Published in final edited form as:

J Pain Symptom Manage. 2017 June; 53(6): 999-1009. doi:10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2016.12.346.

United States Clergy Religious Values and Relationships to Endof-Life Discussions and Care

Michael J. Balboni, Ph.D., Th.M., M.Div.,

Department of Psychosocial Oncology and Palliative Care, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, Initiative on Health, Religion, and Spirituality within Harvard, Boston, MA

Adam Sullivan, Ph.D.,

Department of Biostatistics, Brown University

Andrea C. Enzinger, M.D.,

Departments of Medical Oncology and Palliative Care, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA

Patrick T. Smith, M.Div., Ph.D.,

Harvard Medical School Center for Bioethics, Boston, MA, Gordon-Conwell Theological Seminary, S. Hamilton, MA

Christine Mitchell, M.Div.,

Departments of Social and Behavioral Health, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA

John R. Peteet, M.D.,

Department of Psychosocial Oncology and Palliative Care, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA

James Tulsky, M.D.,

Department of Psychosocial Oncology and Palliative Care, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, Division of Palliative Medicine. Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA

Tyler VanderWeele, Ph.D., and

Departments of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA, Initiative on Health, Religion, and Spirituality within Harvard, Boston, MA

Tracy A. Balboni, M.D., M.P.H.

Departments of Radiation Oncology and Psychosocial Oncology and Palliative Care, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, Initiative on Health, Religion, and Spirituality within Harvard, Boston, MA

Abstract

Corresponding Author: Michael J. Balboni, PhD, 375 Longwood Ave., Boston, MA. 02120, 339-226-2633, Michael_Balboni@dfci.harvard.edu.

Disclosures: No authors have any conflicts of interest or disclosures to report.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Context—While clergy interact with approximately half of U.S. patients facing end-of-life medical decisions, little is known about clergy-congregant interactions or clergy influence on end-of-life decisions.

Objective—To conduct a nationally representative survey of clergy beliefs and practices.

Methods—A mailed survey to a nationally representative sample of clergy completed in March 2015 with 1005 of 1665 responding (60% response rate). The primary predictor variable was clergy religious values about end-of-life medical decisions, which measured belief in miracles, the sanctity of life, trust in divine control, and redemptive suffering. Outcome variables included clergy-congregant end-of-life medical conversations and congregant receipt of hospice and ICU care in the final week of life.

Results—Most U.S. clergy are Christian (98%), and affirm religious values despite a congregant's terminal diagnosis. Endorsement included God performing a miracle (86%), pursuing treatment because of the sanctity of life (54%), postponement of medical decisions because God is in control (28%), and enduring painful treatment because of redemptive suffering (27%). Life-prolonging religious values in end-of-life medical decisions were associated with fewer clergy-congregant conversations about considering hospice (adjusted odds ratio [AOR], 0.58; 95% CI, 0.42 to 0.80), p<0.0001), stopping treatment (AOR 0.58, 95% CI: 0.41, 0.84, p=0.003), and forgoing future treatment (AOR 0.50, 95% CI: 0.36, 0.71, p<0.001), but not associated with congregant receipt of hospice or ICU care. Clergy with lower medical knowledge were less likely to have certain end-of-life conversations. The absence of a clergy-congregant hospice discussion was associated with less hospice (AOR 0.45; 95% CI, 0.29, 0.66, p<0.001) and more ICU care (AOR 1.67; 95% CI, 1.14,2.50, p<.01) in the final week of life.

Conclusion—American clergy hold religious values concerning end-of-life medical decisions, which appear to decrease end-of-life discussions. Clergy end-of-life education may enable better quality end-of-life care for religious patients.

INTRODUCTION

Approximately three-quarters of terminally-ill patients indicate that religion is important to their illness experience, ^{1,2} particularly among Blacks and Latinos. ^{3–5} Studies suggest that patients' religious beliefs can conflict with the acceptance of palliative care ^{3,6} and may lead to greater medical interventions at the end of life, especially among minority patients. ^{7–10} Religious communities also influence patients' end-of-life (EOL) decisions and care. ^{2,6,11–13} In a prospective cohort study, cancer patients reporting high spiritual support from religious communities were less likely to receive hospice, more likely to receive aggressive EOL measures, and more likely to die in an Intensive Care Unit (ICU). ¹⁴ In contrast, when patients report high spiritual support from their medical teams, they have a *reduced* chance of aggressive interventions and *greater* adoption of hospice care. ^{14,15} The contrast in outcomes of religious community versus medical team spiritual care suggests that the particular religious content that is emphasized can influence medical decisions in opposing directions at the EOL. Spiritual care at the EOL is a variegated construct that may depend on *what* is provided and by *whom*.

Whereas approximately 10,000 hospital chaplains in the United States (U.S.) offer spiritual care, \$^{16}\$ 330,000–350,000 community clergy leaders \$^{17,18}\$ provide the large majority of patient spiritual support. Studies suggest that clergy spend a half-day per week visiting the ill, \$^{19}\$ and perhaps more so among those in certain U.S. ethnic and minority groups. \$^{20}\$ Additionally, approximately half of all terminally-ill patients in the U.S. receive some EOL spiritual care from clergy. \$^{2}\$ Community clergy are recognized as principal providers of spiritual care within national palliative care guidelines \$^{21,22}\$ but little is known concerning the degree of influence that clergy hold in EOL medical decisions, their impact on patient outcomes, or the religious rationales pervading these decisions. \$^{14}\$

The National Clergy Project on End-of-Life Care is a National Cancer Institute-funded cross-sectional study of a random sample of U.S. community clergy designed to measure the prevalence of clergy beliefs and practices on EOL care. The study included two primary aims: (1) To describe the prevalence of U.S. clergy religious EOL values and frequency of clergy-congregant EOL conversations and (2) to describe associations between clergy religious EOL values, clergy-congregant EOL conversations, and congregants' receipt of hospice and ICU care in the final week of life.

METHODS

Sample—From August 2014 to March 2015, a confidential, self-administered, eight-page questionnaire in English and Spanish was developed by an interdisciplinary, expert panel, and mailed to a random sample of 2000 practicing U.S. clergy. Clergy were randomly selected from a third-party business file (InfoGroup, Inc.) intended to include all houses of worship in the United States (N=368,407). Of the 2000 potential respondents, an estimated 16.8% could not be contacted due to incorrect addresses and telephone numbers or because the institution no longer existed leaving an actual potential sample of 1665. The study oversampled minorities to compare clergy views based on race. Clergy received up to four mailings, a telephone call, and email, and were offered a \$10 gift card in the initial mailing. The study was approved by the Dana-Farber/Harvard Cancer Care institutional review board.

Primary Measures

Demographics—Clergy age, race, gender, geographic location, educational level, congregational position, and religious/denominational affiliations were collected by database or self-report. Clergy estimated congregational size and average congregational annual household income. Clergy indicated prior EOL educational experiences and estimated number of hours per week visiting the ill and aged.

Life-Prolonging Religious Values—All participants rated their level of agreement with six statements that assessed religious values applied to end-of-life decisions by terminally ill congregants. An expert panel identified potential religious beliefs that may be related to life-prolonging medical decisions within largely Christian patient populations. These beliefs included assessment of four theological domains: belief in a miracle (resulting in the certainty of cure); sanctity of life (resulting in accepting all medical procedures and rejection of DNR orders); trust in divine control (resulting in deferral of future medical decisions and

not stopping treatment); and redemptive suffering (resulting in acceptance of painful medical procedures). Item ratings were summed to generate an overall religious values score (possible score 6 to 30).

Clergy-Congregant Conversations—Clergy were asked to identify their congregant who had most recently died and to whom they had provided pastoral care. They reported when the congregant had died and the length of their congregant-clergy relationship. Clergy reported if they had discussed medical decisions with the patient or family, including going into hospice care, DNR orders, stopping current or forgoing future treatment, or increasing pain medications.

Hospice and ICU care in the final week of life—Clergy reported on the congregant's location during the final week of life including hospice and ICU care.

Secondary Measures

Extending Life—Clergy responded to the previously developed question assessing views regarding treatment at the end of life: "Which comes closer to your view: In all circumstances, doctors and nurses should do everything possible to extend the life of a patient, or, Sometimes there are circumstances where a patient should be allowed to die?"²³

Pastoral Priorities During Cancer—Clergy rated on a five-point scale the importance that pastoral care to terminally-ill patients include discussing life after death, encouraging acceptance of dying as part of God's plan, asking if earthly affairs were in order, and praying for physical healing.

End-of-Life Medical Knowledge—Clergy completed a 9-item questionnaire on knowledge of hospice, palliative, and ICU-care, generating a composite score on EOL knowledge (possible scores 4 to 20).

Distrust in Healthcare—Clergy completed a modified 4-item validated questionnaire assessing level of distrust in the healthcare system,²⁴ generating a composite score on distrust of healthcare (possible scores 0 to 9).

Analysis—Weighted analysis accounts for sampling strategy and differences in response rates according to respondents' race including Black clergy (11.2/22.4=0.5), Hispanic clergy (4.4/8.4=0.52) and White/Other (84.4/69.2=1.22). Multivariate (MVA) linear and logistic regression analyses were used to identify predictors of clergy discussion of EOL medical decisions, receipt of hospice in the final week of life, and ICU care in the final week regarding the most recent congregational member who died under pastoral care. Multivariable models adjusted for clergy gender, age, years in ministry, position, race, geographical region, and congregational median income

All reported *p*-values are two-sided and considered significant when less than 0.05. Statistical analyses were performed with STATA (Stata/MP 13.1).

RESULTS

Among eligible clergy, the response rate was 60% (1005/1665) based on the American Association for Public Opinion Research definition IV.²⁵ Case weights accounted for different response rates among White (69%), Black (43%), and Hispanic (43%) clergy.

Sample Characteristics

Most community clergy identify with Christian denominations (98%). These findings are similar to other national representative congregational studies with higher response rates. ^{26,27} Clergy demographic characteristics, receipt of prior EOL education, and information concerning the most recent clergy-congregant interaction are listed in Table 1. Most (92%) clergy-congregant EOL interactions included conversations about medical decisions, with the most common being discussions about entering hospice care (56%).

Religious End-of-Life Values

Table 2 provides clergy responses to EOL attitudes and religious EOL values. Many clergy expressed at least some ("a little" to "completely") affirmation of life-prolonging religious values including God performing a miracle despite a terminal diagnosis (86%), the importance of pursuing treatment because of the sanctity of life (54%), postponement of medical decisions because God is in control (28%), and redemptive suffering justifying the endurance of painful medical procedures (27%).

Clergy also highlighted the religious leader's role in discussing life after death (81%), encouraging acceptance of dying as part of God's plan (66%), asking if earthly affairs were in order (60%), and praying for physical healing (60%). Fewer prioritized encouraging treatment to extend life (21%) or believed that doctors should "always extend life" (16%).

In multivariate analysis, clergy who were more likely to affirm life-prolonging religious values included Black ministers, those serving congregations of lower income, and Evangelical and Pentecostals (Table 3). Religious values were associated with affirming the global EOL treatment value to "always extend life," but they were not associated with clergy EOL medical knowledge or distrust in the healthcare system.

Predictors of Clergy-Congregant End-of-Life Medical Discussions

Clergy endorsement of religious EOL values – as a composite score and as single-item measures – was associated with not having EOL discussions with congregants who most recently died (Table 4). As a composite score, clergy that endorsed life-prolonging religious values were approximately half as likely as other clergy to have a discussion concerning entering hospice (AOR 0.58; 95% CI, 0.42 to 0.80, p<0.0001), stopping treatment (AOR 0.58, 95% CI: 0.41, 0.84, p=0.003), or foregoing treatment (AOR 0.50, 95% CI: 0.36, 0.71, p<0.001) after adjustment for clergy demographic and vocational characteristics. Each of the individual life-prolonging religious values was significantly associated with not having a conversation about stopping treatment or foregoing treatment, and individual items were significant or trended towards significance for not having a conversation about entering hospice. The attitude to "always extend life" was associated with fewer of all types of EOL

conversations; low medical knowledge was associated with fewer conversations about stopping current treatment, forgoing future treatment and having a DNR order. In contrast, greater distrust in healthcare was associated with more conversations about forgoing future treatment, having a DNR order, and increasing pain medication.

Predictors of Hospice and ICU Care in the Final Week of Life

Clergy's life-prolonging religious beliefs about EOL care were largely unrelated to actual EOL care reportedly received by the deceased congregant (Table 5). In MVA, clergy who affirmed congregant trust in divine control leading to deferral of medical decisions was the only religious value predicting ICU utilization in the final week of life (AOR 1.79, 95% CI: 1.16, 2.75, p=0.008). Other religious EOL values were not significantly associated with congregants' receipt of hospice or of ICU care, neither were attitudes to always extend life, EOL medical knowledge, or trust in the healthcare system. By contrast, a lack of clergy-congregant discussions about hospice were strongly associated with decreased hospice use (AOR 0.45, 95% CI: 0.29, 0.66, p<0.001) and increased ICU use (AOR 1.67, 95% CI: 1.14, 2.50, p=0.01).

DISCUSSION

This is the first report among a representative sample of U.S. clergy indicating that clergy hold religious values related to patients' medical considerations at the EOL. These values include a majority of clergy who support belief in a divine miracle in the face of terminal diagnosis and in the sancity of life requiring pursuit of all means to stay alive. Just over a quarter of clergy affirmed at least some belief that faith justifies deferring future medical decisions and that divine testing supports endurance of painful EOL medical procedures. These life-prolonging religious values are prevelant among many clergy (Table 2), especially religious leaders who are Black, Evangelical, Pentecostal, and those serving congregations of lower income (Table 3). The study also provides evidence that clergy actively engage in conversations (92%) with dying congregants about medical decisions, including discussions about hospice, DNR orders, pain medications, and stopping or foregoing treatment. However, initial evidence suggests that clergy who were more likely to endorse lifeprolonging religious values were both more likely to agree that doctors should always extend life (Table 3) and less likely to report having an EOL conversation with a dying congregant (Table 4). Notably, in the absence of a clergy-congregant hospice discussion there was a two-fold reduced odds of receiving hospice care and a near two-fold increased odds of receiving ICU care in the last week of life (Table 5). These preliminary findings imply that clergy hold a role in how some congregants approach EOL medical decisions, and call for the medical community to engage and partner with community religious leaders in end-oflife care.

In a prior study, terminally-ill patients highly supported by religious communities were prospectively found to be less likely to receive hospice care and more likely to receive ICU care at the EOL. ¹⁴ Our study findings suggest that possible mechanisms operating within these assocations may include certain religious values about EOL care, which in turn were more generally associated with fewer discussions concerning decisions about comfort-

focused medical care, e.g., hospice and DNR orders (Table 4). Specific mechanisms of how religious values influence medical decisions are unclear though one hypothesis is that shared clergy-congregant religious values may render more comfort-focused medical decisions, even at the EOL, as inconsistent. For example, advance care planning decisions may be considered by patients as either taking matters "out of God's hands" or may be deemed so complex that they are best left in God's control, resulting in deferral of decisions. Additionally, entering hospice may be perceived as a decision that undermines one's faith in a God who may intervene with a miracle through medical treatment. Our findings suggest that while very few clergy are categorically opposed to comfort-focused EOL care, many are nevertheless willing to support religiously-reasoned medical decisions that appear to lead to aggressive interventions.

While medical professionals must uphold and honor such religious values as part of culturally and religiously competent medical care, a key issue remains: Are clergy desiring or intending these aggressive medical outcomes for their congregants? In addressing this question, most religious leaders prioritized a spiritual care approach within EOL care that includes acceptance of and preparation for death as a faithful religious approach to dying (Table 2). These include discussing life after death, encouraging acceptance of death, suggesting hospice care, and inquiring as to whether earthly affairs were in order. Only small proportions endorsed always-extending life or indicating that DNR orders were immoral. Thus, many clergy appear to hold religious values that may lead to decisions for aggressive interventions within terminal illness simultaneous with religious values focused on acceptance and preparation for dying. Endorsement of accepting and preparing for death implies that clergy may not desire or intend only life-prolonging religious values to inform EOL decision-making for their congregants.

Why, then, may life-prolonging religious values be more emphasized than values undergirding acceptance of terminal-illness or preparation for death? One potential reason may be a lack of understanding of the medical realities surrounding terminal illness, which may stem from insufficient training as part of pastoral education. Although most clergy reported having received training in ministering to the ill (Table 1), few clergy education programs specifically address the intersection of religious values and medical issues at the EOL. Supporting this hypothesis, clergy with lower EOL medical knowledge trended to significance with life-prolonging values (Table 3) and were less likely to engage in certain EOL conversations (Table 4). Likewise, in a study where clergy identified the characteristics of a good death, most clergy did not recognize that some medical settings are more, and others less, compatible with the characteristics identified with a good dying.³⁰ This highlights the importance of a deeper understanding of EOL medical care, for example how location of death influences patient and family well-being, ³¹ enabling clergy to apply their religious values within the context of the medical realities of the EOL experience. Furthermore, clergy may not adequately recognize or foresee how certain pastoral actions in terminal illness – such as praying for cure or not discussing hospice – are influencing terminally ill congregants and facilitating the unintended consequence of more aggressive care at life's end. Additional training and increased EOL knowledge could better enable clergy to apply the full spectrum of religious values informing spiritual care to their dying congregants, thereby facilitating an approach to medical decisions that balances beliefs in

miracles, the sanctity of life, that God is in control, and redemptive suffering, along with pastoral perspectives that already acknowledge the importance of preparing congregants for dying and death. Perhaps most important among future interventions and training would be enabling discussions on hospice care where that is fitting with clergy-congregant religious values at the EOL. Such training can aid in orienting clergy to the limits of medical interventions and to how clergy can play key roles in helping patients and families faithfully navigate the complexities of religious values and EOL medical decision-making.

This study also identified characteristics of U.S. clergy who were more likely to hold to life-prolonging religious values. Clergy who are Black, serving in congregations with lower income, and who identify as Evangelical, Fundamentalist, and Pentecostal were more likely to endorse life prolonging religious values (Table 3). These groups together represent a majority of U.S. religious congregations, and are growing in total number of adherents.²³ In the general population, 50% attend religious services at least once a month,³² 30–41% describe themselves as evangelical or born again,^{23,32} and approximately half of U.S. terminally-ill patients are visited by community clergy.² Likewise, religiousness tends to increase with age³³ and in the setting of serious illness.³⁴ These larger patterns imply that clergy and religious perspectives will continue to hold a significant role in shaping the values of many patients facing life-threatening illness, including EOL medical decisions.

Though these data suggest that clergy's religious values are related to EOL conversations with congregants, and that such conversations in turn influence patient EOL care, there are important limitations to note. First, as seen in other well-done national congregational studies, most clergy in the U.S. are Christian. ^{26,27} Because our study design did not oversample non-Christian congregations, these results are restricted to Christian viewpoints and the 70% of the US population that currently identifies with Christianity. ²³ Also, our study is limited by cross-sectional data collection. Although it seems more likely that clergy beliefs precede the experience of the most recent death of a congregant for whom they cared, influence in the other direction is possible. Additionally, some reported associations in our study could reflect other unmeasured determinant variables in the population of which clergy are representative. Clergy-congregant EOL discussions and congregant outcomes in the last week of life are also based on clergy self-report and are not independently verifiable.

CONCLUSION

In summary, this study demonstrates that U.S. clergy frequently endorse life-prolonging religious values including prayer for a miracle within advanced illness and acceptance of every medical treatment because of life's sanctity. Endorsement of life-prolonging religious values were associated with less clergy-congregant conversations about comfort focused care, such as hospice care. Fewer conversations about hospice between clergy and their terminally ill congregants was associated in clergy reports with lower congregant hospice use and higher ICU care in congregants' last week of life. Community-centered and holistic approaches to improving quality of end-of-life care may need to include additional training and engagement of clergy especially within advanced illness.

Acknowledgments

The study was made possible by the National Cancer Institute #CA156732, The Issachar Fund, and supporters of the Initiative on Health, Religion, and Spirituality within Harvard University. We thank the following scholars for their input and suggestions regarding the survey methods and instruments: Mark Chaves, PhD (Duke University) and Jonathan Imber, PhD (Wellesley College). Research staff provided critical support including Rebecca Quinones, MTS and Sarah Novereske, BS.

References

- Alcorn SR, Balboni MJ, Prigerson HG, et al. "If God wanted me yesterday, I wouldn't be here today": religious and spiritual themes in patients' experiences of advanced cancer. J Palliat Med. 2010; 13:581–8. [PubMed: 20408763]
- Balboni TA, Vanderwerker LC, Block SD, et al. Religiousness and spiritual support among advanced cancer patients and associations with end-of-life treatment preferences and quality of life. J Clin Oncol. 2007; 25:555–60. [PubMed: 17290065]
- Rosenfeld P, Dennis J, Hanen S, et al. Are there racial differences in attitudes toward hospice care?
 A study of hospice-eligible patients at the Visiting Nurse Service of New York. Am J Hosp Palliat Care. 2007; 24:408–16. [PubMed: 17601837]
- Song MK, Hanson LC. Relationships between psychosocial-spiritual well-being and end-of-life preferences and values in African American dialysis patients. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2009; 38:372–80. [PubMed: 19356896]
- 5. Johnson KS, Kuchibhatla M, Tanis D, et al. Racial differences in hospice revocation to pursue aggressive care. Arch Intern Med. 2008; 168:218–24. [PubMed: 18227371]
- Johnson KS, Kuchibhatla M, Tulsky JA. What explains racial differences in the use of advance directives and attitudes toward hospice care? J Am Geriatr Soc. 2008; 56:1953

 –8. [PubMed: 18771455]
- Johnson KS, Elbert-Avila KI, Tulsky JA. The influence of spiritual beliefs and practices on the treatment preferences of African Americans: a review of the literature. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2005; 53:711–9. [PubMed: 15817022]
- 8. Crawley L, Payne R, Bolden J, et al. Palliative and end-of-life care in the African American community. Jama. 2000; 284:2518–21. [PubMed: 11074786]
- Wicher CP, Meeker MA. What influences African American end-of-life preferences? J Health Care Poor Underserved. 2012; 23:28–58. [PubMed: 22643461]
- 10. Phelps AC, Maciejewski PK, Nilsson M, et al. Religious coping and use of intensive life-prolonging care near death in patients with advanced cancer. Jama. 2009; 301:1140–7. [PubMed: 19293414]
- Reese DJ, Ahern RE, Nair S, et al. Hospice access and use by African Americans: addressing cultural and institutional barriers through participatory action research. Soc Work. 1999; 44:549– 59. [PubMed: 10568027]
- 12. Turner WL, Wallace BR, Anderson JR, et al. The last mile of the way: understanding caregiving in African American families at the end-of-life. J Marital Fam Ther. 2004; 30:427–38. [PubMed: 15532251]
- True G, Phipps EJ, Braitman LE, et al. Treatment preferences and advance care planning at end of life: the role of ethnicity and spiritual coping in cancer patients. Ann Behav Med. 2005; 30:174–9. [PubMed: 16173914]
- 14. Balboni TABM, Phelps AC, Gallivan K, Paulk ME, Wright AA, Steinhauser K, VanderWeele TJ, Prigerson HG. Provision of Spiritual Support to Advanced Cancer Patients by Religious Communities and Associations with Medical Care at the End of Life. JAMA Internal Medicine. 2013; 173:1109–17. [PubMed: 23649656]
- Balboni TA, Paulk ME, Balboni MJ, et al. Provision of spiritual care to patients with advanced cancer: associations with medical care and quality of life near death. J Clin Oncol. 2010; 28:445– 52. [PubMed: 20008625]
- Cadge, W. Paging God: religion in the halls of medicine. Chicago, London: University of Chicago Press; 2012.

 Hadaway C, Marler PL. How Many Americans Attend Worship Each Week? An Alternative Approach to Measurement. Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion. 2005; 44:307–322.

- 18. http://www.rcms2010.org/press_release/ACP%2020120501.pdf,
- Mamiya, L. River of Struggle, River of Freedom: Trends Among Black Churches and Black Pastoral Leadership, Pulpit&Pew: Research on Pastoral Leadership. 2006.
- 20. Krause N, Bastida E. Social Relationships in the Church during Late Life: Assessing Differences between African Americans, Whites, and Mexican Americans. Rev Relig Res. 2011; 53:41–63. [PubMed: 21998489]
- 21. NCP Clinical Practice Guidelines for Quality Palliative Care. National Consensus Project. 2. 2009.
- 22. Puchalski C, Ferrell B, Virani R, et al. Improving the quality of spiritual care as a dimension of palliative care: the report of the Consensus Conference. J Palliat Med. 2009; 12:885–904. [PubMed: 19807235]
- 23. America's Changing Religious Landscape. Pew Research Center; 2014. http://www.pewforum.org/2015/05/12/americas-changing-religious-landscape/
- 24. Shea JA, Micco E, Dean LT, et al. Development of a revised Health Care System Distrust scale. J Gen Intern Med. 2008; 23:727–32. [PubMed: 18369678]
- http://www.aapor.org/AAPORKentico/Communications/AAPOR-Journals/Standard-Definitions.aspx,
- 26. Chaves, M. Congregations in America. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 2004.
- 27. Chaves M, Anderson SL. Changing American Congregations: Findings from the Third Wave of the National Congregations Study. Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion. 2014; 53:676–686.
- 28. Sulmasy DP. Spiritual issues in the care of dying patients: "... it's okay between me and god". Jama. 2006; 296:1385–92. [PubMed: 16985231]
- Pargament KI, Koenig HG, Perez LM. The many methods of religious coping: development and initial validation of the RCOPE. J Clin Psychol. 2000; 56:519

 –43. [PubMed: 10775045]
- LeBaron VT, Cooke A, Resmini J, et al. Clergy Views on a Good Versus a Poor Death: Ministry to the Terminally Ill. J Palliat Med. 2015
- 31. Wright AA, Zhang B, Ray A, et al. Associations between end-of-life discussions, patient mental health, medical care near death, and caregiver bereavement adjustment. JAMA. 2008; 300:1665–73. [PubMed: 18840840]
- 32. http://www.gallup.com/poll/1690/religion.aspx,
- 33. Atchley, RC. Spirituality and aging. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press; 2009.
- 34. MacLean CD, Susi B, Phifer N, et al. Patient preference for physician discussion and practice of spirituality. J Gen Intern Med. 2003; 18:38–43. [PubMed: 12534762]

 $\label{eq:Table 1} \textbf{Table 1}$ Characteristics of United States Clergy, Associated Congregations, and Most Recent Congregant who Died (N=1005).

	No./Total No.	(%)
Clergy Demographic Information		
Male gender	816/982	(83.1)
Age, M (SD)	54.3	(13.2)
Self-Reported Race/Ethnicity		
Asian	12/952	(1.3)
Black or African-American	104/952	(10.9)
American Indian or Alaskan Native	5/952	(0.5)
White or Caucasian	809/952	(85.0)
Other	34/952	(3.6)
Do you consider yourself Hispanic or Latino?	37/952	(3.9)
Region		
South	385/983	(39.2)
Mid-West	292/983	(29.7)
Northeast	146/983	(14.8)
West	160/983	(16.3)
Current Position		
Senior, Solo, Interim Minister	919/974	(94.4)
Associate or Assistant Minister	28/974	(2.9)
Lay (non-ordained) Minister	16/974	(1.6)
Highest Level of Education		
Non-College Graduate	51/952	(5.3)
4-year Bachelor's Degree	109/952	(11.5)
Non-Masters Certificate from Seminary	118/952	(12.4)
Master's Degree (e.g., Master of Divinity)	517/952	(54.3)
Doctor of Ministry (D.Min.)	112/952	(11.8)
Ph.D.	45/952	(4.7)
Clergy Religious Information		
Religious Identity		
Buddhist	2/959	(0.2)
Orthodox	15/959	(1.6)
Jewish	5/959	(0.6)
Jehovah's Witness	1/959	(0.1)
Latter-Day Saints	19/959	(2.0)
Muslim	2/959	(0.3)
Roman Catholic	85/959	(8.9)
Protestant	781/959	(81.5)

	No./Total No.	(%)
Christian Other	39/959	(4.0)
Other	8/959	(0.9)
Christian Tradition		
Fundamentalist	41/896	(4.6)
Evangelical	344/896	(38.4)
Pentecostal	97/986	(10.8)
Mainline	174/986	(19.4)
Liberal or Progressive	109/896	(12.1)
Catholic	56/896	(6.3)
Orthodox	13/896	(1.5)
None Apply	62/896	(7.0)
Congregational Information		
Average Annual Household Income in Congregation		
< \$40,001	261/932	(28.1)
\$40,001 – \$60,000	349/932	(37.5)
\$60,001 – \$75,000	205/932	(22.0)
> \$75,001	116/932	(12.5)
Average Congregational Weekly Attendance		
< 51	153/953	(16.0)
51–100	289/953	(30.3)
101–250	297/953	(31.1)
251–500	107/953	(11.2)
>501	107/953	(11.2)
Racial Composition of Congregation		
100% of Congregation of one race	193/952	(20.2)
75% to 99% of congregation of one race	650/952	(68.2)
50% – 74% of congregation of one race	94/952	(9.9)
< 50% of congregation of one race	15/952	(1.6)
End-of-Life Education and Practices		
Average hours per week visiting the sick and shut-ins	4.44 hours	4.02, 4.8
Prior Training in Ministering to the Sick and Dying		
Clinical Pastoral Education (CPE)	434/909	(47.8)
A Seminary Course	672/915	(73.4)
On-Line Resource	275/880	(31.3)
One-on-one Mentorship from Another Minister	644/903	(71.3)
A Book	755/905	(83.4)
Desire Future Training in care of the sick and dying	540/939	(57.5)
Pastoral Care Provided to Congregant who Most Recently	v Died from Illn	ess

Page 12

(%) No./Total No. < 3 months 438/952 (46.1)193/952 3-6 months (20.3)6-12 months 148/952 (15.5) A year or more 142/952 (15.0)Length of Clergy-Congregant Relationship < 6 months 90/919 (9.8)About a year 62/919 (6.7) 1-2 years 128/919 (13.9)639/919 (69.5) 3 years or more Types of Clergy-Patient Medical Discussions 392/892 (44.0)Having a Do-Not-Resuscitate Order Going into Hospice Care 498/893 (55.8)Stopping Current Medical Treatment 279/880 (31.7)Forgoing Future Medical Treatment 336/879 (38.2)385/880 (43.8)Increasing Medication to Lessen Pain **Any Medical Discussion Above** 713/776 (92.4)**Cause of Death** Cancer 437/803 (54.4)Heart Disease 119/803 (14.8)Lung Infection 81/803 (10.1)Stroke 59/803 (7.3)Dementia 54/803 (6.7) Not Sure 41/803 (5.1)Accident 12/803 (1.5)

Page 13

Table 2

Clergy Attitudes and Priorities on Life-Prolonging Religious Values in End-of-Life Care and Views on Always Extending Life (N=1005).

Question and Response		No./Total No.	(%)
and doctors said that the patient was extremely likely	are: Imagine visiting a congregational member with a cancer to die in the next six months regardless of medical care might make. To what extent do you agree with these statements		
1. Because of my faith I don't need to think about futu machines).	ure medical decisions (e.g., DNR order, use of breathing		
Not at all		684/952	(71.8)
A little or Somewhat		186/952	(19.6)
Quite a bit or Completely		82/952	(8.6)
2. I accept every medical treatment because my faith s	says to do everything I can to stay alive.		
Not at all		438/949	(46.1)
A little or Somewhat		344/949	(36.3)
Quite a bit or Completely		167/949	(17.6)
3. Having a do-not-resuscitate order is immoral.			
Not at all		845/948	(89.2)
A little or Somewhat		59/948	(6.3)
Quite a bit or Completely		44/948	(4.6)
4. I would be giving up on my faith if I stopped cance	r treatment.		
Not at all		827/949	(87.1)
A little or Somewhat		89/949	(9.4)
Quite a bit or Completely		33/949	(3.5)
5. I believe that God will cure me of this cancer.			
Not at all		135/949	(14.2)
A little or Somewhat		515/949	(54.3)
Quite a bit or Completely		299/949	(31.5)
6. I endure painful medical procedures because suffer	ing is part of God's way of testing me.		
Not at all		695/949	(73.3)
A little or Somewhat		205/949	(21.6)
Quite a bit or Completely		49/949	(5.1)
Pastoral Priorities in End-of-Life Care: When you doctors say that the patient has less than six months to following?	visit a patient with cancer and no hope of medical cure and o live, how important do you feel it is to talk about the		
	Pray for physical healing		
	Not at all	42/944	(4.4)
5.11.5.4	A little or Somewhat	336/944	(35.6)
Resisting Death	Quite a bit or Completely	566/944	(60.0)
	Encourage treatment to extend life		
	Not at all	272/944	(28.8)

Not sure

Question and Response No./Total No. (%) A little or Somewhat 477/944 (50.5)195/944 Quite a bit or Completely (20.7)Encourage acceptance of dying as part of God's plan Not at all 98/943 (10.4)A little or Somewhat 313/943 (33.2)532/943 Quite a bit or Completely (56.4)Ask if earthly affairs have been taken care of Not at all 54/944 (5.7)A little or Somewhat 321/944 (34.0)Quite a bit or Completely 569/944 (60.3)Accepting Death Talk about heaven and life after death Not at all 17/934 (1.8)A little or Somewhat 164/934 (17.6)Quite a bit or Completely 753/934 (80.6)Suggest hospice as a good idea Not at all 56/941 (6.0)A little or Somewhat 254/941 (26.9)631/941 Quite a bit or Completely (66.9)Always Extend Life: Which comes closer to your view? In all circumstances, doctors and nurses should do everything possible to extend the life of a patient. Or, sometimes there are circumstances where a patient should be allowed to die. Always extend life 154/972 (15.8)Sometimes let a patient die 776/972 (79.8)

Page 15

33/972

(3.4)

Table 3

ife Medical Decisions.

'	High End	orsement of]	Life-Prolongin N	High Endorsement of Life-Prolonging Religious Values in Medical Decisions $^{\! J}$ N = 718	lical Decisions ^I
	%	OR	Ь	AOR^2 (95% CI)	Ь
Male Gender	88	4.02	<0.001	2.25 (0.98, 5.17)	0.06
Age		1.00	0.4	1.00 (0.98, 1.01)	08.0
Race					
White	87	1.0		1.0	
Black	7	5.72	<0.001	3.60 (1.73, 7.42)	0.001
Hispanic/Latino	4	4.0	0.001	2.10 (0.82, 7.42)	0.12
Other	2	1.60	0.41	1.71 (0.46, 6.33)	0.42
Senior/Solo Position	94	1.37	0.36	1.35 (0.54, 3.41)	0.52
Educational Level			<0.001		0.59
< Master's Degree	26	2.09		1.14 (0.72, 1.80)	
Master's Degree	74	1.0		1.0	
U.S. Region					
Northeast	15	1.0		1.0	
Midwest	31	1.33	0.27	1.28 (0.69, 2.37)	0.43
South	39	2.05	0.004	1.39 (0.77, 2.51)	0.28
West	16	1.60	0.11	1.32 (0.67, 2.60)	0.42
Congregational Income		0.63	<0.001	0.83 (0.69, 0.98)	0.03
Spiritual Tradition					
Liberal/Mainline	32	1.0		1.0	
Evangelical/Fundamentalist	41	4.40	<0.001	2.56 (1.56, 4.23)	<0.001
Pentecostal	6	15.7	<0.001	6.48 (2.93, 14.3)	<0.001
Roman Catholic	8	2.40	0.01	1.7 (0.82, 3.43)	0.15
Orthodox	1	3.20	60.0	2.07 (0.34, 12.6)	0.43
Non-Christian	2	2.23	0.21	1.51 (0.43, 5.30)	0.52
7 D			6	66	

	High End	orsement of I	Life-Prolongin N	High Endorsement of Life-Prolonging Religious Values in Medical Decisions I $N=718$	ical Decisions ¹
	%	OR	Ь	AOR^2 (95% CI)	Ь
None Apply	5	3.45	0.001	1.67 (0.67, 4.52)	0.27
Always Extend Life $^{\mathcal{J}}$	13	10.2	<0.001	5.85 (2.20, 10.7)	<0.001
Lower EOL Knowledge 4	34	1.84	<0.001	1.4 (0.99, 2.10)	90:0
Distrust in Healthcare $^{\mathcal{S}}$	50	0.85	0:30	0.88 (0.61, 1.28)	0.51

High Religious End-of-Life Values was based on a median split of the 6-item summed score where "High" is a score of 11 or more and "Low" is a score of less than 11. Total scores ranged from 6 to 30. Multivariate regression analysis adjusted for gender, age, years in ministry, position, race, geographical region, congregational median income. (Position defined as 1=Senior/Solo Minister, 0=all else, geographical region defined as by U.S. census 1=Northeast, 2=Midwest, 3=South and 4=West. Race defined as 1=White, 2=Black/African American, 3=Hispanic, 4=Other). 3 Defined as endorsement of "Always extend life" in response to the question: "Which comes closer to your view? In all circumstances, doctors and nurses should do everything possible to extend the life of a patient. Or, sometimes there are circumstances where a patient should be allowed to die."

4 Lower End-of-Life Medical Knowledge was based on a median split of a 9-item summed score where "Lower EOL Knowledge" was defined as a score of 5 or less and "Higher EOL Knowledge" was defined as a score of 6 or higher. Total scores ranged from 0 to 9. Sistrust in Healthcare based on a median split of 4-item summed score where "Distrust" was defined as a score of 9 or less and "Trust" was defined as a score of 10 or higher. Total scores ranged from 4 to

Page 17

Author Manuscript

Table 4

Predictors of U.S. Clergy End-of-Life Discussions with Congregants Facing Life-Threatening Illness

					Clergy indicated a discussion with congregant who most recently died from illness concerning:	cussion with	n congregant who m	ost recently	y died from illness o	concerni	ing:	
			Going Into Hospice $N = 721$	pice	Stopping Current Treatment $N = 748$	reatment	Forgoing Future Treatment $N = 777$	reatment	Having a DNR Order $N = 755$	rder	Increasing Pain Medication $N = 710$	dication
		%	AOR^I (95% CI)	Ъ	AOR^{I} (95% CI)	Ь	AOR^{I} (95% CI)	Ы	AOR^{I} (95% CI)	Ъ	AOR^{I} (95% CI)	Ь
Clergy Li	Clergy Life-Prolonging Religious Values	es										
High Endorsemo Prolonging Religio Composite Score	High Endorsement of Life- Prolonging Religious Values Composite Score 2	40	0.58 (0.42, 0.80)	0.001	0.58(0.41, 0.84)	0.003	0.50(0.36, 0.71)	<0.001	0.96 (0.69, 1.33)	0.78	0.76(0.55, 1.06)	0.11
1	Because of my faith I don't need to think about future medical decisions	26	0.83 (0.58, 1.18)	0.29	0.55 (0.37, 0.83)	0.004	0.55 (0.37, 0.81)	0.003	0.82 (0.57, 1.17)	0.27	0.95 (0.66, 1.35)	0.76
2	I accept every medical treatment because my faith says to do everything I can to stay alive	54	0.67 (0.49, 0.92)	0.01	0.50 (0.36, 0.70)	<0.001	0.50 (0.36, 0.69)	<0.001	0.81 (0.59, 1.11)	0.19	0.75 (0.55, 1.03)	0.08
3	Having a do-not- resuscitate order is immoral	6	0.61 (0.36, 1.03)	0.06	0.47 (0.24, 0.92)	0.03	0.52 (0.29, 0.95)	0.03	0.54 (0.31, 0.94)	0.03	0.83 (0.47, 1.44)	0.50
4	I would be giving up on my faith if I stopped cancer treatment	13	0.70 (0.45, 1.10)	0.13	0.53 (0.30, 0.93)	0.03	0.40 (0.23, 0.70)	0.001	0.66 (0.41, 1.06)	0.08	0.51 (0.31, 0.85)	0.01
S	I believe that God will cure me of this cancer	98	0.65 (0.42, 1.01)	90.0	0.59 (0.37, 0.92)	0.02	0.52 (0.34, 0.81)	0.003	0.86 (0.56, 1.32)	0.48	1.18 (0.76, 1.83)	0.46
9	I endure painful medical procedures because suffering is part of God's way of testing me	26	0.71 (0.51, 1.01)	90:0	0.67 (0.46, 0.99)	0.04	0.56 (0.39, 0.82)	0.002	0.69 (0.48, 0.98)	0.04	0.69 (0.48, 0.98)	0.04
Clergy E(Clergy EOL Attitudes, Knowledge, and Distrust in Healthcare	d Dist	trust in Healthcare									
Always	Always Extend Life $^{\mathcal{J}}$	14	0.54 (0.35, 0.83)	0.01	0.35 (0.19, 0.65)	0.001	0.30(0.17, 0.54)	<0.001	0.50 (0.31, 0.82)	0.01	0.68(0.43, 1.07)	0.10
Low M	Low Medical Knowledge ⁴	33	0.80 (0.57, 1.09)	0.17	0.58 (0.41, 0.85)	0.004	0.80 (0.57, 1.11)	0.18	0.70 (0.51, 0.97)	0.03	0.80 (0.57, 1.11)	0.18

				Hergy indicated a discussion with congregant who most recently died from illness concerning:	sion with	h congregant who mo	st recently	y died from illness c	oncerni	.gu	
		Going Into Hospice $N = 721$	pice	Stopping Current Treatment Forgoing Future Treatment Having a DNR Order Increasing Pain Medication $N=748$ $N=777$ $N=755$ $N=710$	ıtment	Forgoing Future Tra $N = 777$	eatment	Having a DNR O $N = 755$	rder	Increasing Pain Med $N = 710$	lication
	%	$\mathrm{AOR}^I~(95\%~\mathrm{CI})$	Ь	% AOR I (95% CI) P AOR I (95% CI)	Ь	AOR^I (95% CI)	Ь	AOR^I (95% CI)	Ь	AOR^{I} (95% CI) P AOR^{I} (95% CI)	Ь
Distrust in Healthcare 5	51	1.31 (0.96, 1.78)	0.09	51 1.31 (0.96, 1.78) 0.09 1.34 (0.97, 1.87) 0.80 1.42(1.04, 1.95)	0.80	1.42(1.04, 1.95)	0.03	1.45 (1.09, 2.03)	0.01	0.03 1.45 (1.09, 2.03) 0.01 1.63(1.18, 2.23) 0.003	0.003

Multivariate regression analysis adjusted for gender, age, years in ministry, position, race, geographical region, congregational median income. (Position defined as 1=Senior/Solo Minister, 0=all else, geographical region defined as by U.S. census 1=Northeast, 2=Midwest, 3=South and 4=West. Race defined as 1=White, 2=Black/African American, 3=Hispanic, 4=Other). High Religious End-of-Life Values was based on a median split of the 6-item summed score where "High" is a score of 11 or more and "Low" is a score of less than 11. Total scores ranged from 6 to 30.

3 Defined as endorsement of "Always extend life" in response to the question: "Which comes closer to your view? In all circumstances, doctors and nurses should do everything possible to extend the life of a patient. Or, sometimes there are circumstances where a patient should be allowed to die."

4. Lower End-of-Life Medical Knowledge was based on a median split of a 9-item summed score where "Lower EOL Knowledge" was defined as a score of 5 or less and "Higher EOL Knowledge" was defined as a score of 6 or higher. Total scores ranged from 0 to 9.

5 Eistrust in Healthcare based on a median split of 4-item summed score where "Distrust" was defined as a score of 9 or less and "Trust" was defined as a score of 10 or higher. Total scores ranged from 4 to

Page 19

Author Manuscript

Table 5

Clergy Predictors of Patient Receipt of Hospice and ICU Care in the Final Week of Life

	Receipt of Any Hospice in Final Week of Life $N = 714$	Week of Life	Receipt of Any ICU Care in Final Week of Life $N = 714$	eek of Life
	AOR^{I} (95% CI)	Ь	AOR^I (95% CI)	Ь
Clergy Life-Prolonging Religious Values				
High Endorsement of Life-Prolonging Religious Values Composite Score $^{\it 2}$	1.36 (0.90, 2.03)	0.14	1.21 (0.80, 1.81)	0.36
Because of my faith I don't need to think about future medical decisions	1.44 (0.92, 2.25)	0.11	1.79 (1.16, 2.75)	0.008
I accept every medical treatment because my faith says to do everything I can to stay alive	1.25 (0.84, 1.85)	0.27	0.97 (0.65, 1.45)	0.89
Having a do-not-resuscitate order is immoral	1.02 (0.49, 2.12)	0.95	1.50 (0.78, 2.91)	0.23
I would be giving up on my faith if I stopped cancer treatment	1.23 (0.68, 2.20)	0.49	1.46 (0.85, 2.50)	0.17
I believe that God will cure me of this cancer	0.99 (0.58, 1.69)	0.97	1.08 (0.61, 1.88)	0.80
I endure painful medical procedures because suffering is part of God's way of testing me	1.15 (0.74, 1.78)	0.54	0.80 (0.50, 1.27)	0.33
Lack of Clergy-Congregant EOL Medical Discussions				
Did Not Discuss Going to Hospice	0.45 (0.29, 0.66)	<0.001	1.67 (1.14, 2.50)	0.01
Did Not Discuss Having a DNR Order	0.94 (0.60, 1.32)	0.56	0.85 (0.58, 1.25)	0.41
Did Not Discuss Stopping Current Treatment	0.94 (0.63, 1.43)	0.80	0.74 (0.50, 1.11)	0.14
Did Not Discuss Forgoing Future Treatment	1.16 (0.78, 1.72)	0.45	0.81 (0.55, 1.20)	0.29
Did Not Discuss Increasing Pain Medication	0.83 (0.56, 1.22)	0.33	0.86 (0.58, 1.28)	0.47
Clergy Attitudes and Understanding of EOL Care				
Always Extend Life ³	0.83 (0.46, 1.51)	0.54	1.50 (0.90, 2.52)	0.12
Low Medical Knowledge ⁴	0.96 (0.38, 1.49)	0.87	1.11 (0.73, 1.69)	0.62

Author Manuscript

	Receipt of Any Hospice in Fin $N = 7.14$	nal Week of Life	Receipt of Any Hospice in Final Week of Life $\;$ Receipt of Any ICU Care in Final Week of Life $N=714$	Week of Life
	$\mathrm{AOR}^I~(95\%~\mathrm{CI})$	Ь	AOR^I (95% CI)	Ь
Distrust in Healthcare ${\cal S}$	1.17 (0.78, 1.74)	0.46	0.97 (0.66, 1.44)	06.0

Multivariate regression analysis adjusted for gender, age, years in ministry, position, race, geographical region, congregational median income. (Position defined as 1=Senior/Solo Minister, 0=all else, geographical region defined as by U.S. census 1=Northeast, 2=Midwest, 3=South and 4=West. Race defined as 1=White, 2=Black/African American, 3=Hispanic, 4=Other). High Religious End-of-Life Values was based on a median split of the 6-item summed score where "High" is a score of 11 or more and "Low" is a score of less than 11. Total scores ranged from 6 to 30.

3 Defined as endorsement of "Always extend life" in response to the question: "Which comes closer to your view? In all circumstances, doctors and nurses should do everything possible to extend the life of a patient. Or, sometimes there are circumstances where a patient should be allowed to die."

Sistrust in Healthcare based on a median split of 4-item summed score where "Distrust" was defined as a score of 9 or less and "Trust" was defined as a score of 10 or higher. Total scores ranged from 4 to 4. Lower End-of-Life Medical Knowledge was based on a median split of a 9-item summed score where "Lower EOL Knowledge" was defined as a score of 5 or less and "Higher EOL Knowledge" was defined as a score of 6 or higher. Total scores ranged from 0 to 9.