

(Belo Horizonte), Instituto do Câncer do Estado de São Paulo (São Paulo), Santa Casa de Misericórdia de Santos (Santos), Santa Casa de Misericórdia de São Paulo (São Paulo), Universidade Estadual de Campinas (Campinas), Universidade Estadual de Londrina (Londrina), Universidade Federal de Goiás (Goiânia), Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (Belo Horizonte), Universidade Federal de São Paulo (São Paulo), Universidade Federal do Ceara (Fortaleza), Universidade Federal do Paraná (Curitiba), Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (Rio de Janeiro). We would also like to thank José Márcio Duarte and the staff from Departamento de Informática em Saùde - UNIFESP for providing technical support.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Matheus Vescovi Gonçalves¹ (D), Celso Arrais Rodrigues^{1,2} (D), Irene Gyongyver Heidemarie Lorand Metze³, Marcelo Pitombeira Lacerda¹,

Maria de Lourdes Lopes Ferrari Chauffaille¹, Alita Azevedo⁴, Cintia Machado⁴, Carlos Sérgio Chiattone^{5,6}, Sérgio Fortier⁵, Leila Perobelli⁷, Maura Rosane Valerio Ikoma⁸, Nelma Clementino⁹, Nelson Hamerschlak¹⁰, Vivia Machado Sthel¹¹,

Larissa Veloso Mendes Ommati¹²,

Danielle Leão Cordeiro de Farias¹³, Fernando Barroso Duarte¹⁴, Valeria Buccheri¹⁵, Ana Paula de Azambuja¹⁶,

Denise Ramos de Almeida¹⁷, Vera Lucia Piratininga Figueiredo¹¹, Mihoko Yamamoto¹

¹Universidade Federal de São Paulo (UNIFESP/EPM), São Paulo, Brazil

²Hospital Sírio Libanês, São Paulo, Brazil

³University of Campinas, Campinas, Brazil

⁴Hemocentro de Pernambuco, Hemope, Recife, Brazil

⁵Santa Casa de Misericórdia de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

⁶Hospital Samaritano, São Paulo, Brazil

⁷Hospital de Transplantes Euryclides de Jesus Zerbini/Hospital Brigadeiro, São Paulo, Brazil

⁸Hospital Amaral Carvalho, Jaú, Brazil

⁹Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Brazil ¹⁰Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein, São Paulo, Brazil

¹¹Hospital do Servidor Público Estadual, São Paulo, Brazil ¹²Casa de Saúde Santa Marcelina, São Paulo, Brazil ¹³Universidade Federal de Goiás, Goiânia, Brazill

¹⁴Universidade Federal do Ceará, Fortaleza, Brazil

¹⁵Instituto de Cancer de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

¹⁶Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba, Brazil

¹⁷Hospital São Vicente de Paulo, Passo Fundo, Brazil

Correspondence

Matheus Vescovi Gonçalves, R Dr Diogo de Faria 824. CEP 04037-002, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

Email: Matheus.vescovi@gmail.com

REFERENCES

[1] Pulte D, Castro FA, Jansen L, et al. Trends in survival of chronic lymphocytic leukemia patients in Germany and the USA in the first decade of the twenty-first century. J Hematol Oncol. 2016;9:28

- [2] Falchi L, Keating MJ, Wang X, et al. Clinical characteristics, response to therapy, and survival of African American patients diagnosed with chronic lymphocytic leukemia: Joint experience of the MD Anderson cancer center and Duke university medical center. Cancer. 2013;119:3177-3185.
- [3] Vasylyev A, Loginov A, Molostvova V, et al. Prevalence and cumulative 5-year incidence of chronic lymphocytic leukemia in the adult population in the Russian Federation and Ukraine: Data from the leukospect study. Hematology. 2017;22:16-24.
- [4] Wu SJ, Chiang CJ, Lin CT, et al. Improving but inferior survival in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia in Taiwan: A populationbased study, 1990-2004. PLoS One. 2013;8:e62930.
- [5] Hallek M, Cheson BD, Catovsky D, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia: A report from the international workshop on chronic lymphocytic leukemia updating the national cancer institute-working group 1996 guidelines. Blood. 2008:111:5446-5456.
- [6] World Health Statistics 2016: Monitoring health for the SDGs. http://www.who.int/gho/publications/world_health_statistics/2016/en/ Accessed March 17, 2017.
- [7] Mato A, Nabhan C, Kay NE, et al. Real-world clinical experience in the connect(R) chronic lymphocytic leukaemia registry: A prospective cohort study of 1494 patients across 199 US centres. Br J Haematol. 2016;175:892-903.
- [8] Rodrigues CA, Goncalves MV, Ikoma MR, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia: Recommendations from the Brazilian group of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Rev Bras Hematol Hemoter. 2016;38:346-357.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

Received: 21 March 2017 | Accepted: 5 May 2017

DOI 10.1002/ajh.24785

Urinary prednisolone excretion is a determinant of serum hepcidin levels in renal transplant recipients

To the Editor:

Hepcidin, which is synthesized and secreted by the liver, is considered the master regulator of iron homeostasis. Hepcidin regulates the amount of iron absorbed from the intestines and the iron release from the reticuloendothelial system by degrading ferroportin, the iron transporter located at the duodenal enterocytes and macrophages. Circulating levels of hepcidin are known to be controlled by available iron stores, inflammation, hypoxia, insulin levels, and erythropoiesis.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2017 The Authors American Journal of Hematology Published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of 551 renal transplant recipients according to tertiles of 24-h urinary prednisolone excretion

Variables	All patients	Tertiles of urinary prednisolone excretion (pmol/24 h)			
		T1	T2	Т3	P value
Urinary prednisolone (pmol/24 h)	758 (371–1278)	256 (125-371)	755 (619-904)	1595 (1273-2325)	<.001
General characteristics Age (years) Male sex (n %) eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²)	51 ± 12 298 (54) 47 ± 16	53 ± 12 109 (60) 41 ± 16	53 ± 12 107 (58) 46 ± 15	49 ± 12 82 (45) 54 ± 13	.003 .006 <.001
Laboratory parameters Hepcidin (ng/mL) Hemoglobin (g/dL) Ferritin (g/L) EPO (IU/L) hs-CRP (mg/L) Insulin (μU/mL)	7.2 (3.3-13.5) 13.8 \pm 1.6 156.0 (80.0-283.0) 17.4 (12.0-24.3) 2.0 (0.8-4.8) 11.1 (7.9-16.3)	$8.6 (4.3-14.3)$ 13.6 ± 1.6 $189 (102-316)$ $17.7 (11.8-25.4)$ $2.1 (1.0-5.1)$ $11.4 (7.8-17.5)$	7.0 (2.8-14.3) 13.8 ± 1.6 163 (77-288) 17.9 (13.0-24.3) 2.7 (0.9-4.8) 11.2 (8.3-15.1)	5.8 (2.8-11.4) 14.2 ± 1.4 122 (68-233) 16.6 (11.4-22.9) 1.7 (0.7-3.6) 10.8 (7.8-15.8)	.003 <.001 .001 .28 .06

eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; EPO, erythropoietin; hs-CRP, high sensitivity C-reactive protein.

Recently, hepcidin antagonists have been introduced as potential treatment to improve iron-restrictive anemia. By improving iron availability and subsequently hemoglobin levels, hepcidin antagonists might be able to improve quality of life and outcome in different patient settings. Therefore, all factors that affect serum hepcidin levels are clinically relevant specifically in populations where in the future the use of hepcidin antagonists may be considered. It has already been established that both testosterone¹ and estrogens² are associated with suppression of serum hepcidin in men. On the other hand progesterone, the anabolic steroid epitiostanol, as well as the progesterone antagonist, mifepristone, are able to induce hepcidin biosynthesis in a zebrafish model.³

Synthetic glucocorticoids, like prednisone, and its active metabolite prednisolone are often used in immunosuppressive regiments for renal transplant recipients (RTRs). To date, possible effects of these synthetic glucocorticoids on serum hepcidin levels in humans are unknown. Here, we report on the association of serum hepcidin with 24-h urinary prednisolone excretion, as a measure of 24-h prednisolone exposure.

For this study, 606 stable RTRs with a functioning graft beyond the first year after transplantation were included. All RTRs gave written informed consent for the study and approval by institutional review board was obtained (METc 2001/039). For the current analyses, we excluded patients with missing data on serum hepcidin (n=45) and urinary prednisolone excretion (n=10), resulting in 551 RTRs eligible for analyses. Serum hepcidin-25 was assessed by dual-monoclonal sandwich ELISA immunoassay. Urinary prednisolone measurements were carried out with validated high-performance liquid chromatography assay with diode-array detection after extraction with diethylether. Renal function was assessed by estimating glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) applying the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) equation.

IBM SPSS Statistics 23 was used for statistical analyses. For baseline characteristics, one-way ANOVA, Kruskal-Wallis test, and Chi square test were applied, as appropriate. Furthermore, univariate linear regression analysis was performed to assess the determinants of serum hepcidin, followed by multivariable linear regression analysis with stepwise

backward procedure. *P* values for inclusion and exclusion were set at 0.20 and 0.10, respectively. Variables with a skewed distribution were natural log transformed for analyses. Separate linear regression analysis was performed to assess the association between serum hepcidin and hemoglobin level in RTRs after adjustment for age, sex, and eGFR.

Mean age of the 551 RTRs was 51 ± 12 years with 55% being men. Patients were included at median 6.0 (interquartile range [IQR], 2.6–11.6) years after transplantation. All RTRs used prednisolone orally ranging from 5 to 10 mg once daily. Median 24-h urinary prednisolone excretion was 758 (371–1278) pmol/24 h. The association of the individual daily prednisolone dosis with 24-h urinary prednisolone excretion was $\beta=0.23$, P<.001. Across tertiles of 24-h urinary prednisolone excretion, RTRs within the highest tertile of prednisolone were younger, less frequently men, had lower systolic blood pressure and higher estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) compared to those within the other two tertiles. Furthermore, higher hemoglobin, lower hepcidin, and lower ferritin levels were noted in RTRs in the highest tertile of prednisolone excretion compared to RTRs in the other two tertiles (Table 1).

In univariate regression analysis, serum hepcidin was found to be negatively associated with 24-h urinary prednisolone excretion ($\beta=-0.15,\,P<.001$). After adjustment for high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), hepcidin remained associated with prednisolone ($\beta=-0.13,\,P=.002$). Further adjustment for eGFR did not materially alter this association ($\beta=-0.12,\,P=.006$). When including prednisolone in a backward multivariate model with age, sex, eGFR, hs-CRP, ferritin, hemoglobin, erythropoietin, and insulin, prednisolone remained an independent determinant of hepcidin ($\beta=-0.10,\,P=.001$), besides expected relationships of hepcidin with ferritin, hs-CRP, erythropoietin and insulin. In addition, serum hepcidin was found to be a determinant of hemoglobin levels ($\beta=-0.08,\,P=.03$) independently of age, sex, and eGFR.

In this study, we show that 24-h urinary prednisolone excretion is negatively associated with serum hepcidin in RTRs irrespective of potential confounders, including eGFR. All RTRs in our cohort used a low dose



prednisolone (5–10 mg/day). Remarkably, this resulted in a broad range of 24-h urinary prednisolone excretion and a modest association with the daily prednisolone dose, in keeping with considerable inter-subject pharmacokinetic variability.⁵ Twenty-4 h urinary prednisolone excretion is considered to reflect the overall exposure to prednisolone. Previously, it has been shown that prednisolone dose-dependently inhibits the release of interleukin-6 (IL-6)⁶ which is known to induce hepcidin expression. We had no data available on IL-6 levels to assess whether effects on IL-6 is the mechanism behind the association of prednisolone with hepcidin. The possible role of prednisolone as a direct hepcidin antagonist and possible mechanisms linking prednisolone with hepcidin need to be delineated in more detail in future studies.

The major strength of this report is the large cohort of RTRs with availability of concurrent 24-h urinary prednisolone excretion and hepcidin data. Limitations are that it comprises a single center study, and that we cannot exclude the possibility of residual confounding.

In conclusion, lower serum hepcidin levels are related to higher 24-h urinary prednisolone excretion in RTRs independent of clinically relevant covariates. Our findings extend earlier data concerning effects of other (synthetic) steroids on hepcidin regulation, and provide a rationale to more precisely delineate direct or indirect effects of glucocorticoids on hepcidin regulation. From a clinical perspective, our findings lend support to the possibility that prednisolone may be regarded as a hitherto unappreciated hepcidin antagonist.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

C.A.J.M.G. received speaking fees and research funding from Vifor Pharma. The other authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

Michele F. Eisenga¹ (D), Robin P. F. Dullaart², Stefan P. Berger¹,
Daan J. Touw³, Stephan J. L. Bakker, Carlo A. J. M. Gaillard¹

¹Department of Nephrology, University Medical Center Groningen,
University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

²Department of Endocrinology, University Medical Center Groningen,
University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

³Department of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmacology, University Medical
Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

Correspondence

M. F. Eisenga, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Nephrology, University Medical Center Groningen, P.O. Box 30.001, 9700 RB Groningen, The Netherlands.

Email: m.f.eisenga@umcg.nl

REFERENCES

- [1] Bachman E, Feng R, Travison T, et al. Testosterone suppresses hepcidin in men: a potential mechanism for testosterone-induced erythrocytosis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2010;95:4743–4747.
- [2] Lehtihet M, Bonde Y, Beckman L, et al. Circulating hepcidin-25 is reduced by endogenous estrogen in humans. *PLoS One*. 2016;11:e0148802.
- [3] Li X, Rhee DK, Malhotra R, et al. Progesterone receptor membrane component-1 regulates hepcidin biosynthesis. J Clin Invest. 2016;126: 389-401.
- [4] Zelle DM, Corpeleijn E, van Ree RM, et al. Markers of the hepatic component of the metabolic syndrome as predictors of mortality in renal transplant recipients. Am J Transplant. 2010;10:106–114.
- [5] Ionita IA, Ogasawara K, Gohh RY, Akhlaghi F. Pharmacokinetics of total and unbound prednisone and prednisolone in stable kidney transplant recipients with diabetes mellitus. *Ther Drug Monit*. 2014;36:448–455.
- [6] de Kruif MD, Lemaire LC, Giebelen IA, et al. Prednisolone dosedependently influences inflammation and coagulation during human endotoxemia. J Immunol. 2007;178:1845–1851.

Received: 2 May 2017 | Accepted: 5 May 2017

DOI 10.1002/ajh.24786

Characterization of *TP53* mutations in clonal cytopenia of undetermined significance

To the Editor:

The diagnosis of myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) requires persistent cytopenia with at least one of the following criteria: dysplasia in at least 10% of cells in any hematopoietic lineage, increased myeloblasts (5-19%) in bone marrow (or 2-19% myeloblast in peripheral blood), or MDS defining cytogenetic abnormalities. Some patients have cytopenia and/or gene mutations, but do not meet other criteria of MDS. These pre-MDS conditions include idiopathic cytopenia of undetermined significance (ICUS), clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential (CHIP) and clonal cytopenia of undetermined significance (CCUS). The mutations frequently identified in these pre-MDS conditions, including DNMT3A, TET2, and ASXL1, are also the common mutations detected in MDS.2 ICUS, CHIP, and CCUS all carry an increased risk for progression to MDS. The rate of progression to MDS varies, likely depending on the specific genes that are mutated and their mutation burden. The role of each individual mutation in disease progression is not well characterized.

TP53 is a tumor suppressor gene that has been studied extensively in MDS and AML, in which the mutations are associated with a complex karyotype and a poor prognosis. Its mutations also occur in CHIP and CCUS.^{2,3} The characteristics of *TP53* mutations and their role in disease progression in these pre-MDS conditions are unknown. In this study, we aim to characterize the clinicopathological features of CCUS cases associated with *TP53* mutations.