

Submit a Manuscript: http://www.f6publishing.com

World J Transplant 2017 June 24; 7(3): 161-178

DOI: 10.5500/wjt.v7.i3.161

ISSN 2220-3230 (online)

REVIEW

Biomarkers in renal transplantation: An updated review

Maurizio Salvadori, Aris Tsalouchos

Maurizio Salvadori, Renal Unit, Department of Transplantation, Careggi University Hospital, 50139 Florence, Italy

Aris Tsalouchos, Division of Nephrology, Careggi University Hospital, 50134 Florence, Italy

Author contributions: Salvadori M and Tsalouchos A equally contributed to the manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: Maurizio Salvadori and Aris Tsalouchos do not have any conflict of interest in relation to the manuscript, as in the attached form.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Invited manuscript

Correspondence to: Maurizio Salvadori, MD, Renal Unit, Department of Transplantation, Careggi University Hospital, viale Pieraccini 18, 50139 Florence, Italy. maurizio.salvadori1@gmail.com Telephone: +39-55-597151 Fax: +39-55-597151

Received: February 7, 2017 Peer-review started: February 12, 2017 First decision: March 29, 2017 Revised: April 7, 2017 Accepted: April 18, 2017 Article in press: April 19, 2017 Published online: June 24, 2017

Abstract

Genomics, proteomics and molecular biology lead to tremendous advances in all fields of medical sciences. Among these the finding of biomarkers as non invasive

indicators of biologic processes represents a useful tool in the field of transplantation. In addition to define the principal characteristics of the biomarkers, this review will examine the biomarker usefulness in the different clinical phases following renal transplantation. Biomarkers of ischemia-reperfusion injury and of delayed graft function are extremely important for an early diagnosis of these complications and for optimizing the treatment. Biomarkers predicting or diagnosing acute rejection either cell-mediated or antibody-mediated allow a risk stratification of the recipient, a prompt diagnosis in an early phase when the histology is still unremarkable. The kidney solid organ response test detects renal transplant recipients at high risk for acute rejection with a very high sensitivity and is also able to make diagnosis of subclinical acute rejection. Other biomarkers are able to detect chronic allograft dysfunction in an early phase and to differentiate the true chronic rejection from other forms of chronic allograft nephropathies no immune related. Finally biomarkers recently discovered identify patients tolerant or almost tolerant. This fact allows to safely reduce or withdrawn the immunosuppressive therapy.

Key words: Renal transplantation; Biomarkers; Genomic; Proteomics; Transplant outcome; Molecular signatures

© The Author(s) 2017. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: The uses of biomarkers as a non invasive tool instead of renal biopsy in diagnosing transplant renal complications are entering the clinical practice. Progress in genomics, proteomics and all the "omics" fields has allowed the finding of robust, predictive and useful biomarkers. They are modifying our window on transplantation and are allowing us to predict the renal injury earlier because the pathologic process is evident at molecular level before its histological or clinical manifestations. The future is exciting because new international researches and trials are ongoing in this field.

Salvadori M, Tsalouchos A. Biomarkers in renal transplantation:

An updated review. *World J Transplant* 2017; 7(3): 161-178 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet.com/2220-3230/full/ v7/i3/161.htm DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5500/wjt.v7.i3.161

INTRODUCTION

Kidney transplantation represents the optimal therapeutic tool for patients affected by end-stage renal disease (ESRD). Improvements in immunosuppressive therapy have resulted in a decrease in acute rejections (AR) and have significantly increased graft short-term half life^[1]. However, late kidney graft loss remains a major problem and challenge in kidney transplantation^[2]. To date, renal function after transplantation is primarily evaluated by serum creatinine measurement and core renal biopsy. The latter is considered the gold standard in transplant evaluation. Nonetheless, both approaches have several drawbacks. Serum creatinine levels increase late in injury and are non-specific for the type of injury. Additionally, the serum level of creatinine is not able to predict or evaluate the progression of chronic injury and as a consequence is not specific or predictive. Similarly, renal core biopsy cannot be used to monitor the progression of injury because it is invasive and cannot be performed serially. Additionally, there are problems and possible biases in evaluating the specimen and the procedure is not completely free of complications. Moreover, the predictive power of renal core biopsy is poor. In fact, in the National Institutes of Health (NIH) clinical trial "Steroid-Free *vs* Steroids-based Immunosuppression in pediatric renal transplantation" (SNSO1) protocol, renal biopsies were unable to measure "hidden" tissue injury in clinically stable patients $[3,4]$. In addition, using protocol biopsies, Naesens *et al*^[5] reported that examination of tissue at the molecular level is able to reveal abnormalities in innate and adoptive immune responses long before those abnormalities appear at the histological level. Clearly, the development of noninvasive reliable and predictive biomarkers for early diagnosis and monitoring of any clinical condition after kidney transplantation is essential for tailored and individualized treatment $[6-8]$.

In studying the entire transplantation process, biological markers may be used throughout all phases, starting from the donor and donor kidney retrieval. In this phase, biomarkers may be useful for predicting short-term outcomes, and the incidence and severity of delayed graft function (DGF).

The most studied and used biomarkers are those related to the diagnosis and the identification of different aspects of subacute and acute kidney rejection. In addition, biomarkers able to differentiate true chronic rejection (CR), which is immunologically mediated, from the so-called "chronic allograft dysfunction" (CAD), are important because the treatments are different. Indeed, recently, mining the human urine proteome for monitoring renal transplant injury, Sigdel *et al*^[9] found

urinary peptides specific for AR, urinary peptides specific for chronic allograft nephropathy (CAN) and urinary peptides specific for BK virus nephropathy (BKVN).

Finally, relevant markers are those associated with tolerance, as these markers might allow for decreasing immunosuppressive treatment, withdrawing or discontinuing any immunosuppressant and monitoring the effects of such measures.

In this review, we describe the principal characteristics of current biomarkers, their power and limitation, the principal sources and their relevance in different clinical settings post renal transplantation.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

For this review, we have analyzed the available papers on biomarkers in renal transplantation. A literature search was performed using PubMed (NCBI/NIH) with the search words renal transplantation, biomarkers, genomic, proteomics, transplant outcome, molecular signatures. Firstly, papers published in the last three years were examined, then we proceeded in a backward way and also studies published previously have been included. Studies currently under way were searched for in "clinical trial.gov" and the European EUDRACT register. Only randomized clinical trials (RCTs) active and enrolling patients have been selected.

DEFINITION AND PRINCIPAL

CHARACTERISTICS OF THE BIOLOGICAL MARKERS

In addition to clinical markers and pathological markers, monitoring of the outcome of a clinical process may be performed using biological markers (biomarkers). A NIH working group recommended the following terms and definitions $[10]$: A biomarker is a characteristic that is objectively measured and evaluated as an indicator of a normal biological process, pathogenic process or pharmacological response to a therapeutic intervention.

Principal applications of biomarkers are as follows: (1) diagnosis or identification of patients affected by a disease or an abnormal condition; (2) staging of the severity or extent of a disease; (3) prognosis of a disease; and (4) prediction and monitoring of a clinical response to an intervention.

Table 1 clarifies both the definition and the principal characteristics of the biomarkers and the technologies $involved^[11]$. A variety of innovative technologies, ranging from genomics, proteomics, peptidomics, antibodyomics, microbiomics and metabolomics, among others, all referred to as "omics", have emerged in medical fields, to generate new biomarkers[12].

Genomics refers to the study of the genome, and epigenomics is the study of the complete set of epigenetic modifications of the genetic materials of a cell. Transcriptomics is the study of the set of all messenger

Table 2 Biomarker candidates in the context of ischemia reperfusion injury and delayed graft function

RNA molecules in a population of cells, whereas proteomics is the systematic analysis of proteins with regard to their identity, quantity and function. Metabolomics is the study of all chemical processes involving metabolites.

Overall, the principal characteristics, challenges and limitations of the biomarkers applied in renal transplantation are as follows: (1) Sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values and receiver operating characteristics curves (ROC) of biomarkers are essential for assessing their clinical utility; (2) noninvasive candidate biomarkers principally include mRNA transcripts, lymphocyte phenotype markers, chemokines, microRNA (miRNA) and donor-specific antibodies; (3) robust validation studies and assay standardization are needed to identify new biomarkers; and (4) biomarker validations is challenging because of interindividual variations as well as interlaboratory and interplatform variability $^{[13-15]}$.

The main sources of biomarkers in renal transplantation are serum, urine, peripheral blood lymphocytes and tissue.

BIOMARKERS OF ISCHEMIA-REPERFUSION SYNDROME AND DGF

Ischemia reperfusion injury (IRI) is an unavoidable step

occurring after kidney transplantation and may influence both short-term and long-term graft outcomes. Clinically, IRI may be associated with delayed DGF, graft rejection, CR and $CAD^[16]$. The degree of IRI is related to several factors that may occur in the donor, during organ storage and in the recipient^[17]. The increasing use of extended criteria donors and the use of organs recovered from nonheart-beating donors (NHBDs) represent an increased risk of severe IRI. Clearly, understanding the factors that potentially lead to severe IRI allow for stratifying the risk to the recipients and for a prompt diagnosis of IRI, enabling the adoption of possible therapeutic measures of prevention and treatment. Identification of biomarkers for IRI may assist in this effort.

Table 2 report a number of biomarkers candidates within the context of IRI and DGF. Such biomarkers have been studied pre or post-transplantation^[18].

Pre-transplant biomarkers for IRI and DGF

A number of molecules expressing tubular or vascular damage in the donor organ are associated with the incidence and severity of IRI. In turn, the severity of IRI conditions the incidence of $DGF^[19,20]$ and graft survival is strictly related to the incidence of DGF^[21].

Proteomic studies: Holmen *et al*^[22] documented the predictive value of urinary neutrophil gelatinaseassociated lipocalin (uNGAL) levels for prolonged DGF. This finding has been confirmed by a study of Reese et al^[23]. A predictive value of donor uNGAL, urinary kidney injury molecule 1 (uKIM-1) and urinary fatty acid protein binding protein (u-FABP) for DGF was recently documented by a study of Koo *et al*^[24].

Other studies documented the association of recipient pretransplant levels of different cytokines as the soluble interleukin 6 receptor (sIL-6R)^[25] and the low soluble gp130 with post-transplant DGF.

Recently, Nguyen *et al*^[26] measuring tumour necrosis factor receptor 2 (TNFR-2) expressed on circulating T reg cells documented that recipient peripheral blood T reg is a pre-transplant predictor of DGF.

Genomic studies: Several studies have investigated the pre-transplant up-regulation of genes possibly associated with IRI and DGF. One of the main limitations in identifying these molecules as a real marker of inflammation and a potential therapeutic target is the lack of causal proof.

In two different studies Schwartz *et al*^[27,28] documented that the expression of tubular epithelial cell adhesion molecules was predictive of post-transplant DGF and, similarly, that the lack of up regulation of anti apoptotic genes as B cell lymphoma 2 (*Bcl-2*) and B cell lymphoma extralarge (*Bcl-xl*) in donor kidneys was associated with DGF. More recently, Kaminska *et al*^[29] studying the pretransplant intragraft expression of 29 genes, found that lipocalin-2 (*LCN)* or *NGAL* were related to DGF.

Hauser *et al*^[30] and Kainz *et al*^[31] studied the expression of 48 genes associated with DGF in pretransplant biopsies and found an up-regulation of genes related to complement and to metabolic and immune pathways. More recently McGuinnes *et al*^[32] found that an elevated expression of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A (CDKN2A*)* correlated with high DGF incidence.

A recent trial was conducted (ISRCTN78828338) to verify whether steroid pretreatment of the deceased organ donor was able to reduce the incidence of IRI and DGF.

Genomic analysis showed suppressed inflammation and immune response in kidney biopsies from deceased donors who received corticosteroids. Among the proteins encoded by these identified genes, steroids significantly reduced FK506-binding protein 5 (*FKBP5*)*,* ring finger protein 186 (*RNF186*)*,* TSC22 domain family member 3 (*TSC22D3*)*,* Phospholambam (*PLN*)*,* Solute carrier family 25, member 45 (*SLC25A45*)*,* Small G protein signaling modulator 3 (*SGM3*) and Sushi domain-containing protein 3 (*SUSD3*)*.* However, two studies related to the trial^[33,34] concluded that such inflammation suppression did not reduce the incidence or duration of post-transplant DGF in allograft recipients; taken together, the studies documented that steroid pretreatment of organ donors did not improve outcomes after kidney or liver transplantation.

Post-transplant biomarkers for IRI and DGF

Proteomic and genomic studies: Liangos *et al*^[35] conducted a study on patients affected by DGF and documented an association between KIM 1 levels and disease severity.

Several studies have examined the utility of determining serum or urinary levels of NGAL in predicting DGF after renal transplantation.

Experimental and clinical models have documented that urinary biomarkers such as uNGAL, uKIM-1, uIL-18 and u-FABP are specific for acute kidney injury (AKI) and/or IRI^[36,37]. Several recipient urinary biomarkers are also reported to be related to graft dysfunction^[38-42].

More recently, two studies documented that urinary clusterin and IL-18 allow predicting DGF within 4 h after transplantation^[43]. Similarly, NGAL reflects the entity of renal impairment, representing a useful biomarker and an independent risk factor not only for DGF but also for long-term graft dysfunction $^{[44]}$.

A study by Hall *et al*[45,46] showed by multivariate analysis that elevated urinary levels of NGAL or IL-18 were able to predict DGF, with a ROC of 0.82.

Other studies $[47,48]$ documented that high urinary levels of NGAL soon after transplantation are found in patients with AKI, in particular when AKI is due to AR. In a more recent meta-analysis involving 16500 critically ill patients or following cardiac surgery, elevated plasma or urinary levels of NGAL were associated with AKI but not related to rejection^[49]. Finally, in a recent review^[50], high urinary or serum NGAL levels were found to serve as a predictor of DGF and were associated with reduced graft function at 1 year.

To date several studies have investigated the role of miRNAs as biomarkers of DGF. miRNAs, short endogenous non-coding RNAs that inhibit gene expression, play a fundamental role in DNA and protein biosynthesis. Some studies found that miRNAs contribute to both the induction and progression of chronic kidney disease $(CKD)^{[51]}$. miRNAs also represent novel therapeutic targets for CKD and for various complications after renal transplantation^[52]. A role in the pathogenesis of posttransplant DGF was found for 2 miRNAs: miR-182-5p and mi-21-3 $p^{[53]}$. The same author found high levels of secretory leukocyte peptidase inhibitor (SLPI) in serum and urine proteome of patients affected by AKI posttransplantation as well as a novel miRNA, miR-182-5 $p^{[53]}$.

In summary, miRNAs have a potential role as new biomarkers in all phases of kidney transplantation, even though most of the studies concerning IRI thus far have been conducted on mice^[54].

Overall the use of biomarkers, though relevant, has several limitations in the field of IRI. First most studies have been conducted on mice, and their translation to humans is questionable. Second, a proof of cause is lacking, and the only study performed with regard to reducing markers of inflammation failed to report a reduction in IRI incidence and severity. Third, a gold standard for comparison, such as renal biopsy, is lacking.

BIOMARKERS FOR ACUTE REJECTION

For acute rejection also pretransplant biomarkers have been described.

Pre-transplant biomarkers for acute rejection

The most investigated pre-transplant serum biomarker has been the soluble form of CD30 (sCD30). sCD30 is a glycoprotein expressed on human CD4⁺CD8⁺ T cells that secretes Th2-type cytokines^[55]. sCD30 reflects those recipients who will generate an alloimmune response against a grafted kidney. Weimer *et al*^[56] documented that sCD30 was a predictor of a poor graft outcome. Other studies highlighted that more often such poor outcome was related to a higher incidence of AR^[57-61].

Other studies $^{[62,63]}$ found that recipients with increased levels of C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 10 (CXCL10), an interferon induced chemokine associated with Th1 immune response have higher incidence transplant failure due to a higher AR incidence. Similar findings have been reported for C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 9 $(CXCL9)^{[64]}$.

Using systematic application of interferon-gamma (IFN-gamma) enzyme linked immunospot (ELISPOT) assay, different studies documented that the pretransplant frequency of donor specific IFN-gamma-producing cells correlates with AR among recipients of cadaveric kidney $allograft^{[65-68]}$

Post-transplant biomarkers for acute rejection

Based on the studies of Naesens *et al*^[5] and Sigdel *et al*^[9], including genomic and proteomic studies, there are two important points concerning acute and CR, both from genomic and proteomic studies. First, genomic studies have confirmed that smoldering tissue immune activation increases over-time after transplantation and drives progressive CAN independently from AR episodes. Second, the same genomic studies reported that molecular injury in CAN and AR is similar. There is a "socalled" threshold effect for AR, and in the clinical phase of AR, the molecular injury is the same as that found in CAN, though at a higher level. These results were confirmed by urinary proteomic studies. It is therefore important to determine a sensitive and robust biomarker for differentiating AR from other forms of CAD.

Several unbiased plasma and urine proteomic studies have revealed molecules associated with AR. Cohen Freue *et al*^[69] found that 7 proteins were up-regulated in the plasma of patients with acute rejection, including connectin (TTN), lipopolysaccharide-binding protein (LBP), peptidase inhibitor 16 (PI16), complement factor D (CFD), mannose-binding lectin (MBL2), recombinant SERPINA10 protein (SERPINA 10) and beta 2 microglobulin (B2M). Using urine samples, Sigdel^[70] found proteins related to major histocompatibility complex (MHC) antigens and the complement cascade. Proteins such as uromodulin, serpin peptidase inhibitor, clade F member 1 (SERPINF1) and CD44 were further validated by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and Wu *et al*^[71] reported 66 proteins in plasma associated with AR, including nuclear factor kappa B (NF- κ B), signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 (STAT1) and STAT3. In addition, Loftheim *et al*^[72] reported growth-related proteins as Insulin-like growth factor-binding protein (IGFBP7), Vasorin, epidermal growth factor (EGF) and Galactin-3 binding protein (Gal-3BP) to be up-regulated in urine during AR.

Finally, in a recent study, Sigdel *et al*^[73] identified and validated by ELISA three urine proteins: Fibrinogen beta (FGB), fibrinogen gamma (FGG) and HLADRB1 during AR. Proteins related to BKVN and CAN were also identified in the same study. All these studies are listed in Table 3.

Other selected studies of biomarkers specific for AR were recently reported by Lo *et al*^[7]. Granzyme B (GZMB), perforin (PRF1) and Fas Ligand (FASLG) mRNA are elevated in peripheral blood and tissue^[74]. GZMB and PRF1 mRNA are also elevated in the urine of patients with $AR^{[75]}$. By investigating mRNAs in urinary cells, elevated levels of gene signature of tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor superfamily member 4 (*TNFRSF4),* TNF ligand superfamily member 4 (*TNFSF4),* and programmed cell death protein 1 (*PDCD1*) were found in another study[76]. The multicenter CTOT 04 trial reported a urinary three- gene signature of 18S ribosomal RNA of CD3ε mRNA, interferon inducible protein 10 (CXCL10) mRNA and 18S rRNA in patients with biopsy-confirmed acute cellular rejection $[77]$. CTOT-01 study^[78] also revealed elevated levels of urinary CXCL9 mRNA as the best predictor of AR and the authors of this study^[78] concluded that low urinary CXCL9 could be used as a biomarker to identify transplant recipients at low risk for immunological events^[79]. The findings of the CTOT-01 study represent important news in the field of biomarkers and immunological events in transplantation. Nonetheless, the following open questions remain: (1) whether urinary CXCL9 can be used to decrease indication rates for performing renal biopsy; (2) whether CXCL9 is an adequate tool to distinguish between rejection and injury not immunologically related; and (3) whether the absence of urinary CXCL9 might help to identify the subset of patients whose immunosuppression may be reduced without risks. In a Canadian study $[80]$, the urinary CXCR3 chemokine receptor was shown to be the most promising candidate for detecting subclinical inflammation. This receptor decreases after successful treatment and has a predictive value for detecting subsequent CAN.

In a recent review of urine proteomics $[81]$, several urine biomarkers were correlated with allograft injury, including CXCL9, CXCL10, C-C motif chemokine ligand 2 (CCL2), NGAL, IL-18, cystatin C, KIM1, T-cell immunoglobulin and mucine domains-containing protein 3 (TIM3). The review also highlighted the aforementioned findings of the CTOT-01 study^[78]. In a very recent study^[82], four new proteins were found to be related to AR: Alpha-1 antitrypsin (A1AT), alpha 2 antiplasmin (A2AP), serum amyloid A (SAA) and apolipoprotein CIII (APOC3).

miRNAs play critical roles in the modulation of innate and adaptive immune responses. Sui *et al*^[83] found 20

Salvadori M et al. Biomarkers in renal transplantation

AR: Acute rejection; TTN: Titin; LBP: Lipid binding protein; MBL2: Mannose binding lectin 2; SERPINA 10: Protein Z-dependent protease inhibitor; AFM: Atomic force microscopy; KNG1: Kininogen1 protein; LCAT: Lecithin–cholesterol acyltransferase; SHBG: Sex hormon binding protein; UMOD: Uromodulin; PEDF: Pigment epithelium derived factor; NFkB: Nuclear factor kappa B; STAT1: Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1; STAT3: Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3; IGFBP7: Insulin like growth factor binding protein 7; VASN: Vasorin; EGF: Epidermal growth factor; LG3BP: Galectin-3-binding protein; FGB: Fibrinogen beta chain precursor; FGA: Fibrinogen alpha chain precursor; KRT14: Keratin14; HIST1H4B: Histone cluster 1 H4 family member b; ACTB: Actin beta; KRT7: Keratin 7; DPP4: Dipeptidil-peptidasi 4.

Table 4 Selected promising molecules and pathways evaluated as biomarkers in acute rejection[7]

All the studies include a validation set. PPV: Positive predictive value; NPV: Negative predictive value; AUC: Area under the curve; PBL: Peripheral blood lymphocytes; PCR: Polymerase chain reaction; NA: Not available; PD-1: Programmed death 1; CXCL10: Interferon-inducible cytokine IP-10; 18S rRNA: 18S ribosomal RNA; TIM-3: T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin-domain containing-3; CXCL9: C-X-C motif chemokine 9; ELISA: Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; SELDI-TOF-MS: Surface-enhanced laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight MS; miRNA: microRNA; IFNγ: Interferon gamma; ELISPOT: Enzymelinked immunoSpot.

miRNAs in AR samples, 8 of which were up-regulated and 12 down-regulated. These findings were confirmed in another study by Anglicheau *et al*[84]. Lorenzen *et al*[85] demonstrated a specific role for urinary miR-210, decreasing during AR but normalizing after successful treatment.

Studies of miRNA in peripheral blood cells (PBCs) are also emerging. For example, Betts *et al*^[86] in a small study found miR-223 and miRNA 10a to be significantly reduced during AR. In another study Grigoryev *et al*^[87] found that inhibition of miR-155 and miR-221 is associated with T cell proliferation, whereas miR-142-3p is associated with tolerant kidney allograft recipients.

Other studies have documented that the level of forkhead box P3 (FOXP3) mRNA in urinary cells is higher in patients with biopsy-confirmed $AR^{[88]}$. In the same study, the association between low FOXP3 mRNA and high serum creatinine predicted a poor allograft outcome.

T lymphocytes are also being studied as markers of AR. ELISPOT is the best tool for evaluating T lymphocyte

phenotypes, and more reliable results are obtained by studies detecting the quantity of IFNγ-producing T cells after stimulation with donor antigens^[89]. The Reprogramming the Immune System for Establishment of Tolerance (RISET) consortium has also demonstrated the value of the IFN_Y assay^[90]. All these studies are reported in Table 4.

Finally, donor-derived cell-free DNA (ddcfDNA) may be detected in the recipient's blood and urine^[91]. Indeed, García Moreira *et al*[92] documented an increase in ddcfDNA during AR.

However, the specificity of this finding is questionable because Sigdel *et al*^[93] found that ddcfDNA in urine was also present in AR and in BKVN. Additionally, urinary ddcfDNA may be present in cases of pyelonephritis^[94]. Thus, the usefulness of ddcfDNA in detecting AR remains questionable.

Genomic studies for acute rejection: With the evolution of array technologies, new insight is surfacing and

The 17 gene set was selected in 143 samples for acute rejection classification and predicted AR up to 3 mo prior to detection by the current gold standard (biopsy). kSORT: Kidney solid organ response test; SNSO1: Steroid-Free *vs* Steroid-Based Immunosuppression in Pediatric Renal (Kidney) Transplantation.

genomic studies are being applied to detect AR^[95].

In the CTOT-04 study, Suthanthiran *et al*^[77] found an AR diagnostic three gene signature: CD3ε, IP-10 and 185r RNAs^[78].

Flechner *et al*^[96] in a small study reported that several genes in peripheral blood lymphocytes (PBLs) and in kidney biopsies are able to characterize patients with AR. These genes are related to immune inflammation, transcription factors, cell growth and DNA metabolism.

The NIH SNSO1 randomized study collected human blood and graft biopsies from 367 patients from 12 United States pediatric transplant programs. The genes revealed by microarray were subsequently validated by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR). A five-gene set [dual specifity phosphatase 1 (*DUSP1*), nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase (*PBEF1*), presenil 1 gene (*PSEN1*), mitogen-activated protein kinase 9 gene (*MAPK9*) and natural killer cell-triggering receptor gene (*NKTR*)] was able to identify patients affected by AR with high accuracy (ROC AUC = 0.955), though the addition of five other genes known to be involved in AR did not improve the accuracy^[97,98]. Kurian *et al*^[99] reported 200 genes possibly related to AR, with ROC values ranging from 76% to 95%. However, the number of patients enrolled was rather small, and the findings need to be verified.

The assessment of AR in renal transplantation (the AART study) involved 436 adult/pediatric renal transplant patients from eight transplant centers in the United States, Spain and Mexico, and the kidney solid organ response test (kSORT) was used to detect renal transplant patients at high risk for AR in the AART study^[100]. A 43 rejection-gene set related to AR was identified by genome microarray analysis of biopsies and

blood from patients enrolled in the study $[97,101]$.

Ten of these genes were also found in the NIH SNSO1 study^[97]. Utilizing different statistical methods for improve accuracy in diagnosing AR, seven additional genes were added in the kSORT study. All these genes are shown in Table 5.

The kSORT results using a 17-gene set had very high sensitivity (AUC = 0.944), and these results were validated in several ways, such as in adult *vs* pediatric recipients, in samples collected from different sites and in samples across different ages and settings.

Overall, kSORT performance was similar among different cohorts (training set, validation set, cross-validation set (Table 6).

kSORT was also able to predict subclinical acute rejection (scAR) alone or in combination with the IFNγ ELISPOT. In the evaluation of subclinical acute rejection prediction study (ESCAPE) $^{[102]}$, both techniques were applied in renal transplant patients with protocol biopsies at 6 mo. The kSORT assay documented high accuracy in predicting both sub clinical antibody-mediated rejection (scABMR) and sub clinical T cell-mediated rejection (scTCMR). ELISPOT was also predictive for scTCMR but less specific in diagnosing scABMR. The predictive probabilities for diagnosing both scABMR and scTCMR were higher when combining the assays, with an AUC > 0.85.

A different approach for identifying acute rejection genes is to employ meta-analysis of eight independent datasets from four different organs (heart, kidney, liver and lung allograft), and a common rejection module (CRM) consisting of 11 genes significantly over-expressed in AR was thus identified $[103]$. These genes are presented in Table 7.

Table 6 Performance of kidney solid organ response test in the acute rejection in renal transplantation AART143, AART124, and AART100 cohorts

kSORT: Kidney solid organ response test; AART: Assessment of acute rejection in renal transplantation; AR: Acute rejection; PPV: Positive predictive value; NPV: Negative predictive value; AUC: Area under the curve.

These genes were overexpressed in acute rejection across all transplanted organs and could diagnose acute rejection with high specificity and sensitivity.

In a study on the kidney, the 11-gene qPCR CRM score (tCRM) was found to be significantly increased in AR, with the greatest significance for CXCL9 and CXCL10[104]. Additionally, the tCRM score correlated with the extent of AR lesions and was predictive of CAD. In the already mentioned paper by Li *et al*^[97], 8 genes were found by qPCR to be overexpressed in AR (*CFLAR*, *P* = 0.0016; *DUSP1*, *P* = 0.0013; *IFNGR1*, *P* = 0.0062; *ITGAX*, *P* = 0.0011; *PBEF1*, *P* = 0.00008; *PSEN1*, *P* = 0.00007; *RNF130*, *P* = 0.0459; and *RYBP*, *P* = 0012) and 2 genes were underexpressed (*MAPK9*, *P* = 0.0006; *NKTR*, *P* = 0016).

More recently^[105], PCR measurement of the above gene set was evaluated in the urine of transplanted patients with acute allograft dysfunction; only 5/11 genes were highly significant at the time of rejection, and in a validation cohort, the urine common rejection module (uCRM) score for AR had an AUC of 0.961. However, in another study, the uCRM score was found to be elevated in other kidney injuries, such as acute tubular necrosis (ATN) and BKVN.

In summary, the suspicion of AR in kidney transplantation may be assessed by both proteomic and genomic biomarkers. Principal limitations appear to be the specificity of the biomarkers, as many of them are common with CAN and other forms of chronic nephropathies such as the related condition BKVN.

In the last years, genomic analyses are becoming more specific, and relevant progress has been made by kSORT applied to AART study. Unifying databases derived from studies on acute rejection of other organs such as the liver, lung and heart have allowed for realization of a common rejection module from which new genes specific for kidney rejection can be found.

BIOMARKERS FOR CAD

The term CAD has replaced the term CAN because the latter has been used too broadly, preventing identification of true CR and other aetiologies of chronic dysfunction, such as drugs and viruses, not related to immunological causes. Two main concerns are associated with the identification of non-invasive biomarkers of CAD. First several proteomic and genomic studies^[7,9] have found that the molecular mechanisms responsible for acute and CR may be extremely similar and that differentiation should be principally based on the so-called "threshold effect". As a consequence, identification of biomarkers **Table 8 Analysis of pooled urine proteins collected from patients with acute rejection, BK virus nephropathy, and chronic** allograft nephropathy when compared to STA urine with the criteria of > 1.5 fold change of each transplant injury phenotype **(acute rejection, BK virus nephropathy, and chronic allograft nephropathy), compared to STA pooled urine and with a P -value of** ≤ **0.05[131]**

AR: Acute rejection; BKVN: BK virus nephropathy; CAN: Chronic allograft nephropathy; FGB: Fibrinogen beta chain; FGA: Fibrinogen alpha chain; FGG: Fibrinogen gamma chain; KRT14: Keratin 14; HIST1H4B: Histone cluster 1 H4 family member b; KRT7: Keratin 7; DPP4: Dipeptidyl peptidase 4; KRT18: Keratin 18; SUMO2: Small ubiquitin-like modifier 2; STMN1: Stathmin1; CFHR2: Complement factor H related 2; KRT8: Keratin 8; KRT19: Keratin 19; RPL18: Ribosomal protein L18; KRT75: Keratin 75; FAM3C: Family with sequence similarity 3 member C; HIST1H2BA: Histone cluster 1 H2B family member a; CALR: Calreticulin; FAM151A: Family with sequence similarity 151 member A; SERPINA2P: Serpin family A member 2; FAM3C: Family with sequence similarity 3 member C; DAG1: Dystroglycan 1; KITLG: KIT ligand; LUM: Lumican; FABP4: Fatty acid binding protein 4; AGT: Angiotensinogen; LRG1: Leucine rich alpha-2-glycoprotein 1.

responsible for CAD should be performed with extreme caution and with careful dosing of the suspected molecules. Second, the causes of CAD may be quite different, and the aim of these studies should also take into account differentiation of the molecules or genes responsible for different aetiologies.

Non-invasive biomarkers of CAD are essentially based on proteomics and genomics.

Proteomic studies for CAD

In a review published in 2012, Bohra et al^[11] discussed the main proteomic and metabolomic studies aimed at identifying biomarkers of CAD. Additionally, Johnston *et al*[106] reported β2 microglobulin as a urinary biomarker for CAD. In a large study by Kurian *et al*^[107], 302 proteins in peripheral blood were identified as responsible for mild CAD and 509 for severe CAD, and Quintana *et al*^[108] found uromodulin and kininogen in urine to be useful biomarkers for CAD. Based on a two-dimensional differential gel electrophoresis of urine, Bañon Maneus et al^[109] found 21 proteins associated with CAD, including A1AT, α -1 β glycoprotein (A1BG), angiotensinogen (AGT), anti-TNF alpha antibody light chain, β2 microglobulin (B2M), brevin, heparan sulfate proteoglycan (HSPG), leucine-rich α 2-glycoprotein 1 (LRG1) and transferrin.

In a more recent study, Nakorchevsky et a^[110] in a large-scale proteogenomic analysis of tissue biopsies found more than 1000 proteins associated with mild tosevere CAD.

Jahnukainen *et al*^[111] in a proteomic analysis of urine in kidney transplant patients with BKVN applied surfaceenhanced laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (SELDI-TOF) analysis to distinguish protein profile characteristics of BKVN but were unable to identify different proteins. More recently, Sigdel *et al*^[73] found BKVN selective proteins to be associated with contractile fibers, with gene expression regulation, with glycolysis and with response to viruses. In this study the top 10 most significant urine proteins for AR, BKVN and CAN are shown (Table 8).

Recent studies on calcineurin inhibitor toxicity documented altered expression of 38 proteins *in vitro* after incubation with cyclosporine $(CyA)^{[112]}$, and in a clinical setting, urine N-acetylβ-D-glucosaminidase (NAG) was found to be specific for CyA-related toxicity $[113]$.

The discovery and use of mRNAs has shed new light on CAD and on the unique form of CAD called interstitial fibrosis/tubular atrophy (IF/TA).

One recent study reported the miRNA characteristics of patients affected by IF/TA^[114], in particular five miRNAs (miR142-3p, miR-32, miR204, miR-107 and miR-211) were differentially expressed in tissue biopsy samples. These miRNAs were further confirmed in the urine of patients affected by CAD. In a follow-up study by the same group^[115], a selected panel of miRNAs, miR99a, miR-140-3p, mi 200b and miR-200, monitored at different time points after transplantation were found to be differentially expressed in urine according to graft outcome and useful markers in graft monitoring. In a recent study, Zununi Vahed *et al*^[116] observed that urinary miRNAs exibit different behaviors in patients affected by IF/TA according to whether they received a living or cadaveric donor kidney.

In another recent study on renal biopsies of patients affected by IF/TA, miR-142-5p and miR-142-3p were significantly up-regulated, whereas miR-211 was significantly down-regulated^[117]. As the same results were observed in PBCs from the same patients, the authors suggested that PBCs might be used in a non-invasive approach for monitoring kidney graft function.

Finally, evaluating miRNA profiles in transplanted patients, Iwasaki *et al*^[118] found that miR-486-5p was significantly over-expressed in these patients who produced donor-specific antibodies (DSA) and exhibited biopsy-proven chronic antibody-mediated rejection (CAMR).

Genomic studies for CAD

Mas *et al*^[119] used microarrays to evaluate renal tissue from patients affected by CAD with IF/TA and found up-regulation of genes related to fibrosis, extracellular matrix deposition and the immune response, as provided in Table 9. Markers of genes such as transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β), epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), and AGT were similarly found to be elevated in

urine samples.

In the multicenter CTOT-04 trial, in addition to validating the three-gene signature of CD3ε mRNA, CXCL10-mRNA and 18S rRNA, which is predictive of acute rejection, Lee et al^[120], examined urinary mRNA by PCR and reported a 4-gene signature of mRNAs for vimentin, NKCC2, E-cadherin and 18S rRNA that was diagnostic of IF/TA.

The above-mentioned $tCRM^{[104]}$ is a computational gene expression score for predicting immune injury in renal allograft. A subset of 7 genes [CD6 molecule (*CD6*), inositol polyphosphate-5-phosphatase D (*INPP5D*), interferon-stimulated exonuclease hene 20 (*ISG20*), natural killer cell granule protein 7 (*NKG7*), proteasome subunit beta 9 (*PSMB9*), runt-related transcription factor 3 (*RUNX3*) and transporter 1, ATP-binding cassette subfamily B member (*TAP1*)] had higher predictive value for patients developing IF/TA over time.

A relevant international study of Genomics of Chronic Allograft Rejection (GoCAR) (Clinical Trials.gov NCT 00611702 ^[121] aimed to identify genes that correlate with chronic allograft dysfunction index (CADI) scores at 12 mo in patients with a normal biopsy at three months.

A set of 13 genes showed independent predictive value for the development of fibrosis (Table 10). This gene set also has a predictive value higher than that of clinical and pathological variables.

A new approach of the Mount Sinai group^[122] is to utilize genomics to identify therapeutic agents for IF/TA. Based on an 85-gene signature from IF/TA molecular datasets in Gene Expression Omnibus and using a computational repurposing analysis, two new drugs, in addition to well-known azathioprine already used for AR and pulmonary fibrosis, appear to be promising: Kamferol, which attenuates TGF-β1, and Esculetin, which inhibits the Wnt/ β catenin pathway. Both drugs were effective and safe in preclinical models.

BIOMARKERS TO PREDICT AND MONITOR TOLERANCE

No more than 100 cases of clinical operational tolerance (COT) have been reported in renal transplantation $^{[123]}$.

A number of consortia have been realized in an

attempt to find valid tolerance signatures. The more important consortia are reported in Table $11^{[124,125]}$.

Thirty-nine genes have been found to be up-regulated in COTs in different sites, in different patient cohorts and using different microarrays; 24 of these genes (69%) are B cell related, with CD79b and prepronociceptin (PNOC) being the more highly expressed^[126-128]. Additionally, Danger *et al*^[129] documented up-regulation of miR-142-3p in B cells of COT patients.

T reg cells $(CD4^+$, $CD25^+$, Fox $P3^+$) have been extensively studied in operational tolerance, though their role in COT remains unclear^[128,130]. A role for natural killer (NK) cells in COTs has also been postulated $^{[128]}$.

In another relevant study, Roedder *et al*^[131] highlighted that tolerance biomarkers are dependent on the age of the recipient and may differ according the organ transplanted and that there is a need for further validation studies. The same authors identified different biomarkers according to age and the organ transplanted.

Genomic studies for tolerance

A study on gene expression in peripheral B cells showed an up-regulation of membrane-spanning 4-domains A1 (*MS4A1*) (*CD20*)*,* T-cell leukemia/lymphoma 1A (*TCL1A*)*,* CD79b molecule, immunoglobulin-associated beta (*CD79B*)*,* tolerance-associated gene 1 (*TOAG1*) and Forkhead Box P3 (*FOXP3*) genes. *TOAG1* was also upregulated intragrafts $^{[132]}$.

In a recent study, a group from Northwestern University in Chicago found an important role for Treg cells. Indeed, in their study on COTs patients *vs* nontolerant patients, the number of circulating Treg cells was significantly time-dependently higher in tolerant patients^[133]. Additionally, in the same study, a role for a different 357 gene signatures of tolerance was found (Table 12).

A principal approach for identifying genes actually involved in COTs derives from comparison of tolerant patients *vs* those with immunosuppression; immunosuppressive treatment in the latter group might influence and generate bias in the gene expression signature. To overcome the problem, a multicenter study $[134]$ reviewed a cohort of 246 kidney transplant recipients (232 with

Table 10 Thirteen genes associated with chronic allograft dysfunction identified by biopsy transcriptome expression[121]

CADI: Chronic allograft dysfunction index.

Table 11 International research consortia in rejection/tolerance

GAMBIT: Genetic Analysis and Monitoring of Biomarkers of Immunological Tolerance.

immunosuppression, 14 tolerant) using the Genetic Analysis and Monitoring of Biomarkers of Immunological Tolerance method, and the investigators were able to identify a nine gene immunosuppression-independent gene signature (Table 13).

Recently, 21 genes involved in tolerance were identified at the University of California San Francisco (UCSF), in the program kidney spontaneous operational tolerance test (kSPOT). These investigators studied 348 HLAmismatched renal transplant patients and identified 21 genes involved in COT. These 21 TOL genes were validated, and independent qPCR for the 21 genes was preformed. Additionally, the authors were able to refine and validate a three-gene assay [Kruppel-Like Factor 6 (*KLF6*)*,* Basonuclin 2 (*BNC2*)*,* and Cytochrome P450 Family 1 Subfamily B Member 1 (*CYP1B1*)] to detect the state of operational tolerance, with an AUC $0.95^{[135]}$. Interestingly, *BNC2* and *CYP1B1* are both related to tolerance in kidney and liver transplantation $^{[136,137]}$.

In conclusion, a number of studies have searched for a "tolerance signature". However, such an endeavour is difficult because of the small number of COT patients. The search for biomarkers is principally useful for identifying tolerant patients. Among the different studies, that of Newell *et al*^[127], which was aimed at finding a gene expression profile for tolerant patients, and the microarray analysis of Sagoo *et al*^[128] stand out in this field.

In addition, the reclassification of transplant patients according to immune risk threshold may be achieved using the cited kSORT, tCRM, uCRM and kSPOT. This might help in determining which recipients would benefit from withdrawal or minimization of immunosuppression.

FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Several prospective research programs and clinical trials are ongoing using already-known biomarkers or are searching for new ones.

Biomarker-driven personalized immunosuppression (BIO-DrIM) is a European Consortium aimed at the Methodical and Clinical Validation of Biomarkers for guiding immunosuppression $[138]$. The programs of the Consortium include: (1) The targeting and partial weaning of immunosuppression in long-term liver and kidney transplant patients; and (2) biomarker analysis and data management.

The biomarker platforms of BIODrIM are as follows: (1) An ELISPOT platform for detecting donor-reactive memory/effector T cells^[139]; (2) a real-time RT-PCR platform to identify molecular tolerance signatures $[140]$; and (3) a multiparameter flowcytometry platform to characterize circulating immune cell subsets^[141].

The BIODrIM consortium is designing two clinical trials in solid organ transplantation using biomarkers for decision making.

Table 12 Immune/inflammatory molecules among the 357 gene signatures of tolerance

These genes potentially predict those patients that can be successfully weaned off immunosuppression^[133]. FGR: Tyrosine-protein kinase Fgr; MRC1: Mannose receptor, C type 1; TLR4: Toll-like receptor 4; FGR: Tyrosine-protein kinase Fgr; LSP1: Lymphocyte-specific protein 1; CARD9: Caspase recruitment domain family member 9; LYST: Lysosomal-trafficking regulator; NLRC4: NLR family CARD domain-containing protein 4; NOTCH2: Neurogenic locus notch homolog protein 2; CLEC7A: C-type lectin domain family 7 member A; ETS2: Protein C-ets-2; SCARF1: Scavenger receptor class F member 1; MYO7A: Unconventional myosin-VIIa; TRIM59: Tripartite motif-containing protein 59; TPCN2: Two pore calcium channel protein 2; IL4R: Interleukin 4 receptor.

Table 13 Immunosuppression-independent gene signatures predicting tolerance[134]

↓Immunosuppression-free gene expression downregulated in tolerant patients; ↑Immunosuppression-free gene expression upregulated in tolerant patients; BCL2: B-cell lymphoma 2.

The trial $LIST^{[138]}$ will apply molecular signatures to guide immunosuppression in liver transplant patients.

The kidney transplant trial design of BIODrIM is Cellimin, a prospective multicenter randomized trial utilizing IFN γ ELISPOT to stratify kidney transplant recipients into high/low responders. Only low-responder patients will be randomized to receive either standard immunosuppression or low-dose immunosuppression. The

trial will evaluate the donor specific cellular alloresponse for immunosuppression minimization (EudraCT-Number: 2013-005041-37)[142].

Another European research program is "Biomarkers of Renal Graft Injuries in kidney allograft recipients" $(BIOMARGIN)^{[143]}$, which has the aims to: (1) select and validate blood or urine biomarkers at differentomics levels related to allograft lesions; and (2) select

and validate biomarkers as early predictors of CAD. The research will allow for selecting the best candidate biomarkers and biomarker signatures. In addition, the work will evaluate the sensitivity, selectivity, false positive value and false negative value of biomarkers. Finally, one goal of the study is to select biomarker signature predictors of three-year graft outcomes.

By using the aforementioned biomarkers of kSORT, the TITRATE trial has the aim of testing immunosuppression Threshold in Renal Allografts to improve the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR). Overall, the main outcomes of the trial are the rate and severity of acute rejection and the CADI score at one year based on protocol biopsy. Evaluation of eGFR is also a principal endpoint. The study is ongoing in Mexico and at UCSF^[144].

Another Clinical Trial, NIH UO1 trial TASK, employs the biomarkers of kSORT, uCRM, and tCRM. The TASK trial has the aim of evaluating Treg adoptive therapy for subclinical inflammation in kidney transplantation by comparing the results of three patients' cohorts according to surrogate markers of the immune response $[145]$.

The Precision Medicine Offers Belatacept Monotherapy study^[146] is being conducted at four centers in the United States, Spain, France and Mexico. The trial has the aim of determining the safety and feasibility of converting kidney transplant recipients to Belatacept monotherapy. In addition, the trial has the goal of evaluating the percentage of patients who can be converted to a Belatacept regimen of once every 8 wk. The patients enrolled in the trial will have a quiescent immunologic profile evaluated by kSORT, uCRM and tCRM. Only those with elevated kSPOT will be tested for the once every 8-wk administration.

The epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is a process in which fibrosis is generated due to the transformation from the epithelial to mesenchymal phenotype. The process is induced and facilitated by several molecular signatures, among which TGF beta, EGF, insulin like growth factor 2 and fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF2) are prominent^[147]. An interesting ongoing trial is Prediction of Chronic Allograft Nephropathy (Prefigur)^[148]. By using noninvasive biomarkers and evaluating urinary cells in the first year post-transplantation, the investigators are developing a non-invasive approach for predicting fibrosis as a substitute of allograft biopsy, *via* longitudinal assessment of the mRNA expression level of genes implicated in EMT fibrogenesis.

REFERENCES

- 1 **Hariharan S**, Johnson CP, Bresnahan BA, Taranto SE, McIntosh MJ, Stablein D. Improved graft survival after renal transplantation in the United States, 1988 to 1996. *N Engl J Med* 2000; **342**: 605-612 [PMID: 10699159 DOI: 10.1056/NEJM200003023420901]
- 2 **Meier-Kriesche HU**, Schold JD, Srinivas TR, Kaplan B. Lack of improvement in renal allograft survival despite a marked decrease in acute rejection rates over the most recent era. *Am J Transplant* 2004; **4**: 378-383 [PMID: 14961990 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2004.00332.x]
- 3 **Naesens M**, Salvatierra O, Benfield M, Ettenger RB, Dharnidharka V, Harmon W, Mathias R, Sarwal MM; SNS01-NIH-CCTPT Multicenter Trial. Subclinical inflammation and chronic renal allograft injury in a randomized trial on steroid avoidance in pediatric kidney

Salvadori M et al. Biomarkers in renal transplantation

transplantation. *Am J Transplant* 2012; **12**: 2730-2743 [PMID: 22694733 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2012.04144.x]

- 4 **Sarwal MM**, Ettenger RB, Dharnidharka V, Benfield M, Mathias R, Portale A, McDonald R, Harmon W, Kershaw D, Vehaskari VM, Kamil E, Baluarte HJ, Warady B, Tang L, Liu J, Li L, Naesens M, Sigdel T, Waskerwitz J, Salvatierra O. Complete steroid avoidance is effective and safe in children with renal transplants: a multicenter randomized trial with three-year follow-up. *Am J Transplant* 2012; **12**: 2719-2729 [PMID: 22694755 DOI: 10.1111/ j.1600-6143.2012.04145.x]
- 5 **Naesens M**, Khatri P, Li L, Sigdel TK, Vitalone MJ, Chen R, Butte AJ, Salvatierra O, Sarwal MM. Progressive histological damage in renal allografts is associated with expression of innate and adaptive immunity genes. *Kidney Int* 2011; **80**: 1364-1376 [PMID: 21881554 DOI: 10.1038/ki.2011.245]
- 6 **Mas VR**, Mueller TF, Archer KJ, Maluf DG. Identifying biomarkers as diagnostic tools in kidney transplantation. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn* 2011; **11**: 183-196 [PMID: 21405969 DOI: 10.1586/erm.10.119]
- 7 **Lo DJ**, Kaplan B, Kirk AD. Biomarkers for kidney transplant rejection. *Nat Rev Nephrol* 2014; **10**: 215-225 [PMID: 24445740 DOI: 10.1038/nrneph.2013.281]
- 8 **Fehr T**, Cohen CD. Predicting an allograft's fate. *Kidney Int* 2011; **80**: 1254-1255 [PMID: 22126981 DOI: 10.1038/ki.2011.328]
- 9 **Sigdel TK**, Gao Y, He J, Wang A, Nicora CD, Fillmore TL, Shi T, Webb-Robertson BJ, Smith RD, Qian WJ, Salvatierra O, Camp DG, Sarwal MM. Mining the human urine proteome for monitoring renal transplant injury. *Kidney Int* 2016; **89**: 1244-1252 [PMID: 27165815 DOI: 10.1016/j.kint.2015.12.049]
- 10 **Biomarkers Definitions Working Group**. Biomarkers and surrogate endpoints: preferred definitions and conceptual framework. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2001; **69**: 89-95 [PMID: 11240971 DOI: 10.1067/ mcp.2001.113989]
- 11 **Bohra R**, Klepacki J, Klawitter J, Klawitter J, Thurman JM, Christians U. Proteomics and metabolomics in renal transplantation-quo vadis? *Transpl Int* 2013; **26**: 225-241 [PMID: 23350848 DOI: 10.1111/ tri.12003]
- 12 **Bontha SV**, Maluf DG, Mueller TF, Mas VR. Systems Biology in Kidney Transplantation: The Application of Multi-Omics to a Complex Model. *Am J Transplant* 2017; **17**: 11-21 [PMID: 27214826 DOI: 10.1111/ajt.13881]
- 13 **Hockley SL**, Mathijs K, Staal YC, Brewer D, Giddings I, van Delft JH, Phillips DH. Interlaboratory and interplatform comparison of microarray gene expression analysis of HepG2 cells exposed to benzo(a)pyrene. *OMICS* 2009; **13**: 115-125 [PMID: 19245359 DOI: 10.1089/omi.2008.0060]
- 14 **Sato F**, Tsuchiya S, Terasawa K, Tsujimoto G. Intra-platform repeatability and inter-platform comparability of microRNA microarray technology. *PLoS One* 2009; **4**: e5540 [PMID: 19436744 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0005540]
- 15 **Mao S**, Wang C, Dong G. Evaluation of inter-laboratory and crossplatform concordance of DNA microarrays through discriminating genes and classifier transferability. *J Bioinform Comput Biol* 2009; **7**: 157-173 [PMID: 19226665]
- 16 **Salvadori M**, Rosso G, Bertoni E. Update on ischemia-reperfusion injury in kidney transplantation: Pathogenesis and treatment. *World J Transplant* 2015; **5**: 52-67 [PMID: 26131407 DOI: 10.5500/wjt. v5.i2.52]
- 17 **Cheung KP**, Kasimsetty SG, McKay DB. Innate immunity in donor procurement. *Curr Opin Organ Transplant* 2013; **18**: 154-160 [PMID: 23313940 DOI: 10.1097/MOT.0b013e32835e2b0d]
- 18 **Mühlberger I**, Perco P, Fechete R, Mayer B, Oberbauer R. Biomarkers in renal transplantation ischemia reperfusion injury. *Transplantation* 2009; **88**: S14-S19 [PMID: 19667956 DOI: 10.1097/ TP.0b013e3181af65b5]
- 19 **Mueller TF**, Solez K, Mas V. Assessment of kidney organ quality and prediction of outcome at time of transplantation. *Semin Immunopathol* 2011; **33**: 185-199 [PMID: 21274534 DOI: 10.1007/s00281-011- 0248-x]
- 20 **UNOS/SRTR**. Annual Report of the U.S. Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network and the Scientific Registry of Transplant

Recipients: Transplant Data 1997-2006. Health Resources and Services Administration, Healthcare Systems Bureau, Division of Transplantation, Rockville, MD 2007

- 21 **Ojo AO**, Wolfe RA, Held PJ, Port FK, Schmouder RL. Delayed graft function: risk factors and implications for renal allograft survival. *Transplantation* 1997; **63**: 968-974 [PMID: 9112349]
- 22 **Hollmen ME**, Kyllönen LE, Inkinen KA, Lalla ML, Merenmies J, Salmela KT. Deceased donor neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin and delayed graft function after kidney transplantation: a prospective study. *Crit Care* 2011; **15**: R121 [PMID: 21545740 DOI: 10.1186/ cc10220]
- 23 **Reese PP**, Hall IE, Weng FL, Schröppel B, Doshi MD, Hasz RD, Thiessen-Philbrook H, Ficek J, Rao V, Murray P, Lin H, Parikh CR. Associations between Deceased-Donor Urine Injury Biomarkers and Kidney Transplant Outcomes. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2016; **27**: 1534-1543 [PMID: 26374609 DOI: 10.1681/ASN.2015040345]
- 24 **Koo TY**, Jeong JC, Lee Y, Ko KP, Lee KB, Lee S, Park SJ, Park JB, Han M, Lim HJ, Ahn C, Yang J. Pre-transplant Evaluation of Donor Urinary Biomarkers can Predict Reduced Graft Function After Deceased Donor Kidney Transplantation. *Medicine* (Baltimore) 2016; **95**: e3076 [PMID: 26986138 DOI: 10.1097/MD.0000000000003076]
- 25 **Sadeghi M**, Daniel V, Naujokat C, Mehrabi A, Opelz G. Association of high pretransplant sIL-6R plasma levels with acute tubular necrosis in kidney graft recipients. *Transplantation* 2006; **81**: 1716-1724 [PMID: 16794539 DOI: 10.1097/01.tp.0000226076.04938.98]
- 26 **Nguyen MT**, Fryml E, Sahakian SK, Liu S, Cantarovich M, Lipman M, Tchervenkov JI, Paraskevas S. Pretransplant Recipient Circulating CD4+CD127lo/- Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptor 2+ Regulatory T Cells: A Surrogate of Regulatory T Cell-Suppressive Function and Predictor of Delayed and Slow Graft Function After Kidney Transplantation. *Transplantation* 2016; **100**: 314-324 [PMID: 26425877 DOI: 10.1097/TP.0000000000000942]
- 27 **Schwarz C**, Regele H, Steininger R, Hansmann C, Mayer G, Oberbauer R. The contribution of adhesion molecule expression in donor kidney biopsies to early allograft dysfunction. *Transplantation* 2001; **71**: 1666-1670 [PMID: 11435980]
- 28 **Schwarz C**, Hauser P, Steininger R, Regele H, Heinze G, Mayer G, Oberbauer R. Failure of BCL-2 up-regulation in proximal tubular epithelial cells of donor kidney biopsy specimens is associated with apoptosis and delayed graft function. *Lab Invest* 2002; **82**: 941-948 [PMID: 12118096]
- 29 **Kamińska D**, Kościelska-Kasprzak K, Drulis-Fajdasz D, Hałoń A, Polak W, Chudoba P, Jańczak D, Mazanowska O, Patrzałek D, Klinger M. Kidney ischemic injury genes expressed after donor brain death are predictive for the outcome of kidney transplantation. *Transplant Proc* 2011; **43**: 2891-2894 [PMID: 21996181 DOI: 10.1016/j.transproceed. 2011.08.062]
- 30 **Hauser P**, Schwarz C, Mitterbauer C, Regele HM, Mühlbacher F, Mayer G, Perco P, Mayer B, Meyer TW, Oberbauer R. Genome-wide gene-expression patterns of donor kidney biopsies distinguish primary allograft function. *Lab Invest* 2004; **84**: 353-361 [PMID: 14704720 DOI: 10.1038/labinvest.3700037]
- 31 **Kainz A**, Mitterbauer C, Hauser P, Schwarz C, Regele HM, Berlakovich G, Mayer G, Perco P, Mayer B, Meyer TW, Oberbauer R. Alterations in gene expression in cadaveric vs. live donor kidneys suggest impaired tubular counterbalance of oxidative stress at implantation. *Am J Transplant* 2004; **4**: 1595-1604 [PMID: 15367214 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2004.00554.x]
- 32 **McGuinness D**, Leierer J, Shapter O, Mohammed S, Gingell-Littlejohn M, Kingsmore DB, Little AM, Kerschbaum J, Schneeberger S, Maglione M, Nadalin S, Wagner S, Königsrainer A, Aitken E, Whalen H, Clancy M, McConnachie A, Koppelstaetter C, Stevenson KS, Shiels PG. Identification of Molecular Markers of Delayed Graft Function Based on the Regulation of Biological Ageing. *PLoS One* 2016; **11**: e0146378 [PMID: 26734715 DOI: 10.1371/journal. pone.0146378]
- 33 **Kainz A**, Wilflingseder J, Mitterbauer C, Haller M, Burghuber C, Perco P, Langer RM, Heinze G, Oberbauer R. Steroid pretreatment of organ donors to prevent postischemic renal allograft failure: a randomized, controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2010; **153**: 222-230 [PMID: 20713790

DOI: 10.7326/0003-4819-153-4-201008170-00003]

- 34 **Amatschek S**, Wilflingseder J, Pones M, Kainz A, Bodingbauer M, Mühlbacher F, Langer RM, Gerlei Z, Oberbauer R. The effect of steroid pretreatment of deceased organ donors on liver allograft function: a blinded randomized placebo-controlled trial. *J Hepatol* 2012; **56**: 1305-1309 [PMID: 22326464 DOI: 10.1016/ j.jhep.2012.01.020]
- 35 **Liangos O**, Perianayagam MC, Vaidya VS, Han WK, Wald R, Tighiouart H, MacKinnon RW, Li L, Balakrishnan VS, Pereira BJ, Bonventre JV, Jaber BL. Urinary N-acetyl-beta-(D)-glucosaminidase activity and kidney injury molecule-1 level are associated with adverse outcomes in acute renal failure. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2007; **18**: 904-912 [PMID: 17267747 DOI: 10.1681/ASN.2006030221]
- 36 **Haase M**, Bellomo R, Devarajan P, Schlattmann P, Haase-Fielitz A, Haase-Fielitz A; NGAL Meta-analysis Investigator Group. Accuracy of neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) in diagnosis and prognosis in acute kidney injury: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2009; **54**: 1012-1024 [PMID: 19850388 DOI: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2009.07.020]
- 37 **Siew ED**, Ware LB, Ikizler TA. Biological markers of acute kidney injury. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2011; **22**: 810-820 [PMID: 21493774 DOI: 10.1681/ASN.2010080796]
- 38 **Fonseca I**, Oliveira JC, Almeida M, Cruz M, Malho A, Martins LS, Dias L, Pedroso S, Santos J, Lobato L, Castro Henriques A, Mendonça D. Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin in kidney transplantation is an early marker of graft dysfunction and is associated with one-year renal function. *J Transplant* 2013; **2013**: 650123 [PMID: 24288591 DOI: 10.1155/2013/650123]
- 39 **Mishra J**, Ma Q, Kelly C, Mitsnefes M, Mori K, Barasch J, Devarajan P. Kidney NGAL is a novel early marker of acute injury following transplantation. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2006; **21**: 856-863 [PMID: 16528543 DOI: 10.1007/s00467-006-0055-0]
- Sureshkumar KK, Marcus RJ. Urinary biomarkers as predictors of long-term allograft function after renal transplantation. *Transplantation* 2010; **90**: 688-689 [PMID: 20847632 DOI: 10.1097/ TP.0b013e3181ebc0d6]
- 41 **Pajek J**, Škoberne A, Šosterič K, Adlešič B, Leskošek B, Bučar Pajek M, Osredkar J, Lindič J. Non-inferiority of creatinine excretion rate to urinary L-FABP and NGAL as predictors of early renal allograft function. *BMC Nephrol* 2014; **15**: 117 [PMID: 25027586 DOI: 10.118 6/1471-2369-15-117]
- 42 **Malyszko J**, Koc-Zorawska E, Malyszko JS, Mysliwiec M. Kidney injury molecule-1 correlates with kidney function in renal allograft recipients. *Transplant Proc* 2010; **42**: 3957-3959 [PMID: 21168598 DOI: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2010.10.005]
- 43 **Pianta TJ**, Peake PW, Pickering JW, Kelleher M, Buckley NA, Endre ZH. Clusterin in kidney transplantation: novel biomarkers versus serum creatinine for early prediction of delayed graft function. *Transplantation* 2015; **99**: 171-179 [PMID: 25083615 DOI: 10.1097/ TP.0000000000000256]
- 44 **Lacquaniti A**, Caccamo C, Salis P, Chirico V, Buemi A, Cernaro V, Noto A, Pettinato G, Santoro D, Bertani T, Buemi M, David A. Delayed graft function and chronic allograft nephropathy: diagnostic and prognostic role of neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin. *Biomarkers* 2016; **21**: 371-378 [PMID: 26900638 DOI: 10.3109/1354 750X.2016.1141991]
- 45 **Hall IE**, Yarlagadda SG, Coca SG, Wang Z, Doshi M, Devarajan P, Han WK, Marcus RJ, Parikh CR. IL-18 and urinary NGAL predict dialysis and graft recovery after kidney transplantation. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2010; **21**: 189-197 [PMID: 19762491 DOI: 10.1681/ ASN.2009030264]
- 46 **Hall IE**, Doshi MD, Poggio ED, Parikh CR. A comparison of alternative serum biomarkers with creatinine for predicting allograft function after kidney transplantation. *Transplantation* 2011; **91**: 48-56 [PMID: 21441853 DOI: 10.1097/TP.0b013e3181fc4b3a]
- 47 **Rostami Z**, Nikpoor M, Einollahi B. Urinary Neutrophil Gelatinase Associated Lipocalin (NGAL) for Early Diagnosis of Acute Kidney Injury in Renal Transplant Recipients. *Nephrourol Mon* 2013; **5**: 745-752 [PMID: 23841038 DOI: 10.5812/numonthly.9385]
- 48 **Heyne N**, Kemmner S, Schneider C, Nadalin S, Königsrainer A,

Häring HU. Urinary neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin accurately detects acute allograft rejection among other causes of acute kidney injury in renal allograft recipients. *Transplantation* 2012; **93**: 1252-1257 [PMID: 22513480 DOI: 10.1097/TP.0b013e31824fd892]

- 49 **Haase-Fielitz A**, Haase M, Devarajan P. Neutrophil gelatinaseassociated lipocalin as a biomarker of acute kidney injury: a critical evaluation of current status. *Ann Clin Biochem* 2014; **51**: 335-351 [PMID: 24518531 DOI: 10.1177/0004563214521795]
- 50 **Ramirez-Sandoval JC**, Herrington W, Morales-Buenrostro LE. Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin in kidney transplantation: A review. *Transplant Rev* (Orlando) 2015; **29**: 139-144 [PMID: 26071983 DOI: 10.1016/j.trre.2015.04.004]
- 51 **Wilflingseder J**, Reindl-Schwaighofer R, Sunzenauer J, Kainz A, Heinzel A, Mayer B, Oberbauer R. MicroRNAs in kidney transplantation. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2015; **30**: 910-917 [PMID: 25170095 DOI: 10.1093/ndt/gfu280]
- 52 **Trionfini P**, Benigni A, Remuzzi G. MicroRNAs in kidney physiology and disease. *Nat Rev Nephrol* 2015; **11**: 23-33 [PMID: 25385286 DOI: 10.1038/nrneph.2014.202]
- 53 **Wilflingseder J**, Sunzenauer J, Toronyi E, Heinzel A, Kainz A, Mayer B, Perco P, Telkes G, Langer RM, Oberbauer R. Molecular pathogenesis of post-transplant acute kidney injury: assessment of whole-genome mRNA and miRNA profiles. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**: e104164 [PMID: 25093671 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0104164]
- 54 **Scian MJ**, Maluf DG, Mas VR. MiRNAs in kidney transplantation: potential role as new biomarkers. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn* 2013; **13**: 93-104 [PMID: 23256706 DOI: 10.1586/erm.12.131]
- 55 **Del Prete G**, De Carli M, Almerigogna F, Daniel CK, D'Elios MM, Zancuoghi G, Vinante F, Pizzolo G, Romagnani S. Preferential expression of CD30 by human CD4+ T cells producing Th2-type cytokines. *FASEB J* 1995; **9**: 81-86 [PMID: 7821763]
- 56 **Weimer R**, Zipperle S, Daniel V, Carl S, Staehler G, Opelz G. Pretransplant CD4 helper function and interleukin 10 response predict risk of acute kidney graft rejection. *Transplantation* 1996; **62**: 1606-1614 [PMID: 8970616]
- 57 **Rajakariar R**, Jivanji N, Varagunam M, Rafiq M, Gupta A, Sheaff M, Sinnott P, Yaqoob MM. High pre-transplant soluble CD30 levels are predictive of the grade of rejection. *Am J Transplant* 2005; **5**: 1922-1925 [PMID: 15996240 DOI: 10.1111/ j.1600-6143.2005.00966.x]
- 58 **Cinti P**, Pretagostini R, Arpino A, Tamburro ML, Mengasini S, Lattanzi R, De Simone P, Berloco P, Molajoni ER. Evaluation of pretransplant immunologic status in kidney-transplant recipients by panel reactive antibody and soluble CD30 determinations. *Transplantation* 2005; **79**: 1154-1156 [PMID: 15880060 DOI: 10.1097/01.TP.0000152660.56055.53]
- 59 **Sengul S**, Keven K, Gormez U, Kutlay S, Erturk S, Erbay B. Identification of patients at risk of acute rejection by pretransplantation and posttransplantation monitoring of soluble CD30 levels in kidney transplantation. *Transplantation* 2006; **81**: 1216-1219 [PMID: 16641611 DOI: 10.1097/01.tp.0000203324.49969.30]
- 60 **Altermann W**, Schlaf G, Rothhoff A, Seliger B. High variation of individual soluble serum CD30 levels of pre-transplantation patients: sCD30 a feasible marker for prediction of kidney allograft rejection? *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2007; **22**: 2795-2799 [PMID: 17616534 DOI: 10.1093/ndt/gfm397]
- 61 **Shooshtarizadeh T**, Mohammadali A, Ossareh S, Ataipour Y. Relation between pretransplant serum levels of soluble CD30 and acute rejection during the first 6 months after a kidney transplant. *Exp Clin Transplant* 2013; **11**: 229-233 [PMID: 23477385 DOI: 10.6002/ ect.2012.0113]
- 62 **Rotondi M**, Rosati A, Buonamano A, Lasagni L, Lazzeri E, Pradella F, Fossombroni V, Cirami C, Liotta F, La Villa G, Serio M, Bertoni E, Salvadori M, Romagnani P. High pretransplant serum levels of CXCL10/IP-10 are related to increased risk of renal allograft failure. *Am J Transplant* 2004; **4**: 1466-1474 [PMID: 15307834 DOI: 10.1111/ j.1600-6143.2004.00525.x]
- 63 **Lazzeri E**, Rotondi M, Mazzinghi B, Lasagni L, Buonamano A, Rosati A, Pradella F, Fossombroni V, La Villa G, Gacci M, Bertoni E, Serio M, Salvadori M, Romagnani P. High CXCL10 expression in

rejected kidneys and predictive role of pretransplant serum CXCL10 for acute rejection and chronic allograft nephropathy. *Transplantation* 2005; **79**: 1215-1220 [PMID: 15880073 DOI: 10.1097/01. TP.0000160759.85080.2E]

- 64 **Rotondi M**, Netti GS, Lazzeri E, Stallone G, Bertoni E, Chiovato L, Grandaliano G, Gesualdo L, Salvadori M, Schena FP, Romagnani P, Serio M. High pretransplant serum levels of CXCL9 are associated with increased risk of acute rejection and graft failure in kidney graft recipients. *Transpl Int* 2010; **23**: 465-475 [PMID: 19929857 DOI: 10.1111/j.1432-2277.2009.01006.x]
- 65 **Augustine JJ**, Siu DS, Clemente MJ, Schulak JA, Heeger PS, Hricik DE. Pre-transplant IFN-gamma ELISPOTs are associated with post-transplant renal function in African American renal transplant recipients. *Am J Transplant* 2005; **5**: 1971-1975 [PMID: 15996247 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2005.00958.x]
- 66 **Bendjelloul F**, Desin TS, Shoker AS. Donor non-specific IFN-gamma production by primed alloreactive cells as a potential screening test to predict the alloimmune response. *Transpl Immunol* 2004; **12**: 167-176 [PMID: 14967315 DOI: 10.1016/j.trim.2003.08.003]
- 67 **Heeger PS**, Greenspan NS, Kuhlenschmidt S, Dejelo C, Hricik DE, Schulak JA, Tary-Lehmann M. Pretransplant frequency of donorspecific, IFN-gamma-producing lymphocytes is a manifestation of immunologic memory and correlates with the risk of posttransplant rejection episodes. *J Immunol* 1999; **163**: 2267-2275 [PMID: 10438971]
- 68 **Bellisola G**, Tridente G, Nacchia F, Fior F, Boschiero L. Monitoring of cellular immunity by interferon-gamma enzyme-linked immunosorbent spot assay in kidney allograft recipients: preliminary results of a longitudinal study. *Transplant Proc* 2006; **38**: 1014-1017 [PMID: 16757248 DOI: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2006.02.142]
- 69 **Freue GV**, Sasaki M, Meredith A, Günther OP, Bergman A, Takhar M, Mui A, Balshaw RF, Ng RT, Opushneva N, Hollander Z, Li G, Borchers CH, Wilson-McManus J, McManus BM, Keown PA, McMaster WR; Genome Canada Biomarkers in Transplantation Group. Proteomic signatures in plasma during early acute renal allograft rejection. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 2010; **9**: 1954-1967 [PMID: 20501940 DOI: 10.1074/mcp.M110.000554]
- Sigdel TK, Kaushal A, Gritsenko M, Norbeck AD, Qian WJ, Xiao W, Camp DG, Smith RD, Sarwal MM. Shotgun proteomics identifies proteins specific for acute renal transplant rejection. *Proteomics Clin Appl* 2010; **4**: 32-47 [PMID: 20543976 DOI: 10.1002/ prca.200900124]
- 71 **Wu D**, Zhu D, Xu M, Rong R, Tang Q, Wang X, Zhu T. Analysis of transcriptional factors and regulation networks in patients with acute renal allograft rejection. *J Proteome Res* 2011; **10**: 175-181 [PMID: 20812764 DOI: 10.1021/pr100473w]
- 72 **Loftheim H**, Midtvedt K, Hartmann A, Reisæter AV, Falck P, Holdaas H, Jenssen T, Reubsaet L, Asberg A. Urinary proteomic shotgun approach for identification of potential acute rejection biomarkers in renal transplant recipients. *Transplant Res* 2012; **1**: 9 [PMID: 23369437 DOI: 10.1186/2047-1440-1-9]
- Sigdel TK, Salomonis N, Nicora CD, Ryu S, He J, Dinh V, Orton DJ, Moore RJ, Hsieh SC, Dai H, Thien-Vu M, Xiao W, Smith RD, Qian WJ, Camp DG, Sarwal MM. The identification of novel potential injury mechanisms and candidate biomarkers in renal allograft rejection by quantitative proteomics. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 2014; **13**: 621-631 [PMID: 24335474 DOI: 10.1074/mcp.M113.030577]
- Vasconcellos LM, Schachter AD, Zheng XX, Vasconcellos LH, Shapiro M, Harmon WE, Strom TB. Cytotoxic lymphocyte gene expression in peripheral blood leukocytes correlates with rejecting renal allografts. *Transplantation* 1998; **66**: 562-566 [PMID: 9753332]
- Li B, Hartono C, Ding R, Sharma VK, Ramaswamy R, Qian B, Serur D, Mouradian J, Schwartz JE, Suthanthiran M. Noninvasive diagnosis of renal-allograft rejection by measurement of messenger RNA for perforin and granzyme B in urine. *N Engl J Med* 2001; **344**: 947-954 [PMID: 11274620 DOI: 10.1056/NEJM200103293441301]
- Afaneh C, Muthukumar T, Lubetzky M, Ding R, Snopkowski C, Sharma VK, Seshan S, Dadhania D, Schwartz JE, Suthanthiran M. Urinary cell levels of mRNA for OX40, OX40L, PD-1, PD-L1, or PD-L2 and acute rejection of human renal allografts. *Transplantation*

2010; **90**: 1381-1387 [PMID: 21079547 DOI: 10.1097/TP.0b013 e3181ffbadd]

- 77 **Suthanthiran M**, Schwartz JE, Ding R, Abecassis M, Dadhania D, Samstein B, Knechtle SJ, Friedewald J, Becker YT, Sharma VK, Williams NM, Chang CS, Hoang C, Muthukumar T, August P, Keslar KS, Fairchild RL, Hricik DE, Heeger PS, Han L, Liu J, Riggs M, Ikle DN, Bridges ND, Shaked A; Clinical Trials in Organ Transplantation 04 (CTOT-04) Study Investigators. Urinary-cell mRNA profile and acute cellular rejection in kidney allografts. *N Engl J Med* 2013; **369**: 20-31 [PMID: 23822777 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1215555]
- 78 **Hricik DE**, Nickerson P, Formica RN, Poggio ED, Rush D, Newell KA, Goebel J, Gibson IW, Fairchild RL, Riggs M, Spain K, Ikle D, Bridges ND, Heeger PS; CTOT-01 consortium. Multicenter validation of urinary CXCL9 as a risk-stratifying biomarker for kidney transplant injury. *Am J Transplant* 2013; **13**: 2634-2644 [PMID: 23968332 DOI: 10.1111/ajt.12426]
- 79 **Srinivas TR**, Kaplan B. Urinary biomarkers and kidney transplant rejection: fine-tuning the radar. *Am J Transplant* 2013; **13**: 2519-2521 [PMID: 24007513 DOI: 10.1111/ajt.12427]
- 80 **Hirt-Minkowski P**, De Serres SA, Ho J. Developing renal allograft surveillance strategies - urinary biomarkers of cellular rejection. *Can J Kidney Health Dis* 2015; **2**: 28 [PMID: 26285614 DOI: 10.1186/ s40697-015-0061-x]
- 81 **Kim SC**, Page EK, Knechtle SJ. Urine proteomics in kidney transplantation. *Transplant Rev* (Orlando) 2014; **28**: 15-20 [PMID: 24321302 DOI: 10.1016/j.trre.2013.10.004]
- 82 **Perez JD**, Sakata MM, Colucci JA, Spinelli GA, Felipe CR, Carvalho VM, Cardozo KH, Medina-Pestana JO, Tedesco-Silva H, Schor N, Casarini DE. Plasma proteomics for the assessment of acute renal transplant rejection. *Life Sci* 2016; **158**: 111-120 [PMID: 27393492 DOI: 10.1016/j.lfs.2016.06.029]
- 83 **Sui W**, Yang M, Li F, Chen H, Chen J, Ou M, Zhang Y, Lin H, Xue W, Dai Y. Serum microRNAs as new diagnostic biomarkers for preand post-kidney transplantation. *Transplant Proc* 2014; **46**: 3358-3362 [PMID: 25498051 DOI: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2014.08.050]
- 84 **Anglicheau D**, Sharma VK, Ding R, Hummel A, Snopkowski C, Dadhania D, Seshan SV, Suthanthiran M. MicroRNA expression profiles predictive of human renal allograft status. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2009; **106**: 5330-5335 [PMID: 19289845 DOI: 10.1073/ pnas.0813121106]
- 85 **Lorenzen JM**, Volkmann I, Fiedler J, Schmidt M, Scheffner I, Haller H, Gwinner W, Thum T. Urinary miR-210 as a mediator of acute T-cell mediated rejection in renal allograft recipients. *Am J Transplant* 2011; **11**: 2221-2227 [PMID: 21812927 DOI: 10.1111/ j.1600-6143.2011.03679.x]
- Betts G, Shankar S, Sherston S, Friend P, Wood KJ. Examination of serum miRNA levels in kidney transplant recipients with acute rejection. *Transplantation* 2014; **97**: e28-e30 [PMID: 24531825 DOI: 10.1097/01.TP.0000441098.68212.de]
- 87 **Grigoryev YA**, Kurian SM, Hart T, Nakorchevsky AA, Chen C, Campbell D, Head SR, Yates JR, Salomon DR. MicroRNA regulation of molecular networks mapped by global microRNA, mRNA, and protein expression in activated T lymphocytes. *J Immunol* 2011; **187**: 2233-2243 [PMID: 21788445 DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.1101233]
- 88 **Muthukumar T**, Dadhania D, Ding R, Snopkowski C, Naqvi R, Lee JB, Hartono C, Li B, Sharma VK, Seshan SV, Kapur S, Hancock WW, Schwartz JE, Suthanthiran M. Messenger RNA for FOXP3 in the urine of renal-allograft recipients. *N Engl J Med* 2005; **353**: 2342-2351 [PMID: 16319383 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa051907]
- 89 **Augustine JJ**, Hricik DE. T-cell immune monitoring by the ELISPOT assay for interferon gamma. *Clin Chim Acta* 2012; **413**: 1359-1363 [PMID: 22732764 DOI: 10.1016/j.cca.2012.03.006]
- Bestard O, Crespo E, Stein M, Lúcia M, Roelen DL, de Vaal YJ, Hernandez-Fuentes MP, Chatenoud L, Wood KJ, Claas FH, Cruzado JM, Grinyó JM, Volk HD, Reinke P. Cross-validation of IFN-γ Elispot assay for measuring alloreactive memory/effector T cell responses in renal transplant recipients. *Am J Transplant* 2013; **13**: 1880-1890 [PMID: 23763435 DOI: 10.1111/ajt.12285]
- 91 **Gielis EM**, Ledeganck KJ, De Winter BY, Del Favero J, Bosmans JL, Claas FH, Abramowicz D, Eikmans M. Cell-Free DNA: An

Upcoming Biomarker in Transplantation. *Am J Transplant* 2015; **15**: 2541-2551 [PMID: 26184824 DOI: 10.1111/ajt.13387]

- 92 **García Moreira V**, Prieto García B, Baltar Martín JM, Ortega Suárez F, Alvarez FV. Cell-free DNA as a noninvasive acute rejection marker in renal transplantation. *Clin Chem* 2009; **55**: 1958-1966 [PMID: 19729469 DOI: 10.1373/clinchem.2009.129072]
- 93 **Sigdel TK**, Vitalone MJ, Tran TQ, Dai H, Hsieh SC, Salvatierra O, Sarwal MM. A rapid noninvasive assay for the detection of renal transplant injury. *Transplantation* 2013; **96**: 97-101 [PMID: 23756769 DOI: 10.1097/TP.0b013e318295ee5a]
- 94 **Zhong XY**, Hahn D, Troeger C, Klemm A, Stein G, Thomson P, Holzgreve W, Hahn S. Cell-free DNA in urine: a marker for kidney graft rejection, but not for prenatal diagnosis? *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2001; **945**: 250-257 [PMID: 11708487 DOI: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2001. tb03893.x]
- 95 **Ong S**, Mannon RB. Genomic and proteomic fingerprints of acute rejection in peripheral blood and urine. *Transplant Rev* (Orlando) 2015; **29**: 60-67 [PMID: 25542607 DOI: 10.1016/j.trre.2014.12.003]
- Flechner SM, Kurian SM, Head SR, Sharp SM, Whisenant TC, Zhang J, Chismar JD, Horvath S, Mondala T, Gilmartin T, Cook DJ, Kay SA, Walker JR, Salomon DR. Kidney transplant rejection and tissue injury by gene profiling of biopsies and peripheral blood lymphocytes. *Am J Transplant* 2004; **4**: 1475-1489 [PMID: 15307835 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2004.00526.x]
- 97 **Li L**, Khatri P, Sigdel TK, Tran T, Ying L, Vitalone MJ, Chen A, Hsieh S, Dai H, Zhang M, Naesens M, Zarkhin V, Sansanwal P, Chen R, Mindrinos M, Xiao W, Benfield M, Ettenger RB, Dharnidharka V, Mathias R, Portale A, McDonald R, Harmon W, Kershaw D, Vehaskari VM, Kamil E, Baluarte HJ, Warady B, Davis R, Butte AJ, Salvatierra O, Sarwal MM. A peripheral blood diagnostic test for acute rejection in renal transplantation. *Am J Transplant* 2012; **12**: 2710-2718 [PMID: 23009139 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2012.04253.x]
- 98 **Allison SJ**. Transplantation: Biomarkers in peripheral blood detect acute rejection. *Nat Rev Nephrol* 2012; **8**: 681 [PMID: 23070573 DOI: 10.1038/nrneph.2012.227]
- 99 **Kurian SM**, Williams AN, Gelbart T, Campbell D, Mondala TS, Head SR, Horvath S, Gaber L, Thompson R, Whisenant T, Lin W, Langfelder P, Robison EH, Schaffer RL, Fisher JS, Friedewald J, Flechner SM, Chan LK, Wiseman AC, Shidban H, Mendez R, Heilman R, Abecassis MM, Marsh CL, Salomon DR. Molecular classifiers for acute kidney transplant rejection in peripheral blood by whole genome gene expression profiling. *Am J Transplant* 2014; **14**: 1164-1172 [PMID: 24725967 DOI: 10.1111/ajt.12671]
- 100 **Roedder S**, Sigdel T, Salomonis N, Hsieh S, Dai H, Bestard O, Metes D, Zeevi A, Gritsch A, Cheeseman J, Macedo C, Peddy R, Medeiros M, Vincenti F, Asher N, Salvatierra O, Shapiro R, Kirk A, Reed EF, Sarwal MM. The kSORT assay to detect renal transplant patients at high risk for acute rejection: results of the multicenter AART study. *PLoS Med* 2014; **11**: e1001759 [PMID: 25386950 DOI: 10.1371/ journal.pmed.1001759]
- 101 **Shen-Orr SS**, Tibshirani R, Khatri P, Bodian DL, Staedtler F, Perry NM, Hastie T, Sarwal MM, Davis MM, Butte AJ. Cell type-specific gene expression differences in complex tissues. *Nat Methods* 2010; **7**: 287-289 [PMID: 20208531 DOI: 10.1038/nmeth.1439]
- 102 **Crespo E**, Roedder S, Sigdel T, Hsieh SC, Luque S, Cruzado JM, Tran TQ, Grinyó JM, Sarwal MM, Bestard O. Molecular and Functional Noninvasive Immune Monitoring in the ESCAPE Study for Prediction of Subclinical Renal Allograft Rejection. *Transplantation* 2017; **101**: 1400-1409 [PMID: 27362314 DOI: 10.1097/TP.0000000000001287]
- 103 **Khatri P**, Roedder S, Kimura N, De Vusser K, Morgan AA, Gong Y, Fischbein MP, Robbins RC, Naesens M, Butte AJ, Sarwal MM. A common rejection module (CRM) for acute rejection across multiple organs identifies novel therapeutics for organ transplantation. *J Exp Med* 2013; **210**: 2205-2221 [PMID: 24127489 DOI: 10.1084/ jem.20122709]
- 104 **Sigdel TK**, Bestard O, Tran TQ, Hsieh SC, Roedder S, Damm I, Vincenti F, Sarwal MM. A Computational Gene Expression Score for Predicting Immune Injury in Renal Allografts. *PLoS One* 2015; **10**: e0138133 [PMID: 26367000 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0138133]
- 105 **Sigdel T**, Tran T, Bestard O, Vincenti F, Sarwal M. The Urine

Common Rejection Module (uCRM) Is a Sentinal Assay for Graft Rejection. [abstract]. *Am J Transplant* 2016; 16 (suppl 3)

- 106 **Johnston O**, Cassidy H, O'Connell S, O'Riordan A, Gallagher W, Maguire PB, Wynne K, Cagney G, Ryan MP, Conlon PJ, McMorrow T. Identification of β2-microglobulin as a urinary biomarker for chronic allograft nephropathy using proteomic methods. *Proteomics Clin Appl* 2011; **5**: 422-431 [PMID: 21751411 DOI: 10.1002/prca.201000160]
- 107 **Kurian SM**, Heilman R, Mondala TS, Nakorchevsky A, Hewel JA, Campbell D, Robison EH, Wang L, Lin W, Gaber L, Solez K, Shidban H, Mendez R, Schaffer RL, Fisher JS, Flechner SM, Head SR, Horvath S, Yates JR, Marsh CL, Salomon DR. Biomarkers for early and late stage chronic allograft nephropathy by proteogenomic profiling of peripheral blood. *PLoS One* 2009; **4**: e6212 [PMID: 19593431 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0006212]
- 108 **Quintana LF**, Campistol JM, Alcolea MP, Bañon-Maneus E, Sol-González A, Cutillas PR. Application of label-free quantitative peptidomics for the identification of urinary biomarkers of kidney chronic allograft dysfunction. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 2009; **8**: 1658-1673 [PMID: 19357086 DOI: 10.1074/mcp.M900059-MCP200]
- 109 **Bañón-Maneus E**, Diekmann F, Carrascal M, Quintana LF, Moya-Rull D, Bescós M, Ramírez-Bajo MJ, Rovira J, Gutierrez-Dalmau A, Solé-González A, Abián J, Campistol JM. Two-dimensional difference gel electrophoresis urinary proteomic profile in the search of nonimmune chronic allograft dysfunction biomarkers. *Transplantation* 2010; **89**: 548-558 [PMID: 20134395 DOI: 10.1097/ TP.0b013e3181c690e3]
- 110 **Nakorchevsky A**, Hewel JA, Kurian SM, Mondala TS, Campbell D, Head SR, Marsh CL, Yates JR, Salomon DR. Molecular mechanisms of chronic kidney transplant rejection via large-scale proteogenomic analysis of tissue biopsies. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2010; **21**: 362-373 [PMID: 20093355 DOI: 10.1681/ASN.2009060628]
- 111 **Jahnukainen T**, Malehorn D, Sun M, Lyons-Weiler J, Bigbee W, Gupta G, Shapiro R, Randhawa PS, Pelikan R, Hauskrecht M, Vats A. Proteomic analysis of urine in kidney transplant patients with BK virus nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2006; **17**: 3248-3256 [PMID: 17035609 DOI: 10.1681/ASN.2006050437]
- 112 **Puigmulé M**, López-Hellin J, Suñé G, Tornavaca O, Camaño S, Tejedor A, Meseguer A. Differential proteomic analysis of cyclosporine A-induced toxicity in renal proximal tubule cells. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2009; **24**: 2672-2686 [PMID: 19369687 DOI: 10.1093/ndt/ gfp149]
- 113 **Bone JM**, Amara AB, Shenkin A, Hammad A, Sells RA, Alexander JL, McArdle F, Rustom R. Calcineurin inhibitors and proximal renal tubular injury in renal transplant patients with proteinuria and chronic allograft nephropathy. *Transplantation* 2005; **79**: 119-122 [PMID: 15714179 DOI: 10.1097/01.TP.0000146843.23824.93]
- 114 **Scian MJ**, Maluf DG, David KG, Archer KJ, Suh JL, Wolen AR, Mba MU, Massey HD, King AL, Gehr T, Cotterell A, Posner M, Mas V. MicroRNA profiles in allograft tissues and paired urines associate with chronic allograft dysfunction with IF/TA. *Am J Transplant* 2011; **11**: 2110-2122 [PMID: 21794090 DOI: 10.1111/ j.1600-6143.2011.03666.x]
- 115 **Maluf DG**, Dumur CI, Suh JL, Scian MJ, King AL, Cathro H, Lee JK, Gehrau RC, Brayman KL, Gallon L, Mas VR. The urine microRNA profile may help monitor post-transplant renal graft function. *Kidney Int* 2014; **85**: 439-449 [PMID: 24025639 DOI: 10.1038/ki.2013.338]
- 116 **Zununi Vahed S**, Omidi Y, Ardalan M, Samadi N. Dysregulation of urinary miR-21 and miR-200b associated with interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy (IFTA) in renal transplant recipients. *Clin Biochem* 2017; **50**: 32-39 [PMID: 27521993 DOI: 10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2016. 08.007]
- 117 **Soltaninejad E**, Nicknam MH, Nafar M, Sharbafi MH, Keshavarz Shahbaz S, Barabadi M, Yekaninejad MS, Bahrami T, Ahmadpoor P, Amirzargar A. Altered Expression of MicroRNAs Following Chronic Allograft Dysfunction with Interstitial Fibrosis and Tubular Atrophy. *Iran J Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2015; **14**: 615-623 [PMID: 26725559]
- 118 **Iwasaki K**, Yamamoto T, Inanaga Y, Hiramitsu T, Miwa Y, Murotani K, Narumi S, Watarai Y, Katayama A, Uchida K, Kobayashi T. MiR-142-5p and miR-486-5p as biomarkers for early detection of chronic antibody-mediated rejection in kidney transplantation. *Biomarkers*

2017; **22**: 45-54 [PMID: 27323802 DOI: 10.1080/1354750X.2016.12 04000]

- 119 **Mas V**, Maluf D, Archer K, Yanek K, Mas L, King A, Gibney E, Massey D, Cotterell A, Fisher R, Posner M. Establishing the molecular pathways involved in chronic allograft nephropathy for testing new noninvasive diagnostic markers. *Transplantation* 2007; **83**: 448-457 [PMID: 17318078 DOI: 10.1097/01.tp.0000251373.17997.9a]
- 120 **Lee JR**, Muthukumar T, Dadhania D, Ding R, Sharma VK, Schwartz JE, Suthanthiran M. Urinary cell mRNA profiles predictive of human kidney allograft status. *Immunol Rev* 2014; **258**: 218-240 [PMID: 24517436 DOI: 10.1111/imr.12159]
- 121 **O'Connell PJ**, Zhang W, Menon MC, Yi Z, Schröppel B, Gallon L, Luan Y, Rosales IA, Ge Y, Losic B, Xi C, Woytovich C, Keung KL, Wei C, Greene I, Overbey J, Bagiella E, Najafian N, Samaniego M, Djamali A, Alexander SI, Nankivell BJ, Chapman JR, Smith RN, Colvin R, Murphy B. Biopsy transcriptome expression profiling to identify kidney transplants at risk of chronic injury: a multicentre, prospective study. *Lancet* 2016; **388**: 983-993 [PMID: 27452608 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30826-1]
- 122 **Li L**, Greene I, Readhead B, Menon MC, Kidd BA, Uzilov AV, Wei C, Philippe N, Schroppel B, He JC, Chen R, Dudley JT, Murphy B. Novel Therapeutics Identification for Fibrosis in Renal Allograft Using Integrative Informatics Approach. *Sci Rep* 2017; **7**: 39487 [PMID: 28051114 DOI: 10.1038/srep39487]
- 123 **Orlando G**, Hematti P, Stratta RJ, Burke GW, Di Cocco P, Pisani F, Soker S, Wood K. Clinical operational tolerance after renal transplantation: current status and future challenges. *Ann Surg* 2010; **252**: 915-928 [PMID: 21107102 DOI: 10.1097/SLA. 0b013e3181f3efb0]
- 124 **Mastoridis S**, Issa F, Wood KJ. Novel biomarkers and functional assays to monitor cell-therapy-induced tolerance in organ transplantation. *Curr Opin Organ Transplant* 2015; **20**: 64-71 [PMID: 25563993 DOI: 10.1097/MOT.0000000000000154]
- 125 **Viklicky O**, Hribova P, Brabcova I. Molecular markers of rejection and tolerance: lessons from clinical research. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2013; **28**: 2701-2708 [PMID: 23739154 DOI: 10.1093/ndt/gft102]
- 126 **Lozano JJ**, Pallier A, Martinez-Llordella M, Danger R, López M, Giral M, Londoño MC, Rimola A, Soulillou JP, Brouard S, Sánchez-Fueyo A. Comparison of transcriptional and blood cell-phenotypic markers between operationally tolerant liver and kidney recipients. *Am J Transplant* 2011; **11**: 1916-1926 [PMID: 21827613 DOI: 10.1111/ j.1600-6143.2011.03638.x]
- 127 **Newell KA**, Asare A, Kirk AD, Gisler TD, Bourcier K, Suthanthiran M, Burlingham WJ, Marks WH, Sanz I, Lechler RI, Hernandez-Fuentes MP, Turka LA, Seyfert-Margolis VL; Immune Tolerance Network ST507 Study Group. Identification of a B cell signature associated with renal transplant tolerance in humans. *J Clin Invest* 2010; **120**: 1836-1847 [PMID: 20501946 DOI: 10.1172/JCI39933]
- 128 **Sagoo P**, Perucha E, Sawitzki B, Tomiuk S, Stephens DA, Miqueu P, Chapman S, Craciun L, Sergeant R, Brouard S, Rovis F, Jimenez E, Ballow A, Giral M, Rebollo-Mesa I, Le Moine A, Braudeau C, Hilton R, Gerstmayer B, Bourcier K, Sharif A, Krajewska M, Lord GM, Roberts I, Goldman M, Wood KJ, Newell K, Seyfert-Margolis V, Warrens AN, Janssen U, Volk HD, Soulillou JP, Hernandez-Fuentes MP, Lechler RI. Development of a cross-platform biomarker signature to detect renal transplant tolerance in humans. *J Clin Invest* 2010; **120**: 1848-1861 [PMID: 20501943 DOI: 10.1172/JCI39922]
- 129 **Danger R**, Pallier A, Giral M, Martínez-Llordella M, Lozano JJ, Degauque N, Sanchez-Fueyo A, Soulillou JP, Brouard S. Upregulation of miR-142-3p in peripheral blood mononuclear cells of operationally tolerant patients with a renal transplant. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2012; **23**: 597-606 [PMID: 22282590 DOI: 10.1681/ASN.2011060543]
- 130 **Haynes LD**, Jankowska-Gan E, Sheka A, Keller MR, Hernandez-Fuentes MP, Lechler RI, Seyfert-Margolis V, Turka LA, Newell KA, Burlingham WJ. Donor-specific indirect pathway analysis reveals a B-cell-independent signature which reflects outcomes in kidney transplant recipients. *Am J Transplant* 2012; **12**: 640-648 [PMID: 22151236 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2011.03869.x]
- 131 **Roedder S**, Gao X, Sarwal MM. The pits and pearls in translating operational tolerance biomarkers into clinical practice. *Curr Opin*

Organ Transplant 2012; **17**: 655-662 [PMID: 23080065 DOI: 10.1097/MOT.0b013e32835a6f62]

- 132 **Viklicky O**, Krystufkova E, Brabcova I, Sekerkova A, Wohlfahrt P, Hribova P, Wohlfahrtova M, Sawitzki B, Slatinska J, Striz I, Volk HD, Reinke P. B-cell-related biomarkers of tolerance are up-regulated in rejection-free kidney transplant recipients. *Transplantation* 2013; **95**: 148-154 [PMID: 23222918 DOI: 10.1097/TP.0b013e3182789a24]
- 133 **Leventhal JR**, Mathew JM, Salomon DR, Kurian SM, Friedewald JJ, Gallon L, Konieczna I, Tambur AR, Charette J, Levitsky J, Jie C, Kanwar YS, Abecassis MM, Miller J. Nonchimeric HLA-Identical Renal Transplant Tolerance: Regulatory Immunophenotypic/Genomic Biomarkers. *Am J Transplant* 2016; **16**: 221-234 [PMID: 26227106 DOI: 10.1111/ajt.13416]
- 134 **Rebollo-Mesa I**, Nova-Lamperti E, Mobillo P, Runglall M, Christakoudi S, Norris S, Smallcombe N, Kamra Y, Hilton R, Bhandari S, Baker R, Berglund D, Carr S, Game D, Griffin S, Kalra PA, Lewis R, Mark PB, Marks S, Macphee I, McKane W, Mohaupt MG, Pararajasingam R, Kon SP, Serón D, Sinha MD, Tucker B, Viklický O, Lechler RI, Lord GM, Hernandez-Fuentes MP. Biomarkers of Tolerance in Kidney Transplantation: Are We Predicting Tolerance or Response to Immunosuppressive Treatment? *Am J Transplant* 2016; **16**: 3443-3457 [PMID: 27328267 DOI: 10.1111/ajt.13932]
- 135 **Roedder S**, Li L, Alonso MN, Hsieh SC, Vu MT, Dai H, Sigdel TK, Bostock I, Macedo C, Metes D, Zeevi A, Shapiro R, Salvatierra O, Scandling J, Alberu J, Engleman E, Sarwal MM. A Three-Gene Assay for Monitoring Immune Quiescence in Kidney Transplantation. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2015; **26**: 2042-2053 [PMID: 25429124 DOI: 10.1681/ ASN.2013111239]
- 136 **Brouard S**, Mansfield E, Braud C, Li L, Giral M, Hsieh SC, Baeten D, Zhang M, Ashton-Chess J, Braudeau C, Hsieh F, Dupont A, Pallier A, Moreau A, Louis S, Ruiz C, Salvatierra O, Soulillou JP, Sarwal M. Identification of a peripheral blood transcriptional biomarker panel associated with operational renal allograft tolerance. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2007; **104**: 15448-15453 [PMID: 17873064 DOI: 10.1073/ pnas.0705834104]
- 137 **Bohne F**, Martínez-Llordella M, Lozano JJ, Miquel R, Benítez C, Londoño MC, Manzia TM, Angelico R, Swinkels DW, Tjalsma H, López M, Abraldes JG, Bonaccorsi-Riani E, Jaeckel E, Taubert R, Pirenne J, Rimola A, Tisone G, Sánchez-Fueyo A. Intra-graft expression of genes involved in iron homeostasis predicts the development of operational tolerance in human liver transplantation. *J Clin Invest* 2012; **122**: 368-382 [PMID: 22156196 DOI: 10.1172/JCI59411]
- 138 **Volk HD**, Banas B, Bemelman F, Bestard O, Brouard S, Cuturi C, Grinyo JM, Hernandez-Fuentes M, Koch M, Nashan Bjorn, Rebollo-Mesa I, Sanchez-Fueyo A, Sawitzki B, JM ten Merge I, Viklicky O, Wood K, Reinke P Strategy to achieve biomarker-driven

immunosuppression after solid organ transplantation by an academicindustry partnership within the European BIO-DrIM consortium. *Advances in Precision Medicine* 2016; **1**: 34-47 [DOI: 10.18063/ APM.2016.01.001]

- 139 **Hricik DE**, Rodriguez V, Riley J, Bryan K, Tary-Lehmann M, Greenspan N, Dejelo C, Schulak JA, Heeger PS. Enzyme linked immunosorbent spot (ELISPOT) assay for interferon-gamma independently predicts renal function in kidney transplant recipients. *Am J Transplant* 2003; **3**: 878-884 [PMID: 12814480 DOI: 10.1034/ j.1600-6143.2003.00132.x]
- 140 **Hernandez-Fuentes MP**, Lechler RI. A 'biomarker signature' for tolerance in transplantation. *Nat Rev Nephrol* 2010; **6**: 606-613 [PMID: 20717098 DOI: 10.1038/nrneph.2010.112]
- 141 **Streitz M**, Miloud T, Kapinsky M, Reed MR, Magari R, Geissler EK, Hutchinson JA, Vogt K, Schlickeiser S, Kverneland AH, Meisel C, Volk HD, Sawitzki B. Standardization of whole blood immune phenotype monitoring for clinical trials: panels and methods from the ONE study. *Transplant Res* 2013; **2**: 17 [PMID: 24160259 DOI: 10.1186/2047-1440-2-17]
- 142 EudractCT-Number: 2013-005041-37. Available from: URL: https: //www.clinicaltrialsregister.eu
- 143 **Anglicheau D**, Naesens M, Essig M, Gwinner W, Marquet P. Establishing Biomarkers in Transplant Medicine: A Critical Review of Current Approaches. *Transplantation* 2016; **100**: 2024-2038 [PMID: 27479159 DOI: 10.1097/TP.0000000000001321]
- 144 **Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Medicas y Nutricion Salvador Zubiran**. Testing Immunosuppression Threshold in Renal Allografts To Extend eGFR (TITRATE). In ClinicalTrials.gov [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US). Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02581436
- 145 **University of California**, San Francisco. Treg Adoptive Therapy for Subclinical Inflammation in Kidney Transplantation (TASK). In ClinicalTrials.gov [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US). Available from: URL: https://Clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/ show/ NCT02088931
- 146 **University of California**, San Francisco. Precision Medicine Offers Belatacept Monotherapy (PROBE). In ClinicalTrials.gov [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US). Available from: URL: https://Clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT020939365
- 147 **Kalluri R**, Neilson EG. Epithelial-mesenchymal transition and its implications for fibrosis. *J Clin Invest* 2003; **112**: 1776-1784 [PMID: 14679171 DOI: 10.1172/JCI20530]
- 148 **Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris**. Prediction of Chronic Allograft Nephropathy (Prefigur). In ClinicalTrials.gov [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US). Available from: URL: https://Clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT01380847

P- Reviewer: Shrestha BM, Wang CX, Wu CC **S- Editor**: Song XX **L- Editor**: A **E- Editor**: Lu YJ

Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: http://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk http://www.wjgnet.com

