



Seasonal shifts in accumulation of glycerol biosynthetic gene transcripts in mountain pine beetle, *Dendroctonus ponderosae* Hopkins (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), larvae

Jordie D. Fraser¹, Tiffany R. Bonnett¹, Christopher I. Keeling^{2,3} and Dezene P.W. Huber¹

¹Ecosystem Science and Management Program, University of Northern British Columbia, Prince George, British Columbia, Canada

²Department of Biological Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada

³Michael Smith Laboratories, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

ABSTRACT

Winter mortality is a major factor regulating population size of the mountain pine beetle, *Dendroctonus ponderosae* Hopkins (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Glycerol is the major cryoprotectant in this freeze intolerant insect. We report findings from a gene expression study on an overwintering mountain pine beetle population over the course of 35 weeks. mRNA transcript levels suggest glycerol production in the mountain pine beetle occurs through glycogenolytic, gluconeogenic and potentially glyceroneogenic pathways, but not from metabolism of lipids. A two-week lag period between fall glycogen phosphorylase transcript and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase transcript up-regulation suggests that gluconeogenesis serves as a secondary glycerol-production process, subsequent to exhaustion of the primary glycogenolytic source. These results provide a first look at the details of seasonal gene expression related to the production of glycerol in the mountain pine beetle.

Submitted 4 January 2017

Accepted 7 April 2017

Published 13 June 2017

Corresponding author

Dezene P.W. Huber, huber@unbc.ca

Academic editor

Brenda Oppert

Additional Information and
Declarations can be found on
page 13

DOI 10.7717/peerj.3284

© Copyright
2017 Fraser et al.

Distributed under
Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

Subjects Ecology, Entomology, Molecular Biology, Zoology

Keywords Bark beetle, Mountain pine beetle, Overwintering, Glycerol, Seasonal, Cryoprotectant, Freeze intolerant, *Dendroctonus ponderosae*, Coleoptera, Curculionidae

INTRODUCTION

While native to British Columbian forests, the mountain pine beetle, *Dendroctonus ponderosae* Hopkins (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), population sizes have reached epidemic levels, resulting in the largest infestation on record (*Westfall, 2007*), causing significant economic and social impact in forestry dependent communities. Winter cold temperatures are often cited as the largest single source of mortality in *D. ponderosae* (*Safranyik, 1978; Cole, 1981; Safranyik & Carroll, 2006; Stahl, Moore & McKendry, 2006; Aukema et al., 2008*). While fall and winter temperatures regularly reach far below the equilibrium freezing point of mountain pine beetle bodily fluids, larvae are able to avoid the damaging effects of ice formation. The phenomenon of quiescence (*Powell & Logan, 2005*) grants

overwintering mountain pine beetle larvae the ability to reallocate limited energy reserves from developmental and basal metabolism toward biosynthesis of antifreeze compounds (Li, Ding & Goto, 2002; Joannis & Storey, 1994b).

Freeze avoidant insects, like the mountain pine beetle, evade cold mortality by producing cryoprotectants, often polyols, that alter the freezing properties of their bodily fluids (Bale, 2002; Baust, 1983). Glycerol is the most common cryoprotectant used by insects to achieve states of freeze avoidance (Storey & Storey, 2004). While little work has been done to illuminate mechanisms by which the mountain pine beetle achieves a state of cold tolerance, Bentz & Mullins (1999) assessed the composition and seasonal quantity of polyols in mountain pine beetle hemolymph and showed that glycerol is the most abundant cryoprotectant accumulated by overwintering larvae. The metabolic pathways that lead to glycerol production in insects and other animals are well understood (Fig. 1).

The precise mechanisms by which mountain pine beetle larvae produce glycerol and the timing of production are unknown. Because glycerol is important in cold tolerance physiology in the mountain pine beetle, a better understanding of the dynamics of gene expression related to glycerol biosynthesis is of significant ecological importance, particularly as the insect moves into a new, and colder, habitat (Cullingham et al., 2011; Janes et al., 2014).

The objective of this study was to document seasonal gene expression differences in the mountain pine beetle for genes associated with glycerol production by assessing changes in transcript levels for genes in that biosynthetic pathway.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample and temperature collection

Eleven lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*) trees that had been attacked by mountain pine beetles in the previous summer—located west of Tête Jaune Cache, British Columbia, Canada (N53°3'35.28"W119°36'52.74")—were sampled in 2008 and 2009. Three temperature data loggers (iButton® data loggers; Maxim, Sunnyvale, CA, US) were affixed to each tree—at the base of the tree, at breast height on the north side of the tree, and at breast height on the south side of the tree. Temperature data were recorded every 30 min throughout the study period: September 19, 2008 through May 13, 2009. Due to technical difficulties, seventeen hours of temperature data were lost between 9:36 a.m., 1 April, 2009 and 2:36 a.m., 2 April, 2009. Daily minimum, mean and maximum temperatures for 1 April, 2009 were estimated by averaging daily minimum, mean and maximum temperatures for 31 March, 2009 and 2 April, 2009.

Multiple live mountain pine beetle larvae of mixed instar and undetermined sex were manually removed from trees on the following dates: 19 September, 2008, 3 October, 2008, 17 October, 2008, 31 October, 2008, 14 November, 2008, 18 March, 2009, 1 April, 2009, 14 April, 2009, 29 April, 2009 and 13 May, 2009. Larvae were individually deposited in 1.5mL microcentrifuge tubes, immediately flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and were transported back to UNBC laboratory facilities on dry ice where they were immediately stored at -80°C until RNA extractions were conducted.




Figure 1 The processes of glycogenesis and glycogenolysis involve the following enzymes: glycogen phosphorase (GP); triosephosphate isomerase (TPI); glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (G3PDH); alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH); glycogen synthase (GS). The metabolism of lipids, specifically triglycerides, produces glycerol and occurs via triacylglycerol lipase (TAGL). Reducing equivalents required in the production of glycerol can be produced via the pentose phosphate (continued on next page...)

Figure 1 (...continued)

pathway (PPP), involving the enzymes 6-phosphoglucolactonase (6-PGL) and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PDH). The enzyme citrate synthase (CS) is involved in the citric acid cycle (CAC) and gluconeogenic enzymes include phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK) and fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase (FBP). Pyruvate kinase (PK) is an enzyme present within the lower-half of glycolysis. The following substrates are involved in the above processes: glucose-1-phosphate (G1P), glucose-6-phosphate (G6P), β -glucose-6-phosphate (β -G6P), glucono-1,5-lactone 6-phosphate (G-1,5-6P), fructose-6-phosphate (F6P), fructose-1,6-bisphosphate (F16P), dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP), glycerol-3-phosphate (G3P), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAP), glyceraldehyde (GA), phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) and oxaloacetate (OAA).

RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis

Larval samples were incubated for 18 h in RNeasy[®]-Lysis Buffer (Qiagen, Crawley, UK) at -20°C and completely homogenized by use of a GeneoGrinder 2000 (SpexCertiprep, Metuchen, NJ, USA). RNA extractions were conducted with MagMax[™]-96 Kits (Ambion, USA) containing a DNase digestion step. RNA concentration was acquired by use of a Qubit Quantification System (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Estimates of sample purity were obtained by use of a Nanodrop ND-1000 (NanoDrop Technologies, Inc., Wilmington, DE, USA), with sample 260/280 ratios ranging from 1.8–2.2. Sample integrity was assessed via Experion StdSens (BioRad, USA) microfluidics chips. RNA aliquots were assessed for genomic DNA contamination via RT-qPCR, ensuring a minimum difference of 5 C_q between RNA and cDNA runs for the same biological sample (Nolan, Hands & Bustin, 2006). RNA samples were stored at -80°C until reverse transcription reactions were conducted. Sample cDNA was produced from 800 ng of total RNA using random decamers and the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) in a total reaction volume of 40 μL following the manufacturer's protocol.

RT-qPCR target oligonucleotide information and protocol

Candidate gene sequences used for the identification of transcripts and proteins of glycerol biosynthesis were identified from previously developed mountain pine beetle EST and full-length cDNA databases (Keeling *et al.*, 2012). We investigated differential transcript accumulation for the following mountain pine beetle gene sequences: pyruvate kinase (PK, BT127907), glycogen phosphorylase (GP, APGK01006417), citrate synthase (CS, APGK01047658), 6-phosphoglucolactonase (6-PGL, BT128455), glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PDH, APGK01024792), glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (G3PDH, BT128609), fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase (FBP, BT128229), alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH, BT127435), triosephosphate isomerase (TPI, BT127767), phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK, BT127980), glycogen synthase (GS, APGK01035513) and triacylglycerol lipase (TAGL, BT127387). Primer sequences and gene-specific properties are shown in Table 1. Hydrolysis probes were designed for each of the genes of interest and used TAMARA, ROX or FAM fluorophores (Table 2).

All reactions were conducted on an iQ5 (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) real-time quantitative PCR machine and consisted of a denaturation step at 95°C for 3 min followed by 40 cycles of 10 s at 95°C and 30 s at each gene of interest's specific annealing temperature (Table 1). cDNA for each sample was diluted 1:9 with nuclease-free water and was run

Table 1 Sequences and properties of primers used in evaluation of twelve genes of interest employed to investigate seasonal cold tolerance in *D. ponderosae*.

	Reference Gene	Sequence (5'–3')	(Primer) nM	% GC	T _A	Amplicon size (bp)	DLR	E	R ²
PK	Pyruvate kinase	CTTATCCTTTGGCTATTGCTTTGG	600	41.6	62.5	123	24 pg –75 ng	91.5	0.996
		ATCTGTGGTCAGCTTAATAGTATCG	300	40	62.5				
GP	Glycogen phosphorylase	TGGATCAAATGCAGAACGGATTC	600	43.4	63.6	107	5 pg –75 ng	94.9	0.999
		GTAATCGGCCAGCAAGAAGAAC	600	50.0	63.6				
CS	Citrate Synthase	GACTTCGATTTGTGACGAGAGAG	600	47.8	63.0	142	12 pg –75 ng	93.9	0.999
		CAGACGTATGGAGGCAAACATC	300	50	63.0				
6-PGL	6-phosphoglucolactonase	CCGATTTGATCTACTGCTGCTG	600	50	53.8	108	12 pg –75 ng	98.9	0.997
		GTGATTGGAGCCACCCATTTG	900	52.3	53.8				
G6PDH	Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase	GCAGAAAGTAAGAATTCAGTTTGAGG	900	40	62.5	137	5 pg –75 ng	100.1	0.995
		GCCATACCAGGAGTTTTCCACC	900	52.3	62.5				
G3PDH	Glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase	TGTTCTGCGAAACCACCATTG	900	47.6	53	126	24 pg –75 ng	95.5	0.999
		CGCCGCAAACCTCCACAG	300	61.1	53				
FBP	Fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase	CACAGCTACCGGAGAACTCAC	300	57.1	63.3	139	24 pg –75 ng	96.9	0.999
		CACTTCTTCGCCCTGTACATTTG	900	47.8	63.3				
ADH	Alcohol dehydrogenase	ATCCTCTACACGGCGGTTTG	900	55	63.3	147	12 pg –75 ng	90	0.996
		ATCACCTGGCTTCACACTGG	300	55	63.3				
TPI	Triosephosphate isomerase	ACGCCCCAGCAAGCTCAG	900	66.6	63.3	106	24 pg –75 ng	96.3	0.998
		CCGAACCGCCGTATTGGATTC	900	57.1	63.3				
PEPCK	Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase	GGCATCGAACTCACTGACTCC	600	57.1	63.0	129	5 pg –75 ng	100	0.995
		GGTGCCGACCGAGTGGAG	300	72.2	63.0				
GS	Glycogen synthase	GAACGACCCGGTGCTCAG	300	66.6	57.8	125	24 pg –75 ng	92.9	0.996
		CGTAGTCCAGCCCGAAGAG	600	63.1	57.8				
TAGL	Triacylglycerol lipase	TCTACGTGTATACCTCTCAGAATCG	300	44	63.0	100	24 pg –75 ng	92.8	0.999
		GTGTCTCTGTTAGCAGCGAATC	600	50	63.0				

Table 2 Sequences of hydrolysis probes used in evaluation of twelve genes of interest employed to investigate seasonal cold tolerance in *D. ponderosae*.

Gene		Probe sequence (5'–3')
<i>PK</i>	Pyruvate kinase	56-TAMN-CAGCAGATCCTCCGCCTTCCAACAA-3BHQ_2
<i>GP</i>	Glycogen phosphorylase	56-ROXN-CAGCCCAAGCAATCCAGACGAGTTC-3BHQ_2
<i>CS</i>	Citrate synthase	56-FAM-CCACAGCAACGAAATAACACCACCA-3BHQ_1
<i>6-PGL</i>	6-phosphoglucolactonase	56-TAMN-ACACCTGCTCTCTGTTTCCTGGACA-3BHQ_2
<i>G6PDH</i>	Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase	56-ROXN-AGCCTCGCCTGGTTGAACTCTAATC-3BHQ_2
<i>G3PDH</i>	Glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase	56-TAMN-TCATCGTCCACCACCACCACTCG-3BHQ_2
<i>FBP</i>	Fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase	56-ROXN-AGCTACTCAATGCCATCCAGACTGC-3BHQ_2
<i>ADH</i>	Alcohol dehydrogenase	56-FAM-TCCAACACTCTCGACCACTCCAGC-3BHQ_1
<i>TPI</i>	Triosephosphate isomerase	56-TAMN-AAGTCCATCAGTCGCTACGCCAGTG-3BHQ_2
<i>PEPCK</i>	Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase	56-ROXN-TTGACGAACTCCTCCGCCTCTTGC-3BHQ_2
<i>GS</i>	Glycogen synthase	56-TAMN-TCTTCAACACCGCCGAGGACCG-3BHQ_2
<i>TAGL</i>	Triacylglycerol lipase	56-ROXN-ACCCAAATAAGAGCCAGTGACGCCA-3BHQ_2

in duplicate as a technical replicate. Reaction volumes consisting of 25 μ L total volume consisting of the following component volumes were conducted: 2.5 μ L forward primer (9 μ M), 2.5 μ L reverse primer (9 μ M), 2.5 μ L Probe (2.5 μ M), 2.5 μ L cDNA template, 2.5 μ L nuclease-free water and 12.5 μ L iQ Supermix (2X; Biorad, Hercules, CA, USA). No template controls were utilized for all reactions. Gene transcript accumulation values were obtained from 4-8 larval biological replicates, collected from eleven separate lodgepole pine trees.

Data analysis

Transcript accumulation normalization for each biological replicate was achieved from a normalization factor made up of: RNA polymerase II (*RPII*, [BT126845](#)), porphobilinogen deaminase (*PBD*, GAFW01009520), actin (*ACT*, [BT126695](#)), tyrosine 3-monooxygenase (*YWHAZ*, [BT128603](#)) reference gene transcript accumulation data. These four reference genes were determined by geNorm analysis ([Vandesompele et al., 2002](#)) to be most appropriate for transcript accumulation normalization consisting of biological replicates from all treatment groups (i.e., all ten data time points). For statistical analysis of each gene of interest, logarithmically transformed normalized mRNA expression data for biological replicates were analyzed in R (Version 2.9.2). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) assumptions of homoscedasticity (Levene's test) and normality (histograms, quantile–quantile plots, the Sahpiro-Francia normality test) were assessed for mRNA expression data. One-way ANOVAs were conducted for mRNA expression data followed by Tukey's HSD *post-hoc* test for pair-wise multiple comparisons. For graphical analyses, data normalization was performed as in [Willems, Leyns & Vandesompele \(2008\)](#). Geometric mean fold changes for each treatment were set relative to 19 September, 2008 mean mRNA expression level. Relative fold changes and their respective 95% confidence intervals were plotted in Microsoft Excel.




Figure 2 Geometric mean fold change in mountain pine beetle transcript accumulation relative to 19 September, 2008 and corresponding daily seasonal thermal data at the larval collection site for the fall 2008 study period. (A) Glycogen phosphorylase relative mRNA expression (Fall 2008); (B) Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase relative mRNA expression (Fall 2008); (C) fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase relative mRNA expression (Fall 2008); (D) Glycogen synthase relative mRNA expression (Fall 2008); (E) triose-phosphate isomerase relative mRNA expression (Fall 2008); (F) glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase relative mRNA expression (Fall 2008). Gene transcript accumulation values were obtained from 4–8 larval biological replicates, collected from eleven separate lodgepole pine trees, with 95% confidence intervals being displayed. One-way ANOVA were conducted for transcript accumulation data followed by Tukey's HSD post-hoc test for pair-wise multiple comparisons. Means found to be statistically different ($p < 0.05$) are denoted with different lowercase letters.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

RT-qPCR target gene expression results

In the fall (collection dates between September and November), statistically significant increases in transcript levels were observed for GP, PEPCK, FBP, GS, TPI, and G3PDH (Fig. 2). In the spring (collection dates between March and May), statistically significant decreases in transcript levels were observed for GP, PEPCK, FBP, GS, TPI and G3PDH (Fig. 3). TAGL, ADH, 6-PGL, G6PDH, PK and CS transcript levels did not exhibit differential accumulation during either the fall or the spring study periods (not statistically




Figure 3 Geometric mean fold change in mountain pine beetle transcript accumulation relative to 19 September, 2008 and corresponding daily seasonal thermal data at the larval collection site for the spring 2009 study period. (A) Glycogen phosphorylase relative mRNA expression (Spring 2009); (B) Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase relative mRNA expression (Spring 2009); (C) fructose-1,6,-bisphosphatase relative mRNA expression (Spring 2009); (D) Glycogen synthase relative mRNA expression (Spring 2009); (E) triose-phosphate isomerase relative mRNA expression (Spring 2009); (F) glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase relative mRNA expression (Spring 2009). Gene transcript accumulation values were obtained from 4–8 larval biological replicates, collected from eleven separate lodgepole pine trees, with 95% confidence intervals being displayed. One-way ANOVA were conducted for transcript accumulation data followed by Tukey’s HSD post-hoc test for pair-wise multiple comparisons. Means found to be statistically different ($p < 0.05$) are denoted with different lowercase letters.

significant, data not shown). When compared and contracted to proteomic data available for the same sample population, our results mirror quite closely (Bonnert *et al.*, 2012).

Transcript accumulation indicates that glycerol biosynthesis involves both glycogenolysis and gluconeogenesis

One of the predominant carbohydrate energy reserves used by overwintering insects is glycogen (Li, Ding & Goto, 2002; Han & Bauce, 1998; Klowden, 2002), whereas the most

common lipid store comes in the form of triglycerides (Klowden, 2002). Because they do not feed during the winter (Régnière & Bentz, 2007; J Fraser, pers. obs., 2008), mountain pine beetle larvae are in a state of near-starvation and must efficiently allocate limited energetic stores between maintaining basal metabolic levels and producing cryoprotectants, mainly glycerol (Bentz & Mullins, 1999). Measures of how overwintering metabolic rates vary and which energetic substrates are consumed in the production of glycerol have yet to be obtained for the mountain pine beetle. As both glycogen and triglycerides have the potential to be converted into glycerol (Fig. 1), genes involved in both glycogenolysis (*GP*) and lipolysis (*TAGL*) were selected for investigation.

There was no significant differential transcript accumulation for *TAGL* in either fall or spring study periods. This result does not support the hypotheses that glycerol is produced from the metabolism of triglycerides, and that triglycerides are used as an energy source for the mountain pine beetle post-quiescence. This finding is contrary to that of a study of the closely related pine engraver *Ips pini* Say (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) (Lombardero et al., 2000) which indicated that lipids were the source of overwintering glycerol metabolism. Mixed evidence has been generated from similar metabolite assays conducted within the goldenrod gall fly (*Eurosta solidaginis*). Early observations found a concomitant decline in lipid content and an increase in glycerol accumulation (Morrissey & Baust, 1976), but subsequent studies observed stable overwintering lipid levels for this same insect species (Storey & Storey, 1986), which mirrors our findings for *TAGL* expression. Furthermore, seasonal proteomic data obtained from the same population of mountain pine beetles sampled for this study (Bonnett et al., 2012), specifically results for the LSD1 protein –responsible for activating triglyceride breakdown –added additional support our *TAGL* findings.

During the fall study period a statistically significant increase in *GP* transcript accumulation from 19 September to 17 October was observed and corresponded to a substantial decrease in temperature. Conversely, during the spring study period, a statistically significant decrease in *GP* transcript levels occurred from 18 March to 1 April and corresponded with a substantial increase in temperature. The opposing trends observed between *GP* transcript accumulation and temperature in both the fall (Fig. 2A) and the following spring (Fig. 3A) support the hypothesis that glycerol production is the result of the metabolism of glycogen in the mountain pine beetle. This conclusion is similar to the findings of numerous other studies of glycerol accumulation in overwintering insects, including: metabolite assays observing glycogen depletion (Storey, Baust & Storey, 1981a; Storey & Storey, 1986; Pullin & Bale, 1989; Churchill & Storey, 1989; Han & Bauce, 1998; Li, Ding & Goto, 2002); increased glycogenolytic enzyme activities (Storey & Storey, 1981b; Joannis & Storey, 1994a; Joannis & Storey, 1995; Clow, Ewart & Driedzic, 2008); and increased glycogenolytic gene transcript accumulation (Richards et al., 2010). Transcript accumulation data reported for *GP* herein was found to be consistent with the proteomic findings of Bonnett et al. (2012).

In the fall study period a statistically significant increase in *PEPCK* transcript accumulation from 3 October to 17 October was observed and corresponded with a substantial decrease in temperature. Conversely, in the spring study period a statistically

significant decrease in *PEPCK* transcript accumulation occurred from 1 April to 14 April and corresponded with a substantial increase in temperature. The opposite trends observed between temperature and *PEPCK* transcript accumulation for both the fall (Fig. 2B), and spring (Fig. 3B) study periods supports the hypothesis that, in addition to glycogenolysis, gluconeogenesis contributes to the production of overwintering glycerol in mountain pine beetle larvae. This hypothesis is further supported by proteomic findings for overwintering mountain pine beetles from the same population (Bonnert et al., 2012).

A two-week lag period between fall *GP* and *PEPCK* transcript up-regulation suggests that gluconeogenesis could serve as a secondary source for glycerol production subsequent to the potential exhaustion of the primary glycogenolytic source; a successive “one-two” punch of glycerol production. Further pieces of supporting evidence include the comparative intensities at which *GP* mRNA and *PEPCK* mRNA up-regulation occur. Between 3 October and 17 October, *GP* relative fold-change values increased more than 282%. Over this same period of time, *PEPCK* relative fold-change values increased by 919%. Where *GP* mRNA up-regulation appears to be quite gradual over a four-week period, *PEPCK* mRNA up-regulation displays a much larger fold-change increase over a shorter (two week) period of time.

Increased *PEPCK* activity has been reported in cultured hepatocytes from fasting mammals (Azzout et al., 1986) and fasting mammalian liver tissues (Hagopian, Ramsey & Weindruch, 2008). Gluconeogenesis has been observed in other species of insects post-fasting (Zhou et al., 2004) and it is possible that increased *PEPCK* transcript accumulation in the mountain pine beetle larvae is induced by experiencing near-starvation during the winter in conjunction with, or even in spite of, declining fall temperatures.

Further supporting the hypothesis that gluconeogenesis is induced in overwintering mountain pine beetle larvae are the seasonal *FBP* transcript accumulation results (Fig. 2C and Fig. 3C). *FBP* catalyzes reactions downstream of a mechanism branch point that can route carbon produced from the catabolism of amino acids to glycerol production (Fig. 1). The *FBP* seasonal transcript accumulation profile produced for mountain pine beetle larvae suggests that, in addition to glycerol production, gluconeogenesis may produce additional glucose as well. In a similar way, in addition to producing glycerol, cold treatment of rainbow smelt hepatocytes also produced glucose (Clow, Ewart & Driedzic, 2008).

Transcript accumulation dynamics indicate that glycerol is not converted to glycogen by glycogenesis

We observed the transcript levels of genes associated with glycerol consumption by mountain pine beetles after spring temperatures had increased and cryoprotection was no longer essential. Our results indicate that a negative relationship exists between spring glycogen synthase (*GS*) transcript accumulation and temperature, a finding similarly observed in proteomic data from the mountain pine beetle (Bonnert et al., 2012). These results fail to support the hypothesis that glycerol is converted to glycogen in the spring (Fig. 2D). We did not expect to observe similar *GS* transcript profile and *GP* transcript accumulation profiles, as these two enzymes catalyze competing glycogenolytic and glycolytic reactions (Fig. 3D).

Transcript accumulation dynamics indicate that glycerol is metabolized from a DHAP intermediate and by glyceroneogenesis

Within arthropods there has been much debate about from which intermediary substrates glycerol is produced (Storey, 1997). Consensus has centered around two triose-phosphate substrates, both produced in the process of glycogenolysis: dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP) and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAP) (Fig. 1).

Findings from *in vivo* studies comparing enzyme activity levels for G3PDH and G3Pase versus GAPase and ADH in the Asiatic rice borer (Li, Ding & Goto, 2002) and the goldenrod gall fly (Joanisse & Storey, 1994a) support the metabolism of GAP, versus DHAP, as a glycerol intermediate. Accumulation of G3P during the cessation of glycerol synthesis (Storey, Baust & Storey, 1981a) and increased transcript accumulation of G3PDH both prior and during glycerol production (Liebsher et al., 2006; Richards et al., 2010) present the metabolism of DHAP as plausible source of glycerol synthesis.

While no differential transcript accumulation was observed in the fall for ADH transcript (not statistically significant, data not shown), a seasonal decline in transcripts was detected for TPI (Fig. 2E) and G3PDH (Fig. 2F). These results support the hypothesis that glycerol is metabolized from a DHAP intermediate rather than from a GAP intermediate in the mountain pine beetle.

Glyceroneogenesis is an abbreviated form of gluconeogenesis that leads from the catabolism of amino acid precursors to produce G3P (Hanson & Reshef, 2003). Shown previously to be important in fat metabolism in other insects (Okamura et al., 2007), glyceroneogenesis, like gluconeogenesis, is highly regulated by the transcript accumulation of PEPCK. Fold-change increases for PEPCK mRNA in mountain pine beetle larvae during periods of cold exposure were far greater than any other gene investigated within this study, reaching a high of 58.64-fold 18 March. This increased PEPCK transcript accumulation is consistent with patterns expected during periods of cold-induced glyceroneogenesis.

As was indicated from the aforementioned GS transcript accumulation analysis (Fig. 3D), when spring temperatures increase and cryoprotectant reserves are no longer essential to maintain, it is likely that the larvae do not reconvert glycerol into glycogen. Others have hypothesized that glycerol is metabolized through the citric acid cycle (Storey & Storey, 1986; Joanisse & Storey, 1994a). We did not observe mRNA up-regulation during the spring study period for CS (an enzyme involved in the citric acid cycle); PK (a required glycolytic enzyme); or enzymes from either of the two possible glycerol producing pathways (ADH, G3PDH, and TPI) which catalyze reversible glycerol metabolizing reactions. Failure to observe mRNA transcript accumulation for these genes supports the hypothesis that glycerol is metabolized by means other than via citric acid cycle.

Transcript accumulation dynamics indicate that glycerol production does not involve the pentose phosphate pathway

Insect glycerol production involves a flux between oxidizing (i.e., NAD⁺ or NADP⁺) and reducing (i.e., NADH or NADPH) equivalents (Meyer, 1978; Wood & Nordin, 1980; Tsumuki et al., 1987; Storey & Storey, 1990). However differential transcript accumulation for two key PPP enzymes—6PGL and G6PDH—was not observed in mountain pine beetle

larvae during the time course of our study. While our results do not support the hypothesis that the PPP is involved in the production of glycerol in the mountain pine beetle, regulation of enzyme function could instead be accomplished at the protein activity control level as observed in other insect species (*Joanisse & Storey, 1995; Kostal et al., 2004*) or through other means, such as developmental state, diapause transition, or phosphorylation state of enzymes (e.g., *Li, Ding & Goto, 2002; Joanisse & Storey, 1994a; Joanisse & Storey, 1995*).

CONCLUSION

Differential transcript accumulation of important glycerol biosynthetic pathway genes in overwintering mountain pine beetle larvae support the hypothesis of glycerol production through glycogenolytic, gluconeogenic, and potentially glyceroneogenic pathways, but not through lipolytic means. Aerobic metabolism, as indicated by activity within the citric acid cycle, seems to remain constant during periods of increased glycerol production. The PPP appears to be potentially uninvolved with glycerol production, and an alternative source for reducing equivalents may exist. Transcript accumulation results for *TPI* and *G3PDH* along constant expression results for *ADH* support the hypothesis that glycerol is produced from a DHAP, versus a GAP, intermediate. Glycogenesis does not appear to occur in the spring when glycerol is no longer needed as a cryoprotectant for larvae. Our gene transcript results closely mirror proteomic data produced from the same sample population (*Bonnett et al., 2012*). This study, and the recently sequenced mountain pine beetle genome (*Keeling et al., 2013*), provides a foundation for subsequent metabolite investigation, which can further elucidate thermal cues, and levels of regulation other than transcriptional, of seasonal production of glycerol in larval mountain pine beetles.

Abbreviations

6-PGL	6-phosphoglucolactonase
ADH	alcohol dehydrogenase
CS	citrate synthase
DHAP	dihydroxyacetone phosphate
FBP	fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase
G3P	glycerol-3-phosphate
G3PDH	glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase
G6PDH	glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase
GAP	glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate
GP	glycogen phosphorylase
GS	glycogen synthase
PEPCK	phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase
PK	pyruvate kinase
PPP	pentose phosphate pathway
TAGL	triacylglycerol lipase
TPI	triosephosphate isomerase

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank Lori-Anne Etchart, Caitlin Pitt, Amy Thommasen, and Allison Fedorkiw for assistance in the field. We thank the UNBC Forest Insect Research Group for their advice and support during this work.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This work was supported by funding from Genome Canada, Genome British Columbia, and Genome Alberta in support of the Tria Project (<http://www.thetriaproject.ca>; to DPWH and CIK), and by the Canada Research Chairs program (DPWH), the Canada Foundation for Innovation (DPWH), the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (DPWH), and the British Columbia Knowledge Development Fund (DPWH). There was no additional external funding received for this study. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors:

Genome British Columbia.

Genome Alberta.

Genome Canada.

Canada Research Chairs program (DPWH).

Canada Foundation for Innovation (DPWH).

Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (DPWH).

British Columbia Knowledge Development Fund (DPWH).

Competing Interests

Dezene P.W. Huber is an Academic Editor for PeerJ.

Author Contributions

- Jordie D. Fraser conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, wrote the paper, prepared figures and/or tables, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Tiffany R. Bonnett performed the experiments, wrote the paper, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Christopher I. Keeling and Dezene P.W. Huber conceived and designed the experiments, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, wrote the paper, reviewed drafts of the paper.

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

Fraser, Jordie; Bonnett, Tiffany; Keeling, Christopher; Huber, Dezene (2016): Data supporting: seasonal shifts in accumulation of glycerol biosynthetic gene transcripts in

mountain pine beetle, *Dendroctonus ponderosae* Hopkins (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), larvae. figshare.

<https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.3494564.v1>.

REFERENCES

- Aukema BH, Carroll AL, Zheng Y, Zhu J, Raffa KF, Moore RD, Stahl K, Taylor SW. 2008.** Movement of outbreak populations of mountain pine beetle: influences of spatiotemporal patterns and climate. *Ecography* **31**:348–358 DOI [10.1111/j.0906-7590.2007.05453.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0906-7590.2007.05453.x).
- Azzout B, Bois-Joyeux B, Chanez M, Peret J. 1986.** Development of gluconeogenesis from various precursors in isolated rat hepatocytes during starvation or after feeding a high protein, carbohydrate-free diet. *Journal of Nutrition* **117**:164–169.
- Bale JS. 2002.** Insects and low temperatures: from molecular biology to distributions and abundance. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences* **357**:849–862 DOI [10.1098/rstb.2002.1074](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2002.1074).
- Baust JG. 1983.** Protective agents: regulation of synthesis. *Cryobiology* **20**:357–364 DOI [10.1016/0011-2240\(83\)90024-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-2240(83)90024-X).
- Bentz BJ, Mullins DE. 1999.** Ecology of mountain pine beetle (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) cold hardening in the intermountain West. *Environmental Entomology* **28**:577–587 DOI [10.1093/ee/28.4.577](https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/28.4.577).
- Bonnett TR, Robert JA, Pitt C, Fraser JD, Keeling CI, Bohlmann J, Huber DPW. 2012.** Global and comparative proteomic profiling of overwintering and developing mountain pine beetle, *Dendroctonus ponderosae* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), larvae. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **42**(12):890–901 DOI [10.1016/j.ibmb.2012.08.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2012.08.003).
- Churchill TA, Storey KB. 1989.** Metabolic correlates to glycerol biosynthesis in a freeze-avoiding insect, *Epiblema scudderiana*. *Journal of Comparative Physiology. B, Biochemical, Systemic, and Environmental Physiology* **15**:461–472.
- Clow KA, Ewart KV, Driedzic WR. 2008.** Low temperature directly activates the initial glycerol antifreeze response in isolated rainbow smelt (*Osmerus mordax*) liver cells. *American Journal of Physiology. Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology* **295**:961–970 DOI [10.1152/ajpregu.90372.2008](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.90372.2008).
- Cole WE. 1981.** Some risks and causes of mortality in mountain pine beetle populations: a long-term analysis. *Researches on Population Ecology Journal* **23**:116–144 DOI [10.1007/BF02514096](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02514096).
- Cullingham CI, Cooke JEK, Dang S, Davis CS, Cooke BJ, Coltman DW. 2011.** Mountain pine beetle host-range expansion threatens the boreal forest. *Molecular Ecology* **20**(10):2157–2171 DOI [10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05086.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05086.x).
- Hagopian K, Ramsey JJ, Weindruch R. 2008.** Enzymes of glycerol and glyceraldehyde metabolism in mouse liver: effects of caloric restriction and age on activities. *Bioscience Reports* **28**:107–115.

- Han E, Bauce E. 1998.** Timing of diapause initiation, metabolic changes and overwintering survival of the spruce budworm, *Choristoneura fumiferana*. *Ecological Entomology* 23:160–167 DOI [10.1046/j.1365-2311.1998.00111.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2311.1998.00111.x).
- Hanson RW, Reshef L. 2003.** Regulation of phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (GTP) gene expression. *Annual Review of Biochemistry* 66:581–611.
- Janes JK, Li Y, Keeling CI, Yuen MMS, Boone CK, Cooke JEK, Bohlmann J, Huber DPW, Murray BW, Coltman DW, Sperling FAH. 2014.** How the mountain pine beetle (*Dendroctonus ponderosae*) breached the Canadian Rocky Mountains. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 31(7):1803–1815 DOI [10.1093/molbev/msu135](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu135).
- Joanisse DR, Storey KB. 1994a.** Enzyme activity profiles in an overwintering population of freeze-tolerant larvae of the gall fly, *Eurosta solidaginis*. *Journal of Comparative Physiology. B, Biochemical, Systemic, and Environmental Physiology* 164:247–255 DOI [10.1007/BF00354086](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00354086).
- Joanisse DR, Storey KB. 1994b.** Mitochondrial enzymes during overwintering in two species of cold-hardy gall insects. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 24(2):145–150 DOI [10.1016/0965-1748\(94\)90080-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0965-1748(94)90080-9).
- Joanisse DR, Storey KB. 1995.** Temperature acclimation and seasonal responses by enzymes in cold-hardy gall insects. *Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology* 28:339–349 DOI [10.1002/arch.940280404](https://doi.org/10.1002/arch.940280404).
- Keeling CI, Henderson H, Li M, Yuen M, Clark EL, Fraser JD, Huber DPW, Liao NY, Docking TR, Birol I, Chan SK, Taylor GA, Palmquist D, Jones SJM, Bohlmann J. 2012.** Transcriptome and full-length cDNA resources for the mountain pine beetle, *Dendroctonus ponderosae* Hopkins, a major insect pest of pine forests. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 42(8):525–536 DOI [10.1016/j.ibmb.2012.03.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2012.03.010).
- Keeling CI, Yuen MMS, Liao NY, Docking TR, Chan SK, Taylor GA, Palmquist DL, Jackman SD, Nguyen A, Li M, Henderson H, Janes JK, Zhao Y, Pandoh P, Moore R, Sperling FAH, Huber DPW, Birol I, Jones SJM, Bohlmann J. 2013.** Draft genome of the mountain pine beetle, *Dendroctonus ponderosae* Hopkins, a major forest pest. *Genome Biology* 14(3):R27 DOI [10.1186/gb-2013-14-3-r27](https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-3-r27).
- Klowden MJ. 2002.** *Physiological systems in insects*. San Diego: Academic Press, 1–415.
- Kostal V, Tamura M, Tollarova M, Zahradnickova H. 2004.** Enzymatic capacity for accumulation of polyol cryoprotectants changes during diapause development in the adult red firebug, *Pyrrhocoris apterus*. *Physiological Entomology* 29:344–355 DOI [10.1111/j.0307-6962.2004.00396.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0307-6962.2004.00396.x).
- Li Y, Ding L, Goto M. 2002.** Seasonal changes in glycerol content and enzyme activities in overwintering larvae of the Shonai ecotype of the rice stem borer, *Chilo suppressalis* Walker. *Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology* 50:53–61 DOI [10.1002/arch.10024](https://doi.org/10.1002/arch.10024).
- Liebsher RS, Richards RC, Lewis JM, Short CE, Muise DM, Driedzic WR, Ewart KV. 2006.** Seasonal freeze resistance of rainbow smelt (*Osmerus mordax*) is generated by differential expression of glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase, and antifreeze protein genes. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* 79(2):411–423 DOI [10.1086/499981](https://doi.org/10.1086/499981).

- Lombardero MJ, Ayres MP, Ayres BB, Reeve JD. 2000.** Cold tolerance in four species of bark beetle (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) in North America. *Environmental Entomology* 29(3):421–432 DOI 10.1603/0046-225X-29.3.421.
- Meyer SGE. 1978.** Effects of heat, cold anaerobiosis and inhibitors on metabolite concentrations in larvae of *Callitroga macellaria*. *Insect Biochem.* 6:471–477.
- Morrissey RE, Baust JG. 1976.** The ontogeny of cold tolerance in the gall fly, *Eurosta solidaginis*. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 22:431–437 DOI 10.1016/0022-1910(76)90014-7.
- Nolan T, Hands RE, Bustin SA. 2006.** Quantification of mRNA using real-time RT-PCR. *Nature Protocols* 1(3):1559–1582 DOI 10.1038/nprot.2006.236.
- Okamura T, Shimizu H, Nagao T, Ueda R, Ishii S. 2007.** ATF-2 regulates fat metabolism in *Drosophila*. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* 18:1519–1529 DOI 10.1091/mbc.E06-10-0909.
- Powell JA, Logan JA. 2005.** Insect seasonality: circle map analysis of temperature-driven life cycles. *Theoretical Population Biology* 67:161–179 DOI 10.1016/j.tpb.2004.10.001.
- Pullin AS, Bale JS. 1989.** Effects of low temperature on diapausing *Aglais urticae* and *Inachis io* (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae): overwintering physiology. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 35(4):283–290 DOI 10.1016/0022-1910(89)90076-0.
- Régnière J, Bentz B. 2007.** Modeling cold tolerance in the mountain pine beetle *Dendroctonus ponderosae*. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 53:559–572 DOI 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2007.02.007.
- Richards RC, Short CE, Driedzic WR, Ewart KV. 2010.** Seasonal changes in hepatic gene expression reveal modulation of multiple processes in rainbow smelt (*Osmerus mordax*). *Marine Biotechnology* 12:650–663 DOI 10.1007/s10126-009-9252-8.
- Safranyik L. 1978.** Effects of climate and weather on mountain pine beetle populations. In: Kibbee DL, Berryman AA, Amman GD, Stark RW, eds. *Theory and practice of mountain pine beetle management in lodgepole pine forests. Symposium proceedings.* Moscow: University of Idaho, 77–84.
- Safranyik L, Carroll A. 2006.** The biology and epidemiology of the mountain pine beetle in lodgepole pine forests. In: Safranyik L, Wilson B, eds. *The mountain pine beetle, a synthesis of biology, management and impacts on lodgepole pine.* Victoria: Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Pacic Forestry Centre, 3–66.
- Stahl K, Moore RD, McKendry IG. 2006.** Climatology of winter cold spells in relation to mountain pine beetle mortality in British Columbia, Canada. *Climate Research* 32:13–23 DOI 10.3354/cr032013.
- Storey KB. 1997.** Organic solutes in freezing tolerance. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology* 117A(3):319–326.
- Storey JM, Storey KB. 1986.** Winter survival of the gall fly larvae, *Eurosta solidaginis*: profiles of fuel reserves and cryoprotectants in a natural population. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 32(6):549–556 DOI 10.1016/0022-1910(86)90070-3.
- Storey JM, Storey KB. 1990.** Carbon balance and energetics of cryoprotectant synthesis in a freeze-tolerant insect: responses to perturbation by anoxia. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B* 160:77–84.

- Storey JM, Storey KB. 2004.** Cold hardiness and freeze tolerance. In: Storey KB, ed. *Functional metabolism: regulation and adaptation*. New York: Wiley-Liss, 473–503.
- Storey KB, Baust JG, Storey JM. 1981a.** Intermediary metabolism during low temperature acclimation in the overwintering gall fly larvae, *Eurosta solidaginis*. *Journal of Comparative Physiology* **144**:183–190 DOI [10.1007/BF00802756](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00802756).
- Storey KB, Storey JM. 1981b.** Biochemical strategies of overwintering in the gall fly larvae, *Eurosta solidaginis*: effect of low temperature acclimation on the activities of enzymes of intermediary metabolism. *Journal of Comparative Physiology* **144**:191–199 DOI [10.1007/BF00802757](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00802757).
- Tsumuki H, Rojas RR, Storey KB, Baust JG. 1987.** The fate of [¹⁴C] Glucose during cold-hardening in *Eurosta solidaginis* (Fitch). *Insect Biochemistry* **17**(2):347–352 DOI [10.1016/0020-1790\(87\)90078-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-1790(87)90078-3).
- Vandesompele J, De Preter K, Pattyn F, Poppe B, Van Roy N, De Paepe A, Speleman F. 2002.** Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome Biology* **3**(7):research0034-1.
- Westfall J. 2007.** *2006 Summary of forest health conditions in British Columbia*. Victoria, B.C.: B.C. Ministry of Forests, Forest Practices Branch.
- Willems E, Leyns L, Vandesompele J. 2008.** Standardization of real-time PCR gene expression data from independent biological replicates. *Analytical Biochemistry* **379**:127–129 DOI [10.1016/j.ab.2008.04.036](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2008.04.036).
- Wood FE, Nordin JH. 1980.** Activation of the hexose monophosphate shunt during cold-induced glycerol accumulation by *Protophormia terranova*. *Insect Biochemistry* **10**:87–93 DOI [10.1016/0020-1790\(80\)90043-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-1790(80)90043-8).
- Zhou G, Flowers M, Friedrich K, Horton J, Pennington J, Wells MA. 2004.** Metabolic fate of [¹⁴C]-labeled meal protein amino acids in *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes. *Journal of Insect Physiology* **50**:337–349 DOI [10.1016/j.jinsphys.2004.02.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2004.02.003).