

Grc3 programs the essential endoribonuclease Las1 for specific RNA cleavage

Monica C. Pillon^a, Mack Sobhany^a, Mario J. Borgnia^b, Jason G. Williams^c, and Robin E. Stanley^{a, 1}

^aSignal Transduction Laboratory, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human
Services, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709; ^bLaboratory of Cell Biolog Bethesda, MD 20892; and ^c Epigenetics and Stem Cell Biology Laboratory, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Edited by David Baker, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, and approved May 31, 2017 (received for review February 23, 2017)

Las1 is a recently discovered endoribonuclease that collaborates with Grc3–Rat1–Rai1 to process precursor ribosomal RNA (rRNA), yet its mechanism of action remains unknown. Disruption of the mammalian Las1 gene has been linked to congenital lethal motor neuron disease and X-linked intellectual disability disorders, thus highlighting the necessity to understand Las1 regulation and function. Here, we report that the essential Las1 endoribonuclease requires its binding partner, the polynucleotide kinase Grc3, for specific C2 cleavage. Our results establish that Grc3 drives Las1 endoribonuclease cleavage to its targeted C2 site both in vitro and in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Moreover, we observed Las1 dependent activation of the Grc3 kinase activity exclusively toward single-stranded RNA. Together, Las1 and Grc3 assemble into a tetrameric complex that is required for competent rRNA processing. The tetrameric Grc3/Las1 cross talk draws unexpected parallels to endoribonucleases RNaseL and Ire1, and establishes Grc3/ Las1 as a unique member of the RNaseL/Ire1 RNA splicing family. Together, our work provides mechanistic insight for the regulation of the Las1 endoribonuclease and identifies the tetrameric Grc3/ Las1 complex as a unique example of a protein-guided programmable endoribonuclease.

pre-rRNA processing | endoribonuclease | polynucleotide kinase | HEPN domain | Las1

Nucleases are found throughout all walks of life and are in-
volved in numerous biological processes, including DNA replication and repair, RNA processing and maturation, and cell defense and death (1). Despite their long history, new nucleases continue to be discovered. For example, the discovery of the ability to program CRISPR-associated nucleases at specific sites by guide RNAs has led to an explosion in gene editing (2, 3). Another recently identified nuclease is the endoribonuclease Las1 (Las1L in mammals), which plays a vital role in eukaryotic ribosome assembly (4). Mutations in the LAS1L gene have been linked to a congenital motor neuron disease (5) and X-linked intellectual disability disorders (6), highlighting the need to further understand the activity of this essential enzyme.

Ribosome assembly begins within the nucleolus of the cell with the transcription of the pre-ribosomal RNA (rRNA) by RNA Pol I, which is transcribed as a long polycistronic precursor, known as the 35S pre-rRNA in Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Sc) (7, 8). The 35S pre-rRNA includes the 18S, 5.8S, and 25S rRNA as well as two external sequences [5′- and 3′-external transcribed spacers (ETSs)] and two internal sequences [internal transcribed spacers (ITS1 and ITS2)]. Removal of these four spacer sequences is a complex process requiring numerous exonucleases and endonucleases (9). Cleavage within ITS1 separates the 40S and 60S maturation pathways, whereas the subsequent removal of the ITS2 is an important step in the 60S maturation pathway. The first step in the removal of ITS2 is the separation of the precursors of the 5.8S and 25S rRNA by cleavage at the C2 site, which lies along the tip of domain V of the ITS2 (9).

Las1 was recently identified as the long-sought-after endonuclease, which specifically cleaves at the C2 site and generates the

7S pre-rRNA with a terminating 2′,3′-cyclic phosphate and the 26S pre-rRNA with a hydroxyl group at the 5′-end (Fig. 1A) (4). Las1 contains an N-terminal α-helical higher eukaryotes and prokaryotes nucleotide-binding (HEPN) domain, found in various RNases, such as the CRISPR effector C2c2, and the IFNinduced RNaseL (10, 11). HEPN endonucleases contain a conserved RΦxxxH catalytic motif (where Φ is commonly N, D, or H, and x is any residue) that generates products with a terminal 2′,3′-cyclic phosphate (10). Although it is now established that Las1 is the C2 endoribonuclease, there are numerous outstanding questions about the role of this enzyme in ribosome assembly. Specifically, it remains unknown how this enzyme targets the C2 site, what regions of Las1 and the ITS2 are required for recognition of the C2 site, and how the activity of this enzyme is regulated.

Following cleavage at the C2 site by Las1, the 5′-OH of the 26S pre-rRNA is phosphorylated by the polynucleotide kinase Grc3 (Nol9 in mammals), which provides the signal for the exonuclease Rat1 and its cofactor Rai1 to remove the 5′-end of the 26S pre-rRNA and generate the 25S rRNA (4). Grc3 is closely related to Clp1, another eukaryotic 5′-polynucleotide kinase, which plays an important role in mRNA processing and tRNA splicing (12–14). Grc3 and Clp1 share a conserved polynucleotide kinase (PNK) domain, and although both have been shown to phosphorylate DNA and RNA in vitro, their in vivo substrates appear to be RNA (12, 15, 16). The conserved

Significance

Ribonucleases are molecular scissors that catalyze the cleavage of RNA phosphodiester bonds and play essential roles in RNA processing and maturation. Precursor ribosomal RNA (rRNA) must be processed by several ribonucleases, including the endonuclease Las1, in a carefully orchestrated manner to generate the mature ribosomal subunits. Las1 is essential for cell viability, and mutations in the mammalian gene have been linked with human disease, underscoring the importance of this enzyme. Here, we show that, on its own, Las1 has weak activity; however, when associated with its binding partner, the polynucleotide kinase Grc3, Las1 is programmed to efficiently cleave pre-rRNA at the C2 site. Together, Grc3 and Las1 assemble into a higher-order complex exquisitely primed for cleavage and phosphorylation of RNA.

Author contributions: M.C.P. and R.E.S. designed research; M.C.P., M.S., M.J.B., J.G.W., and R.E.S. performed research; M.C.P., M.S., M.J.B., J.G.W., and R.E.S. analyzed data; and M.C.P. and R.E.S. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

Data deposition: The low-resolution negative-stain electron microscopy map reported in this paper has been deposited in the EMDataBank, www.emdatabank.org (accession no. [EMD-8766\)](http://www.ebi.ac.uk/pdbe/entry/EMD-8766).

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: [robin.stanley@nih.gov.](mailto:robin.stanley@nih.gov)

This article contains supporting information online at [www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1703133114/-/DCSupplemental) [1073/pnas.1703133114/-/DCSupplemental.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1703133114/-/DCSupplemental)

Fig. 1. Grc3 drives Las1-mediated RNA cleavage. (A) Cartoon of the 35S pre-rRNA illustrating the location of the C2 cleavage site. (B) In vitro cleavage assays of Las1 variants (5–20 μM) and Grc3/Las1 variants (2.5–20 μM) incubated with ITS2 model RNA (10 μM) in storage buffer. The black dot marks the C2 cleavage product. (C) Quantification of RNA cleavage product generated from a concentration series of Las1 alone (blue) and Las1 bound by Grc3 (green). (D) Grc3/Las1 (10 μM) was mixed with 10 μM model ITS2 RNA in the absence and presence of excess nucleotides (1 mM) and EDTA (5 mM) where indicated. The black and red dots highlight the unphosphorylated and 5′-phosphorylated RNA cleavage product, respectively. (E) Grc3/Las1 (40 μM) was incubated with 10 μM ITS2 model RNA. An RNA ladder is shown to assess size of RNA cleavage products. The asterisk marks the 9-nt RNA product characterized by mass spectrometry analysis. (F) Grc3/Las1 (2.5–20 μM) was incubated with 10 μM modified ITS2 model RNAs illustrated below. A black arrowhead marks the C2 cleave site mapped by mass spectrometry analysis [\(Fig. S1\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1703133114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201703133SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF1). Modified nucleotides are colored in red. Numbers in brackets are the nucleotide residues from the Sc ITS2. (G) Quantification of RNA cleavage product generated from Grc3/Las1 (20 μM) incubated with modified RNA substrates. The mean and SD were calculated from three replicates. *P < 0.04, **P < 0.002, and ***P < 0.001 were calculated by two-tailed Student's t tests.

PNK domains of Grc3 and Clp1 are flanked by distinct N- and C-terminal domains (12); however, the function of these additional domains in Grc3 is unclear. Clp1 interacts with Pcf11, another protein involved in mRNA processing, through a well-conserved patch within its PNK domain (17). It has been proposed that Grc3 interacts with its binding partner Las1 through an analogous fashion to Clp1 and Pcf11; however, the molecular details of the Grc3/Las1 interaction are unknown (4).

Las1 and Grc3 have been shown to form a stable complex both on and off preribosome particles, and the complex is stable even under high-salt conditions (4, 18, 19). The Grc3/Las1 interaction is well conserved across eukaryotes, and the two proteins are dependent on one another for their stabilization (18, 20). Aside from stability, it is unknown why Grc3 and Las1 are so tightly associated, thus raising the question as to whether complex assembly is a requirement for enzymatic activity. To gain mechanistic insight into the endoribonuclease activity of Las1 and the polynucleotide kinase activity of Grc3, we reconstituted C2 cleavage in vitro and characterized the assembly of the Grc3/Las1 complex. These studies reveal functional cross talk between Las1 and Grc3; both enzymes are dependent on one another for higher-order assembly, nuclease and kinase enzymatic activities, recognition and cleavage of the C2 site, and efficient ribosome production.

Results

Grc3 Drives Las1 Cleavage to the C2 Site. To investigate the role of Las1 in cleavage of the C2 site, we purified recombinant Sc Las1 ([Fig. S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1703133114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201703133SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF1)) and performed in vitro Las1 cleavage assays using a model RNA substrate of Sc ITS2 harboring the C2 site $(9, 21)$.

Las1 exhibited very weak cleavage activity that was not detectable under the experimental conditions examined with the endonuclease-deficient Las1^{R129E/H134A} variant (Fig. 1B, lanes 1-5 versus 6–10; Fig. 1C). Interestingly, in the presence of Grc3, Las1 was programed to efficiently cleave RNA at a specific position (Fig. 1B, lanes 11–15; Fig. 1C). We observed a single prominent cleavage product, indicating that Grc3-directed Las1 cleavage occurs near the base of our ITS2 model substrate. This specific nuclease activity was attributed to Las1 because the observed cleavage product was absent with the endonuclease-deficient Grc3/Las1^{R129E/H134A} variant (Fig. 1B, lanes 11–15 versus 16–20). Therefore, one role of Grc3 is to specifically direct Las1 to the C2 site for cleavage.

Specificity of the C2 Site. After identifying the requirement for both Las1 and Grc3, we sought to probe other requirements for specificity of the C2 site. HEPN-containing endoribonucleases are metalindependent enzymes (10) and the efficiency of Grc3/Las1 cleavage of our ITS2 model RNA was unaltered in the presence of excess EDTA (Fig. 1D, lane 3). Following C2 cleavage, Las1 generates a 5′-OH terminus that is targeted by Grc3 polynucleotide kinase activity (4). When we repeat our nuclease assay in the presence of ATP, we observe a shift in the cleaved RNA product indicative of 5′-phosphorylation (Fig. 1D, lane 4). Correspondingly, this shift was absent in the presence of the nonhydrolyzable analog AMPPnP (Fig. 1D, lane 5). Interestingly, Grc3 also phosphorylates the 5′-OH of our intact C2 RNA mimic (Fig. 1D, upper band in lane 4) suggesting that, although there is specificity for nuclease activity, Grc3 nonspecifically phosphorylates the 5′-OH of RNA under the experimental conditions examined.

To unambiguously identify the C2 cleavage site within our model RNA substrate, we mapped the Grc3/Las1-mediated cleavage product. In the presence of Grc3, Las1 cleaved following residue 9 of our C2 mimic, as we observed a band of 18 nt in length and a corresponding smaller faint band (Fig. 1E). MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry of the cleavage reaction gave rise to ions at m/z 2853 and m/z 1426 that correspond in mass to the singly and doubly charged forms of the first 9 nt of our ITS2 substrate (5′-GUCGUUUUA-3′) with a terminal 2′,3′-cyclic phosphate [\(Fig. S1\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1703133114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201703133SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF1). This cleavage position corresponds to the bulge arising from a single unpaired adenine residue (Sc ITS2 A140) within the tip of domain V of the ITS2 (Fig. $1F$) (22). These results are in agreement with previous studies on the 5′- to 3′-exonuclease Rat1, which suggested that the C2 cleavage event occurs between Sc ITS2 A140 and G141 (23, 24).

To probe the importance of the ITS2 sequence and RNA secondary structure for Grc3/Las1 substrate recognition, we compared cleavage efficiency against modified substrates. The two tandem G–C base pairs adjacent to the cleavage site were previously shown to be essential for ITS2 processing in vivo (21, 22); therefore, we focused on modifying other residues surrounding the cleavage site. Replacing the bulged adenine residue (A140) with a cytosine residue led to a significant reduction in cleavage (Fig. 1F, lanes 6–10; Fig. 1G), whereas removal of the bulged adenine residue completely abrogated C2 cleavage (Fig. 1F, lanes 11–15; Fig. 1G). Replacement of the tandem A–U base pairs with G–C base pairs also abrograted C2 cleavage (Fig. 1F, lanes 16–20; Fig. 1G). Moreover scrambling the RNA sequence of the ITS2 stem led to a reduction in Grc3/Las1 endoribonuclease activity, whereas disruption of the base pairs within the stem completely abrogated C2 cleavage (Fig. $1F$, lanes 21–25 and 26–30; Fig. 1G), indicating that the stem secondary structure, and to a lesser extent the stem sequence, is required for Grc3/Las1-directed cleavage. This marks the strict necessity of the ITS2 RNA stem structure, sequence, and bulge for C2 recognition by the Grc3/Las1 complex.

Grc3/Las1 Form a Superdimer. Despite the prominent role for Grc3 in regulating Las1 endoribonuclease function, little is known about the molecular organization of the Grc3/Las1 complex. For structural analyses, we purified recombinant *Chaetomium*
thermophilum (Ct) Grc3¹¹⁰⁻⁷⁴⁸/Las1 lacking the Grc3 protease-labile N terminus, which we found is dispensable in vitro and in vivo (Fig. 2A and [Fig. S2](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1703133114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201703133SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF2)). To determine the molecular mass and stoichiometry of the $\acute{C}t$ Grc3¹¹⁰⁻⁷⁴⁸/Las1 complex, we performed size exclusion chromatography coupled to multiangle light scattering (SEC-MALS) to reveal a molecular weight of 249 kDa (Fig. 2B), corresponding well with an arrangement of two Grc3/Las1 dimers (226 kDa) and excluding the possibility of another type of stoichiometry. We refer to this higher-order assembly as the Grc3/ Las1 superdimer (Fig. 2C). We also determined that Sc Grc3/ Las1 assembles into a tetramer, suggesting that this higher-order assembly is conserved across species ([Fig. S3](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1703133114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201703133SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF3)).

To further test the superdimer hypothesis, we performed singleparticle analysis on negative-stain electron microscope images of the Ct Grc $3^{110-748}$ /Las1 complex. Reference-free classification confirmed the presence of distinct twofold symmetric views of the complex (Fig. 2D). A low-resolution (\sim 20-Å) map reconstructed without imposing symmetry [\(Fig. S2](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1703133114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201703133SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF2)) and with C2 symmetry revealed a curved pretzel-like particle with approximate dimensions of $130 \times 70 \times 22$ Å (Fig. 2E). Overall, the structure includes sufficient density to accommodate two copies of Grc3 and Las1. Grc3 is ∼200 residues larger than the related polynucleotide kinase Clp1, and there is no structure with significant homology to the coiled-coil region of Las1, making it impossible to unambiguously position Grc3 and Las1 within the map at this resolution; however, these data provide structural insight into the organization of the Grc3/Las1 superdimer.

Las1 Endoribonuclease Domain Drives Higher-Order Assembly. To probe the structural organization of the Grc3/Las1 superdimer, we performed yeast two-hybrid experiments. Las1 is a multidomain enzyme with an N-terminal HEPN domain (residues 1–179; Las1^{HEPN}) and a C-terminal coiled-coil domain (residues 180– 502; Las1^{CC}) with unknown function (Fig. 3A). As shown previously, the Las1 C terminus (residues 450–502) encoding two conserved tryptophan residues (W488 and W494) retained the

Fig. 2. Grc3/Las1 form a superdimer. (A) SDS/PAGE analysis of the recombinant Chaetomium thermophilum (Ct) Grc3¹¹⁰⁻⁷⁴⁸/Las1 complex. (B) SEC-MALS of the Ct Grc3¹¹⁰⁻⁷⁴⁸/Las1 complex. (C) Cartoon schematic illustrating the molecular weight of the Ct Grc3¹¹⁰⁻⁷⁴⁸/Las1 complex with 1:1 versus 2:2 stoichiometry. The superdimer refers to the association of two heterodimers. (D) Single-particle analysis of negatively stained Ct Grc3¹¹⁰⁻⁷⁴⁸/Las1 complex. Selected reference-free class averages. (E) Orthogonal views of the 3D projection map of Ct Grc3¹¹⁰⁻⁷⁴⁸/Las1 generated by imposing C2 symmetry.

ability to bind Grc3 (Fig. 3B) (4). Unexpectedly, truncation of the Las1 C terminus (residues 1–472) did not abrogate Grc3 binding, suggesting a second Grc3-binding site within Las1. We determined that the Las1 HEPN domain also maintained Grc3 binding (Fig. 3B). Taken together, Las1 forms a stable complex with Grc3 through two discontinuous sites comprising Las^{THEPN} and the Las1 C terminus.

To shed light onto the driving force of Grc3/Las1 assembly, we coexpressed the minimal Las1 C-terminal sequence necessary for Grc3-binding and stability (Las1 residues 469–502; Las1^{pep}) with full-length $\bar{S}c$ Grc3 in the presence and absence of the Sc Las1^{HEPN} domain. The Las1 HEPN domain stably associates with Sc Grc3/Las1^{pep} even under high-salt conditions (Fig. S 4). We purified both the Sc Grc3/Las1 $\frac{\text{HERN+pep}}{\text{HEPN+pep}}$ and Grc3/Las1 $\frac{\text{pep}}{\text{RSP}}$ complexes by affinity chromatography followed by gel filtration and assessed their molecular mass by SEC-MALS (Fig. 3C). We found that the Las1 HEPN domain is required for higher-order assembly, whereas the C-terminal coiled-coil domain (residues 180–468) is dispensable. We also purified $Las1^{HEPN}$ in the absence of Grc3 and observed two distinct peaks by SEC-MALS corre-sponding to the Las1^{HEPN} monomer–dimer equilibrium (Fig. 3 C and D). Collectively, these data suggest that the propensity for Las1 HEPN dimerization is one of the key factors favoring formation of the Grc3/Las1 superdimer.

We also observed a reproducible shoulder for the Grc3/ Las1^{pep} complex (Fig. 3 C and D), suggesting that Grc3/Las1^{pep} has a weak propensity to associate in the absence of the HEPN domain. To identify the Grc3 region(s) responsible for oligomerization, we expressed and purified the N-terminal domain, central PNK domain, and C-terminal domain from Sc and Ct Grc3; however, only Ct Grc3 PNK yielded stable protein. We measured the molecular weight of Grc3 PNK in solution over a concentration series (1–12 mg/mL) by small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS). From a low to high protein concentration, the measured molecular weight ranged from the size of a PNK monomer (28 kDa) to that of a dimer (56 kDa) (Fig. 3E). Consistent with this notion, we observed both monomeric and dimeric Ct Grc3 PNK in solution by SEC-MALS (Fig. 3 D and F). Therefore, the Las1 HEPN domain drives higher-order assembly through homodimerization, whereas the Grc3 PNK domain participates in further stabilizing the superdimer interface.

Higher-Order Assembly Facilitates Grc3/Las1 Cross Talk. After determining that Grc3/Las1 assemble into a superdimer, we postulated that this higher-order assembly facilitates cross talk between the Las1 endoribonuclease and the Grc3 polynucleotide kinase. To assess the existence of functional interdependence, we performed in vitro endoribonuclease and kinase assays. The endoribonuclease activity of Grc3/Las1 is abolished when Las1 is restricted to its C-terminal Grc3-binding peptide (Grc3/Las1^{pep}); however, this activity is rescued with the addition of the Las1 HEPN domain (Grc3/ Las1^{HEPN+pep}) (Fig. 4 A and B). Together, this reveals that the Las1 coiled-coil domain is dispensable for RNA cleavage in vitro. We interpret this to mean that Grc3 acts as a scaffold to help prime Las1^{HEPN} for competent homodimerization and directs nuclease activity toward the C2 site. Furthermore, we also generated a kinase-deficient Grc3^{D283A/Q286A} variant by mutating two residues within the Walker B motif, analogous to a kinase-deficient mutant of Clp1 (12). The kinase-deficient Grc3^{D283A/Q286A}/Las1 superdimer had reduced endoribonuclease activity compared with wild-type Grc3/Las1 (Fig. $4 \land A$ and B). This implies that, beyond providing a scaffold, the Grc3 kinase actively relays a signal to Las1 that is necessary for efficient C2 cleavage.

This raised the question of whether Grc3/Las1 cross talk also influences 5′-phosphorylation following incision at the C2 site. Therefore, we carried out both ATP hydrolysis and phosphorylation assays with our Grc3/Las1 variants. We used a 21-nt RNA substrate for these assays that could not be cleaved by Las1 to avoid the potential for multiple phosphorylation events by Grc3 [\(Fig. S5](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1703133114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201703133SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF5)A). We found that the ATPase activity of Grc3/Las1 is greatly stimulated in the presence of both single- and doublestranded RNA (Fig. 4C). However, in the presence of Las1, the Grc3 kinase exclusively phosphorylates single-strand RNA (Fig. 4D) and kinase activity was largely abolished when its Las1 binding

Fig. 3. Las1 HEPN domain drives higher-order assembly. (A) Cartoon diagram of full-length and truncated Sc Las1 used for yeast two-hybrid. The Las1 HEPN and coiled-coil (CC) domains are shown in blue and cyan, respectively. The "W" (red) indicates the two tryptophan residues that have been proposed to be important for binding Grc3. (B) Yeast two-hybrid analysis using full-length Grc3 as bait and Las1 and assorted truncations as prey. Bait and prey transformants were mated and then plated on SD/−Trp/−Leu and SD/−Trp/−Leu/−His plates at 30 °C for 3 d. Growth on the SD/−Trp/−Leu/−His plates indicates an interaction. (C) SEC-MALS of Sc Grc3/Las1^{HEPN+pep} (purple), Grc3/Las1^{pep} (red), and Las1^{HEPN} (blue). (D) SEC-MALS-derived and calculated molecular weights for Sc Grc3/Las1^{HEPN+pep}, Sc Grc3/Las1^{pep}, Sc Las1^{HEPN}, and Ct Grc3 PNK. (E) Molecular weight of Ct Grc3 PNK domain in solution determined by SAXS. The molecular weight of the PNK domain increases from a monomer to a dimer with increasing protein concentration. The dotted lines mark the theoretical mass of the PNK monomer and dimer. (F) SEC-MALS of Ct Grc3 PNK domain.

Fig. 4. Superdimer facilitates Grc3/Las1 cross talk. (A) Nuclease assay of Sc Grc3/Las1, Grc3/Las1^{pep}, Grc3/Las1^{HEPN+pep}, endonuclease-deficient Grc3/Las1^{R129E/H134A}, and kinase-deficient Grc3^{D283A/Q286A}/Las1 variants (2.5–20 μM) with model ITS2 RNA (10 μM). (*B*) Quantification of C2 RNA product generated from 10 μM Grc3/ Las1 variants with model ITS2 RNA (10 μM). The mean and SD were calculated from three replicates. *P < 1 × 10^{−2}; **P < 6 × 10^{−4}; and n.s., not significant, were calculated by two-tailed Student's t tests. (C) ATPase activity of Grc3/Las1 variants (0.5 μM) with 1 mM ATP in the absence and presence of single- or doublestranded 21-nt RNA substrate (4 μM). The mean percent ADP and SD was calculated from three replicates. *P < 3 × 10⁻³; **P < 2 × 10⁻⁷; ***P < 1 × 10⁻¹²; and n.s., not significant, were calculated from two-tailed Student's t tests. (D) RNA phosphorylation activity of wild-type Grc3/Las1 (2 μM) with a labeled single-stranded (ss) or double-stranded (ds) 21-nt RNA substrate (15 μM). Positive controls include T4 polynucleotide kinase (T4). (E) Quantified phosphorylation activity of Grc3/ Las1 variants (2 μM) with single-stranded 21-nt RNA. Mean and SD were calculated from three replicates.

partner was restricted to its C-terminal peptide (Grc3/Las1^{pep}) (Fig. $4 C$ and E). We surmise this to be a functional consequence due to the loss of the superdimer as supplementing the reaction with the Las1 HEPN domain (Grc3/Las1^{HEPN+pep}), which not only recovered higher-order assembly (Fig. 3C) but also rescued the ATPase and kinase activity by Grc3 (Fig. $4 C$ and E and [Fig. S5](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1703133114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201703133SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF5)B). These data suggest the possibility that Grc3 forms a composite kinase active site between two protomers or the superdimer imposes allosteric regulation, which is supported by detection of Grc3/ $Las1^{pep}$ kinase activity of the monomeric complex at higher protein concentration ([Fig. S5](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1703133114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201703133SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF5)C). These results reveal that a Grc3 dimer is required to reconstitute a competent kinase, and thus, the Grc3/ Las1^{HEPN+pep} is the minimal functional unit for C2 cleavage and phosphorylation in vitro. However, this does not exclude the possibility that the Las1 C-terminal coiled-coil domain may play a role in binding other processing factors, such as the Rix1 complex (20).

To assess whether Grc3 requires endonuclease-active Las1, we measured the kinase activity of Grc3 in complex with the endoribonuclease-deficient Las1 variant (Grc3/Las1^{R129E/H134A}). Intriguingly, Grc3 ATPase activity was no longer stimulated by

RNA and showed a comparable reduction in 5′-phosphorylation activity as the kinase-deficient Grc3 variant (Grc3^{D283A/Q286A}/ Las1) (Fig. 4 C and E). Taken together, the presence of RNA transduces a signal from the Las1 endoribonuclease to Grc3, thereby stimulating its polynucleotide kinase activity. The Grc3/ Las1 cross talk ensures high specificity and efficiency during prerRNA processing at the C2 site and is wholly dependent on the assembly of the superdimer.

Grc3 C Terminus Stabilizes Binding to the Las1 Endoribonuclease. Aside from the PNK active site, we postulated that other regions of Grc3 may be important for facilitating Grc3/Las1 cross talk, and we observed that charged residues are enriched at the C terminus of Grc3 (Fig. 5A). To investigate the role of the basic residues within the C-terminal tail, we generated a series of Grc3 C-terminal variants and assessed their functional consequence in vivo. Removal of the last 5 residues ($Grc3^{1-627}$) had no effect on viability, whereas truncation of either the last 10 ($Grc3^{1-622}$) or 20 ($Grc3^{1-612}$) residues had a similar functional consequence to removing the entire C-terminal domain ($Grc3^{\Delta CTD}$) (Fig. 5B).

Mutagenesis of three conserved C-terminal arginines (R620S, R625S, and R626S) identified R625 as a major player in maintaining cell viability (Fig. 5B). These Grc3 variants have a functional defect because there was no change in their expression levels in vivo [\(Fig. S6](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1703133114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201703133SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF6)A) and the Grc3 C-terminal truncations could be stably expressed and purified for in vitro kinase assays. We measured ATP hydrolysis and 5′-phosphorylation activity of $Grc3^{1-612}$ in complex with Las1. $Grc3^{1-612}/\text{Las1}$ had a significant reduction in ATP hydrolysis and phosphorylation activity compared with wild-type Grc3/Las1 (Fig. 5 C and D and
[Fig. S6](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1703133114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201703133SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF6)B). Likewise, Grc3¹⁻⁶¹²/Las1 was completely deficient in C2 cleavage activity, reinforcing the importance of the Grc3 C terminus for pre-rRNA processing (Fig. 5E).

We speculated that the C terminus was necessary for proper assembly of the Grc3/Las1 superdimer, so we performed SEC- MALS to assess the stoichiometry of the Grc3 C-terminal truncation in complex with Las1. Unexpectedly, the Grc3¹⁻⁶¹²/Las1 variant had a calculated molecular mass of 234 kDa, corresponding well to the superdimer (257 kDa), indicating that the C terminus is not required for higher-order assembly in vitro ([Fig. S6](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1703133114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201703133SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF6)C). We further truncated the \overline{C} terminus of Grc 3^{1-592} and found that Las1^{HEPN} could not be retained throughout the purification and the complex dissociates on a size exclusion column ([Fig. S6](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1703133114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201703133SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=SF6)D). Together, these data suggest that the C terminus of Grc3 is involved with proper organization of the Las1 HEPN domains within the Grc3/ Las1 complex.

To ascertain the functional consequence of disrupting the C terminus of Grc3 in vivo, we monitored pre-rRNA processing by Northern blot analysis. Interestingly, both truncations and point mutations at the C terminus led to an accumulation of the 35S,

Fig. 5. The C terminus of Grc3 is critical for Grc3/Las1 function. (A) Multiple sequence alignment of the C terminus of Grc3/Nol9 from different homologs of Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Sc), Chaetomium thermophilum (Ct), Schizosaccharomyces pombe (Sp), Homo sapiens (Hs), and Mus musculus (Mm). The alignment was done in Tcoffee and colored with Jalview. The well-conserved arginine and lysine residues are highlighted in red. Arginines targeted for mutagenesis are marked by an arrowhead. (B) Yeast growth assay of Grc3 C-terminal mutants. TetO₇-Grc3 transformants were plated on YPD plates in the absence and presence of 20 μg/mL doxycycline and incubated at 30 °C for 3 d. (C) ATPase assay of Grc3/Las1 variants (0.5 μM) with 1 mM ATP in the absence and presence of a 21-nt single-stranded RNA substrate (4 µM). Percent ADP and SD were derived from three replicates. *P < 5 × 10⁻³ was calculated by a two-tailed Student's t test. (D) RNA phosphorylation activity of Grc3 variants (2 µM) bound by equimolar Las1 with a labeled single-stranded RNA substrate (15 µM). *P < 2 × 10⁻³; **P < 4 × 10−⁵ , calculated by a two-tailed Student's t test. (E) Nuclease assay of model ITS2 RNA (10 μM) with Sc Las1 (2.5–20 μM) associated with full-length equimolar Grc3 and Grc3¹⁻⁶¹². The mean and SD of C2 RNA product was calculated from three replicates. *P < 2 × 10⁻⁴; **P < 8 × 10⁻⁵ was determined by twotailed Student's t test. (F) Northern blot analysis of tetO₇-Grc3 strains transformed with plasmids encoding Grc3 C-terminal mutants. The position of the Northern blot probes and the C2 cleavage site (red arrow) is shown on the cartoon schematic of the Sc pre-rRNA processing pathway. (G) Polysome profile analysis of wild-type and C-terminal–truncated Grc3 (residues 1–622 and 1–612). The black arrowheads mark ribosome halfmers.

27S, and 7S precursor rRNA (Fig. 5F). The accumulation of 27S pre-rRNA underscores the importance of the Grc3 C terminus for C2 cleavage, whereas the abundance of the 7S confirmed previous findings suggesting a processing role for Las1 at the 3′-end of the 7S pre-rRNA (25). We also measured synthesis of ribosome particles by polysome profile analyses. Truncation of the C terminus of Grc3 resulted in a reduction in 60S particles and the formation of halfmers, indicative of a defect in formation of the 60S ribosomal particle (Fig. 5G). These data emphasize the essential role of the superdimer in mediating cross talk between Las1 and Grc3 during ribosome assembly.

Discussion

Recognition of the C2 Endonuclease Site. Our results provide the molecular details for understanding how the Grc3/Las1 superdimer recognizes the C2 site. The ITS2 is predicted to fold into six helical domains in either a ring or hairpin conformation (9), and the C2 cleavage site is found within the short conserved region that lies at the tip of domain V (Fig. 6A). We unambiguously mapped the cleavage site to an unpaired adenine (residue 140 of yeast ITS2) that lies immediately 5′ to the well-conserved tandem G–C base pairs previously shown to be critical for C2 cleavage (21, 22). This bulge may act as a marker for the C2 site because the presence of a bulge appears to be a universal feature of the ITS2 whereas the nature of the unpaired nucleotide is variable (26, 27). Our study suggests that the superdimer is the key factor for recognizing the RNA stem structure and surrounding RNA sequence. Consequently, Grc3 is critical in organizing a competent Grc3/Las1/ITS2 complex that promotes sequence and structure-specific Las1 cleavage at the C2 site.

Model of C2 Cleavage by Grc3/Las1 Superdimer. Based on our results, we propose the following model for Grc3/Las1-directed C2 cleavage and phosphorylation (Fig. 6B). Grc3 and Las1 form a constitutive superdimer composed of a dimer of Grc3/Las1. The Las1 HEPN endonuclease domain drives higher-order assembly; however, the PNK domain of Grc3 also participates in dimerization. Recognition of the C2 site requires formation of the superdimer as Las1 alone displays inefficient RNase activity. Several other HEPN endonucleases, including Ire1, RNaseL, C2c2, and Csm6, also require dimerization of their HEPN domains for function, suggesting that dimerization of the HEPN domain may be a common requirement for endonuclease activity (11, 28–31).

Following C2 cleavage, the 5′-OH at the end of the 26S prerRNA is phosphorylated by Grc3. We suggest that a conformational change within the superdimer repositions the cleaved RNA into the kinase-active site. Grc3 polynucleotide kinase activity is also dependent on formation of the superdimer, as Grc3 is inactive in the absence of the Las1 HEPN domain. The requirement for dimerization of the PNK domain of Grc3 for kinase activity suggests a similar mechanism to protein kinases such as the eIF2 α kinase family, which rely on dimerizationinduced allostery (32). Intriguingly, we also found that there is functional cross talk between Las1 and Grc3. The endonucleasedeficient Las1 mutant is deficient in polynucleotide kinase activity, suggesting that activation of Grc3 kinase activity requires an active Las1 endonuclease domain. Cross talk between an endonuclease and a polynucleotide kinase has also been observed between the mammalian tRNA splicing endonuclease complex (TSEN) and the Clp1 polynucleotide kinase. Clp1 is required for the integrity and nuclease activity of the TSEN complex; however, in contrast to Grc3, kinase activity of Clp1 is not dependent on the TSEN complex (13, 14, 33). Another example of molecular cross talk has been observed in mammalian polynucleotide kinase phosphatase, where mutations that ablate phosphatase activity also block kinase activity, ensuring that 5′-phosphorylation of damaged DNA does not occur before removal of 3′-adducts (34–36).

Functional Requirement for a Constitutive Grc3/Las1 Superdimer. The demanding rate of ribosome production during a generation of yeast requires a highly active RNA Pol I with transcription rates of pre-rRNA at 40–60 nt/s (37, 38). To support this high production, pre-rRNA processing enzymes must be precisely and efficiently activated. An obligate Grc3/Las1 superdimer would aid in productive ITS2 processing by facilitating a quick response to the presence of 27S pre-rRNA. Although our work provides the basis for understanding cleavage and phosphorylation of the C2 site, there are many remaining questions about ITS2 processing. One of the major outstanding questions is when is the C2 site accessible for cleavage by the Grc3/Las1 superdimer. Several remodeling events have been suggested to be required to trigger C2 cleavage, including removal of early assembly factors and assembly of the peptidyl transferase center and polypeptide exit tunnel (recently reviewed in ref. 39). It is unclear how Grc3/Las1 function in concert with other ribosome assembly factors that have been shown to interact with Grc3 and Las1 including the Rix1 complex, Rat1, and Rai1 (4, 20). It also remains unknown how the 2′,3′-cyclic phosphate is removed from the 7S-pre-RNA following Las1 cleavage (4).

Grc3/Las1 Is a Unique Member of the Ire1/RNaseL Family. Our results reveal many unexpected similarities between Grc3/Las1 and two

Fig. 6. Model of Grc3/Las1-directed C2 cleavage and phosphorylation. (A) Cartoon model of Sc ITS2, which was adapted from ref. 9. (B) Grc3/Las1 assembles into a constitutive superdimer, which is competent for C2 cleavage. Grc3/Las1 recognizes the sequence and secondary structure found at the tip of domain V and directs Las1 cleavage at the C2 site generating the 26S pre-rRNA with a 5′-OH. A conformational change repositions the 5′-OH into the Grc3 active site, thus stimulating Grc3 ATP hydrolysis and subsequent transfer of the γ -phosphate onto the 5'-end. This 5'-phosphate is the signal for Rat1-Rai1–mediated ITS2 degradation.

other eukaryotic HEPN endoribonucleases, Ire1 and RNaseL. Ire1 is an ancient protein kinase–endoribonuclease conserved among eukaryotes that is activated during endoplasmic reticulum stress (28, 40). Meanwhile, RNaseL is a conserved pseudokinase–endoribonuclease that plays an essential role during the antiviral response (29, 30, 41). Both Ire1 and RNaseL form the Ire1/RNaseL family due to their similar mechanism in RNA cleavage and the combination of kinase and HEPN/kinase extension nuclease (KEN) domains (28). Ire1, RNaseL, and Grc3/ Las1 share parallels that are paramount for productive endoribonuclease activity. All three endoribonucleases encode the conserved RΦxxxH motif linked to their RNA cleavage activity (10). Furthermore, they are functionally linked to a kinase domain that is critical for organizing a register of the HEPN/KEN homodimer productive in RNA cleavage activity. A unique feature of these endoribonucleases is the dependence of higherorder assembly to support functionality. In all three cases, assembly is supported by both the endoribonuclease and kinase domains. However, unlike Ire1 and RNaseL, which dimerize in the presence of a stress signal, Grc3/Las1 is a constitutive superdimer. This marks an important difference that has strong implications for its regulation. Consistent with this notion, Grc3 and Las1 are encoded on two distinct polypeptides, whereas Ire1 and RNaseL are a single polypeptide chain. Because Grc3 and Las1 are intimately dependent on the other for their stability (18), this provides an alternative mechanism for controlling the activity of Grc3/Las1. Taken together, Grc3/ Las1 marks a unique subclass to Ire1/RNaseL endoribonucleases that support a similar mode of RNA cleavage, but is regulated through a signal-independent mechanism.

In conclusion, the evolutionarily conserved Grc3/Las1 complex is finely tuned to initiate ITS2 processing by coupling cleavage and phosphorylation to prime the 26S pre-rRNA for subsequent processing by Rat1/Rai1. The Grc3/Las1 superdimer is programmed for site-specific cleavage of the C2 site, representing a unique example of a "programmable endonuclease." The Grc3/Las1 superdimer may prove useful as yet another tool in the arsenal of sequence-specific RNA endonucleases such as the CRISPR effectors. However, in contrast to the CRISPR RNA-guided endonucleases, the Grc3/

- 1. Yang W (2011) Nucleases: Diversity of structure, function and mechanism. Q Rev Biophys 44:1–93.
- 2. Hochstrasser ML, Doudna JA (2015) Cutting it close: CRISPR-associated endoribonuclease structure and function. Trends Biochem Sci 40:58–66.
- 3. Mohanraju P, et al. (2016) Diverse evolutionary roots and mechanistic variations of the CRISPR-Cas systems. Science 353:aad5147.
- 4. Gasse L, Flemming D, Hurt E (2015) Coordinated ribosomal ITS2 RNA processing by the Las1 complex integrating endonuclease, polynucleotide kinase, and exonuclease activities. Mol Cell 60:808–815.
- 5. Butterfield RJ, et al. (2014) Congenital lethal motor neuron disease with a novel defect in ribosome biogenesis. Neurology 82:1322–1330.
- 6. Hu H, et al. (2016) X-exome sequencing of 405 unresolved families identifies seven novel intellectual disability genes. Mol Psychiatry 21:133–148.
- 7. Thomson E, Ferreira-Cerca S, Hurt E (2013) Eukaryotic ribosome biogenesis at a glance. J Cell Sci 126:4815–4821.
- 8. Woolford JL, Jr, Baserga SJ (2013) Ribosome biogenesis in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 195:643–681.
- 9. Fernández-Pevida A, Kressler D, de la Cruz J (2015) Processing of preribosomal RNA in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA 6:191–209.
- 10. Anantharaman V, Makarova KS, Burroughs AM, Koonin EV, Aravind L (2013) Comprehensive analysis of the HEPN superfamily: Identification of novel roles in intragenomic conflicts, defense, pathogenesis and RNA processing. Biol Direct 8:15.
- 11. Liu L, et al. (2017) Two distant catalytic sites are responsible for C2c2 RNase activities. Cell 168:121–134.
- 12. Dikfidan A, et al. (2014) RNA specificity and regulation of catalysis in the eukaryotic polynucleotide kinase Clp1. Mol Cell 54:975–986.
- 13. Karaca E, et al.; Baylor Hopkins Center for Mendelian Genomics (2014) Human CLP1 mutations alter tRNA biogenesis, affecting both peripheral and central nervous system function. Cell 157:636–650.
- 14. Schaffer AE, et al. (2014) CLP1 founder mutation links tRNA splicing and maturation to cerebellar development and neurodegeneration. Cell 157:651–663.
- 15. Braglia P, Heindl K, Schleiffer A, Martinez J, Proudfoot NJ (2010) Role of the RNA/DNA kinase Grc3 in transcription termination by RNA polymerase I. EMBO Rep 11:758–764.

Las1 complex is guided by protein–RNA interactions and could potentially be used to target RNAs for degradation by 5′- to 3′-exonucleases. Finally, as mutations in Las1L have been linked with neurological dysfunction, these studies lay the groundwork for understanding the functional significance of Las1 in vivo.

Methods

Detailed methods are available in [SI Methods](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1703133114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201703133SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT).

Protein Expression and Purification. Grc3 and Las1 were coexpressed in Escherichia coli LOBSTR cells and purified by Talon affinity resin (Clontech) followed by size exclusion chromatography. All Grc3/Las1 variants used for SEC-MALS, enzymatic assays, and negative-stain electron microscopy are listed in [Table S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1703133114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201703133SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=ST1).

Yeast Analyses. Yeast two-hybrid experiments, growth assays, Northern blots, and polysome profile assays were all carried out as described previously (18), and detailed methods are provided in [SI Methods](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1703133114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201703133SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=STXT). Plasmids generated for yeast analyses are listed in [Table S2](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1703133114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201703133SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=ST2), and all yeast strains used in this study are listed in [Table S3.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1703133114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201703133SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=ST3) Probes used for Northern blots are listed in [Table S4](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1703133114/-/DCSupplemental/pnas.201703133SI.pdf?targetid=nameddest=ST4).

Nuclease and Phosphorylation Assays. RNA cleavage products were resolved on 15% polyacrylamide (8 M urea) gels in 1x Tris-borate-EDTA buffer. ATP hydrolysis was measured using a Kinase Glo Kit (Promega), and RNA phosphorylation was resolved on a 15% polyacrylamide (8 M urea) gel in 0.5× Tris–borate–EDTA buffer using a labeled RNA substrate.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank the scientific staff at the Structurally Integrated Biology for Life Sciences (SIBYLS) high-throughput SAXS Advanced Light Source (ALS) in Berkeley for technical support. We are grateful to Robert Dutcher for assistance with SEC-MALS analysis and Ms. Andrea Adams and Ms. Katina Johnson of the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) Mass Spectrometry Research and Support Group for help with protein identification. We also thank Dr. R. Scott Williams, Dr. Thomas Kunkel, and Dr. Traci Hall for their critical reading of this manuscript. This work was supported by the NIH Intramural Research Program, NIEHS Grant ZIA ES103247 (to R.E.S.), and Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) Grant 146626 (to M.C.P.). Use of the ALS was supported by the Director, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, of the US Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC02-05CH11231. Additional support for the SIBYLS SAXS beamline comes from NIH Project MINOS (R01GM105404) and High-End Instrumentation Grant S10OD01848.

- 16. Heindl K, Martinez J (2010) Nol9 is a novel polynucleotide 5′-kinase involved in ribosomal RNA processing. EMBO J 29:4161–4171.
- 17. Noble CG, Beuth B, Taylor IA (2007) Structure of a nucleotide-bound Clp1- Pcf11 polyadenylation factor. Nucleic Acids Res 35:87–99.
- 18. Castle CD, et al. (2013) Las1 interacts with Grc3 polynucleotide kinase and is required for ribosome synthesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nucleic Acids Res 41:1135–1150.
- 19. McCann KL, Charette JM, Vincent NG, Baserga SJ (2015) A protein interaction map of the LSU processome. Genes Dev 29:862–875.
- 20. Castle CD, Cassimere EK, Denicourt C (2012) LAS1L interacts with the mammalian Rix1 complex to regulate ribosome biogenesis. Mol Biol Cell 23:716–728.
- 21. van Nues RW, Venema J, Rientjes JM, Dirks-Mulder A, Raué HA (1995) Processing of eukaryotic pre-rRNA: The role of the transcribed spacers. Biochem Cell Biol 73: 789–801.
- 22. van Nues RW, et al. (1995) Evolutionarily conserved structural elements are critical for processing of internal transcribed spacer 2 from Saccharomyces cerevisiae precursor ribosomal RNA. J Mol Biol 250:24–36.
- 23. Geerlings TH, Vos JC, Raué HA (2000) The final step in the formation of 25S rRNA in Saccharomyces cerevisiae is performed by 5′→3′ exonucleases. RNA 6:1698–1703.
- 24. Granneman S, Petfalski E, Tollervey D (2011) A cluster of ribosome synthesis factors regulate pre-rRNA folding and 5.8S rRNA maturation by the Rat1 exonuclease. EMBO J 30:4006–4019.
- 25. Schillewaert S, Wacheul L, Lhomme F, Lafontaine DL (2012) The evolutionarily conserved protein Las1 is required for pre-rRNA processing at both ends of ITS2. Mol Cell Biol 32:430–444.
- 26. van der Sande CA, et al. (1992) Functional analysis of internal transcribed spacer 2 of Saccharomyces cerevisiae ribosomal DNA. J Mol Biol 223:899–910.
- 27. Joseph N, Krauskopf E, Vera MI, Michot B (1999) Ribosomal internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2) exhibits a common core of secondary structure in vertebrates and yeast. Nucleic Acids Res 27:4533–4540.
- 28. Lee KP, et al. (2008) Structure of the dual enzyme Ire1 reveals the basis for catalysis and regulation in nonconventional RNA splicing. Cell 132:89–100.
- 29. Han Y, et al. (2014) Structure of human RNase L reveals the basis for regulated RNA decay in the IFN response. Science 343:1244–1248.
- 30. Huang H, et al. (2014) Dimeric structure of pseudokinase RNase L bound to 2-5A reveals a basis for interferon-induced antiviral activity. Mol Cell 53:221–234.
- 31. Niewoehner O, Jinek M (2016) Structural basis for the endoribonuclease activity of the type III-A CRISPR-associated protein Csm6. RNA 22:318–329.
- 32. Lavoie H, Li JJ, Thevakumaran N, Therrien M, Sicheri F (2014) Dimerization-induced allostery in protein kinase regulation. Trends Biochem Sci 39:475–486.
- 33. Weitzer S, Hanada T, Penninger JM, Martinez J (2015) CLP1 as a novel player in linking tRNA splicing to neurodegenerative disorders. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA 6:47–63.
- 34. Bernstein NK, et al. (2005) The molecular architecture of the mammalian DNA repair enzyme, polynucleotide kinase. Mol Cell 17:657–670.

S A L

- 35. Coquelle N, Havali-Shahriari Z, Bernstein N, Green R, Glover JN (2011) Structural basis for the phosphatase activity of polynucleotide kinase/phosphatase on single- and double-stranded DNA substrates. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108:21022–21027.
- 36. Schellenberg MJ, Williams RS (2011) DNA end processing by polynucleotide kinase/ phosphatase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108:20855–20856.
- 37. French SL, Osheim YN, Cioci F, Nomura M, Beyer AL (2003) In exponentially growing Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells, rRNA synthesis is determined by the summed RNA polymerase I loading rate rather than by the number of active genes. Mol Cell Biol 23: 1558–1568.
- 38. Kos M, Tollervey D (2010) Yeast pre-rRNA processing and modification occur cotranscriptionally. Mol Cell 37:809–820.
- 39. Konikkat S, Woolford JL, Jr (2017) Principles of 60S ribosomal subunit assembly emerging from recent studies in yeast. Biochem J 474:195-214.
- 40. Sidrauski C, Walter P (1997) The transmembrane kinase Ire1p is a site-specific endonuclease that initiates mRNA splicing in the unfolded protein response. Cell 90: 1031–1039.
- 41. Zhou A, Hassel BA, Silverman RH (1993) Expression cloning of 2-5A-dependent RNAase: A uniquely regulated mediator of interferon action. Cell 72:753–765.
- 42. Tan S (2001) A modular polycistronic expression system for overexpressing protein complexes in Escherichia coli. Protein Expr Purif 21:224–234.
- 43. Sheffield P, Garrard S, Derewenda Z (1999) Overcoming expression and purification problems of RhoGDI using a family of "parallel" expression vectors. Protein Expr Purif 15:34–39.
- 44. Gietz RD, Sugino A (1988) New yeast-Escherichia coli shuttle vectors constructed with in vitro mutagenized yeast genes lacking six-base pair restriction sites. Gene 74: 527–534.
- 45. Masek T, Valásek L, Pospísek M (2011) Polysome analysis and RNA purification from sucrose gradients. Methods Mol Biol 703:293–309.
- 46. Dyer KN, et al. (2014) High-throughput SAXS for the characterization of biomolecules in solution: A practical approach. Methods Mol Biol 1091:245–258.
- 47. Petoukhov MV, et al. (2012) New developments in the ATSAS program package for small-angle scattering data analysis. J Appl Cryst 45:342–350.
- 48. Rambo RP, Tainer JA (2013) Accurate assessment of mass, models and resolution by small-angle scattering. Nature 496:477–481.
- 49. Booth DS, Avila-Sakar A, Cheng Y (2011) Visualizing proteins and macromolecular complexes by negative stain EM: From grid preparation to image acquisition. J Vis Exp 58:e3227.
- 50. Rohou A, Grigorieff N (2015) CTFFIND4: Fast and accurate defocus estimation from electron micrographs. J Struct Biol 192:216–221.
- 51. Tang G, et al. (2007) EMAN2: An extensible image processing suite for electron microscopy. J Struct Biol 157:38–46.
- 52. Pettersen EF, et al. (2004) UCSF Chimera—a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. J Comput Chem 25:1605–1612.