699–719
- 15. Scott, C. D., and Kiess, W. (2015) Soluble M6P/IGFIIR in the circulation. *Best Pract. Res. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **29,** 723–733
- 16. Kornfeld, S. (1992) Structure and function of the mannose 6-phosphate/ insulinlike growth factor II receptors. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **61,** 307–330
- 17. Clemmons, D. R. (1997) Insulin-like growth factor binding proteins and their role in controlling IGF actions. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* **8,** 45–62
- 18. Bach, L. A., Headey, S. J., and Norton, R. S. (2005) IGF-binding proteins: the pieces are falling into place. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* **16,** 228–234
- 19. Bach, L. A. (2015) Insulin-Like growth factor binding proteins: an update. *Pediatr. Endocrinol. Rev.* **13,** 521–530
- 20. Duan, C., and Xu, Q. (2005) Roles of insulin-like growth factor (IGF) binding proteins in regulating IGF actions. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **142,** 44–52
- 21. Forbes, B. E., McCarthy, P., and Norton, R. S. (2012) Insulin-like growth factor binding proteins: a structural perspective. *Front. Endocrinol. (Lausanne)* **3,** 38
- 22. Baxter, R. C. (2014) IGF binding proteins in cancer: mechanistic and clinical insights. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **14,** 329–341
- 23. Hoeflich, A., and Russo, V. C. (2015) Physiology and pathophysiology of IGFBP-1 and IGFBP-2: consensus and dissent on metabolic control and malignant potential. *Best Pract. Res. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **29,** 685–700
- 24. Ranke, M. B. (2015) Insulin-like growth factor binding-protein-3 (IGFBP-3). *Best Pract. Res. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **29,** 701–711
- 25. Bach, L. A. (2015) Insulin-like growth factor binding proteins 4–6. *Best Pract. Res. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **29,** 713–722
- 26. Baxter, R. C. (2001) Changes in the IGF-IGFBP axis in critical illness. *Best Pract. Res. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **15,** 421–434
- 27. Baxter, R. C. (2001) Inhibition of the insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-IGFbinding protein interaction. *Horm. Res.* **55,** 68–72
- 28. David, A., Kelley, L. A., and Sternberg, M. J. (2012) A new structural model of the acid-labile subunit: pathogenetic mechanisms of short stature-causing mutations. *J. Mol. Endocrinol.* **49,** 213–220
- 29. Savage, M. O., Burren, C. P., and Rosenfeld, R. G. (2010) The continuum of growth hormone-IGF-I axis defects causing short stature: diagnostic and therapeutic challenges. *Clin. Endocrinol.* (*Oxf*) **72,** 721–728
- 30. Dauber, A., Rosenfeld, R. G., and Hirschhorn, J. N. (2014) Genetic evaluation of short stature. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **99,** 3080–3092
- 31. Woods, K. A., Camacho-Hübner, C., Savage, M. O., and Clark, A. J. (1996) Intrauterine growth retardation and postnatal growth failure associated with deletion of the insulin-like growth factor I gene. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **335,** 1363–1367
- 32. Bonapace, G., Concolino, D., Formicola, S., and Strisciuglio, P. (2003) A novel mutation in a patient with insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1) deficiency. *J. Med. Genet.* **40,** 913–917
- 33. Walenkamp, M. J., Karperien, M., Pereira, A. M., Hilhorst-Hofstee, Y., van Doorn, J., Chen, J. W., Mohan, S., Denley, A., Forbes, B., van Duyvenvoorde, H. A., van Thiel, S. W., Sluimers, C. A., Bax, J. J., de Laat, J. A., Breuning, M. B., Romijn, J. A., and Wit, J. M. (2005) Homozygous and heterozygous expression of a novel insulin-like growth factor-I mutation. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **90,** 2855–2864
- 34. van Duyvenvoorde, H. A., van Setten, P. A., Walenkamp, M. J., van Doorn, J., Koenig, J., Gauguin, L., Oostdijk, W., Ruivenkamp, C. A., Losekoot, M., Wade, J. D., De Meyts, P., Karperien, M., Noordam, C., and Wit, J. M. (2010) Short stature associated with a novel heterozygous mutation in

the insulin-like growth factor 1 gene. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **95,** E363-E367

- 35. Netchine, I., Azzi, S., Le Bouc, Y., and Savage, M. O. (2011) IGF1 molecular anomalies demonstrate its critical role in fetal, postnatal growth and brain development. *Best Pract. Res. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **25,** 181–190
- 36. Fuqua, J. S., Derr, M., Rosenfeld, R. G., and Hwa, V. (2012) Identification of a novel heterozygous IGF1 splicing mutation in a large kindred with familial short stature. *Horm. Res. Paediatr.* **78,** 59–66
- 37. Batey, L., Moon, J. E., Yu, Y., Wu, B., Hirschhorn, J. N., Shen, Y., and Dauber, A. (2014) A novel deletion of IGF1 in a patient with idiopathic short stature provides insight Into IGF1 haploinsufficiency. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **99,** E153–E159
- 38. Begemann, M., Zirn, B., Santen, G., Wirthgen, E., Soellner, L., Büttel, H. M., Schweizer, R., van Workum, W., Binder, G., and Eggermann, T. (2015) Paternally inherited IGF2 mutation and growth restriction. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **373,** 349–356
- 39. Eggermann, T., Begemann, M., Spengler, S., Schröder, C., Kordass, U., and Binder, G. (2010) Genetic and epigenetic findings in Silver-Russell syndrome. *Pediatr. Endocrinol. Rev.* **8,** 86–93
- 40. Azzi, S., Abi Habib,W., and Netchine, I. (2014) Beckwith-Wiedemann and Russell-Silver Syndromes: from new molecular insights to the comprehension of imprinting regulation. *Curr. Opin. Endocrinol. Diabetes Obes.* **21,** 30–38
- 41. Klammt, J., Kiess, W., and Pfäffle, R. (2011) IGF1R mutations as cause of SGA. *Best Pract. Res. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **25,** 191–206
- 42. Walenkamp, M. J., Losekoot, M., and Wit, J. M. (2013) Molecular IGF-1 and IGF-1 receptor defects: from genetics to clinical management. *Endocr. Dev.* **24,** 128–137
- 43. Gannagé-Yared, M. H., Klammt, J., Chouery, E., Corbani, S., Mégarbané, H., Abou Ghoch, J., Choucair, N., Pfäffle, R., and Mégarbané, A. (2013) Homozygous mutation of the IGF1 receptor gene in a patient with severe pre- and postnatal growth failure and congenital malformations. *Eur. J. Endocrinol.* **168,** K1–K7
- 44. Burkhardt, S., Gesing, J., Kapellen, T. M., Kovacs, P., Kratzsch, J., Schlicke, M., Stobbe, H., Tönjes, A., Klammt, J., and Pfäffle, R. (2015) Novel heterozygous IGF1R mutation in two brothers with developing impaired glucose tolerance. *J. Pediatr. Endocrinol. Metab.* **28,** 217–225
- 45. Prontera, P., Micale, L., Verrotti, A., Napolioni, V., Stangoni, G., and Merla, G. (2015) A New Homozygous IGF1R variant defines a clinically recognizable incomplete dominant form of SHORT syndrome. *Hum. Mutat.* **36,** 1043–1047
- 46. Domené, H. M., Hwa, V., Jasper, H. G., and Rosenfeld, R. G. (2011) Acidlabile subunit (ALS) deficiency. *Best Pract. Res. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **25,** 101–113
- 47. Poukoulidou, T., Kowalczyk, J., Metherell, L., De Schepper, J., and Maes, M. (2014) A novel homozygous mutation of the IGFALS gene in a female adolescent: indirect evidence for a contributing role of the circulating IGF-I pool in the pubertal growth spurt. *Horm. Res. Paediatr.* **81,** 422–427
- 48. Killian, J. K., Oka, Y., Jang, H. S., Fu, X., Waterland, R. A., Sohda, T., Sakaguchi, S., and Jirtle, R. L. (2001) Mannose 6-phosphate/insulin-like growth factor 2 receptor (M6P/IGF2R) variants in American and Japanese populations. *Hum. Mutat.* **18,** 25–31
- 49. De Souza, A. T., Hankins, G. R., Washington, M. K., Orton, T. C., and Jirtle, R. L. (1995) M6P/IGF2R gene is mutated in human hepatocellular carcinomas with loss of heterozygosity. *Nat. Genet.* **11,** 447–449
- 50. Acuna-Hidalgo, R., Veltman, J. A., and Hoischen, A. (2016) New insights into the generation and role of *de novo* mutations in health and disease. *Genome Biol.* **17,** 241
- 51. Katsanis, N. (2016) The continuum of causality in human genetic disorders. *Genome Biol.* **17,** 233
- 52. Quintana-Murci, L. (2016) Understanding rare and common diseases in the context of human evolution. *Genome Biol.* **17,** 225
- 53. Lek, M., Karczewski, K. J., Minikel, E. V., Samocha, K. E., Banks, E., Fennell, T., O'Donnell-Luria, A. H., Ware, J. S., Hill, A. J., Cummings, B. B., Tukiainen, T., Birnbaum, D. P., Kosmicki, J. A., Duncan, L. E., *et al.* (2016) Analysis of protein-coding genetic variation in 60,706 humans. *Nature* **536,** 285–291

- 54. Ruderfer, D. M., Hamamsy, T., Lek, M., Karczewski, K. J., Kavanagh, D., Samocha, K. E., Exome Aggregation Consortium, Daly, M. J., MacArthur, D. G., Fromer, M., and Purcell, S. M. (2016) Patterns of genic intolerance of rare copy number variation in 59,898 human exomes. *Nat. Genet.* **48,** 1107–1111
- 55. Zou, J., Valiant, G., Valiant, P., Karczewski, K., Chan, S. O., Samocha, K., Lek, M., Sunyaev, S., Daly, M., and MacArthur, D. G. (2016) Quantifying unobserved protein-coding variants in human populations provides a roadmap for large-scale sequencing projects. *Nat. Commun.* **7,** 13293
- 56. Kruger, R. P. (2016) Knockout! Knockout! Who's not there. *Cell* **167,** 289–291
- 57. Bahcall, O. G. (2016) Genetic variation: ExAC boosts clinical variant interpretation in rare diseases. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **17,** 584
- 58. Duguay, S. J., Milewski, W. M., Young, B. D., Nakayama, K., and Steiner, D. F. (1997) Processing of wild-type and mutant proinsulin-like growth factor-IA by subtilisin-related proprotein convertases. *J. Biol. Chem.* **272,** 6663–6670
- 59. Duguay, S. J., Jin, Y., Stein, J., Duguay, A. N., Gardner, P., and Steiner, D. F. (1998) Post-translational processing of the insulin-like growth factor-2 precursor: analysis of *O*-glycosylation and endoproteolysis. *J. Biol. Chem.* **273,** 18443–18451
- 60. Bikle, D. D., Tahimic, C., Chang, W., Wang, Y., Philippou, A., and Barton, E. R. (2015) Role of IGF-I signaling in muscle bone interactions. *Bone* **80,** 79–88
- 61. Livingstone, C. (2013) IGF2 and cancer. *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* **20,** R321–R339
- 62. Brzozowski, A. M., Dodson, E. J., Dodson, G. G., Murshudov, G. N., Verma, C., Turkenburg, J. P., de Bree, F. M., and Dauter, Z. (2002) Structural origins of the functional divergence of human insulin-like growth factor-I and insulin. *Biochemistry* **41,** 9389–9397
- 63. Suh, Y., Atzmon, G., Cho, M. O., Hwang, D., Liu, B., Leahy, D. J., Barzilai, N., and Cohen, P. (2008) Functionally significant insulin-like growth factor I receptor mutations in centenarians. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **105,** 3438–3442
- 64. Baron, J., Sävendahl, L., De Luca, F., Dauber, A., Phillip, M., Wit, J. M., and Nilsson, O. (2015) Short and tall stature: a new paradigm emerges. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* **11,** 735–746
- 65. Berryman, D. E., Christiansen, J. S., Johannsson, G., Thorner, M. O., and Kopchick, J. J. (2008) Role of the GH/IGF-1 axis in lifespan and healthspan: lessons from animal models. *Growth Horm. IGF Res.* **18,** 455–471
- 66. Jones, E. R., Gonzalez-Fortes, G., Connell, S., Siska, V., Eriksson, A., Martiniano, R., McLaughlin, R. L., Gallego Llorente, M., Cassidy, L. M., Gamba, C., Meshveliani, T., Bar-Yosef, O., Müller, W., Belfer-Cohen, A., Matskevich, Z., *et al.* (2015) Upper Palaeolithic genomes reveal deep roots of modern Eurasians. *Nat. Commun.* **6,** 8912
- 67. Vattathil, S., and Akey, J. M. (2015) Small amounts of archaic admixture provide big insights into human history. *Cell* **163,** 281–284
- 68. Karczewski, K. J., Weisburd, B., Thomas, B., Solomonson, M., Ruderfer, D. M., Kavanagh, D., Hamamsy, T., Lek, M., Samocha, K. E., Cummings, B. B., Birnbaum, D., The Exome Aggregation Consortium, Daly, M. J., and MacArthur, D. G. (2017) The ExAC browser: displaying reference data information from over 60 000 exomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **45,** D840–D845